# SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

received: 24 February 2015 accepted: 14 May 2015 Published: 15 June 2015

## **Snoozing through the storm: OPENtorpor use during a natural disaster**

**Julia Nowack, A. Daniella Rojas, Gerhard Körtner & Fritz Geiser**

**Although storms provide an extreme environmental challenge to organisms and are predicted to increase in frequency and intensity due to climate change, there are no quantitative observations on the behaviour and physiology of animals during natural disasters. We provide the first data on activity and thermal biology of a free-ranging, arboreal mammal during a storm with heavy rain and category 1 cyclone wind speeds. We studied a population of sugar gliders (***Petaurus breviceps***), a species vulnerable to bad weather due to their small body size and mode of locomotion, in a subtropical habitat during spring when storms are common. Although torpor is generally rare in this species, sugar gliders remained inactive or reduced foraging times during the storm and further minimized energy demands by entering deep torpor. All animals survived the storm and reverted to normal foraging activity during the following night(s). It thus appears that heterothermic mammals have a crucial adaptive advantage over homeothermic species as they can outlast challenging weather events, such as storms and floods, by reducing metabolism and thus energetic needs.**

Anecdotes report that animals mysteriously disappear before and during storms and, if they survive, re-appear when the danger has passed. What do animals do during the storm and how do especially small mammals with high energy demands survive these challenging periods? Climatic disasters increasingly affect many parts of the world and can have adverse effects on humans and animals. Climatologists predict that changes in global weather patterns will increase the frequency and intensity of storms, floods, droughts and wild fires<sup>[1,](#page-4-0)[2](#page-5-0)</sup>. Unfortunately, few studies have focused on how animals respond to such chal-lenges. Although there are reports of sharks escaping to the open sea prior to hurricanes<sup>[3](#page-5-1)</sup>, elephants fleeing coastal regions during a tsunami<sup>4</sup>, or birds circumventing a tornadic storm<sup>[5](#page-5-3)</sup>, the behaviour and physiology of animals during storms has never been quantified.

Recent studies have suggested that torpor use in heterothermic mammals, which is known as an effective adaptation of animals to survive seasonal food shortages and bad weather<sup>6</sup>, may also be of crucial importance to deal with unpredictable challenging conditions, such as unseasonal cold spells or famines<sup>7–10</sup>. Torpor allows animals to survive energy bottlenecks by reducing body temperature  $(T_b)$  and energy expenditure<sup>11</sup> and because torpid animals are usually concealed in a sheltered location<sup>12</sup>, they are protected from extreme environmental conditions and also predation<sup>13</sup>. Data on recent mammalian extinctions provide evidence that heterothermic species capable of employing torpor have a lower risk of extinction than homeothermic species that have to maintain a high  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and energy expenditure and therefore have to continue foraging even under hostile conditions<sup>[14](#page-5-9)</sup>.

The purpose of our study was to investigate how arboreal mammals, which are likely strongly affected by storms, adjust foraging and thermal biology during a storm. We studied a population of Australian sugar gliders (*Petaurus breviceps,* ~130 g) inhabiting a coastal, subtropical habitat during spring, when the likelihood of storms is high. Other studies conducted on this species in a cool-temperate region observed torpor only occasionally when extremely cold and wet conditions substantially elevated their energy demands<sup>[15,](#page-5-10)16</sup>. This small arboreal marsupial appears to be particularly vulnerable to storms since its mode of locomotion (gliding) is impeded by high wind speed. Furthermore, rain is known to reduce

Centre for Behavioural and Physiological Ecology, Zoology, University of New England, Armidale NSW 2351, Australia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.N. (email: [jnowack@une.edu.au\)](mailto:jnowack@une.edu.au))



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1. Individual minimum body temperature (T<sub>bmin</sub>; different colours indicate individuals) in relation to minimum ambient temperature (T<sub>amin</sub>; black line), precipitation (grey bars) and average wind **speed (dashed line) per night.**

the amount of nectar[17,](#page-5-12) which is one of the main food sources of sugar gliders besides insects, *Eucalyptus* sap and *Acacia* gu[m18](#page-5-13). We therefore hypothesized that sugar gliders would limit foraging and enter torpor as a survival strategy during storms with high rainfall and wind.

### **Results**

**Ambient conditions.** Daily average ambient temperature ( $T_a$ ) for the study period was 17.4 $\pm$ 2.8 °C, ranging from 6 $\degree$ C at night to 37.5 $\degree$ C during daytime. Average minimum and maximum T<sub>a</sub> increased slightly from September to October (mean maximum  $T_a$  23.1 ± 5.5 °C vs 24.7 ± 4.6 °C; mean minimum  $T_a$  10.3 ± 2.4 °C vs 12.7 ± 2.1 °C). Wind speed for all nights of the study period (n = 43 days) averaged  $17 \pm 8$  km/h and we recorded a total of 110.2 mm of nightly rainfall over six nights. 95 mm of this rain occurred during the night of the 14th to 15th of October, when the storm passed the area. During this night the maximum rainfall was 14.8 mm over 10 min, wind speed reached 94 km h<sup>-1</sup> with an average of 54 km h<sup>-1</sup> (the Bureau of Meteorology defines gusts between 90-125 km h<sup>-1</sup> as category 1 cyclones) and minimum  $T_a$  was 9.5 °C.

**Activity & nesting sites.** At daytime gliders nested solitarily or in groups of up to three individuals in tree hollows between 2.4m to 13.8m above ground. Gliders used *Angophora* or *Eucalyptus* trees (18.9 $\pm$  6.2m tree height) for nesting. Average nightly activity time was  $464\pm110$  min. Activity usually started  $95 \pm 80$  min after sunset and terminated  $111 \pm 73$  min before sunrise. Duration of activity was significantly influenced by average wind speed ( $t_{386} = -4.02$ , p < 0.001, N = 402, n = 12), whereas  $T_a$  (t<sub>386</sub> = −0.89, p = 0.84) and rainfall (t<sub>386</sub> = −0.19, p = 0.35) had no significant effects. During the storm night activity was reduced in comparison to non-storm nights (average  $129 \pm 147$  min, N = 10, n = 10, no data for two individuals, vs.  $473 \pm 94$  min, N = 392, n = 12) and three individuals remained inactive in their nest  $(T_b < 38 \degree C)$ ; total inactivity was never recorded for any other night. Furthermore, six individuals showed reduced activity times between 40min and 250min and only one individual displayed a normal nightly activity of 470min. During the storm individuals spent more time in close proximity to their tree hollow (78% to 100%) than they did on average (increase by 26–61% compared to the individuals' average).

**Torpor use.** Daily minimum T<sub>b</sub> (T<sub>bmin</sub>) varied between 13.8 °C and 36.7 °C. The mean resting T<sub>b</sub> (excluding torpor) was  $34.5 \pm 1.1$  °C. Torpor was only observed on eight of 43 days. During non-storm



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 2. Body temperature traces (a) for a male sugar glider during three days before the storm and during the storm (12-15.10.14) and (b) a female individual for four days at the beginning of October**  (30.09—03.10.14). Body temperature  $(T_h)$  is shown as black dots; ambient temperature  $(T_a)$  as circles. The scotophase is indicated by grey bars and activity by black lines. The dashed line indicates the torpor threshold of 30 °C. Both figures show a torpor bout during the fourth day depicted.

days torpor was observed in either one or two individuals  $(N= 7)$ , in six cases the same two females sharing a tree hollow) and  $T<sub>b</sub>$  never decreased below 21.0 °C (range 21.7–29.4 °C; Fig. 1). In contrast, seven out of ten individuals for which data were available entered torpor during the storm.  $T_b$  during the storm reached 13.8 °C [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)) and average  $T_{bm}$  during torpor was significantly lower than during any other torpor day (19.2± 6.3 °C, N = 7, n = 7 vs.  $26.8 \pm 2.3$  °C, N = 11, n = 4; Wilcoxon rank test:  $W = 13$ , p = 0.020; [Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0). The second lowest individual T<sub>bmin</sub> of 21.7 °C was observed on the day after the storm when two individuals entered torpor. After this day until the end of the study period 14 days later shallow torpor was expressed only once by the same two individuals that used torpor before the storm ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)). Entry into torpor during the first half of the night was only observed during the storm (1900h–2200h,  $N=3$ ), whereas on other days most torpor entries occurred between 0400h and 0600h, close to dawn. Moreover, torpor bout duration (TBD) was significantly shorter before and after the storm (mean  $9.2 \pm 2.2$ h, maximum~14h, N= 11, n= 4) than during the storm (mean  $12.6 \pm 7.1$ h, maximum~23h, N = 7, n = 7) (ANOVA,  $F_{1, 10} = 28.6$ , p = 0.003).

When we tested the number of torpid individuals/day for the impact of weather variables, we found a significant influence of an interaction between average wind speed and rainfall ( $r^2$  = 0.7237, df = 39,  $p = 0.027$ , N = 433, n = 12), whereas minimum  $T_a$  had no significant effect and hence was removed from the final model. In fact, torpor did only occur above a  $T_a$  of 9°C and never on the coldest days.

**Estimated energy savings through torpor use.** To estimate the energetic significance of torpor use during the storm night, we calculated metabolic rates based on the continuous  $T<sub>b</sub>$  measurements and daily average Ta. Calculated metabolic rates indicated an energy saving of 9% during shallow torpor (TBD <4h, T<sub>bmin</sub>: 29.9 °C) and up to 67% during deep torpor (TBD ~23hT<sub>bmin:</sub> 14 °C; [Fig. 3\)](#page-3-0) compared to average metabolic rates during normothermia based on resting  $T<sub>b</sub>$  averaged over at least two hours of the same night.

**Food availability.** Of the five identified *Banksia* species (70 *B. integrifolia,* 9 *B. ericifolia,* 10 *B. serrata,* 4 *B. spinulosa,* 1 *B. oblongifolia)* only *B. ericifolia* and *B. integrifolia* flowered during the time of the study and the total number of inflorescences decreased over time from 41 to 7. Over the same period of time the abundance of trapped insects per night increased (range  $0.05 g - 3.89 g$ , N = 28). Insect abundance was



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Body temperature  $(T_b)$  and calculated metabolic rate  $(MR_{calc})$  of a torpor bout of a male sugar **glider during the storm night.** 

correlated with minimum nightly T<sub>a</sub> during sampling ( $r^2$  = 0.162, df = 26, p = 0.019; insect abundance  $(g) = 0.084T_a$  (°C)–0.157).

#### **Discussion**

Our data show that sugar gliders reduced foraging and increased torpor use, depth and duration during a severe subtropical storm. Activity during the storm was significantly lower than during other nights, was mostly spent in close proximity to tree hollows, and torpor was used by most individuals. Interestingly, most animals recovered quickly from the impact of the storm and reverted to normal activity routine during the next night. Calculated metabolic rates indicate that the use of deep torpor during the storm allowed for extensive energy savings up to 67% compared with metabolic rates during rest and were in the same range as reported for laboratory measurements under similar conditions (saving of 60%;  $T_a = 11 \degree C$ ;  $T_{bmin} = 13 \degree C$ ; TBD:15h)<sup>19</sup>. This suggests that the use of deep torpor would have compensated for most of the lost foraging opportunities during the severe weather condition.

Similarly, torpor during a blizzard has also been reported for pregnant hoary bats, *Lasiurus cinereus.* While this species used torpor when exposed to  $T_a \sim 5^{\circ}C$  during a snow storm in Canada<sup>[9](#page-5-15)</sup>, torpor use during the spring storm in our study occurred at much warmer temperatures and appeared to be independent of T<sub>a</sub>. This is in contrast to previous studies on sugar gliders where torpor use was mainly triggered by cold and wet  $(T_a < 5^{\circ}C)^{15}$ . However, because wind speed and rain were correlated with torpor occurrence our data suggest that the energy saving afforded by torpor allowed animals to remain inactive and to shelter during storm condition. For a gliding species inhabiting tree hollows as high as 14 meters above ground and usually foraging in the canopy, it will be impossible to move in their usual mode of locomotion during high wind speeds. In addition, individuals that were temporarily active during the storm night would have to cope with the intense rain, which would have further reduced gliding ability and also drastically elevated heat loss of these small animals<sup>19</sup>. Since some gliders stayed completely inactive during the storm, we conclude that gliders used torpor as a proactive strategy rather than as a direct response to elevated heat loss due to wet fur. Moreover, our data suggest that torpor was largely independent of food abundance, but rather used because foraging was impaired by bad weather. Although abundance of nectar was likely reduced during the storm<sup>17</sup>, *Banksia* inflorescence abundance was already low before the storm and animals likely met their energy demands by feeding on insects and tree exudates which are presumably less affected by wind and rain.

Cyclones are relatively common in tropical and subtropical habitats and can damage coastal as well as inland areas via gales and heavy rainfall<sup>[20](#page-5-16)</sup>. Even after a cyclone has passed, or has decayed below cyclone strength, damage to vegetation and significant flooding may occur, which will affect access to food. Our data indicate that torpor may be important for survival of heterothermic species by allowing them to stay inactive and concealed during hostile conditions throughout the storm. This is especially important with regard to climate change. An increase of climatic disasters is anticipated in future<sup>1</sup> and the intensity of storms will likely increase by 2–11% in the next 85 years<sup>21</sup> and strongly affect tropical and subtropical regions and the animals living in them. In these conditions heterothermic species likely have a crucial adaptive advantage in comparison to homeothermic species as they can outlast challenging climatic periods as storm, floods and potentially other natural disasters<sup>22</sup> by reducing metabolism and energy needs.

#### **Material and Methods**

**Study site, ambient conditions and food abundance.** The study was conducted in September-October 2014 (austral spring) at the Royal National Park located south of Sydney (Spring Gully Area; 34.09384 S, 151.13876 E). The methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines and regulations for animal care at the University of New England. Approval to conduct this

study was granted by the University of New England Animal Ethics Committee and New South Wales National Parks and Wildlife Service. We recorded  $T_a$  for every hour with a data logger (Thermochron iButton/DS1921G, Dallas Semiconductors; resolution 0.5 °C) placed in the shade, and rainfall (Tinytag Plus, Gemini Data Loggers Ltd, West Sussex, UK) was recorded in 10minute intervals at the study site. Wind speed was obtained from the Bureau of Meteorology for the nearest  $({\sim}7 \text{ km})$  weather station at Wattamolla. We determined the abundance of flying insects over the entire night period with a 12-V ultraviolet insect light twice/week. The light was placed at random locations within two main areas described by the activity of the study animals  $\left($  ~100–1000 m distance); the order was alternated between the areas. Dry weight of insects was determined to the nearest 0.1mg. We also monitored the flowering of *Banksia* species, identifying species and counting inflorescences along 10 transects (5 × 10 m) once/week.

**Trapping and handling.** Animals were captured in box Aluminium traps (Elliott type A, Elliott Scientific Ltd, Upwey, Melbourne, Vic.) baited with a mixture of peanut butter, honey and oats and sprayed with honey water, set overnight in small trees and bushes at a height of 1–2m. Captured sugar gliders were weighed to the nearest 0.1 g and sexed. Animals were earmarked with a 1mm ear punch for individual identification and unless implanted with transmitters released on the evening of capture.

**Surgery.** We implanted 12 individuals (8 males, 4 females, body mass  $125.8 \pm 23.9$  g) with temperature-sensitive radio transmitters (2 g, Sirtrack, Havelock North, New Zealand) that allowed us to track the individuals and to determine their  $T<sub>b</sub>$ . Animals were implanted within two days after capture; all females were non-reproductive at the time of implantation. Transmitters were waxed and calibrated in a water bath to the nearest of 0.1 °C before being implanted intraperitoneally under oxygen/isoflurane anaesthesia through a small abdominal incision<sup>23</sup>. Animals were allowed to recover for one day before being released at the site of capture.

**Radio tracking and measurement of body temperature.** After release gliders were radio tracked daily to identify nest trees and monitored for 29–43 days, depending on their capture date. Occasional night-time observations were performed to identify tree hollows, monitor the number of individuals sharing a nest cavity, as well as emergence times. Tree heights and heights of tree hollows were determined using a clinometer (Suunto, Vantaa, Finland).  $T<sub>b</sub>$  was obtained at 10 min intervals with custom-made receiver/loggers<sup>15</sup> placed close to the nest tree. Large  $T_b$  variations between activity ( $T_b > 38 \degree C$ ) and rest phase allowed us to estimate the hours of nightly activity. As observations confirmed that glider never showed a  $T<sub>b</sub>$  above 38 °C before leaving their nest hollow, animals were deemed "active" from the point onwards when either  $T_b$  increased above 38 °C or the logger failed to record  $T_b$ , because animals moved out of range. Conversely, a decrease in  $T<sub>b</sub>$  below 38 °C and reception of a transmitter signal was associated with inactivity. Animals were considered to be torpid when  $T<sub>b</sub>$  fell below 30 °C (threshold determined by previous studies<sup>[15](#page-5-10)[,16](#page-5-11)</sup>). Entry into torpor was defined as the time when  $T<sub>b</sub>$  started decreasing continuously from 34 °C to temperatures below 30 °C. The end point of arousal was defined as the time when  $T<sub>b</sub>$  reached a plateau above 30 °C.

**Calculation of estimated energy savings through torpor use.** The energetic significance of daily torpor can be explained by the temperature effect upon tissue metabolic rate and is described by the general equation:  $\Delta T_b = 20.1(MR_{calc} + C(T_b - T_a)m^*SH^{24}$ ; whereby  $\Delta T_b$  is the differential between two subsequent T<sub>b</sub> readings (°C per 10 min), instead of; T<sub>a</sub> is the daily average of 11.9 °C, C is the thermal conductance (0.069 ml O<sub>2</sub> °C<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> for sugar gliders<sup>20</sup>), m is the body mass of individual study animals (range: 93–149 g), SH is the specific heat of animal tissues  $(3.47 \text{ Jg}^{-1} \text{°C}^{-1})$  and  $1 \text{ mlO}_2$  is assumed to be equivalent to 20.1 J.

**Data analyses.** Data are presented as mean  $\pm 1$  standard deviation; *n* denotes the number of individuals, *N* the number of observations. Statistical analyses were conducted in R (R version 3.1.0; 2014-04-10). Normal distribution and homogeneity of variance were tested using Shapiro-Wilk test and Bartlett´s test, respectively. If needed, data were transformed using the Box-Cox function to meet statistical assumptions. Influence of  $T_a$  on insect availability was tested using a regression analyses. The influence of climate variables (T<sub>a</sub>, average wind speed and rainfall) on nightly activity and TBD was tested using a regression-based approach via generalized linear mixed effects models with "individual" as a random factor. As minimum T<sub>a</sub> was closely correlated with daily average T<sub>a</sub> ( $r^2 = 0.544$ , df = 40, p < 0.001; minimum Ta (°C) = 0.632\*average Ta (°C) + 1.087), only results for minimum T<sub>a</sub> are presented. For testing differences in TBD during the storm-night and non-storm nights the analysis was followed by an ANOVA, using individuals as random factor (lme in library nlme; R Core Development Team 2008). The influence of the weather variables on torpor use was tested using a multiple regression analyses. The difference of torpor  $T_{bmin}$  during the storm in comparison to all other torpor days was tested using a Wilcoxon rank test. Regression equations are only given for untransformed data.

#### **References**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>1. Christensen, J. H. & Christensen, O. B. Climate modelling: severe summertime flooding in Europe. *Nature* **421,** 805–806 (2003).

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>2. Pörtner, H. O. & Farrell, A. P. Physiology and climate change. *Science* **322,** 690–692 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>3. Heupel, M. R., Simpfendorfer, C. A. & Hueter, R. E. Running before the storm: blacktip sharks respond to falling barometric pressure associated with tropical storm Gabrielle. *J. Fish Biol.* **63,** 1357–1363 (2003).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>4. Wikramanayake, E., Fernando, P. & Leimgruber, P. Behavioral response of satellite-collared elephants to the tsunami in southern Sri Lanka. *Biotropica* **38,** 775–777 (2006).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>5. Streby, H. M. *et al.* Tornadic storm avoidance behavior in breeding songbirds. *Curr. Biol.* **25,** 98–102 (2015).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>6. Barnes, B. M. Freeze avoidance in a mammal: body temperatures below 0°C in an arctic hibernator. *Science* **244,** 1593–1595 (1989).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>7. Mzilikazi, N., Lovegrove, B. G. & Ribble, G. O. Exogenous passive heating during torpor arousal in free-ranging rock elephant shrews, *Elephantulus myurus*. *Oecologia* **133,** 307–314 (2002).
- 8. Geiser, F. & Stawski, C. Hibernation and torpor in tropical and subtropical bats in relation to energetics, extinctions, and the evolution of endothermy. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **51,** 337–348 (2011).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>9. Willis, C. K. R., Brigham, R. M. & Geiser, F. Deep, prolonged torpor by pregnant, free-ranging bats. *Naturwissenschaften* **93,** 80–83 (2006).
- 10. Nowack, J. & Dausmann, K. H. Can heterothermy facilitate the colonization of new habitats? *Mammal Rev.* **45,** 117–127 (2015).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span><span id="page-5-6"></span>11. Geiser, F. Hibernation. *Curr. Biol.* **23,** R188–R193 (2013).
- 12. Blanco, M. B., Dausmann, K. H., Ranaivoarisoa, J. F. & Yoder, A. D. Underground hibernation in a primate. *Sci*. *Rep.* **3,** 1768 (2013).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>13. Turbill, C., Bieber, C. & Ruf, T. Hibernation is associated with increased survival and the evolution of slow life histories among mammals. *P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* **278,** 3355–3363 (2011).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>14. Geiser, F. & Turbill, C. Hibernation and daily torpor minimize mammalian extinctions. *Naturwissenschaften* **96,** 1235–1240 (2009).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>15. Körtner, G. & Geiser, F. Torpor and activity patterns in free-ranging sugar gliders *Petaurus breviceps* (Marsupialia). *Oecologia* **123,** 350–357 (2000).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>16. Christian, N. & Geiser, F. To use or not to use torpor? Activity and body temperature as predictors. *Naturwissenschaften* **94,** 483–487 (2007).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>17. McFarland, D. Flowering biology and phenology of *Banksia integrifolia* and *B. spinulosa* (Proteaceae) in New England National Park, N.S.W. *Aust. J. Bot.* **33,** 705–714 (1985).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>18. Smith, A. P. Diet and feeding strategies of the marsupial sugar glider in temperate Australia. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **51,** 149–166 (1982).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>19. Christian, N. Ecology, energetics and thermal biology of sugar gliders. *PhD thesis*, University of New England Armidale, Australia, (2007).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>20. Fruergaard, M., Andersen, T. J., Johannessen, P. N., Nielsen, L. H. & Pejrup, M. Major coastal impact induced by a 1000-year storm event. *Sci. Rep.* **3,** 1051 (2013).
- <span id="page-5-18"></span><span id="page-5-17"></span>21. Knutson, T. R. *et al.* Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* **3,** 157–163 (2010).
- 22. Lovegrove, B. G., Lobban, K. D. & Levesque, D. L. Mammal survival at the Cretaceous–Palaeogene boundary: metabolic homeostasis in prolonged tropical hibernation in tenrecs. *P Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* **281,** 20141304 (2014).
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>23. Rojas, A. D., Körtner, G. & Geiser, F. Do implanted transmitters affect maximum running speed of two small marsupials? *J. Mammal.* **91,** 1360–1364 (2010).
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>24. Cooper, C. E. & Withers, P. C. Patterns of body temperature variation and torpor in the numbat, *Myrmecobius fasciatus* (Marsupialia: Myrmecobiidae). *J. Therm. Biol*. **29,** 277–284 (2004).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank the NSW NPWS staff, particularly Darren Lewis and Adrian Johnstone, for supporting our study, Arne Müller and Anna Doty for invaluable assistance in the field and Christine Cooper and Phil Withers for statistical advice. The project was supported by grants from the German Academic Exchange Service and the A.F.W. Schimper Stiftung für ökologische Forschung to JN and by the Australian Research Council and the University of New England to FG.

#### **Author Contributions**

JN collected and analysed the data and wrote the paper; ADR collected data and commented on the manuscript; FG and GK provided logistical support and discussed and commented on drafts of the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article**: Nowack, J. *et al.* Snoozing through the storm: torpor use during a natural disaster. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11243; doi: 10.1038/srep11243 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>