Community Music Research and Evaluation Through a Social Determinants Lens

Naomi Sunderland, Lauren Istvandity, Ali Lakhani, and Caroline Lenette

Introduction

Our chapter explores the relevance of an existing health equity and social determinants of health (SDOH) framework (see Schulz and Northridge 2004) for both researching and evaluating health and well-being outcomes

N. Sunderland (⋈)

School of Human Services and Social Work, Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Logan, QLD, Australia

L. Istvandity

Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre, Griffith University, South Bank, QLD, Australia

A. Lakhani

School of Human Services and Social Work, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Logan, QLD, Australia

C. Lenette

School of Social Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

for participatory music programmes. There is an increasing focus on how arts activities such as song writing, recording and music performance can contribute to health and well-being for marginalised groups while achieving broader health equity and social justice outcomes (see e.g. Harrison 2013; Pavlicevic and Ansdell 2004). Extending on the work of Wiggins et al. (2013) and others (see e.g. Harrison 2013; Parkinson and White 2013), we see music participation as an activity that can act as a positive SDOH in and of itself (see e.g. Batt-Rawden 2010) and as an activity that can affect the social conditions that shape health and well-being (see e.g. Harrison 2013; MacDonald et al. 2012; Parkinson and White 2013). Within this context, we draw on our experiences of research with refugee and asylum seeker participants in the Brisbane-based *Scattered People* (2015) music programme (see http://www.scatteredpeople.com) to enrich discussions on community music research and evaluation.

Music and the Social Determinants of Health and Well-Being

While it is currently difficult to find published music research outside of this book that overtly adopts an SDOH approach, practitioners and researchers in the broader field of arts and health do acknowledge the importance of social determinants of health and the role of the arts in addressing health inequalities (see e.g. White 2009; Parkinson and White 2013), as well as the impact of community-based arts programmes on public health (Sonke and Lee 2014). There have been promising developments in recognising the contribution that music participation can have on social determinants of health such as poverty. Harrison (2013), for example, conducted research in Vancouver, Canada, that explores how "musical offerings ... address issues of poverty, including lack of health" (p. 58). She explored in particular how music participation could affect highly significant determinants of health and well-being by developing:

the skills, education levels, incomes, and occupational possibilities of participants living in material poverty, which in turn can enhance their socio-economic status, a social determinant of human health and mortality. (Harrison 2013, p. 58)

The 2013 Australian Government National Arts and Health Framework also acknowledges—albeit in a minimal way—that arts initiatives generally can have an "impact on the determinants of ill-health by changing individuals' attitudes to health risks and supporting community resilience" (Standing Council on Health and the Meeting of Cultural Ministers 2013, p. 2). While the Framework identifies individual attitudes and risks and community resilience as "determinants of ill health", Clift acknowledges broader determinants related to arts practice such as access to cultural capital (2012, p. 121). Putland et al. (2013) echo this idea and note the increased access to social capital via community arts and cultural programmes. Parkinson and White (2013) have also discussed that the strength and nature of local cultural engagement is a key determinant of health and well-being. This mirrors studies with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia, which show the direct link between strong cultural practices and enhanced outcomes across a range of socio-economic and health and well-being outcomes (Dockery 2010).

Furthermore, Parkinson and White (2013) emphasise that the arts have an international role to play in supporting strong healthy cultures and promoting health equity and well-being. Drawing on key health promotion documents such as the World Health Organization's (WHO) Declaration of Alma Ata (1978) and the 1981 Global Strategy for Health for All by the Year 2000, Parkinson and White (2013) advocate for culture and the arts as a key determinant of health and well-being. Similarly, Edge et al. (2014) note the importance of music and arts programmes, among other social and cultural activities, as a factor in mediating the health and well-being of refugee youth.

Using an SDOH approach, Davies et al. (2014) developed a framework to further understand the connection between arts engagement and population health to make the framework relevant to a wide range of health professionals, researchers and policymakers. This framework is constructed from a study of 33 Australian adults and incorporates a selection of health determinants and health outcomes. The authors list potential confounders and effect-modifiers that they believe will help to "avoid spurious conclusions about the health-arts relationship" (Davies et al. 2014, p. 8). In another instance of broad arts and health framework development, Fancourt and Joss (2015) formulated the concept of *Aesop*

1, which encompasses six stages through the lifecycle of an arts intervention, from development to implementation. While this is principally concerned with providing a useful synthesis of relevant but competing methodologies for arts and health researchers, it advocates for the consideration of social health outcomes as part of the evaluation phase.

Schulz and Northridge (2004) SDOH Conceptual Framework

We have previously used Schulz and Northridge's (2004) comprehensive SDOH framework as a basis for research with the Scattered People asylum seeker and refugee music programme. The framework is reproduced in its original form below (see Fig. 1). Schulz and Northridge (2004, p. 456) describe their work as a "conceptual framework for understanding the implications of social inequalities for environmental health [that] emphasizes the interplay of social processes with features of the physical environment". Hence the model adopts an explicit health equity focus. The model "outlines the multiple and dynamic pathways through which underlying social, political, and economic conditions influence aspects of the environment, thereby affecting individual and population health and well-being" (ibid.).

We selected Schulz and Northridge's (2004) framework primarily due to the detailed way that it maps social and environmental determinants of health at different levels of the social-ecology (see Levins and Lopez 1999) of health and well-being. Our use of the framework also extended on previous collaborative place-based health promotion research conducted by the project leader (see Sunderland et al. 2012; Kendall et al. 2012). We favoured the Schulz and Northridge framework for this research in particular, due to its overt and detailed recognition of human rights, ideologies and racism as significant factors that shape health and well-being outcomes. We argue that the recognition of such factors is particularly relevant when working with intensely marginalised, politicised and stigmatised groups such as refugees and asylum seekers in Australia and other resettlement countries.

Fundamental (Macro Level)

Intermediate (Macro/Community Level)

Proximate (Micro/Interpersonal)

Health (Individual or population levels)

Natural environment: topography; climate; water supply.

Macrosocial factors: Historical conditions; Policital orders; Economic order; Legal codes; Human rights doctrines; Social and cultural institutions;

Ideologies, inclucing racism,

social justice, democracy.

Health behaviours: Dietary practices;

Physical activity; Health screening.

Inequalities: Distribution of material wealth; Distribution of employment opportunities; Distribution of educational opportunities; Distribution of political influence

Built environment: Land use (industrial, residential, mixed use or single use); Transport systems; Services (shopping, banking, health care facilities, waste transfer stations); Public resources (parks, museums, libraries); Zoning regulations; Buildings (housing, schools, workplaces).

Social context: Community investment (economic development, maintenance, police services); Policies public, fiscal, environmental, workplace); Enforcement of ordinances (public, commental, workplace); commental, workplace);

ublic, fiscal, environmental,
workplace); Enforcement of in
ordinances (public,
environmental, workplace);
Community capacity; Civic
participation and political
influence: Quality of
education

Health outcomes: Infant and child health (low birth weight, lead poisoning); Obesity; Cardiovascular diseases; Diabetes; Cancers, Injuries and violence; Infectious diseases; Respiratory health (asthma); Mental health; All-cause mortality

housing conditions; Violent crime and

neighbourhood; workplace and

Stressors: Environmental;

safety; Police response; Financial insecurity; Environmental toxins

lead, particulates); Unfair treatment

Well-being: Hope/despair; Life satisfaction; Psychosocial distress; Happiness; Disability; Body size and body image

Social integration and social support: Social participation and integration; Shape of social networks and resources available within networks; Social support.

Fig. 1 Adapted from Schulz and Northridge's (2004) social and environmental determinants of health framework

We did not substantially amend or adapt Schulz and Northridge's (2004) framework in our original research project. We make some recommendations in this regard, however, in this chapter and suggest adaptations for music, health and well-being research.

Key Learnings: Applying an Existing SDOH Framework to Complex Community Music Making

Reported Health and Well-Being Outcomes That Did Not Fit Neatly on the Existing SDOH Continuum

While many themes arising from participant interviews fit neatly onto the SDOH framework, we also identified a number of factors that were reported as contributing directly and indirectly to participants' health and well-being that sit *outside* this model. Specifically, these outcomes related to aspects of (1) cultural expression, (2) music making and (3) consolidation of personal and social identity (see Sunderland et al. 2012 for a full discussion). These factors are interrelated, with each impacting on the others in relation to well-being outcomes for participants. Thus, consideration of these three aspects that are broadly related to artistic and cultural influences, can contribute to broadening current understandings of SDOH and well-being.

Cultural Expression

In the Scattered People sessions, participants were encouraged to share aspects of their own culture, while also participating in musical activities from other cultures. Several of the participants emphasised the role of both first and second or even third languages, including English, in making music within the group. Being able to sing in one's first language afforded individuals the opportunity to express not only aspects of their culture but also an articulation of their thoughts and feelings. So too did this experience allow participants to hear and sing in their own

language—a practice which may be difficult to maintain in a resettlement setting. One participant described an example of this:

[J] also enjoyed hearing songs in Persian, maybe more than in English. ... [J] said he would not sing Persian songs otherwise and he would not sing them at home. He also said that he doesn't hear Persian songs anywhere else in Brisbane. (J & T's story)

Musical expression in first or familiar languages appeared to be an important contributor to the well-being of this culturally diverse group. As one participant, Joy, noted, "when people can sing in their 'mother language' it creates happiness". Joy goes on to describe the importance of acknowledging individual languages in the coming together of many different cultures at the Scattered People sessions (Joy's story). In this way, participants in the programme were able to connect with and maintain a sense of individuality within the culturally based plurality among participants.

Music Making

On the other hand, the idea of participating in musical activities in the absence of proficient English language skills was at first daunting for some participants. As explained by S:

I always think you should speak very well English to connect to people, but I saw many people, they try to speak slowly to me ... I was so excited. ... It was really good. They push for me, hey, [S], sing! (S)

An initial perceived language barrier was soon overcome for S when it became apparent that there were many people speaking different languages and from diverse culture groups present, yet all participants had gathered for the one common purpose—to share music. Another participant, Z, echoed S's sentiment and said:

Always music can explain ... there are lots of languages in the world. The music and song or poetry could be one of those [languages] which could

transfer people's feelings and emotion to other people. So we kind of speak to [the] world [through] the music. (Z)

Z is alluding to how music has the ability to communicate emotions regardless of the language of any lyrics, as well as the ability for Scattered People participants to perceive this emotion.

For these participants, music allowed a connection that did not require a common spoken language. S explained, "music is an international language for every people, for all of us" (S & Z interview). While cultural differences were acknowledged and indeed celebrated within the group, participants also recognised the shared function of music making for all those present. In the context of the sessions, music was used for an expression of self, an expression of trauma and grief. As stated earlier in this chapter, music making became an avenue to build social bonds. Indeed, this activity was emphasised by participants as being integral to their well-being and was recognised as a quick and effective method for connecting with others. For example, J described that meeting people through Scattered People was very important to him, stating that he thought it would not be as easy to connect with people through other activities (J's story). S also expressed how the welcoming nature of the group and the inclusiveness of the musical activities made her feel "excited", while Z described the group as "part of our family" (S & Z interview). In these ways, music comprised a universal function to this diverse group and contributed to positive well-being outcomes for participants.

Consolidation of Personal and Social Identity

Participants frequently described music as having an effect on their mental well-being. Specifically, they noted feelings of happiness or relief, but in speaking about their relationship with music, they also referred to concepts of identity and agency. Closely related to the ideas of expression and communication discussed above, "self and social identity" as a concept appears to contribute greatly to health and well-being outcomes, yet it too is absent from the SDOH continuum. Nevertheless, individuals felt

excited, happy and proud to sing songs in their own language, indicating elements of strong self-identity. Similarly, music gave participants a certain freedom of expression that they may not have had before. For example, S described how women were not allowed to sing in her home country, but that being able to sing in her new country gave her a sense of agency, and a feeling that she may be able to change the world around her through music (S & Z interview).

It also appears that participants retained part of their ethnic identity, especially through language, but also created a new, shared social identity with other people in similar circumstances. For example, Joy described his ideal scenario on putting together a musical concert with other participants, "if we have five songs for example, three should be for the refugees [i.e. in refugee languages] and two for the audience [i.e., in English]" (Joy's story). This split between "songs for refugees" and "songs for the audience" can be understood as a representation of integrated identity within this particular group. Thus, in the context of the Scattered People sessions, participants were creating a shared identity through the unified function of music for the group, as an avenue of expression, communication and reception of thoughts, feelings and stories. Music making activities can therefore positively contribute to a sense of well-being for participants through the promotion of music as part of personal and social identity.

The Need to Adapt Existing SDOH Models for Community Music Making Research and Evaluation

The above discussion highlights that the themes emerging from participant interviews surrounding cultural expression, music making and consolidation of personal and social identity do not integrate into the SDOH framework in its current form. However, as the discussion also suggests, they all played a key role in the achievement of health and well-being outcomes as identified by participants. This finding indicates a gap in the recognition of the position of artistic and cultural influences in terms of both health and well-being interventions. This gap is especially glaring within the context of culturally and linguistically diverse target groups, in

which, as shown, cultural identity is one of the few aspects that individuals carry with them into new societies. More attention should thus be paid to these aspects as important determinants of well-being that can be highlighted through interdisciplinary research.

While absent from the model used within this study, recent Indigenous specific SDOH frameworks have included cultural expression and/or cultural identity as health determinants. For example, the 2009 National Collaborating Centre for Aboriginal Health [NCCAH] report, Health Inequalities and Social Determinants of Aboriginal Peoples Health includes Cultural Continuity—generally defined as having a strong understanding of history, language and culture—as an intermediate determinant of Indigenous health. Similarly, the 2013 Assembly of First Nations [AFN], A Transitional Discussion Document on the Social Determinants of Health (hereafter the Discussion Document) includes Language, Heritage and Strong Cultural Identity as a determinant of Indigenous health. The Discussion Document clarifies that for Indigenous people: "[a] positive and balanced state of well-being cannot be achieved unless individuals, families, and communities are supported to openly express their cultural identity" (p. 16). The two referenced SDOH frameworks affirm the notion that cultural identity is an important health determinant among Indigenous people. Given that cultural expression and identity contributed to the health and well-being of culturally and linguistically diverse participants within this study, there is a strong argument towards also including cultural expression and identity as a determinant within SDOH models for culturally and linguistically diverse groups, newcomers and refugees and asylum seekers.

The prominence of the three themes—cultural expression, music making and consolidation of personal and social identity—in the literature indicates their importance, and provides an impetus for more critical discussions from an SDOH perspective. For instance, the complexity in "measuring" happiness and well-being across cultures remains an important theme, as does the critical role of interdisciplinary research to address this issue (Mathews 2012). Moreover, the notion that music comprises traits that are understood within all cultures was initially proposed within early studies of ethnomusicology in the nineteenth century. Throughout the twentieth century, the debate as to whether music could really be

considered universal to all cultures continued, spurred on by theories of human evolution, linguistic development and greater philosophy on music (e.g. Harwood 1976; Hood 1977; Merriam 1964). These trends highlight the social nature of human existence and the function of music therein.

Furthermore, research in the area of music sociology has shown clear connections between music activities, such as sharing, listening and music making and the formation and consolidation of personal and social identity such that music can become representational of the self (see for instance, Bennett 2000; Cohen 1991; DeNora 2000; Frith 1981, 1996; Hesmondhalgh 2008). While concepts of music-related identity and well-being in culturally and linguistically diverse groups are currently under researched, the limited evidence suggests that self and social identity in ethnic communities can be positively related to psychological well-being (e.g. Liebkind and Jasinskaja-Lahti 2000; Liebkind 1992; Nesdale et al. 1997; Phinney and Kohatsu 1997; Phinney et al. 2001). Other studies indicate that a shared social identity within minority groups can also have positive well-being effects (Haslam et al. 2009; Reicher and Haslam 2006). The findings outlined in this chapter also align with these ideas.

Thus, designing a new SDOH framework based on the Schulz and Northridge (2004) model, but encompassing other more relevant aspects to culturally diverse groups in the context of arts-based research may provide a more accurate picture of health and well-being outcomes in inter-disciplinary contexts. This adapted framework could then be tested through another set of interviews with the same participants using a slightly different line of questioning that would focus on those themes identified here as external to current SDOH framework. The outcome would be that collaborative, interdisciplinary and participatory-based research, as described in this chapter, could then yield clearer indications of the importance of arts in achieving positive well-being outcomes for refugees and asylum seekers and other marginalised groups.

Our final advice to other researchers entering this area is to carefully consider the complexity of arts-health research and approach collaborations with something akin to intercultural work. We found that common interests were effective in linking researchers across disciplines and repre-

sented a valued opportunity to learn from and contribute to different perspectives. Factors that contributed to productive interdisciplinary processes for us included collegiality and a common objective, respect for and openness towards diverse standpoints, listening and responding to all input and convergence during the analysis phase. Aspects that were more difficult to reconcile included differences in discipline-specific "jargon" and writing styles, differing expectations about authorship and publications, experiences and expertise of researchers and (unsurprisingly) bridging the gap between quantitative-qualitative traditions. We see that these elements are akin to "culture shock" experienced in a new environment requiring adaptation and adjustments to ensure the benefits of interdisciplinary collaborative outweigh the difficulties and achieve the project aims.

Conclusion

Returning to Edge et al.'s (2014) point on the importance of music programmes as a factor in mediating health and well-being, our chapter shows the great potential for interdisciplinary research and evaluation to enrich knowledge across those fields. This chapter summarised our key learnings from applying a social determinants of health to a research evaluation of an existing community music activity. We found there to be both power and limitations in using an existing SDOH model. Future research should work on developing bespoke SDOH models that can attend to the specific work that is achieved in music and other art forms particularly with marginalised groups.

References

Assembly of First Nations (AFN) 2013, First Nations wholistic policy and planning model. A Transitional Discussion Document on the Social Determinants of Health.

Australian Government 2013, Creative Australia: National Cultural Policy, viewed 22 March 2017, http://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_

- Departments/Parliamentary_Library/FlagPost/2013/April/Creative_Australia_National_Cultural_Policy_2013
- Batt-Rawden, K 2010, The role of music in a salutogenic approach to health', *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 11–18.
- Bennett, A 2000, *Popular music and youth culture: music, identity and place*, Palgrave, Hampshire.
- Clift, S 2012, 'Creative arts as a public health resource: Moving from practice-based research to evidence-based practice', *Perspectives in Public Health*, vol. 132, no. 3, pp. 120–127.
- Cohen, S 1991, *Rock culture in Liverpool: Popular music in the making*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Davies, CR, Knuiman, M, Wright, P & Rosenberg, M 2014, 'The art of being healthy: a qualitative study to develop a thematic framework for understanding the relationship between health and the arts', *BMJ open*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. e004790, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-004790.
- DeNora, T 2000, *Music in everyday life*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Dockery, AM 2010, 'Culture and wellbeing: The case of Indigenous Australians', *Social Indicators Research*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 315–332.
- Fancourt, D & Joss, T 2015, 'Aesop: A framework for developing and researching arts in health programmes', *Arts & Health*, vol. 7, no. 1), pp. 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1080/17533015.2014.924974.
- Edge, S, Newbold, KB & McKeary, M 2014, 'Exploring socio-cultural factors that mediate, facilitate, & constrain the health and empowerment of refugee youth', *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 117, pp. 34–41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.07.025.
- Frith, S 1981, Sound effects: Youth, leisure, and the politics of rock'n'roll, Pantheon Books, New York.
- Frith, S 1996, 'Music and identity', in S Hall & P Du Gay (eds.), *Questions of cultural identity*, Sage, London, pp. 108–127.
- Harrison, K 2013, 'Music, health, and socio-economic status: A perspective on urban poverty in Canada', *Yearbook for Traditional Music*, vol. 45, pp. 58–73.
- Harwood, DL 1976, 'Universals in music: A perspective from cognitive psychology', *Ethnomusicology*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 521–533.
- Haslam, SA, Jetten, J, Postmes, T & Haslam, K 2009, 'Social identity, health and well-being: An emerging agenda for applied psychology'. *Applied Psychology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 1–23.
- Hesmondhalgh, D 2008, 'Towards a critical understanding of music, emotion and self-identity', *Consumption Markets & Culture*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 329–343.

- Hood, M 1977, 'Universal attributes of music', *World of music*, vol. 19, no. 1–2, p. 63.
- Kendall, E, Muenchberger, H, Sunderland, N, Harris, M & Cowan, D 2012, 'Collaborative capacity building in complex community-based health partnerships: a model for translating knowledge into action', *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. E1–E13, https://doi.org/ 10.1097/PHH.0b013e31823a815c.
- Levins, R & Lopez, C 1999, 'Toward an ecosocial view of health', *International Journal of Health Services*, vol. 29, pp. 261–294.
- Liebkind, K & Jasinskaja-Lahti, I 2000, 'Acculturation and psychological well-being of immigrant adolescents in Finland: A comparative study of adolescents from different cultural backgrounds', *Journal of Adolescent Research*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 446–469.
- Liebkind, K 1992 'Ethnic identity: Challenging the boundaries of social psychology', in G Breakwell (ed.), *Social psychology of identity and the self-concept,* Academic, London, pp. 147–185.
- MacDonald, R, Kreutz, G & Mitchell, L 2012, *Music, health, and wellbeing*, e-book, Oxford University Press, Oxford, https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof: oso/9780199586974.001.0001.
- Mathews, G 2012, 'Happiness, culture, and context'. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 299–312.
- Merriam, AP 1964, *The anthropology of music*, Northwestern University Press, Evanston.
- National Collaborating Centre for Aboriginal Health (NCCAH), Reading, CL & Wien, F 2009, *Health Inequalities and Social Determinants of Aboriginal Peoples Health*, Viewed 22 March 2017, http://www.nccah-ccnsa.ca/docs/social%20determinates/NCCAH-loppie-Wien_report.pdf
- Nesdale, D, Rooney, R & Smith, L 1997, 'Migrant ethnic identity and psychological distress'. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, vol. 28, pp. 569–588.
- Parkinson, C & White, M 2013, 'Inequalities, the arts and public health: Towards an international conversation'. *Arts & Health: An International Journal for Research, Policy and Practice*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 177–189.
- Pavlicevic, M & Ansdell, G (eds.) 2004, *Community music therapy*, Jessica Kingsley Publishers, London.
- Phinney, J & Kohatsu, E 1997, 'Ethnic and racial identity development and mental health', in J Schulenberg, J Maggs & K Hurrelman (eds.), *Health risks and developmental transitions in adolescence*, Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 420–443.

- Phinney, J, Horenczyk, G, Liebkind, K & Vedder, P 2001, 'Ethnic identity, immigration, and well-being: An interactional perspective', *Journal of Social Issues*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 493–510.
- Putland, C, Baum, F, Ziersch, A, Arthurson, K & Pomagalska, D 2013, 'Enabling pathways to health equity: developing a framework for implementing social capital in practice'. *BMC Public Health*, vol. 13, no. 1), p. 1.
- Reicher, SD & Haslam, SA 2006, 'Tyranny revisited: Groups, psychological well-being and the health of societies', *The Psychologist*, vol. 19, pp. 146–150.
- Scattered People, 2015, Scattered People: Bringing the voices of asylum seekers to life, viewed 22 March 2017, http://www.scatteredpeople.com/
- Schulz, A & Northridge, ME 2004, 'Social determinants of health: Implications for environmental health promotion', *Health Education & Behavior*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 455–471.
- Sonke, J & Lee, J 2014 'Arts for health in community settings: promising practices for using the arts to enhance wellness, access to healthcare, and health literacy', in S Clift & PM Camic (eds.), Oxford Textbook of Creative Arts, Health and Wellbeing: International Perspectives on Practice, Policy and Research, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 103–111.
- Sunderland, N, Bristed, H, Gudes, O, Boddy, J & Da Silva, M 2012, 'What does it feel like to live here? Exploring sensory ethnography as a collaborative methodology for investigating social determinants of health in place', *Health & Place*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 1056–1067, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace. 2012.05.007.
- Standing Council on Health and the Meeting of Cultural Ministers 2013, *National Arts and Health Framework*, viewed 22 March 2017, https://www.arts.gov.au/national-arts-and-health-framework
- Wiggins, N, Hughes, A, Rodriguez, A, Potter, C & Rios-Campos, T 2013, 'La Palabra es Salud (The Word Is Health) combining mixed methods and CBPR to understand the comparative effectiveness of popular and conventional education, 'Journal of Mixed Methods Research', vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 278–298.
- White, M 2009, *Arts development in community health: A social tonic*, Radcliffe Publishing, Abingdon.
- World Health Organization (WHO) 1978, *Declaration of Alma Ata*, viewed 22 March 2017, http://www.who.int/publications/almaata_declaration_en.pdf
- World Health Organization 1981, Global Strategy for Health for All by the Year 2000, viewed 22 March 2017, http://www.un.org/documents/ga/res/36/a36r043.htm

Naomi Sunderland is a senior lecturer in the School of Human Services and Social Work and a member of the Music, Health and Wellbeing Research Stream at the Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre and Menzies Health Institute Queensland at Griffith University. Sunderland has an extensive background in participatory, creative and community-based research in the areas of health, well-being and arts-based community development. She has collaborated on many arts and health research projects, including the 1000 Voices Disability Life Stories Project, a social determinants of health evaluation of the Scattered People asylum seekers and refugee music group and a participatory intercultural evaluation of multi-arts work with Barkly Regional Arts in the Northern Territory. Sunderland teaches in the First Australians and Social Justice team at Griffith University and specialises in topics around transformative intercultural and immersive education, equity and diversity. Sunderland has a PhD in Applied Ethics and Human Rights from the Queensland University of Technology. She has worked in governmental and non-governmental organisations and universities in Canada and Australia. She has written widely on the topics of health promotion partnerships, music and well-being, disability and happiness, and transformative ethics. Sunderland is also an active singer, songwriter and performer and has released several albums of work internationally.

Lauren Istvandity is Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre, Griffith University. Completing her PhD in Music and Memory Studies in 2014, Istvandity has gone on to contribute to research in the fields of popular music heritage, music and well-being, and cultural memory studies. She is the co-editor for the upcoming *Routledge Companion to Popular Music History and Heritage* and is currently working on her monograph *The Lifetime Soundtrack: Music and Autobiographical Memory* (UK).

Ali Lakhani is a research fellow with Menzies Health Institute Queensland and his research focuses on (1) self-directed policy for people with disability, (2) culturally appropriate research methods and (3) arts participation and health and well-being. He has previously worked on a project investigating how people with complex health conditions intend to organise their health and social supports under the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS). Additionally, as a senior research assistant, he worked on (1) a project that investigated the relationship between music participation and the health and well-being and quality

of life of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people residing in the Northern Territory and (2) a project that investigated the impact of music participation on the health and well-being of refugees and asylum seekers residing in South-East Queensland.

Caroline Lenette is a lecturer in the School of Social Sciences at the University of New South Wales, Sydney. Her research focuses on refugee and asylum seeker mental health and well-being, resettled refugee women and arts-based research promoting mental health and well-being, particularly digital storytelling and community music. Lenette is interested in the socio-cultural meanings that people articulate about their own well-being, particularly through creative means. Her research using digital storytelling with refugee women has generated novel discussions on engaging effectively in refugee research and practice. She is exploring the ethics of arts-based research methods in refugee research.