SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 18 August 2016 accepted: 02 March 2017 Published: 18 April 2017

Inorganic carbon physiology OPENunderpins macroalgal responses to elevated CO²

Christopher E. Cornwall¹,², AndrewT. Revill³, Jason M. Hall-Spencer⁴,⁵, Marco Milazzo⁶, JohnA. Raven⁷,⁸ & Catriona L. Hurd¹

Beneficial effects of CO₂ on photosynthetic organisms will be a key driver of ecosystem change under **ocean acidification. Predicting the responses of macroalgal species to ocean acidification is complex, but we demonstrate that the response of assemblages to elevated CO2 are correlated with inorganic carbon physiology. We assessed abundance patterns and a proxy for CO2:HCO³ [−] use (δ13C values) of macroalgae along a gradient of CO2 at a volcanic seep, and examined how shifts in species abundance at other Mediterranean seeps are related to macroalgal inorganic carbon physiology. Five macroalgal species capable of using both HCO** $_3^−$ **and CO** $_2$ **had greater CO** $_2$ **use as concentrations increased. These species (and one unable to use HCO³ [−]) increased in abundance with elevated CO2 whereas obligate calcifying species, and non-calcareous macroalgae whose CO2 use did not increase consistently with concentration, declined in abundance. Physiological groupings provide a mechanistic understanding that will aid us in determining which species will benefit from ocean acidification and why.**

Ocean acidification is caused by seawater absorption of anthropogenically-derived $CO₂$ ^{[1](#page-9-0)}, and is a major threat to many marine ecosystems through alterations in organism physiology and changes in ecological interactions^{[2](#page-9-1)}. Ocean acidification will impact organisms via increased dissolution rates of calcareous species^{[3](#page-9-2),4}, altered behav-iour of marine invertebrates and fish^{[2](#page-9-1)}, and by increasing the supply of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) to some autotroph[s5–7](#page-9-4). These effects will be particularly strong at an ecosystem level if they affect either foundation or keystone species^{[2](#page-9-1),[8,](#page-9-5)9}. Macroalgae provide food and habitat to tens of thousands of species in shallow-water regions throughout the world^{[10](#page-9-7)[,11](#page-9-8)}, and all utilise external CO₂ and/or bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) for photosynthesis, with CO₂ being the ultimate substrate for the enzyme RuBisCO^{12,13} which fixes inorganic carbon. Calcareous macroalgae can be directly impacted by ocean acidification due to increased dissolution and reduced calcification rate[s7](#page-9-11)[,14–16.](#page-9-12) Indirectly, calcareous macroalgae face increased competition from non-calcareous macroalgae (hereafter "fleshy macroalgae") that could benefit from elevated CO_2^{17-19} . Coralline algae are predicted to be at particular risk from ocean acidification^{14[,20](#page-9-14),[21](#page-9-15)}; they provide substrata for the settlement of marine invertebrates and also create and bind together reefs in shallow waters from the poles to the tropics^{[22](#page-9-16)}. However, while the negative response of obli-gate calcifying macroalgae to ocean acidification is well established^{3,[23](#page-9-17)}, there are still large gaps in our knowledge regarding general patterns of how fleshy macroalgae will respond to ocean acidification, making predictions of future ecological dynamics difficult.

Physiological mechanisms underpinning the responses of fleshy macroalgae to ocean acidification are poorly understood⁵, although they are likely related to methods of DIC uptake^{[18](#page-9-18)}, summarised in [Fig. 1](#page-1-0). Carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) allow continued DIC uptake when $CO₂:O₂$ ratios are high (e.g. when seawater is highly modified by photosynthesis), or when $CO₂$ concentrations are insufficient to maximise the rate of photosynthesis¹². CCMs act mostly via the active uptake of $\rm{HCO_3^-}$, and there are multiple types of CCMs with varying energetic efficiencie[s12](#page-9-9)[,24](#page-9-19). The presence of CCMs in macroalgae suggests that ocean acidification may not

¹Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia. ²School of Earth Sciences, Oceans Institute, and ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia. 3CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania 7000, Australia. ⁴Marine Biology and Ecology Research Centre, Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK. ⁵Shimoda Marine Research Centre, University of Tsukuba, Japan. ⁶DiSTeM, CoNISMa, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy. ⁷Division of Plant Science, University of Dundee at the James Hutton Institute, Invergowie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK. ⁸School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.E.C. (email: Christopher.cornwall@uwa.edu.au)

Potential shift in favour of species that gain direct benefits

Ecological consequences

Figure 1. Responses of fleshy macroalgae to ocean acidification in relation to their CO₂ concentrating **mechanisms (CCMs), showing the different physiological groups defined here, mechanisms defining how increased CO2 could directly affect their physiology, and higher order flow-on effects.** Pictured are species which represent those with no CCM (*Udotea petiolata*), low affinity CCM/lower future CCM activity (*Caulerpa prolifera* and *Dictyota dichotoma*) and high affinity CCM with no change in future CCM activity under ocean acidification (*Halopteris scoparia* and *Cystoseira foeniculacea*).

benefit fleshy macroalgae, as DIC is not always limiting for growth or photosynthesis²⁵. Conversely, macroalgae lacking CCMs (hereafter "non-CCM" macroalgae), or with CCMs with low affinities for DIC, could benefit from ocean acidification due to the alleviation of any $CO₂$ limitation^{[6](#page-9-21),[18](#page-9-18)}. Additionally, DIC uptake via a CCM is marginally more energetically expensive than $CO₂$ uptake via diffusion when the lowest costs of photorespiration (i.e. oxygenase rather than carboxylase activity with subsequent energetic waste) are included: this energetic cost of using a CCM assumes that leakage of DIC from the cell could occur because DIC concentrations within the cell, compared to seawater, increase²⁴. Therefore, species could gain energetic savings from increasing CO₂ concentrations if external seawater CO₂ concentrations exceed that produced internally by the CCM, and replacement of the CCM with diffusive CO_2 entry to Rubisco could occur^{[24](#page-9-19)[,26](#page-9-22)[,27](#page-9-23)}. This replacement occurs within one or a few generations in photosynthetic organisms, leading to the downregulation of the CCM and long-term energetic savings, which could manifest as benefits such as elevated growth rates, or competitive advantages due to ocean acidification¹⁸.

Predicting how the multifaceted physiological effects of ocean acidification will combine to influence macroalgal assemblages is difficult. This challenge is amplified by complex ecological and environmental processes that exert pressures on macroalgal species in ways that are difficult to capture in laboratory experiments. The ecological outcomes of combined positive and negative effects of elevated CO_2 can be observed at volcanic CO_2 seeps^{[28](#page-9-24)}, which provide a field setting in which to test hypotheses regarding how ocean acidification could influence multi-species assemblages. Coralline algae and calcareous green algae (*Halimeda* and *Acetabularia* spp.) decline at seeps where $CO₂$ concentrations are similar to and below those predicted to occur in the ocean at the end of the century²⁸. As $CO₂$ concentrations rise closer to the seeps, non-calcareous algae increase in abundance, but not diversity and include assemblages comprised of diatoms, cyanobacteria, filamentous macroalgae and fucoids^{[28](#page-9-24)[,30](#page-9-26),31}. Many species (or groups of species) belonging to the morphological category of filamentous or turf macroalgae benefit from elevated CO_2^{32} CO_2^{32} CO_2^{32} . However, this effect is not ubiquitous²⁹, particularly in tropical ecosystems³³, and not all natural ecosystems or seep locations contain ephemeral turf species. In addition, the term turf com-prises many unrelated taxa that could have different physiologies^{[34](#page-9-30)}, which will therefore respond in different ways to elevated CO₂^{[18](#page-9-18),[33](#page-9-29)}. Therefore, it is presently unknown why some fleshy macroalgal species appear to benefit from elevated CO_2 concentrations at these sites and others species do not^{32,35}.

It has been suggested that macroalgae capable of utilising additional $CO₂$ may benefit at the expense of species that are not capable of utilising increasing CO_2^{32} CO_2^{32} CO_2^{32} . While this seems elementary, determining which species will utilise additional $CO₂$ is impossible without an understanding of organismal physiology. Seaweed functional for[m36](#page-10-1)– such as the presence or absence of calcification – can determine the likely-hood of an organism responding negatively to ocean acidification. However, using functional form or morphological groups alone will not determine whether a species is capable of utilising additional CO₂ under ocean acidification. Functional form or taxonomic groups have been inadequate in explaining the responses of terrestrial plants to climate change, and it has been suggested that assigning plants to physiological functional groups may serves as a better predictive tool[37](#page-10-2),[38](#page-10-3).

Hepburn *et al.*[18](#page-9-18) suggested a template for predicting the responses of the future abundance of macroalgae to elevated CO₂ based on their inorganic carbon physiology: calcareous species will decline, non-CCM species will increase, and CCM species will either be unaffected or may increase. However, to date these predictions regarding fleshy macroalgae remain untested in the field, and the groups used by Hepburn *et al.* may even be too broad. Here, we refine this template and combine it with the predictions of Connell *et al.*[32](#page-9-28), who state that species capable of utilising additional CO₂ will benefit from ocean acidification at the expense of those that cannot. For fleshy macroalgae, we group species as: (1) non-CCM species; (2) CCM species capable of utilising additional CO₂; and (3) CCM species not capable of utilising additional $CO₂$. We expand group (2) into species that have either (a) CCMs with a low affinity for DIC (carbon-limited CCM species), or (b) have CCMs that can be downregulated (i.e. lower CCM activity under elevated CO_2 concentrations compared to ambient conditions). Group (3) contains CCM species with high affinities for CO₂, whose CCM does not downregulate due to additional CO₂. For calcareous macroalgae, we split species into two groups based on prior observations at CO₂ seep locations and in laboratory manipulation experiments $3,23,35$ $3,23,35$ $3,23,35$ $3,23,35$ $3,23,35$. These include group (4) the obligate calcifiers (calcareous reds and greens), and (5) non-obligate calcifiers (calcareous browns, Ochrophyta; *Padina* spp.) which would be grouped based on the characteristics of their CCM).

Macroalgal tissue $\delta^{13}C$ can be used to determine the presence or absence of a CCM, and $\delta^{13}C$ can indicate changes in CO_2 :HCO₃⁻ uptake, when corrected for other factors^{[39](#page-10-4),[40](#page-10-5)}. The δ^{13} C of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ in seawater is between ~−10 and 0‰⁴¹. Macroalgal uptake of CO₂ versus HCO₃[−] results in different tissue δ^{13} C, with sole CO₂ uptake via diffusion over the plasmalemma theoretically resulting in values more negative than -30% , sole HCO3 [−] uptake resulting in values less negative than −10‰. Values between −30‰ and −10‰ can result from either diffusive CO_2 influx, with higher $\delta^{13}C$ values indicating a large resistance to diffusion with the same $\rm CO_2$ concentration difference, or $\rm HCO_3^-$ influx with higher values indicating a smaller fraction of the pumped $HCO₃⁻$ leaking out as $CO₂$. Therefore, an organism utilising both $CO₂$ and $HCO₃⁻$ would result in values in δ^{13} C values in between −30 and −10. Here we define species mentioned in this study as having evidence for a CCM if $\delta^{13}C > -30\%$ and as having evidence of a lack of a CCM if $\delta^{13}C < -30\%$. Accumulation of compounds such as lipids could alter tissue $\delta^{13}C$ values, as transforming photosynthate into lipids could decrease $\delta^{13}C^{40}$. In past research on phytoplankton, lipid content per cell increased dramatically under elevated CO₂, and lipids had much lower δ¹³C than the bulk organic matter^{[42](#page-10-7)}. The δ¹³C of source seawater DIC could also influence tissue δ¹³C, regardless of $CO₂:HCO₃⁻$ uptake ratios.

Here, we assess whether a mechanistic understanding of macroalgal DIC physiology can be used to predict changes in macroalgal communities along a seawater $pCO₂$ gradient at Vulcano, Italy. We hypothesized that (1) at Vulcano the δ^{13} C values of all macroalgal species in group 2 (possessing CCMs, with low affinities for CO₂, or having a downregulated CCM) would increase (i.e. they would be depleted in δ^{13} C; evidence for increased reliance on CO2) as pH declines; (2) the percentage cover of non-CCM species (group 1) and those CCM species capable of utilising additional CO_2 (group 2) would increase at all sites as CO_2 increases; (3) the percentage cover of all green and red calcareous species (group 4) and fleshy macroalgae from group (3) would decrease as CO₂ increases; and (4) lipid content (a potential influence on $\delta^{13}C$) of all species would not differ significantly across locations with differing CO₂ at Vulcano.

Results

The abundance of 17 macroalgal species varied significantly along a gradient of $CO₂$ (PERMANOVA, $F=8.58$, *P* < 0.01; [Figs 2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-4-0)). *Udotea petiolata* was not present in the surveys at the pH 8.04 and 7.89 sites, while *Caulerpa prolifera* and *Dilophus fasciola* were not present at the pH 8.04 location. *Dictyota dichotoma* was the species whose abundance increased the most between the pH 8.04 and 7.69 locations (17-fold increase). This was followed by *Caulerpa racemosa* (6 fold increase), *Padina pavonica* (5-fold increase), *Sargassum muticum* (3-fold increase), and *Dictyopteris polypodioides* (2-fold increase). Conversely, crustose coralline algae (CCA) and 8 individual species of macroalgae decreased in abundance between the pH 8.04 and 7.69 locations. CCA had <1% cover at the pH 7.69 and 7.89 locations, while *Halopteris scoparia*, *Cystoseira crinita*, *C*. *barbarta* and *C*. *brachycarpa* had <1% cover at the pH 7.69 location. Four other species decreased in abundance between the pH 8.04 and 7.69 locations. In order from the largest to the smallest decrease in percent cover these were *Cystoseira foeniculacea*, *Codium bursa*, *Cystoseira compressa*, and *Acetabularia acetabulum*. Most species made minor contributions to the percent cover overall, except *Cystoseira foeniculacea* and *Dictyota dichotoma* which showed opposing shifts in abundance between locations ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). *C*. *foeniculacea* had the highest percent cover at the pH 8.04 (44.78% cover) and pH 7.89 (24.98%) locations, but was the second most abundant species at the pH 7.69 location (8.46%). *D*. *dichotoma* had the highest abundance at the pH 7.69 location (40.14% cover), the 2nd highest at pH 7.89 (14.04%) and the 9th highest at pH 8.04 (2.33%).

Species possessing CCMs dominated percent cover at Vulcano at all locations. However, there were noticeable differences between the percent cover of physiological groups between locations (PERMANOVA: *F*= 12.29, *p*<0.01, [Figs 3](#page-4-0) and [4](#page-5-0)). Non-CCM (*Udotea petiolata*) cover was highest at the pH 7.69 location, calcareous brown (*Padina pavonica*) highest at pH 7.89, and the cover of calcareous reds (corallines and *Peyssoniellia* spp.) were low-est at pH 7.89 and 7.69 locations ([Fig. 3a](#page-4-0)). We grouped the species with $\delta^{13}C$ values that significantly decreased as pH declines as low affinity CCM species (group 2 – see the introduction), and those with δ13C values that did not vary significantly by pH location as high affinity species (group 3). We then test whether there are differences in the composition of species that theoretically should benefit from elevated CO₂ (groups 1 and 2) or not (groups 3

a) Species with physiologies predicted to benefit from ocean acidification

Species

Figure 2. Percentage cover of macroalgal species from locations with three mean seawater pH values at Vulcano, Italy at three locations differing in mean seawater pH. (**a**) Species with physiologies predicted to benefit from elevated CO₂ concentrations. (**b**) Species with physiologies predicted to not benefit from elevated $CO₂$ concentrations. Each bar represents mean ± 1 s.e. $n=3$ transects per site and 10 quadrats per transect. de de 200

and 4). Results indicate that there are significant differences in their distribution at the three locations at Vulcano (PERMANOVA: *F*= 17.22, *p*< 0.01, [Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0). The abundance of species predicted to increase between pH 8.04 and pH 7.69 did so, and those predicted to decline in abundance between pH 8.04 and 7.69 also did [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0).

Shifts in species percent cover were related to changes in tissue δ^{13} C, whereby species whose abundance increased by the largest amount as pH declined also tended to be the same species whose tissue $\delta^{13}C$ was significantly depleted. We observed significant declines in δ^{13} C at elevated CO₂ locations in 5 species [\(Table 1](#page-6-0)). Only one

species (*Udotea petiolata*) had $\delta^{13}C \sim$ −30‰ [\(Table 1](#page-6-0)), indicating the possible lack a CCM. Five of six species that were found in greater cover at elevated CO₂ locations had δ^{13} C values that were more depleted at elevated CO₂ locations (*Caulerpa prolifera*, *Dilophus fasciola*, *Dictyota dichotoma*, *Caulerpa racemosa*, and *Padina pavonica*). All five species that declined in abundance at elevated $CO₂$ locations had no significant differences in $\delta^{13}C$ values. For *D*. *polypoides*, there was insufficient material collected at the pH 8.04 location to analyse δ13C values. Our seawater DIC δ^{13} C values were relatively similar across all three locations, so we use the raw δ^{13} C values of the macroalgae, rather than correcting for source seawater DIC δ^{13} C.

Lipid concentrations did not vary among species examined, and did not vary by mean $CO₂$ at the three locations at Vulcano (SI 1). The presence of a CCM was confirmed for *Sargassum muticum*, *Halopteris scoparia*, *Padina pavonica* and *Cystoseira foeniculacea* by pH drift experiments that showed pH compensation points above

Figure 4. PCA plot displaying the association of different species at Vulcano (**a**), physiological groups at Vulcano (**b**) and Methana (**d**), and winners and losers from Vulcano (**c**) and Methana (**e**) across the different transects at both sites at locations with different mean seawater pH.

9 at all locations for all species. Only for *Cystoseira foeniculacea* was there a significant difference between final pH compensation points between sites (SI 2).

To test the generality of our findings, we examined changes in the abundance of physiological groups of mac-roalgae at CO₂ seeps off Ischia, Italy, and Methana, Greece. We combined published species abundances^{[30](#page-9-26),[43](#page-10-8)} and δ^{13} C values (SI 3) to assess changes in the five physiological groups. In Ischia, non-CCM abundance also increased at the elevated CO₂ location, and calcareous red species cover declined. At Methana there were significant shifts in the physiological groups (PERMANOVA, $F= 1.63$, $p= 0.04$), with calcareous red macroalgae declining as CO₂ increased [\(Fig. 3c\)](#page-4-0). As for Vulcano, we also grouped species abundances based on whether they were predicted to benefit from elevated CO₂ or not. At Methana, we see significant differences in the abundance of species predicted to benefit from elevated CO₂ or not between the three different pH locations (PERMANOVA, $F = 5.06$, $p = 0.02$). The abundance of species not predicted to benefit from elevated CO_2 were lowest at the pH 7.67 location, and the abundance of species predicted to benefit were highest at the pH 7.67 location. However, these changes were not as large as at Vulcano [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)), and there was some seasonality to these effects, with groups predicted to benefit from elevated CO2 being associated more with pH 7.67 locations in spring than in autumn ([Fig. 4c](#page-5-0)). Species from combined groups 1 and 2 tended to increase in abundance at pH 7.80 at Ischia, whereas species from the combined groups 3 and 4 decreased in abundance relative to the pH 8.10 location. However, the data from Ischia could not be analysed statistically, as we did not have the raw data, only the mean of each location.

Table 1. Mean±1s.e. of δ13C of macroalgal tissue at the three locations at Vulcano, Italy, with varying mean seawater pH values. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) results of the effect of pH location on δ13C. Species names in bold indicate those with *P* values lower than 0.05, values with asterisks next to them indicate these values were significantly different to all other sites, while lowercase subscript letters indicate significant difference between individual sites during Tukey's post-hoc analysis.

Discussion

Predicting changes in the structure and dynamics of future macroalgae assemblages due to the direct effects of ocean acidification requires an understanding of the physiological mechanisms of DIC acquisition. The majority of macroalgal species at Mediterranean seep sites had CCMs, and the direction of the change in their abundance at elevated pCO₂ at Vulcano was correlated with whether or not their δ^{13} C values decreased: species with decreased δ^{13} C were more likely to increase in abundance and vice versa. This decrease of δ^{13} C with increasing $CO₂$ most likely resulted from an increase in the reliance on $CO₂$ during photosynthesis; we discuss other possibilities below. Species utilising additional CO₂ would either receive benefits through the alleviation of DIC limitation, or through the down-regulation of energetically expensive CCMs ([Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). This supports predictions that macroalgal species capable of utilising additional $CO₂$ (i.e. non-CCM species and CCM species with low affinities for DIC) will benefit from ocean acidification, while species that cannot (high affinity CCMs with no down-regulation[\)18,](#page-9-18)[32,](#page-9-28) and obligate calcifying species, will decrease in abundance. Although only one non-CCM species was present in our surveys at Vulcano, surveys at other Mediterranean CO₂ seep sites support our suggestion that fleshy macroalgae with a predictable suite of physiological traits are able to benefit from elevated $CO₂$ over species that have physiologies poorly suited to higher CO₂ concentrations.

The dominance of fleshy macroalgae and absence of calcifying macroalgae at vent sites with high $CO₂$ is well known[28](#page-9-24),[30](#page-9-26),[43](#page-10-8),[44](#page-10-9), and this study reveals for the first time that these patterns in macroalgal assemblages, which are consistent across several vent sites, are correlated with the DIC physiology of specific species. Macroalgae that thrived at locations with high CO₂ at multiple sites were *Dictyota* spp. (4/4 sites)^{28,[30](#page-9-26),[43,](#page-10-8)45}, *Caulerpa* spp. (3/3 sites)[28](#page-9-24)[,45](#page-10-10), *Udotea petiolata* (2/3 sites[\)43](#page-10-8), and *Sargassum* spp. (4/4 sites)[28](#page-9-24),[30,](#page-9-26)[43.](#page-10-8) This includes two CCM genera containing species whose δ13C values indicate higher reliance on CO2 at Vulcano (*Dictyota* and *Caulerpa*), one non-CCM species (*U*. *petiolata*), and one CCM species whose δ13C values did not change at Vulcano (*Sargassum* spp.). Macroalgae that had reduced percent cover at high CO_2 locations included coralline algae^{[28,](#page-9-24)[30](#page-9-26)[,43](#page-10-8),[45](#page-10-10)} (4/4 sites), calcifying green algae^{[28](#page-9-24)} (2/2 sites), some *Cystoseira* spp. (3/4 sites)^{30[,43](#page-10-8),45} and *Halopteris scoparia* (2/2 sites)⁴³. This includes two calcifying groups (coralline algae and calcifying green algae) and two CCM genera with species whose δ^{13} C values did not indicate higher reliance on CO₂ at Vulcano. These trends in changing species abundances further support the hypothesis that species responses to ocean acidification will be influenced by their DIC physiology¹⁸. The direct effect of changing CO_2 concentrations on macroalgal physiology is important, but it is likely that changes in species abundances due to ocean acidification will also be modified by shifting species interactions^{8[,9](#page-9-6)} and by changes in other environmental factors or their variability⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸, such as light^{[18,](#page-9-18)[49](#page-10-12)}.

Natural $CO₂$ seeps are useful in determining the structure of marine assemblages at elevated $CO₂$, but they are not perfect analogues for the effects of ocean acidification. As mean $CO₂$ concentrations increase, variability about the mean also increases near the seeps which may exacerbate any negative effects. Coralline algae, for exam-ple, are particularly vulnerable to rapid declines in carbonate saturation/increases in proton concentrations^{20,[21,](#page-9-15)50}. Therefore, the effects of mean increases in CO₂ concentrations due to ocean acidification on macroalgal assemblages could differ from the effects of volcanic acidification examined here. Other physicochemical gradients, such as water motion, may affect organisms near volcanic seeps. Although our locations at Vulcano were wave-sheltered, there was a small increase in exposure moving away from the seeps. This gradient of water motion would be expected to act on macroalgal $\delta^{13}C$ in the reverse direction to CO₂ because thinner diffusion boundary layers result in greater uptake of $CO₂$ at more wave exposed locations³⁹. Therefore, our results are conservative, as the decrease in macroalgal tissue $\delta^{13}C$ would be greater if this gradient in wave exposure did not exist.

We consider that the decreased δ^{13} C values of specific macroalgae from elevated CO₂ locations is most likely caused by changes in the ratio of CO_2 :HCO₃⁻ uptake. However, there are other mechanisms unrelated to increased reliance on CO_2 that would result in decreased tissue $\delta^{13}C$. The most likely scenarios are: (1) Increases in the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK)-based C4 pathways could result in decreased $\delta^{13}C^{51,52}$ $\delta^{13}C^{51,52}$ $\delta^{13}C^{51,52}$ $\delta^{13}C^{51,52}$ $\delta^{13}C^{51,52}$, and for *Udotea petiolata* this could explain the <−30‰ values; a phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC)-based C4 pathway would result in less negative δ^{13} C values^{51[,53](#page-10-16),54}. (2) Lower photosynthetic rates (resulting in lower uptake of ¹³C enriched HCO₃⁻) by macroalgae would also result in decreased δ ¹³C at elevated CO₂. As these species tended to increase in abundance at Vulcano, their photosynthetic rates would not be expected to decline. Indeed, past research has found elevated photosystem II relative electron transport rates (used an indicator of photosynthesis *in situ* in that study) of *Padina pavonica* at elevated CO₂ locations in Vulcano³⁵. (3) Leakage of CO₂ from the CCM decreasing as concentrations of $CO₂$ increases externally, with CCM pumping of DIC into the cell remain-ing equal^{[55](#page-10-18)}. Apart from decreased leakage, none of these scenarios would explain why the species of macroalgae with depleted $\delta^{13}C$ also tended to have higher cover at elevated CO₂ locations throughout the Mediterranean, as most of these alternate explanations would not provide benefits. Similar decreases in tissue $\delta^{13}C$ as CO_2 concen-trations increase has also occurred for other macroalgal^{[20](#page-9-14),[56](#page-10-19),[57](#page-10-20)}, seagrass^{[58](#page-10-21)} and phytoplankton species⁵⁹. However, these changes did not always correspond to changes in rates of photosynthesis, DIC acquisition, or growth. For freshwater macroalgae, decreased δ^{13} C and a higher abundance of non-CCM species were also found in locations with elevated CO₂⁶⁰, further supporting our conclusions. While further research is still required to examine *in situ* photosynthetic rates of macroalgae at seep sites and to determine the relationship between $\delta^{13}C$ and CCM activity, we conclude based on the evidence available that increased uptake of $CO₂$ during DIC acquisition as mean $CO₂$ concentrations increase is the most likely and logical cause of decreased tissue $\delta^{13}C$ in our study.

The effects of ocean acidification on macroalgal assemblages will likely depend on the natural assemblage composition in a particular region. For example in the Mediterranean and in coral reef ecosystems, the abundance of non-CCM species is low^{61,62} compared to temperate subtidal ecosystems^{18,63}, meaning that greater changes in species compositions due to ocean acidification could occur in ecosystems possessing more non-CCM species, compared to those trends observed here. CCM species are relatively abundant in most shallow-water marine ecosystems^{[18](#page-9-18),[63](#page-10-26)}, particularly in warmer climates (such as in this study and on the Great Barrier Reef ref. [61](#page-10-24)), and the majority of macroalgal species in rocky and coral reefs possess CCMs^{[18,](#page-9-18)61-63}. Therefore, the direct effects of ocean acidification on macroalgae could manifest via increases in the abundance of low-affinity CCM species in most ecosystems dominated by macroalgae, particularly warmer locations.

Here we demonstrate a relationship between the DIC physiology of macroalgae and their changes in abundance at natural CO₂ seeps in the Mediterranean. Predicting changes in macroalgal communities due to ocean acidification is complex. This is in part because we do not understand the relative roles of direct and indirect effects of ocean acidification in shaping macroalgal assemblages. In ecosystems where strong top-down control exists, responses of benthic communities could be more related to changes in higher trophic levels^{8,9}, but when top-down control is relatively weak, responses could be dictated primarily by macroalgal DIC physiology. Additionally, the direct effects of ocean acidification could be modified by the presence of competitors or facilitator[s17](#page-9-13)[,64](#page-10-27)[,65.](#page-10-28) The challenge now is to determine whether the physiological groups defined here respond similarly elsewhere. It is clear is that most calcareous macroalgae will be negatively impacted by elevated mean CO₂, but that species which are not obligate calcifiers (such as *Padina pavonica*) are tolerant^{3[,14,](#page-9-12)[20,](#page-9-14)[35,](#page-10-0)[48](#page-10-29),[66](#page-10-30)}. Fleshy macroalgae respond positively if they are reliant only on CO_2 , or if their reliance increases as CO_2 concentrations increase. The capacity to utilise additional seawater $CO₂$ means that non-CCM species, or species with CCMs with lower affinities for DIC, will likely benefit from ocean acidification.

Currently, there is a limited understanding of how macroalgal physiology could be used to predict general shifts in abundance or fitness under ocean acidification. This is due to the complex nature of macroalgal inorganic carbon physiology and calcification, and in part, the difficulties in thoroughly assessing the DIC physiology of numerous taxa from different geographic regions. For example, the traditional approach of examining changing photosynthetic rates over constant additions of DIC is problematic, because there is the possibility that future seawater could favour the retention of a CCM in macroalgae that are not DIC-saturated today⁶⁷. However, this would only occur until the external seawater $CO₂$ concentrations exceeded that produced internally by the CCM, so that replacement of the CCM with diffusive CO₂ entry to Rubisco could occur and it is unknown whether the additional CO₂ or HCO₃[−] relieves this DIC limitation. Greater progress has been made determining mechanistically why the calcification of some macroalgal species may be impacted by declining pH and increasing $CO₂$ con-centrations^{[15](#page-9-31),68–71}, although more work is required⁷². Our study provides an essential stepping stone to improving knowledge regarding how fleshy macroalgae might respond in a future elevated $CO₂$ ocean, as the first general test of how macroalgal DIC physiology is linked to responses to natural acidification *in situ*. Our framework explains why elevated $CO₂$ does not ubiquitously alter habitat types at $CO₂$ seep sites between canopy-forming and turf species²⁹: species compositions will change depending on resident species' physiology, which is only sometimes related to their morphology or functional form group. From knowledge of the DIC physiology of macroalgae within a community, an improved framework can be created by which we can project the responses of coastal marine assemblages to ocean acidification.

Materials and Methods

In late May to early June 2014 we surveyed pH_T (pH on the total scale, hereafter "pH"), total alkalinity (hereafter " A_T "), DIC, and δ^{13} C of DIC at three locations with a mean pH of 8.04, 7.89 and 7.69 at 2 m depth ("pH 7.69" 38°25.176′N, 14°57.658′E; "pH 7.89" 38°25.193′N, 14°57.763′E; "pH8.04" 38°25.248′N, 14°57.853′E; see SI 6) where pH, A_T and some associated biogeochemical parameters have been monitored for six years^{35,[73,](#page-10-34)74}. For long term pH data and other environmental variables (e.g. H_2S , total alkalinity and heavy metals) at this site see Boatta *et al.*[74](#page-10-35). Surveys of macroalgal abundance were conducted on the 26 May 2014, and involved three 25m transects at each of the 3 locations. Each transect involved ten 50 by 50cm photoquadrats, from which the percent cover of individual species were determined. *Cystoseira* spp. were lumped into morphological categories and later identified to species were possible. Macroalgae were grouped based on their use of DIC into non-CCM, CCM, and calcareous species based on δ^{13} C values (after ref. [18\)](#page-9-18). Calcareous species were further split into reds, greens and browns because these taxonomic groups have different calcification mechanisms⁷⁵, which may influence their response to ocean acidification^{[35](#page-10-0),[66](#page-10-30)}

Samples of each species were collected from the three locations for $\delta^{13}C$ analysis. Due to the scarcity of some species at specific locations, not all species used here were collected at all three locations. A minimum of three replicates were collected of each species at each location where possible, with each replicate being a different individual and a total of 19 species being analysed. All samples were air dried at 60 °C for 24 hours. Then they were ground into a fine powder using a porcelain mortar and pestle and weighed in to pressed tin capsules (0.2 mg; Sercon, UK). Isotope values were determined using a Fisons NA1500 elemental analyzer coupled to a Thermo Scientific Delta V Plus via a Conflo IV. Combustion and reduction were achieved at 1020 °C and 650 °C respectively. Percent C and N composition was calculated by comparison of mass spectrometer peak areas to those of standards with known concentrations. Isotopic values for carbon are reported as δ-values (‰) relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) and were corrected via a 4-point calibration using certified standards. Reproducibility was also monitored by the use of long term internal reference materials. Both precision and accuracy were $\pm 0.1\%$ (1 SD). All samples were run in duplicate and averaged when values differed.

The four most abundant and easily identifiable species – all of which were present at all sites – were collected on May 27th from each station for simultaneous pH drift assays. pH drift assays determine whether a species is capable of utilizing HCO₃⁻ by assessing its capacity to elevate pH above 9 – the point at which CO₂ concentrations are functionally zero. For more details see refs [49](#page-10-12)[,76,](#page-10-37)[77.](#page-10-38) Specimens were placed into 50 ml transparent plastic containers that were maintained in a water bath for 24hours. Water in the water bath was manually replaced every 30 minutes during daylight hours to maintain temperatures within 1.5 °C of the ambient seawater temperature (24 °C). Seawater pH was measured using a combined pH and RDO meter (Orion Star A216 pH/RDO/DO) and pH electrode (Orion 8107BNUMD – Ross Ultra pH/AIC triode), calibrated with pH 7.0 and 9.0 NBS buffers, cross referenced with Tris and Amp seawater buffers to convert to the total scale[78](#page-11-0). Oxygen was measured using an Orion RDO probe (ORI087100MDW) attached to the same meter, and was calibrated using 0% and 100% air saturation standards made by bubbling seawater with N_2 or air respectively for 10 minutes.

Seawater pH was measured at all three locations during the study duration on three days, and involved triplicate discrete measurements using the electrode noted above. Samples for A_T and DIC were taken on June 1st from all three locations. Seawater was stored in 250 ml glass containers and poisoned immediately with HgCl₂. A_T was measured using an open-cell titration using a Metrohm 809 Titrando and Metrohm 800 Dosino. A_T measurements were made at 25 °C using a Circu temperature bath, while DIC was measured using a LiCOR DIC analyser. Both were measured against a CRM supplied by Andrew Dickson (see ref. [78\)](#page-11-0). Measured accuracy and precision of the CRM was within ± 5 µmol kg⁻¹ for both DIC and A_D within the standard error of the differences between measurements from any one location. SI 6 lists the mean seawater carbonate chemistry at the three locations. δ^{13} C of seawater DIC was determined using a Gasbench II coupled to a Delta V Plus via a Conflo IV (Thermo Scientific; after ref. [79](#page-11-1)). Samples (0.5 mL) were injected into helium flushed 12 mL septum capped exetainers (Labco, High Wycombe, UK) containing phosphoric acid (85%). Samples were equilibrated overnight after which time liberated $CO₂$ is passed to the IRMS in a stream of helium passing through a nafion water separator and a Poroplot Q GC column ($25 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm}$ ID, 45 °C , 2.5 mL/min). Isotopic values for carbon are reported as δ-values (‰) relative to VPDB and were corrected via a 2-point calibration using certified standards. Reproducibility of replicates was 0.05‰. Four replicates samples were collected from each site, but half of these were broken by Australian Customs for the pH 7.89 and 7.69 sites. All replicate samples were then measured in duplicate.

Lipid content of macroalgae was measured by extracting samples quantitatively overnight using a single-phase dichloromethane/methanol/water mixture (after ref. [80\)](#page-11-2). Following phase separation, lipids were recovered in the lower dichloromethane layer and concentrated by rotary evaporation to provide the total lipid extract. This was transferred to pre-weighed glass vials using solvent which was subsequently removed under a stream of nitrogen allowing lipid weight to be determined.

Most CO_2 seeps have elevated H₂S or toxic metals so detailed geochemical monitoring is needed to rule out these potentially confounding factors (e.g. at Ischia) or minimize their likely effects (e.g. at Vulcano)^{[74](#page-10-35)}. The effects of elevated CO₂ at individual seep sites could be altered by other local factors such as wave exposure, nutrient concentrations or turbidity. Additionally, nature is inherently variable, and observations of changing patterns in species' abundances at one location may only represent snapshots of variability in species' abundances in time in space⁸¹. For these reasons we compared the patterns we observed along the Vulcano gradient with published studies on macroalgal abundances at CO₂ seep sites off Ischia (Italy) and Methana (Greece). To calculate the percent cover of the five different physiological groups of macroalgae, percent cover data from Porzio *et al.*[43](#page-10-8) (Ischia) and Baggini *et al.*[30](#page-9-26) (Methana) were used and macroalgae were grouped based on their use of DIC. For fleshy species, δ^{13} C from this study or from the literature were used to determine CCM presence or absence. SI 5 lists the details of this analysis beyond those presented in [Fig. 2.](#page-3-0)

ANOVA was used to examine differences in δ^{13} C and final O₂ concentrations and pH in pH drift experiments between locations within species. All data were checked for normality and heterogeneity first, and passed all checks. When statistical differences were found in ANOVAs, Tukey's post-hoc tests further determined differences between particular locations along the $CO₂$ gradient. These analyses were conducted in R v. 3.20. Differences in percent cover of species (Vulcano only), the physiological groups, and the species we predict to benefit or not from elevated $CO₂$ between the locations with differing $CO₂$ concentrations where analysed using separate PERMANOVAs in PAST for each site (Vulcano and Methana, i.e. our study site and that published previously by Baggini *et al.*[30](#page-9-26)). Methana was the only additional site analysed using PERMANOVA and PCA because it was the only site where published raw data was available that contained species abundances, and not morphologically grouped species.

References

- 1. Caldeira, K. & Wickett, M. E. Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. *Nature* **425,** 365 (2003).
- 2. Gaylord, B. *et al.* Ocean acidification through the lens of ecological theory. *Ecology* **96,** 3–15, doi: 10.1890/14-0802.1 (2015).
- 3. Comeau, S., Edmunds, P. J., Spindel, N. B. & Carpenter, R. C. The responses of eight coral reef calcifiers to increasing partial pressure of CO2 do not exhibit a tipping point. *Limnol*. *Oceanogr* **58,** 388–398 (2013).
- 4. Rodolfo-Metalpa, R. *et al.* Coral and mollusc resistance to ocean acidification adversely affected by warming. *Nat*. *Clim*. *Change* **1,** 308–312 (2011).
- 5. Hurd, C. L., Hepburn, C. D., Currie, K. I., Raven, J. A. & Hunter, K. A. Testing methods of ocean acidification on algal metabolism: consideration for experimental designs. *J*. *Phycol*. **45,** 1236–1251 (2009).
- 6. Raven, J. A. & Beardall, J. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms and environmental change. *Aquat*. *Bot*. **118**, 24-37 (2014).
- 7. Comeau, S. & Cornwall, C. E. Contrasting Effects of Ocean Acidification on Coral Reef "Animal Forests" Versus Seaweed "Kelp Forests". In *Marine Animal Forests* (ed. Rosi, S.) doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-17001-5_29-1, 1–25 (Springer, 2016),
- 8. Provost, E. J. *et al.* Climate‐driven disparities among ecological interactions threaten kelp forest persistence. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* In press, 1–9, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13414 (2016).
- 9. Cornwall, C. E. & Eddy, T. D. Effects of near-future ocean acidification, fishing, and marine protection on a temperate coastal ecosystem. *Conserv*. *Biol*. **29,** 207–215, 10.1111/cobi.12394 (2015).
- 10. Mann, K. H. Production and use of detritus in various freshwater, estuarine, and coastal marine ecosystems. *Limnol*. *Oceanogr* **33,** 910–930 (1988).
- 11. Bennett, S. *et al.* The 'Great Southern Reef ': social, ecological and economic value of Australia's negelcted kelp forests. *Mar*. *Freshw*. *Res*, doi: 10.1071/MF15232 (2015).
- 12. Giordano, M., Beardall, J. & Raven, J. A. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. *Ann*. *Rev*. *Plant Sci*. **56,** 99–131 (2005).
- 13. Raven, J. Inorganic carbon assimilation by marine biota. *J*. *Exp*. *Mar*. *Biol*. *Ecol*. **203,** 39–47 (1996).
- 14. Cornwall, C. E. *et al.* Diffusion boundary layers ameliorate the negative effects of ocean acidification on the temperate coralline macroalga *Arthrocardia corymbosa*. *PLoS*. *One* **9,** e97235 (2014).
- 15. Comeau, S., Carpenter, R. C. & Edmunds, P. J. Coral reef calcifiers buffer their response to ocean acidification using both bicarbonate and carbonate. *ProC*.*R*. *Soc*. *Biol*. *Sci*. *Ser*. *B* **280,** 20122374, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2012.2374 (2013).
- 16. James, R. K., Hepburn, C. D., Cornwall, C. E., McGraw, C. M. & Hurd, C. L. Growth response of an early successional assemblage of coralline algae and benthic diatoms to ocean acidification. *Marine Biology* **161,** 1687–1696 (2014).
- 17. Diaz-Pulido, G., Gouzezo, M., Tilbrook, B., Dove, S. & Anthony, K. High CO₂ enhances the competitive strength of seaweeds over corals. *Ecol*. *Lett*. **14,** 156–162 (2011).
- 18. Hepburn, C. D. *et al.* Diversity of carbon use strategies in a kelp forest community: implications for a high CO2 ocean. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* **17,** 2488–2497 (2011).
- 19. Brodie, J. et al. The future of the northeast Atlantic benthic flora in a high CO₂ world. *Ecol. Evol.* 4, 2787-2798, doi: 10.1002/ ece3.1105 (2014).
- 20. Cornwall, C. E. *et al.* Diurnal fluctuations in seawater pH influence the response of a calcifying macroalga to ocean acidification. *ProC*.*R*. *Soc*. *Biol*. *Sci*. *Ser*. *B* **280,** 20132201 (2013).
- 21. Kamenos, N. A. *et al.* Coralline algal structure is more sensitive to rate, rather than the magnitude, of ocean acidification. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* **19,** 3621–3628, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12351 (2013).
- 22. Nelson, W. A. Calcified macroalgae - critical to coastal ecosystems and vulnerable to change: A review. *Mar*. *Freshw*. *Res*. **60,** 787–801 (2009)
- 23. Johnson, M. D., Price, N. N. & Smith, J. E. Contrasting effects of ocean acidification on tropical fleshy and calcareous algae. *PeerJ* **2,** e411, doi: 10.7717/peerj.411 (2014).
- 24. Raven, J. A., Beardall, J. & Giordano, M. Energy costs of carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms in aquatic organisms. *Photosynth*. *Res* **121,** 111–124 (2014).
- 25. Rautenberger, R. *et al.* Saturating light and not increased carbon dioxide under ocean acidification drives photosynthesis and growth in *Ulva rigida*. *Ecol*. *Evol*. **5,** 874–888 (2015).
- 26. Hopkinson, B. M., Dupont, C. L., Allen, A. E. & Morel, F. M. M. Efficiency of the CO₂-concentrating mechanism. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. **108,** 3830–3837 (2011).
- 27. Hennon, G. M. M. et al. Diatom acclimation to elevated CO₂ via cAMP signalling and coordinated gene expression. Nat. Clim. *Change* **5,** 761–765, doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2683 (2015).
- 28. Hall-Spencer, J. M. *et al.* Volcanic carbon dioxide vents show ecosystem effects of ocean acidification. *Nature* **454,** 96–99 (2008).
- 29. Sunday, J. M. *et al.* Ocean acidification can mediate biodiversity shifts by changing biogenic habitat. *Nature Climate Change* In press, doi: 10.1038/nclimate3161 (2016).
- 30. Baggini, C. *et al.* Seasonality affects macroalgal community response to increases in *p*CO2. *PLoS*. *One* **9,** e106520 (2014).
- 31. Enochs, I. C. *et al.* Shift from coral to macroalgae dominance on a volcanically acidified reef. *Nat*. *Clim*. *Change* **5,** 1083–1088, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2758 (2015).
- 32. Connell, S. D., Kroeker, K. J., Fabricius, K. E., Kline, D. I. & Russell, B. D. The other ocean acidification problem: CO₂ as a resource amongst competitors for ecosystem dominance. *Phil*. *Trans*. *Roy*. *Soc*. *B* **368,** 20120442 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.044 (2013).
- 33. Bender, D., Diaz-Pulido, G. & Dove, S. Warming and acidification promote cyanobaterial dominance in turf algal assemblages. *Mar*. *Ecol*. *Prog*. *Ser*. **517,** 271–284 (2014).
- 34. Connell, S. D., Foster, M. S. & Airoldi, L. What are algal turfs? Towards a better description of turfs. *Mar*. *Ecol*. *Prog*. *Ser*. **495,** 299–307, doi: 10.3354/meps10513 (2014).
- 35. Johnson, V. R., Russell, B. D., Fabricius, K. E., Brownlee, C. & Hall-Spencer, J. M. Temperate and tropical brown macroalgae thrive, despite decalcification along natural CO2 gradients. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* **18,** 2792–2903 (2012).
- 36. Littler, M. M. & Littler, D. S. The evolution of thallus form and survival strategies in benthic marine macroalgae: field and laboratory tests of a functional form model. *Am*. *Nat*. **116,** 25–44 (1980).
- 37. Dormann, C. F. & Woodin, S. J. Climate change in the Arctic: using plant functional types in a meta‐analysis of field experiments. *Funct*. *Ecol* **16,** 4–17 (2002).
- 38. Lavorel, S. & Garnier, E. Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Funct*. *Ecol* **16,** 545–556 (2002).
- 39. Cornelisen, C. D. *et al.* Patterns in the δ13C and δ15N signature of *Ulva pertusa*: interaction between physical gradients and nutrient source pools. *Limnol*. *Oceanogr* **52,** 820–832 (2007).
- 40. Raven, J. A. *et al.* Mechanistic interpretation of carbon isotope discrimination by marine macroalgae and seagrasses. *Funct*. *Plant Biol*. **29,** 355–378 (2002).
- 41. Mook, W. G., Bommerson, J. C. & Staverman, W. H. Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide. *Earth Planet Sci*. *Lett*. **22,** 169–176 (1974).
- 42. Riebesell, U., Revill, A. T., Holdsworth, D. G. & Volkman, J. K. The effects of varying CO₂ concentration on lipid composition and carbon isotope fractionation in *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Geochim*. *Cosmochim*. *Acta* **64,** 4179–4192 (2000).
- 43. Porzio, L., Buia, M. C. & Hall-Spencer, J. M. Effects of ocean acidification on macroalgal communities. *J*. *Exp*. *Mar*. *Biol*. *Ecol*. **400,** 278–287 (2011).
- 44. Kroeker, K. J., Gambi, M. C. & Micheli, F. Community dynamics and ecosystem simplification in a high-CO₂ ocean. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. **110,** 12721–12726 (2013).
- 45. Bellissimo, G., Lluch, J., Tomasello, A. & Calvo, S. The community of *Cystoseira brachycarpa* J. Agardh emend. Giaccone (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) in a shallow hydrothermal vent area of the Aeolian Islands (Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy). *Plant Biosyst* **148,** 21–26 (2014).
- 46. Boyd, P. *et al.* Physiological responses of a Southern Ocean diatom to complex future ocean conditions. *Nature Climate Change* **6,** 207–213, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2811 (2016). 47. Boyd, P. W. *et al.* Biological responses to envrionmental heterogeneity under future ocean conditions. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* **22,**
- 2633–2650, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13287 (2016). 48. Celis-Plá, P. S. M. *et al.* Macroalgal responses to ocean acidification depend on nutrient and light levels. *Front*. *Mar*. *Sci*. **2,** doi:
- 10.3389/fmars.2015.00026 (2015).
- 49. Murru, M. & Sandgren, C. D. Habitat matters for inorganic carbon acquisition in 38 species of red macroalgae (Rhodophyta) from Puget Sound, Washington, USA. *J*. *Phycol*. **40,** 837–845 (2004).
- 50. Roleda, M. Y. *et al.* Effect of ocean acidification and pH fluctuations on the growth and development of coralline algal recruits, and an associated benthic algal assemblage. *PLoS*. *One* **10,** e0140394, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140394 (2015).
- 51. Raven, J. A. Inorganic carbon acquisition by marine autotrophs. *Advan*. *Bot*. *Res*. **27,** 85–209 (1997).
- 52. Arnelle, D. R. & O'Leary, M. H. Binding of carbon dioxide to phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase deduced from carbon kinetic isotope effects. *Biochemistry* **31,** 4363–4368 (1992).
- 53. Whelan, T., Sackett, W. M. & Benedict, C. R. Enzymatic fractionation of carbon isotopes by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase from C4 plants. *Plant Physiology* **51,** 1051–1054 (1973).
- 54. O'Leary, M. H. Carbon isotope fractionation in plants. *Phytochemistry* **20,** 553–567 (1981).
- 55. Raven, J. A. & Beardall, J. The ins and outs of CO2. *J*. *Exp*. *Bot*. **67,** 1–13 (2016).
- 56. Ricevuto, E., Vizzini, S. & Gambi, M. C. Ocean acidification effects on stable isotope signatures and trophic interactions of polychaete consumers and organic matter sources at a shallow CO2 vent system. *J*. *Exp*. *Mar*. *Biol*. *Ecol*. **468,** 105–117 (2015).
- 57. Britton, D., Cornwall, C. E., Revill, A. T., Hurd, C. L. & Johnson, C. R. Ocean acidification reverses the positive effects of seawater pH fluctuations on growth and photosynthesis of the habitat-forming kelp, *Ecklonia radiata*. *Scientific reports* **6,** 26036, doi: 10.1038/ srep26036 (2016).
- 58. Vizzini, S. *et al.* Effect of explosive shallow hydrothermal vents on δ13C and growth performance in the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *J*. *Ecol*. **98,** 1284–1291 (2010).
- 59. Young, J. N., Kranz, S. A., Goldman, J. A. L., Tortell, P. D. & Morel, F. M. M. Antarctic phytoplankton down-regulate their carbonconcentrating mechanisms under high CO₂ with no change in growth rates. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **532**, 13–28 (2015).
- 60. Maberly, S. C., Berthelot, S. A., Stott, A. W. & Gontero, B. Adaptation by macrophytes to inorganic carbon down river with naturally variable concentrations of CO₂. *J. Plant Physiol*. **172,** 120-127 (2014).
- 61. Diaz-Pulido, G., Cornwall, C. E., Gartrell, P., Hurd, C. L. & Tran, D. V. Strategies of dissolved inorganic carbon use in macroalgae across a gradient of terrestrial influence: implications for the Great Barrier Reef in the context of ocean acidification. *Coral Reefs* **35,** doi: 10.1007/s00338-016-1481-5 (2016).
- 62. Stepien, C. C. Impacts of geography, taxonomy and functional group on inorganic carbon use patterns in marien macrophytes. *J*. *Ecol*. **103,** 1372–1383, doi: 10.1111/1365-27.12451 (2015).
- 63. Cornwall, C. E., Revill, A. T. & Hurd, C. L. High prevalence of diffusive uptake of CO₂ by macroalgae in a temperate subtidal ecosystem. *Photosynth*. *Res* **124,** 181–190 (2015).
- 64. Cornwall, C. E., Pilditch, C. A., Hepburn, C. D. & Hurd, C. L. Canopy macroalgae influence understorey corallines' metabolic control of near-surface pH and oxygen concentration. *Mar*. *Ecol*. *Prog*. *Ser*. **525,** 81–95 (2015).
- 65. Ghedini, G., Russell, B. D. & Connell, S. D. Trophic compensation reinforces resistance: herbivory absorbs the increasing effects of multiple disturbances. *Ecol*. *Let*. **18,** 182–187 (2015).
- 66. Goffredo, S. *et al.* Biominerlization control related to population density under ocean acidification. *Nat*. *Clim*. *Change* **4,** 593–597 (2014).
- 67. Koch, M., Bowes, G., Ross, C. & Zhang, X. H. Climate change and ocean acidification effects on seagrasses and marine macroalgae. *Glob*. *Change*. *Biol* **19,** 103–132 (2013).
- 68. Nash, M. C. *et al.* Dolomite-rich coralline algae in reefs resist dissoltuion in acidified conditions. *Nat*. *Climate Change* **3,** 268–272 (2013).
- 69. Cornwall, C. E. *et al.* Diffusion boundary layers ameliorate the negative effects of ocean acidification on the temperate coralline macroalga *Arthrocardia corymbosa*. *PLoS*. *One* **9,** e97235, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097235 (2014).
- 70. Kamenos, N., Perna, G., Gambi, M., Micheli, F. & Kroeker, K. Coralline algae in a naturally acidified ecosystem persist by maintaining control of skeletal mineralogy and size. *Proc*. *R*. *Soc*. *B* **283,** 20161159, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2016.1159 (2016).
- 71. Cornwall, C.E., Comeau, S. & McCulloch, M. Coralline algae elevate pH at the site of calcification under ocean acidification. *Global Change Biology* doi: 10.1111/gcb.13673 (2017).
- 72. Nash, M., Uthicke, S., Negri, A. & Cantin, N. Ocean acidification does not affect magnesium composition or dolomite formation in living crustose coralline algae, Porolithon onkodes in an experimental system. *Biogeosciences* **12,** 5247–5260 (2015).
- 73. Milazzo, M. *et al.* Ocean acidification impairs vermetid reef recruitment. *Sci*. *Rep*. **4,** doi: 10.1038/srep04189 (2014).
- 74. Boatta, F. *et al.* Geochemical survey of Levante Bay, Vulcano Island (Italy), a natural laboratory for the study of ocean acidification. *Mar*. *Pollut*. *Bull*. **73,** 485–494 (2013).
- 75. Borowitzka, M. A. Calcification in algae: mechanism and the role of metabolism. *Crit*. *Rev*. *Plant Sci*. **6,** 1–45 (1987).
- 76. Maberly, S. C. Exogenous sources of inorganic carbon for photosynthesis by marine macroalgae. *J*. *Phycol*. **26,** 439–449 (1990).
- 77. Stepien, C. C., Pfister, C. A. & Wootton, J. T. Functional Traits for Carbon Access in Macrophytes. *PLoS*. *ONE* **11,** e0159062 (2016).
- 78. Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L. & Christian, J. R. *Guide to best practices for Ocean CO2 measurements* (North Pacific Marine Science Organization, 2007).
- 79. Tiorres, M. E., Mix, A. C. & Rugh, D. Precise δ13C analysis of dissolved inorganic carbon in natural waters using automated headspace sampling and continuous-flow mass spectrometry. *Limnol*. *Oceanogr*. *Methods* **3,** 349–360 (2005).
- 80. Bligh, E. G. & Dyer, W. M. A rapid method of toal lipid extraction and purification. *Can*. *J*. *Biochem*. *and Physiol*. **35,** 911–917 (1959). 81. Underwood, A., Chapman, M. & Connell, S. Observations in ecology: you can't make progress on processes without understanding the patterns. *J*. *Exp*. *Mar*. *Biol*. *Ecol*. **250,** 97–115 (2000).

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Carlo Cattano for assistance in the field, Mina Brock for assistance with lipids, Anna Maria Mannino for macroalgal identification, and Steeve Comeau for commenting on a previous version of the manuscript. The University of Dundee is a registered Scottish charity No. 015096.

Author Contributions

C.E.C., C.L.H., J.M.H.-S., A.T.R., and J.A.R. conceived and designed the research. C.E.C., J.M.H.-S. and M.M. performed the field research. C.E.C. analysed the data. A.T.R. contributed materials/analysis tools. C. E.C. and A.T.R. conducted the laboratory analyses. C.E.C. drafted the paper and all authors contributed significantly to editing.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Cornwall, C. E. *et al.* Inorganic carbon physiology underpins macroalgal responses to elevated CO₂. Sci. Rep. 7, 46297; doi: 10.1038/srep46297 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images \odot $\left[\mathrm{(cc)} \right]$ or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017