scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Biochar production under diferent pyrolysis temperatures with diferent types of agricultural wastes

El‑Sayed Khater1***, Adel Bahnasawy1 , Ramy Hamouda2 , Amr Sabahy3 , WaelAbbas4 & Osama M. Morsy4**

The main aim of this study is to determine the physical and chemical properties of biochar synthesized from diferent materials (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves) at diferent pyrolysis temperatures (400, 600, and 800 °C). The physical and chemical properties such as moisture content, water holding capacity, bulk density, and porosity; and pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic matter, organic carbon, total nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and sulfur were determined, respectively. The results show that the biochar yield decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperature, and the values of the analyzed properties varied depending on the type of biochar and pyrolysis temperature. The moisture content ranged from 1.11 to 4.18%, and the water holding capacity ranged from 12.9 to 27.6 g water g−1 dry sample. The highest value of bulk density (211.9 kg m−3) was obtained from sawdust at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C. The porosity values ranged from 45.9 to 63.7%. The highest values of pH and EC (10.4 and 3.46 dS m−1) were obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C. Total organic matter ranged from 66.0 to 98.1%, total organic carbon ranged from 38.3 to 56.9%, and total nitrogen ranged from 0.4 to 1.9%. The highest values of phosphorus and calcium content (134.6 and 649.0 mg kg−1) were obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C. The magnesium, sodium and sulfur content had ranges of 10.9–51.7, 1124–1703 and 3568–12,060 mg kg−1, respectively.

Biochar is a carbon-rich material which is produced by of heating biomass such as wood, manure, or leaves, at temperatures of 400–700 °C in a closed container in which oxygen is absent or depleted¹. Biochar is used as an amendment to soil growth media and is produced by the pyrolysis of organic wastes. The pyrolysis process produces biochar and two additional materials—syngas and bio-oil—that have commercial value as energy sources. Recently, biochar has received considerable attention as a soil amendment for increasing agricultural productivity^{2[,3](#page-6-2)}. Alghashm *et al*^{[4](#page-6-3)} mentioned that the pyrolysis temperature for preparing biochar ranged from 400 to 900 °C. Jindo *et al*[5](#page-6-4) selected some organic wastes (rice husk, rice straw, wood chips of apple tree and oak tree) with diferent characteristics and were pyrolyzed at diferent temperatures (400, 500, 600, 700 and 800 °C) in order to optimize the physicochemical properties of biochar as a soil amendment.

Scientists and policymakers are beginning to recognize the important role of biochar in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, production of renewable energy, mitigation of waste, and application as a soil amendment^{[6](#page-6-5)}. Biochar is used in many fields. In agriculture, it is used as an organic fertilizer that degrades over a long time. When added to soil, it positively afects the soil fertility, the total biogenic components, physical and water characteristics, and biological features^{7,[8](#page-6-7)}.

The physical characteristics of biochar render it a useful tool for environmental management. The physical properties of biochar can afect soil systems directly and indirectly. Diferent soils have distinct physical features that are dependent upon the nature and relative quantity of their mineral and organic matter content, as well as the association between the minerals and organic matter⁹. Biochar added to a soil mixture can significantly

1 Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, P.O. Box 13736, Moshtohor, Toukh, Kalubia, Egypt. ²Faculty of Organic Agriculture, Heliopolis University, P.O. Box 11785, Cairo, Egypt. ³Institute of Agricultural Engineering Research, Agriculture Research Center, Doki, Giza, Egypt. ⁴Basic and Applied Science Department, College of Engineering and Technology, Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport (AASTMT), P.O. Box 2033, Cairo, Egypt. ^[2]email: alsayed.khater@fagr.bu.edu.eg

contribute to the physical nature of the system by afecting the penetration depth, structure, texture, porosity, and consistency by changing the surface area, particle size distribution, pore size distribution, density, and packing 10 . The influence of biochar on the physical features of soil can then have a direct impact on plant growth because the penetration depth and availability of air and water in the root zone are largely determined by the physical make-up of the soil horizons. Biochar's presence in soil directly afect the soil's response to water, as well as its aggregation, workability during soil preparation, swelling shrinking dynamics, permeability, capacity to retain cations, and response to ambient temperature changes. In addition, many chemical and biological aspects of soil fertility can be indirectly inferred from these physical properties, such as the physical availability of sites for chemical reactions and the provision of protective habitats for soil microbes^{11,[12](#page-6-11)}.

Biochar has higher nutrient retention capacity and higher resistance to degradation by microorganisms which are due to chemical and colloidal structure¹³. Despite of high significant losses of nutrients during pyrolysis, biochar has positive responses on the soil, because it neutralizes the toxins and improves the physical properties of soils such as water retention as well as develop a protection against heavy metal pollution and reduce soil compaction¹¹. Physical and chemical features of biochar vary by the variation of the properties of raw materials used and conditions of pyrolysis 14 .

Soil properties such as physical and biological properties could be improved by using biochar and it is used as preventive against heavy metal stress of soil^{15[,16](#page-6-15)}. Biochar produced from cotton stalk has capacity of holding higher Cadium (Cd) in soil contaminated with Cd. It reduced the biological efect of Cd in soil by changing the morphological structure of Cd^{17} . Several studies have been covered the production of biochar from agriculture wastes which was used to prevent the heavy metals and organic pollutants in soil and water¹⁸.

Biochar could be used in improving anaerobic digestion as mentioned by Valentin *et al*[19](#page-6-18) whose stated that properties such as specifc surface area (SSA), cation exchange capacity, presence of functional groups and electrical conductivity were found favorable for increased methane production, reduction of lag phase, and adsorption of inhibitors.

The published works on biochar application to soil have predominantly focused on agronomic benefits, while the physical and chemical properties of the produced biochar and their efects on soil structure and texture have received little attention. Therefore, there is a lack of information about aspects of biochar that are important for plant growth and soil improvement. Tus, the main aim of this work is to determine same properties of biochar. In particular, the physical properties (bulk density, moisture content, water holding capacity, and porosity) and chemical properties (pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), organic matter, organic carbon, total nitrogen, and potassium) of diferent types of biochar were analyzed at diferent pyrolysis temperatures.

Materials and methods

The experiments were carried out at the Agricultural and Bio-Systems Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University, during the months of April and May, 2023 season under the regulations of International, National and Benha University which are consistent with the national and international guidelines and legislation. Biochar was produced from certain agricultural wastes, manly straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane plant residues, and tree leaves. The physical and chemical properties that are relevant to the manufacturing of biochar using these four materials are listed in Tables [1](#page-1-0) and [2,](#page-2-0) respectively.

All study materials (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane plant residues, and tree leaves) were sun-dried and cut into small pieces (less than 4–5 cm), which were then inserted into a ceramic vessel (500 cm³) and placed in a commercial electric furnace (SOMO-01 Isuzu, Japan). The material was charred for 6 h at different pyrolysis temperatures (400, 600, and 800 °C). The experiments were repeated 3 times and averages were taken.

Biochar yield

The biochar yield was calculated using Eq. (1) :

biochar yield =
$$
\frac{\text{weight of pyrolysis materials (g)}}{\text{mass of raw material input (kg)}}
$$
 (1)

Biochar physical properties

Moisture content (MC) of biochar was determined by drying the product at 105 °C for 24 h or to a constant weight according to ASAE²⁰.

Table 1. Physical properties of raw materials used in the production of biochar. Means on the same row with diferent superscripts are signifcantly diferent (*p*<0.05).

2

Table 2. Chemical properties of raw materials used in the production of biochar. Means on the same row with different superscripts are significantly different $(p < 0.05)$.

The Water Holding Capacity (WHC) of biochar was determined by measuring the weight of a wet sample (W*ⁱ*). Tis could be done by placing samples in a beaker for 1–2 days using distilled water. Excess water was drained through Whatman #2 filter paper, and the saturated sample was weighed again (*W_s*). The water holding capacity was calculated using the following equation (Ahn *et al*²¹):

$$
WHC = \frac{\{(W_s - W_i) + MC \times W_i\}}{\{(1 - MC) \times W_i\}}
$$
\n(2)

where W_i is the initial weight of the biochar (g), W_s is the saturated weight of the biochar (g), and MC is the initial moisture content of the sample (decimal).

The bulk density (BD) of biochar was determined by placing the biochar in a known volume scaled flask (1 L) and the mass of biochar in the scaled flask was measured. The sample weight was recorded and the bulk volume was measured. The bulk density was calculated using Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-1):

$$
BD = \frac{mass \text{ of biochar}}{Bulk \text{ volume of biochar}} \times 100 \tag{3}
$$

Biochar porosity (ε_a) was calculated using the following equation from 2^{2-24} :

$$
\varepsilon_a = 1 - \rho_{wb} \left(\frac{MC}{\rho_w} + \frac{DM \cdot OM}{\rho_{om}} + \frac{DM \cdot (1 - OM)}{\rho_{ash}} \right) \times 100
$$
 (4)

where ε_a is the biochar porosity (%), ρ_w is the water density (kg m⁻³), ρ_{wb} is the biochar bulk density (kg m⁻³), ρash is the ash density (kg m−3), *ρom* is the organic matter density (kg m−3), DM is the dry matter (decimal), and OM is the organic matter (decimal).

Biochar chemical properties

Electrical conductivity and pH were measured in a 1:5 (v/v) material/water extract using a glass electrode. Organic carbon (OC) was determined by using the dry combustion method at 540 °C for 4 h, as specified by²⁵, which involves heating a biochar sample in an oxygen-free environment until it loses all its volatile matter, then weighing the residue and calculating the organic carbon content by subtracting the inorganic carbon content. Organic matter was measured by combustion at 550 °C for 8 h according to²⁶, and total nitrogen (TN) was measured by Kjeldahl digestion (model VAPODEST; range 0.1 mg to 200 g N; Germany)²⁷. Potassium (K) content was determined by atomic absorption (model EMI9783B; range of 190–930 nm; USA), and phosphorus (P) content was determined using the calorimetric method^{[28](#page-7-3)}. The quantities of calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), and sodium (Na) were determined by a fame photometer (model Jenway PFP7; range0–160 mmol L−1; USA). Sulfur content was determined by using barium chloride following^{[29](#page-7-4)}.

Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to analysis using statistical package SPSS version 21 in which one way ANOVA and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) were performed at signifcance level of (*p* <0.05) at 95% confdence limit to know the signifcant diferences between the treatment means for diferent parameters.

Results and discussion

Biochar yield

Table [3](#page-3-0) and Fig. [1](#page-3-1) show the biochar yield for diferent biochar types (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves) at different pyrolysis temperatures (400, 600, and 800 °C). The results indicate that the biochar yield

decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the temperature from 400 to 800 °C the biochar yield significantly decreased from 378.2 to 216.7 g kg⁻¹ (57.29% decrease), 331.4 g kg⁻¹ to 204.1 g kg⁻¹ (61.59%) decrease), 450.1 g kg⁻¹ to 322.5 g kg⁻¹ (71.65% decrease), and 277.9 g kg⁻¹ to 165.0 g kg⁻¹ (59.37% decrease) for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane plant residues, and tree leaves, respectively. The biochar yield decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperature as a result of the increased burning rate, because the variation of lignin and cellulose content of biomass and conversion of organic matter to ash, which reduced the carbon content of the biochar. These results agree with those obtained by Jindo *et al*^{[5](#page-6-4)}. They found that the yield of biochar from apple tree branch, tree oak, rice husk, and rice straw decreased from 283 to 155 g kg−1, 358 to 191 g kg−1, 486 to

320 g kg−1, and 393 to 183 g kg−1, respectively, when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 400 to 800 °C. The results also indicate that the highest biochar yield (450.1 g kg⁻¹) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C, because the sugar cane content higher total solids compared to the other materials used in this study. While the lowest biochar yield $(165.0 g kg⁻¹)$ was obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C. These results agree with those obtained by Sarfraz *et al*³⁰. They found the highest value of biochar yield was obtained at pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C, while, the lowest value of biochar yield was obtained at pyrolysis temperature of 700 °C.

Physical properties

Table [4](#page-4-0) shows the physical properties (moisture content, water holding capacity, bulk density, and porosity) of the diferent types of biochar (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves) at diferent pyrolysis temperatures (400, 600, and 800 °C). The results indicate that the moisture content (MC) decreased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C signifcantly decreased the MC from 2.64 to 1.11%, 2.59 to 1.34%, 3.17 to 1.66%, and 4.18% to 2.19% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The results also show that the highest moisture content (4.18%) was obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C, while the lowest moisture content (1.11%) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C.

The water holding capacity (WHC) significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis from 400 to 800 °C increased the water holding capacity from 12.9 to 22.5, 20.3 to 24.1, 24.9 to 27.6, and 20.8 to 24.8 g water g^{−1} dry for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest WHC (27.6 g water g−1 dry) was obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest WHC (12.9 g water g⁻¹ dry) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C. These results agreed with those obtained by Alkhasha *et al*^{[31](#page-7-6)}. They found that the WHC was increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature for date palm wastes.

4

Table 4. Physical properties of diferent biochar types. Means on the same column with diferent superscripts are significantly different $(p<0.05)$. *WHC is water holding capacity.

The bulk density (BD) also significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the bulk density from 161.5 to 187.1, 195.0 to 211.9, 175.7 to 194.1, and 188.0 to 199.4 kg m⁻³ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest bulk density (211.9 kg m−3) was obtained from sawdust at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest bulk density (161.5 kg m⁻³) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The porosity decreased with significantly increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C decreased the porosity from 63.7 to 56.1%, 51.0 to 45.9%, 61.8 to 54.3%, and 55.5 to 49.2% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest porosity (63.7%) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C, while the lowest porosity (47.2%) was obtained from sawdust at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C.

The biochar porosity was dependent on the bulk density and moisture content of biochar, and the porosity decreased with increasing bulk density and moisture content. The results indicate that the porosity of biochar decreased from 63.7 to 56.1%, 51.0 to 45.9%, 61.8 to 54.3%, and 55.5 to 49.2% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively, when the bulk density increased from 161.5 to 187.1, 195.0 to 211.9, 175.7 to 194.1, and 188.0 to 199.4 kg m−3 and the moisture content increased from 2.64 to 1.11%, 2.59 to 1.34%, 3.17 to 1.66%, and 4.18 to 2.19%. These results agree with those obtained by Brewer *et al*³². They found the biochar density increased from 250 to 600 kg m⁻³, when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 350 to 450 °C for wood biochars.

Biochar chemical properties

Table [5](#page-5-0) shows the analyzed chemical characteristics (pH, EC, organic matter, organic carbon, total nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and sulfur content) of the diferent types of biochar (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves) at diferent pyrolysis temperatures (400, 600, and 800 °C).

The results indicate that the pH significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the pH from 8.2 to 9.4, 7.3 to 7.6, 6.6 to 8.9, and 8.7 to 10.4 for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. These results agree with those obtained by Alghashm *et al^{[4](#page-6-3)}*. They found the pH of biochar increased from 9.19 to 12.52 with pyrolysis temperature ranging from 400 to 900 °C. The results also indicate that the highest pH (10.4) was obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest pH (6.6) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C. However, biochar pH values may vary depending on the feedstock and production process. The observed increase in the pH of the four biochar types at higher temperatures is probably a consequence of the relative concentration of non-pyrolyzed inorganic elements that were present in the original feedstocks^{[33](#page-7-8)}. They found the pH was increased from 7.9 to 8.6, 5.9 to 7.2, 8.7 to 10.3 and 5.8 to 8.0, when the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 400 to 500 °C for peanut hull, pecan shell, poultry litter and switch grass, respectively.

The results also indicate that the EC significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the EC from 1.48 to 2.90, 0.94 to 1.52, 0.82 to 1.27, and 2.11 to 3.46 dS m⁻¹ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest EC (3.46) dS m−1) was obtained from tree leaves at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest EC (0.82 dS m−1) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C. These results agree with those obtained by Shenbagavalli and Mahimairaja^{[34](#page-7-9)}, they found that the EC of biochar (paddy straw, maizestover, groundnut shell, coconut shell, coir waste and prosopis wood) ranged from 0.39 to 4.18 dS m−1.

The organic matter (OM) increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature significantly. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the organic matter from 66.0 to 90.5%, 74.8 to 96.7%, 87.8 to 98.1%, and 71.0 to 95.5% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. These results agree with those obtained by Wu *et al*³⁵. They found the organic matter of biochar prepared from Typha orientalis was increased from 31.63 to 40.54%, when the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 300 to 500 °C. The highest organic matter

Table 5. Chemical properties of diferent biochar types. Means on the same column with diferent superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$). *OM, organic matter. **OC, organic carbon. ***TN, total nitrogen. ****K, potassium.

content (98.1%) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest organic matter content (66.0%) was obtained from rice straw at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 $^{\circ}$ C. These results agree with those obtained by^{30[,36](#page-7-11)}. They found the highest value of organic matter content was found with the biochar synthesized from sugar cane.

Organic carbon (OC) content signifcantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the organic carbon content from 38.3 to 52.5%, 43.4 to 56.1%, 50.9 to 56.9%, and 41.2 to 55.4% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. These results agree with those obtained by Yargicoglu *et al*^{[37](#page-7-12)}. They found that the organic carbon content ranged from 23.5 to 78.1%. The highest organic carbon content (56.9%) was from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest organic matter content (38.3%) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The total nitrogen (TN) decreased with significantly increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C decreased the total nitrogen from 0.9 to 0.4%, 1.4 to 0.5%, 1.9 to 1.3%, and 1.5 to 0.7% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. These results agree with those obtained by Jindo *et a*^{[5](#page-6-4)}, they found that the total nitrogen decreased from 0.76 to 0.34%, 0.69 to 0.32%, 0.69 to 0.22%, and 1.22 to 0.25% for apple tree, tree oak, rice husk, and rice straw, respectively, when the pyrolysis temperature increased from 400 to 800 °C. The highest TN content (1.9%) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C, while the lowest TN content (0.4%) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C.

The potassium (K) content significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the potassium content from 0.6 to 1.6%, 1.3 to 2.7%, 2.2 to 3.5%, and 1.8 to 2.9% for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest potassium content (3.5%) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest potassium content (0.6%) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The results indicate that the phosphorus (P) content significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the phosphorus from 47.6 to 62.3, 65.5 to 121.3, 77.3 to 134.6, and 59.6 to 70.9 mg kg⁻¹ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The results also indicate that the highest phosphorus content (134.6 mg kg⁻¹) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest phosphorus content (47.6 mg kg−1) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The results also indicate that the calcium (Ca) content significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the calcium content from 241.3 to 264.2, 491.6 to 546.1, 513.1 to 649.0, and 353.7 to 444.9 mg kg−1 for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest calcium content (649.0 mg kg⁻¹) was obtained at from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest calcium content (241.3 mg kg−1) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The magnesium (Mg) content significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the magnesium content from 10.9 to 13.2, 21.4 to 27.8, 47.2 to 51.7, and 30.7 to 39.0 mg kg⁻¹ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest magnesium content (51.7 mg kg−1) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest magnesium content (10.9 mg kg−1) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The sodium (Na) content also significantly increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the sodium content from 1124 to 1329, 1034 to 1109, 1604 to 1703, and 1204 to 1509 mg kg⁻¹ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest sodium content (1703 mg kg−1) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest sodium content (1124 mg kg−1) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

The sulfur $(S₄)$ content increased with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 400 to 800 °C increased the sulfur content from 3568 to 4360, 9752 to 10,138, 11,235 to 12,060, and 10,334 to 11,241 mg kg⁻¹ for straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane, and tree leaves, respectively. The highest sulfur content (12,060 mg kg−1) was obtained from sugar cane at a pyrolysis temperature of 800 °C, while the lowest sulfur content (3568 mg kg−1) was obtained from straw rice at a pyrolysis temperature of 400 °C.

Conclusions

Yield, physical and chemical properties of biochar synthesized from diferent agricultural wastes (straw rice, sawdust, sugar cane plant residues, and tree leaves) under diferent pyrolysis temperature (400, 600, and 800 °C) were determined. The results revealed that yield was affected by both temperature and content of raw materials, where, sugar cane gave the highest yield (450.1 g kg⁻¹) compared to other materials. The moisture content of biochar ranged from 1.11 to 4.18%, and the WHC ranged from 12.9 to 27.6 g water g⁻¹ dry. The bulk density ranged from 161.5 to 211.9 kg m⁻³. The porosity ranged from 45.9 to 63.7%. The pH ranged from 6.6 to 10.4, and the EC ranged from 0.82 to 3.46 dS m⁻¹. The total organic matter content ranged from 66.0 to 98.1%, the total organic carbon content ranged from 38.3 to 56.9%, and the TN content ranged from 0.4 to 1.9%. The total K content ranged from 0.6 to 3.5%. The P and Ca content ranged from 47.6 to 134.6 and 241.3 to 649.0 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, for different compost types. The magnesium, sodium, and sulfur content ranged from 10.9 to 51.7, 1124 to 1703, and 3568 to 12,060 mg kg−1, respectively. More studies are recommended on biochar properties with mixed biomass. Further studies are recommended to study the feasibility of using biochar in soil improvement compared to other commercial fertilizers.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 15 July 2023; Accepted: 17 January 2024 Published online: 01 February 2024

References

- 1. Igalavithana, A. D. *et al.* Efect of corn residue biochar on the hydraulic properties of sandy loam soil. *Sustainability* **9**, 2–10 (2017). 2. Lehmann, J., Gaunt, J. & Rondon, M. Bio-char sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems—A review. *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Glob. Change* **11**, 395–419 (2006).
- 3. Shackley, S. *et al.* Biochar, tool for climate change mitigation and soil management. In *Geoengineering Responses to Climate Change* (eds Lenton, T. & Vaughan, N.) 73–140 (Springer, 2013).
- 4. Alghashm, S. *et al.* Properties of biochar from anaerobically digested food waste and its potential use in phosphorus recovery and soil amendment. *Sustainability* **10**, 2–11 (2018).
- 5. Jindo, K., Mizumoto, H., Sawada, Y., Sanchez-Monedero, M. A. & Sonoki, T. Physical and chemical characterization of biochars derived from diferent agricultural residues. *Biogeosciences* **11**, 6613–6621 (2014).
- 6. Lehmann, J. Bio-energy in the black. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **5**, 381–387 (2007).
- 7. Galvez, A. *et al.* Short term efects of bioenergy by-products on soil C and N dynamics, nutrient availability and biochemical properties. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **160**, 3–14 (2012).
- 8. Mohan, D., Sarswat, A., Ok, Y. & Pittman Jr., S. C. U. Organic and inorganic contaminants removal from water with biochar, a renewable, low cost and sustainable adsorbent. *Bioresour. Technol.* **160**, 191–202 (2014).
- 9. Brady, N. C. & Weil, R. R. *An Introduction to the Nature and Properties of Soils* 14th edn. (Prentice Hall, 2008).
- 10. Robertson, S. J., Rutherford, M. P., López-Gutiérrez, J. C. & Massicotte, H. B. Biochar enhances seedling growth and alters rootvsymbioses and properties of sub-boreal forest soils. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* **92**, 329–340 (2012).
- 11. Mukherjee, A. & Zimmerman, A. Organic carbon and nutrient release from a range of laboratory-produced biochars and biochar soil mixtures. *Geoderma* **193**, 122–130 (2013).
- 12. Xiong, J. *et al.* Quantitative characterization of the site density and the charged state of functional groups on biochar. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **9**(6), 2600–2608 (2021).
- 13. Günal, E., Erdem, H. & Çelik, I. Efects of three diferent biochars amendment on water retention of silty loam and loamy soils. *Agric. Water Manag.* **208**, 232–244.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.06.004>(2018).
- 14. Xu, S. *et al.* Efect of biomass type and pyrolysis temperature on nitrogen in biochar, and the comparison with hydrochar. *Fuel* **291**, 120128 (2021).
- 15. Khan, S., Wang, N., Reid, B. J., Freddo, A. & Cai, C. Reduced bioaccumulation of pahs by *Lactuca satuva l.* Grown in contaminated soil amended with sewage sludge and sewage sludge derived biochar. *Environ. Pollut.* **175**, 64–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.12.014) [2012.12.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.12.014) (2013).
- 16. Erdem, H., Kinay, A., Günal, E., Yaban, H. & Tutus, Y. Te efects of biochar application on cadmium uptake of tobacco. *Carpath. J. Earth Environ. Sci.* **12**(2), 447–456 (2017).
- 17. Zhang, Z. Y., Meng, J., Dang, S. & Chen, W. F. Efect of biochar on relieving cadmium stress and reducing accumulation in super japonica rice. *J. Integr. Agric.* **13**(3), 547–553. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(13\)60711-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60711-X) (2014).
- 18. Shakoor, M. B. *et al.* A review of biochar-based sorbents for separation of heavy metals from water. *Int. J. Phytorem.* **22**(2), 111–126 (2020).
- 19. Valentin, M. T., Luo, G., Zhang, S. & Białowiec, A. Direct interspecies electron transfer mechanisms of a biochar-amended anaerobic digestion: A review. *Biotechnol. Biofuels Bioprod.* **16**(146), 1–22 (2023).
- 20. ASAE Standards. *ASAE S353 DEC97. Moisture Measurement-Meat and Products*, 45th ed.; Adopted and published by ASAE 1998; ASAE: St. Josebh, MI, USA, 552 (1998).
- 21. Ahn, H. K., Richard, T. L. & Glanville, T. D. Laboratory determination of compost physical parameters for modeling of airfow characteristics. *Waste Manag.* **28**, 660–670 (2008).
- 22. Raman, S. *Food Properties Handbook* (CRC Press, 1995).
- 23. Van Cingel, C. T., Raats, P. A. C. & van Haneghem, I. A. Bulk density and porosity distributions in a compost pile. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.* **47**, 105–121 (1999).
- 24. Richard, T. L., Hamelers, H. V. M., Veeken, A. H. M. & Silva, T. Moisture relationships in compositng processes. *Compost Sci. Utiliz.* **10**, 286–302 (2002).

7

- 25. Abad, M., Noguera, P., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A. & Noguera, V. Physico-chemical and chemical properties of some coconut coir dusts for use as a peat substitute for containerised ornamental plants. *Bioresour. Technol.* **82**, 241–245 (2002).
- 26. Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC). The Composting Council Research and Education Foundation (2001).
- 27. Bremmer, J. M. & Mulvaney, C. S. Nitrogen-total. In *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, Agronomy Series No 9 ASA* 2nd edn (eds Page, A. L. *et al.*) 595–624 (Madison, SSSA, 1982).
- 28. Murphy, J. & Riley, J. P. A modifed single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chem. Acta* **27**, 31–36 (1962).
- 29. Murthy, A., Vasudeva, R. & Sharada, K. Determination of sulfde sulfur in minerals. *Analyst* **85**, 299–300 (1960).
- 30. Sarfraz, R., Li, S., Yang, W., Zhou, B. & Xing, S. Assessment of physicochemical and nutritional characteristics of waste mushroom substrate biochar under various pyrolysis temperatures and times. *Sustainability* **11**, 1–14 (2019).
- 31. Alkhasha, A., Al-Omran, A. & Aly, A. Efects of biochar and synthetic polymer on the hydro-physical properties of sandy soils. *Sustainability* **10**, 2–18 (2018).
- 32. Brewer, C. E. *et al.* New approaches to measuring biochar density and porosity. *Biomass Bioenergy* **66**, 176–185 (2014).
- 33. Novak, J. M. *et al.* Characterization of designer biochar produced at diferent temperatures and their efects on a loamy sand. *Ann. Environ. Sci.* **3**, 195–206 (2009).
- 34. Shenbagavalli, S. & Mahimairaja, S. Production and characterization of biochar from diferent biological wastes. *Int. J. Plant Anim. Environ. Sci.* **2**, 197–201 (2012).
- 35. Wu, H., Qi, Y., Dong, L., Zhao, X. & Liu, H. Revealing the impact of pyrolysis temperature on dissolved organic matter released from the biochar prepared from Typha orientalis. *Chemosphere* **228**, 264–270 (2019).
- 36. Khater, E. G. Some physical and chemical properties of compost. *Int. J. Waste Resourc.* **5**, 1–5. [https://doi.org/10.4172/2252-5211.](https://doi.org/10.4172/2252-5211.1000172) [1000172](https://doi.org/10.4172/2252-5211.1000172) (2015).
- 37. Yargicoglu, E. N., Sadasivam, B. Y., Reddy, K. R. & Spokas, K. Physical and chemical characterization of waste wood derived biochars. *Waste Manag.* **36**, 256–268 (2015).

Acknowledgements

Tis work is fully sponsored by the Support and Development of Scientifc Research Center, Benha University. We would also like to show our gratitude to the Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport, Cairo, Egypt.

Author contributions

E.K., A.B., R.H., A.S., W.A. and O.M.: Investigation, Resources, Writing—Original Draft Preparation, Writing—Review and Editing.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.-S.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access Tis article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 \circ The Author(s) 2024