# scientific reports

Check for updates

# **Dynamics of the optimality control OPEN of transmission of infectious disease: a sensitivity analysis**

**Yasir Nadeem Anjam1 , Iqra Shahid1 , Homan Emadifar2,3,4**\***, SalmanArif Cheema1 & Mati ur Rahman5,6**

**Over the course of history global population has witnessed deterioration of unprecedented scale caused by infectious transmission. The necessity to mitigate the infectious fow requires the launch of a well-directed and inclusive set of eforts. Motivated by the urge for continuous improvement in existing schemes, this article aims at the encapsulation of the dynamics of the spread of infectious diseases. The objectives are served by the launch of the infectious disease model. Moreover, an optimal control strategy is introduced to ensure the incorporation of the most feasible health interventions to reduce the number of infected individuals. The outcomes of the research are facilitated by stratifying the population into fve compartments that are susceptible class, acute infected class, chronic infected class, recovered class, and vaccinated class. The optimal control strategy is formulated by incorporating specifc control variables namely, awareness about medication, isolation, ventilation, vaccination rates, and quarantine level. The developed model is validated by proving the pivotal delicacies such as positivity, invariant region, reproduction number, stability, and sensitivity analysis. The legitimacy of the proposed model is delineated through the detailed sensitivity analysis along with the documentation of local and global features in a comprehensive manner. The maximum sensitivity index parameters are disease transmission and people moved from acute stages into chronic stages whose value is (0.439, 1) increase in parameter by 10 percent would increase the threshold quantity by (4.39, 1). Under the condition of a stable system, we witnessed an inverse relationship between susceptible class and time. Moreover, to assist the gain of the fundamental aim of this research, we take the control variables as time-dependent and obtain the optimal control strategy to minimize infected populations and to maximize the recovered population, simultaneously. The objectives are attained by the employment of the Pontryagin**  maximum principle. Furthermore, the efficacy of the usual health interventions such as quarantine, **face mask usage, and hand sanitation are also noticed. The efectiveness of the suggested control plan is explained by using numerical evaluation. The advantages of the new strategy are highlighted in the article.**

The capability of infectious diseases in disrupting daily life is well documented in the existing literature of multidisciplinary nature. In recent years, more aggressive eruptions of the viral flow are witnessed<sup>1</sup>. For example, the veracity of the more recent viral explosion of COVID-19 is unprecedented in modern history. To date, more than 6.8 million deaths have been reported globally due to the aforementioned virus<sup>2</sup>. More disturbingly, the transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus was accompanied by existing pathogens such as Ebola, SARS, and ZIKA. Recently, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced the onset of the newest transmissible infection called Monkeypox (MPX), named after the host of the virus<sup>[3](#page-17-2)</sup>. More alarmingly, many researchers have noticed and documented the novel biological alterations assisting the fow of viral transmission. For example, the case of COVID-19<sup>4</sup> noted consistent alterations in the gene expression of many different immune cell types. Simi-larly,<sup>[5](#page-17-4)</sup> documented the presence of EBOLA virus in epidermal and seminal vesicular tubular epithelial cells. Furthermore,<sup>[6–](#page-17-5)[8](#page-17-6)</sup> has reported one case in Spain where an individual was detected with the co-presence of MPX,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Sciences, National Textile University, Faisalabad 37610, Pakistan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 602105, India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran. <sup>4</sup> MEU Research Unit, Middle East University, Amman, Jordan. <sup>5</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. <sup>6</sup>Department of computer science and mathematics, Lebanese American University, Beirut, Lebanon. <sup>⊠</sup>email: homan\_emadi@yahoo.com

COVID-19, and HIV viruses. The scope and the coverage of research activities are mammoth while researchers from every corner of the globe are responding to the wake of infectious transmissions. A careful and tedious review of the available literature reveals that the ongoing research eforts regarding infectious diseases most commonly target three fronts such as,  $(i)$  - exploration of the clinical nature of the virus<sup>[9](#page-17-7)</sup>,  $(ii)$  - mathematical encapsulation of the flow dynamics of the infection<sup>10</sup>, and (iii) - study of the socio-economic impacts of the upshots of viral flow<sup>11</sup>. This research is aligned with the second stream of the ongoing multi-disciplinary efforts aiming at understanding the fow dynamics of infectious transmissions.

A considerable amount of time and energy is being dedicated to understanding the mathematical nature of infectious disease transmission. For example, Biswas, launched a Susceptible Exposed Infected Recovered (SEIR) model to explore the control of infectious disease when health interventions are employed<sup>12</sup>. Similarly, Wangari (2015) studied the utility of the SEIR model to establish the conditions for global stability of the model when interest lies in the explanation of primary infection mechanisms<sup>13</sup>. Moreover, in adjacent past the consideration of optimal control strategies is gaining momentum to attain better management of the viral flow. The usage of optimal control is not restricted towards medical applications but covers numerous phenomena including, policy formulation<sup>[14](#page-17-12)</sup>, emergency planning<sup>[15](#page-17-13)</sup>, engineering hazard assessment<sup>16</sup>, and the development of more effective control program<sup>17</sup>. Fundamentally, an optimal control strategy is defined to assist the determining optimal protocols while dealing with complex multi-frontier problems. The resultant optimization problem is then persuaded by specifying control variables and profling dynamics of the system under a set of given parameters, while maintaining the notion of parsimony[18](#page-17-16) and[19.](#page-17-17) Many researchers have appreciated the utility of these methods to gain better control over the ongoing stochastic processes. For example, Zhilan Feng advocated the optimal control strategy in order to gain timely identification of the emergence of infectious diseases<sup>[20](#page-17-18)</sup>. Furthermore, Kar and Soovoojeet (2016)<sup>21</sup> conducted a theoretical investigation into the mathematical modeling of infectious disease with the application of optimal control<sup>21</sup>. Moreover, Liddo provided an elaborative account of the applicability of optimal control in demonstrating the treatment of infectious diseases<sup>22</sup>. Additionally<sup>23</sup>, Lennon-O- Naraigh and Aine Byrne studied about the Piece wise-constant optimal control strategies for controlling the outbreak of COVID-19 in the Irish population.

By using optimal control, Verma<sup>24</sup> proposed and analyzed a non-linear smoking model to prevent interaction between those who are smokers and those who are smoking quitters. A mathematical model was proposed by Verma et al.[25](#page-17-23) to investigate the dynamics of coronavirus with lockdown efects as an epidemiological model. Moreover, the introduction of the optimal control strategy by<sup>26</sup> for COVID-19, Dengue, and HIV through a mathematical model represents interactions between these infectious diseases. Further,<sup>27</sup> aimed at the stability analysis of a co-circulation model for COVID-19, Dengue, and Zika, incorporating nonlinear incidence rates and vaccination strategies to enhance our understanding of the dynamic interactions among these infectious diseases. Many researchers have employed the optimal approach to model and predict the efficiency of health surveillance synergies. One may consult the account of<sup>28</sup> aimed at SARS-COV-2 and HBV co-dynamics modeling, and<sup>29</sup> explores the modeling of backward bifurcation and optimal control in a co-infection model for SARS-CoV-2 and ZIKV. Researchers have examined pioneer work in diferent areas of mathematical modeling, economics problems such as $30-33$  $30-33$ .

Stirred by the signifcance of the above-documented issue, this research contributes to the existing literature on the applicability of the optimal control theory by introducing a new mathematical formation capable of explaining the dynamics of the infectious disease fow in a community. While simultaneously creating a trade between the number of infectious individuals and the cost of the considered health interventions. The whole population is classifed into fve mutually inclusive classes namely, susceptible class, acute infected class, chronic infected class, recovered class, and vaccinated class. Firstly, we will thoroughly examine the developed model to ensure its validity by proving pivotal delicacies such as positivity, invariant region, equilibrium points, reproduction number, and stability analysis. Along with showing complete stability analyses at equilibrium points to evaluate both local and global stability. The rationale of the optimal control scheme remains to assert plausible control law facilitating the optimization of certain performance indices. Further, the research environment is enriched by the addition of relevant control parameters such as medication, isolation, ventilation, vaccination, and quarantine levels. The existence of optimal control is proved with Pontryagin's maximum principle (Pontryagin et al. 1962), and examine the optimal control problem to identify the required conditions for optimality. The parametric setting incorporates various scenarios.

This article is primarily alienated into six sections. Section [2](#page-3-0) presents the qualitative analysis of the model, and Section [3](#page-5-0) is dedicated to the sensitivity analysis of the infectious disease model, whereas, Section [4](#page-5-1) reports the stability features of the considered model. Section [5](#page-12-0) outlines the incorporation of optimal control with the focus of launching more feasible health interventions. Lastly, Section [6](#page-14-0) concludes all the major fndings of this study.

### **Model structure**

Mathematical models of infectious diseases are crucial for comprehending the patterns of disease spread and devising efective disease control strategies. Consequently, when constructing such models, emphasis should be placed on delineating the epidemiological characteristics of the disease and identifying pivotal, modifable parameters for disease control. Numerous epidemiological models, rooted in diverse disease transmission mechanisms, have been established and documented in the literature, see<sup>34</sup>. These models have played a pivotal role in shaping and crafing control strategies for various diseases.

Let us consider that, for any time  $t > 0$ , the vulnerable populations under the study, say  $N(t)$ , is divided into five compartments such as Susceptible class  $\mathbb{S}(t)$ , Acute infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$ , Chronic infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$ , Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ , and Vaccinated class  $\mathbb{V}(t)$ . The humans specified by  $\mathbb{S}(t)$  are those who are at risk of contracting a specifc infectious disease. Tus, the susceptible who are currently infected are moved into two classes namely

2

Acute infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$  and Chronic infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$  means that if people have the time period of disease are less than six month they moved into acute infected class, if people have time period of disease beyond the six month they moved into chronic infected class, and those who are vaccinated at the rate  $\sigma$  move to  $\mathbb{V}(t)$ . The vaccinated individuals may either move to Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  at the rate  $\phi$  after receiving an infection as a result of having contact with an infectious person. Moreover, some parameters are also used in the model formulation, such as the *u* rate of vaccinated people, σ rate of vaccinated individuals become susceptible again, β disease transmission rate, $\gamma$  reduced the rate of the incubation period,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  recovery rate from acute and chronic stages naturally,  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  recovery rate of the acute and chronic stage, *d* untreated death rate,  $d_1$  disease-related death rate,  $\phi$  a treated person could regain into susceptible since vaccination rate is not perfect and  $\gamma_1$  people in the acute stage are moved into chronic stage.

The proposed model leverages some key assumptions grounded in biological evidence to illuminate infectious disease dynamics under optimal control strategies. One critical assumption is that the infectious disease system exhibits backward bifurcation, implying complex and non-linear interactions that contribute to sustained transmission. Tis assumption aligns with empirical observations in infectious disease ecology, where factors such as host heterogeneity and co-infection dynamics ofen lead to intricate and non-trivial system behavior. Moreover, the incorporation of optimal control strategies into the model refects the imperative of intervention measures in disease management. Biological evidence supporting this assumption can be drawn from successful instances of interventions like vaccination campaigns and quarantine measures that have demonstrated efficacy in mitigating disease spread. While the model's novelty or modifcation status is not explicitly stated, its integration of biological evidence and optimal control strategies contributes to advancing our understanding of infectious disease dynamics and intervention planning<sup>[35](#page-17-31)</sup>.

Therefore, based on the aforementioned considerations, the interaction flow pattern between infectious disease states is depicted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

Thus, the whole human population at any time *t* is given by

$$
N(t) = \mathbb{S}(t) + \mathbb{I}_1(t) + \mathbb{I}_2(t) + \mathbb{R}(t) + \mathbb{V}(t).
$$

The infectious disease model is depicted through a non-linear system of ordinary differential equations while connecting considered compartments in deterministic formation such as.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - u)\alpha - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S - dS + \phi \mathbb{R} + \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2, \n\frac{d\mathbb{I}_1}{dt} = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)\mathbb{I}_1, \n\frac{d\mathbb{I}_2}{dt} = \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2, \n\frac{d\mathbb{R}}{dt} = (1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + (1 - \rho_2)\gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2 - (\phi + d)\mathbb{R}, \n\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} = u\alpha - (\sigma + d)\mathbb{V}.
$$
\n(1)

The initial conditions are defined as follows,

$$
\mathbb{S}(0) = \mathbb{S}_0 \geq 0, \ \mathbb{I}_1(0) = \mathbb{I}_{1,0} \geq 0, \ \mathbb{R}(0) = \mathbb{R}_0 \geq 0, \mathbb{I}_2(0) = \mathbb{I}_{2,0} \geq 0, \ \mathbb{V}(0) = \mathbb{V}_0 \geq 0.
$$

Furthermore, the details of each term of the equations infuencing the system given in the model [\(1](#page-3-1)) are documented in Table [1.](#page-3-2)

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Qualitative analysis of the model**

Here, in this section, some signifcant results of the proposed model, namely positivity, invariant region, equilibrium point, and basic reproduction number are given.

#### **Positivity**

Positivity is enforced upon the initial conditions or parameters inherent in the model. It ensures that the values of the initial conditions and the parameters in the proposed model are endured greater than zero or non-negative. Therefore, reorganize the model ([1](#page-3-1)) in terms is explained by

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\dot{\eta}(t) = G(\eta(t)),\tag{2}
$$

where
$$
\eta(t) = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5)^T := (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V})^T, \eta(0) = (\mathbb{S}(0), \mathbb{I}_1(0), \mathbb{I}_2(0), \mathbb{R}(0), \mathbb{V}(0))^T \epsilon R_+^5
$$
, and  
\n
$$
G(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\eta) \\ G_2(\eta) \\ G_3(\eta) \\ G_4(\eta) \\ G_5(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - u)\alpha - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)\mathbb{S} - d\mathbb{S} + \phi \mathbb{R} + \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2 \\ \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)\mathbb{S} - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)\mathbb{I}_1 \\ \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2 \\ (1 - \rho_1) \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + (1 - \rho_2) \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2 - (\phi + d) \mathbb{R} \\ u\alpha - (\sigma + d) \mathbb{V} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(3)

The situation is stress-free  $G_i(\eta)|_{\eta_i=0} \geq 0, i = 1, ..., 5$ . According to the well-known Nagumo<sup>[36](#page-17-32)</sup> finding, the model [\(1](#page-3-1)) solution with an starting point  $\eta_0 \in R_+^5$ , such as  $\eta(t) = \eta(t; \eta_0)$ , is such that  $\eta(t) \in R_+^5$ ,  $\forall t > 0$ .

#### **Invariant region**

We determined the invariant region where the solution of the proposed model is bounded. Now, consider the total population of the given model as

$$
N(t) = \mathbb{S}(t) + \mathbb{I}_1(t) + \mathbb{I}_2(t) + \mathbb{R}(t) + \mathbb{V}(t).
$$
 (4)

Diferentiate ([4](#page-3-3)) respecting the solution of the model ([1](#page-3-1)) provides



<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Table 1.** Description of each term of the equations of the model [\(1\)](#page-3-1).

4

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{dI_1}{dt} + \frac{dI_2}{dt} + \frac{dR}{dt} + \frac{dV}{dt}.
$$
\n(5)

Therefore, by substituting all the state equations from the model  $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$  in Eq.  $(5)$  $(5)$  $(5)$ , then one gets

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha - dN.\tag{6}
$$

Taking the integration on both side of ([6\)](#page-4-1) with  $t \to \infty$ , one obtain

$$
\Omega = \left\{ \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V} \in R_+^5 : \mathbb{S} + \mathbb{I}_1 + \mathbb{I}_2 + \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{V} + \leq \frac{\alpha}{d} \right\}.
$$

Clearly,  $\Omega$  is positively invariant, inside which the model is considered to be epidemiological meaningful and mathematically well-posed.

#### **Equilibrium points**

Equilibrium points indicate a stable condition in the progression of the disease, where the occurrence of new infections is ofset by the combined occurrences of recoveries and deaths. Tis section outlines the calculation of the disease-free equilibrium point and the endemic equilibrium point of the proposed system. The equilibrium<br>points associated with the model ([1](#page-3-1)) by solving  $\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbb{I}_1}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbb{I}_2}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbb{R}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbb{V}}$ equilibrium point  $F_0$ , is attained by letting for  $\mathbb{I}_1^{u_1} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_2^{u_2} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{V} = 0$  such as,

$$
F_0 = (\mathbb{S}_0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \left(\frac{(1-u)\alpha}{\beta\gamma + d}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right),
$$

Now, the endemic equilibrium point is denoted as  $F^* = (\mathbb{S}^*, \mathbb{I}_1^*, \mathbb{I}_2^*, \mathbb{R}^*, \mathbb{V}^* )$ , where,

$$
\mathbb{S}^* = \frac{(1 - u)d\xi + \phi\xi_1((1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2\mathbb{I}_1^* + (1 - \rho_2)\gamma_3\mathbb{I}_2^*) + \sigma u_1\alpha\xi_1 + \rho_2\gamma_3[(\phi + d)(\sigma + d)]}{\psi\mathbb{I}_2^* \xi(\beta\mathbb{S} - \eta)},
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{I}_1^* = \frac{\beta\gamma\mathbb{I}_2S}{\beta\mathbb{S} - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)},
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{I}_2^* = \frac{\gamma_1\mathbb{I}_1}{(d + d_1 + \gamma_3)},
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{R}^* = \frac{(1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2\mathbb{I}_1 + (1 - \rho_2)\gamma_3\mathbb{I}_2}{(\phi + d)},
$$
  

$$
\mathbb{V}^* = \frac{u\alpha}{\sigma + d},
$$

where  $\xi = (\sigma + d)(\phi + d)(d + d_1 + \gamma_3)$ ,  $\xi_1 = (\sigma + d)(d + d_1 + \gamma_3)$ , and  $\eta = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d$ ,  $\psi = \rho_1 \gamma_1 \beta_1 \gamma$ .

#### **The basic reproduction number**

The basic reproduction number  $R_0$  is a prevailing metric for evaluating the contagiousness or transmissibility of a communicable disease. It signifes the average number of individuals that are infected by one infectious individual in a susceptible population. Biologically, it refects the contagiousness and transmissibility of a pathogen. If  $R_0 > 1$ , it indicates that the disease has the potential to sustain transmission within the population, leading to an outbreak. Conversely, if  $R_0 < 1$ , the infection is unlikely to establish a self-sustaining chain of transmission, and it may eventually die out in the population. Moreover, the reproduction number is estimated by using the method of Darraish and Wathmough<sup>37</sup>, and with the principle of the next-generation matrix, we have

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \mathbb{S} & \beta \gamma \mathbb{S} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{pmatrix} -(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \end{pmatrix}
$$

Therefore, the reproduction number  $R_0$  for our proposed model ([1](#page-3-1)) is the spectral radius of the next generation matrix  $FV^{-1}$  and calculated as,

$$
FV^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\beta S}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d} - \frac{\beta S \gamma \gamma_1}{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)(d + d_1 + \gamma_3)}\right) \frac{\beta \gamma S}{d + d_1 + \gamma_3} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7}
$$

Therefore, from ([7](#page-4-2)), the reproduction number  $R_0$  is calculated as,

$$
R_0 = \frac{\beta \, \mathbb{S}_0 \, \eta_2 + \beta \, \mathbb{S}_0 \, \gamma \, \gamma_1}{\eta_1 \, \eta_2},\tag{8}
$$

where  $\eta_1 = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)$ ,  $\eta_2 = d + d_1 + \gamma_3$ . The disease will spread in the population if the basic reproduction number  $R_0 > 1$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Sensitivity analysis**

The degree of influence of input parameters over the dynamics of the infectious disease model is an asset through the launch of sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity indices of the infectious disease model given in [\(1\)](#page-3-1) are deduced by using the approach of Chitnis et al.[38](#page-17-34). We calculate the normalized forward sensitivity indices of a parameter  $x$  of  $R_0$ . Let

$$
\Delta_x^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial x} \frac{x}{R_0}.
$$

The estimated sensitivity projected in reproduction number with respect to various parameters is given as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta_{\beta}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \beta} \frac{\beta}{R_0} = 1, & \Delta_{\gamma}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \gamma} \frac{\gamma}{R_0} = 0.270, \\
\Delta_{\gamma_2}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \gamma_2} \frac{\gamma_2}{R_0} = -0.5, & \Delta_{\gamma_3}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \gamma_3} \frac{\gamma_3}{R_0} = -0.876, \\
\Delta_{\alpha}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial d} \frac{d}{R_0} = 0.185, & \Delta_{d_1}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial d_1} \frac{d_1}{R_0} = -0.239, \\
\Delta_{\gamma_1}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \gamma_1} \frac{\gamma_1}{R_0} = 0.439.\n\end{cases}
$$

Table [2](#page-5-2) comprehends the directional projection of the parameters involved in the considered model explaining the viral infectious disease transmission flow. The existence of a positive relationship is voted through the plus sign whereas the negative sign avows the showing of the negative relationship between parameters and the transmission rate. One may be noted that an increase in the reproduction number remains associated with an increase in parameters such as disease transmission rate, reduced incubation period, rate of transfer from the acute stage to the chronic stage, and untreated death rate. Whereas, the reproduction number is estimated to be negatively associated with parameters such as disease-related death rate, and recovery rates belonging to the acute stage and chronic stage.

Figure [2](#page-6-0) shows the dynamical behavior of the sensitivity analysis of the basic reproduction number  $R_0$ , in the context of the disease transmission. Moreover, this analysis helps us to understand the signifcance of various factors that play a vital role in the transmission of infectious diseases. We plotted the reproduction number  $R_0$  as a function of  $\gamma_3$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\beta$  and  $d$ . Figure [2a](#page-6-0) shows the effect of effectual disease-related death rate and recovery rate of chronic infected patients on the reproduction number, we can see that these two parameters may increase to  $R_0$  greater than unity if the value of  $\gamma_3$  remain up to greater than 1 and the recovery rate also remains greater than 1. The combined effect of transmission and recovery rate on  $R_0$  is shown in Fig. [2](#page-6-0)b. As one parameter  $\beta$  is directly proportional and  $\gamma_1$  is inversely related to  $R_0$ , thus we can see that the basic reproduction number value may be reduced below unity even if the transmission rate β approaches 1 and the recovery rate of acute and chronic infected increases. Figure [2](#page-6-0)c shows the efect of transmission rate β and *d*. We can see that these two parameters have a considerable impact in reducing or increasing the value of the basic reproduction number R<sub>0</sub>. Furthermore, Fig. [2](#page-6-0)d shows the effect of recovery rate gamma<sub>2</sub> and disease-related death rate *d*, and also Fig. [2](#page-6-0)e shows the inverse relationship between  $R_0$  and parameters. Similarly, Fig. 2f shows the impact of reducing or increasing the value of reproduction number  $R_0$ .

#### <span id="page-5-1"></span>**Stability analysis**

In this section, we analyze the local and global stabilities of the proposed model at equilibrium points. Local stability is ofen determined by examining the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the equilibrium point. If all eigenvalues have negative real parts, the equilibrium is locally stable. Global stability considers the system's behavior across its entire range, ofen requiring more advanced mathematical techniques such as Lyapunov analysis.

#### **Local stability analysis**

The aim of conducting local stability analysis is to determine whether a minor disturbance in the disease system would lead to the persistence or elimination of the disease. In the realm of epidemiological models, comprehending the concept of local stability at equilibrium points holds signifcance. It provides insights into the dynamics of infectious diseases and their transmission within a population. The endemic equilibrium point and disease-free equilibrium point, which are locally asymptotically stable, are illustrated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *The disease-free equilibrium point*  $F_0$  *is locally asymptotically stable when*  $R_0 < 1$ *, however, when*  $R_0 > 1$ , *it is unstable*.



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Table 2.** Sensitivity indices and parameters of the reproduction number  $R_0$ .



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 2. The graphical result shows the dynamics of various compartmental parameters and their effects on the reproduction number  $R_0$ .

**Proof** The Jacobin matrix of disease-free equilibrium point  $F_0$  for the proposed model is given as

$$
J(F_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - d & -\beta \mathbb{S}_0 + \rho_1 \gamma_2 & \beta \gamma \mathbb{S}_0 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 & \phi & 0 \\ -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) & \beta \mathbb{S}_0 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) & \beta \gamma \mathbb{S}_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \rho_1) \gamma_2 & (1 - \rho_2) \gamma_3 & -(\phi + d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -( \sigma + d ) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (9)

The evaluation of the jacobian at drinking-free equilibrium provides

$$
J(F_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -d & -\beta S_0 + \rho_1 \gamma_2 & \beta \gamma S_0 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \beta S_0 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) & \beta \gamma S_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \rho_1) \gamma_2 & (1 - \rho_2) \gamma_3 & -(\phi + d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -(\sigma + d) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (10)

With the aid of the Jacobin matrix of the disease-free equilibrium point, the resultant eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) < 0, \lambda_2 = -(\sigma + d) < 0$ , whereas,

$$
\left|J(F_0) - \lambda I\right| = \begin{vmatrix} \beta S_0 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) - \lambda & \beta \gamma S_0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3) - \lambda & 0 \\ (1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2 & (1 - rho_2)\gamma_3 & -(\phi + d) - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0,
$$

 $\lambda_4 = -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3)$ ,  $\lambda_5 = -(d + d)$ , and  $\lambda_3 = \beta S_0 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) < 0$ .  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_4$ , and  $\lambda_5$  are real and negative. Further,  $\lambda_3$  is negative and real if  $R_0 < 1$ . Using the Routh-Hurwitz criterion<sup>[39](#page-17-35)</sup>, it is verified that, each eigenvalue of the polynomial equation contains a non-positive real parts when  $R_0 < 1$ . As a result, the disease-free equilibrium point  $F_0$  is locally asymptotically stable. point  $F_0$  is locally asymptotically stable.

**Theorem 4.2** The endemic equilibrium point F\* will be locally asymptotically stable if the value of R<sub>0</sub> > 1, however, *when*  $R_0 < 1$ *, it is unstable.* 

**Proof** The determination of the Linearization for model ([1](#page-3-1)) at the endemic equilibrium point  $F^*$  is carried out by

$$
J(F^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - d & -\beta \mathbb{S} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 & \beta \gamma \mathbb{S} + \rho_2 \gamma_3 & \phi & 0 \\ -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) & \beta \mathbb{S} - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) & \beta \gamma \mathbb{S} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & -(d + d_1 + \gamma_3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \rho_1) \gamma_2 & (1 - \rho_2) \gamma_3 & -(\phi + d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -(\sigma + d) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

By using row matrix transformation the following matrix is given by:

$$
J(F^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & -0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) & -\mu_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & -\mu_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\rho_1)\gamma_2 & (1-\rho_2)\gamma_3 & -\mu_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mu_4 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (11)

where, let  $\mu = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - d$ ,  $\mu_1 = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)$ ,  $\mu_2 = (d + d_1 + \gamma_3)$ ,  $\mu_3 = (\phi + d)$ , and  $\mu_4 = (\sigma + d)$ . It is clear that all of eigenvalues values of  $J(F^*)$  have negative and real if  $R_0 > 1$ .

#### **Global stability analysis**

Global stability in a disease model examines whether, over the entire range of conditions, the system converges to a stable state in terms of disease behavior. It is crucial for understanding the persistent, long-term dynamics and overall prevalence of drinking in a population. The endemic equilibrium point and disease-free equilibrium point, which are locally asymptotically stable, are illustrated in the subsequent theorem.

**Theorem 4.3** *The disease free equilibrium point F<sub>0</sub> is globally asymptotically stable for*  $R_0 < 1$ *, otherwise, unstable for*  $R_0 > 1$ .

**Proof** The following Lyapunov function has been properly defined and satisfies the condition of being positive defnite and its derivative is negative defnite. By focusing on infected and susceptible individuals, the Lyapunov function efectively quantifes the balance between disease transmission and population susceptibility, providing insights into the long-term behavior and stability of the epidemic model. To show the result, Firstly, we state the Lyapunov function is specifed by,

$$
L = f_1 \mathbb{S} + f_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + f_3 \mathbb{I}_2,\tag{12}
$$

Where  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  are positive constant and derivative of L is given by

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

$$
L' = f_1 S' + f_2 I_1' + f_3 I_2',
$$
  
\n
$$
L' = f_1 \left\{ (1 - u)\alpha - \beta (I_1 + \gamma I_2) S_0 - dS_0 + \phi \mathbb{R} + \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 I_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 I_2 \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ f_2 \left\{ \beta (I_1 + \gamma I_2) S_0 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) \right\} + f_3 \left\{ \gamma_1 I_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) I_2 \right\},
$$

Now, by arranging the above terms yield,

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

$$
L^{'} = \left\{ f_1 - \beta (\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) + f_3 \beta (\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - f_1 d \right\} (\mathbb{S} - \mathbb{S}_0) + \left\{ f_1 \rho_1 \gamma_2 - f_2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) + f_3 \gamma_1 \right\} \mathbb{I}_1 + \left\{ f_1 \rho_2 \gamma_3 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \right\} \mathbb{I}_2 + \phi \mathbb{R} f_1 + \sigma \mathbb{V} f_1.
$$

where  $F_0 = \frac{(1-u)\alpha}{\beta_0 \gamma + d}$ . Further, for  $\beta > \beta^0$  and  $R_0 < 1$ , then  $L'(t) < 0$ . Also if  $\beta = \beta^0$  then  $L'(t) = 0$ . By Lasla invarience principl $e^{4\theta_1 A_1^*}$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_1 = \mathbb{I}_2 = 0$ . The Lyapunov function conditions are all positive definite, the function is positive definite and its derivative is negative definite. Therefore the disease-free equilibrium  $F_0$  is globally asymptotically stable. stable.

**Theorem 4.4** The endemic equilibrium point F<sup>∗</sup> is globally asymptotically stable, if the value of R<sub>0</sub> > 1, however, *when*  $R_0 < 1$ *, it is unstable.* 

*Proof* In order to prove the global stability of the proposed model [\(1\)](#page-3-1) at the endemic equilibrium point  $F^*$ , the Castilo Chevez method is used from  $42,43$ . Further, we consider ([1\)](#page-3-1) as:

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - u)\alpha - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S - dS + \phi \mathbb{R} + \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2, \n\frac{d\mathbb{I}_1}{dt} = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)\mathbb{I}_1, \n\frac{d\mathbb{I}_2}{dt} = \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2.
$$
\n(13)

Taking the jacobian and the additive compound matrix of order 2 for the Eq. [\(13\)](#page-8-0), get the following matrix,

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
J = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & -a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & -a_{33} \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
J^{[2]} = \begin{pmatrix} -(a_{11} + a_{22}) & a_{23} & -a_{13} \\ a_{32} & -(a_{11} + a_{22}) & a_{12} \\ -a_{31} & a_{21} & -(a_{22} + a_{33}) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(14)

Consider a function  $Q(x) = Q(S, \mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2) = diag \bigg\{ \frac{S}{\mathbb{I}_1}, \frac{S}{\mathbb{I}_1}, \frac{S}{\mathbb{I}_1}, \frac{S}{\mathbb{I}_1} \bigg\}$  $\left\{$ , then  $Q^{-1}(x) = diag\left\{ \frac{\mathbb{I}_1}{\mathbb{S}}, \frac{\mathbb{I}_1}{\mathbb{S}}, \frac{\mathbb{I}_1}{\mathbb{S}} \right\}$ , then the time derivative of the function,  $Q_f(x)$ , suggests that

$$
Q_f(x) = diag\left\{\frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbb{I}_1} - \frac{\mathbf{S}\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1^2}, \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbb{I}_1} - \frac{\mathbf{S}\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1^2}, \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbb{I}_1} - \frac{\mathbf{S}\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1^2}\right\}.
$$
 (15)

Now  $Q_f(x)Q^{-1} = diag \bigg\{ \frac{\dot{\mathbb{S}}}{\mathbb{S}} - \frac{\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1}, \frac{\dot{\mathbb{S}}}{\mathbb{S}} - \frac{\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1}, \frac{\dot{\mathbb{S}}}{\mathbb{S}} - \frac{\dot{\mathbb{I}}_1}{\mathbb{I}_1} \bigg\}$ and  $QJ_2^{\{2\}}Q^{-1} = J_2^{\{2\}}$ .  $A = Q_f Q^{-1} + QJ_2^{\{2\}}Q^{-1}$ , which can be described as

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}\nA_{11} = \frac{\dot{\varsigma}}{\tilde{s}} - \frac{\dot{I}_1}{I_1} - \beta(I_1 + \gamma I_2) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d), \\
A_{12} = (0 \ \rho_2 \gamma_3), \\
A_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \\
x_{11} = \frac{\dot{\varsigma}}{\tilde{s}} - \frac{\dot{I}_1}{I_1} - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d), \\
x_{12} = \rho_1 \gamma_2, \\
x_{21} = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2), \\
x_{22} = \frac{\dot{\varsigma}}{\tilde{s}} - \frac{\dot{I}_1}{I_1} - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3).\n\end{cases}
$$

Let  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  be a vector in  $R^3$  and the  $||\cdot||$  of  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  is specified by  $||b_1, b_2, b_3|| = \max{||b_1|| + ||b_2|| + ||b_3||}.$ Now, we yield the Lozinski measure defined by  $A^4$ ,  $l(A) \leq \sup\{h_1, h_2\} = \sup\{l(A_{11}) + ||(A_{12})||, l(A_{22}) + ||(A_{21})||\}$ , where  $h_i = l(A_{ii}) + ||(A_{ii})||$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ , which implies that

$$
h_1 = l(A_{11}) + ||(A_{12})||, h_2 = l(A_{22}) + ||(A_{21})||,
$$
  
where  $l(A_{11}) = \frac{g}{S} - \frac{p_1}{I_1} - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d),$  (17)

$$
l(A_{22}) = \max \left\{ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{I}}_{1}}{\mathbf{I}_{1}} - \beta(\mathbf{I}_{1} + \gamma \mathbf{I}_{2}) - (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} + d), \ \beta(\mathbf{I}_{1} + \gamma \mathbf{I}_{2}) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \left\{ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{I}}_{1}}{\mathbf{I}_{1}} - \beta(\mathbf{I}_{1} + \gamma \mathbf{I}_{2}) - (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} + d) \right\}, ||(A_{12})|| = \rho_{2}\gamma_{3} \text{ and } ||(A_{21})|| = \max\{\gamma_{1}, 0\} = \gamma_{1}. \text{ Therefore, } h_{1}
$$
\n
$$
\text{and } h_{2} \text{ becomes, such that } h_{1} \leq \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} + d) - (d + d_{1} + \gamma_{3}) \text{ and } h_{2} \leq \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - \gamma_{1} - \min\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \text{ which shows}
$$
\n
$$
\text{that } l(A) \leq \left\{ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - \gamma_{1} - \min\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\} + \gamma_{2} \right\}. \text{ Hence, } l(A) \leq \frac{\dot{\mathbf{S}}}{\mathbf{S}} - \gamma_{1}. \text{ Taking integral of } l(A), \text{ one get}
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \sup \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} l(A) dt < -\gamma_{1},
$$
\n
$$
k = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \sup \sup \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} l(A) dt < 0.
$$
\n(18)

t

Hence the model ([1](#page-3-1)) is globally asymptotically stable.  $\Box$ 

#### **Numerical results of stability analysis**

The Runge-Kutta method is widely employed in epidemiological models for numerical simulation due to its robustness and accuracy in solving diferential equations that govern disease dynamics. Epidemiological models ofen involve a system of coupled diferential equations that describe the interactions between various population compartments, such as susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals. The Runge-Kutta fourth-order method is renowned for its high accuracy in approximating solutions of diferential equations, providing reliable and precise numerical results. Additionally, RK-4 exhibits strong convergence properties, ensuring stability and efficiency in solving a wide range of mathematical problems. Its versatility makes it suitable for capturing the complex and dynamic nature of infectious disease spread, allowing researchers to explore diferent scenarios and intervention strategies. The RK method's efficiency and accuracy contribute to the reliability of numerical simulations, aiding in the understanding and prediction of epidemiological dynamics essential for public health decision-making.

In this section, we used the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method to solve the deterministic mathematical model and verify the analysis<sup>45</sup>. Additionally, the use of numerical simulations can provide valuable insights into the dynamic behavior of the model. However, it's important to note that the selection of parameter approximations should be justifed and explained in detail. Additionally, it would be useful to discuss any potential limitations or assumptions made in using the Runge-Kutta method and how it afects the accuracy of the results. Variables and parametric values are given in Table [3,](#page-11-0) which are used for simulations. Furthermore, the time interval is taken from 0 - 200 units with initial problems for Susceptible class  $\mathbb{S}(t)$ , Acute infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$ , Chronic infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$ , Vaccinated class  $\mathbb{V}(t)$ , and Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ . The applications of the Runge-Kutta method of fourth order on the proposed model lead to the following system:

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{S}^{i+1}-\mathbb{S}^i}{\prod_{i=1}^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i} = (1-u)\alpha - \beta (\mathbb{I}_1^i + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2^i)\mathbb{S}^{i+1} - d\mathbb{S}^{i+1} + \sigma \mathbb{V}^{i+1} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1^{i+1} + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2^{i+1}, \\ \frac{\mathbb{I}_1^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i}{\prod_{i=1}^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i} = \beta (\mathbb{I}_1^{i+1} + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2^{i+1})\mathbb{S}^{i+1} - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) \mathbb{I}_1^{i+1}, \\ \frac{\mathbb{I}_2^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i}{\mathbb{I}^{i+1}-\mathbb{R}^i} = \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1^{i+1} - (d+d_2 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2^{i+1}, \\ \frac{\mathbb{I}_2^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i}{\mathbb{I}^i} = (1-\rho_1) \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1^{i+1} + (1-\rho_2) \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2^{i+1} - (\sigma + d) \mathbb{R}^{i+1}, \\ \frac{\mathbb{I}_2^{i+1}-\mathbb{I}^i}{\mathbb{I}^i} = u\alpha - (\sigma + d) \mathbb{V}^{i+1}. \end{cases}
$$

#### **Algorithm**

Step 1:  $\mathbb{S}(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_1(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_2(2) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{V}(0) = 0$ . Step 2: for i=1,2,3,...,n-1.

$$
\begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^{i+1}=\frac{l\left(1-u\right)\alpha-l\beta\left(\mathbb{I}_{1}^{i}+\gamma\,\mathbb{I}_{2}^{i}\right)+l\,\sigma\,\mathbb{V}^{i+1}}{l\beta\left(\mathbb{I}_{1}^{i}+\gamma\,\mathbb{I}_{2}^{i}\right)-d},\\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{i+1}=\frac{\mathbb{I}_{1}^{i}+l\beta\mathbb{I}_{1}^{i}\gamma\mathbb{S}^{i}}{1-l\beta\left(\mathbb{S}^{i}+l\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+d\right)\right)},\\ \mathbb{I}_{2}^{i+1}=\frac{l\gamma_{1}l_{1}^{i}+l_{2}^{i}}{1+l\left(d+d_{1}+\gamma_{3}\right)},\\ \mathbb{R}^{i+1}=\frac{l\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)\gamma_{2}\mathbb{I}_{1}^{i}+l\left(1-\rho_{2}\right)\gamma_{3}\mathbb{I}_{2}^{i+1}+\mathbb{R}^{i}}{1+l\left(\phi+d\right)},\\ \mathbb{V}^{i+1}=\frac{luc+\mathbb{V}^{i}}{1+l\left(\sigma+d\right)}. \end{cases}
$$

Step 3: for  $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n - 1$ , write  $\mathbb{S}^*(t_i) = \mathbb{S}^*, \mathbb{I}_1^*(t_i) = \mathbb{I}_1^*, \mathbb{I}_2^*(t_i) = \mathbb{I}_2^*, \mathbb{R}^*(t_i) = \mathbb{R}^*, \mathbb{V}^*(t_i) = \mathbb{R}^*$ .

By running the above fndings with the aid of the Matlab sofware, the graphs presented in the Figs. [3](#page-10-0), [4,](#page-10-1) [5,](#page-10-2) [6](#page-11-1), [7](#page-11-2), which shows the dynamic behavior of Susceptible class  $\mathbb{S}(t)$ , Acute infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$ , Chronic infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$ , Vaccinated class  $\mathbb{V}(t)$  and Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ .

Figures [3](#page-10-0), [4,](#page-10-1) [5](#page-10-2), [6,](#page-11-1) [7](#page-11-2) present the dynamics of the stability behavior of all considered classes of the population while taking into account all the employed initial conditions. The magnitude of the susceptible class is varied for values such as 100, 95, 80, and 85 in Fig. [3](#page-10-0). The susceptible population increase in starting time after-some time the population decreases rapidly with time. Next, Fig. [4](#page-10-1) depicts the stability attainment for varying sizes of the acute infected class such as 20, 18, 16, and 14. Time to gain stability increases with the decrease in the population of the acute infected class. Figure [5](#page-10-2). present the relationship between stability and varying extent of



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Figure 3.** The effects of variations in the Susceptible class  $\mathcal{S}(t)$  are described by simulation results.



<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Figure 4.** The effects of variations in the Acute Infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$  are described by simulation results.



<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Figure 5.** The effects of variations in the Chronic Infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$  are described by simulation results.



<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Figure 6.** The effects of variations in the Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  are described by simulation results.



<span id="page-11-2"></span>



#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 3.** Parameters and its values.

the chronic infected class for values such as 15, 12, 9, and 6. In starting the population decreases rapidly afer [6](#page-11-1)5 days population is constant with time. In Fig. 6 The recovered class is varied for values as, 4, 5, 3, and 2. The recovered population increase starting time afer-some time the population decreases rapidly with time. Lastly, Fig. [7](#page-11-2) shows the relationship between stability and vaccinated population for values such as 10, 8, 6, and 4. Vaccinated class increase in starting time afer a short time the population decreases rapidly with time.

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Optimal control strategy**

Tis section is dedicated to exploring the applicability of the infectious disease model with respect to the optimal control strategy. The employment of optimal control is initiated to intervene in the transmission of infectious disease in a particular community. Control strategies are formulated by employing sensitivity analysis and examining the dynamics of the proposed model. The maximum sensitivity index parameter is ( $\gamma_1$ ,  $\beta$ ) whose value is between the interval (0.439, 1) increase in this parameter by 10 percent would increase the threshold quantity by (4.39, 1). Therefore, by using the control variable  $u_1(t)$ ,  $u_2(t)$ , and  $u_3(t)$ , we must reduce these values to stop the sickness from spreading.  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  represent (awareness about medication, isolation, and ventilation). We employ the control of another variable  $u_3(t)$  signify (the vaccination rates and quarantine level). Here, our aim is to reduce the infectious population by increasing the number of recovered people and decreasing the number of infected people and vaccinated people by incorporating the time-dependent control parameters,  $u_1(t)$ ,  $u_2(t)$ , and  $u_3(t)$  which are described as

- $\bullet$   $u_1(t)$  is the control variable that characterizes the awareness about medication.
- $u_2(t)$  is the control variable that characterizes the isolation and ventilation.
- $\bullet$   $u_3(t)$  is the control variable that characterizes the Vaccination rates and quarantine level.

The differential equation of the model  $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$ , with the inclusion of the control variables, is, now given as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - u)\alpha - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S(1 - u_1) - dS + \phi \mathbb{R} \\
+ \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2, \\
\frac{d\mathbb{I}_1}{dt} = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)S(1 - u_1) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)\mathbb{I}_1 - (u_2 + u_3)\mathbb{I}_1, \\
\frac{d\mathbb{I}_2}{dt} = \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2 - (u_2 + u_3)\mathbb{I}_2, \\
\frac{d\mathbb{R}}{dt} = (1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + (1 - \rho_2)\gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2 - (\phi + d + u_3)\mathbb{R}, \\
\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} = u\alpha - (\sigma + d)\mathbb{V} - u_1 \mathbb{V},\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(19)

along with the initial conditions are

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{S}(0)\geq 0,\, \mathbb{I}_1(0)\geq\, 0,\, \mathbb{I}_2(0)\geq 0,\, \mathbb{R}(0)\geq 0,\, \mathbb{V}(0)\geq 0.
$$

The objective of this work is to show that time-dependent parameters minimize the cost of implementing those control measuring techniques<sup>[46](#page-18-2)</sup>. In optimal control of infectious disease models, utilizing three classes of objective functions allows for a comprehensive assessment of intervention strategies. By considering objectives related to minimizing infections, controlling transmission rates, and maximizing the number of recovered people. Terefore, the objective function is specifed as

$$
J(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t)) = \int_0^T \left\{ z_1 \mathbb{I}_1 + z_2 \mathbb{I}_2 + z_3 \mathbb{V} + \frac{1}{2} \left( z_4 u_1^2(t) + z_5 u_2^2(t) + z_6 u_3^2(t) \right) \right\} dt,
$$
 (20)

where,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$ ,  $z_5$ , and  $z_6$ , represents weight constant associated with each class. The weight constant  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ , represents the cost of acute infected people, chronic infected people, and vaccinated people, while  $z_4$ ,  $z_5$ ,  $z_6$ , represents the control variables. Further,  $\left\{\frac{1}{2}z_4 u_1^2, \frac{1}{2}z_5 u_2^2, \frac{1}{2}z_6 u_3^2, \frac{1}{2}z_7 u_4^2, \frac{1}{2}z_8 u_5^2, \frac{1}{2}z_7 u_5^2, \frac{1}{2}z_8 u_6^2, \frac{1}{2}z_9 u_7^2, \frac{1}{2}z_9 u_8^2, \frac{1}{2}z_$  describes the awareness about medication, isolation and ventilation, vaccination rates and quarantine level. Our objective is to fnd the optimal control variables  $u_1^*$ ,  $u_2^*$ ,  $u_3^*$  such that

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
J(u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*) = \min\bigg\{J(u_1, u_2, u_3), u_1, u_2, u_3 \in U\bigg\},\tag{21}
$$

dependent on control system ([19\)](#page-12-1), where we defne the control set *U* as

$$
U = \left\{ (u_1, u_2, u_3) / u_i(t) \text{ is lebesgue measurable on } [0, 1], 0 \le u_i(t) \le 1, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.
$$
 (22)

#### **Existence of the optimal control system**

The existence of optimal control is persuaded by considering initial time  $t = 0$  for the fulfillment of each condition of the control system. To achieve the goal, the bounded Lebesgue measurable control<sup>47</sup> by considering the initial conditions and ensuring an upper-bound solution for the system. The matter of optimal control is addressed by delving into the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian, whereas the following equation illustrates the optimal control problem within the Lagrangian framework as

$$
\begin{cases} L\{(S,\mathbb{I}_1,\mathbb{I}_2,\mathbb{R},\mathbb{V},u_1,u_2,u_3)\} = z_1\mathbb{I}_1 + z_2\mathbb{I}_2\\ +z_3\mathbb{V} + \frac{1}{2}(z_4\ u_1^2(t) + z_5\ u_2^2(t) + z_6\ u_3^2(t)).\end{cases}
$$

The minimal possible value of optimal control is achieved by providing the Hamiltonian function *H* in the subsequent form

$$
H=L(\mathbb{S},\mathbb{I}_1,\mathbb{I}_2,\mathbb{R},\mathbb{V},u_1,u_2,u_3)+\lambda_1\frac{d\mathbb{S}(t)}{dt}+\lambda_2\frac{d\mathbb{I}_1(t)}{dt}+\lambda_3\frac{d\mathbb{I}_2(t)}{dt}+\lambda_4\frac{d\mathbb{V}(t)}{dt}+\lambda_5\frac{d\mathbb{R}(t)}{dt}.
$$

Henceforth in this way the presence of optimal control, we consider the subsequent resultant. First we have to show that  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*)$  these control variables are exist.

**Theorem 5.1** *There exists an optimal control*  $U^* = (u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*) \in U$ , to the control problem [\(19](#page-12-1)) and objective function ([20\)](#page-12-2).

*Proof* In order to prove the existence of an optimal control, thru the result in<sup>48</sup>. Subsequently, the state variables and the control variables have all positive values. It is also detected that the control variable set *U* is convex and closed by the declaration. Furthermore, the control system is bounded which states the compactness of the considered problem. One may notice the convex nature of integrand involves in  $[z_1\mathbb{I}_1 + z_2\mathbb{I}_2 + z_3\mathbb{V} + \frac{1}{2}(z_4 u_1^2(t) + z_5 u_2^2(t) + z_6 u_3^2(t))]$  concerning control set *U* which guarantees the existence of the optimal control  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*)$ . ence of the optimal control  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*)$ 

## **Optimality condition**

To defne an optimal approach to the problem [\(19](#page-12-1)) and [\(20](#page-12-2)). First, we investigate the optimal control issues of Eqs. ([19\)](#page-12-1) and ([20\)](#page-12-2) using Lagrangian and Hamiltonian functions. The Lagrangian shows the optimal control problem is presented by the subsequent equation

$$
\begin{cases} L(\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{V}, w_1, w_2, w_3) = \left\{ z_1 \mathbb{I}_1 + z_2 \mathbb{I}_2 \right. \\ + z_3 \mathbb{V} + \frac{1}{2} \left( z_4 u_1^2(t) + z_5 u_2^2(t) + z_6 u_3^2(t) \right) \right\} . \end{cases}
$$

The associated Hamiltonian (*H*) is defined via the following notion  $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5)$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5)$ . For the minimal value of the Lagrangian, we govern the Hamiltonian (*H*) for the optimal control problem by way of

$$
H(x, u, \lambda) = L(x, u) + \lambda F(x, u),
$$

where,

$$
\begin{cases} x = (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V}), & \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5), \\ F(x, u) = F_1(x, u), F_2(x, u), F_3(x, u), F_4(x, u), F_5(x, u). \end{cases}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{cases}\nF_1(x, u) = (1 - u)\alpha - \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)\mathbb{S}(1 - u_1) - d\mathbb{S} + \phi \mathbb{R} + \sigma \mathbb{V} + \rho_1 \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \rho_2 \gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2, \\
F_2(x, u) = \beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + \gamma \mathbb{I}_2)\mathbb{S}(1 - u_1) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)\mathbb{I}_1 - (u_2 + u_3)\mathbb{I}_1, \\
F_3(x, u) = \gamma_1 \mathbb{I}_1 - (d + d_1 + \gamma_3) \mathbb{I}_2 - (u_2 + u_3 \mathbb{I}_2, \\
F_4(x, u) = (1 - \rho_1)\gamma_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + (1 - \rho_2)\gamma_3 \mathbb{I}_2 - (\phi + d + u_3)\mathbb{R}, \\
F_5(x, u) = u\alpha - (\sigma + d)\mathbb{V} - u_1 \mathbb{V}.\n\end{cases} (23)
$$

We revive the use of the Pontryagin maximum principle $49,50$  $49,50$  for finding the optimal solution to the proposed model ([19](#page-12-1)). Further, the existence of the non-trivial vector functions such as  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5)$  is noticeable, especially when  $(x^*, u^*)$  is considered as the optimal procedure for the launched control problem in regarding

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial H(t, x, u, \lambda)}{\partial u},
$$

$$
0 = \frac{\partial H(t, x, u, \lambda)}{\partial u},
$$

$$
\lambda^{'}(t) = -\frac{\partial H(t, x, u, \lambda)}{\partial x}.
$$

The Maximality condition

$$
H(t, x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t))\partial x = \max_{u_1, u_2, u_3 \in [0,1]} H(x^*(t), u_1, u_2, u_3, \lambda(t)),\tag{24}
$$

describe the transversally condition as  $\lambda(t_f) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.2** Given an optimal state  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*)$  variables and solution  $\mathbb{S}^*, \mathbb{I}_1^*, \mathbb{I}_2^*, \mathbb{R}^*, \mathbb{V}^*$  of the equivalent state *systems* ([19](#page-12-1)) and [\(20](#page-12-2)), there exist adjoint variables  $\lambda(t)$  satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\n\lambda'_{1} = \{(\lambda_{1}(1-u_{1})\beta(\mathbb{I}_{1}+\gamma\mathbb{I}_{2})-d)+\lambda_{2}\beta(\mathbb{I}_{1}+\gamma\mathbb{I}_{2})(1-u_{1})\},\\ \n\lambda'_{2} = \{z_{1} - (\lambda_{1}(1-u_{1})\beta\mathbb{S}+\rho_{1}\gamma_{2}) + (\lambda_{2}(\beta\mathbb{S}(1-u_{1})-(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+d)-(u_{2}+u_{3}))+(\lambda_{3}\gamma_{1}) + (\lambda_{4}(1-\rho_{1})\gamma_{2})\},\\ \n\lambda'_{3} = -\{z_{2} - (\lambda_{1}(1-u_{1})\beta\gamma\mathbb{S}+\rho_{2}\gamma_{3}) + \lambda_{2}(\gamma\beta\mathbb{S}(1-u_{1})) - \lambda_{3}[(d+d_{1}+\gamma_{3})-(u_{2}+u_{3})] + \lambda_{4}[(1-\rho_{2})\gamma_{3}]\},\\ \n\lambda'_{4} = -\{\lambda_{1}\phi - \lambda_{4}(\phi+d+u_{3}),\\ \n\lambda'_{5} = -\{z_{3} + \lambda_{1}\sigma - (\sigma+d+u_{1})\lambda_{5}\}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(25)

*the transversality condition is defined as*  $\lambda_i(t) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

*Moreover, the control variables*  $u_1^*(t)$ ,  $u_2^*(t)$ ,  $u_3^*(t)$  are obtained as:

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n u_1^*(t) = \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{\beta(\mathbb{I}_1 + y \mathbb{I}_2) \mathbb{S}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{z_4}, 1 \right\}, 0 \right\}, \\
 u_2^*(t) = \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{I}_1 \lambda_2 + \mathbb{I}_2 \lambda_3}{z_5}, 1 \right\}, 0 \right\}, \\
 u_3^*(t) = \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda_2 \mathbb{I}_1 + \lambda_3 \mathbb{I}_2 + \lambda_4(\phi + d)}{z_6}, 1 \right\}, 0 \right\}.\n\end{cases} \tag{26}
$$

*Proof* The Pontryagin Maximum Principle is directly applied to produce the adjoint system ([25](#page-14-1)), while  $\lambda(t) = 0$ , directly results in the transversal condition. Regarding the class of optimal functions  $u_1^*, u_2^*$  and  $u_3^*$ , we used  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}$ . In the section that follows, we numerically solve the optimality system. The control system defines the optimality system ([19\)](#page-12-1) with the adjoint system ([25](#page-14-1)), boundary circumstances, as well as the best control strategies. Our control strategies, which are illustrated in Figures [8](#page-15-0) and [10,](#page-16-0) to increase the susceptible and recovered population and reduce the infected and vaccinated population were supported by the simulation that was run.  $\Box$ 

#### **Numerical simulation and discussion**

Tis section is dedicated to delineating the legitimacy of the proposed control scheme through the launch of numerical evaluation. The strategic environment is enriched by involving a diverse and wide range of parametric settings. The foundations of the numerical proceedings are instigated by considering the compartmental initial conditions are taken from<sup>[34](#page-17-30)</sup> for the devised model such as  $\mathbb{S}(0) = 100$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_1(0) = 20$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_2(0) = 15$ ,  $\mathbb{V}(0) = 10$ and  $R(0) = 5$ . Moreover, the objective of consistency is maintained by taking into account the literature-oriented values of parameters associated with diferent compartments given in Table [3](#page-11-0). We determine the ideal control system ([19](#page-12-1)) to see the impact of medication, ventilation, isolation, and quarantine level. The resolve of the issue of optimization is persuaded through the employment of the Runge–Kutta fourth-order technique along with the application of the transversality criterion within the time interval of [0, 50]. Furthermore, the values of weight constant are assumed to be  $z_1^* = 0.90030$ ,  $z_2^* = 0.43454$ ,  $z_3^* = 0.3550$ ,  $z_4^* = 0.5560$ ,  $z_5^* = 0.67676$  and  $z_6^* = 0.999$ . Graphical representation and table of parameters used in Optimal control analysis are given below:

Figures [8,](#page-15-0) [9,](#page-16-1) [10](#page-16-0) depict the dynamics of comparative efectiveness of the proposed model, with respect to both scenarios that is with control and without control. Tis description is foreseen for all fve compartments with respect to time in days. The gain of the optimal control strategy is noticeable for all compartments of the popula-tion. Figures [8](#page-15-0) shows the dynamic behavior of Susceptible class  $\mathbb{S}(t)$ , Acute infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$ , Chronic infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$ , and Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  and the Vaccinated class  $\mathbb{V}(t)$  with and without control interventions. Further, Fig. [8](#page-15-0)a represents the behavior of number of susceptible population decrease afer using the optimal control strategy. Figure [8](#page-15-0)b Utilizing these control measures will reduce the number of acute infected populations afer applying the control. Similarly, Fig. [8](#page-15-0)c the number of population of chronic infected populations decreased afer applying the control. Figure [8d](#page-15-0) the recovered population rapidly increases and achieves convergence afer applying optimal control. Figure [8](#page-15-0)e the number of the vaccinated population rapidly decreases afer applying the control. The recovered population increase, reducing the infected and vaccinated population was evidently supported by the simulation that was run. Figure [10](#page-16-0)b,c,d are dedicated to highlighting the robustness of control variables with respect to time in days.

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**Conclusion**

This article proposes a novel mathematical model to encapsulate the viral transmission of infectious diseases. The optimal control strategy is launched while considering five related epidemiological compartments. The population under study is divided into five strata such as; Susceptible class  $\mathbb{S}(t)$ , Acute Infected class  $\mathbb{I}_1(t)$ , Chronic Infected class  $\mathbb{I}_2(t)$ , Recovered class  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  and Vaccinated class  $\mathbb{V}(t)$ . The target of generality is maintained by employing a wide range of parametric settings involving, disease transmission rate from the susceptible class to the acute infected class, the untreated death rate of the susceptible class, the disease-related death rate of the acute infected class, a recovery rate of the chronic infected class. In the procession, rigorous persuasion into the local stability of the system, global stability of the system along with sensitivity analysis, positivity, and invariant region is considered. The implementations of the outcomes of the research are further supported and widened by the inclusion of more popular health interventions such as; quarantine, vaccination, medication, and mandatory use of masks. It is delineated that in the case of stability of the system, the susceptible class increase in starting point afer some time population decrease rapidly with respect to time. Moreover, under the norm of stability, the interaction of underlying compartments indicates a higher likelihood of a decrease in the number of Acuteinfected and Chronic-infected individuals. Te exploration into the sensitivity parameter indicated an increase of 4.39 units in threshold quantity remained associated with a 10 percent increase in the sensitivity parameter.



<span id="page-15-0"></span>Figure 8. The graphical results display the dynamics behavior of the compartmental population are Susceptible, Acute, and Chronic Infected populations, Recovered and vaccinated with and without controls scenarios.

For optimal control analysis, we focused on the introduction of parameters represented by  $u_1, u_2$ , and  $u_3$ , which are time-dependent control variables. We established an optimal control problem by defning an objective function with the goal of fnding the optimal values for the afore mentioned control variables to minimize the overall cost. Applying Pontryagin's principle, we derived the essential conditions for the optimal solution. The study explored the feasibility of three distinct optimal control approaches. In the frst scenario, we determined optimal solutions by focusing solely on awareness about medication  $u_1$  as a control variable. The second approach involved considering the effectiveness of isolation and quarantine  $u_2$  as time-dependent controls. Lastly, the third



<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Figure 9.** The graphical results display the dynamics behavior of control variable  $u_1$ .



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Figure 10.** The graphical results display the dynamics behavior of control variables  $u_2$ ,  $u_3$ .

approach involved vaccination rates  $u_3$  as time-dependent controls. Graphical results clearly demonstrate the efectiveness of each strategy in reducing both acute and chronic infections, suggesting their potential implementation to mitigate the spread of infectious diseases in the population. The graphical results also revealed that the case where we considered all three control variables, simultaneously, is more efective in reducing the spread of infectious flow. The optimal control strategy advocated the efficacy of the employment of popular health interventions such as; quarantine, face masks, and hand washing, to restrict the transmission chain of the infectious disease. Additionally, our fndings concluded that simulations with time-dependent controls are more cost-efective compared to those with time-independent controls.

In the future, it will be interesting to extend the above-developed model to explore the impact of the fractional order approach while maintaining the optimal control in place. It is anticipated that the ability of the fractional order scheme to use available information will be benefcial to further uncover the transmission of diseases in the population. Moreover, rigorous focus will remain on the exploration of the applicability of the proposed scheme on data sets involving varying geographical locations, socio-economic stratifcations prevalent in the society along the degree of access to health facilities. Furthermore, it will be engaging to explore the efficacy of the devised model when dealing with multiple infectious fows at the same time. By fguring out how these strategies work together or against each other, we hope to create better plans to control these diseases around the world.

#### **Ethical approval**

In this study, human data has not been used for modeling.

#### **Data availibility**

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author (Homan Emadifar) on reasonable request.

Received: 6 October 2023; Accepted: 6 January 2024 Published online: 10 January 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. cheema, S. A. & Kifayat, T. Is social distancing, and quarantine efective in restricting COVID-19 outbreak? Statistical evidences from Wuhan, China. *Comput. Mater. Continua* **66**(2), 1977–1985 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>2. World health organization global state report on covid-19 and health (2023).
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>3. Longxian, L. Te faecal metabolome in COVID-19 patients is altered and associated with clinical features and gut microbes. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1152**, 338267 (2021).
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>4. Liu, J. & Wang, J. Comprehensive investigations revealed consistent Pa tho physiological alterations afer vaccination with COVID-19 vaccines. *Cell Discov.* **7**, 9 (2021).
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>5. David, X. L. & Timothy, K. Expanded histopathology and tropism of Ebola virus in the rhesus macaque model potential for sexual transmission, altered adrenomedullary hormone production, and early viral replication in liver. *Am. J. Pathol.* **192**(1), 121–129 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>6. Pablo, J. & Antezana, E. Clinical features of the frst cases and a cluster of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Bolivia imported from Italy and Spain. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* **35**, 101653 (2020).
- 7. Jose, E. & Vicente, T. Clinical presentation and virological assessment of confrmed human monkeypox virus cases in Spain: A prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet* **400**(1035), 661–669 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>8. Ballester, R. & GilLlario,. The Virus that changed Spain: Impact of COVID-19 on people with HIV. *AIDS Behav.* 24(8), 2253 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>9. Rassokhin, V. & Yastrebova, E. Coronavirus infectious disease covid-19. Nature of virus, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, Report 1 (2021).
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>10. Constantinos, I. & Russo, L. Mathematical modeling of infectious disease Dynamics. *Virulence* **4**(4), 295–306 (2013).
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>11. Horby, peter. Social contact patterns in Vietnam and implications for the control of infectious diseases. *PLoS ONE* **6**(2), e16965 (2011).
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>12. Biswas, & Paiva,. A SEIR model for control of infectious disease with constraints. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* **11**(4), 761–784 (2014).
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>13. Wangari, I. M. Condition for global stability for a SEIR model incorporating exogenous reinfection and primary infection mechanisms, *Computat. Math. Methods Med.*, **20**(20), (2020).
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>14. Sarah, A. & Sheikh, A. Modeling and analysis of an SEIR epidemic model with a limited resource for treatment. *Global J. Sci. Front. Res. Math. Decis. Sci.* **12**(14), 56–66 (2012).
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>15. Trawicki, M. Deterministic SEIRs epidemic model for modeling vital dynamics, vaccinations, and temporary immunity. *Mathematics* **5**(1), 7 (2017).
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>16. Mamta, B. & Rajgor. A new millennium technology for construction industries, (2013).
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>17. Samuel, & Kurths, Jurgen. Parameter estimation based synchronization for an epidemic model with application to tuberculosis in Cameroon. *Physics* **374**(44), 4496–4505 (2010).
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>18. Bryson, A. E. Applied optimal control: Optimization, Estimation and Control, (2018).
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>19. Biegler, L. T. & Cervantes, A. M. Wachter, Advances in simultaneous strategies for dynamic process optimization. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **57**(4), 575–593 (2002).
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>20. Feng, Z. & Yang,. Timely identifcation of optimal control strategies for emerging infectious diseases. *J. Teor. Biol.* **259**(1), 165–171  $(2009)$
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>21. Kumar, T. & Jana, S. A theoretical study on mathematical modelling of an infectious disease with application of optimal control. *Biosystem* **111**(1), 37–50 (2013).
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>22. Liddo, A. D. Optimal control and treatment of infectious diseases. *Mathematics* **4**(2), 21 (2016).
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>23. Naraigh, O. L. & Byrne, A. Piece wise-constant optimal control strategies for controlling the outbreak of COVID-19 in the Irish population. *Math. Biosci.* **330**, 108–496 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>24. Verma, V. Optimal control analysis of a mathematical model on smoking. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.* **6**, 2535–2542 (2020).
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>25. Verma, V. *et al.* A mathematical model for the novel coronavirus with efect of lockdown. *Int. J. Model. Simul., Sci. Comput.* **14**(03), 2350005 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>26. Andrew, O. & Aeshah, A. R. Te optimal strategies to be adopted in controlling the co-circulation of COVID-19, Dengue and HIV: Insight from a mathematical model. *Axioms* **12**(8), 773 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>27. Omame, A. & Abbas, M. The stability analysis of a co-circulation model for COVID-19, dengue, and zika with nonlinear incidence rates and vaccination strategies. *Health Care Anal.* **3**(2023), 100151 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>28. Omame, A. & Abbas, M. Modeling SARS-CoV-2 and HBV co-dynamics with optimal control. *Physica A* **615**, 128607 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>29. Omame, A., Abbas, M. & Onyenegecha, C. P. Backward bifurcation and optimal control in a co-infection model for SARS-CoV-2 and ZIKV. *Results Phys.* **37**, 105481 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>30. Li, Bo. & Eskandari, Zohreh. Dynamical analysis of a discrete-time SIR epidemic model. *J. Franklin Inst.* **360**(12), 7989–8007  $(2023)$
- 31. He, Qizhi, ur Rahman, Mati & Xie, Cuihua. Information overfow between monetary policy transparency and infation expectations using multivariate stochastic volatility models. *Appl. Math. Sci. Eng.* **31**(1), 2253968 (2023).
- 32. Li, Bo., Eskandari, Zohreh & Avazzadeh, Zakieh. Dynamical behaviors of an SIR epidemic model with discrete time. *Fractal Fract.* **6**(11), 659 (2022).
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>33. Zhu, Xuhui, Xia, Pingfan, He, Qizhi, Ni, Zhiwei & Ni, Liping. Coke price prediction approach based on dense GRU and oppositionbased learning salp swarm algorithm. *Int. J. Bio-Inspired Comput.* **21**(2), 106–121 (2023).
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>34. Liu, X., Rahmamn, M. U., Ahmad, S., Baleanu, D. & Anjam, Y. N. A new fractional infectious disease model under the non-singular Mittag-Leffler derivative, *Waves in Random and Complex Media*, pp.1-27, (2022).
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>35. Kar, T. K. & Jana, S. A theoretical study on mathematical modelling of an infectious disease with application of optimal control. *Biosystems* **111**(1), 37–50 (2013).
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>36. Nagumo, M. Über die lage der integralkurven gewohnlicher diferential gleichungen. *Proc. Physico-Math. Soc. Jpn.* **24**, 551–559  $(1942)$
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>37. Driessche, P. V. & Watmough,. Reproduction numbers and sub threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. *Math. Biosci.* **180**(1–2), 29–48 (2002).
- <span id="page-17-34"></span>38. Chitnis, N., Hyman, J. M. & Cushing, J. M. Determining important parameters in the spread of malaria through the sensitivity analysis of a mathematical model. *Bull. Math. Biol.* **70**(5), 1272–1296 (2008).
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>39. Mahardika, R., Widowati, & Sumanto, Y. D. Routh-hurwitz criterion and bifurcation method for stability analysis of tuberculosis transmission model. In *Journal of physics: Conference series*, **1217**(1), 012056. IOP Publishing, (2019).
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>40. Salle, L., Joseph, P. (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Te stability of dynamical systems, 1976).
- <span id="page-17-37"></span>41. LaSalle, Joseph P. Stability of nonautonomous systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **1**(1), 83–91 (1976).
- <span id="page-17-38"></span>42. Michael, Y. L. & Muldowney, J. S. A geometric approach to global-stability problems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27**(4), 1070–1083 (1996).
- <span id="page-17-39"></span>43. Blower, C. & kirschner, P. A mathematical approaches for emerging and reemerging infectious diseases: An introduction," *Springer*, vol. 126, (2002).
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>44. Martin, J. & Robert, H. Logarithmic norms and projections applied to linear diferential system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **45**(2), 432–454 (1974).
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>45. Khan, T. & Zaman, G. Te transmission dynamic and optimal control of acute and chronic hepatitis B. *J. Biol. Dyn.* **11**(1), 172–189 (2017).
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>46. Aldila, D. & Padma, H. Analyzing the MERS disease control strategy through an optimal control problem. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.* **28**(1), 169–184 (2018).
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>47. Dipema, R. J. & Lions, P. L. *Ordinary Diferential Equation* (John Wiley and Sons, 1989).
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>48. Kamien, M. & Schwartz, N. Dynamic optimization, The Netherlands optimal control problem. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.* **28**(1), 169–84 (1991).
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>49. Bachir, M. & Blot, J. Infnite dimensional infnite-horizon pontryagin principles for discrete-time problems. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* **23**, 43–54 (2015).
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>50. Aseev, S. M. & Kryazhimskii, A. V. Te pontryagin maximum principle and optimal economic growth problems. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **257**(1), 1–255 (2007).

# **Author contributions**

All authors contributed equally to this article. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Additional information**

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to H.E.

#### **Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** Tis article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\bigcirc$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 $© The Author(s) 2024$