# scientific reports



# **Lamc1 promotes osteogenic OPEN diferentiation and inhibits adipogenic diferentiation of bone marrow‑derived mesenchymal stem cells**

**Lixia Zhao1,2, Shuai Liu1,2, Yanqiu Peng1 & Jian Zhang1**\*

**Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) exhibit multi-lineage diferentiation potential and robust proliferative capacity. The late stage of diferentiation signifes the functional maturation and characterization of specifc cell lineages, which is crucial for studying lineagespecifc diferentiation mechanisms. However, the molecular processes governing late-stage BMSC diferentiation remain poorly understood. This study aimed to elucidate the key biological processes involved in late-stage BMSC diferentiation. Publicly available transcriptomic data from human BMSCs were analyzed after approximately 14 days of osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic diferentiation. Thirty-one diferentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with diferentiation were identifed. Pathway enrichment analysis indicated that the DEGs were involved in extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interactions, focal adhesion, and glycolipid biosynthesis, a ganglion series process. Subsequently, the target genes were validated using publicly available single-cell RNA-seq data from mouse BMSCs. Lamc1 exhibited predominant distribution in adipocytes and osteoblasts, primarily during the G2/M phase. Tln2 and Hexb were expressed in chondroblasts, osteoblasts, and adipocytes, while St3gal5 was abundantly distributed in stem cells. Cell communication analysis identifed two receptors that interact with LAMCI. q-PCR results confrmed the upregulation of Lamc1, Tln2, Hexb, and St3gal5 during osteogenic diferentiation and their downregulation during adipogenic diferentiation. Knockdown of Lamc1 inhibited adipogenic and osteogenic diferentiation. In conclusion, this study identifed four genes, Lamc1, Tln2, Hexb, and St3gal5, that may play important roles in the late-stage diferentiation of BMSCs. It elucidated their interactions and the pathways they infuence, providing a foundation for further research on BMSC diferentiation.**

**Keywords** Mesenchymal stem cell, Osteogenesis, Adipogenesis, Laminin, Single-cell RNA-seq

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are pluripotent that can be obtained from various tissues, umbilical cord, amni-otic fluid, and placenta<sup>1-[4](#page-17-1)</sup>. It is capable of expanding and differentiating in vitro into a variety of mesodermal-type lineages, including bone, adipose, cartilage, muscle, tendon, and stroma, supporting hematopoiesis and the vascular system, and undergoes migration and paracrine signaling, which is not only crucial in embryonic development but also plays an important role in tissue homeostasis and repair throughout the life of an organism<sup>[5,](#page-17-2)[6](#page-17-3)</sup>. MSCs from diferent sources exhibit diferent diferentiation tendencies, and studies have shown that BMSCs exhibit greater APL activity, calcium deposition, and transformation capacity at an earlier age,compared with adipose-derived MSCs[7](#page-17-4) . Terefore, BMSCs were chosen for studying the molecular mechanisms of osteogenic diferentiation.

Key transcription factors for osteoblast diferentiation are required for the expression of osteoblast-specifc genes, including Runt-associated transcription factor 2 (Runx2) and osteocalcin (Ocn), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), type I collagen, bone bridging proteins, and bone sialic acid protein[8](#page-17-5)[–13](#page-17-6). Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), especially BMP-2, BMP-4, and BMP-7, activate the Smad signaling pathway, which in turn leads to the expression of Runx2 and Ocn and inhibits adipogenic differentiation<sup>[14](#page-17-7)[–16](#page-17-8)</sup>. In addition to BMP, the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway plays a key role in osteoblast differentiation<sup>17</sup>. Activation of this pathway leads to the

<sup>1</sup>Bioengineering College, Zunyi Medical University, 368 Jinwan Road, Zhuhai 519090, Guangdong, China. <sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally: Lixia Zhao and Shuai Liu. <sup>[2]</sup>email: jianzhang@zmu.edu.cn

accumulation of  $β$ -catenin in the cytoplasm, which then translocates to the nucleus, activates the transcription of osteoblast-specifc genes, and inhibits adipogenesis. On the other hand, adipocyte diferentiation is regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ )<sup>[18](#page-17-10)</sup>. PPAR $\gamma$  is considered a major regulator of adipogenesis because it controls the expression of many adipocyte-specifc genes. PPARγ activation not only promotes adipogenesis but also inhibits osteogenesis<sup>19</sup>. The balance between osteoblast and adipocyte differentiation is a tightly regulated process<sup>[20](#page-17-12)</sup>. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms that regulate this balance is essential for developing therapeutic approaches for diseases such as osteoporosis and obesity, which are characterized by an imbalance between bone and fat formation.

In mesenchymal stem cells, cellular matrix components play a key regulatory role in the directed diferentiation of mesoderm into osteoblasts or adipogenic cells<sup>21</sup>. The extracellular matrix is a complex network of many molecules, including collagens, glycoproteins (GPs), and ECM-associated proteins<sup>[22](#page-17-14)</sup>. Specific components of the ECM can infuence cell fate decisions; for instance, collagen promotes osteoblast diferentiation, whereas fibronectin may promote adipogenic cell differentiation<sup>[23](#page-17-15),24</sup>. Cell adhesion molecules and cell signaling molecules in the cell matrix are important regulators of the diferentiation process, transmitting signals and modulating cell behavior by interacting with receptors on the cell surface<sup>25,[26](#page-17-18)</sup>. For example, integrins increase the ability of cells to diferentiate osteoblastically, maintain bone homeostasis, and regulate bone mass while inhibiting the adipogenic differentiation of  $BMSCs^{27-29}$  $BMSCs^{27-29}$  $BMSCs^{27-29}$ . Taken together, the study of cellular matrix components contributes to our understanding of the mechanisms of germ layer-directed diferentiation.

BMSCs exhibit the most pronounced diference between days 14 and 17 of diferentiation, and the difer-ence between days 1[7](#page-17-4) and 21 of differentiation is not significant<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, our study combined tri-lineage diferentiation with bulk RNA-seq analysis and single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis to determine which factors simultaneously play key roles in osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic terminal phase diferentiation, and cell staining and RT-qPCR were used to verify the changes in target genes during diferentiation, aiming to provide a new basis for MSC therapies.

#### **Results**

#### **hBMSCs osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic diferentiation 14‑day transcriptome data cross‑identify 31 DEGs**

A preliminary comparison of the six datasets (GSE36923, GSE44303, GSE109503, GSE140861, GSE28205, GSE37558) was performed before the differential gene analysis. Through the box-and-line plot of the distribution of the 6 datasets, we concluded that there was no batch efect within the datasets (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)–c). According to the PCA plot of the distribution of the 6 datasets, the diferences between diferent types of data were signifcant, and the analysis was feasible (Fig. [1d](#page-2-0)–i). Diferential gene analysis of diferent types of data was performed separately, and DEGs coexpressed in similar datasets were selected to obtain 347 upregulated genes and 263 downregulated genes related to osteogenic diferentiation, 658 upregulated genes and 704 downregulated genes related to adipogenic diferentiation, and 106 upregulated genes and 48 downregulated genes related to cartilaginous dif-ferentiation (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a–i). There was an antagonistic relationship between the three classifications. To identify the genes that were coexpressed in each category based on the Venn diagram, the genes coexpressed in osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic diferentiation were compared two by two with opposite diferentiation trends, and a total of 31 DEGs were obtained (Fig. [2j](#page-4-0)–o).

#### **Enrichment analysis revealed that DEGs were concentrated in the extracellular matrix and related to ECM‑receptor interactions**

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed that the diferentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with an adjusted p-value (adj. P) < 0.05 were primarily enriched in biological processes and molecular functions related to collagen-containing extracellular matrix, hexosaminidase activity, binding to laminin, structural components of the extracellular matrix that confer tensile strength, and integrin binding (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)p). At KEGG pathway analysis revealed that DEGs with adj.P < 0.05 were associated with the ECM-receptor interaction, local adhesion, and glycolipid biosynthesis-ganglio series pathways (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)q).

#### **ceRNA network construction**

Using the String website, six target genes enriched in extracellular mesenchymal tissues were identifed, namely, Hapln1, Col4a1, Lamc1, Itga10, Col10a14, and Tln2, and two genes enriched in the target genes involved in the biosynthesis of glycosphingolipid-ganglio series pathways were identifed, namely, Hexb and St3gal5 (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)a,b). The gene symbols, abbreviations and functions are shown in Table [1.](#page-6-0) The two PPI networks of the target genes (60 nodes, 115 edges; 19 nodes, 20 edges) were obtained through the MCODE module of Cytoscape, with retention greater than or equal to 2, K-Core taken as 2, and Max. depth taken as 100 nodes. mRNAs are pink, miRNAs are purple, and lncRNAs are orange; the greater the connectivity of the nodes is, the greater the number of nodes. Lamc1 and Col4a1 are the core of the mRNA-miRNA-lncRNA interaction network and are closely related to noncoding RNAs that have been shown to play important roles in osteogenesis or adipogenesis, such as MALAT1, H19, XIST, and NEAT1.

### **Target gene expression was higher at both the adipose gene level and tissue level than at bone tissue**

Analysis of the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database revealed that the expression levels of Col4a1 and Lamc1 were signifcantly higher in adipose tissue compared to bone marrow tissue, both at the gene and tissue levels. Similarly, Tln2 exhibited slightly elevated gene expression in adipose tissue relative to bone marrow (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)c,d). Interestingly, while Hexb and St3gal5 displayed moderately higher gene expression in adipose tissue than in

2



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Quality of RNA-seq data of bone marrow-derived MSCs at the late stage of diferentiation. Box plot: (**a**) osteogenic diferentiation dataset (GSE28205, GSE37558); (**b**) adipogenic diferentiation dataset (GSE36923, GSE44303); (**c**) chondrogenic diferentiation dataset (GSE109503, GSE140861); PCA plot; (**d**) GSE37558; (**e**) GSE36923; (**f**) GSE140861; (**g**) GSE28205; (**h**) GSE44303; (**i**) GSE109503.

bone marrow, their tissue-level expression patterns were reversed, with higher levels observed in bone marrow compared to adipose tissue. The gene expression scores of the hub genes in abdominal adipose tissue, omental fat pads, subcutaneous adipose tissue, synovial joints, cartilage tissue, trabecular bone tissue, tibia, bone marrow, and myeloid cells were compared using the data obtained from the BGEE database. Col4a1 and Lamc1 had the highest expression in abdominal adipose tissue, omental fat pads, and subcutaneous adipose tissue, and Hexb had the highest expression in synovial joints, trabecular bone tissues, and bone marrow cells. Hapln1 was most highly expressed in cartilage tissues, and only Tln2 and Itga10 were expressed in bone marrow (Fig. [3e](#page-5-0)).

### **BMSCs are categorized into 11 clusters at single‑cell resolution**

We used t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) to investigate cellular heterogeneity within clusters, and after the integration of the two datasets (GSE128423, GSE156635) (n = 44053), we identified 21 cell clusters and 11 cell types-osteoblasts (n = 2411), chondrocytes (n = 8251), adipogenic cells (n = 5396), endothelial cells  $(n = 7682)$ , fibroblasts (n= 6949), neutrophils (n = 912), lymphocytes (n = 3957), megakaryocytes (n = 682), erythroblasts (n= 735), stem cells (n = 4908) and blastocytes (n = 2170) -and the biomarker expression of each



subgroup is given (Fig. [4a](#page-7-0),b). Erythrocytes were not removed since our focus was on the directed diferentiation of stem cells to adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes. Te distribution of the target genes is shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0)c.

# **Scoring of scRNA‑seq annotation reliability proves cluster annotation reliability**

We chose three methods to evaluate the reliability of the single-cell annotations. First, we used the WNT path-way and the ADIPOGENESIS pathway to sort the cells (Fig. [5a](#page-8-0),b). The WNT pathway was mainly distributed in osteoblasts, adipocytes, stromal cells, and chondroblasts, and the ADIPOGENESIS pathway was mainly distributed in adipocytes, stromal cells, and megakaryocytes, which was in line with our biological a priori experience. Then using the local similarity between cells, the stemness and differentiation potential of cells were assessed according to CytoTrace, and the degree of diferentiation was in the following order: stem cells < chondrocytes

4

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Target gene identifcation and enrichment analysis of RNA-seq data from bone marrow-derived ◂MSCs at the late stage of diferentiation (**a,b**) volcano plots of diferential gene expression in osteogenic diferentiation datasets (GSE28205 and GSE37558); (**c,d**) volcano plots of diferential gene expression in adipogenic diferentiation datasets (GSE36923 and GSE44303); (**e,f**) volcano plots of diferential gene expression in cartilageogenic diferentiation datasets (GSE109503 and GSE140861) volcano plots of diferential gene expression; (**g**) heatmap of diferential gene expression of osteogenic diferentiation datasets (GSE28205 and GSE37558) afer integration by the RRA algorithm; (**h**) heatmap of diferential gene expression of adipogenic diferentiation datasets (GSE36923 and GSE44303) afer integration of by the RRA algorithm; (**i**) heatmap of diferential gene expression of chondrogenic diferentiation dataset (GSE109503 and GSE140861) heatmap of diferential gene expression afer integration by the RRA algorithm. Venn diagrams of DEGs with opposite diferentiation trends in the three diferentiation directions. A total of 31 DEGs were obtained. (**j**) Intersection of osteogenic upregulated diferential genes and adipogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**k**) intersection of osteogenic upregulated diferential genes and chondrogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**l**) intersection of chondrogenic upregulated diferential genes and adipogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**m**) intersection of adipogenic upregulated diferential genes and chondrogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**n**) intersection of adipogenic upregulated diferential genes and chondrogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**o**) intersection of adipogenic upregulated diferential genes and osteogenic downregulated diferential genes; (**p**) diferential gene enrichment analysis (GO) bar graph; (**q**) diferential gene enrichment analysis (KEGG) network graph. *AD* adipogenesis, *OS* osteogenesis, *CH* chondrogenesis.

< osteoblasts < adipoblasts. Col4a1 and Lamc1 were mainly distributed in adipocytes and osteoblasts; Tln2 and Hexb were expressed in chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and adipocytes; Col10a1 was distributed in a small number of chondrocytes, Itga10 and Hapln1 were distributed in a large number of chondrocytes, and St3gal5 was distributed in a large number of stem cells (Fig. [5c](#page-8-0)). Using Monocle2 to perform a time-matching analysis of the four types of cells, it was concluded that the BMSCs can be divided into two diferent types of sources, both of which can be diferentiated into chondroblasts, and that the later stage is accompanied by the diferentiation of the adipoblasts with the osteoblasts with a large number of adipoblasts (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)d). Finally, cell cycle maps for all the subpopulations were generated and we concluded that stem cells, lymphocytes, and neutrophils were in the early stage of diferentiation, while the remaining subpopulations were in the late stage of diferentiation (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)e).

#### **Cell cycle analysis of target genes**

Based on the scatter plots, Col4a1, Lamc1, Hapln1, Itga10, Tln2, Hexb, were expressed at all times in osteoblasts, Col10a1 and St3gal5 were expressed to a lesser extent, and Itga10 with Lamc1 became more abundantly expressed at mitotic phase (M). Scatter plots of Runx2 and Bglap expression cycles are given as reference (Fig. [6\)](#page-9-0). In adipocytes, Col4a1 and Lamc1 were signifcantly more expressed than in osteoblasts, being abundantly expressed during mitosis (M), and Itga10, St3gal5, and Col10a1 were expressed during DNA synthesis (S), which is consistent with our previous prediction. Scatter plots of Pparγ and Fabp4 are given as reference (Fig. [7\)](#page-10-0). In chondrocytes, Hapln1 and Itga10 expression is reduced and Tln2 expression is elevated in mitosis (M). Scatter plots of Sox9 and Col2a1 are given as reference (Fig. [8\)](#page-11-0).

#### **Intercellular interactions in adipogenic, osteogenic diferentiation target two pairs of ligands that interact with Lamc1**

In BMSCs, osteoblasts communicate with adipogenic cells when adipogenic cells are used as receptors, and all three types of cells communicate with stem cells when stem cells are used as receptors (Fig. [9a](#page-12-0)). Considering that the pathway enriched for target genes is the ECM-receptor interaction pathway, to determine the mechanism of interactions in the stroma, we investigated the most signifcant ligand–receptor interactions in the LAMININ pathway (Fig. [9b](#page-12-0)). Lamc1-CD44 and Lamc1-Dag1 exhibited extreme diferentiation, followed by interactions at the level of individual ligands, and the ligands that interact with Lamc1 were identifed. LAMC1-CD44 interaction may afect stem cell diferentiation to bone or cartilage and adipose, and LAMC1-DAG1 interaction may afect osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic diferentiation relationships (Fig. [9](#page-12-0)c–e).

# **Target genes promote osteogenic diferentiation and inhibit adipogenic diferentiation**

The target genes Col10a1 and Hapln are predicted to play important roles in chondrogenic differentiation, and we have confrmed this in past reports. Similarly, Col4a1 and Itga10 have been shown to play important roles in osteogenic diferentiation, and therefore, no subsequent validation will be performed. During osteogenic diferentiation, the alizarin red staining results revealed gradual reddening with increasing days of induction, with MC3T3-E1 cells reaching the reddest at 7 days (Fig. [10](#page-13-0)a,b). We detected the expression of target genes and marker genes at 3 days, 5 days, and 7 days. Lamc1, Tln2, Hexb, and St3gal5 tended to be upregulated, and the expression of the marker gene Runx2 gradually increased to a maximum at 7 days (Fig. [10c](#page-13-0)–e). During adipogenic diferentiation, as the duration of induction increased, the red intensity of the 3T3-L1 cells gradually decreased, and on day 8, the red intensity of the 3T3-L1 cells decreased (Fig. [10f](#page-13-0),g). We detected the expression of target genes and marker genes afer 4 days, 6 days, and 8 days. Lamc1, Tln2, Hexb, and St3gal5 tended to be downregulated, and the expression of the marker gene Pparγ gradually increased to a maximum on 8 days (Fig. [10h](#page-13-0)–j). Laminin can increase the expression of calcium and increase the concentration of osteocalcin. Afer Lamc1 was knocked down in MC3T3-E1 and 3T3-L1 cells, the gene expression levels of Runx2 and Ocn were significantly downregulated, and those of Ppary and Fabp4 were also downregulated (Fig. [11c](#page-13-1)–e,h–j).Similarly,



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Expression of target genes in the database (**a**) mRNA–miRNA–lncRNA interaction network map composed of Hapln1, Col4a1, Lamc1, Itga10, Col10a1, and Tln2; (**b**) mRNA–miRNA–lncRNA interaction network map composed of Hexb and St3gal5. Expression in the HPA database; (**c**) histogram of diferential gene tissue expression levels; (**d**) histogram of diferential gene gene expression levels; expression in the Bgee database; (**e**) histogram of diferential gene tissue expression levels.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 1.** Eight hub genes and their functions.

the red color of the knocked-down cells was significantly lighter than that of the controls (Fig. [11a](#page-13-1),b,f,g). Similarly, the previous experiment was repeated with the housekeeping gene GAPDH and yielded similar results (Supplementary Fig. S1).

# **Methods**

# **Data collection and processing**

Gene expression profling data (GSE36923, GSE44303, GSE109503, GSE140861, GSE28205 and GSE37558) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). GSE109503 contained RNA-seq data, and the remaining fve gene sets were obtained from bulk RNA-seq data. GSE109503 and GSE140861 are chondrogenic diferentiation datasets; GSE109503 has four subsets (two controls and two experimental groups); GSE140861 has six subsets (three controls and three experimental groups); GSE28205 and GSE37558 are osteogenic diferentiation datasets; GSE28205 has six subsets (three control groups and three experimental groups); GSE37558 has six subsets (three control groups and three experimental groups); GSE36923 and GSE44303 are adipogenic diferentiation datasets; GSE36923 has seven subsets (four control groups and three experimental groups); and GSE44303 has seven subsets (four controls and three experimental groups).

Single-cell RNA-seq data (GSE128423 and GSE156635) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) based on a 10× Genomics assay with eight normal BMSC tissue samples. The summary results of the gene expression profling dataset information are provided in Table [2.](#page-14-0)

Microarray data were normalized ,and variance was analyzed using the Limma package in the R/Bioconductor sofware for matrix data from each GEO dataset, and RNA-seq data were normalized ,as was variance analyzed using the edgeR package. DEGs of the same cell type identifed from each of the six datasets were integrated based on different differentiation categories using the RobustRankAggreg package.  $\log 2FC \geq 1$  and  $P \leq 0.05$ were considered to indicate statistical signifcance.

PCA plots for each dataset were plotted using the FactoMineR package and the factoextra package in the R/ Bioconductor sofware. pheatmap package was used to plot heat maps of the genes afer data merging. ggplot2 package was used to plot volcano plots afer data merging. Venn plots were generated using TBtools sofware (version x64 v1.09876). GO function enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment were performed for 31 differential genes (DEGs) using the clusterProfiler package in R software. adj.  $P \le 0.05$  was considered statistically signifcant. Bar and bubble plots were drawn using the ggplot2 package, and network plots were drawn using the enrichplot package.

scRNA-seq data were preprocessed using the Seurat package in R sofware for matrix data from each GEO dataset, the PercentageFeatureset function was used to determine the proportion of mitochondrial genes, and correlation analyses were used to investigate the relationships between sequencing depth and mitochondrial gene sequences and total intracellular sequences. Each gene was expressed in at least 3 cells. The gene expression in each cell was greater than 500 and less than 6000, the mitochondrial content was less than 30%, and the UMI in each cell was at least greater than 200. The scRNA-seq data were normalized by the NormalizeData method afer data fltering, and highly variable genes were identifed by the FindVariableFeatures method to compute the mean expression and dispersion and converted to logarithmic format. Next, the data from the two scRNAseq datasets were integrated using the Harmony package, and then we performed principal component analysis (PCA) and reduced the data to the frst 17 PCA components (the number of components selected based on the standard deviation of the principal components—in the platform area of the Elbow Graph). To visualize the data, we used the Seurat package for t-distribution random neighbor embedding (tSNE) to project the cells in twodimensional space. We visualized clusters on 2D maps generated using t-distributed random neighbor embedding (t-SNE). Clusters were annotated according to CellMarker 2.0 ([http://yikedaxue.slwshop.cn/\)](http://yikedaxue.slwshop.cn/), and the annotated clusters were scored using the AUCell package, selecting the WNT pathway-a key pathway for osteogenesisand the ADIPOGENESIS pathway-a key pathway for adipogenesis. The cell cycle of the annotated clusters was inferred using the tricycle package, and the cell cycle in which the target genes are located was determined. The Cytotrace package was used to determine the stemness and diferentiation potential of stem cells, chondrocytes,

7



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 4. Subcluster identification of bone marrow-derived MSC single-cell sequencing data. (a) The TSNE algorithm was applied to the frst 17 PCAs for dimensionality reduction, and 22 cell clusters were successfully classifed; (**b**) cell clusters were manually annotated with CellMarker 2.0 according to the composition of marker genes and were successfully annotated into 11 subpopulations; (**c**) the expression levels of the target genes (Col 4a1,Lamc1,Itga10,Hapln1,Hexb,Col10a1,Tln2,St3gal5)in the subpopulations; (**d**) the marker gene bubble map. Reliability of subcluster annotation of single-cell data.

osteoblasts, and adipocytes, and based on the results of Cytotrace, Monocle2 was used to determine the direction of diferentiation of the four types of cells and to determine the site of expression of the target gene.

The CellChat package was used to query the role of intercellular communication, and the ECM-Receptor database was selected for subsequent analysis based on the KEGG enrichment results. The identify OverExpressedGenes function of the CellChat package was used to identify highly expressed genes, the identify Over-ExpressedInteractions function was used to identify highly expressed pathways, the project Data function was



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 5.** Proof of subgroup annotation reliability (**a**) the WNT pathway and the adult ADIPOGENESIS pathway were selected to score the cell subclusters; (**b**) the diferentiation of the four classes of cells was assessed according to Cytotrace; (**c**) the expression levels of the target genes (Col4a1,Lamc1,Itga10,Hapln1,Hexb,Col10 a1,Tln2,St3gal5)according to Cytotrace; (**d**) the diferentiation of the four classes of cells was assessed based on Monocle2; (e)the differentiation of the 11 classes of cells was assessed based on Tricycle.

used to project the relationship between the highly expressed genes and the pathways to the PPI network, the computeCommunProb function was used to calculate the probability of cell-to-cell communication, data with the minimum number of cells less than 10 were removed, the computeCommunProbPathway function was used to calculate the cell-to-cell communication at the level of signaling pathways, the aggregateNet function was used to calculate the aggregated cell-to-cell communication network, and the "LAMININ" signaling pathway was extracted.

The mechanism map was drawn via Figdraw (https://www.figdraw.com/static/index.html).

#### **PPI network construction**

The String website (<https://cn.string-db.org/>) analyzed protein functional interactions and selected interactions with a composite score>0.4. The RNAInter database ([http://www.rnainter.org/\)](http://www.rnainter.org/) is a complete source of RNA interaction data for studying the relationships between mRNAs, lncRNAs, and microRNAs. The RNAInter database was used to identify miRNAs that interact with mRNAs, as well as lncRNAs that interact with miRNAs,



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Figure 6.** Expression period of target genes of Lamc1 interaction in osteoblasts (**a**) Col4a1; (**b**) Lamc1; (**c**) Itga10; (**d**) Hapln1; (**e**) Hexb; (**f**) Col10a1; (**g**) Tln2; (**h**) St3gal5;(**i**) Bglap; (**j**) Runx2.

and interactions with a score > 0.5 was selected. Cytoscape (version v3.9.1) is an open-source bioinformatics software platform for visualizing molecular interaction networks. The relationship between target genes and non-coding RNAs was plotted using Cytoscape.

#### **Tissue distribution and gene expression identifcation**

Protein and gene expression levels in bone marrow and adipose tissue were compared with those in the HPA data-base using The Human Protein Atlas [\(https://www.proteinatlas.org/\)](https://www.proteinatlas.org/) as a validation tool to determine whether there are diferences in the expression of these genes in osteogenic and adipogenic diferentiation. Expression scores in abdominal adipose tissue, omental fat pads, subcutaneous adipose tissue, tibia, synovial tissue, synovial joints, cartilage tissue, trabecular bone tissue, and bone marrow tissue were used to validate whether there are diferences in the expression of these genes in osteogenic and adipogenic as well as cartilaginous diferentiation using the BGEE database ([https://bgee.org/\)](https://bgee.org/). Bar graphs were drawn using GraphPad Prism 9.

#### **Cell culture and diferentiation**

MC3T3-E1 cells (Procell Life, Science & Technology, China) were cultured in MEMα medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. The medium was changed every 2-3 days and the cells were used for experiments in which the cells were grown to the logarithmic growth phase. For osteogenic diferentiation, MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in osteogenic medium supplemented with 10 mM  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate and 50  $\mu$ g/mL L ascorbic acid osteogenic inducer. The



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Figure 7.** Expression period of target genes of Lamc1 interaction in in adipocytes (**a**) Col4a1; (**b**) Lamc1; (**c**) Itga10; (**d**) Hapln1; (**e**) Hexb; (**f**) Col10a1; (**g**) Tln2; (**h**) St3gal5;(**i**) Adipoq; (**j**) Fabp4.

cells were induced for 3, 5, or 7 days. 3T3-L1 cells (Procell Life, Science & Technology, China) were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/ml penicillin and streptomycin at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. The medium was changed every 2-3 days, and the cells were used for experiments in which the cells were grown to the logarithmic growth phase. For adipogenic diferentiation, 3T3-L1 cells were cultured in adipogenic induction medium supplemented with 0.5 µM 3-isobutyl-1-methyl xanthine , 1 µM dexamethasone and 10 µg/mL insulin adipogenic inducer for 2 days, and the medium was replaced with adipogenic maintenance medium supplemented with  $10 \mu g/mL$  insulin for 2 days. The medium was finally replaced with DMEM, and the culture was continued for 2–4 days.

### **Alizarin red staining method and Oil Red O staining**

Afer fxation with 80% ethanol for 30 min, cells were incubated with fresh alizarin red solution (Cyagen) for 10 min. Afer fxation with 4% neutral formaldehyde for 30 min, cells were incubated with saturated Oil Red O staining solution (Beyotime Biotechnology; Shanghai; China) for 10 min.

#### **Quantitative reverse transcription PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using triazole lysate lysis (Sangon Biotech; Shanghai; China), isopropanol, chloroform, and 75% ethanol solutions. Total RNA reverse transcription was induced using the HiScirpt Reverse Transcriptase kit (Novozymes Bio; Nanjing; China) The genes and primers used in the osteogenesis and adipogenesis process are listed in Table [3.](#page-14-1) Performed with a QuantStudio 5 real-time fuorescent quantitative PCR system (Applied Biosystems; Shanghai; China). Each sample was performed in triplicate. The sequences of the mRNAs were calculated according to the  $\Delta \Delta C_T$  relative stacking method. mRNAs were sequenced as Table [3](#page-14-1).



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Figure 8.** Expression period of target genes of Lamc1 interaction in chondrocy (**a**) Col4a1; (**b**) Lamc1; (**c**) Itga10; (**d**) Hapln1; (**e**) Hexb; (**f**) Col10a1; (**g**) Tln2; (**h**) St3gal5; (**i**) Col2a1; (**j**) Sox9.

#### **Lamc1 siRNA interference**

Lamc1 siRNA was obtained from GenePharma Corporation. siRNA was transfected into MC3T3-El cells using the gp transfection mate (GenePharma Corporation) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 24 h later, induction was initiated, and cells were collected 3–7 days later for alizarin red staining, and real-time quantitative RT PCR analysis. The siRNA was transfected into 3T3-L1 cells using gp transfection mate (GenePharma Corporation) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Afer 90% confuence, induction was initiated, and the cells were collected 4–8 days later for oil red o staining, and real-time quantitative qPCR analysis experiments. The sequence of the siRNA was as follows. NC:5'-UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT-3' and 5'-ACG UGACACGUUCGGAGAATT-3′ ; Lamc1-siRNA: 5′ -GCCGUAAUCUCAGACAGUUTT-3′ and 5′ - AACUGU CUGAGAUUACGGCTT-3′ .

#### **Molecular docking analysis**

The Hdock website (<http://hdock.phys.hust.edu.cn/>) was used to perform simulated docking studies of Laminin-111 (Entry ID:5mc9)with DAG1 (Entry ID: 5llk) or CD44 (Entry ID:4pz3), the PymoL sofware (version v3.0.0) was used to perform pre-docking treatments (water molecules from the structure and co-crystallized ligands were removed, H atoms were added) and Pymol was used for the visual presentation of the docking complexes, with the results with the highest docking scores being selected.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Figure 9. The molecular mechanism of Lamc1 interaction (a) hierarchical plot of cellular communication; (b) the most important ligand-receptor interactions in the laminin pathway (top 20); (**c**) LAMC1-Dag1 interaction chord diagram; (**d**) LAMC1-CD44 interaction chord diagram; (**e**) Demonstrate the specifc ligand–receptor interaction mechanisms of stem cells, osteoblasts, and adipogenic and chondrogenic cells in the Laminin pathway.

# **Discussion**

To investigate the molecular mechanisms of the multidirectional diferentiation of BMSCs, especially osteogenesis, adipogenesis and chondrogenesis, BMSCs exhibited signifcant cellular heterogeneity, and an integrated analysis of the multiscale transcriptome was performed in the present study. These DEGs were analyzed by bulk RNA-seq and scRNA-seq, and it was found that the late stage of diferentiation was the most signifcant in terms of interactions with the ECM receptor. It is well known that the ECM enhances cell recruitment through cell surface receptors, which determine cellular interactions with the ECM and trigger specifc cellular functions such as adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation<sup>30</sup>. Several studies have reported the critical role of the matrix in regulating the differentiation of MSCs toward the bone or adipose lineage $30,31$  $30,31$ .Recent studies have shown that stem cells can mechanically sense the hardness of their microenvironment, and the direction



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Figure 10.** Results of target gene expression experiments. (**a**) Alizarin red staining of osteoblasts induced for 3, 5 and 7 days; (**b**) capture images with camera,100× microscope,scale bar = 500 µm; qPCR results of target gene expression in osteoblasts: (**c**) 3 days; (**d**) 5 days; (**e**) 7 days. (**f**) Oil Red O staining of adipocytes induced for 4, 6 and 8 days; (g) capture images with camera,  $100 \times$  microscope, scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m; qPCR results of target gene expression in adipocyte: (**h**) 4 days; (**i**) 6 days; (**j**) 8 days.



<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Figure 11.** Results of target gene expression experiments afer knockdown of Lamc1. (**a**) Alizarin red staining of osteoblasts induced for 3, 5 and 7 daysafer knockdown of Lamc1; (**b**) capture images with camera, 100× microscope,scale bar =500 µm; qPCR results of target gene expression afer knockdown of Lamc1 in osteoblasts: (**c**) 3 days; (**d**) 5 days; (**e**) 7 days. (**f**) Oil Red O staining of adipocytes induced for 4, 6 and 8 days after knockdown of Lamc1; (g) capture images with camera,  $100 \times$  microscope, scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m; qPCR results of target gene expression afer knockdown of Lamc1 in adipocyte: (**h**) 4 days; (**i**) 6 days; (**j**) 8 days.

of differentiation of MSCs may change with changes in the matrix composition $32,33$  $32,33$  $32,33$ . For example, the extracellular matrix supplemented with glucan sulfate promotes the osteogenic diferentiation of mesenchymal stem cells<sup>[34](#page-18-4)</sup>, and type I collagen inhibits adipogenesis and differentiation by activating yes-associated proteins<sup>35</sup>. The latest research by Yangzi Jiang et al. showed that ECM produced by adult stem cells from diferent tissues has different cartilage induction abilities<sup>36</sup>. Osteoblasts are the main bone-forming cells, and they produce extracellular proteins that constitute the major components of bone. During bone formation, BMSCs diferentiate into osteoblasts, which produce bone by synthesizing an extracellular matrix composed of various proteins. The initial deposition of the extracellular matrix is called the osteoid, which is then mineralized into bone tissue by the accumulation of hydroxyapatite  $(Ca_10(PO_4)_6(OH)_2)$  as calcium phosphate<sup>37</sup>. As osteoblasts mature, they



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Table 2.** Summary information on gene expression profling datasets.



<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Table 3.** qPCR primer sequences.

transform into osteocytes, and revealing the detailed mechanisms that regulate the later stages of osteoblast dif-ferentiation and function is important for clinical applications<sup>[38](#page-18-8)</sup>. However, studies on the mechanisms underlying the diferentiation of bone marrow-derived MSCs in the late stages of diferentiation still need to be performed. We therefore selected an mRNA microarray dataset at the end of diferentiation to identify genes that showed signifcant heterogeneity in the diferentiation of BMSCs into adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes. lamc1 tended to increase osteoblastic diferentiation and decrease adipocytes, and Col4a1 had the opposite efect on diferentiation. Highly expressed Lamc1 and Col4a1 play key roles in the protein interaction network, and their

interactions with the key molecules involved in osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic diferentiation, H19, XIST, NEAT1, and MALAT1, have been identifed. According to our results, Hui-jian Chen et al. reported that Lama4 and Col4a1 are expressed in white adipose tissue at diferent sites and are upregulated during adipocyte differentiation<sup>39</sup>. Interestingly, the mRNA expression of Col4a1 was significantly increased after adipogenic induction of MSCs in a recent study, which is consistent with our expectations<sup>40</sup>. More importantly, laminin in the cell matrix contains three subunits:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . Lamc1 is the most commonly used  $\gamma$  chain and is present in most laminin molecules<sup>41</sup>. During the process of osteogenesis, Laminin activates downstream signaling pathways such as Rho GTPase and MAPK by interacting with Integrin, which in turn regulates the processes of cellular bone morphogenesis and bone matrix deposition, and participates in the directional diferentiation of stem cells to osteoblasts<sup>42,43</sup>.Lamc1 is present in LN-111 and LN-511, it has been shown to promote osteogenic differentia-tion by binding to integrins<sup>[41](#page-18-11)</sup>,which are key factors for the development of osteoblasts. The HPA database, Bgee database, and single-cell transcriptome data analysis revealed that Lamc1 expression was signifcantly greater in adipocytes than in osteoblasts, but Lamc1 tended to promote bone formation and inhibit adipogenic, and this tendency became more obvious with increasing induction time. Further studies showed that afer Lamc1 knockdown, the expression of osteogenic and adipogenic markers was signifcantly decreased, and diferentiation was inhibited. It is therefore reasonable to assume that Lamc1 plays an important role in regulating the balance of bone adipogenesis<sup>[44](#page-18-14)</sup>.

The distribution of target genes in bone marrow-derived MSCs, the cell cycle, and ligand–receptor interactions were determined by single-cell transcriptome analysis. Among these genes, Lamc1, which is expressed mainly during the G2/M phase in adipogenic cells and may afect intracellular signaling through interactions with Dag1, was used as a focus for determining the balance between osteogenic and adipogenic diferentiation. Dag1 (also known as α-glycoprotein) is involved in the adhesion between the extracellular matrix and cells, helps to maintain cell aggregation and structural stability, and participates in the assembly process of laminin and the basement membrane[45.](#page-18-15) Recent studies have shown that Dag1 plays a role in cartilage formation and osteoblast differentiation in vivo<sup>46,47</sup>. Another finding is that the interaction of Dag1 with laminin has been reported<sup>48</sup>. To prove our prediction, molecular docking experiments were performed on laminin and Dag1. The experimental results showed that laminin and Dag1 formed a total of 11 hydrogen bonds (Fig. [12](#page-16-0)a). Our data support the conclusion that the interaction of Dag1 and Lamc1 may infuence the balance between the adipogenic and osteogenic diferentiation of MSCs through cell adhesion, signaling, and gene regulation (Fig. [12c](#page-16-0)). Similarly, a total of four hydrogen bonds were formed afer molecular docking experiments were performed on laminin and CD44, initially validating the interaction between Lamc1 and CD44 (Fig. [12b](#page-16-0)).

In conclusion, this study aimed to identify DEGs that may be involved in osteogenic diferentiation, adipogenic diferentiation, and the onset and progression of chondrogenic diferentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs). A total of eight hub genes were identifed, four of which were discovered and proposed for the frst time in this study. Although these fndings are preliminary, they suggest the existence of previously unknown regulatory relationships governing the diferentiation process of BMSCs. Unfortunately, our experiments did not reveal new regulatory relationships between chondrogenesis and adipogenesis or osteogenesis, which may be related to our choice of a more conservative data merging approach.However, this conservative strategy ensured the accuracy of bioinformatic predictions. Despite these limitations, the identifed hub genes and their potential interactions provide valuable insights and lay the foundation for further investigation into the molecular mechanisms underlying the multi-lineage diferentiation of BMSCs.

# **Conclusion**

Tis study aimed to identify the key biological processes involved in the late stages of diferentiation of BMSCs. The following conclusions were demonstrated: eight target genes were identified in the context of trilineage differentiation antagonism, which is concentrated in the extracellular matrix and is associated with ECM-receptor interactions.Notably, Lamc1 expression was higher in adipose tissue than in bone tissue. Furthermore, Lamc1 exhibited maximal expression during the mitotic phase of the cell cycle. Bioinformatic analysis suggested that Lamc1 interacts with CD44 and may infuence the diferentiation of stem cells towards osteoblastic, chondrogenic, or adipogenic lineages. Additionally, the interaction between Lamc1 and Dag1 may afect the balance of trilineage diferentiation in BMSCs. Experimental validation confrmed that Lamc1 was upregulated during osteogenic diferentiation and downregulated during adipogenic diferentiation, indicating its essential role in regulating the diferentiation process.

# **Data availibility**

The datasets covered in this reasearch are all publicly available transcriptomic data from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Ullah M, Sittinger M, Ringe J. Transdiferentiation of adipogenically diferentiated cells into



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Figure 12.** Molecular docking and mechanism maps (**a**) Results of molecular docking of laminin to DAG1; (**b**) Results of molecular docking of laminin to CD44; (**c**) mechanism maps.

osteogenically or chondrogenically diferentiated cells: phenotype switching via dediferentiation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2014 Jan;46:124-37. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2013.11.010. Epub 2013 Nov 22. GSE36923. Tomaru Y, Hasegawa R, Suzuki T, Sato T, Kubosaki A, Suzuki M, Kawaji H, Forrest AR, Hayashizaki Y; FANTOM Consortium; Shin JW, Suzuki H. A transient disruption of fbroblastic transcriptional regulatory network facilitates trans-diferentiation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014 Aug;42(14):8905-13. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku567. Epub 2014 Jul 10. GSE44303. Huynh NPT, Zhang B, Guilak F. High-depth transcriptomic profling reveals the temporal gene

signature of human mesenchymal stem cells during chondrogenesis. FASEB J. 2019 Jan;33(1):358-372. doi: 10.1096/f.201800534R. Epub 2018 Jul 9. GSE109503. Somoza RA, Correa D, Labat I, Sternberg H, Forrest ME, Khalil AM, West MD, Tesar P, Caplan AI. Transcriptome-Wide Analyses of Human Neonatal Articular Cartilage and Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Cartilage Provide a New Molecular Target for Evaluating Engineered Cartilage. Tissue Eng Part A. 2018 Feb;24(3-4):335-350. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2016.0559. Epub 2017 Jul 28.GSE140861. Dani N, Olivero M, Mareschi K, van Duist MM, Miretti S, Cuvertino S, Patané S, Calogero R, Ferracini R, Scotlandi K, Fagioli F, Di Renzo MF. The MET oncogene transforms human primary bone-derived cells into osteosarcomas by targeting committed osteo-progenitors. J Bone Miner Res. 2012 Jun;27(6):1322-34. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1578. GSE28205. Alves RD, Eijken M, van de Peppel J, van Leeuwen JP. Calcifying vascular smooth muscle cells and osteoblasts: independent cell types exhibiting extracellular matrix and biomineralization-related mimicries. BMC Genomics. 2014 Nov 7;15(1):965. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-965. GSE37558. Baryawno N, Przybylski D, Kowalczyk MS, Kfoury Y, Severe N, Gustafsson K, Kokkaliaris KD, Mercier F, Tabaka M, Hofree M, Dionne D, Papazian A, Lee D, Ashenberg O, Subramanian A, Vaishnav ED, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Regev A, Scadden DT. A Cellular Taxonomy of the Bone Marrow Stroma in Homeostasis and Leukemia. Cell. 2019 Jun 13;177(7):1915-1932.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.040. Epub 2019 May 23. GSE128423. Sivaraj KK, Jeong HW, Dharmalingam B, Zeuschner D, Adams S, Potente M, Adams RH. Regional specialization and fate specifcation of bone stromal cells in skeletal development. Cell Rep. 2021 Jul 13;36(2):109352. doi: 10.1016/j. celrep.2021.109352.GSE156635.

Received: 15 May 2024; Accepted: 7 August 2024 Published online: 23 August 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. Johnson, J. *et al.* From mesenchymal stromal cells to engineered extracellular vesicles: A new therapeutic paradigm. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **9**, 705676 (2021).
- 2. Chen, Q. *et al.* Fate decision of mesenchymal stem cells: Adipocytes or osteoblasts?. *Cell Death Difer.* **23**(7), 1128–1139 (2016).
- 3. Jain, M. *et al.* Amniotic fuid mesenchymal stromal cells derived from fetuses with isolated cardiac defects exhibit decreased proliferation and cardiomyogenic potential. *Biology* **12**(4), 552 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>4. Biswas, A. *et al.* Human placenta/umbilical cord derivatives in regenerative medicine—Prospects and challenges. *Biomater. Sci.* **11**(14), 4789–4821 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>5. Liebig, B. E. et al. The platelet-rich plasma and mesenchymal stem cell milieu: A review of therapeutic effects on bone healing. *J. Orthop. Res.* **38**(12), 2539–2550 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>6. Jiang, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, X., *et al*. Advances in mesenchymal stem cell transplantation for the treatment of osteoporosis. *Cell Prolif.* **54**(1), (2021).
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>7. Mohamed-Ahmed, S. *et al.* Adipose-derived and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: A donor-matched comparison[J]. *Stem*  Cell Res. Therapy  $9(1)$ , 168 (2018).
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>8. Liu, D. D. *et al.* RUNX2 regulates osteoblast diferentiation via the BMP4 signaling pathway. *J. Dent. Res.* **101**(10), 1227–1237  $(2022)$
- 9. Komori, T. Functions of osteocalcin in bone, pancreas, testis, and muscle. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**(20), 7513 (2020).
- 10. Fisher, L. A. B. & Schöck, F. Te unexpected versatility of ALP/Enigma family proteins. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **10**, 963608 (2022). 11. Kriegel, A. *et al.* Bone sialoprotein immobilized in collagen type I enhances bone regeneration in vitro and in vivo. *Int. J. Bioprint.*
- **8**(3), 591 (2022). 12. Wei, J. *et al.* Glucose uptake and Runx2 synergize to orchestrate osteoblast diferentiation and bone formation. *Cell* **161**(7), 1576–1591 (2015).
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>13. Neve, A., Corrado, A. & Cantatore, F. P. Osteocalcin: Skeletal and extra-skeletal efects. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **228**(6), 1149–1153 (2013).
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>14. Tóth, F. *et al.* Efect of inducible bone morphogenetic protein 2 expression on the osteogenic diferentiation of dental pulp stem cells in vitro. *Bone* **132**, 115214 (2020).
- 15. Cheng, H. *et al.* Bone morphogenetic protein 4 rescues the bone regenerative potential of old muscle-derived stem cells via regulation of cell cycle inhibitors. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **13**(1), 385 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>16. Bharadwaz, A. & Jayasuriya, A. C. Osteogenic diferentiation cues of the bone morphogenetic protein-9 (BMP-9) and its recent advances in bone tissue regeneration[J]. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl.* **120**, 111748 (2021).
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>17. Deng, L. *et al.* MFN2 knockdown promotes osteogenic diferentiation of iPSC-MSCs through aerobic glycolysis mediated by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway[J]. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 13(1), 162 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>18. Cao, Y. *et al.* PPARγ as a potential target for adipogenesis induced by fne particulate matter in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **57**(20), 7684–7697 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>19. Liu, C. *et al.* CHD7 regulates bone-fat balance by suppressing PPAR-γ signaling. *Nat. Commun.* **13**(1), 1989 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>20. Liu, Z.-Z. *et al.* Autophagy receptor OPTN (optineurin) regulates mesenchymal stem cell fate and bone-fat balance during aging by clearing FABP3. *Autophagy* **17**(10), 2766–2782 (2021).
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>21. Benayahu, D., Wiesenfeld, Y. & Sapir-Koren, R. How is mechanobiology involved in mesenchymal stem cell diferentiation toward the osteoblastic or adipogenic fate?. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **234**(8), 12133–12141 (2019).
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>22. Levi, N. et al. The ECM path of senescence in aging: Components and modifiers. *FEBS J.* 287(13), 2636-2646 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>23. Yanli, Z. *et al.* MY-1-loaded nano-hydroxyapatite accelerated bone regeneration by increasing type III collagen deposition in early-stage ECM via a Hsp47-dependent mechanism. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **12**(20), e2300332 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>24. Wang, Y. *et al.* Impact of fbronectin knockout on proliferation and diferentiation of human infrapatellar fat pad-derived stem cells. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **7**, 321 (2019).
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>25. Xiong, X. *et al.* Extracellular matrix derived from human urine-derived stem cells enhances the expansion, adhesion, spreading, and diferentiation of human periodontal ligament stem cells. *Stem Cell Res. Ter.* **10**(1), 396 (2019).
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>26. Cai, R. *et al.* Matrices secreted during simultaneous osteogenesis and adipogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells afect stem cells diferentiation. *Acta Biomater.* **35**, 185–193 (2016).
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>27. Zhang, D. *et al.* CircRNA-vgll3 promotes osteogenic diferentiation of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells via modulating miRNA-dependent integrin α5 expression. *Cell Death Difer.* **28**(1), 283–302 (2021).
- 28. Morandi, E. M. *et al.* ITGAV and ITGA5 diversely regulate proliferation and adipogenic diferentiation of human adipose derived stem cells. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28889 (2016).
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>29. Zhu, J., Li, J., Yao, T., *et al*. Analysis of the role of irisin receptor signaling in regulating osteogenic/adipogenic diferentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng. Rev.* 1–24 (2023).
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>30. Hu, M., Ling, Z. & Ren, X. Extracellular matrix dynamics: Tracking in biological systems and their implications. *J. Biol. Eng.* **16**, 13 (2022).
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>31. Schlesinger, P. H. *et al.* Cellular and extracellular matrix of bone, with principles of synthesis and dependency of mineral deposition on cell membrane transport. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **318**(1), C111–C124 (2020).
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>32. El-Rashidy, A. A. *et al.* Efect of polymeric matrix stifness on osteogenic diferentiation of mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells: Concise review. *Polymers* **13**(17), 2950 (2021).
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>33. Chen, Y. *et al.* ECM scafolds mimicking extracellular matrices of endochondral ossifcation for the regulation of mesenchymal stem cell diferentiation. *Acta Biomater.* **114**, 158–169 (2020).
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>34. Wan, H.-Y. *et al.* Dextran sulfate-amplifed extracellular matrix deposition promotes osteogenic diferentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. *Acta Biomater.* **140**, 163–177 (2022).
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>35. Liu, X. *et al.* Type I collagen inhibits adipogenic diferentiation via YAP activation in vitro. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **235**(2), 1821–1837  $(2020)$
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>36. Jiang, Y. & Tuan, R. S. Bioactivity of human adult stem cells and functional relevance of stem cell-derived extracellular matrix in chondrogenesis. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **14**(1), 160 (2023).
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>37. Lin, X. *et al.* Te bone extracellular matrix in bone formation and regeneration. *Front. Pharmacol.* **11**, 757 (2020).
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>38. O'Doherty, M. *et al.* Improving the intercellular uptake and osteogenic potency of calcium phosphate via nanocomplexation with the RALA peptide. *Nanomaterials (Basel, Switzerland)* **10**(12), 2442 (2020).
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>39. Chen, H. Adipose extracellular matrix deposition is an indicator of obesity and metabolic disorders. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **111**, 109159 (2023).
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>40. Ullah, M., Sittinger, M. & Ringe, J. Extracellular matrix of adipogenically diferentiated mesenchymal stem cells reveals a network of collagen flaments, mostly interwoven by hexagonal structural units. *Matrix Biol.* **32**(7–8), 452–465 (2013).
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>41. Chen, C., Jiang, Z. & Yang, G. Laminins in osteogenic diferentiation and pluripotency maintenance. *Diferentiation* **114**, 13–19 (2020).
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>42. Yap, L. Laminins in cellular diferentiation. *Trends Cell Biol.* **29**(12), 987–1000 (2019).
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>43. Sun, M. Extracellular matrix stifness controls osteogenic diferentiation of mesenchymal stem cells mediated by integrin α5. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 9(1), 52 (2018).
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>44. Yang, Y. *et al.* Mesenchymal Stem cell-derived extracellular matrix enhances chondrogenic phenotype of and cartilage formation by encapsulated chondrocytes in vitro and in vivo. *Acta Biomater.* **69**, 71–82 (2019).
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>45. Henry, M. D. *et al.* Distinct roles for dystroglycan, β1 integrin and perlecan in cell surface laminin organization. *J. Cell Sci.* **114**(Pt 6), 1137–1144 (2001).
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>46. Souza, A. T. P. *et al.* Te extracellular matrix protein Agrin is expressed by osteoblasts and contributes to their diferentiation. *Cell Tissue Res.* **386**(2), 335–347 (2021).
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>47. Eldridge, S. *et al.* Agrin mediates chondrocyte homeostasis and requires both LRP4 and α-dystroglycan to enhance cartilage formation in vitro and in vivo. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **75**(6), 1228–1235 (2016).
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>48. in, S. H., Kim, S. K. & Lee, S. B. M. leprae interacts with the human epidermal keratinocytes, neonatal (HEKn) via the binding of laminin-5 with α-dystroglycan, integrin-β1, or -β4[J]. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **13**(6), e0007339 (2019).

# **Author contributions**

L.Z. and S.L. wrote the main manuscript text and Y.P. typesetted and checked the manuscripts. J.Z. led and supervised the planning and execution of the experiments. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

# **Funding**

Tis research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers 81960171 and 82360167) and Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Program (Guizhou Science and Technology Foundation-ZK[2022] General 620).

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-69629-4) [10.1038/s41598-024-69629-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-69629-4).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to J.Z.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2024