Pan-genome analysis highlights the extent of genomic variation in cultivated and wild rice

Qiang Zhao[1], Qi Feng [1], Hengyun Lu[1], Yan Li[1], Ahong Wang[1], Qilin Tian[1], Qilin Zhan[1], Yiqi Lu[1], Lei Zhang[1], Tao Huang[1], Yongchun Wang[1], Danlin Fan[1], Yan Zhao[1], Ziqun Wang[1], Congcong Zhou[1], Jiaying Chen[1], Chuanrang Zhu[1], Wenjun Li[1], Qijun Weng[1], Qun Xu[2], Zi-Xuan Wang[1], Xinghua Wei[2], Bin Han[1] and Xuehui Huang [1,3]*

The rich genetic diversity in *Oryza sativa* and *Oryza rufipogon* serves as the main sources in rice breeding. Large-scale resequencing has been undertaken to discover allelic variants in rice, but much of the information for genetic variation is often lost by direct mapping of short sequence reads onto the *O. sativa japonica* Nipponbare reference genome. Here we constructed a pan-genome dataset of the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* species complex through deep sequencing and de novo assembly of 66 divergent accessions. Intergenomic comparisons identified 23 million sequence variants in the rice genome. This catalog of sequence variations includes many known quantitative trait nucleotides and will be helpful in pinpointing new causal variants that underlie complex traits. In particular, we systemically investigated the whole set of coding genes using this pan-genome data, which revealed extensive presence and absence of variation among rice accessions. This pan-genome resource will further promote evolutionary and functional studies in rice.

Rice is one of the world's major crops and the primary source of carbohydrate intake. Cultivated rice (*O. sativa*) and its closest wild relative *O. rufipogon* have a broad geographical distribution with adaptations to many kinds of ecological and agronomic conditions[1]. The rich genetic diversity in rice has played important roles in both domestication and modern breeding, and it will be a crucial resource to respond to the growth in food demand and the future genetic improvement associated with the rapid climate changes globally.

With the application of high-throughput sequencing technologies, diverse rice accessions have been resequenced and phenotyped during recent years, with the aim of exploring genomic diversity to look for the gene loci under human selection and to uncover the molecular basis of many agronomic traits[2–9]. However, in these resequencing efforts, characterizations of the genetic variants all rely on high levels of sequence similarity to map the short reads (typically, ~100 bp) onto the rice reference genome[10], which means that the information from highly polymorphic regions would often be inevitably lost. Moreover, previous studies have found that there are functionally important genes that are absent in the reference Nipponbare genome but present in other rice varieties[11–13], indicating that one or a few rice genomes cannot include all of the important genomic content. Hence, to comprehensively capture the genomic diversity in rice, it is necessary to de novo construct the complete genomic sequences for dozens of diverse accessions[14–18]. Particularly, the genomic sequences of three divergent rice varieties were de novo assembled, and many of the genome-specific loci that were absent from the reference genome were identified, illustrating the utility of de novo assemblies for biological discovery in rice[19].

Previously, we collected ~1,500 diverse accessions of *O. sativa* and *O. rufipogon* and generated a genome-variation map to reveal the molecular evolutionary history in rice[6]. From the large collection, a total of 66 accessions were selected and used for deep sequencing and whole-genome de novo assembly, independently of the Nipponbare reference. Comparative analyses and genome annotations of the assemblies enabled the identification of diverse alleles and the functional consequences of various polymorphisms at a fine-scale level. The pan-genome data provided not only the whole set of genes that was shared among rice but also new insights into intra- and inter-species differentiation. The establishment of a rice pan-genome will be helpful in utilizing the various alleles within the gene pools for genetic studies and breeding.

Results

Genome assemblies of 66 rice accessions. According to the phylogenetic tree of 1,529 rice accessions[6], we selected 57 divergent accessions in the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* species complex (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2) for the rice pan-genome study. Moreover, nine widely used modern cultivars (for example, Koshihikari in Japan, Basmati in India, Kongyu-131 in northeast China and Guangluai-4 in southern China) were also included in this collection. The samples that we selected in this study included 22 accessions of *O. sativa* temperate *japonica*, 5 of *O. sativa* tropical *japonica*, 1 of *O. sativa aromatic*, 19 of *O. sativa indica*, 6 of *O. sativa aus* and 13 of *O. rufipogon*, all of which represented all of the major genetically distinct clusters in *O. sativa* and *O. rufipogon*. The genomic DNA of each rice accession was sequenced with an average of 115-fold depth using Illumina technology, generating a total of 3.1 Tb of raw sequence reads. The 66 rice genomes were all de novo assembled, resulting in final assemblies with contig N50 sizes (where N50 size refers to the size of the contig that, along with the larger contigs in the assembly, contains half of the rice genome sequence) that ranged

Fig. 1 | Whole-genome variants from 66 representative rice genomes. a, Allele frequency spectra for the indicated kinds of genetic variants. For each variant, we identified the minor allele across the 66 accessions and calculated the frequency of this allele. **b,** Neighbor-joining tree of the 66 rice accessions using whole-genome data. The accessions within different groups are indicated by different colors.

from 21 to 75 kb in different accessions (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data 1), which was much higher than the average size of rice genes (~2.9 kb)[10]. The draft sequence of one rice accession, Guangluai-4 (GLA4), was validated using 22 Mb of high-quality BAC-based sequences as a gold standard, and the comparisons showed that there were very few assembly errors (Supplementary

Fig. 2 | Allele frequencies of the causal polymorphisms for the *Hd3a*, *COLD1*, *GW6a*, *TAC1* and *Sd1* genes in different *O. sativa* and *O. rufipogon* groups. The type of reference allele is indicated in blue, and the alternative one is indicated in red or green.

Fig. 4 and Supplementary Data 2). We also sequenced and assembled the Nipponbare genome using the same method. The genome assembly of Nipponbare was compared with the reference sequence for quality control, and the sequence identity between them was >99.96%, with error rates in genes and intergenic regions of 0.0218% and 0.0352%, respectively. We also plotted the error rates across the 12 rice chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. 5) and found that a small fraction (~3%) of genomic regions were enriched with errors (Supplementary Fig. 6). Using the Nipponbare reference genome as a standard, our Nipponbare assembly showed a genome coverage of 84.86%, with many gaps in repetitive regions. Moreover, the collections of full-length cDNAs for two rice accessions, W1943 and GLA4, were mapped onto the corresponding genome assemblies[20,21], where 96.77% and 90.25% of the cDNAs had nearly perfect matches with the assemblies (with identity of >98%), respectively. The results from BACs and full-length cDNAs indicated that the de novo assemblies had both high accuracy and high genome coverage.

The 66 genome assemblies were anchored onto the Nipponbare reference genome to discover detailed sequence variations. We identified a total of 16,563,789 SNPs, 5,549,290 small insertions and deletions (indels) of ≤20 bp and 933,489 structural variants (SVs; which refer to large indels that range from 20 bp to 12 kb in this work). On the basis of the variants, the sequence diversity (π) of the *O. sativa*–*O. rufipogon* complex was calculated to be 0.018. Among the variants, 3.2% of SNPs, 2.5% of small indels and 2.0% of SVs were located in the coding regions of 27,655, 22,755 and 7,679 well-annotated genes, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 7). We investigated the allelic distributions for the ~23 million variants and found that most of the naturally occurring variations, including those with large effects on gene coding, were present in only one or a few accessions (Fig. 1a), similar to the characteristics of the populations among the *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes[22] and maize landraces[23].

Fig. 3 | Multiple alleles in *waxy* and *Hd1*. a, Neighbor-joining tree of 66 accessions using genetic variants in *waxy*. Seven diverse accessions representing different haplotypes were selected from the tree and are color-coded according to rice group. **b**, Allelic information of sequence variants in *waxy* for the seven accessions. **c**, Neighbor-joining tree using genetic variants in *Hd1*. **d**, Allelic information of sequence variants in *Hd1* for the seven accessions selected in **c**.

In addition, we aligned the raw reads of each accession against the assembly of the same accession, and the sites with low read depth or abnormal distribution were masked. We found that, for each accession, ~2.1% of sequence variants were from the low-quality sites (Supplementary Fig. 8), implying that the variation calling was reliable in general. The 'low-quality' variants mostly resulted from assembly errors from multiple reads with 'heterozygous genotypes', especially in the simple-sequence repeat regions (see the examples in Supplementary Fig. 4d–f). We also identified a putative 'identical-by-descent' region on chromosome 1 (the interval of 0.0 to 5.0 Mb) between Tohoku IL9 and Daohuaxiang-2, on the basis of which the error rate of variant calling was estimated to be ~0.8%.

We used the genomic data to assess whether the 66 diverse accessions had a wide diversity. Previously, we resequenced a total of 1,529 accessions of *O. sativa* and *O. rufipogon*[6]. Among the common SNPs (minor allele frequency > 0.01) identified in the large population, 89.2% (1,405,349 of 1,575,718) were detected in the 66 genome assemblies as well, suggesting that the core collection captured a large proportion of common genetic variation in the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* complex.

Domestication and introgression. We used the whole-genome variants to construct a phylogenetic tree for the 66 genomes (Fig. 1b), the pattern of which was generally consistent with that of 1,529 rice accessions[6]. Using the pan-genome-based variants, we performed a global analysis for the domestication selection scan (Supplementary Fig. 9). As expected, the results for the major domestication sweeps were almost the same as previous results from low-coverage resequencing of 1,529 accessions. There were six domestication sweeps identified using these pan-genome data that were missed in the previous results (Supplementary Data 3). We investigated estimates of sequence diversity (π) using the resequencing data and the pan-genome data. For five of the new domestication sweeps, the esti-

mates of π in *O. rufipogon* using the pan-genome data were much higher than those using the resequencing data, as many more variants in *O. rufipogon* accessions were able to be discovered by the pan-genome approach. However, it was difficult for us to finely evaluate and conclude the effects of the pan-genome data in estimating genetic diversity because the two datasets had dramatically different population sizes ($n = 60$ and $n = 1,529$).

Beside *indica* and temperate *japonica*, there are three other groups in Asian cultivated rice—*aus*, *aromatic* and tropical *japonica*. Analysis at seven gene loci associated with rice domestication showed that *aus* accessions were not always included within the cultivated rice clade, for example, in analysis of *aus* rice at *Bh4* (*Os04g0460000*)[24] and *An1* (*Os04g0350700*)[25] (Supplementary Fig. 10). The results suggest that the *aus* group is under incomplete domestication selections, with some alleles associated with domestication not included in the genomes of *aus* rice.

Moreover, we found that there were potential clues for introgressions from *indica* into tropical *japonica*, both of which were cultivated in the same regions of tropical Asia. We identified 807,139 SNP sites with highly differentiated alleles between *indica* and temperate *japonica* and looked up their allelic information in each accession of tropical *japonica* (Supplementary Fig. 11). An average of ~16.0% of the whole rice genome in tropical *japonica* had evidence of introgression from *indica*. In particular, we identified nine loci with a clear introgression pattern; these included the thermotolerance allele of *OsTT1* (*Os03g0387100*) and the large-grain allele of *OsSPL13* (*Os07g0505200*), which have been reported to be introgressed from *indica* to tropical *japonica*[26,27]. The introgression probably contributes to the genetic composition of tropical *japonica*.

Identification of functionally diverse alleles. By using the genome assemblies, the fine-scale distribution of quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) underlying various agronomic traits could be

Fig. 4 | Newly identified genes in *O. rufipogon* W1943. a, Detection of an expressed gene on chromosome 6 of the W1943 genome assembly in a 3.7-kb insertion. The black lines and green boxes indicate genome sequences and gene-coding regions, respectively. Domain information from InterPro scans is indicated. **b**, Detection of an expressed gene on chromosome 11 of the W1943 assembly in an insertion of 3.6 kb. **c**, Comparison of the expression levels of genes annotated in the Nipponbare reference and the newly identified genes in the W1943 genome in four tissues of W1943.

explored and the demographic origins of these functionally important alleles could be traced. To demonstrate the evolutionary route, we chose five important quantitative trait loci (QTLs)—*Hd3a* (*Os06g0157700*), *COLD1* (*Os04g0600800*), *GW6a* (*Os06g0650300*), *TAC1* (*Os09g0529300*) and *Sd1* (*Os01g0883800*), which are involved in flowering time, cold tolerance, grain weight, tiller angle and plant height, respectively (Fig. 2). All five genes have well-characterized causative variants[28–32]. For *Hd3a*, *COLD1* and *GW6a*, the variation at the QTNs could be observed in the gene pools of wild rice, *O. rufipogon*, and the differentiated distribution within cultivated rice is probably due to founder effects. For *TAC1*, all *O. rufipogon* accessions in this collection contained the wild-type allele, whereas all accessions of *japonica* subspecies (including temperate *japonica*, tropical *japonica* and *aromatic*) had the mutated allele for a narrower tiller angle that enables more efficient plant architecture[31], suggesting that the mutation may have been selected during *japonica* domestication. A similar situation was observed for *sd1*, the well-known Green Revolution target for modern breeding, in which a mutated semidwarf-1 allele (a 384-bp indel) was present mainly in *indica* cultivars. Moreover, the mutated allele of *sd1* with two missense SNPs, which controls culm length, was found to be present in all accessions of *japonica* subspecies and may have been selected

during *japonica* domestication as well[32]. As a rough estimation of the scenario, the variants that segregated in only temperate *japonica* or in only *indica* accounted for 5.8% and 11.0% of total variants, respectively.

We focused on the variants in gene-coding regions and attempted to predict their putative effects on protein coding. According to coding variants in this pan-genome dataset, each gene contained, on average, ten missense SNP sites and six polymorphic sites of relatively large effect (for example, see Supplementary Fig. 12), which often created multiple gene alleles. For example, we observed three missense SNP sites, one intron 1–exon 1 junction SNP site and one indel site in *waxy* (*Os06g0133000*; a major gene underlying grain quality)[33] from seven representative haplotypes (Fig. 3a,b). As compared to the wild-type allele in most *indica* and *aus* cultivars, the T allele at the intron 1–exon 1 junction site led to lower amylase content (from 24.7% for the G allele to 14.6% for the T allele)[5] by reducing the expression level of the *waxy* gene[33], whereas the 23-bp duplication at the second exon was a frameshift mutation that resulted in no accumulation of amylase (that is, the phenotype of sticky rice for accessions GP551 and HP263)[3]. In *Hd1* (*Os06g0275000*; a major gene underlying flowering time)[34], there were a total of 22 SNP sites that resulted in missense mutations, 2 SNP sites that resulted in the formation of stop codons and 7 indel sites for seven representative haplotypes, where the 2-bp indel in the first exon (in Kasalath) and the 2-bp indel in the second exon (in HP263) resulted in lack of the CCT (CONSTANS, CO-like and TOC1) domain, which would cause a defect in the protein function of Hd1 (Fig. 3c,d)[35]. For a global picture of the potentially functional alleles, we further analyzed the variants in coding genes from 38 gene families in the rice genome (Supplementary Data 4). As expected, the gene families controlling basic biological processes (for example, the amino acid transporter family and peroxidase family) contained much fewer missense variants than those for plant immunology.

Presence–absence variation of coding genes. Presence–absence varitaion (PAV) of genes, referring to the presence or absence of gene variability in diverse rice accessions, is one of the genetic factors underlying agronomic traits, and here the whole-genome de novo assemblies provided the opportunity to discover genes that are absent in the Nipponbare reference genome sequence and to explore the PAV information of all coding genes among the rice accessions. We performed genome annotations for all 67 assemblies (including that for Nipponbare). With the exclusion of repetitive sequences, we predicted all the non-transposable-element (non-TE) protein-coding genes for each genome. There were a total of 10,872 genes in the 67 rice accessions that were at least partially absent in the Nipponbare reference. These 'newly identified' genes were mostly due to large indels among accessions (for example, a large insertion relative to the Nipponbare variety; see Fig. 4a,b). A small fraction of the newly identified genes, however, should be located within the physical gaps of the Nipponbare reference genome sequence, because ~9.5% of the newly identified genes could be found in our Nipponbare assembly from whole-genome Illumina sequencing. To investigate whether the newly identified genes were expressed, we collected four tissues (young seedling, root, leaf and panicle) in two accessions (GLA4 and W1943) for RNA sequencing. We found transcripts for approximately 57.1% and 60.6% of the newly identified genes in GLA4 and W1943, respectively, although the expression levels of the newly identified genes (as measured by reads per kilobase per million reads (RPKM) value) were generally lower than those for the genes annotated in the Nipponbare reference (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 13). Moreover, previous studies had identified several genes that had not been observed in the Nipponbare reference, including *Sub1A*, *SNORKEL1* and *SNORKEL2*, which control submergence tolerance[11,12], and *Pstol*, which controls phosphorus-deficiency

Fig. 5 | PAV of coding genes in the rice genome. a, PAV of six functionally characterized genes in the 67 genomes. The accessions within different groups are color-coded as in Fig. 1b. The absence of a gene in the genome is indicated by a blank box. **b**, A 67 × 67 matrix comparing the coding genes of the accessions by pairs. For each rice accession, we searched for the genes it shared with each of the 67 accessions. The color index corresponds to the number of shared coding genes. **c**, Presence and absence information of 42,580 genes in the 67 rice accessions. The order of the 67 accessions (from "GLA4" on the left to "W3095-2" on the right) is the same as in **a**. The core genome set and the dispensable genome set refer to coding genes present in ≥90% of rice accessions and genes present in <90% of accessions, respectively. Presence is color-coded as in **a**, and the absence of a gene is indicated by white.

tolerance[13]. Sequence searching showed that all of these reported genes were among the newly identified genes found in the pan-genome (Fig. 5a). Taken together, these pieces of evidence suggest that at least some of the newly identified genes are functionally important.

We observed that, even for the genes annotated in the Nipponbare reference, there were extensive PAVs among diverse rice accessions, for example, *Ghd7* (*Os07g0261200*; which controls flowering time) and *OsFBX310* (*Os09g0292900*; which controls hull color) (Fig. 5a)[36,37]. Hence, to obtain a clear picture of PAV in rice, we compiled a list of all of the coding genes in the 67 genomes together and excluded any redundancies (see the RicePanGenome database). There were a total of 42,580 non-TE genes annotated in at least one

of the 67 rice accessions. We further tried to estimate the total gene number of the rice species using the approach from the study of the maize pan-genome and pan-transcriptome[38]. Stepwise addition of rice accessions from $n = 2$ to $n = 67$ showed that the number of coding genes (42,580) at $n = 67$ was close to a plateau (Supplementary Fig. 14). Further sampling of more diverse rice accessions will likely result in limited gene discoveries for the dispensable genome set. We searched the orthologs of the gene set against each of the 67 rice genomes (see the number of shared genes between two accessions in Fig. 5b) and generated a list of one-to-one correspondences and their presence-or-absence information in different accessions (Fig. 5c). According to PAV of the genes, there were 26,372 and 16,208 genes present in ≥60 rice accessions (90% of the collection) and present

in <60 accessions, respectively, and these were defined as the core genome set and the dispensable genome set of coding genes in rice. Among the dispensable genome set, there were 285 group-specific genes (Supplementary Fig. 15), whereas most of the genes were present in only a few accessions. We screened InterPro domains (from a database of protein families, domains and functional sites) for coding genes in the core genome set and those in the dispensable genome set and compared the functional classifications of the coding genes from the two sets (Supplementary Fig. 16). As expected, the genes of the dispensable genome set were enriched for abiotic and biotic response genes, especially for NBS-LRR (nucleotide-binding site–leucine-rich repeat) and NB-ARC (nucleotide-binding adaptor shared by APAF-1, R proteins and CED-4) genes, which control disease resistance in rice. Furthermore, in the core genome set, ~77.6% of the coding genes contained InterPro domains, a much higher proportion than that in the dispensable genome set (~35.8%), implying that a portion of the PAV genes in the dispensable genome set may be just artifacts or pseudogenes.

Discussion

We have generated a pan-genome dataset for the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* species complex, a resource for in-depth functional genomics studies and molecular breeding that should be useful in future. Using the pan-genome dataset, genome-wide comparisons of the assemblies enabled the characterization of numerous complex variants, including many large-effect coding variants and many coding genes that were absent in the rice reference genome sequence, which should be helpful in pinpointing the causal variation in QTL cloning and in genome-wide association studies (GWAS)[5,7,9,27].

In rice, hundreds of genes have been functionally investigated through mutagenesis-based approaches or through transgenic methods (for example, overexpression or RNAi), some of which were later found to be the causative genes underlying complex traits using QTL cloning—for example, the cases for *LAX1* (*Os01g0831000*) and *NAL1* (*Os04g0615200*)[39,40]. Hence, integration of the information from studies of gene function and the natural variation in the genome assemblies could provide a complementary approach to forward genetic studies. Among the functionally characterized genes in the rice genome (according to the information in the RiceData database), a total of 867 genes were found to contain important coding variation in at least one rice accession. For instance, the *sd-g* (*Os05g0407500*) gene was cloned from a semidwarf mutant that was insensitive to gibberellin[41], and a total of five frameshift indels located within the coding region of this gene were detected in four rice accessions, which probably result in plant height variation (Supplementary Fig. 12).

In particular, our study demonstrated that most of the naturally occurring variants in rice are of low frequency (Fig. 1a). A small fraction of these low-frequency alleles disrupt gene coding and might have important biological functions underlying the variation of complex traits. However, in conventional GWAS, it is very difficult to identify associations from rare alleles by statistical methods unless extremely large sample sizes are used[42,43]. In human genetic studies, the existence of numerous rare variants with large effects is regarded as one of the major causes of the 'missing heritability' problem[44] (for example, in human adult height[45]). Functional genomics methodologies, such as genome editing technology, coupled with in-depth annotations for the genetic variants could be used to verify the functional effects of these rare alleles in rice.

To date, few studies using multiple collaborative populations in rice for joint analyses have been reported. Considering that there are only weak reproductive isolations within the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* species complex, the divergent accessions in this rice pan-genome can be crossed with a couple of common reference parents in each group (for example, Nipponbare in the temperate *japonica* group, GLA4 in the *indica* group and Kasalath in the *aus* group)

to generate backcross inbred line (BIL) populations, similar to the designs in maize[46] and in *Arabidopsis*[47,48]. Such a panel with multiple BIL populations collectively will be useful for both breeding and mapping of complex traits. In particular, it is not possible to perform GWAS in combined populations of cultivated rice and wild rice species owing to large genomic and phenotypic divergence. When numerous 'novel' alleles from diverse genetic backgrounds that underlie specific agronomic traits are introduced into common reference parents through the BIL approach, large-scale genetic mapping will become feasible and the rich gene resources can be used efficiently. To further improve the assembly quality of the pan-genome data and to compensate for the limitation of assembly from short reads, we will utilize new sequencing technology to build pseudomolecules, especially for the common reference parents in each rice group. These genome assemblies, coupled with genetic populations and transcriptome and epigenomics data generated in future work, will facilitate the mining of natural variation for genetic studies and breeding.

Methods

References

1. Khush, G. S. Origin, dispersal, cultivation and variation of rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **35**, 25–34 (1997).
2. Huang, X. et al. Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 961–967 (2010).
3. Huang, X. et al. Genome-wide association study of flowering time and grain-yield traits in a worldwide collection of rice germplasm. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 32–39 (2011).
4. Xu, X. et al. Resequencing 50 accessions of cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important genes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 105–111 (2011).
5. Huang, X. et al. Genomic analysis of hybrid rice varieties reveals numerous superior alleles that contribute to heterosis. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6258 (2015).
6. Huang, X. et al. A map of rice genome variation reveals the origin of cultivated rice. *Nature* **490**, 497–501 (2012).
7. Chen, W. et al. Genome-wide association analyses provide genetic and biochemical insights into natural variation in rice metabolism. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 714–721 (2014).
8. 3,000 rice genomes project. The 3,000 rice genomes project. *Gigascience* **3**, 7 (2014).
9. Yano, K. et al. Genome-wide association study using whole-genome sequencing rapidly identifies new genes influencing agronomic traits in rice. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 927–934 (2016).
10. International Rice Genome Sequencing Project. The map-based sequence of the rice genome. *Nature* **436**, 793–800 (2005).
11. Xu, K. et al. *Sub1A* is an ethylene-response-factor-like gene that confers submergence tolerance to rice. *Nature* **442**, 705–708 (2006).
12. Hattori, Y. et al. The ethylene response factors SNORKEL1 and SNORKEL2 allow rice to adapt to deep water. *Nature* **460**, 1026–1030 (2009).
13. Gamuyao, R. et al. The protein kinase Pstol1 from traditional rice confers tolerance of phosphorus deficiency. *Nature* **488**, 535–539 (2012).
14. Gan, X. et al. Multiple reference genomes and transcriptomes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **477**, 419–423 (2011).
15. Li, Y. H. et al. De novo assembly of soybean wild relatives for pan-genome analysis of diversity and agronomic traits. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 1045–1052 (2014).
16. Sakai, H. et al. Construction of pseudomolecule sequences of the *aus* rice cultivar Kasalath for comparative genomics of Asian cultivated rice. *DNA Res.* **21**, 397–405 (2014).
17. Zhang, J. et al. Extensive sequence divergence between the reference genomes of two elite *indica* rice varieties Zhenshan 97 and Minghui 63. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E5163–E5171 (2016).
18. Dong, J. et al. Analysis of tandem gene copies in maize chromosomal regions reconstructed from long sequence reads. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 7949–7956 (2016).
19. Schatz, M. C. et al. Whole-genome de novo assemblies of three divergent strains of rice, *Oryza sativa*, document novel gene space of *aus* and *indica*. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 506 (2014).

20. Lu, T. et al. Collection and comparative analysis of 1,888 full-length cDNAs from wild rice *Oryza rufipogon* Griff. W1943. *DNA Res.* **15**, 285–295 (2008).

21. Liu, X. et al. A collection of 10,096 *indica* rice full-length cDNAs reveals highly expressed sequence divergence between *Oryza sativa indica* and *japonica* subspecies. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **65**, 403–415 (2007).

22. Cao, J. et al. Whole-genome sequencing of multiple *Arabidopsis thaliana* populations. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 956–963 (2011).

23. Navarro, J. A. R. et al. A study of allelic diversity underlying flowering-time adaptation in maize landraces. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 476–490 (2017).

24. Zhu, B. F. et al. Genetic control of a transition from black to straw-white seed hull in rice domestication. *Plant Physiol.* **155**, 1301–1311 (2011).

25. Luo, J. et al. *An-1* encodes a basic helix-loop-helix protein that regulates awn development, grain size and grain number in rice. *Plant Cell* **25**, 3360–3376 (2013).

26. Li, X. M. et al. Natural alleles of a proteasome α2 subunit gene contribute to thermotolerance and adaptation of African rice. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 827–833 (2015).

27. Si, L. et al. *OsSPL13* controls grain size in cultivated rice. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 447–456 (2016).

28. Kojima, S. et al. *Hd3a*, a rice ortholog of the *Arabidopsis FT* gene, promotes transition to flowering downstream of *Hd1* under short-day conditions. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **43**, 1096–1105 (2002).

29. Ma, Y. et al. *COLD1* confers chilling tolerance in rice. *Cell* **160**, 1209–1221 (2015).

30. Song, X. J. et al. Rare allele of a previously unidentified histone H4 acetyltransferase enhances grain weight, yield and plant biomass in rice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 76–81 (2015).

31. Yu, B. et al. *TAC1*, a major quantitative trait locus controlling tiller angle in rice. *Plant J.* **52**, 891–898 (2007).

32. Asano, K. et al. Artificial selection for a green revolution gene during *japonica* rice domestication. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 11034–11039 (2011).

33. Wang, Z. Y. et al. The amylose content in rice endosperm is related to the post-transcriptional regulation of the *waxy* gene. *Plant J.* **7**, 613–622 (1995).

34. Yano, M. et al. *Hd1*, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related to the *Arabidopsis* flowering time gene *CONSTANS*. *Plant Cell* **12**, 2473–2484 (2000).

35. Takahashi, Y., Teshima, K. M., Yokoi, S., Innan, H. & Shimamoto, K. Variations in Hd1 proteins, *Hd3a* promoters, and *Ehd1* expression levels contribute to diversity of flowering time in cultivated rice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 4555–4560 (2009).

36. Xue, W. et al. Natural variation in *Ghd7* is an important regulator of heading date and yield potential in rice. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 761–767 (2008).

37. Cui, J. et al. Characterization and fine mapping of the *ibf* mutant in rice. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **49**, 678–685 (2007).

38. Hirsch, C. N. et al. Insights into the maize pan-genome and pan-transcriptome. *Plant Cell* **26**, 121–135 (2014).

39. Komatsu, M., Maekawa, M., Shimamoto, K. & Kyozuka, J. The *LAX1* and *FRIZZY PANICLE 2* genes determine the inflorescence architecture of rice by controlling rachis-branch and spikelet development. *Dev. Biol.* **231**, 364–373 (2001).

40. Fujita, D. et al. *NAL1* allele from a rice landrace greatly increases yield in modern *indica* cultivars. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 20431–20436 (2013).

41. Sui, J. et al. A new GA-insensitive semidwarf mutant of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with a missense mutation in the *SDG* gene. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* **30**, 187–194 (2012).

42. Nordborg, M. & Weigel, D. Next-generation genetics in plants. *Nature* **456**, 720–723 (2008).

43. Myles, S. et al. Association mapping: critical considerations shift from genotyping to experimental design. *Plant Cell* **21**, 2194–2202 (2009).

44. Manolio, T. A. et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. *Nature* **461**, 747–753 (2009).

45. Marouli, E. et al. Rare and low-frequency coding variants alter human adult height. *Nature* **542**, 186–190 (2017).

46. McMullen, M. D. et al. Genetic properties of the maize nested-association mapping population. *Science* **325**, 737–740 (2009).

47. Kover, P. X. et al. A multiparent advanced generation inter-cross to fine-map quantitative traits in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000551 (2009).

48. Huang, X. et al. Analysis of natural allelic variation in *Arabidopsis* using a multiparent recombinant inbred line population. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 4488–4493 (2011).

Author contributions

X.H. and B.H. designed studies and contributed to the original concept of the project; A.W., Q. Zhan, Y.W., Z.W., Z.-X.W., Q.X. and X.W. contributed to the collection and planting of the rice accessions; Q.T., Y. Lu, D.F., C. Zhou, W.L., J.C., Q.W. and Q.F. performed the genome sequencing; Q. Zhao, H.L., Y. Li, L.Z., Y.Z., T.H. and C. Zhu performed genome data analysis; and X.H., Q. Zhao and B.H. analyzed whole data and wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0041-z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.H.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Methods

Sampling and sequencing. The initial set of 1,529 accessions was selected from a collection of ~50,000 rice accessions that are preserved at the China National Rice Research Institute in China and the National Institute of Genetics in Japan[6]. From database records of the phenotypic variation and geographic origins of the germplasm, we generated a data matrix and conducted a cluster analysis. On the basis of the resulting tree, we sampled 1,083 *O. sativa* accessions and 446 *O. rufipogon* accessions to represent the entire range of phenotypic diversity and geographic distribution and sequenced them with twofold genome coverage. Using the whole-genome resequencing data, we constructed neighbor-joining trees for *O. sativa* and *O. rufipogon*. According to the two phylogenetic trees, several divergent accessions were selected for each clade in the trees. A total of 57 representative accessions were selected in the *O. sativa–O. rufipogon* species complex. Moreover, nine widely used modern cultivars in China, Japan and India were also included in the representative collection. Genomic DNA from the resulting 66 accessions was prepared from the fresh leaf tissue of a single plant of each accession using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). A sequencing library was constructed with an insert size of ~400 bp or ~700 bp on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system using the manufacturer's protocol, and an amplification-free method of library preparation[49] was used to reduce the incidence of duplicate sequences, thus facilitating genome assembly. This study generated a total of 3.1 Tb of raw data of 100-bp and 150-bp paired-end reads, with an average of 115-fold coverage for each accession. For quality control, we also sequenced the Nipponbare genome using the same method with 91× coverage.

Whole-genome de novo assembly and validation. The 66 rice genomes were de novo assembled by using a pipeline that combined both the SOAPdenovo2 package (version 2.23)[50] and the Fermi package (version 1.1)[51]. Briefly, raw reads were assembled in parallel into contigs by Fermi (run-fermi.pl -Pe) and SOAPdenovo2, and the software GapCloser (version 1.12-r6) was used to fill gaps in the draft assembly results from SOAPdenovo2. All of the contigs derived from Fermi and SOAPdenovo2 were merged to form draft contigs using a C program REPLACE. The N50 length of the final genome assemblies was evaluated, and all small contigs of <200 bp were excluded. To check the quality of the assembly, the genome assemblies of Nipponbare and GLA4 were aligned against the Nipponbare reference and GLA4 BAC-based sequences (with a total size of ~22 Mb) using the software MUMmer[52] (with the parameters 'show-coords -rcl; delta-filter -q; show-coords -rcl') and ClustalW[53] (with default parameters). The number of errors per base was estimated according to the sequence variants between them. On rice chromosome 4, a total of 273 BACs of *indica* GLA4 were sequenced and assembled using the Sanger-based method (Supplementary Fig. 4a). The sequences of 273 BACs were merged into 87 contigs (with an average size of ~250 kb). We compared the 87 BAC-based contigs with the GLA4 assemblies in this pan-genome, and there were a total of 4,353 substitutions, 4,283 small indels and 40 relatively large-scale variants. Among the 22-Mb BAC-based regions, the GLA4 assemblies in the pan-genome contained a total of 979 gaps. Detailed statistics for each of the 87 BAC-based contigs are provided in Supplementary Data 2. All of the full-length cDNA sequences of accession GLA4 (*n* = 10,082) and accession W1943 (*n* = 2,045) were aligned against the genome assemblies using the software BLASTN[54] with the parameters '-e 1e-10' and '-F F' and a sequence identity of >98%.

Identification of genomic variation. The contig sequences of the whole-genome assemblies were anchored to the rice reference genome sequence (IRGSP build 4 version) using the software package MUMmer. According to the results from MUMmer, one-to-one alignment blocks (that is, each contig of the genome assemblies and its corresponding local sequence in the Nipponbare reference) were generated, and sequence variants were further called using the diffseq program in the EMBOSS package[55] (version 4.0) with the parameter '-wordsize 10'. SVs of large size were called based on the alignment results from MUMmer. At the site of each sequence variant, the genotypic information (that is, the reference allele or the alternative allele) for all of the 66 rice accessions was called according to the results of the one-to-one alignments. The potential effects of the variants were predicted based on GFF files from RAP-DB (release 2). In addition to variants in well-annotated genes, a total of 1,171,090 variants were found to be located in the coding regions of 21,644 predicted genes (without cDNA or EST support in RAP-DB). The software programs ClustalW and BLASTN were used for detailed haplotype analyses for the well-characterized genes in rice. Moreover, we aligned the raw reads of each accession against the genome assembly of the same accession using the software Bowtie2 (version 2.2.6) and default parameters to generate BAM files. With the sorted BAM result for each genome, pileup results were generated using the SAMtools package (version 0.1.19). According to these results, we identified 'low-quality' SNPs and small indels (1–3 bp) using the parameter 'varFilter -D200' and a mapping quality of ≥30.

Evolutionary analysis. Simple matching coefficients were calculated from whole-genome SNPs or the SNPs at the local regions (including *Bh4*, *PROG1*, *An1* and *An2*) of the 66 rice accessions. The 66 × 66 matrix of simple matching coefficients was used to construct phylogenetic trees through the 'neighbor' software in PHYLIP[56], and the package MEGA5 was used to display the phylogenetic trees.

In the analysis of introgression events, we first identified SNP sites with highly differentiated alleles between *indica* and temperate *japonica*, requiring that the SNP site have an allele frequency of >0.95 in *indica* and an allele frequency of <0.05 in temperate *japonica*. At these SNP sites, the allele information (*indica*-specific type or temperate-*japonica*-specific type) in each accession of tropical *japonica* was called across the rice genome. For each tropical *japonica* accession, the sizes of the introgression segments in its genome were determined to estimate the proportion of the potential introgression events in tropical *japonica*. Information for functionally characterized genes in the rice genome was based on the database in the China Rice Data Center, with all redundancies removed.

Presence–absence variation analysis of coding genes. For each genome assembly, the package RepeatMasker (version 4.0.6; with parameter '-species rice -nolow') was used first to annotate and mask the repetitive sequences, including simple-sequence repeats and kinds of TEs. Sequences from microbial genomes (including those from pathogen infection of rice plants), which had no homologs with the rice genome, were masked as well. The software FGeneSH (Softberry)[57] was used for gene structure prediction in the 67 rice genomes (Nipponbare included) with the parameters trained on monocotyledons. The predicted genes were searched against the annotated coding genes of the Nipponbare reference (RAP-DB on IRGSP-1.0 and RGAP 7) using BLASTN (with the parameters '-e 1e10 –F F'). Genes that showed no hits with the Nipponbare reference genes or only partial sequence matches (coverage <50%) were regarded to be 'newly identified genes' that were absent in the Nipponbare reference.

Four tissues (young seedling, root, leaf and panicle) were collected from *O. rufipogon* W1943 and *O. sativa indica* GLA4 plants to perform RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) experiments. Paired-end cDNA libraries were constructed by using the RNA-seq Library Preparation Kit (Gnomegen, cat no. K02421T-L). cDNA fragments of ~300 bp in size were excised, followed by enrichment using PCR amplification for ~15 cycles. The resulting paired-end cDNA libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system to generate 100-bp paired-end reads (29.1 Gb and 35.1 Gb of raw data for W1943 and GLA4, respectively). RNA-seq reads were aligned against the annotated genes in Nipponbare and the newly identified genes using the software SMALT (version 0.5.7) with the parameters 'map -i 700 -j 50 -m 30'. The numbers of uniquely mapped reads (mapping score ≥50) were converted to quantify the transcript levels of genes from the two gene sets using RPKM values[58].

To explore the PAV information of all coding genes among the rice accessions, we integrated the DNA sequences of all non-TE genes annotated in at least one of the 67 rice accessions. We searched the sequence of each gene against those of the annotated genes in other genome assemblies through BLASTN and generated a list of one-to-one correspondences. For the whole set of coding genes in the pan-genome data, the protein sequences were searched for protein domain information and protein function classification using the software InterProScan[59] (version 5.7-48.0) with the parameters '-f TSV -iprlookup -goterms'.

URLs. SOAPdenovo2, https://sourceforge.net/projects/soapdenovo2/files/SOAPdenovo2/; GapCloser, http://soap.genomics.org.cn/about.html; Fermi package, https://github.com/lh3/fermi; REPLACE, ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/users/zn1/merge/replace/; MUMmer, http://mummer.sourceforge.net/; ClustalW, http://www.clustal.org/; IRGSP build 4, http://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/download/build4.html; EMBOSS, http://emboss.sourceforge.net; Bowtie2, http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml; SAMtools, http://samtools.sourceforge.net/; PHYLIP, http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html; MEGA5, http://www.megasoftware.net/index.php; China Rice Data Center, http://www.ricedata.cn/gene/; RepeatMasker, http://www.repeatmasker.org/; RAP-DB on IRGSP4, http://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/download/build4.html; RAP-DB on IRGSP-1.0, http://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/download/irgsp1.html; RGAP 7, http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/pub/data/Eukaryotic_Projects/o_sativa/annotation_dbs/pseudomolecules/version_7.0/; SMALT, http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/smalt-0; InterProScan, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/download.html.

References

49. Kozarewa, I. et al. Amplification-free Illumina sequencing-library preparation facilitates improved mapping and assembly of (G+C)-biased genomes. *Nat. Methods* **6**, 291–295 (2009).
50. Luo, R. et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. *Gigascience* **1**, 18 (2012).
51. Li, H. Exploring single-sample SNP and indel calling with whole-genome de novo assembly. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1838–1844 (2012).

52. Kurtz, S. et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* **5**, R12 (2004).

53. Larkin, M. A. et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 2947–2948 (2007).

54. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

55. Rice, P., Longden, I. & Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. *Trends Genet.* **16**, 276–277 (2000).

56. Felsenstein, J. PHYLIP: phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). *Cladistics* **5**, 164–166 (1989).

57. Salamov, A. A. & Solovyev, V. V. Ab initio gene finding in *Drosophila* genomic DNA. *Genome Res.* **10**, 516–522 (2000).

58. Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. & Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-seq. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 621–628 (2008).

59. Jones, P. et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1236–1240 (2014).

Corresponding Author: Xuehui Huang

Date: Nov 14, 2017

Life Sciences Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work we publish. This form is published with all life science papers and is intended to promote consistency and transparency in reporting. All life sciences submissions use this form; while some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on the points included in this form, see Reporting Life Sciences Research. For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

▶ Experimental design

1. **Sample size**

   Describe how sample size was determined.

   > Page 15, the section "Sampling and sequencing"

2. **Data exclusions**

   Describe any data exclusions.

   > Not involved

3. **Replication**

   Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.

   > Not involved

4. **Randomization**

   Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.

   > Not involved

5. **Blinding**

   Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.

   > Not involved

   Note: all studies involving animals and/or human research participants must disclose whether blinding and randomization were used.

6. **Statistical parameters**

   For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or the Methods section if additional space is needed).

n/a	Confirmed	
☐	☒	The <u>exact</u> sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc.)
☐	☒	A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly.
☒	☐	A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated
☒	☐	The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)
☒	☐	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons
☒	☐	The test results (e.g. *p* values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted
☒	☐	A summary of the descriptive statistics, including central tendency (e.g. median, mean) and variation (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)
☒	☐	Clearly defined error bars

   See the web collection on statistics for biologists for further resources and guidance.

▶ Software

Policy information about availability of computer code

7. **Software**

   Describe the software used to analyze the data in this study.

   > Page 18, the section "URLs".

For all studies, we encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). Authors must make computer code available to editors and reviewers upon request. The *Nature Methods* guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication may be useful for any submission.

▶ Materials and reagents

Policy information about availability of materials

8. Materials availability

   Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.

   | Not involved |

9. Antibodies

   Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species).

   | Not involved |

10. Eukaryotic cell lines

   a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.

   | Not involved |

   b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.

   | Not involved |

   c. Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination.

   | Not involved |

   d. If any of the cell lines used in the paper are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.

   | Not involved |

▶ Animals and human research participants

Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines

11. Description of research animals

   Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.

   | Not involved |

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

12. Description of human research participants

   Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants.

   | Not involved |