SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 30 October 2017 Accepted: 25 May 2018 Published online: 07 June 2018

OPEN Discovery of pancreastatin inhibitor PSTi8 for the treatment of insulin resistance and diabetes: studies in rodent models of diabetes mellitus

Zakir Hossain¹, Guru R. Valicherla^{1,3}, Anand P. Gupta¹, Anees A. Syed¹, Mohammed Riyazuddin 1, Sharat Chandra², Mohammad I. Siddigi² & Jiaur R. Gayen 1,³

Pancreastatin (PST) is an endogenous peptide which regulates glucose and lipid metabolism in liver and adipose tissues. In type 2 diabetic patients, PST level is high and plays a crucial role in the negative regulation of insulin sensitivity. Novel therapeutic agents are needed to treat the diabetes and insulin resistance (IR) against the PST action. In this regard, we have investigated the PST inhibitor peptide-8 (PSTi8) action against diabetogenic PST. PSTi8 rescued PST-induced IR in HepG2 and 3T3L1 cells. PSTi8 increases the GLUT4 translocation to cell surface to promote glucose uptake in L6-GLUT4myc cells. PSTi8 treatment showed an increase in insulin sensitivity in db/db, high fat and fructose fed streptozotocin (STZ) induced IR mice. PSTi8 improved the glucose homeostasis which is comparable to metformin in diabetic mice, characterized by elevated glucose clearance, enhanced glycogenesis, enhanced glycolysis and reduced gluconeogenesis. PST and PSTi8 both were docked to the GRP78 inhibitor binding site in protein-protein docking, GRP78 expression and its ATPase activity studies. The mechanism of action of PSTi8 may be mediated by activating IRS1/2-phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase-AKT (FoxO1, Srebp-1c) signaling pathway. The discovery of PSTi8 provides a promising therapeutic agent for the treatment of metabolic diseases mainly diabetes.

The Chromogranin A (Chga) is a pro-protein present in secretory cells of neuroendocrine system. Upon proteolysis, Chga gives rise to various bioactive peptides like pancreastatin (PST), vasostatin, catestatin, parastatin and chromacin¹. PST (CHGA₂₅₀₋₃₀₁)²⁻⁴ is an endogenous peptide which regulates the glucose, protein and lipid metabolism by inhibiting glucose uptake, protein synthesis and increasing spillover of fatty acid in adipose and liver tissue^{5,6}. PST metabolic effects have been confirmed in humans and it level is elevated in diabetic population^{3,7,8}. Moreover PST has three naturally occurring variants with rank order of efficacy to inhibit insulin-stimulated glucose-uptake and diabetes progression:PST-G297S > PST-E287K > PST-Wt⁹. PST treatment also inhibits glycogen synthesis and stimulates gluconeogenesis by decreasing phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate (IRS) at tyrosine residue, hence showing anti-insulin effect in hepatocytes¹⁰. These profound effects are mediated by activation of receptor signaling system that belongs to the several spanning transmembrane receptor coupled to Gq-PLCβ-calcium-PKC signaling pathway^{11,12}. Bandyopadhyay et al. reported PSTv1 (pancreastatin variant) action on improved glucose tolerance in WT-DIO mice & Chga KO mice with normal chow diet13.

Due to anti-insulin effect of PST, it stimulates diabetic complication and disrupt glucose and lipid metabolism. We hypothesized that PSTi8 may inhibit PST effect and improve glucose and lipid homeostasis by modulating PLC AKT-FoxO1 and Srebp-1c pathway.

Results

Pancreastatin inhibitor rescued IR in vitro. To determine does PSTi8 inhibit PST or high glucose induce IR and stimulate insulin effect on glucose uptake. In HepG2 and 3T3L1 cells¹⁴, PST was found to inhibit the

¹Pharmaceutics & Pharmacokinetics Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, 226031, India. ²Molecular and Structural Biology Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, 226031, India. ³Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), New Delhi, India. Zakir Hossain, Guru R. Valicherla and Anand P. Gupta contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.R.G. (email: jr.gayen@cdri.res.in)



Figure 1. Pancreastatin inhibitor rescued IR in vitro. (a) PSTi8 attenuated PST induced IR in HepG2 cells. α, control vs insulin (100 nM); β , insulin vs PST (25 nM) + insulin; γ , control vs PSTi8 (150 nM) and δ , insulin +PST vs insulin + PST + PSTi8. (b) PSTi8 controlled the high-glucose induced IR in HepG2 cells. (c) PSTi8 rescued PST elevated IR in 3T3L1 cells. α , control vs insulin (100 nM); β , insulin vs PST (200 nM) + insulin and $\gamma_{\rm v}$ insulin + PST vs insulin + PST + PSTi8 (800 nM). (d) PSTi8 inhibits the glucose release in the presence of glucagon in HepG2 cells. α , control vs glucagon (6 nM); β , glucagon vs glucagon +PSTi8 (150 nM); γ , glucagon vs glucagon + metformin ($100 \,\mu$ M). (e) Effect of insulin, PST and PSTi8 treatment on expression of glycolysis genes (*Hk*, *Pfk1*) and gluconeogenesis genes (*Pepck* and *G6pase*) in HepG2 cells. α , control vs insulin $(100 \text{ nM}); \delta$, insulin vs PST (25 nM) + insulin; β , PST + insulin vs PST + insulin + PSTi8 (150 nM). (f) Effect of insulin, PST and PSTi8 treatment on expression of lipogenic genes (*Ppar\alpha*, *Cpt1a*, *Srebp-1c* and *Scd1*) in HepG2 cells. α , control vs insulin (100 nM); β , PST (25 nM) + insulin vs PST + insulin + PSTi8 (150 nM). (g) Effect of insulin, PST and PSTi8 treatment on expression of lipogenic genes (Ucp2, $Ppar\gamma$, $Pgc1\alpha$, FoxO1, Akt1and $Ppar\beta$ in 3T3L1 cells. α , control vs insulin (100 nM); δ , insulin vs PST (25 nM) + insulin; β , insulin vs PST (25 nM) + insulin; γ , PST + insulin vs PST + insulin + PSTi8 (150 nM). All genes are normalized to *Gapdh* and β -actin as reference genes in HepG2 and 3T3L1 cells, respectively. (h) Effect of PSTi8 on glucose uptake in L6 cells. α , control vs metformin (10 μ M); β , control vs insulin (100 nM); γ , control vs PSTi8 (100 nM). (i) Effect of PSTi8 (150 nM) and insulin (200 nM) on GLUT4 translocation to surface in L6-GLUT4myc cells. (j) PSTi8 inhibits gluconeogenic gene (*Pepck*, *Pc*) expression in glucagon stimulated HepG2 cells. α , control vs glucagon (6 nM); β glucagon vs glu. + metformin (100 μ M) and γ glucagon vs glu. + PSTi8 (150 nM). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, NS, Non-significant. Error bar indicate mean \pm s.e.m.

.....

insulin action. PST inhibitory effect of PSTi8 was observed in the presence of PST and insulin (Fig. 1a and c). Individual effect of PSTi8 on glucose uptake was also found in the absences of PST and insulin. (Fig. 1a) Instead of PST induce IR, PSTi8 also stimulate glucose uptake in IR-HepG2 cells which was developed by providing high D-glucose for $48 h^{15}$ (Fig. 1b). PSTi8 showed antidiabetic activity like metformin by significantly enhancing glucose uptake in L6 cells in comparison to control (Fig. 1h). The increase in glucose uptake in L6 cell on PSTi8 treatment occurs through the increase in translocation of GLUT4 on cell surface (Fig. 1i). The increased effect on glucose uptake of PSTi8 also regulate gluconeogenesis, this was examined in HepG2 cells. PSTi8 effectively antagonizes the enhanced effect of Glucagon on glucose production from lactate and pyruvate, which is comparable to metformin¹⁶ (Fig. 1d). To further evaluate PSTi8 effect on glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and lipogensis mRNA expressions were studied. PST inhibit the insulin effect and suppress peroxisome proliferator activator receptor (*Ppar*) γ , *uncoupling protein* 2 (*Ucp2*), *FoxO1*, ppar γ coactivator- α (*Pgc1* α), *Akt1 and Ppar* β gene expression responsible for lipogensis and adipogensis which is significantly reversed by PSTi8 treatment in 3T3L1 cells^{17,18} (Fig. 1g). The stimulated effect on glucose uptake and suppression in gluconeogenesis was associated with



Figure 2. PSTi8 attenuates IR in HFD-fed, HFrD-fed and db/db diabetic mice. Acute (i.p, 5 mg/kg) and chronic (i.p, 2 mg/kg for 7 days), administration of PSTi8 during i.p GTT (0.5 g/kg) for db/db, (1 gram/kg) for HFD, HFrD mice or ITT (1 IU/kg) for db/db, (0.6 IU/kg) for HFD, HFrD mice or PTT (2 gram/kg) for db/db and HFD, HFrD in fasting (6h) mice (n = 6). (a,c,e) i.p GTT; (b,d,f) AUC of i.p GTT; (g,i,k) i.p GTT; (h,j,l) AUC of i.p ITT; (m,o,q) i.p PTT; (n,p,r) AUC of i.p PTT. α , db/db, HFD, HFrD vs chronic PSTi8 treatment and β , acute PSTi8 treated vs chronic PSTi8 treated db/db, HFD, HFrD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Error bar indicate mean \pm s.e.m.

increase in *Pfk and hexokinase* (*Hk*) and decrease in glucose-6-phosphatase (*G6pase*), *Pepck* and pyruvate carboxylase (*Pc*) gene expression on PSTi8 treatment in HepG2 cells (Fig. 1e,j). PSTi8 also modulate gene expression associated with lipogensis and fatty acid oxidation in HepG2 cells. On PSTi8 treatment, *Srebp-1c*, stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 (*Scd1*) gene for lipogensis and *Ppar* α and carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1a (*Cpt1a*) gene for uptake and oxidation of fatty acid get stimulated (Fig. 1f).

PSTi8 attenuates IR in High Fat Diet (HFD)-fed, High Fructose Diet (HFrD)-fed and db/db diabetic mice and its effect is compared to metformin. To examine whether PSTi8 enhance glucose clearance, insulin sensitivity and attenuates gluconeogenesis in insulin resistance mice model, phenotyping screening was performed. PSTi8 treatment in HFD, HFrD and db/db mice significantly attenuated IR as compared to vehicle control. In ipGTT, PSTi8 acute (5 mg/kg) and chronic (2 mg/kg) treatment significantly control glucose homeostasis. Chronic PSTi8 showed more prominent improvement in glucose tolerance compared to acute PSTi8 in HFD fed (Fig. 2c,d), HFrD fed (Fig. 2e,f) and db/db (Fig. 2a,b) mice. Similarly same results were also seen in ipITT and ipPTT. In ipITT chronic and acute PSTi8 enhances insulin sensitivity compared to HFD fed (Fig. 2i,j), HFrD fed (Fig. 2k,l) and db/db (Fig. 2g,h) mice. But the effect of chronic PSTi8 is higher than the acute in affiliation to insulin sensitivity. In ipPTT chronic and acute PSTi8 treatment suppress gluconeogenesis (i.e hepatic glucose output) more compared to HFD fed (Fig. 2o,p), HFrD fed (Fig. 2q,r) and db/db (Fig. 2m,n) mice. The data values of GTT, ITT and PTT is mentioned in Table 1. The fasting blood glucose level of HFD, HFrd and db/db mice in chronic PSTi8 treatment (120.83 \pm 13.02 mg/dL), (129 \pm 4.06 mg/dL), (127.66 \pm 10.37 mg/dL) were found significantly decreased in compared to untreated mice (316.33 \pm 56.98 mg/dL), (185.33 \pm 9.52 mg/dL) and (254.83 \pm 20.63 mg/dL) (0 minute data of Fig. 2a,c,e) respectively.

To compare the efficacy of PSTi8 with metformin, HFrD fed induced diabetic mice was choosen. In i.p GTT, AUC of chronic PSTi8 ($31023.75 \pm 872.22 \text{ mg/dL} \times \min$, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3d) and acute PSTi8 ($38997.5 \pm 581.91 \text{ mg/dL} \times \min$, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3c) showed more prominent improvement in glucose clearance compared to chronic metformin ($36913.13 \pm 891.89 \text{ mg/dL} \times \min$, P < 0.01) and acute metformin ($44068.5 \pm 3070.1 \text{ mg/dL} \times \min$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3h), acute PSTi8 ($18413.8 \pm 480.21 \text{ mg/dL} \times \min$, P < 0.003)

Phenotyping screening	Glucose tolerance test			Insulin tolerance test			Pyruvate tolerance test		
Treatment									
Mice model	saline	Acute PSTi8	Chronic PSTi8	saline	Acute PSTi8	Chronic PSTi8	Saline	Acute PSTi8	Chronic PSTi8
HFD (N=6)	$\begin{array}{c} 69980\pm 3317\\ mg/dL\times min \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{56611} \pm 2847 \text{ mg/} \\ \text{dL} \times \text{min, } ^{**}\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30083\pm 3353\text{mg/}\\ dL\times \min,^{***}\!\beta,\\^{***\delta}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29109\pm820\\ mg/dL\times min \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25839\pm546\text{mg/} \\ \text{dL}\times\text{min,} **\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} (16410\pm1215\text{mg/}\\ dL\times\min,^{**}\!\beta,\\^{***\delta} \end{array}$	$58582\pm2019 \\ mg/dL\times min$	$\begin{array}{c} 51131\pm 612\text{mg/} \\ \text{dL}\times \text{min, }^{*}\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43481 \pm 1856 \\ mg/dL \times min, \\ ^{**\beta}, ^{**\delta} \end{array}$
HFrD (N=6)	$\begin{array}{c} 62870\pm822\\ mg/dL\times min \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38997\pm581\text{mg/} \\ \text{dL}\times\min\text{,}^{***}\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31023\pm875\text{mg/}\\ \text{dL}\times\text{min,}\ ^{***}\beta\text{,}\\ ^{***\delta}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23605\pm1466\\ \text{mg/dL}\times\text{min} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (18413\pm 480\text{mg/} \\ \text{dL}\times \text{min, }^{**}\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 14608\pm 392\text{mg/}\\ \text{dL}\times \min, ^{***}\!\beta,\\ ^{***\delta}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38767\pm 3020\\ mg/dL\times min \end{array}$	$31142\pm5926\text{mg/}$ dL $\times\text{min,}\ast\alpha$	$\begin{array}{c} 25323.8 \pm 1492.98 \\ mg/dL \times min, \\ *\beta, **\delta \end{array}$
db/db (N=6)	59271 ± 1750 mg/dL × min	$\begin{array}{c} 38967 \pm 3204 \text{ mg/} \\ \text{dL} \times \min, ***\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29356\pm2101\text{mg/}\\ \text{dL}\times\text{min, *}\beta,\\ ***\delta \end{array}$	$\frac{29307 \pm 2394}{\text{mg/dL} \times \text{min}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19983\pm1004\text{mg/} \\ \text{dL}\times\text{min, }^{*}\alpha \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 13832\pm \overline{993mg}/\\ dL\times \min, **\beta,\\ ***\delta \end{array}$	$\frac{42401\pm8387}{mg/dL\times min}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21360\pm1008\text{mg/}\\ \text{dL}\times\text{min,}\ast\alpha \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 17025 \pm \overline{1119} \\ mg/dL \times min, \\ **\beta, *\delta \end{array} $

Table 1. Anti-diabetic activity of PSTi8 on the basis of AUC in different animal models during GTT, ITT and PTT after acute and chronic treatment. (N = 6, mean \pm s.e.m), α , saline vs acute PSTi8; β , saline vs chronic PSTi8 and δ , acute PSTi8 vs chronic PSTi8 *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.



Figure 3. Efficacy of PSTi8 as compared to metformin. Acute PSTi8 (i.p, 5 mg/kg), chronic PSTi8 (i.p, 2 mg/kg for 7 days), acute metformin (p.o, 300 mg/kg) and chronic metformin (p.o, 300 mg/kg for 7 days) administration (30 min before bolus glucose/insulin) during i.p GTT (1 g/kg) or ITT (0.6 IU/kg) in fasting (6h) HFrD mice (n = 6). (a) i.pGTT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (b) i.pGTT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (c) i.p ITT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (d) i.p ITT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (e) AUC of i.p GTT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (f) AUC of i.p GTT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (g) AUC of i.p ITT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (f) AUC of i.p ITT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (g) AUC of i.p ITT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (h) AUC of i.p ITT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (g) AUC of i.p ITT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (h) AUC of i.p ITT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (g) AUC of i.p ITT with acute metformin and PSTi8; (h) AUC of i.p ITT with chronic metformin and PSTi8; (g) AUC of i.p ITT with acute metformin we chronic PSTi8 treatment. Glucose reduction experiment after acute administration of PSTi8 (i.p, 5 mg/kg) and metformin (p.o, 300 mg/kg) (i) C57BL/6J mice and (j) db/db mice. (k) Plasma concentration vs time profile of PSTi8 following intraperitoneal administration at dose of 5 mg/kg to db/db mice. Met: metformin **#P < 0.05; ***#P < 0.01; ****#P < 0.001. Error bar indicate mean ± s.e.m.

(Fig. 3f) showed more prominent improvement in glucose clearance compared to chronic metformin (16417.5 \pm 1222.35 mg/dL \times min, P < 0.0001) and acute metformin (19828.5 \pm 1004.11 mg/dL \times min) respectively. This is due to the increase in insulin sensitivity (Fig. 3e,g). PSTi8 did not show any decrease in glucose level in control C57Bl/6 mice (normoglycemic) compared to Metformin (Fig. 3i) which protects from hypoglycemia. However, in db/db mice (diabetic) PSTi8 showed decrease in gluconeogenesis and glucose level, which is similar to metformin (Fig. 3j). During PK study in db/db mice, plasma concentration of PSTi8 was observed upto 6 h (Fig. 3k).



Figure 4. PSTi8 regulate secreation of biomolecule, glycogen storage and hepatic gene expression. Plasma concentrations of (**a**–**c**) insulin, (**d**–**f**) leptin, (**g**–**i**) IL-6, (**j**–**l**) MCP1, (**p**,**q**) NEFA-C and (**m**–**o**) liver glycogen in db/db, HFD, HFrD mice and PSTi8 treated db/db, HFD, HFrD mice. α , db/db, HFD, HFrD mice vs PSTi8 treated db/db, HFD, HFrD mice. (**r**–**t**) Effect of PSTi8 treatment on db/db, HFD and HFrD mice for the expression of glucose and lipid metabolic genes, (*Pepck, G6pase, Pfk1, Pk, Pparγ, Srebp-1c* and *Fas*) for db/db, (*Pepck, G6pase, Pfk1, Pk, Pparγ, Srebp-1c, FoxO1* and *Fas*) for HFD, (*Pepck, G6pase, Pfk1, Pk, Pparγ, Pgc1\alpha, Srebp-1c* and *FoxO1*) for HFrD in liver tissue. α , db/db vs db/db + PSTi8, HFD vs HFD + PSTi8, HFrD vs HFrD + PSTi8. *P < 0.001. Error bar indicate mean ± s.e.m.

PSTi8 regulate secretion of bio-molecule, glycogen storage and hepatic gene expression. The increase in insulin sensitivity, on PSTi8 treatment in HFD, HFrD and db/db mice may be due to inhibition of PST associated inflammation. PST induced higher level of circulating insulin due to IR¹³ was significantly decreased by PSTi8 treatment in HFD, HFrD and db/db mice (Fig. 4a–c). Treatments of PSTi8 significantly decreased production of IL-6 (Fig. 4g–i) and monocyte chromoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1)(Fig. 4j–l) pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in blood and inhibit inflammation which is found to be higher in hyperinsulimia¹⁹. Higher level of insulin and IL-6 stimulate leptin secretion which is decreased by PSTi8 treatment (Fig. 4e,f). Hyperinulimia also lead to hyperlipidemia condition and increased level of NEFA which was reversed by PSTi8 treatment in HFD (Fig. 4p) and HFrD (Fig. 4q) but there is no significant decreased in NEFA level in db/db mice (hence data not provided). This decreased level of NEFA level is due to fatty acid consumption. Treatment of PSTi8 also stimulates glycogen storage in liver tissue of HFD, HFrD and db/db mice (Fig. 4m–o). The changes in circulating level of these bio molecules and glycogen storage was due to the increased expression of *Ppar* γ , *Srebp-1c*, fatty acid synthase (*Fas*), *Pgc1* α , *pyruvate kinase* (*Pk*), phosphofructokinase-1 (*Pfk1*), *FoxO1* and suppressed level of *G6pase* and *Pepck* genes in HFD (Fig. 4s), HFrd (Fig. 4t) and db/db (Fig. 4r) mice.

Effect of PSTi8 on insulin signaling. PST have antagonistic effect on insulin signaling via PI3K activated Akt/FoxO1 and Srebp-1c pathway⁴. So we analyzed these pathways for inhibitory effect of PSTi8 on PST to activate insulin signaling pathway. Phosphorylation of IRS-1 at Ser307 by c-Jun N-terminal protein kinases and I kappa B kinase, results in inhibition of insulin signaling, a potential mechanism for IR²⁰. The p-S307IRS-1 levels were decreased in PSTi8 treated db/db (Fig. 5a), HFD (Fig. 5b) and HFrD (Fig. 5c) mice. The decreased phosphorylation of IRS1 at (ser 307) recruit PI3 kinase which activates Akt by phosphorylation at (Thr308)²¹. The levels of p-T308Akt were increased in PSTi8 treated db/db (Fig. 5d), HFD (Fig. 5e) and HFrD mice (Fig. 5f). Activated Akt phosphorylates FoxO1 at (ser256) and inhibits its activity which results in inhibition of gluconeogenesis gene expression⁴. The levels of p-S256FoxO1 were found to be high in PSTi8 treated db/db (Fig. 5j), HFD (Fig. 5k) and HFrD mice (Fig. 5]). Besides activating Akt, PI3kinase also stimulate mRNA expression of Srebp-1c. The mRNA expression of Srebp-1c gene was found increased in PSTi8 treated db/db (Fig. 4r), HFD (Fig. 4s) and



Figure 5. PSTi8 modulate insulin signaling. Western blot analysis of (**a**–**c**) phosphorylation of IRS-1 (p-S307IRS-1), (**d**–**f**) phosphorylation of Akt (p-T308AKT), (**g**–**i**) phosphorylation of Srebp-1c (p-S372SREBP1c) and (**j**–**l**) phosphorylation of FoxO1 (p-S256FoxO1) in liver tissues of db/db, HFD, HFrD mice and PSTi8 treated db/db, HFD, HFrD mice. α , control vs db/db, HFD, HFrD and β , db/db vs db/db + PSTi8, HFD vs HFD + PSTi8, HFrD vs HFrD + PSTi8. *P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Error bar indicate mean \pm s.e.m.

HFrD (Fig. 4t) mice. The active form of Srebp-1c is found in golgi apparatus by cleavage of inactive Srebp-1 by two protease sit1 and site2 proteases. Upon stimulus activated Srebp-1c enter nucleus and activates all lipiogenic genes in liver. But studies have shown that AMPK interacts with and directly phosphorylates Srebp-1c at (Ser372) which inhibits proteolytic cleavage of Srebp-1c and suppress lipogensis²². Treatment of PSTi8 stimulated phosphorylation of srebp1c at (ser372) in db/db (Fig. 5g), HFD (Fig. 5h) and HFrD mice (Fig. 5i). This process leads to reduction of lipid synthesis and accumulation in the liver. FoxO1 mediates signaling via pathway involving insulin-like growth factor receptor1, PI3K and Akt. It is inactivated through phosphorylation by Akt at (Ser256) which results in inhibition of gluconeogenesis gene expression. The levels of (p-S256) FoxO1 were found to be high in PSTi8 treated mice when compared to db/db (Fig. 5j), HFD (Fig. 5k) and HFrD mice (Fig. 5l).

PSTi8 competes with PST on GRP78 receptor binding. To determine whether PSTi8 compete with PST on GRP78 receptor binding and regulate gluconeogenesis linked signaling in liver as seen in previous data. Circular Dichroism (CD) studies were performed to determine secondary structures of PST and PST inhibitors. The observed secondary structure (α -helix and β -sheet) of PSTi8 in aqueous buffer is close with PST (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Due to similar secondary structure, we have examined binding interaction of PST and PSTi8 with GRP78 protein. For this we have performed protein-protein docking studies using the GRAMM-X docking server. PST & PSTi8 were docked to the active site of human GRP78 at the same place as the hypothetical bound inhibitor was interacting. PST and PSTi8 involved in making hydrogen bonds with Glu293, Ser300 those are same as GRP78 inhibitor (Fig. 6a-c). PSTi8 also makes few more hydrogen bonds with Tyr39, Asn55 and Arg60. To validate further competitive nature of PSTi8 with PST on GRP78 receptor binding, we performed competitive binding with sulphorhodamine tagged PST and PSTi8. PST (100 nM) was found to inhibit >50% binding of labelled PSTi8 (150 nM) (Fig. 6d) and PSTi8 (300 nM) was found to inhibit >50% binding of labelled PST (25 nM) (Fig. 6e). From competitive binding experiments, it was found that the PSTi8 is a potent PST inhibitor. Further to evaluate competitive nature of PSTi8, we performed ATPase activity and protein expression of GRP78. ATPase activity of GRP78 is required for the downstream processing of the molecule which regulate G6pase gene expression¹¹. PSTi8 competed with PST in dose dependent manner to bind on GRP78 receptor. It enhances ATPase activity of GRP78 \sim 50% at 5 μ M, inhibited by 1 μ M of PST (Fig. 6f). PSTi8 directly



Figure 6. PSTi8 competes with PST on GRP78 receptor binding. Molecular docking (**a**) PST (yellow) is docked in the active site of human GRP78 (blue): the residues of PST in red are showing hydrogen bond with the residues of human GRP78 (green). (**b**) The superimposed image of PSTi8 (purple) and PST in the active site of human GRP78. (**c**) PSTi8 is docked in the active site of human GRP78: the residues of PSTi8 in red are showing hydrogen bond with the residues of human GRP78. (**d**) Competitive binding between different concentrations of PST and Sulphorhodamine labelled PSTi8 (150 nM) in HepG2 cells. (**e**) Competitive binding between different concentrations of PSTi8 and Sulphorhodamine labelled PST (25 nM) in HepG2 cells. (**f**) GRP78 ATPase activity in presences of PST (10 nM) inhibited tunicamycin (5 mg/ml) stimulated GRP78 expression in HepG2 cells. (**a**, control vs tunicamycin; β, Tunicamycin vs Tunca. + PST; γ, Tunica. PST vs Tunica. +PST + PSTi8 and δ , control vs PSTi8. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, NS, Non-significant. Error bar indicate mean \pm s.e.m.

did not effect GRP78 expression. PST (100 nM) inhibits protein expression of GRP78 in tunicamycin stimulated condition in HepG2 cells¹¹ which is significantly inhibited by PSTi8 (800 nM) and enhances tunicamycin effect on stimulation of GRP78 protein expression (Fig. 6g).

Discussion

The current study demonstrated *in-vitro* & *in-vivo* anti-diabetic activity of PSTi8 as a PST inhibitory peptide. The current finding is that, PSTi8 improve insulin effect on glucose uptake in hepatocytes, adipocytes and IR cells by regulating glucose and fatty acid metabolism gene (*in-vitro*). PSTi8 increases glucose uptake by increasing GLUT4 translocation on cell surface and suppress hepatic glucose production through the regulation of gluco-neogenic genes. PSTi8 inhibit PST binding on GRP78 receptor and modulates its activity and expression. PSTi8 improves glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity by reducing the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines and control glucose and fatty acid metabolic gene expression in HFD, HFrD and db/db diabetic mice through the regulation of Akt-FoxO1 and Srebp-1c pathway. These results suggest that PSTi8 ameliorate glucose and lipid homeostasis.

Insulin resistance is a major complication associated with diabetes²³. Our *in-vitro* data suggest that PST inhibited glucose uptake in insulin stimulated HepG2 (Fig. 1a) and 3T3L1 (Fig. 1c) cells was reversed by PSTi8 due to anti-PST effect. The increase in glucose uptake was coupled with increases in glycolysis²⁴ (Fig. 1e). Beside PST induce IR effect, PSTi8 also attenuate high glucose induced IR in HepG2 cells¹⁵ (Fig. 1b) and in un-induced condition (Fig. 1a) by stimulating glucose uptake. Excessive hepatic glucose production is associated with hyperglycemia²⁵ and leads to diabetes. The stimulatory effect of PST and glucagon on hepatic glucose production^{4,26} is inhibited by PSTi8 (Fig. 1d). The inhibitory effects of PSTi8 on gluconeogenesis occur due to inhibition of *Pepck*, *Pc* and *G6pase* gene expression (Fig. 1e,i). PST does not show anti-insulin effect on glucose uptake in muscle cells⁴ but PSTi8 stimulate GLUT4 translocation (Fig. 1i) which leads to more glucose uptake in L6 cells (Fig. 1h). PSTi8 also modulate PST effect on insulin in 3T3L1 cells (adipocytes)^{1,27}. Adipocytes store and distributes energy in the form of fat which serves fuel throughout the body²⁸. The increase in lipogensis and adipogensis is required for the differentiation of preadipocytes to adipocytes which is stimulated by insulin via enhancing *Pparγ*, *Scd1*, FoxO1 and Pgc1 α gene expression²⁹⁻³¹. The increase in lipid content in adipocytes is coupled with increase in *Ppar* β gene expression, this shifts metabolism towards fat from glucose³² and increases *Ucp2* gene expression³³. This effect of insulin on adipocytes was masked by PST and disrupt lipid metabolism, which is rescued by PSTi8 treatment (Fig. 1g).

PSTi8 which shows significant *in-vitro* anti-diabetic activity was further utilized in the *in-vivo* experiments. As per the previous studies, PST deficiency in normal chow diet fed Chga KO mice and HFD fed Chga KO mice showed more insulin sensitivity than wild type mice and HFD fed wild type mice, respectively. PST supplementation causes IR in Chga KO mice by stimulating Pepck and G6pase mRNA abundance which causes rise in blood glucose levels⁴. PST supplementation causes inflammation and IR in KO-DIO mice. Obesity is mainly associated with IR in the presence of PST and in its absence obesity is dissociated with IR¹³. The level of PST was found increased in DIO (diet induced obesity) and db/db mice¹³ which causes development of insulin resistance. Previous study suggested that PST administration induce insulin resistance in Chga KO mice⁴. So we evaluated the anti-diabetic activity of PSTi8 in three different mice models, HFD, HFrD-fed and db/db mice³⁴⁻³⁷. GTT, ITT and PTT were performed in these models, showed high basal blood glucose, high blood glucose-time profiles and AUC³⁷. Treatment of PSTi8 significantly decreased these index of insulin resistance associated with PST and enhance glucose clearance, insulin sensitivity and suppress gluconeogenesis. The effect of PSTi8 was found more in chronic compare to acute treatment in HFD (Fig. 2c,d,i,j,o,p), HFrD (Fig. 2e,f,k,l,q,r) and db/db mice (Fig. 2a,b,g,h,m,n). So chronic treatment of PSTi8 show better efficacy at low dose as compare to acute dose. In normoglycemic mice, PSTi8 did not cause further decrease in blood glucose level (Fig. 3i) but in diabetic db/db mice it reduced blood glucose level (Fig. 3j). Unlike metformin PSTi8 does not induce hypoglycemia in wild type mice (Fig. 3i) and works when there is glucose challenge to maintain glucose homeostasis (Fig. 3j). The glucose clearance and insulin sensitivity of chronic and acute PSTi8 treatment was more than chronic (Fig. 3b,d) and acute (Fig. 3f,h) metformin treatment. So it shows more promising effect in term of efficacy as compared to metformin³⁸.

Inflammation is the major cause of insulin resistance which occur due to overproduction of IL-6 and MCP-1 (pro-inflammatory) cytokines³⁹. The increased MCP-1 level leads to infiltration of monocytes into peripheral tissue to replenish macrophages, which causes overproduction IL- 6^{40} . The level of these inflammatory cytokines was found decreased in *Chga* KO-DIO mice due to absence of PST^{13,41}. This indicate inflammatory role of PST in inducing IL-6 and MCP-1 production and insulin resistance. The effect of PST on IL-6 and MCP-1 production was impaired by PSTi8 treatment in HFD (Fig. 4h,k), HFrD (Fig. 4i,l) and db/db (Fig. 4g,j) mice. The elevated insulin level in IR was found in DIO, db/db and PST administered Chga KO DIO and decreased in Chga KO mice in compared to WT mice^{4,42,43}. Since PST presences causes IR which directly enhances the insulin secretion, is suppressed by PSTi8 administration in HFD, HFrD and db/db mice (Fig. 4a-c). Both higher level of insulin and IL-6 stimulate leptin secretion from adipose tissue and causes inflammation in HFD, HFrD and db/db mice⁴⁴. Chga KO mice has higher level of leptin due to prolonged effect of insulin on Srebp-1c gene expression, causes obesity which was reversed by PST¹³. Administration of PSTi8 significantly lower leptin secretion (Fig. 4e,f) may be the cause of decrease in obesity⁴⁵. In indirect calorimeter data, we have found decreased trend in basal metabolic rate (BMR) and resting metabolic rate (RMR) in PSTi8 treated HFD, HFrD and db/db mice (Supplementary Fig. 2). Glycogen is a major energy storage molecule in liver and maintains glucose homeostasis in fasting stage. Lower level of glycogen causes insulin resistance by inhibiting Akt stimulated insulin pathway and lead to steatosis⁴⁶. PST administration inhibit glycogen storage in liver and stimulate gluconeogenesis in *Chga* KO-DIO mice¹³. Treatment of PSTi8 inhibit PST effect and stimulate hepatic glycogen storage (Fig. 4m-o) and inhibit hepatic glucose release as shown in PTT (Fig. 2m,o and q). The PSTi8 shows these effects by inhibiting gluconeogenic gene expression (G6pase, Pepck) (Fig. 4r-t) and causes overexpression of ppar gamma⁴⁷ (Fig. 4r-t). Non esterified fatty acid (NEFA) released by lipolysis of triglyceride and promote insulin resistance. Higher level of NEFA was detected in HFD/HFrD mice due to development of hyperlipidemia⁴⁸. In Chga KO mice due to lack PST NEFA level got decreased in compared to WT⁴, means PST stimulate NEFA production as seen in DIO. This effect of PST was significantly suppressed by PSTi8 treatment in diabetic mice (Fig. 4p,q).

PST is known to regulate IR either by interacting with GRP78 receptor (glucose response protein), located in ER (endoplasmic reticulum)¹¹ or by binding with G protein coupled receptor. GRP78 expression decreased in diet induced and db/db mice which stimulate G6pase expression⁴⁹. The decrease in G6pase expression was due to higher circulating level of PST which suppress GRP78 expression and its ATPase activity over the ER stress (during high calorie diet intake¹¹). Administration of PSTi8 suppress PST action, stimulate GRP78 activity (Fig. 6f) by competitive inhibition (Fig. 6d,e). PSTi8 does not regulate GRP78 expression but rescues the inhibitory effect of PST and tunicamycin on GRP78 expression (Fig. 6g). The administration of PST in PST-deficient DIO mice or higher circulating level in DIO hyperglycemia and db/db mice inhibit insulin mediated PI3kinase activated signaling pathway^{4,13}. PST on binding GPCR inhibit insulin signaling by activating conventional protein kinase C (cPKC) dependent inactivation of PI-3 kinase which regulate Akt/FoxO1 and Srebp-1c pathway⁴. Activated cPKC causes phosphorylation of IRS-1 at (Ser307) which inhibit the binding of p85 regulatory subunit of PI3kinase and lead to its inactivation. Inactivated PI3kianse could not further activate Akt and other downstream transcription factors such as FoxO1 and Srebp-1c which leads to insulin resistance⁵⁰. The activated FoxO1 (unphosphorylated) in the nucleus bind to the promoter of G6pase and Pepck gene and stimulate their expression which enhances gluconeogenesis⁵¹. On insulin treatment activation of Akt via PI3kinase stimulate phosphorylation of FoxO1 at (Ser256) position cause exclusion from the nucleus and inhibit its transcription activity⁴. In our data, treatment



Figure 7. Mechanism of action of PSTi8 towards glucose and energy homeostasis. PSTi8 pre-occupy the GRP78 receptor where PST binds. PST regulation of glucose homeostasis in different tissues which is inhibited by PSTi8 in metabolic syndrome and type-2 diabetes. Schematic diagram showing the inhibitory effect of PSTi8 on PST at PST receptors in the liver. GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; PKC, protein kinase c; PI-3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; pFoxO1, phosphorylated FoxO1; Srebp-1c, Sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c; Akt, protein kinase B; PIP3, Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate; IRS1, Insulin receptor substrate 1; GTP, Guanosine triphosphate; cGMP, Cyclic guanosine monophosphate; NOS, Nitric oxide synthase.

of PSTi8 decreases phosphorylation of IRS-1 at (Ser307) (Fig. 5a–c) and enhance insulin signaling through the recruitment of PI3kinase which causes the activation of Akt through phosphorylation at (Thr308) (Fig. 5d–f) and stimulate nuclear exclusion through phosphorylation of FoxO1 (Ser256) (Fig. 5j–l) and suppress gluconeogenic gene (*G6pase, Pepck*) expression⁴ (Fig. 4r–t). Activated Akt stimulate glycogen synthesis (Fig. 4m–o) and glucose clearance in PSTi8 treated mice as seen in GTT (Fig. 2a,c,e). Activation of Akt also stimulates mRNA expression of *Srebp-1c* gene (Fig. 4r–t) which causes lipogensis⁵². But in PSTi8 treated mice the phosphorylation of Srepb-1c at (ser372) increases (Fig. 5g–i) which inhibit proteolytic cleavage of precursor form into mature Srebp1c form and causes inhibition in lipogensis²². The decreased lipogensis is not coupled with increased fatty acid synthesis gene *Fas* (Fig. 4r–t) which was due to increased expression of *Ppar* α and *Cpt1a* (Fig. 1f) in hepatocytes which would in turn lead to enhanced β -oxidation⁵³, a pathway for fatty acid catabolism. It concludes that PSTi8 ameliorate glucose and lipid metabolism through PI-3k/akt mediated modulation of FoxO1 and Srebp-1c in diabetic mice. The effects of PST & PSTi8 actions are schematically represented in Fig. 7.

Conclusions

PSTi8 provides a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of IR and diabetes. Our studies confirms that PSTi8 possess antidiabetic activity in both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*. Our data reported that PSTi8 treatment improved the glucose homeostasis in IR mice, characterized by elevated glucose clearance, enhanced glycogenesis, enhanced glycolysis and reduced gluconeogenesis. PSTi8 enhances insulin sensitivity and maintains euglycemia in IR mice, by reversing the effect of PST and reducing inflammatory cytokines and lipogensis. In liver PSTi8 enhances insulin sensitivity by activating IRS1/2-PI3K-Akt-FoxO1 (Srebp-1c) signaling pathways. Based on our results an Indian patent is filed (No. 201611010438). Further investigation is required to determine whether PSTi8 improve glucose and lipid homeostasis in muscle and adipose tissue. In conclusion, discovery of PSTi8 as PST inhibitor, that remarkably improves metabolic health in diabetes provides a promising therapeutic tool for the treatment of genetically & lifestyle induced diabetes.

Methods

To check the anti PST activity of PSTi8 and to explore it's evaluation as ant-diabetic therapeutic peptide, we have designed number of experiments such as glucose uptake, hepatic glucose production and GLUT4 translocation. Further evaluation of PSTi8 as glucose homeostasis regulator, GTT, ITT and PTT were performed to investigate glucose clearance, insulin sensitivity and gluconeogenesis respectively in IR models. To track the signaling pathways we performed rt-qpcr and western blot. In slico protein- protein docking and GRP78 ATPase assay was performed to find the competitive nature of PSTi8 and for anti-inflammatory effect ELISA was done.

Synthetic peptides. Wild type human PST (PEGKGEQEHSQQKEEEEEMAVVPQGLFRG-amide) and PST inhibitor series, including PSTi8 (PEGKGEQEHSQQKEEEEEMAV-amide) were designed by addition/deletion/modification of amino acids from both the terminal of PST sequence. Peptides were synthesized and purified from Life Tein LLC, New Jersey, USA. The sequences of all PST inhibitory peptides are available in Supplementary Table 2.

Reagents and kits. Dexamethasone, 3-Isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), Insulin, 2',7'"Dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFDA), TRIzol, Glycogen, STZ, Anthrone, Bradford reagent, FBS, Trypsin-EDTA, DMEM were purchased from Sigma, USA. Antibiotic-antimycotic solution, Amplex red glucose/glucose oxidase assay kit, high capacity RNA to cDNA Reverse Transcriptase kit were purchased from Applied Biosystems, USA. 2xSYBR green Premix ExTaq was purchased from Takara Bio, Japan. Primers for RT-qPCR were purchased from Eurofins Scientific, Germany. Tritium radiolabeled deoxy-D-Glucose,2,1-2-3H[N](2-DG) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals Inc. USA. High fat diet (60%, Cat# D12492) and high fructose diet (60%, Cat# D00111301) were obtained from Research Diets, Inc, USA. All antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology(Beverly, MA) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology(Santa Cruz, CA).

2-Deoxyglucose (2-DG) uptake assay. 2-DG uptake assay was performed in HepG2, IR-HepG2, 3T3L1 and L6 cells⁴. After 24 hr of seeding, cells were treated with or without PST (25 nM) and/or PSTi8 (150 nM) for 20 hr. For IR, HepG2 cells treated with 30 mM glucose [prepared by supplementing D-glucose in HGDMEM (cat no. D5648) medium] for 48 hr¹⁵. Cells were serum starved for 4 hr along with PST and/or PSTi8. Then the cells were incubated with insulin (100 nM) for 30 min in 0.5 mL of warm (37 °C) krebs ringer hepes (KRH) buffer. Glucose uptake was initiated by the addition of 0.3 mL of KRH buffer containing 10 μ M 2-DG (0.5 μ Ci/mL 2-[3 H] DG) to each well. It was terminated after 5 min and the cells were solubilized with 0.05 M NaOH, and radioactivity was measured by beta-counter (Beckman Coulter, USA) and normalized by protein content to measure fold change in glucose uptake among treated and untreated (control)¹⁹⁻²¹.

Glucose production in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were incubated for 20 hr in a complete LGDMEM medium with positive control (Glucagon, 6 nM) and test samples (PSTi8, 150 nM and metformin, 100 μ M). After serum starvation, glucose release was performed for 4 hr by incubating with glucose production media and release was measured by Amplex red assay kit. Glucose release was normalized to protein content¹⁶.

GLUT4 translocation. L6-GLUT4myc cells were seeded in 24 well plate and after reached confluence, differentiated in differentiating media (2% FBS + α MEM) for 4–6 days and an assay was carried out with 30 min exposure of insulin (200 nM), and PSTi8 (150 nM). After treatment cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 3 min at room temperature. The fixative solution neutralized by adding 1% glycine for 10 min at 4 °C and blocked with blocking solution (10% goat serum and 3% BSA in PBS) for 30 minutes. Primary antibody (anti-c myc C3956) then added in a dilution of 1:500 for 45 minutes at 4 °C, removed and washed with PBS and incubates with peroxidase conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (1:1000). After 30 minutes of incubation, cells were washed extensively with PBS before adding 1 ml of OPD in each well. The colorimetric reaction was stopped by addition of 0.25 ml of 3 N HCl at room temperature. The supernatant collected and read the absorbance at 492 nm by using spectrophotometer^{54,55}.

Animal model development and treatment. All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC approval no. IAEC/2012/31-A), CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute (CSIR-CDRI, India). Male C57BL/6 mice (8–10 weeks, 15–20 g) and male db/db mice (10–12 weeks, 45–50 g) were obtained from Laboratory of Animal Division, CSIR-CDRI. For diet induced obesity/diabetes, feeding was started at the age of two months of C57BL/6 mice with 60% HFD and 60% HFrD, continued for 10–12 weeks with a dose of STZ single injection (100 mg/kg i.p) on the 4th week of feeding, which did not affect insulin level in normal C57BL/6 mice but stimulate blood glucose level in fat/fructose fed mice^{34,36}. After successful of model development diabetic mice divided in two groups, vehicle control group receive saline and treated group receive PSTi8. After acute (5 mg/kg) and chronic (2 mg/kg) treatment of PSTi8 for 10 days, mice were fasted for 6 hr before experiments and then sacrificed to collect plasma and tissues, which were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Glucose, insulin and pyruvate tolerance tests. i.p GTT, i.p ITT and i.p PTT were carried out in 6 hr fasted mice. The blood glucose level was measured from tail tip using Contour TS blood glucose meter. The AUC is calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software^{4,13}.

Measurement of insulin, lipid and adipokine levels in circulation. Plasma insulin and leptin level were assayed using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (CrystalChem, USA). Plasma NEFA-C was assayed using NEFA-C kit, Wako Diagnostics(VA). Plasma IL-6 and MCP-1 were estimated using ELISA kit, RayBiotech(USA).

Liver glycogen content. Liver tissue was dissolved in hot KOH (30%) then glycogen was precipitated in ethanol (at 4 °C) and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 12 min. The pellet was redissolved in 5 mL water, and 1 mL was mixed with 3 mL of Anthrone reagent (0.2% Anthrone in concentrated H_2SO_4) to determine the concentration of glycogen at 620 nm by comparison with standard glycogen⁴.

Competitive binding. Sulphorhodamine B labelled PST and PSTi8 were synthesized and purified from Genpro Biotech, India. In HepG2 cells, the competitive binding assay of PST and PSTi8 were performed and the labelled PST and/or PSTi8 concentrations were measured at 568 nm and 584 nm, respectively⁵⁶.

Molecular docking (MD). The structure of PST and PSTi8 were modelled using I-Tasser server. A MD simulation with the help of Gromacs 4.5.7 was performed to obtain an equilibrated structure. The structure obtained after 10 ns of MD simulation was taken further for performing docking experiments with structure of human GRP78. The Crystal structure of human GRP78 ATPase domain in complex with a small molecule inhibitor was obtained from the protein data bank (PDB ID: 3LDP)⁵⁷.

Circular dichroism (CD). The CD spectra of the peptides were recorded on a Jasco J-170 spectropolarimeter in PBS(pH 7.4). The samples were scanned at room temperature with the help of a capped quartz cuvette of 0.2 cm path length at a wavelength range 250–190 nm. An average of 4–6 scans was taken for each sample⁵⁸.

Real time qPCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from cells and mice tissues using TRIzol reagent. RNA was transcribed into cDNA using High Capacity RNA to cDNA Reverse Transcriptase kit, analyzed, and amplified using reverse transcriptase PCR using SureCycler 8800(Agilent Technologies, USA). RT-qPCR was performed on Light Cycler 480 II (Roche Diagnostics) with SYBR Green fluorescent label. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were used to calculate the amount of amplified PCR product⁵⁹. The list of primer sequence is listed in supplementary Table 3.

Western blotting. Frozen tissues and cells were homogenized in liquid nitrogen and lysed in lysis buffer containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors. After running equal amounts of protein on 10% SDS-PAGE, gels were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Blocking of nonspecific binding proteins on membrane was performed using 5% skim milk powder in TBST (2 hr, RT). Membranes were then probed with primary antibodies, rabbit anti-phospho IRS-1 (Ser307) (#2381), rabbit anti-Insulin receptor substrate (IRS-1) (#2382), rabbit anti-phospho AKT (Thr308) (#4056), rabbit anti-AKT (pan) (#4691), rabbit anti-phospho FoXO1 (Ser256) (#9461), rabbit anti-phospho Srebp1c (Ser372) (9874 S), GRP78 Bip (C50B12) (#3177) and rabbit anti- β actin (#4967) purchased from Cell Signaling- Technology (Beverely, MA) and in [1:1000 dilution of 5% BSA in TBST, except β -actin used 1:3000] overnight at 4 °C. After 4–5 washes with TBST, the membranes were then incubated with HRP conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary IgGs (#7074), and horse anti-mouse IgG (#7076) at 1:3000 dilution for 2 h at RT, washed and then visualized by ECL detection kit (Millipore, USA). The signals were normalized with their respective gene or β -actin by using National Institute of Health (NIH) Image J software⁵⁹.

GRP78's ATPase enzymatic activity. To determine that PSTi8 inhibit PST inhibitory action on GRP78 ATPase activity, we perform Concentration-dependent spectrophotometric assays using recombinant human GRP78 protein (catalog # ab78432, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA). PSTi8 was co-incubated with PST (1 μ M) at different doses (1, 2.5 and 5 μ M) in 50 μ l of assay buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂) containing GRP78 protein (0.25 μ M). The reaction was started by adding 100 μ M ATP and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. On ATP hydrolysis free phosphate (Pi) was measured by a Malachite green-phosphate assay (catalog #10009325, Cayman)¹¹.

Indirect calorimetry. Metabolic measurement and analysis were performed by indirect calorimetry using Oxymax Comprehensive Laboratory Animal Monitoring System (CLAMS) (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, USA).

In-vivo pharmacokinetic (PK) study. PSTi8 (5 mg/kg) was administered (i.p) in db/db mice for PK study. After dosing, blood samples were collected at different time points. Plasma samples (100μ L) were processed using the solid phase extraction (SPE). The 100μ L of eluted SPE samples were injected into the liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (API 4000QTRAP, ABSciex, Canada) for analysis. The PK profile and parameters were evaluated by non-compartmental model approach using Pheonix 6.3 WinNonlin(Pharsight Corporation, USA).

Data analysis. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. All the data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. Statistical analyses were performed using Student *t* tests, one- and two- way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni posttest wherever appropriate. A value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

References

- Sánchez-Margalet, V., González-Yanes, C., Najib, S. & Santos-Álvarez, J. Reprint of: Metabolic effects and mechanism of action of the chromogranin A-derived peptide pancreastatin. *Regulatory peptides* 165, 71–77 (2010).
- 2. Tatemoto, K. et al. Pancreastatin, a novel pancreatic peptide that inhibits insulin secretion. Nature 324, 476 (1986).
- O'connor, D. T. et al. Pancreastatin: multiple actions on human intermediary metabolism in vivo, variation in disease, and naturally occurring functional genetic polymorphism. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 90, 5414–5425 (2005).
- Gayen, J. R. et al. A Novel Pathway of Insulin Sensitivity in Chromogranin a Null Mice a Crucial Role for Pancreastatin in Glucose Homeostasis. Journal of Biological Chemistry 284, 28498–28509 (2009).
- Cadman, P. E., Rao, F., Mahata, S. K. & O'connor, D. T. Studies of the dysglycemic peptide, pancreastatin, using a human forearm model. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 971, 528–529 (2002).
- Valicherla, G. R., Hossain, Z., Mahata, S. K. & Gayen, J. R. Pancreastatin is an endogenous peptide that regulates glucose homeostasis. *Physiological genomics* 45, 1060–1071 (2013).
- Sanchez-Margalet, V. et al. Increased plasma pancreastatin-like levels in gestational diabetes: correlation with catecholamine levels. Diabetes care 21, 1951–1954 (1998).

- Funakoshi, A., Tateishi, K., Shinozaki, H., Matsumoto, M. & Wakasugi, H. Elevated plasma levels of pancreastatin (PST) in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). *Regulatory peptides* 30, 159–164 (1990).
- Allu, P. K. et al. Naturally Occurring Variants of the Dysglycemic Peptide Pancreastatin Differential Potencies for Multiple Cellular Functions and Structure-Function Correlation. Journal of Biological Chemistry 289, 4455–4469 (2014).
- 10. Carmen, G.-Y. & Víctor, S.-M. Signalling mechanisms regulating lipolysis. Cellular signalling 18, 401-408 (2006).
- 11. Biswas, N. *et al.* Discovery of a novel target for the dysglycemic chromogranin A fragment pancreastatin: interaction with the chaperone GRP78 to influence metabolism. *PloS one* **9**, e84132 (2014).
- Yasukawa, T. et al. S-nitrosylation-dependent inactivation of Akt/protein kinase B in insulin resistance. Journal of Biological Chemistry 280, 7511–7518 (2005).
- 13. Bandyopadhyay, G. K. *et al.* Pancreastatin-dependent inflammatory signaling mediates obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Diabetes* **64**, 104–116 (2015).
- González-Yanes, C. & Sánchez-Margalet, V. Pancreastatin, a chromogranin-A-derived peptide, inhibits insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis by activating GSK-3 in rat adipocytes. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 289, 282–287 (2001).
- 15. Zang, M. *et al.* AMP-activated protein kinase is required for the lipid-lowering effect of metformin in insulin-resistant human HepG2 cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**, 47898–47905 (2004).
- Cao, J. et al. Low concentrations of metformin suppress glucose production in hepatocytes through AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). Journal of Biological Chemistry 289, 20435–20446 (2014).
- Memon, R. A. *et al.* Up-regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR-α) and PPAR-γ messenger ribonucleic acid expression in the liver in murine obesity: troglitazone induces expression of PPAR-γ-responsive adipose tissue-specific genes in the liver of obese diabetic mice. *Endocrinology* 141, 4021–4031 (2000).
- Yessoufou, A. *et al.* Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α modulates insulin gene transcription factors and inflammation in adipose tissues in mice. *Molecular and cellular biochemistry* 323, 101 (2009).
- Fernández-Veledo, S. *et al.* Hyperinsulinemia induces insulin resistance on glucose and lipid metabolism in a human adipocytic cell line: paracrine interaction with myocytes. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 93, 2866–2876 (2008).
- Aguirre, V., Uchida, T., Yenush, L., Davis, R. & White, M. F. The c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase promotes insulin resistance during association with insulin receptor substrate-1 and phosphorylation of Ser307. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275, 9047–9054 (2000).
- Grandage, V., Gale, R., Linch, D. & Khwaja, A. PI3-kinase/Akt is constitutively active in primary acute myeloid leukaemia cells and regulates survival and chemoresistance via NF-kB, MAPkinase and p53 pathways. *Leukemia* 19, 586 (2005).
- 22. Li, Y. *et al.* AMPK phosphorylates and inhibits SREBP activity to attenuate hepatic steatosis and atherosclerosis in diet-induced insulin-resistant mice. *Cell metabolism* **13**, 376–388 (2011).
- 23. Seidell, J. C. Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes—a worldwide epidemic. British Journal of Nutrition 83, S5–S8 (2000).
- Pellerin, L. & Magistretti, P. J. Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 91, 10625–10629 (1994).
- DeFronzo, R. A., Ferrannini, E. & Simonson, D. C. Fasting hyperglycemia in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: contributions of excessive hepatic glucose production and impaired tissue glucose uptake. *Metabolism* 38, 387–395 (1989).
- Struck, E., Ashmore, J. & Wieland, O. Effects of glucagon and long chain fatty acids on glucose production by isolated perfused rat liver. Advances in enzyme regulation 4, 219–224 (1966).
- Sánchez-Margalet, V. & González-Yanes, C. Pancreastatin inhibits insulin action in rat adipocytes. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism 275, E1055–E1060 (1998).
- 28. Rosen, E. D. & Spiegelman, B. M. Adipocytes as regulators of energy balance and glucose homeostasis. Nature 444, 847 (2006).
- 29. Liang, H. & Ward, W. F. PGC-1a: a key regulator of energy metabolism. Advances in physiology education **30**, 145–151 (2006).
- Waters, K. M. & Ntambi, J. M. Insulin and dietary fructose induce stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 gene expression of diabetic mice. Journal of Biological Chemistry 269, 27773–27777 (1994).
- Tomlinson, J. J. et al. Insulin sensitization of human preadipocytes through glucocorticoid hormone induction of forkhead transcription factors. Molecular Endocrinology 24, 104–113 (2010).
- Brunmair, B. et al. Activation of PPAR-δ in isolated rat skeletal muscle switches fuel preference from glucose to fatty acids. Diabetologia 49, 2713-2722 (2006).
- 33. Chevillotte, E., Rieusset, J., Roques, M., Desage, M. & Vidal, H. The regulation of uncoupling protein-2 gene expression by ω-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in human skeletal muscle cells involves multiple pathways, including the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 10853–10860 (2001).
- 34. Luo, J. et al. Nongenetic mouse models of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Metabolism 47, 663-668 (1998).
- Babish, J. G. et al. Antidiabetic screening of commercial botanical products in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and db/db mice. Journal of medicinal food 13, 535-547 (2010).
- Tan, B. K. H., Tan, C. H. & Pushparaj, P. N. Anti-diabetic activity of the semi-purified fractions of Averrhoa bilimbi in high fat diet fed-streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Life sciences* 76, 2827–2839 (2005).
- Srinivasan, K., Viswanad, B., Asrat, L., Kaul, C. & Ramarao, P. Combination of high-fat diet-fed and low-dose streptozotocin-treated rat: a model for type 2 diabetes and pharmacological screening. *Pharmacological research* 52, 313–320 (2005).
- Russell-Jones, D. et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide once weekly versus metformin, pioglitazone, and sitagliptin used as monotherapy in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-4): a 26-week double-blind study. Diabetes care 35, 252–258 (2012).
- Li, M. et al. Stem cell transplantation upregulates Sirt1 and antioxidant expression, ameliorating fatty liver in type 2 diabetic mice. International journal of biological sciences 11, 472 (2015).
- 40. Haubner, F. *et al.* Hyperglycemia increases the levels of vascular cellular adhesion molecule-1 and monocyte-chemoattractantprotein-1 in the diabetic endothelial cell. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **360**, 560–565 (2007).
- 41. Mahata, S. K., Bandyopadhyay, G. K. & Senapati, S. (Google Patents, 2017).
- 42. Wang, C.-Y. & Liao, J. K. In *mTOR* 421–433 (Springer, 2012).
- Arakawa, K. et al. Improved diabetic syndrome in C57BL/KsJ-db/db mice by oral administration of the Na+-glucose cotransporter inhibitor T-1095. British journal of pharmacology 132, 578–586 (2001).
- 44. Tsiotra, P. C., Boutati, E., Dimitriadis, G. & Raptis, S. A. High insulin and leptin increase resistin and inflammatory cytokine production from human mononuclear cells. *BioMed research international* **2013** (2012).
- Zhou, Y. et al. Leptin deficiency shifts mast cells toward anti-inflammatory actions and protects mice from obesity and diabetes by polarizing M2 macrophages. Cell metabolism 22, 1045–1058 (2015).
- Donnelly, K. L. et al. Sources of fatty acids stored in liver and secreted via lipoproteins in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. The Journal of clinical investigation 115, 1343–1351 (2005).
- 47. Kim, H.-i *et al.* Transcriptional activation of SHP by PPAR-γ in liver. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **360**, 301–306 (2007).
- 48. Saad, M. I., Kamel, M. A. & Hanafi, M. Y. Modulation of adipocytokines production and serum NEFA level by metformin, glimepiride, and sitagliptin in HFD/STZ diabetic rats. *Biochemistry research international* **2015** (2015).
- 49. Yamagishi, N., Ueda, T., Mori, A., Saito, Y. & Hatayama, T. Decreased expression of endoplasmic reticulum chaperone GRP78 in liver of diabetic mice. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **417**, 364–370 (2012).

- Guo, S. Insulin signaling, resistance, and metabolic syndrome: insights from mouse models into disease mechanisms. *Journal of Endocrinology* 220, T1–T23 (2014).
- Oh, K.-J., Han, H.-S., Kim, M.-J. & Koo, S.-H. CREB and FoxO1: two transcription factors for the regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis. *BMB reports* 46, 567 (2013).
- Yecies, J. L. et al. Akt stimulates hepatic SREBP1c and lipogenesis through parallel mTORC1-dependent and independent pathways. Cell metabolism 14, 21–32 (2011).
- Menendez, J. A., Vazquez-Martin, A., Ortega, F. J. & Fernandez-Real, J. M. Fatty acid synthase: association with insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and cancer. *Clinical chemistry* 55, 425–438 (2009).
- Wang, Q., Khayat, Z., Kishi, K., Ebina, Y. & Klip, A. GLUT4 translocation by insulin in intact muscle cells: detection by a fast and quantitative assay. FEBS letters 427, 193–197 (1998).
- Prasad, J. et al. Diastereomeric mixture of calophyllic acid and isocalophyllic acid stimulates glucose uptake in skeletal muscle cells: Involvement of PI-3-Kinase-and ERK1/2-dependent pathways. Molecular and cellular endocrinology 370, 11–19 (2013).
- 56. Suarez, J. E. *et al.* Plasmodium falciparum circumsporozoite (CS) protein peptides specifically bind to HepG2 cells. *Vaccine* **19**, 4487–4495 (2001).
- 57. Zhang, Y. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. BMC bioinformatics 9, 40 (2008).
- Tripathi, J. K. et al. Variants of self-assembling peptide, KLD-12 that show both rapid fracture healing and antimicrobial properties. Biomaterials 56, 92–103 (2015).
- 59. Kanuri, B. N. *et al.* Altered glucose and lipid homeostasis in liver and adipose tissue pre-dispose inducible NOS knockout mice to insulin resistance. *Scientific reports* 7, 41009 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the University Grants Commission for providing research fellowship to Z.H. and A.P.G. We acknowledge the CSIR for providing research fellowship to G.R.V., M.R. and S.C. The L6-GLUT4*myc*, GLUT4 transfected rat muscle cell line was received as a kind gift from Dr. Amira Klip, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto (Canada). We acknowledge Dr. Jimut K. Ghosh, Molecular & Structure Biology Division, CSIR-CDRI, Lucknow, India for helping in the CD experiments. J.R.G. is thankful to CSIR, Govt. of India and Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India for research funding. CSIR-CDRI communication number for this article is 9693.

Author Contributions

Z.H., G.R.V. and J.R.G. designed the research work; Z.H., G.R.V., A.P.G., A.A.S., M.R. and S.C. performed the research experiments; S.C. and M.I.S. performed the molecular docking experiments; J.R.G. contributed the new reagents/analytical tools; Z.H., G.R.V., A.P.G., A.A.S., M.R., S.C., M.I.S. and J.R.G. analyzed and compiled the data; Z.H., G.R.V. and J.R.G. prepared the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27018-8.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018