



OPEN

# Serum level of HDL particles are independently associated with long-term prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease: The GENES study

Thibaut Duparc<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Bernard Ruidavets<sup>3</sup>, Annelise Genoux<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Cécile Ingueneau<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Souad Najib<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean Ferrières<sup>3,5</sup>, Bertrand Perret<sup>1,2,4,6</sup> & Laurent O. Martinez<sup>1,2,6</sup>✉

HDL-Cholesterol (HDL-C) is not an accurate surrogate marker to measure the cardioprotective functions of HDL in coronary artery diseases (CAD) patients. Hence, measurement of other HDL-related parameters may have prognostic superiority over HDL-C. In this work, we examined the predictive value of HDL particles profile for long-term mortality in CAD patients and to compare its informative value to that of HDL-C and apoA-I. HDL particles profiles were measured by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy in 214 male participants with stable CAD (45–74 years). Median follow up was 12.5 years with a 36.4% mortality rate. Cardiovascular mortality accounted for 64.5%. Mean concentrations of total HDL particles (HDL-P), small-sized HDL (SHDL-P) and apoA-I were lower in deceased than in surviving patients whereas no difference was observed according to HDL-C and large HDL particles. All NMR-HDL measures were correlated between themselves and with other HDL markers (HDL-C, apoA-I and LpA-I). In a multivariate model adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors and bioclinical variables, HDL-P and SHDL-P displayed the strongest inverse association with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Weaker associations were recorded for apoA-I. Based on our results, we conclude that HDL particle profile measured by NMR spectroscopy should be considered to better stratify risk in population at high risk or in the setting of pharmacotherapy.

HDL-Cholesterol (HDL-C) has been repeatedly inversely related to cardiovascular risk in all epidemiological studies. However, pharmacological trials aimed at increasing HDL-C have failed to demonstrate a beneficial effect on clinical outcomes<sup>1</sup>. Also, some genetic variants associated to increased HDL-C have not been found associated to a decreased cardiovascular risk<sup>2</sup> but those HDL randomization studies are questionable because they disregarded the complexity of lipoprotein metabolism by excluding from their analyses important genes that not exclusively regulate HDL-C levels but also those of other lipoproteins<sup>3,4</sup>. This has led to the concept that a single measurement of HDL-C does not necessarily reflect the functional properties of HDL particles and their effects against atherosclerosis. Indeed, HDL particles are heterogeneous in size and biochemical composition, and HDL subpopulations might have different functional properties<sup>5</sup>. NMR-spectroscopy has been recently proposed as a tool to quantify HDL particles and HDL subpopulations<sup>5</sup>. This technology enables to measure the total concentration of HDL particles and their size distribution. Numerous recent studies have shown that the atheroprotective properties of HDL are supported by small and medium-sized HDL particles<sup>6</sup>, which were inversely related to cardiovascular risk in various clinical settings<sup>7,8</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), UMR 1048, Institute of Metabolic and Cardiovascular Diseases, Toulouse, France. <sup>2</sup>University of Toulouse, UMR1048, Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse, France. <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Health Economics and Public Health, UMR1027 INSERM, Toulouse University, Toulouse University Hospital (CHU), Toulouse, France. <sup>4</sup>Service de Biochimie, Pôle biologie, Hôpital de Purpan, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France. <sup>5</sup>Fédération de Cardiologie, Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France. <sup>6</sup>These authors contributed equally: Bertrand Perret and Laurent O. Martinez. ✉e-mail: [Laurent.Martinez@inserm.fr](mailto:Laurent.Martinez@inserm.fr)

In the present study, we have evaluated HDL particles concentration and distribution in a cohort of patients with established, angiographically documented, coronary artery disease<sup>9,10</sup> taking into account an extended panel of potential confounders related to cardiovascular risk and heart condition. The patients' vital status was yearly assessed and mortality was recorded, distinguishing all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality and other causes of death, during a 12.5-year median follow-up. In addition, one objective of the study was to compare HDL particles measurements to routinely available HDL markers, HDL-C and apoA-I, as predictors of mortality in CAD patients. Indeed, apoA-I is the major HDL protein, its immunoassay is today referred to international standards and now available on automated analyzers. Moreover apoA-I is much less influenced than HDL-C by other components of the lipoprotein profile, like VLDL or LDL, which may have an impact on HDL lipid composition through action of lipid transfer proteins.

## Results

**Characteristics of CAD patients according to vital status.** The present cohort was constituted of 214 CAD male patients. After inclusion, the vital patients' status was yearly assessed. The median follow-up period was 12.5 years (mean: 10.7 years). During follow-up, 78 deaths had been recorded giving a death rate of 36.4% and a mean annual rate of 3.4%. Cardiovascular mortality accounted for the majority of deaths recorded (64.1%,  $n = 50$ ) and cancers accounted for 16.7% ( $n = 13$ ).

Comparison of patients' data when they were included in the cohort is given in Table 1. Results are presented distinguishing two groups: the "alive group" (patients living at the end of the follow-up) and the "deceased group" (patients who died during follow-up).

Hypertension was diagnosed or treated in 65.6% patients (45.5% under treatment) with no difference between the deceased and alive groups ( $p = 0.95$ ). Dyslipidemia was diagnosed or treated in 71.3% (65.4% treated) of the alive group, and in 50.6% (43.6% upon treatment) of the deceased group and the difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.002$ ). Among patients treated for dyslipidemia, 87.8% were treated with statins, 16.2% with fibrates, 4% with both statins and fibrates and none were treated with niacin or other lipid-lowering therapy. Diabetes was diagnosed in 18.7% (16.9% treated) of the alive group and in 41.8% (37.2% upon treatment) of the deceased group and the difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.001$ ).

Patients having deceased during the follow-up period had a longer duration of CAD, a decreased left ventricle ejection volume (LVEF), a higher heart rate and a more severe angiographic lesion score (Gensini). Regarding cardiovascular risk factors, smoking habits and treatment for diabetes were more frequent in the deceased group, whereas lipid-lowering therapy was less frequent. Among lipoprotein parameters, only apoA-I, a major HDL marker, was significantly lower in further deceased patients. Hs-CRP, an inflammatory marker, was lower in surviving than in deceasing patients. NT-proBNP levels were significantly higher in further deceased patients but no difference was observed in the concentration of hs-TnT between the two groups, indicating that deceased patients suffered from a more severe myocardial dysfunction rather than from a more extended myocardial necrosis.

The distribution of prior cardiovascular events in the studied population of stable CAD patients is shown in Supplementary Table 1. The majority is represented by myocardial infarction (MI, 52.3%), followed by revascularization procedures (37.9%) and then by other ischemic heart disease (IHD, 9.8%, e.g. stable angina). Logically, among patients deceased during follow-up, past history of MI and other IHD were more frequent than in alive patients.

**HDL particles according to vital status.** HDL particles' profile was determined by NMR spectroscopy, enabling to distinguish large HDL (LHDL-P, 8.8–13 nm) and small-sized HDL (SHDL-P, 7.3–8.7 nm) particles. The latter accounted for about ~85% of total HDL particles (HDL-P). HDL-P was ~10% lower in deceased than in surviving patients (24.6  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  [SD, 6.0] vs. 27.5  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  [SD, 4.9],  $p = 0.001$ , Table 2). This difference was entirely due to a decreased number of SHDL-P, whereas number LHDL-P was not different according to the vital status (Table 2). The average size of total HDL particles (HDL size) was found higher in deceased patients (8.94 nm *versus* 8.82 nm,  $p = 0.014$ ).

**Correlations between HDL particles measures and clinical and biological parameters.** Correlations were investigated between markers of HDL particles and other clinical or biological parameters in the study population (Table 3). All NMR-HDL measures were correlated between themselves and with other HDL markers: HDL-C, apoA-I and lipoprotein A-I (LpA-I). Logically, the average HDL size was correlated positively with the number of LHDL-P, and negatively, with the number of SHDL-P. Triglycerides were associated positively with HDL-P and SHDL-P but negatively with LHDL-P, mean HDL size and HDL-C. No association was observed with apoA-I. These correlations might reflect the remodeling of HDL lipids induced by the cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP) acting between HDL particles and triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Alcohol consumption positively correlated with HDL-C and HDL-P, and more specifically with SHDL-P but not with apoA-I. Inflammation, as documented by plasma hs-CRP, was inversely associated with apo A-I, HDL-P and SHDL-P, but not with LHDL-P. HDL-P, SHDL-P and apoA-I were negatively associated with NT-proBNP and hs-TnT.

The severity of coronary lesions, as illustrated by the Gensini score, was inversely related to HDL-P. Strong positive associations were observed between LVEF and both HDL-P and SHDL-P. ApoA-I levels correlated with LVEF but not significantly with the Gensini score. For comparison, no relationship was recorded between HDL-C and either LVEF or the Gensini score. Thus, although HDL markers were strongly correlated between themselves, total and subclasses of HDL particles displayed specific association with clinical variables.

**Total and cardiovascular mortality according to tertiles of HDL markers.** Each one HDL marker was considered according to tertiles of its distribution in the whole study population (Table 4). Death rates during follow-up were determined across the different tertiles and associations were determined after adjustment on

	Full cohort (n = 214)	Alive (n = 136)	Dead (n = 78)	p <sup>c</sup>
Age, years	60.3 (7.8)	59.4 (7.3)	61.8 (8.4)	0.04
Smoking, pack year	39.9 (37.7)	33 (32.6)	52 (42.9)	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Smoking habits, %				0.11
Current smoking	23.4	19.1	30.8	
Past smoking	59.3	61.0	56.4	
Never smoking	17.3	19.9	12.8	
Alcohol, g/day	29 (30.2)	30.8 (32)	26 (26.9)	0.39 <sup>d</sup>
Physical activity, high level <sup>a</sup> , %	10.3	12.5	6.4	0.16 <sup>c</sup>
Hypertension <sup>b</sup> , %	65.6	65.4	65.8	0.95 <sup>c</sup>
Treatment Hypertension, %	45.5	43.4	48.7	0.45 <sup>c</sup>
Dyslipidemia <sup>b</sup> , %	63.7	71.3	50.6	0.002 <sup>c</sup>
Treatment dyslipidemia, %	57.5	65.4	43.6	0.002 <sup>c</sup>
Treatment with statins, %	50.5	56.6	39.7	0.02 <sup>c</sup>
Treatment with fibrates, %	9.3	11.8	5.1	0.11 <sup>c</sup>
Diabetes <sup>b</sup> , %	27.2	18.7	41.8	0.001 <sup>c</sup>
Treatment diabetes, %	24.3	16.9	37.2	0.001 <sup>c</sup>
Waist circumference, cm	99.1 (10.8)	98 (9.5)	101.1 (12.5)	0.07
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.2 (3.8)	27.1 (3.6)	27.4 (4.2)	0.62
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	137 (20.7)	137.8 (19.8)	135.7 (22.1)	0.47
Heart rate, beats/min	64 (13.3)	62.1 (12.2)	67.4 (4.2)	0.005
Triglycerides, g/L	1.73 (0.97)	1.80 (0.99)	1.62 (0.92)	0.20 <sup>f</sup>
Total cholesterol, g/L	2.05 (0.42)	2.09 (0.40)	1.98 (0.44)	0.04
LDL-C, g/L	1.29 (0.37)	1.32 (0.35)	1.23 (0.41)	0.09
HDL-C, g/L	0.43 (0.13)	0.43 (0.13)	0.42 (0.14)	0.61
ApoA-I, g/L	1.21 (0.23)	1.24 (0.24)	1.17 (0.22)	0.04
Lipoprotein A-I, g/L	0.45 (0.13)	0.45 (0.14)	0.46 (0.13)	0.69
eGFR <30 mL / min, %	2.4	0.8	5.2	0.07 <sup>g</sup>
hs-CRP, mg/L	16.7 (28.2)	14.5 (27.4)	20.5 (29.4)	0.05 <sup>d</sup>
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	800 (1812)	424 (813)	1437 (2673)	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
hs-TnT, pg/mL	207 (490)	193 (441)	232 (565)	0.46 <sup>d</sup>
LVEF < 50%, %	29	17.7	48.7	0.001 <sup>c</sup>
Gensini score	46.4 (40.1)	37.7 (31.4)	61.1 (48.4)	0.001 <sup>f</sup>
Duration of CAD (months)	42.4 (63.8)	35.6 (58.6)	54.2 (70.8)	0.06 <sup>d</sup>

**Table 1.** Clinical and biological characteristics in coronary artery disease patients when they were first included in the GENES cohort. Data are expressed in mean (SD) or %. BMI, body mass index; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-TnT, high-sensitive cardiac troponin T; NT-ProBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; CAD, coronary artery disease. <sup>a</sup>“high” physical activity during 20 min at least twice a week versus “low” physical activity once a week or less. <sup>b</sup>Hypertension, systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mmHg or treatment; Dyslipidemia, total cholesterol  $\geq 2.50$  g/L or treatment; Diabetes, fasting blood glucose  $\geq 7.8$  mmol/L or treatment. <sup>c</sup>Student’s t-test, unless otherwise stated. <sup>d</sup>Wilcoxon-Mann-Witney test. <sup>e</sup>Chi-squared test. <sup>f</sup>tests performed on log transformed data. <sup>g</sup>Fischer’s exact test.

	Full cohort (n = 214)	Alive (n = 136)	Dead (n = 78)	p*
HDL-P ( $\mu$ mol/L)	26.4 (5.5)	27.5 (4.9)	24.6 (6.0)	0.001
LHDL-P ( $\mu$ mol/L)	3.9 (2.7)	3.8 (2.7)	4.1 (2.7)	0.39
SHDL-P ( $\mu$ mol/L)	22.8 (5.1)	23.9 (4.5)	20.9 (5.6)	0.001
HDL size (nm)	8.87 (0.34)	8.82 (0.34)	8.94 (0.34)	0.014

**Table 2.** NMR HDL measures in coronary artery patients (n = 214) according to vital status. Data are expressed in mean (SD). \*Student’s t-test. HDL-P: total HDL particle. LHDL-P: Large-sized HDL particle. SHDL-P: Small-sized HDL particle. HDL size: average size of HDL particles.

classical risk factors including age, smoking and treatments for hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. Similar associations were observed without adjustment. The strongest association to total and cardiovascular mortality was observed for HDL-P distribution. A 45% reduction in death rates was recorded in tertiles 2 and 3, as

	HDL-C (g/L)	apoA-I (g/L)	HDL-P (μmol/L)	LHDL-P (μmol/L)	SHDL-P (μmol/L)	HDL size (nm)
HDL-C, g/L	1	0.836*** (0.791;0.872)	0.642*** (0.556;0.714)	0.671*** (0.591;0.739)	0.351*** (0.227;0.464)	0.466*** (0.353;0.565)
apoA-I, g/L		1	0.768*** (0.706;0.818)	0.502*** (0.395;0.596)	0.528*** (0.424;0.619)	0.246*** (0.114–0.367)
HDL-P, μmol/L			1	0.309*** (0.183;0.426)	0.859*** (0.819;0.890)	−0.043 (−0.176;0.092)
LHDL-P, μmol/L				1	−0.120 (−0.50;0.015)	0.898*** (0.868;0.921)
SHDL-P, μmol/L					1	−0.444*** (−0.547;−0.330)
Age, years	0.121 (−0.014;0.251)	0.082 (−0.053;0.214)	0.020 (−0.114;0.154)	0.146* (0.012;0.274)	−0.034 (−0.168;0.101)	0.189** (0.056;0.315)
Cigarette, nb/day	−0.081 (−0.208;0.059)	−0.083 (−0.214;0.052)	−0.118 (−0.248;0.016)	0.025 (−0.109;0.159)	−0.172* (−0.300;−0.038)	0.041 (−0.094;0.174)
Alcohol, g/day	0.138* (0.004;0.267)	0.119 (−0.015;0.250)	0.172* (0.038;0.299)	0.021 (−0.114–0.155)	0.199** (0.066;0.325)	−0.038 (−0.172;0.097)
Physical activity score <sup>a</sup>	0.132 (−0.002;0.262)	0.091 (−0.043;0.223)	0.108 (−0.026–0.239)	0.036 (−0.099;0.169)	0.115 (−0.019;0.246)	−0.046 (−0.179;0.089)
Waist circumference, cm	−0.138* (−0.267;−0.004)	−0.058 (−0.190;0.077)	0.020 (−0.114;0.154)	−0.153* (−0.281;−0.019)	0.068 (−0.067;0.201)	−0.104 (−0.235;0.031)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	−0.147* (−0.275;−0.013)	−0.010 (−0.144;0.124)	0.086 (−0.048;0.218)	−0.208** (−0.332;−0.076)	0.155* (0.021;0.284)	−0.201** (−0.326;−0.067)
Heart rate, beats/min	−0.025 (−0.159;0.109)	−0.140* (−0.269;−0.006)	−0.086 (−0.218;0.049)	0.044 (−0.090;0.178)	−0.142* (−0.271;−0.007)	0.119 (−0.016;0.250)
Total cholesterol, g/L	0.225*** (0.094;0.349)	0.233*** (0.102;0.356)	0.394*** (0.274;0.501)	0.052 (−0.082;0.185)	0.329*** (0.204;0.444)	−0.073 (−0.206;0.062)
Triglycerides, g/L	−0.304*** (−0.421;−0.177)	−0.032 (−0.166;0.103)	0.184** (0.051;0.311)	−0.503*** (−0.597;−0.395)	0.424*** (0.307;0.529)	−0.632*** (−0.707;−0.544)
LDL-C, g/L	0.100 (−0.037;0.233)	0.057 (0.080;0.192)	0.165* (0.029;0.294)	−0.090 (−0.224;0.047)	0.174* (0.038;0.304)	−0.172* (−0.32;−0.036)
Lipoprotein AI, g/L	0.649*** (0.562;0.721)	0.629*** (0.539;0.705)	0.492*** (0.381;0.589)	0.502*** (0.392;0.597)	0.276*** (0.144;0.397)	0.394*** (0.271;0.504)
hs-CRP, mg/L	−0.180** (−0.307;−0.047)	−0.249*** (−0.371;−0.119)	−0.252*** (−0.373;−0.122)	−0.098 (−0.229;0.037)	−0.216** (−0.340;−0.084)	0.069 (−0.066;0.201)
eGFR, mL/min	0.100 (−0.040;0.228)	−0.013 (−0.149;−0.122)	0.113 (−0.023;0.244)	0.035 (−0.104;0.171)	0.075 (−0.061;0.209)	−0.031 (−0.165;0.106)
hs-TnT, pg/mL	−0.207** (−0.332;−0.07)	−0.254*** (−0.375;−0.124)	−0.265*** (−0.385;−0.135)	−0.072 (−0.207;0.065)	−0.211** (−0.336;−0.079)	0.037 (−0.098;0.170)
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	−0.138* (−0.267;−0.01)	−0.259*** (−0.380;−0.129)	−0.333*** (−0.447;−0.208)	0.036 (−0.101;0.171)	−0.339*** (−0.453;−0.214)	0.202** (0.069;0.328)
LVEF, %	0.101 (−0.038;0.237)	0.235*** (0.099;0.362)	0.255*** (0.120;0.380)	−0.085 (−0.222;0.054)	0.282*** (0.148;0.405)	−0.177* (−0.309;−0.039)
Gensini score	−0.114 (−0.249;0.025)	−0.117 (−0.251;0.022)	−0.158* (−0.290;−0.019)	−0.004 (−0.142;0.135)	−0.118 (−0.253;0.022)	0.052 (−0.088;0.190)

**Table 3.** Correlation between NMR HDL measure and biological and biochemical parameters and other cardiovascular risk factors in coronary artery disease patients. Spearman rank correlation coefficients (95% confidence interval). \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ . <sup>a</sup>Score from no physical activity to at least 20 minutes of vigorous physical activity at least twice a week. BMI, body mass index; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-TnT, high-sensitive cardiac troponin T; NT-ProBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

compared to tertile 1. Each 1 SD increase in HDL particles number was found associated with ~42% reduction in total or cardiovascular mortality (HR = 0.58 [95% CI, 0.45–0.75] and 0.59 [95% CI, 0.44–0.80], respectively). Results were almost identical considering SHDL-P (HR = 0.60 [95% CI, 0.46–0.77] and 0.61 [95% CI, 0.45–0.82], respectively). Taking into account fibrate and/or statin use in statistical analyses had no effect on the association of HDL-P and SHDL-P particles with mortality (not shown). By contrast, no association between LHDL-P and mortality was observed, except for an almost significant positive trend ( $p = 0.07$ ) between LHDL-P and death rates. Concordantly, death rates were significantly different across HDL size distribution, an increase in particles size being associated with highest death rates. Considering classical HDL markers, HDL-C tertiles did not display different death rates, yet a 1 SD increase in HDL-C was associated with a significantly lower HR for total mortality (0.78,  $p = 0.03$ ). ApoA-I distribution was associated to total and cardiovascular mortality; each 1 standard deviation increase of apoA-I was associated to a ~31% risk reduction (HR = 0.69 [95% CI, 0.54–0.88] and 0.67 [95% CI, 0.49–0.91], respectively).

A further multivariate analysis was conducted using a forward stepwise selection of adjustment variables (Fig. 1). Regarding total mortality, the variables retained in the model were age, smoking, treatment for dyslipidemia, renal function (eGFR), LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score, the 3 last parameters reflecting

	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	P <sub>trend</sub>	HR per one SD increase	p
<b>HDL-C (g/L)</b>	≤ 36.5 (n = 70)	36.6–42.3 (n = 71)	>42.3 (n = 73)			
Death rates (%)	38.6	37.5	34.3	0.59		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.85 (0.49–1.46)	0.71 (0.40–1.25)	0.23	0.78 (0.60–0.98)	0.03
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	1.01 (0.51–2.02)	0.92 (0.46–1.86)	0.82	0.79 (0.59–1.07)	0.13
<b>apoA-I (g/L)</b>	≤ 1.1 (n = 67)	1.2–1.26 (n = 73)	>1.26 (n = 74)			
Death rates (%)	44.8	37	29.3	0.06		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.77 (0.45–1.32)	0.53 (0.30–0.94)	0.03	0.69 (0.54–0.88)	0.004
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.63 (0.32–1.24)	0.53 (0.27–1.05)	0.06	0.67 (0.49–0.91)	0.01
<b>HDL-P (μmol/L)</b>	≤ 24.6 (n = 71)	24.7–28.4 (n = 71)	>28.4 (n = 72)			
Death rates (%)	52.8	28.2	29.2	0.004		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.43 (0.25–0.76)	0.49 (0.28–0.85)	0.007	0.58 (0.45–0.75)	0.001
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.42 (0.21–0.86)	0.48 (0.24–0.95)	0.025	0.59 (0.44–0.80)	0.001
<b>LHDL-P (μmol/L)</b>	≤ 2.3 (n = 70)	2.4–4.3 (n = 71)	>4.3 (n = 73)			
Death rates (%)	30.0	35.2	44.6	0.07		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	1.32 (0.72–2.40)	1.35 (0.75–2.43)	0.33	0.93 (0.75–1.16)	0.52
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	1.39 (0.67–2.85)	1.37 (0.67–2.78)	0.40	0.97 (0.73–1.28)	0.82
<b>SHDL-P (μmol/L)</b>	≤ 21.0 (n = 71)	21.1–24.8 (n = 70)	>24.8 (n = 73)			
Death rates (%)	50.7	32.9	26.0	0.002		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.48 (0.28–0.84)	0.52 (0.29–0.95)	0.02	0.60 (0.46–0.77)	0.001
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	0.58 (0.29–1.16)	0.57 (0.28–1.17)	0.10	0.61 (0.45–0.82)	0.001
<b>HDL size (nm)</b>	≤ 8.69 (n = 68)	8.70–8.95 (n = 72)	> 8.95 (n = 74)			
Death rates (%)	27.9	33.3	48.6	0.01		
HR for total mortality* (95% CI)	1	1.21 (0.65–2.24)	1.74 (0.96–3.15)	0.06	1.07 (0.88–1.31)	0.49
HR for CV mortality* (95% CI)	1	1.22 (0.57–2.62)	1.88 (0.91–3.90)	0.08	1.12 (0.87–1.46)	0.38

**Table 4.** Death rate according to tertiles of HDL-related biomarkers and association with total and cardiovascular (CV) mortality. HR: Hazard Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval. \*Analyses are adjusted for age, smoking (pack-year), treatment for hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. Among the 78 deceased patients analyzed, the number of CV death was 50.


heart condition. For cardiovascular mortality, similar variables were retained except for age, smoking and eGFR. Hazard ratios for mortality per 1-SD increase of HDL-P, SHDL-P, of apoA-I and HDL-C were all significant (Fig. 1). HR were almost identical for all-cause and CV mortality (Fig. 1). They were the lowest for HDL-P or total and cardiovascular mortality (HR = 0.60 [95% CI, 0.46–0.79] and HR = 0.63 [95% CI, 0.46–0.86], respectively) and the highest and at the limit of significance for HDL-C (HR = 0.71 [95% CI, 0.55–0.92] and HR = 0.72 [95% CI, 0.53–0.99] respectively). Intermediate values of HR were found for apoA-I.

Associations between HDL markers and mortality are illustrated in the survival curves established during the whole follow-up period for the different tertiles (Fig. 2). Death rates were regular during the whole time course of follow-up. For both HDL-P and SHDL-P, patients in the first tertile had a poorer survival than patients in tertiles 2 and 3. This was particularly evident for early events: of the 17 deaths recorded during the 0–3 year follow-up, 11 (65%) were in the lowest tertile of HDL-P as compared to 8 out of 20 (40%) for the deaths recorded in the 9–12 year period. A comparable trend was observed for apoA-I distribution yet survival differences during the whole period did not reach statistical significance.

## Discussion

In the present study, serum levels of total HDL particles (HDL-P) and of small-sized HDL particles (SHDL-P) were inversely related to all-cause as well as to specific cardiovascular mortality in CAD patients. Every 1-SD increase of HDL particle number was associated to a 41% decrease in cardiovascular mortality, after multiple





**Figure 1.** Relative risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality as a function of HDL-C, apoA-I, HDL-P, SHDL-P and LHDL-P. Graphic represents hazard ratios (dots) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality per 1 standard deviation increase of HDL-C, apoA-I, HDL-P, SHDL-P or LHDL-P. Among the 78 deceased patients analyzed, the number of CV death was 50. Model 1: adjusted for age, smoking, treatment for dyslipidemia, eGFR, LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score. Model 2: adjusted for treatment for dyslipidemia, LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score.


adjustments on cardiovascular risk factors and on clinical markers of heart condition, including LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score. Among other HDL markers, apoA-I was also inversely related, though to a lesser extent, to total and cardiovascular mortality, and HDL-C was found weakly associated to all-cause mortality. Conversely, large HDL particles (LHDL-P) were not associated with total and cardiovascular mortality. However, higher death rates were recorded as average HDL particle size increased.

NMR-based lipoprotein profiling methods are not yet standardized and the estimated diameter range of HDL subclasses depends on the analytical methods used. Also, no classification for HDL subclasses analysed by NMR has been yet approved for routine purpose. For instance, the analytical method developed by LipoScience (now LabCorp, Raleigh, NC) grouped HDL particles into 3 subclasses from small (S-HDL-P, 7.3–8.2 nm), medium (M-HDL-P, 8.2–9.4 nm) to large (L-HDL-P, 9.4–14 nm) with small and medium-sized HDL particles being sometimes grouped (MS-HDL-P, 7.3–9.4 nm), while the AXINON lipoFIT-S100 system (Numares AG, Regensburg, Germany) used in the present study grouped HDL subclasses into small (SHDL-P, 7.3–8.7 nm) and large (LHDL-P, 8.8–13 nm)<sup>11,12</sup>. In this latest classification, it is worth mentioning that the estimated diameter ranges for SHDL-P and LHDL-P were similar to those for HDL<sub>3c+3b+3a</sub> (7.2–7.8 nm; 7.8–8.2 nm; 8.2–8.8 nm) and HDL<sub>2a+2b</sub> (8.8–9.7 nm; 9.7–12.9 nm), respectively, as isolated from plasma by density gradient ultracentrifugation and analyzed by electrophoresis on a non-denaturing gel<sup>13,14</sup>.

To date, the inverse and independent association between HDL-P / MS-HDL-P and cardiovascular risk has been extensively documented with respect to primary prevention, either in individuals without baseline CAD<sup>7,15–18</sup> and in those with pre-clinical atherosclerosis, as documented by carotid intima-media thickness<sup>7,19</sup>, or coronary calcifications<sup>20</sup>. More recently, in a large study carried out in high-risk individuals undergoing coronary catheterization for suspicion of CAD, followed-up during 8 years, HDL-P and MS-HDL-P were independent predictors of all-cause mortality<sup>8</sup>.

With respect to secondary prevention, only a few studies have evaluated the relationship of NMR-derived HDL particle subclasses with cardiovascular disease outcomes in CAD patients. In a prospective nested case-control study of 364 men with new CAD events (non-fatal myocardial infarction or cardiac death) during a 5.1 year follow-up paired to 697 age-matched control, total HDL-P and small HDL-P (7.3–8.2 nm) were strong, independent, predictors of recurrent coronary events, whereas levels of HDL-C were not<sup>21</sup>. Similarly, inverse association between HDL-P and coronary events has also been reported in a large mixed-gender cohort of CAD patients during a 5.3 years of follow up<sup>22</sup>. In patients suffering from acute heart failure, concentrations of both HDL-P and small HDL-P (7.3–8.7 nm) were inversely related to short-term (3-month) mortality, after multiple adjustments on confounding variables, including NT-proBNP, a classical marker of heart failure<sup>23</sup>.

The present study brings some additional insights on this relation between NMR-derived HDL particles subclasses and long-term prognosis in CAD patients, with angiographically documented coronary lesions. Association of HDL markers to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality was assessed after adjustment on a large variety of confounders: life-style parameters, clinical and biological variables documenting cardiovascular risk



**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Survival curves for the follow up period were established as a function of HDL-C (A), apoA-I (B), HDL size (C), HDL-P (D), LHDLP (E) and SHDL-P (F) tertiles. Tertiles range for HDL-C:  $\leq 36.5$ ,  $36.6-42.3$ ,  $>42.3$  g/L; tertiles range for apoA-I:  $\leq 1.1$ ,  $1.2-1.26$ ,  $>1.26$  g/L; Tertiles range for HDL size:  $\leq 8.69$ ,  $8.70-8.95$ ,  $>8.95$  nm; tertiles range for HDL-P:  $\leq 24.6$ ,  $24.7-28.4$ ,  $>28.4$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ; Tertiles range for LHDLP:  $\leq 2.3$ ,  $2.4-4.3$ ,  $>4.3$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ; tertile for SHDL-P:  $\leq 21.0$ ,  $21.1-24.8$ ,  $>24.8$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ .

factors, inflammatory status, renal function and heart condition. In addition, this study has enabled to compare the predictive value of apoA-I versus HDL-P measurements, which showed comparable associations, although Hazard ratios were better with HDL-P levels.

HDL particles, and most particularly small-sized HDL, may act against atherosclerosis through different mechanisms. Small HDL behave as the best acceptors of ABCA1-mediated cholesterol efflux from macrophages, leading subsequently to the mobilization of intracellular cholesterol to the plasma membrane<sup>24,25</sup>. Small and dense HDL particles also protect LDL from oxidation. HDL particles act through removing phospholipid hydroperoxides from LDL and by inactivating oxidized lipids by specific enzymes like paraoxonase-1 (PON-1) and PAF-acetylhydrolase<sup>26,27</sup>. Moreover small protein-rich HDL exert anti-inflammatory properties by depressing expression of VCAM-1 at the surface of endothelial cells<sup>28</sup>. On these cells, HDL particles appear to be cytoprotective by inhibiting apoptosis induced by oxidized LDL, and small HDL<sub>3</sub> would be the most effective in this function<sup>29</sup>. Altogether those observations suggest that the proteome associated to small HDL particles support various biological activities, which impair atherosclerosis development.

Moreover, HDL particles may exert beneficial effects on myocardial functions. Indeed, in different experimental contexts, it was demonstrated that HDL particles protect against ischemia reperfusion injury<sup>30</sup>, leading to a reduction in infarct size. HDL may also improve myocardial function by reducing ventricular remodelling following infarction<sup>31</sup>. In isolated cardiomyocytes, HDL particles were shown to prevent apoptosis through an

AMP-kinase dependent mechanism<sup>32</sup>. These experimental observations on a direct impact of HDL on myocardial functions might translate into clinical impacts. In support of this concept is the positive correlation observed here between HDL-P, small HDL-P and the left ventricular ejection fraction, concordant with the negative association between HDL-P, small HDL-P and NT-proBNP observed here and previously reported<sup>23</sup>, although potential confounders might interfere with these associations.

In this study, concentrations of large HDL particles were not associated to mortality. However, higher death rates were recorded as HDL size increased ( $p < 0.01$ ); following multiple adjustments, association to total mortality for the upper tertile of HDL size was close to statistical significance ( $p = 0.06$ ). Similar observations regarding all-cause mortality in individuals who are at high cardiovascular risk have been previously reported<sup>8</sup>. Large HDL-P might be less effective than SHDL-P regarding various atheroprotective functions, like cholesterol efflux, anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory properties, and cytoprotective effects on endothelium<sup>6,33</sup>. Moreover, accumulation of large HDL might reflect a defect in HDL catabolism, and particularly in HDL liver uptake, which constitutes the last step of reverse cholesterol transport<sup>34</sup>. Similarly, we did not observe any association of HDL-C with mortality. This is concordant with the lack of association between LHDL-P and mortality, since HDL-C mainly reflects cholesterol associated with large, lipid rich, HDL particles.

ApoA-I was inversely related to mortality: for each 1-SD increase of apoA-I, a 31% and 33% decrease in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality was recorded, respectively. So far, apoA-I has been little used in epidemiological studies. However, calibration on reference international standards has made the immunoassay of apoA-I robust and comparable between studies. Furthermore, apoA-I measurement is much less influenced than HDL-C by intravascular enzymes and lipid transfer proteins, which participate in HDL remodelling. Thus, apoA-I measurement may improve assessment of cardiovascular risk<sup>35</sup>. Association to mortality was somewhat weaker for apoA-I than for HDL-P or SHDL-P. This might be explained by the fact that the apoA-I content per particle varies on average from 2 to 4, between small HDL<sub>3</sub> and large HDL<sub>2</sub><sup>36</sup>, so that large HDL particles are somewhat over-represented in apoA-I quantification.

A number of limitations of the present study must be noted. First, the small size of the study is a limitation. Indeed, the first 214 consecutive CAD patients from the GENES cohort were included in the present study, which represent 25% of the whole cohort ( $n = 834$ ). Accordingly, mortality rate was higher in included patients than in the whole cohort (36.4% *versus* 29.1%, data not shown), probably because of the longer duration of follow-up for those first included patients. Clinical and biological characteristics were comparable, between included and non-included patients, except for dyslipidemia treatment, somewhat less frequent in included patients (57.5% vs 65.8%,  $p = 0.04$ , Supplementary Table 2). This difference might result from difference in statin administration practice between the beginning (year 2001) and the end (2004) of the GENES study. Second, this study was designed only with men, which has the advantage of recording a larger number of events than in a mixed all-gender cohort, particularly in south-western France where the incidence rates are particularly low for women under 65 years of age<sup>37</sup> but limited the translatability of our results to women. Third, despite adjustments on established CVD risk factors the possibility remains that other important confounding variables with effects on HDL parameters were not measured or considered in our analyses. Finally, NMR-based HDL classification in large and small HDL particles provides limited insights into the biology of these complex particles, now known to include more than 10 subspecies, for which proteome and lipidome compositions affect functional properties<sup>38</sup>. In particular the pre-beta HDL which are the principal acceptors for cholesterol effluxed from the arterial wall<sup>39</sup> are not accurately quantified by this method. Thus, comparison with other methods of analysis of HDL subfractions<sup>40</sup> would be relevant to confirm our findings and to improve our understanding of HDL functions.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the concentration of total HDL particles and small-sized HDL particles may serve as a better prediction tool than HDL-C and apoA-I to assess long term prognosis in coronary patients. Studies on HDL metabolism had progressively led to the schematic view of an interconversion cycle of HDL particles in the plasma compartment, driven by cell cholesterol efflux, enzymes like LCAT, lipases and lipid transfer proteins<sup>41,42</sup>. More recently the concept has emerged that HDL particles of different geometry and chemical composition have distinct metabolic fate and display specific functional properties<sup>5,6,43</sup>. This supports the idea that HDL functionality might be more precisely assessed by the quantification of specific HDL particles with high atheroprotective effects. In the future, quantification of HDL particle concentration and HDL subclasses along with HDL functional measurement could be clinically useful in CVD risk assessment.

## Methods

**Study participants.** The “Génétiq ue et Environnement en Europe du Sud” (GENES) study is a case-control study designed to assess the role of genetic, biological and environmental determinants in the occurrence of CAD<sup>44</sup>. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study protocol was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the local ethics committee (Comité consultatif de protection des personnes dans la recherche biomédicale (CCPPRB), Toulouse/Sud-Ouest, file #1-99-48, Feb 2000). All research was performed in accordance with procedures and regulations at the Toulouse University Hospital. A blood sample collection has been constituted (declared as DC-2008-463 #1 to the Ministry of Research and to the Regional Health Authority). As previously described, cases were stable male CAD patients living in the Toulouse area (South-west France), aged 45–74 and prospectively recruited from 2001 to 2004 after admission to the Cardiology department, Toulouse University Hospital, for cardiovascular examination and referred for evaluation and management of their CAD<sup>45</sup>. Patients included in the present study presented a stable CAD that was defined by a previous history of acute coronary syndrome, a previous history of coronary artery revascularization, a stable angina or a documented myocardial ischemia, as assessed by presence of coronary stenosis of  $\geq 50\%$  of luminal narrowing at the coronary angiography. Patients who had presented an acute coronary episode in the seven days prior to the recruitment were not included in the study, because they were considered unstable. In the present analysis, we only took into account the first 214 consecutive patients (i.e. those with CAD) in whom



NMR-HDL profile was measured and complete data were available for all the subjects. The minimal sample size ( $n = 186$ ), increased by 15% to take into account missing data, was calculated to detect a HR of 0.8 (for one SD increase of HDL-C) with a 80% power at a 0.05 significance level. The sample size was adjusted for an anticipated event rate of ~35% corresponding to a median follow-up of 12.5 years.

**Assessment of the vital status.** Vital status was obtained for each participant through the national database (“RNIPP”), which records, every year, all deaths occurring in the French population (<http://cesp.vjf.inserm.fr/svcd>). For each patients, vital status was assessed yearly from the year of recruitment until December 31, 2014, with a median follow up of 12.5 years. All dates and causes of death were obtained for participants who died during the follow-up. Main and associated causes of deaths were provided by the French National Institute of Health Research (CépiDc-INSERM), which systematically collects and codes (using the International Classification of Diseases coding system) data recorded on death certificates. Death from a cardiovascular cause during follow-up was assessed by a committee of four medical doctors, every time cardiovascular disease was reported as the main cause of death, or when it was mentioned as an associated cause, if the main cause was a plausible complication of cardiovascular disease. Authorizations to use these data were obtained in accordance with French law (Commission nationale de l’informatique et des libertés (CNIL): authorization 355152v1, September 3, 2008).

**Biological measurement.** Blood was collected after an overnight fast. Serum sample aliquots were subsequently stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until biological analyses. The following biomarkers were assayed with enzymatic reagents on automated analyzers (Hitachi 912 and Cobas 8000, Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France): serum total cholesterol, HDL-C, triglycerides, fasting glucose, creatinin. eGFR was calculated using the abbreviated Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study equation<sup>46</sup>. ApoA-I, high-sensitive C-Reactive protein (hs-CRP), N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and high-sensitive cardiac troponin T (hs-TnT) were determined on the same analyzer by immunoturbidimetry assays (Roche Diagnostics). Lipoprotein A-I, which refers to lipoproteins containing apoA-I but not apoA-II, were measured by an electroimmunodiffusion technique<sup>47</sup> using the HYDRAGEL LPAI PARTICLES Kit (Sebia, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France).

**Data collection.** Age, environmental characteristics and information on cardiovascular risk factors were collected through standardized face-to-face interviews, performed by a single physician. Past medical history was collected and checked in the patients’ medical files. Presence of dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus or hypertension was assessed from the subjects’ current treatments. Dyslipidemia was defined as treatment with drugs or fasting serum total cholesterol  $\geq 2.40$  g/L. Hypertension was defined as treatment with drugs or systolic blood pressure  $\geq 160$  mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 95$  mmHg. Diabetes was defined as treatment with drugs or fasting blood glucose  $\geq 7.8$  mmol/L. Smoking status was classified as current smokers, past smokers having quit for more than 3 years and patients having never smoked. Among current smokers, cigarette consumption was estimated with the pack-year quantification and recorded as the average number of cigarettes per day. Alcohol consumption was assessed using a typical week pattern. The total amount of pure alcohol consumption was calculated as the sum of different types of drinks and was expressed as grams per day. Physical activity was investigated through a standardized questionnaire<sup>48</sup> and categorized into three levels as: no physical activity, moderate physical activity during 20 minutes no more than once a week, and high physical activity during 20 minutes, at least twice a week. Blood pressure and resting heart rate were measured with an automatic sphygmomanometer (OMRON 705 CP). Measurements were performed after a minimum of 5 minutes rest; average values from two different measurements were recorded for further analysis.

**Assessment of CAD severity and extension and estimation of cardiac function.** All stable CAD patients enrolled had a coronary angiography even without evidence of active chronic ischemia. Coronary artery stenoses of  $\geq 50\%$  luminal narrowing were considered significant. Extent of coronary artery disease lesions was assessed by calculating the Gensini Score, based on data from coronary angiography<sup>49–51</sup>. Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) was assessed by contrast ventriculography using an isotopic method, and/or by echocardiography.

**HDL measurement by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.** HDL particle concentration and size were measured by NMR spectroscopy using the AXINON lipoFIT-S100 test system (Numares AG, Regensburg, Germany) as previously described<sup>11,12,23</sup>. Serum samples for NMR spectroscopy were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  and kept unfrozen until the day of NMR measurements. Serum (630  $\mu\text{L}$ ) gently mixed with 70  $\mu\text{L}$  of an additives solution containing reference substances, NaN<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>O, and 600  $\mu\text{L}$  of the mixture were transferred into 5 mm NMR tubes with barcode-labeled caps. Briefly, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at a temperature of 310 K on a shielded 600 MHz Avance III HD NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with a 5 mm triple resonance TXI probe head including deuterium lock channel, a z-gradient coil and automatic frequency tuning and matching. Prior to each analytical run, calibration was performed using a calibration sample comprising an aqueous solution of various calibration substances with different molecular masses, 0.01% (w/v) NaN<sub>3</sub>, 10% (v/v) D<sub>2</sub>O as a locking substance and 1% glycerol to adjust viscosity. Two identical control samples were measured directly after calibration and at the end of each run. Each spectrum was referenced, normalized and subjected to a set of quality checks including checks of baseline properties, noise level, shift, width, and symmetry properties of quality control signals. Lipoprotein analysis was conducted via deconvolution of the broad methyl group signal at about 0.9–0.8 ppm. In this process, lipoprotein subclasses are reflected by a fixed number of pre-defined bell-shaped (e.g. Gaussian or Lorentzian) base functions, each of which has a constant position and defined width. The concentrations of lipoprotein particle subclasses as well as the average particle size were calculated based on the integrals attributable to specific base functions. Fit quality was checked by calculating the residual deviation between fit and spectrum intensity. In this study, the concentrations of large-sized HDL particles (LHDL-P), small-sized

HDL-particle (SHDL-P) and total HDL particles (HDL-P, reported in  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) as well as the average HDL particle size (HDL size, reported in nm) are used. The two measured HDL subclasses had the following estimated diameter ranges: LHDL-P, 8.8–13 nm; SHDL-P, 7.3–8.7 nm.

**Statistical analyses.** Continuous variables are displayed as means and standard deviations (SD). Categorical variables are presented as proportions. We first described and compared characteristics of participants according to vital status. Categorical variables were compared between groups using the  $\chi^2$ -test (or Fisher's exact test when necessary). Student's *t*-test was used to compare the distribution of continuous data. A Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney's test (or logarithmic transformation of the variable when necessary) was performed when distribution departed from normality, or when homoscedasticity was rejected. Spearman rank correlations were used to test the associations of NMR-HDL parameters and HDL-C with cardiovascular risk factors, severity, extension and estimation of cardiac function of the disease.

Cumulative survival of patients were determined by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared, using the Log-rank test for the individual endpoints of all-cause mortality. The relation between baseline variables and mortality was assessed using Cox proportional hazards regression analysis. We tested the proportionality assumption using cumulative sums of martingale-based residuals. We performed regression analyses with polynomial models (quadratic and cubic) to examine for possible non-linear relations between continuous variables and mortality. Cox regression analyses were performed first without any adjustment for co-variables and, second, with adjustment on classical cardiovascular risk factors (age, smoking, treatments for dyslipidemia, hypertension and diabetes). In addition, a forward stepwise selection was used to create the multivariate models. Variables with an entry criterion of  $p \leq 0.20$  were used as candidate covariates in a multivariate cox regression model. Variables were maintained in the model with a retention criterion of  $p \leq 0.10$  for all-cause of death analyses and  $p \leq 0.05$  for cardiovascular mortality. The same covariates were identified for all HDL markers studied. The following variables were introduced into the model as covariates when considering all cause of death: age, smoking, treatment for dyslipidemia, eGFR, LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score. For cardiovascular mortality the variables retained were treatment for dyslipidemia, LVEF, duration of CAD and Gensini score. All statistical analyses were carried out using the SAS statistical software package 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Analyses were two-tailed and  $p < 0.05$  was considered to be significant.

Received: 10 December 2019; Accepted: 27 April 2020;

Published online: 18 May 2020

## References

- Armitage, J., Holmes, M. V. & Preiss, D. Cholesteryl Ester Transfer Protein Inhibition for Preventing Cardiovascular Events: JACC Review Topic of the Week. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **73**, 477–487 (2019).
- Voight, B. F. *et al.* Plasma HDL cholesterol and risk of myocardial infarction: a mendelian randomisation study. *Lancet* **380**, 572–80 (2012).
- Geller, A. S. *et al.* Genetic and secondary causes of severe HDL deficiency and cardiovascular disease. *J. Lipid Res.* **59**, 2421–2435 (2018).
- Schaefer, E. J., Geller, A. S. & Endress, G. The biochemical and genetic diagnosis of lipid disorders. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **30**, 56–62 (2019).
- Kontush, A. HDL particle number and size as predictors of cardiovascular disease. *Front. Pharmacol.* **6**, 218 (2015).
- Camont, L., Chapman, M. J. & Kontush, A. Biological activities of HDL subpopulations and their relevance to cardiovascular disease. *Trends Mol. Med.* **17**, 594–603 (2011).
- Mackey, R. H. *et al.* High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and particle concentrations, carotid atherosclerosis, and coronary events: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **60**, 508–516 (2012).
- McGarrah, R. W. *et al.* High-density lipoprotein subclass measurements improve mortality risk prediction, discrimination and reclassification in a cardiac catheterization cohort. *Atherosclerosis* **246**, 229–235 (2016).
- Genoux, A. *et al.* Serum levels of mitochondrial inhibitory factor 1 are independently associated with long-term prognosis in coronary artery disease: the GENES Study. *BMC Med.* **14**, 125 (2016).
- Verdier, C. *et al.* Common p2y polymorphisms are associated with plasma inhibitory factor 1 and lipoprotein(a) concentrations, heart rate and body fat mass: The GENES study. *Arch. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **112**, 124–134 (2019).
- Hoeke, G. *et al.* Short-term cooling increases serum triglycerides and small high-density lipoprotein levels in humans. *J. Clin. Lipidol.* **11**, 920–928.e2 (2017).
- Schettler, V. J. *et al.* NMR-based lipoprotein analysis for patients with severe hypercholesterolemia undergoing lipoprotein apheresis or PCSK9-inhibitor therapy (NAPALI-Study). *Ther. Apher. Dial.* **23**, 467–473 (2019).
- Blanche, P. J., Gong, E. L., Forte, T. M. & Nichols, A. V. Characterization of human high-density lipoproteins by gradient gel electrophoresis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **665**, 408–419 (1981).
- Rosenson, R. S. *et al.* HDL measures, particle heterogeneity, proposed nomenclature, and relation to atherosclerotic cardiovascular events. *Clin. Chem.* **57**, 392–410 (2011).
- Duprez, D. A. *et al.* High Density Lipoprotein Subclasses and Noncardiovascular, Noncancer Chronic Inflammatory Related Events Versus Cardiovascular Events: The Multi Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **4**, e002295 (2015).
- El Harchaoui, K. *et al.* High-density lipoprotein particle size and concentration and coronary risk. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **150**, 84–93 (2009).
- Kuller, L. H. *et al.* Lipoprotein particles, insulin, adiponectin, C-reactive protein and risk of coronary heart disease among men with metabolic syndrome. *Atherosclerosis* **195**, 122–8 (2007).
- Mora, S., Glynn, R. J. & Ridker, P. M. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, size, particle number, and residual vascular risk after potent statin therapy. *Circulation* **128**, 1189–97 (2013).
- Kim, D. S. *et al.* Concentration of Smaller High-Density Lipoprotein Particle (HDL-P) Is Inversely Correlated With Carotid Intima Media Thickening After Confounder Adjustment: The Multi Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **5**, e002977 (2016).
- Ditah, C. *et al.* Small and medium sized HDL particles are protectively associated with coronary calcification in a cross-sectional population-based sample. *Atherosclerosis* **251**, 124–131 (2016).
- Otvos, J. D. *et al.* Low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein particle subclasses predict coronary events and are favorably changed by gemfibrozil therapy in the veterans affairs high-density lipoprotein intervention trial. *Circulation* **113**, 1556–1563 (2006).

22. Parish, S. *et al.* Lipids and Lipoproteins and Risk of Different Vascular Events in the MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study. *Circulation* **125**, 2469–2478 (2012).
23. Potočnjak, I. *et al.* Serum Concentration of HDL Particles Predicts Mortality in Acute Heart Failure Patients. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46642 (2017).
24. Asztalos, B. F. *et al.* Differential effects of HDL subpopulations on cellular ABCA1- and SR-BI-mediated cholesterol efflux. *J Lipid Res* **46**, 2246–2253 (2005).
25. Du, X.-M. *et al.* HDL particle size is a critical determinant of ABCA1-mediated macrophage cellular cholesterol export. *Circ. Res.* **116**, 1133–42 (2015).
26. Zerrad-Saadi, A. *et al.* HDL3-mediated inactivation of LDL-associated phospholipid hydroperoxides is determined by the redox status of apolipoprotein A-I and HDL particle surface lipid rigidity: relevance to inflammation and atherogenesis. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **29**, 2169–75 (2009).
27. Kontush, A. & Chapman, M. J. Antiatherogenic function of HDL particle subpopulations: focus on antioxidative activities. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **21**, 312–8 (2010).
28. Rye, K.-A., Bursill, C. A., Lambert, G., Tabet, F. & Barter, P. J. The metabolism and anti-atherogenic properties of HDL. *J. Lipid Res.* **50**(Suppl), S195–200 (2009).
29. de Souza, J. A. *et al.* Small, dense HDL 3 particles attenuate apoptosis in endothelial cells: pivotal role of apolipoprotein A-I. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **14**, 608–20 (2010).
30. Gomaraschi, M., Calabresi, L. & Franceschini, G. Protective Effects of HDL Against Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *Front. Pharmacol.* **7**, 2 (2016).
31. Van Linthout, S., Frias, M., Singh, N. & De Geest, B. Therapeutic potential of HDL in cardioprotection and tissue repair. *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol.* **224**, 527–65 (2015).
32. Spillmann, F. *et al.* High-density lipoproteins reduce palmitate-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis in an AMPK-dependent manner. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **466**, 272–7 (2015).
33. Camont, L. & Chapman, J. & Kontush, a. Functionality of HDL particles: Heterogeneity and relationships to cardiovascular disease. *Arch. Cardiovasc. Dis. Suppl.* **3**, 258–266 (2011).
34. Martinez, L. O. *et al.* Ectopic beta-chain of ATP synthase is an apolipoprotein A-I receptor in hepatic HDL endocytosis. *Nature* **421**, 75–79 (2003).
35. Sandhu, P. K. *et al.* Lipoprotein Biomarkers and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease: A Laboratory Medicine Best Practices (LMBP) Systematic Review. *J. Appl. Lab. Med.* **1**, 214–229 (2016).
36. Deckelbaum, R. J. *et al.* Conversion of human plasma high density lipoprotein-2 to high density lipoprotein-3. Roles of neutral lipid exchange and triglyceride lipases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **261**, 5201–8 (1986).
37. Tunstall-Pedoe, H. *et al.* Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA project: Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation*, <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.90.1.583> (1994).
38. Kajani, S., Curley, S. & McGillicuddy, F. C. Unravelling hdl—looking beyond the cholesterol surface to the quality within. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **19**, 1971 (2018).
39. Kane, J. P. & Malloy, M. J. Prebeta-1 HDL and coronary heart disease. *Current Opinion in Lipidology* **23**, 367–371 (2012).
40. Williams, P. T. *et al.* Comparison of four methods of analysis of lipoprotein particle subfractions for their association with angiographic progression of coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* **233**, 713–20 (2014).
41. Barrans, A. *et al.* Hepatic lipase induces the formation of pre-β1 high density lipoprotein (HDL) from triacylglycerol-rich HDL2. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 11572–11577 (1994).
42. Collet, X. *et al.* Remodeling of HDL by CETP *in vivo* and by CETP and hepatic lipase *in vitro* results in enhanced uptake of HDL CE by cells expressing scavenger receptor B-I. *J Lipid Res* **40**, 1185–93 (1999).
43. Mendivil, C. O., Furtado, J., Morton, A. M., Wang, L. & Sacks, F. M. Novel Pathways of Apolipoprotein A-I Metabolism in High-Density Lipoprotein of Different Sizes in Humans. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **36**, 156–65 (2016).
44. Hascoet, S. *et al.* Adiponectin and long-term mortality in coronary artery disease participants and controls. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **33**, e19–29 (2013).
45. Genoux, A. *et al.* Serum IF1 concentration is independently associated to HDL levels and to coronary heart disease: the GENES study. *J Lipid Res.* **54**, 2550–8 (2013).
46. Levey, A. S. *et al.* A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **130**, 461–70 (1999).
47. Parra, H. J., Mezdoor, H., Ghalim, N., Bard, J. M. & Fruchart, J. C. Differential electroimmunoassay of human LpA-I lipoprotein particles on ready-to-use plates. *Clin. Chem.* **36**, 1431–1435 (1990).
48. Roeykens, J. *et al.* Validity and reliability in a Flemish population of the WHO-MONICA Optional Study of Physical Activity Questionnaire. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **30**, 1071–5 (1998).
49. Gensini, G. G. A more meaningful scoring system for determining the severity of coronary heart disease. *Am J Cardiol* **51**, 606 (1983).
50. Califf, R. M. *et al.* Prognostic value of a coronary artery jeopardy score. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **5**, 1055–63 (1985).
51. Ducimetiere, P. *et al.* Arteriographically documented coronary artery disease and alcohol consumption in French men. The CORALI Study. *Eur. Heart J.* **14**, 727–33 (1993).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR, #ANR-16-CE18-0014-01), European Regional Development Fund (ERDF, Fonds Européen de Développement Régional) and “La Région Occitanie” (Project THERANOVASC n° ESR\_R&S\_DF-000094/2018-003303/18009464).

## Author contributions

Conception and design of the study: J.F., B.P., L.O.M.; acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data: T.D., J.B.R., A.G., C.I., S.N., L.O.M.; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: A.G., J.B.R., J.F., L.M., B.P.; all Authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

**Supplementary information** is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65100-2>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to L.O.M.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020