Published in partnership with Nanyang Technological University

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-024-00521-9

Simultaneous application of oral and intravaginal probiotics for Helicobacter pylori and its antibiotic-therapy-induced vaginal dysbacteriosis

[Check for updates](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1038/s41522-024-00521-9&domain=pdf)

Yufa[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0506-8536) Wang^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0506-8536),2,3}, Zhenyu Zhang⁴ \boxtimes , Qi Chen¹ \boxtimes & Tingtao Chen $\mathbf{D}^{1,3,5}$ \boxtimes

Helicobacter pylori is a prevalent bacterial pathogen globally, implicated in various gastrointestinal disorders. Current recommended antibiotic therapies for H. pylori infection have been proven to be therapeutically insufficient, with low eradication rates and high recurrence rates. Emerging evidence suggests that antibiotic therapy for H. pylori can lead to gastrointestinal and subsequent vaginal dysbiosis, posing challenges for conventional antibiotic approaches. Thus, this article proposes a novel probiotic therapy involving simultaneous oral and intra-vaginal probiotic administration alongside antibiotics for H. pylori treatment, aiming to enhance eradication rates and mitigate dysbiosis. We begin by providing an overview of gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiota and their interconnectedness through the vagina-gut axis. We then review the efficacy of current antibiotic regimens for H. pylori and discuss how antibiotic treatment impacts the vaginal microenvironment. To explore the feasibility of this approach, we evaluate the effectiveness of oral and intra-vaginal probiotics in restoring normal microbiota in the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts, respectively. Additionally, we analyze the direct mechanisms by which oral and intra-vaginal probiotics act on their respective tracts and discuss potential cross-tract mechanisms. Considering the potential synergistic therapeutic effects of probiotics in both the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts, dual-channel probiotic therapy holds promise as a more effective approach for H. pylori eradication and dysbiosis mitigation, presenting a novel concept in the collaborative treatment of gastrointestinal and genital disorders.

Helicobacter pylori, a gram-negative pathogenic bacterium, colonizes the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and is classified as a class I carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), representing a major contributor to gastric cancer 1 1 . H. pylori infection is widespread in human population and its morbidity reaches 20% to 30% in developed areas, while in economically underdeveloped countries, the prevalence can be higher than 50%^{[2](#page-10-0)}. Studies have consistently shown that H. pylori can initiate chronic active gastritis in nearly all infected individuals, which may progress to peptic ulceration or gastric fibrosis^{[3](#page-10-0)}. Moreover, persistent infection significantly elevates the risk of developing precancerous lesions such as atrophic gastritis by approximately nine-fold, along with an eight-fold increase in the risk of actual carcinogenesis^{[4](#page-10-0)}.

Combinations of multiple antibiotic agents (clarithromycin, amoxicillin, and metronidazole) and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are widely used in the current regimen for H . pylori infection as first-line treatment^{[5](#page-10-0)}. The most commonly employed eradication therapies are triple or quadruple antibiotic regimens, which have demonstrated favorable clinical outcomes with an average eradication rate of 80% to 87%⁶. However, the overuse of antibiotics has led to several issues, including reduced efficacy of antibiotic treatment due to the escalation of drug resistance and a high recurrence rate⁷. Systemic antibiotic therapy can also be correlated with various side

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China. ²Queen Mary School, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China. ³National Engineering Research Centre for Bioengineering Drugs and Technologies, Institute of Translational Medicine, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China. ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. ⁵School of Pharmacy, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China. e-mail: [zzy6565@sina.com;](mailto:zzy6565@sina.com) [chenqiyangbai@126.com;](mailto:chenqiyangbai@126.com) chentingtao1984@163.com

effects, such as allergic reactions, gastrointestinal symptoms, and gastrointestinal dysbiosis⁸. Additionally, the indiscriminate antimicrobial effect can further negatively impact the beneficial microbiota residing in other anatomical sites, leading to overall dysbiosis^{[9](#page-10-0)}.

A normal vaginal bacterial microbiota is typically dominated by Lactobacillusspecies, which creates a relatively low-pH biotic habitat containing lactic acid, bacteriocins, and other antibacterial molecules, playing an instrumental role in female urogenital health 10 . Oral antibiotics used in triple or quadruple therapies have broad spectrum of activity, and besides disturbing gastrointestinal microbiota¹¹, they may also affect microbiomes in other parts of the body. Clinical practice has now confirmed that the regular application of antibiotics to treat H . pylori infection could lead to vaginal $dysbiosis¹²$ $dysbiosis¹²$ $dysbiosis¹²$. On the other hand, the unbalanced microecological environment of the human vagina, disturbed by antibiotics, can further cause a large amount of opportunistic pathogens such as Candida albicans to colonize and multiply, thus causing various vaginal inflammatory diseases and severely endangering female vaginal health 13 .

This review first provides an overview of gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiota, highlighting their close interconnection via the vagina-gut axis. Moreover, the influences and underlying mechanisms by which H. pylori eradication therapy endangers both gastric and vaginal microbiota are discussed, along with the limitations of current antibiotic therapy for vaginal dysbiosis. Recognizing the inadequacies of current therapeutic methods for H. pylori infection and dysbiosis, and considering the potential synergistic effect of oral and intra-vaginal administration of probiotics, we explore the prospects for the simultaneous dual-channel application of probiotic therapy in treating both H. pylori infection and alleviating the perturbation of antibiotics on the body microbiota. We then evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of current oral and intra-vaginal probiotic supplements in regulating body dysbiosis in both gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts. Finally, we discuss the potential difficulties and drawbacks of dual-channel probiotic therapy.

Gastrointestinal microbiota and vaginal microbiota Overview of gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiome

The human gastrointestinal microbiome is a vast and dynamic ecosystem that plays a fundamental role in human health and well-being. Factors such as diet, age, exposure to microbes, and antibiotic application have all been linked to the initiation and preservation of microbial diversity within the $gut¹⁴$. With microbial cells outnumbering somatic cells by at least tenfold, the gut microbiome harbors a staggering diversity of microorganisms, collectively contributing far more genes than the human genome itself 15 . This intricate community of microbes influences various aspects of host physiology, immunity, and systemic nourishment, orchestrating a delicate balance known as homeostasis. Among the predominant taxa, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes (including the genus Lactobacillus), Actinobacteria (including the genus Bifidobacterium), and Proteobacteria stand out¹⁶. While Fusobacteria, Saccharibacteria, Spirochaetes, and Synergistetes exhibited relatively lower abundance¹⁶. Zooming into the genus level, the most prevalent microbiota in the healthy human gut appears to be Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, owing to the vaginal microbiota during infant delivery and microbial species harboring in breast milk¹⁷. Within the Lactobacillus spp., L. gasseri, L. casei, and L. rhamnosus are dominant, while within the Bifidobacterium genus, B. longum, B. bifidum, and B. adolescentis are dominant in the gut microenvironment 18 . These microbial inhabitants interact with each other and with the host in a highly coordinated manner, shaping the gut environment and exerting profound effects on host health.

Similar to the gut microbiota, the initial colonization of the vaginal microbiota begins at birth, primarily comprising maternal vaginal and fecal microbiota¹⁹. Vaginal microbiota accounts for approximately 9% of the total microbiota of the human body²⁰. Generally, the healthy female vagina harbors a diverse array of microorganisms, including Candida albus, Gardnerella, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus, Streptococcus, and Staphylococcus and other opportunistic bacteria, and also can be isolated with probiotics like *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*^{[21](#page-10-0)}. The populations of these vaginal microbiomes are typically in dynamic equilibrium in healthy

women of reproductive age²². The predominant bacteria in healthy adult vagina consist of Lactobacillus species (mainly L. iners, L. crispatus, and L. gasseri), with other microbiota present at lower abundance, such as Peptostreptococcus spp., Corynebacterium, Bacteroides spp., and Enterobacteriaceae^{23,24}. Lactobacillus species produce lactic acid, which helps maintain an acidic pH in the vagina, inhibiting the growth of harmful bacteria and yeast. Additionally, the vaginal microbiome contributes to the production of antimicrobial peptides and the modulation of local immune $responents^{25}$. These microbiotas constitute a crucial part of the microenvironment in the vagina, and the balance they establish is vital for immunity and providing shelter to their host.

Association between gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiome

The gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiomes are two distinct microbial ecosystems within the human body, each with its own unique composition and functions. However, emerging research suggests that there may be interconnectedness between these microbiomes. The concept of the "gutvaginal axis" proposes a bidirectional communication pathway between the gut and vaginal microbiomes²⁶. It suggests that changes in the gut microbiome composition can influence the vaginal microbiome and vice versa.

Both the vaginal and gastrointestinal tracts serve as major colonization sites for numerous species of bacteria within the body. Their initial colonization typically originates from maternal vaginal and fecal microbial species¹⁹. Similar to the microbiota present in the mother's vagina, the majority of microbes found in the meconium of infants delivered vaginally are Lactobacillus and Prevotella, revealing that maternal vaginal microbiota may serve as one of the sources of gut microbiota in infants²⁷. This may also account for the strong similarities observed in their taxa composition in adults. In the vaginal tract, facultative anaerobic Lactobacillus is the dominant bacterial group, while in the GI tract, both facultative anaerobic Lactobacillus and strict anaerobic bacterium Bifidobacterium are dominant²⁸. These microorganisms play similar roles in maintaining human health within their respective microecosystems and can cause gastrointestinal or vaginal disorders when the normal microbiota is disrupted²⁹.

Furthermore, the close anatomical distance between the rectum and vagina may facilitate the trafficking of microorganisms across the gut and vagina (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). A previous study suggested that certain H_2O_2 -producing Lactobacillus strains are prevalent in both the vaginal and rectum tract, contributing to the normal maintenance of vaginal microbiota³⁰. Moreover, another study indicated that out of the 66 bacterial species identified in the vagina and rectum, 44% were found in both tracts and the genotypes of 68% these species were identical. Furthermore, utilizing quantitative PCR, Aila and colleagues suggested a significant correlation between the quantities of rectal and vaginal L. crispatus, L. jensenii, L. gasseri, and L. iners, implying a close association between rectal and gut microbiota³¹. These pieces of evidence support the notion that the rectum could be responsible for the storage and reservation of vaginal microorganisms, and possibly vice versa.

Despite direct microbial migration, indirect associations between vaginal and gut microbiota could also be implicated. Metabolites produced by gut microbial species, such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), could play a role in the vagina-gut axis, as they can be transferred to other anatomical sites through the general circulation²⁸. The elevated SCFAs in the vagina may indicate vaginal dysbiosis and provoke a proinflammatory response³², suggesting that the circulation of SCFAs from the gut to the vagina may disturb the vaginal microenvironment. Additionally, sex hormones such as estrogen can also play a role in vagina-gut axis. Gut microbiota, including Bifidobacterium, Clostridium, and Lactobacillus, are involved in the metabolism of estrogen, contributing to the deconjugation of estrogens³³. Deconjugated estrogen can facilitate the production of glycogen within vaginal tract via systemic circulation, further stimulating the proliferation of vaginal Lactobacillus³⁴. Therefore, the abundance of gut bacteria associated with estrogen metabolization could correlated with the abundance of vaginal Lactobacillus species.

Several studies could also support the notion that the alternations in gut microbiota could mirror in the vaginal microbiota. Based on an animal

trial³⁵, approximately half of the taxa (48%) exhibited enrichment in vagina post oral antibiotic treatment, while a distinct reduction in the gut (Erysipelothrix, Roseburia, Anaerotruncus, and Akkermansia). While for Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria, it showed an opposite result that they enrich in vagina but deplete in gastrointestinal tract after antibiotic treatment. Conversely, in a clinical trial, subjects with vaginal candidiasis demonstrated not only the disturbance of vaginal microbial profile but also in gut microbial community, resulting in a depletion in gut microbial diversity³⁶. This suggests that alternations in vaginal microbiota can also in turn affect the gastrointestinal microbiota. Collectively, the physiological eubiosis between gastrointestinal and vaginal microbiota could be tightly correlated through the vagina-gut axis, whereby changes in one tract could affect the other.

Impact of Helicobacter pylori infection and antimicrobial therapy on microbial dysbiosis

Helicobacter pylori infection and its current eradication therapy H. pylori was initially identified by Marshall and Warren in 1984 through observation of antral mucosa tissue sections from a patient with chronic gastritis 37 . Upon noticing the presence of inflammation in the adjacent gastric mucosa, Warren hypothesized that H. pylori might be closely associated with the incidence of gastritis. In the majority of cases, initial complications resulting from H. pylori infection typically lead to mild pangastritis, which does not significantly affect gastric physiology or lead to severe diseases³⁸. However, *H. pylori* can establish persistent infection in the acidic environment of the gastric mucosa due to its unique residing char-acteristics, such as paralogous outer membrane proteins (OMPs)^{[39](#page-11-0)} and the combination of urase and urea channel (UreI)⁴⁰. If left untreated, persistent infection can progress to antral predominant gastritis⁴¹, chronic nonatrophic gastritis⁴², and even atrophic gastritis⁴³, which is considered a major precancerous lesion for gastric cancer. Further progression depends on the virulence of pathogenic strains, such as the cag-pathogenicity island (cag-PAI)⁴⁴. Expression of Cag-PAI genes can lead to the deterioration of normal gastric epithelium and ultimately result in intestinal metaplasia. These developments may gradually progress into noninvasive neoplasia, highgrade dysplasia, and eventually invasive malignant gastric carcinoma, which can be extremely harmful and even fatal⁴⁵.

In 2007, the American College of Gastroenterology Guideline proposed standard triple therapy as the first-line regimen for H. pylori infection,

consisting of a proton pump inhibitor $(PPI)^{46}$ $(PPI)^{46}$ $(PPI)^{46}$ and two other antibacterial agents (clarithromycin and amoxicillin) for a 2-weeks course^{[6](#page-10-0)}. Later, sequential therapy is commonly recommended as an alternative to standard triple therapy due to high drug resistance. This regimen involves 5 days of PPI and amoxicillin, followed by an additional 5 days of PPI along with two different antibiotics (typically clarithromycin and metronidazole) 47 . For the latest recommendations, the Toronto Consensus guidelines⁴⁸ and Maas-tricht V/Florence Consensus Report^{[49](#page-11-0)} proposed bismuth-based therapy as the newest first-line treatment, which involves adding bismuth to triple or quadruple therapy. Generally, current therapeutic methods tend to favor therapies with longer medication courses, higher antibiotic doses, and additional new adjuvant antibiotics to address the rising antibiotic resistance.

The drug resistance of H. pylori to key antibiotics such as clarithromycin, metronidazole, levofloxacin, and amoxicillin in conventional standard treatment has continued to increase over the past twenty years, significantly reducing the eradication rate^{50,51}. In addition to antibiotic drug resistance, high rates of recrudescence⁵², severe complications⁵³, and local dysbiosis induced by antibiotic administration further pose significant challenges for H. pylori eradication.

Helicobacter pylori infection and eradication affect gastrointestinal microbiomes

It has been reported that H. pylori infection can alter the diversity of the intestinal microbiota⁵⁴. This may be due to changes in the pH of the gastrointestinal caused by H. pylori infection, leading to damage and invasion of the gastrointestinal mucosa. Further, compromised gastric mucosa may increase the adhesion and migration of immune cells, ultimately resulting in the inability of the original gastrointestinal microbiota to survive⁵⁵. From one clinical trial, the gut microbial diversity was significantly reduced in individuals infected with H. pylori compared to healthy individuals, with significantly decreased abundance of Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, and Verrucomicrobia. Additionally, eight genera were significantly more abundant in healthy individuals compared to those with H. pylori infection, including Achromobacter, Devosia, Halomonas, Mycobacterium, Pseudomonas, Serratia, Sphingopyxis, and Stenotrophomonas⁵⁶. Moreover, another study reported a reversal in gastric microbial abundance at the phylum level. Reduced bacterial diversity was observed in H. pylori subjects, with Proteobacteria, Firmicutes,

Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria being the most abundant phyla, whereas in normal subjects, the most abundant phyla were Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria⁵⁷. These alterations in the gastrointestinal microbiota, driven by H. pylori infection, may lead to the progression of gut dysbiosis.

Another implicated issue of gut dysbiosis is provoked by the indiscriminate antimicrobial effects of H. pylori eradication therapy⁵⁸. Both antibiotics and PPIs used for H. pylori eradication may significantly impact the gut microbiota due to their antimicrobial effects and their ability to reduce gastric acidity. The administration of antibiotics on gut microbiota may lead to the reduction of gut microbial diversity, decreased abundance of certain taxa, and increased risk of gut infection 59 . A meta-analysis suggested that while H. pylori eradication therapy successfully eliminates H. pylorirelated taxa, the restoration of gut microbiota to a normal microecological status remains controversial⁶⁰. One clinical trial assessed the long-term impact of gut microbiota following treatment with three different H. pylori eradication therapies (standard triple therapy, concomitant therapy, and bismuth quadruple therapy). The alpha diversity and beta diversity of gut microbiota were significantly altered in all three regimens 2 weeks posttreatment. Alpha diversity and beta diversity were restored in the standard triple therapy group at week 8 and 1 year post-treatment, while failed to restore in the concomitant therapy and bismuth quadruple therapy groups at week 8 and even 1 year after eradication⁶¹. Moreover, another study revealed that notable alterations in the taxonomic composition of gut microbiota persisted even two months after administering a triple therapy based on vonoprazan, albeit with a restoration of microbial diversity⁶². Future investigations are required to develop an eradication regimen with sufficient efficacy against H. pylori while minimizing disruption to the gut microbiota.

Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy and vaginal dysbacteriosis

Evidence of Helicobacter pylori antimicrobial therapy induced vaginal dysbiosis

In addition to gastrointestinal microbiomes, the antimicrobial effects of antibiotics could also affect the microbial communities in \sin^{63} , respiratory⁶⁴, and vagina⁶⁵. Antibiotics used to treat H. pylori infections typically aim to eradicate bacterial colonization but without specifically targeting particular microbiomes. Due to their broad antimicrobial spectrum, these antibiotics may have off-target effects, resulting in concentrations exceeding what is necessary for eliminating pathogenic H. pylori. Consequently, they could disrupt and imbalance the normal body microbiota, reducing colonization resistance for an extended period following administration⁶⁶.

A clinical study by Kravtsov et al.¹² aimed to investigate the possibility of an increased risk of candidiasis in the female genital tract after H. pylori eradication therapy. They reported that following a 2-week quadruple bismuth therapy (consisting of rabeprazole, amoxicillin, tetracycline, and bismuthate tripotassium dicitrate), elements of Candida fungus were found in smears taken from the cervix uteri and lateral vaginal vault in all patients. Approximately 22% of patients administered anti-helicobacter therapy were diagnosed with Candida vulvovaginitis, indicating an increased incidence of vaginal dysbiosis after H. pylori eradication. Further investigation revealed significantly elevated levels of cytokines IL-8 and TNF-α in vaginal secretions from patients treated with anti-helicobacter therapy compared to those without antibiotic administration⁶⁷. This suggests that *H. pylori* eradication treatment may strongly disrupt the immune status of the female vaginal tract. In a Chinese clinical comparative study involving 15 female patients with H. pylori infection, after undergoing standard triple therapy (rabeprazole, amoxicillin, and levofloxacin), 7 participants experienced an imbalance in vaginal microecology, and 5 exhibited fungal overgrowth⁶⁸. Similarly, a different triple eradication therapy comprising omeprazole, amoxicillin, and metronidazole revealed a decrease in the normal rates of vaginal cleanliness, pH balance, and the abundance of Lactobacillus species⁶⁹. Additionally, levels of vaginal secretory immunoglobulin A (SIgA)

were found to be elevated following treatment. These findings indicate a significant correlation between standard H. pylori eradication therapies and alternations in the vaginal microecology of female patients, suggesting that antibiotic treatments for H. pylori could disrupt the delicate equilibrium of the vaginal microbiome.

However, the clinical evidence supporting the notion that H. pylori eradication therapy can lead to dysbiosis of the vaginal microbiota is still lacking. This may be attributed to the general lack of focus on vaginal outcomes following oral therapy, as well as the insufficient research on the vaginal-gut microbiota axis. However, in clinical practice, a prominent proportion of patients with gynecological disorders experiencing vaginal dysbiosis are observed after their H. pylori eradication therapy. Additionally, there are numerous reports of oral antibiotics causing disruptions in vaginal microbiota⁷⁰. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that H. *pylori* eradication therapy may increase the risk of vaginal dysbiosis in patients.

Next-generation whole-genome shotgun sequencing and targeted sequencing have revealed that antibiotic exposure can lead to a decrease in vaginal microbial diversity, total biomass, and functional diversity 71 . Additionally, Pirotta et al. found that the rate of vaginal Candida species infection significantly increased from 21% to 37% after treatment with amoxicillin¹³. Similarly, in a study by Kurowski et al., 12 female patients treated with clarithromycin showed a decrease in Lactobacillus culture from 33% to 0 after treatment, while the rate of vaginal Candida infection increased from 17% to 33% post-antibiotic treatment⁷². Moreover, another study reported a significant increase in the colonization rate (83%) of vaginal Staphylococcus species in pregnant women after oral antibiotic administration compared to women without antibiotic treatment $(76%)^{73}$. Furthermore, an animal trial demonstrated that the vaginal microbial profile in mice was significantly altered after oral antibiotic treatment, with depleted abundance of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria, and enriched abundance of Tenericutes and Bacteroidetes³⁵. Therefore, it can be inferred that antibiotic applications in H. pylori infection could disrupt normal vaginal microecology, leading to a decrease in beneficial microbiota and an increase in opportunistic bacteria, which may contribute to various gynecological disorders.

The mechanism by which oral antibiotics induce vaginal dysbiosis is still unclear, but it may account for the general circulation or the shared microbiota between gut and vaginal taxa as discussed as vagina-gut axis²⁶. One potential hypothesis for this may be that PPIs alter the pH of the gastrointestinal tract, allowing certain bacteria to proliferate extensively in the intestines, leading to dysbiosis, such as *Candida* species¹². Additionally, the use of antibiotics can affect the microbial composition on the surface of the genital tract mucosa, thereby changing the immunity of the genital tract, allowing the overgrowth gastrointestinal bacteria to enter the vagina. In addition, orally administered antibiotics for H. pylori infection can be directly delivered into the intestinal lumen. After absorption and modifications in the liver, they either enter enterohepatic circulation and are excreted into feces or return into the blood for renal clearance and then enter the genitourinary tract. Both endings can be direct or indirect pathways by which board-spectrum antibiotics affect the vaginal microenvironment 74 . Antibiotics excreted through feces can directly impact the gastrointestinal tract, where they can disrupt the composition and balance of the gut microbiota. Disruption of the gut microbiota can lead to dysbiosis and the proliferation of opportunistic pathogens, which may then directly translocate to the genitourinary tract from anus^{75,76}. Additionally, antibiotics cleared through renal excretion may initially enter the bloodstream before being filtered by the kidneys and subsequently excreted into the urine. However, some antibiotics may retain their antimicrobial effects when reaching the genitourinary tract. Upon entering genitourinary tract, these antibiotics may directly affect the local microbiota, including the vaginal microbiota, potentially leading to dysbiosis.

Understanding vaginal dysbiosis and therapeutic challenges

Normal vaginal microbiota plays a crucial role in maintaining a healthy vaginal microenvironment^{[77](#page-12-0)}. The evidence mentioned above demonstrates

that broad-spectrum antibiotics administered for H. pylori eradication could disrupt the vaginal microbiota, leading to vaginal dysbiosis⁷⁸. Baeten and colleagues also suggested that recent antibiotic use was a risk factor for loss of vaginal Lactobacillus, which is correlated with the occurrence of dysbiosis⁷⁹.

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a prevalent gynecological disorder worldwide, characterized by symptoms such as malodorous vaginal discharge and itching sensation around the vagina⁸⁰. BV not only affects women's selfesteem but also increases the risk of sexually transmitted infections $(STIs)^{81}$. Furthermore, abnormal vaginal microbiota is also associated with an increased risk of preterm birth⁸², miscarriage⁸³, and even infertility 84 . The currently recommended clinical regimen for treating BV is limited and commonly involves antibacterial agents, including metronidazole, nitroimidazole, tinidazole, or clindamycin⁸⁵. First-line treatments typically consist of either a 7-day course of 500 mg oral metronidazole twice a day or a 5-day course with intra-vaginal metronidazole cream once daily⁸⁶.

Though with relatively favorable cure rate, high recurrence poses a significant challenge for current antibiotic regimen. The self-formed biofilms and the development of antibiotic resistance among bacteria associated with BV, such as Gardnerella vaginalis, may play crucial roles in persistence and recurrence of the condition⁷⁰. Within 6 to 12 months postantibiotic therapy, 30% to 80% of patients experience recurrence⁸⁷. For example, Rose et al. reported that 71% of patients had recurrent symptoms after completion of treatment with metronidazole⁸⁸. Plummer and colleagues found that 17% of women experienced relapse 12 weeks postmetronidazole and clindamycin treatment⁸⁹. Additionally, Aguin et al. reported that although only 1% experienced recurrence at the third month with high-dose therapy, 50% of patients still had recurrence 3 months after treatment⁹⁰. The inability to restore the colonization of antimicrobial Lactobacillus species in the vaginal microenvironment following antibiotic therapy may serve as a critical reason contributing to the high recurrence rate. While antibiotic therapy decreases the abundance of G. vaginalis and other pathogens associated with BV, the microbiota following antibiotic treatment typically show dominance of L. iners rather than the species deemed more beneficial, such as L . crispatus and L . jensenii^{[91](#page-12-0)}. Therefore,

probiotic therapy might be an alternative or adjunct method for conventional antibiotic therapy to achieve persistent cure and effectiveness for both bacterial vaginosis and H. pylori infection.

Dual-channel probiotic therapy: a promising approach for addressing Helicobacter pylori infection and bacterial vaginosis simultaneously

Both H. pylori infection and bacterial vaginosis induced by prior H. pylori antibiotic therapy can lead to persistent and intractable symptoms in female patients. Moreover, the current antibiotic regimens for both conditions are often inadequate, resulting in high recurrence rates and various adverse effects⁹². Therefore, considering the interconnected nature of the microenvironments in the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts, as well as the increasing recommendations for probiotic therapy in both H. pylori infection and bacterial vaginosis, dual-channel probiotic therapy could emerge as a promising approach to treating both diseases while simultaneously reducing the incidence of antibiotic-induced dysbacteriosis.

Dual-channel probiotic therapy involves the concurrent use of orally administered probiotics and antibiotics for H. pylori infection alongside intra-vaginally administered probiotic supplements (Fig. 2). By delivering probiotics through both channels, the efficacy of antibiotic eradication for H. pylori could be significantly enhanced, and the occurrence of antibioticrelated gastrointestinal and vaginal dysbacteriosis can be empirically reduced.

The concept of dual-channel probiotic therapy stems from the similarities between the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts and their physiological interconnection. Both tracts serve as colonization sites for various bacterial species, with notable similarities in their taxonomic compositions. Lactobacillus species, predominant in the vaginal microbiota⁹³, also play a significant role in the gastrointestinal tract⁹⁴. The dominant *Lactobacillus* species in healthy vagina was considered originated from $gut⁹⁵$, while the primary colonization of gut microbiota was originated from vertical transmission of microbiota from maternal vagina⁹⁶. As discussed earlier, the vaginal microbiota and gastrointestinal microbiota are strongly correlated with each other through the vagina-gut axis. The anatomical proximity of the gut and vagina facilitates potential interactions between their microbiomes⁹⁷. One species-level Spearman correlation coefficient analysis has revealed common BV-associated bacteria in both the rectal and vaginal tracts, suggesting a strong interconnection between their local microbiota⁹⁸. Additionally, orally administered probiotic strains have been shown to colonize the vaginal tract^{99,100}, indicating the possibility of recto-vaginal translocation for certain microorganisms. As observed in group B Strepto $cocus$ and E. coli infections 31 , similar mechanism of recto-vaginal translocation may apply for BV-associated microorganisms.

In addition to direct translocation of microbiota between two tracts, metabolites such as $SCFAs³²$ and sex hormones^{[101](#page-12-0)} may indirectly affect vaginal microbiota via the gut microbiota. Animal studies have demonstrated that vaginal microbiota can influence colonic levels of inflammatory markers and alter the gastrointestinal microbiota composition 102 . Furthermore, vaginal microbiota transplantation, a novel approach grounded on the resemblance between the vaginal and gastrointestinal tracts, and originating from fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), has also been demonstrated to be efficacious in the treatment of BV^{103} BV^{103} BV^{103} .

Moreover, probiotics in both tracts exert similar protective effects on mucosal epithelial cells through the production of bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, and organic acids^{[104](#page-12-0)}. They also compete with pathogens for nutrients and colonization sites, activate host immune defense systems, and regulate inflammatory signaling molecules to combat diseases^{105,106}. Studies have also shown promising efficacy for Lactobacillus species in both vaginal and gastrointestinal dysbiosis (Table [1](#page-6-0)), supporting the feasibility of dual-channel probiotic therapy^{107–[109](#page-12-0)}. By integrating oral and intra-vaginal probiotic supplementation, this innovative approach may provide synergistic and high efficacy for H. pylori treatment while simultaneously reducing dysbacteriosis outbreaks in both the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts.

Evaluating the efficacy of current probiotic therapy in Helicobacter pylori infection and dysbacteriosis Oral probiotic administration for Helicobacter pylori infection and gastrointestinal dysbiosis

Probiotics, defined as "living microorganisms beneficial to the host's body health," have emerged as key players in combating foreign pathogens^{[110](#page-13-0)}. In recent decades, probiotic therapy has gained recognition for H. pylori infection as an effective strategy to enhance eradication rates, mitigate antibiotic-related adverse effects, and lower recurrence rates by restoring normal microbiota in the gastrointestinal tract.

H. pylori typically compromise and invade the gastric mucosa, disrupting the mucosal barrier¹¹¹. Certain probiotic strains can stimulate IgA secretion in goblet cells, aiding in mucosal formation and defense against invading pathogens¹¹². Strains like L. plantarum 299 v and L. rhamnosus GG have been shown to enhance the expression of mucin genes MUC2 and MUC3 in gastric epithelial cells 113 , reinforcing the gastrointestinal mucosal barrier. Additionally, probiotics such as L. acidophilus NCFM, L. acidophilus La-14, L. plantarum Lp-115, and L. rhamnosus GG exhibit anti-adhesion properties that inhibit urease activity, impeding H . pylori colonization¹¹⁴. Furthermore, probiotics modulate the inflammatory response triggered by H. pylori infection. Strains like L. crispatus RIGLD-1¹¹⁵ and L. gasseri MN- $LG80^{116}$ $LG80^{116}$ $LG80^{116}$ were reported can alleviate H. pylori-induced gastritis by reducing cytokine levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6. Probiotics can also aggregate freemoving pathogens, enhancing hindrance to adhesion and increasing susceptibility to phagocytosis. Probiotic strains of L. rhamnosus SD11 and L. paracasei CNCM I-1572 can effectively co-aggregate and exhibit anti-adhesive properties against H. pylori strains^{[117](#page-13-0)}. Moreover, L. salivarius LN12, when combined with antibiotics, demonstrated the capacity to disrupts biofilm formation in H. $pylori¹¹⁸$ $pylori¹¹⁸$ $pylori¹¹⁸$.

In clinical trials, patients administered with L. reuteri DSM 17648 showed significantly higher eradication rates compared to placebo (93.2% vs. 68.9%) for H. pylori infection, with reduced side effects¹⁰⁷. Another study involving bismuth-containing quadruple therapy supplemented with probiotic combinations of L. reuteri DSM17938 and L. reuteri ATCC PTA6475 reported 96% eradication in the probiotic group compared to 88% in the placebo group following a 14-day therapeutic course^{[119](#page-13-0)}. A meta-analysis comprising 9004 patients across 34 trials evaluated the efficacy of antibiotic triple therapy with probiotic supplementation for H. pylori eradication¹²⁰. It revealed that combinations of *Lactobacillus* species with triple therapy yielded higher eradication rate compared to triple therapy alone, with Bifidobacterium-Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium-Lactobacillus-Saccharomyces combinations achieving eradication rates of 78.3% and 88.2%, respectively. Therefore, the aforementioned results indicate that supplementing conventional therapy with probiotics could significantly enhance H. pylori eradication rates and mitigate antibiotic adverse effects.

As both H. pylori infection and its eradication therapy can lead to gastrointestinal dysbiosis, resulting in recurrence and susceptibility to opportunistic pathogens, probiotics have demonstrated efficacy in restoring normal microbiota when administered alongside antibiotics¹²¹. One study conducted by Zhou et al. suggested that administration of L. paracasei ZFM 54 significantly reversed H. pylori-associated dysbiosis by restoring the abundance of Firmicutes and Actinobacteriota, while decreasing the abundance of Campylobacterota and Proteobacteria¹²². Another multicentered study revealed that the profound fluctuations of gastric microbiota post bismuth-containing quadruple therapy were significantly mitigated with Bifidobacterium Tetravaccine Tablets (contain B. infantis CGMCC0460.1, L. acidophilus CGMCC0460.2, Enterococcus faecalis CGMCC0460.3, and Bacillus cereus CGMCC0460.4) supplementation, accompanied by the flourishment of Lactobacillus, Prevotella, and Bifidobacterium^{[123](#page-13-0)}. Additionally, another clinical trial indicated significantly enriched microbial diversity in the gastrointestinal tract with L. rhamnosus LGG-18 and L. salivarius Chen-08 treatment compared to the H. pyloriinfected group, while gastric proinflammatory responses and premalignant lesions were also profoundly alleviated 124 .

Intra-vaginal probiotic administration for vaginal dysbacteriosis

Vaginal dysbiosis is characterized by the replacement of dominant Lactobacillus microorganisms with obligate or facultative anaerobes like G. vaginalis or other pathogenic bacteria 125 . Conventional antibiotic therapies for vaginal dysbiosis are insufficient and can exacerbate dysbiosis. Hence, alternative bioactive preparations, such as probiotics alone or in combination with antibiotics, are emerging as viable strategies for addressing vaginal dysbiosis.

Similar as oral probiotics targeting H. pylori, intra-vaginally administered probiotics demonstrate efficacy against vaginal dysbiosis through mechanisms such as coaggregation with pathogens, immunomodulation, antimicrobial production, disruption of pathogenic biofilm formation, and gene expression modulation (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)). For instance, studies have shown that certain Lactobacillus strains (L. delbrueckii ATCC14917, L. plantarum DM8909, and L. plantarum ZX27) can significantly inhibit the growth of G. vaginalis through coaggregation, contributing to the restoration of vaginal ecological balance¹²⁶. Additionally, probiotics like L. rhamnosus IDCC 3201 exhibit immunomodulatory effects and can inhibit vaginal pathogens like C. albicans 127 . Besides immunomodulatory effects and co-aggregation, probiotics can maintain normal vaginal ecology by producing diverse antimicrobials such as H_2O_2 and bacteriocins^{[128](#page-13-0)}. Further, certain reports have indicated that strains like L. kefiranofaciens DD2131 129 129 129 and L. helveticus HY7801 130 130 130 can hinder the normal metabolism of G. vaginalis and disrupt biofilm formation. At the genetic level, a study revealed that treatment with L. crispatus EX533959VC06 downregulated the expression of vaginolysin (vly) in G. *vaginalis*, leading to a significant reduction in its cytotoxicity and adhesive properties in the vaginal environment, thereby mitigating the risk of bacterial vaginosis 131 .

Additionally, emerging evidence suggests that intra-vaginal probiotic administration can serve as an adjunct or alternative to antibiotic therapy for treating vaginal dysbacteriosis. A meta-analysis conducted by Jeng and colleagues revealed a significantly higher cure rate within one month of treatment among individuals supplemented with probiotics $(OR = 4.55,$

j

l,

 $\ddot{}$

Fig. 3 | Mechanisms of probiotics regulate vaginal microbiota. Vaginal probiotics can operate through adhesive competition, coaggregation, antimicrobial production, direct disruption of bacterial biofilm formation, regulation of bacterial gene expression, and immunomodulation to regulate the vaginal microenvironment.

95% CI: 1.44-14.36, $p = 0.010$ ¹³². Another meta-analysis comprising 12 trials also indicated a promising potential of vaginal probiotics in treating bacterial vaginosis¹³³. A randomized controlled trial by Sgibnev and colleagues demonstrated that combining vaginal-administered L. rhamnosus Lcr35 with antimicrobial therapy significantly improve the cure rate of Trichomonas vaginalis (88.6% vs. 42.9%) and bacterial vaginosis (63.6% vs. 11.9% ¹⁰⁸. Subsequent investigations suggested that probiotic supplementation could further restore the vagina's physicochemical parameters to normal levels. Moreover, Bohbot et al. reported a significantly lower recurrence rate in the L. crispatus IP174178 group (20.5%) compared to the placebo group $(41\%)^{134}$ $(41\%)^{134}$ $(41\%)^{134}$. Additionally, the time to recurrence was significantly longer in the probiotic group $(3.75 \pm 0.16$ months) relative to the placebo group (2.93 \pm 0.18 months, $p = 0.0298$). Palma et al. also suggested that the long-term intra-vaginally application of L. rhamnosus BMX 54 could retore the vaginal microbial eubiosis 135 .

Oral probiotic administration for vaginal dysbacteriosis

In addition to vaginal administration, oral consumption of probiotics is more practical, as it is more user-friendly and can also be an effective approach to maintain vaginal eubiosis¹³⁶. Ho et al. evaluated the daily oral administration of probiotic combinations (L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. reuteri RC-14) in pregnant women to reduce vaginal colonization of Group B Streptococcus (GBS). Their findings revealed that 42.9% of patients in the probiotic group exhibited negative GBS colonization in the vagina, compared to 18.0% in the placebo group, suggesting that oral probiotics could diminish pathogen colonization in the vagina¹³⁷. Moreover, oral administration of L. acidophilus CBT LA1, L. rhamnosus CBT LR5, and L. reuteri CBT LU4 significantly improved vaginal dysbiosis in asymptomatic women and restored the abundance of Lactobacillus spp., resulting in a healthier vaginal microenvironment post-treatment¹³⁸. Additionally, a study investigating probiotic supplementation for bacterial vaginosis and vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) demonstrated similar efficacy. Combinations of L. crispatus DSM32720, L. crispatus DSM32718, and L. crispatus DSM32716 notably alleviated VVC-associated symptoms, reducing discharge and itching. Similarly, combinations of L. crispatus DSM32717 and L. crispatus DSM32720 reduced episodes of BV, increased vaginal abundance of Lac-tobacillus species, and decreased BV-correlated bacteria^{[139](#page-13-0)}. A study by Vladareanu et al. also indicated that oral consumption of L. plantarum P17630 restored vaginal colonization of lactic acid-producing bacteria and improved signs of $VVC¹⁴⁰$.

Further, oral probiotic therapy has demonstrated greater efficacy in addressing recurrent vaginal dysbiosis. In a randomized study by Russo et al.¹⁴¹, the probiotic combination (L. acidophilus GLA-14 and L. rhamnosus HN001) used as an adjunct to metronidazole showed significantly improved BV-associated symptoms (such as vaginal discharge and itching) and a significantly reduced recurrence rate compared to the placebo group (29.17% vs. 58.33%) during the 6-month follow-up period. Additionally, another study found that the overall rate of recurrent episodes was 18.3% in the probiotic group (receiving oral administration of L. crispatus LMG S-29995, L. brevis, and L. acidophilus), whereas it was 32.1% in the placebo group. The mean time to BV recurrence was 97.3 days in the probiotic group and 74.7 days in the placebo group, indicating that oral probiotic supplementation was associated with a prolonged interval between recurrences and a reduced recurrence rate^{[109](#page-12-0)}.

Research investigating the underlying mechanism by which oral probiotics affect the vaginal microenvironment is inadequate. One assumption is that orally administered probiotics directly translocate from the rectum to the vagina and colonize it. In a study by Strus et al.⁹⁹, molecular methods were employed to evaluate the degree and persistence of colonization of a probiotic mixture consisting of L. fermentum 57 A, L. plantarum 57B, and L. gasseri 57 C. They found that with improved vaginal physiological parameters, the first detection of at least one applied strain colonizing the vaginal epithelium occurred at day 10 (in 2 out of 25 participants) since the start of probiotic administration. The number of colonization peaked at day 31 (in 15 out of 25 participants), and colonization persisted until day 70 (in 5 out of 25 participants). This suggests that probiotics could pass through the gastrointestinal tract, adhere to the vaginal epithelium for weeks, and be associated with the improvement of vaginal microbiota. However, studies by Yefet et al.^{[142](#page-13-0)} and Koirala et al.¹⁴³ reported relatively low signs of vaginal colonization of oral probiotics in their volunteers, suggesting that the mechanism of direct translocation might not be applicable for all probiotic strains. Another study that orally administered L. gasseri TM13 and L. crispatus LG55 as adjuncts to metronidazole indicated that the probiotic group demonstrated profound restoration of vaginal health. Although there was a significant enrichment of intestinal microbiota, the probiotics were not identified within the vaginal microbiota, suggesting that the therapeutic effect of L. gasseri TM13 and L. crispatus LG55 may act through the gastrointestinal microbiota¹⁴⁴. This finding might correlate with the previously mentioned indirect association between the vaginal and gut microbiota via the vagina-gut axis.

Exploring the potential of intra-vaginal probiotic administration for gastrointestinal dysbiosis

As of now, there is limited solid evidence to conclusively demonstrate that intra-vaginal probiotic administration could directly affect the gastrointestinal tract. Most research on probiotics focuses on their impact on the local microbiota in the area where they are administered. Some studies have suggested potential indirect effects or systemic interactions between the vaginal and gastrointestinal microbiota. Through vagina-gut axis, alterations in the vaginal microbiota might influence systemic immune responses or microbial translocation, which could in turn affect the gastrointestinal microbiota.

Ang et al. reported that female patients with vaginal candidiasis exhibited not only a compromised vaginal microbial community but also a significantly altered gut microbial profile with reduced microbial diversity, indicating that perturbations in vaginal microecology could, in turn, affect gut microecology³⁶. Further, another study^{[102](#page-12-0)} implicated G. vaginalis infection in mice vagina increased the inflammatory profile in colon tissue with elevated TNF-α and myeloperoxidase activity, and reduced IL-10. Additionally, this infection also led to a decrease in the abundance of Bacteroidetes and an increase in the abundance of Proteobacteria in the gastrointestinal tract. Moreover, since IgA coating is crucial for microbial colonization in the gut¹⁴⁵, and IL-5 is associated with the vaginal abundance of Prevotella spp¹⁴⁶, which is involved in IgA responses¹⁴⁷, the disturbance of vaginal microbiota may accordingly affect gastrointestinal microbiota through systemic immune responses. Numerous studies have also demonstrated that vaginal probiotic delivery can induce systemic antiinflammatory effects, which may benefit conditions such as endometriosis, cervical cancer, and overactive bladder syndrome¹⁴⁸. Further, given the close proximity between the rectal and vaginal tracts, intra-vaginal probiotics might have the potential to migrate to the gastrointestinal tract through mechanical movement 101 . Considering these factors, it is plausible to speculate that vaginal administration of probiotics could have a beneficial impact on the readjustment of the gastrointestinal microbiota. However, these mechanisms are not yet fully understood, and further research is needed to elucidate the extent of such interactions and their clinical significance.

Challenges and limitations of dual-channel probiotic therapy

Potential difficulties and drawbacks of dual-channel probiotic therapy need to be carefully considered despite its promising prospects. For instance, the incidence of vaginal dysbiosis outbreaks is relatively low compared to the total number of female patients treated with antibiotics, indicating that patients may prefer conventional therapy over dual-channel probiotic therapy. Another significant challenge lies in the complexity of coordinating both oral and intra-vaginal administration routes, which may lead to issues such as inconsistent dosing regimens and patient compliance. Furthermore, the cost and accessibility of probiotic supplements may present barriers to widespread adoption, particularly in resource-limited area. The most critical issue is the lack of validated efficacy and safety of current probiotic therapy due to our inadequate understanding of the mechanism of action of probiotics.

The effectiveness of probiotics may vary depending on individual factors such as gut and vaginal microbiota composition, underlying health conditions, and lifestyle factors, posing a challenge in achieving consistent therapeutic outcomes. Different strains of probiotics also exhibit varying efficiencies in eradicating pathogens in specific individuals, making it difficult for doctors to devise a tailored regimen. Moreover, probiotics need to colonize the mucosal layer of the local tract so that they can persistently function to achieve favorable clinical results. However, for dual-channel probiotic therapy, regardless of the chance that oral and intra-vaginal dosed probiotics can colonize the gastrointestinal and vaginal tracts, there is no sufficient evidence to support that oral probiotics can eventually reside in the vaginal tract, and no report suggests that a vaginal probiotic can colonize into gastrointestinal tract. Further, some studies have presented conflicting viewpoints on the actual efficacy of probiotics in improving H. pylori

eradication and vaginal dysbiosis¹⁴⁹. For example, a meta-analysis involving 2491 papers suggested that probiotics in standard triple therapy for H. pylori infection did not assist in the eradication of H. pylori compared with the placebo group $(p = 0.816)^{150}$.

Additionally, there is limited research investigating the long-term safety and potential adverse effects of concurrent oral and intra-vaginal probiotic administration. Despite probiotics being generally regarded as safe, there is a possibility of adverse effects, particularly when administered in high doses or in individuals with compromised immune systems. Concurrent oral and intra-vaginal administration may increase the risk of adverse reactions, such as probiotic infection^{151,152}, gastrointestinal discomfort^{153,154}, allergic reactions^{[155](#page-14-0)}, or dysbiosis, which need to be carefully monitored. Many different clinical risks are related to probiotic supplementation. Specifically, Lactobacillus GG, L. acidophilus, L. casei are the most reported strains that can lead to bacteriaemia^{[156](#page-14-0)–[158](#page-14-0)}. A meta-analysis containing 60 clinical cases and a total of 93 patients discovered that Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium are the second and third main agents for bacteremia, respectively, with 26 (27.9%) and 12 (12.8%) in total involved case[s159.](#page-14-0) Another major risk factor is gene transfer between dosed probiotics and commensal bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of the host, which can result in the acquisition of drug resistance by pathogens. Antibiotic resistance genes, such as erm and tet which belong to Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium genera, have been found to exist in commensal pathogens in the gut microbiota^{160,161}. With dual-channel delivery, there is a greater chance of probiotic-related adverse effects. Therefore, the safety profile of dualchannel therapy requires further investigation.

Furthermore, incorporating intra-vaginal probiotic administration into treatment regimens may raise concerns or discomfort among patients, impacting their acceptance and adherence to therapy. Education, counseling, and clear communication are essential to address patient preferences and ensure optimal compliance with dual-channel probiotic therapy. Dualchannel probiotic therapy also raises ethical considerations regarding patient autonomy, informed consent, and equitable access to care. Clinicians must ensure that patients are fully informed about the benefits, risks, and alternatives of this treatment approach throughout the decision-making process. Overall, while dual-channel probiotic therapy holds promise, addressing these challenges is essential to maximize its potential benefits in clinical practice.

In summary, H. pylori infection poses significant risks to gastric health, while the dysbiosis resulting from H. pylori eradication therapy can also negatively impact vaginal health. Conventional antibiotic treatments for these conditions have shown limited efficacy and often fail to provide lasting or comprehensive remission. Given the interconnectedness of the vaginal and gastrointestinal microbiota via the vagina-gut axis, as well as the effectiveness of oral probiotics in addressing both H. pylori infection and vaginal dysbiosis, and the potential of intra-vaginal probiotics to treat vaginal dysbiosis and possibly gastrointestinal dysbiosis, simultaneous oral and vaginal probiotic therapy may emerges as a promising approach. This dual-channel probiotic therapy holds the promise of enhancing the eradication rate of H. pylori infection while decreasing the likelihood of gastrointestinal and vaginal dysbiosis outbreaks. However, several challenges and limitations must be addressed before widespread adoption can be realized. Continued research efforts are warranted to fully understand its clinical utility and optimize its implementation in clinical practice. With further refinement and validation, dual-channel probiotic therapy may ultimately offer a safe, effective, and holistic approach to managing microbial dysbiosis and improving patient outcomes in both gastrointestinal and vaginal health.

Received: 19 July 2023; Accepted: 7 June 2024; Published online: 20 June 2024

References

1. Chakrani, Z., Robinson, K. & Taye, B. Association between ABO blood groups and Helicobacter pylori infection: a meta-analysis. Sci. Rep. 8, 17604, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36006-x> (2018).

- 2. Burucoa, C. & Axon, A. Epidemiology of Helicobacter pylori infection. Helicobacter 22, [https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.](https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12403) [12403](https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12403) (2017).
- 3. Krzysiek-Maczka, G. et al. Long-term Helicobacter pylori infection switches gastric epithelium reprogramming towards cancer stem cell-related differentiation program in Hp-activated gastric fibroblast-TGFβ dependent manner. Microorganisms 8, 1519, <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8101519> (2020).
- 4. Forman, D. Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 31, 23–26, [https://doi.org/10.3109/003655296](https://doi.org/10.3109/00365529609094746) [09094746](https://doi.org/10.3109/00365529609094746) (1996).
- 5. Bjorkman, D. J. & Steenblik, M. Best practice recommendations for diagnosis and management of Helicobacter pylori-synthesizing the guidelines. Curr. Treat. Options Gastroenterol. 15, 648-659, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11938-017-0157-8) doi.org/10.1007/s11938-017-0157-8 (2017).
- 6. Chey, W. D. & Wong, B. C. American College of Gastroenterology guideline on the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 102, 1808–1825, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01393.x) [0241.2007.01393.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01393.x) (2007).
- 7. Tshibangu-Kabamba, E. & Yamaoka, Y. Helicobacter pylori infection and antibiotic resistance - from biology to clinical implications. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18, 613–629, [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-021-00449-x) [s41575-021-00449-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-021-00449-x) (2021).
- 8. Ramirez, J. et al. Antibiotics as major disruptors of gut microbiota. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 10, 572912, [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.572912) [fcimb.2020.572912](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.572912) (2020).
- 9. Altveş, S., Yildiz, H. K. & Vural, H. C. Interaction of the microbiota with the human body in health and diseases. Biosci. Microbiota Food Health 39, 23–32, <https://doi.org/10.12938/bmfh.19-023> (2020).
- 10. Brotman, R. M. Vaginal microbiome and sexually transmitted infections: an epidemiologic perspective. J. Clin. Investig. 121, 4610–4617, <https://doi.org/10.1172/jci57172> (2011).
- 11. Ribeiro, C. F. A. et al. Effects of antibiotic treatment on gut microbiota and how to overcome its negative impacts on human health. ACS Infect. Dis. 6, 2544–2559, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00036) [0c00036](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00036) (2020).
- 12. Kravtsov, V., Taame, M., Yuriy, G. & Tatiana, S. Genital tract candidiasis in patients with Helicobacter Pylori (HP) acid-related disease after providing eradicative therapy. Adv. Res. J. Multidiscip. Discov. 35.0, 51–53 (2019).
- 13. Pirotta, M. V. & Garland, S. M. Genital Candida species detected in samples from women in Melbourne, Australia, before and after treatment with antibiotics. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44, 3213–3217, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00218-06) doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00218-06 (2006).
- 14. Kaul, A., Davidov, O. & Peddada, S. D. Structural zeros in highdimensional data with applications to microbiome studies. Biostatistics (Oxf. Engl.) 18, 422–433, [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxw053) [biostatistics/kxw053](https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxw053) (2017).
- 15. Gilbert, J. A. et al. Current understanding of the human microbiome. Nat. Med. 24, 392–400, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4517> (2018).
- 16. Almeida, A. et al. A new genomic blueprint of the human gut microbiota. Nature 568, 499–504, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0965-1) [019-0965-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0965-1) (2019).
- 17. Turroni, F. et al. Molecular dialogue between the human gut microbiota and the host: a Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium perspective. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 71, 183–203, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1318-0) [1007/s00018-013-1318-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1318-0) (2014).
- 18. Turroni, F. et al. Diversity of bifidobacteria within the infant gut microbiota. PloS One 7, e36957, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036957) [pone.0036957](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036957) (2012).
- 19. Lee, L. H., Wong, S. H., Chin, S. F., Singh, V. & Ab Mutalib, N. S. Editorial: human microbiome: symbiosis to pathogenesis. Front. Microbiol. 12, 605783, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.605783) [605783](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.605783) (2021).
- 20. Sirota, I., Zarek, S. M. & Segars, J. H. Potential influence of the microbiome on infertility and assisted reproductive technology. Semin. Reprod. Med. 32, 35–42, [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1361821) [1361821](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1361821) (2014).
- 21. Drell, T. et al. Characterization of the vaginal micro- and mycobiome in asymptomatic reproductive-age Estonian women. PloS One 8, e54379, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054379> (2013).
- 22. DiGiulio, D. B. et al. Temporal and spatial variation of the human microbiota during pregnancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 11060–11065, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1502875112> (2015).
- 23. Martínez-Peña, M. D., Castro-Escarpulli, G. & Aguilera-Arreola, M. G. Lactobacillus species isolated from vaginal secretions of healthy and bacterial vaginosis-intermediate Mexican women: a prospective study. BMC Infect. Dis. 13, 189, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-189) [1186/1471-2334-13-189](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-189) (2013).
- 24. Ceccarani, C. et al. Diversity of vaginal microbiome and metabolome during genital infections. Sci. Rep. 9, 14095, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50410-x) [1038/s41598-019-50410-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50410-x) (2019).
- 25. He, Y. et al. Evaluation of the inhibitory effects of Lactobacillus gasseri and Lactobacillus crispatus on the adhesion of seven common lower genital tract infection-causing pathogens to vaginal epithelial cells. Front. Med. 7, 284, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00284) [2020.00284](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00284) (2020).
- 26. Ravel, J. & Brotman, R. M. Translating the vaginal microbiome: gaps and challenges. Genome Med. 8, 35, [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0291-2) [s13073-016-0291-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0291-2) (2016).
- 27. Abramov, V. M. et al. S-layer protein 2 of vaginal Lactobacillus crispatus 2029 enhances growth, differentiation, VEGF production and barrier functions in intestinal epithelial cell line Caco-2. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 189, 410–419, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.08.150) [2021.08.150](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.08.150) (2021).
- 28. Amabebe, E. & Anumba, D. O. C. Female gut and genital tract microbiota-induced crosstalk and differential effects of short-chain fatty acids on immune sequelae. Front. Immunol. 11, 2184, [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.02184) doi.org/10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.02184](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.02184) (2020).
- 29. Gomaa, E. Z. Human gut microbiota/microbiome in health and diseases: a review. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 113, 2019–2040, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-020-01474-7> (2020).
- 30. Antonio, M. A., Rabe, L. K. & Hillier, S. L. Colonization of the rectum by Lactobacillus species and decreased risk of bacterial vaginosis. J. Infect. Dis. 192, 394–398, <https://doi.org/10.1086/430926> (2005).
- 31. El Aila, N. A. et al. Strong correspondence in bacterial loads between the vagina and rectum of pregnant women. Res. Microbiol. 162, 506–513, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2011.04.004> (2011).
- 32. Delgado-Diaz, D. J. et al. Distinct immune responses elicited from cervicovaginal epithelial cells by lactic acid and short chain fatty acids associated with optimal and non-optimal vaginal microbiota. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 9, 446, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00446) [2019.00446](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00446) (2019).
- 33. Ervin, S. M. et al. Gut microbial β-glucuronidases reactivate estrogens as components of the estrobolome that reactivate estrogens. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 18586–18599, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.010950) [1074/jbc.RA119.010950](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.010950) (2019).
- 34. Linhares, I. M. et al. Contribution of epithelial cells to defense mechanisms in the human vagina. Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 21, 30, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-019-0686-5> (2019).
- 35. Karpinets, T. V. et al. Effect of antibiotics on gut and vaginal microbiomes associated with cervical cancer development in mice. Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila) 13, 997–1006, [https://doi.org/10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.Capr-20-0103) [1940-6207.Capr-20-0103](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.Capr-20-0103) (2020).
- 36. Ang, X. Y. et al. Lactobacillus probiotics restore vaginal and gut microbiota of pregnant women with vaginal candidiasis. Benef. Microbes 14, 421–431, [https://doi.org/10.1163/18762891-](https://doi.org/10.1163/18762891-20220103) [20220103](https://doi.org/10.1163/18762891-20220103) (2023).
- 37. Marshall, B. J. & Warren, J. R. Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. Lancet (Lond., Engl.) 1, 1311–1315, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(84)91816-6) [6736\(84\)91816-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(84)91816-6) (1984).
- 38. Dooley, C. P. et al. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection and histologic gastritis in asymptomatic persons. N. Engl. J. Med. 321, 1562–1566, <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm198912073212302> (1989).
- 39. Chmiela, M., Karwowska, Z., Gonciarz, W., Allushi, B. & Stączek, P. Host pathogen interactions in Helicobacter pylori related gastric cancer. World J. Gastroenterol. 23, 1521–1540, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i9.1521) [3748/wjg.v23.i9.1521](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i9.1521) (2017).
- 40. Weeks, D. L., Eskandari, S., Scott, D. R. & Sachs, G. A H+-gated urea channel: the link between Helicobacter pylori urease and gastric colonization. Science 287, 482–485, [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5452.482) [science.287.5452.482](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5452.482) (2000).
- 41. Hansson, L. E. et al. The risk of stomach cancer in patients with gastric or duodenal ulcer disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 335, 242–249, <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199607253350404> (1996).
- 42. Correa, P. & Piazuelo, M. B. The gastric precancerous cascade. J. Dig. Dis. 13, 2–9, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-2980.2011.00550.x> (2012).
- 43. Kuipers, E. J. et al. Long-term sequelae of Helicobacter pylori gastritis. Lancet (Lond. Engl.) 345, 1525-1528, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(95)91084-0) [1016/s0140-6736\(95\)91084-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(95)91084-0) (1995).
- 44. Alm, R. A. et al. Genomic-sequence comparison of two unrelated isolates of the human gastric pathogen Helicobacter pylori. Nature 397, 176–180, <https://doi.org/10.1038/16495> (1999).
- 45. Correa, P. et al. Gastric precancerous process in a high risk population: cohort follow-up. Cancer Res. 50, 4737–4740 (1990).
- 46. Biasco, G., Miglioli, M., Barbara, L., Corinaldesi, R. & di Febo, G. Omeprazole, Helicobacter pylori, gastritis, and duodenal ulcer. Lancet (Lond., Engl.) 2, 1403, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(89)92021-7) [6736\(89\)92021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(89)92021-7) (1989).
- 47. Zhou, L. et al. A comparative study of sequential therapy and standard triple therapy for Helicobacter pylori infection: a randomized multicenter trial. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 109, 535–541, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2014.26> (2014).
- 48. Fallone, C. A. et al. The Toronto consensus for the treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection in adults. Gastroenterology 151, 51–69.e14, <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.04.006> (2016).
- 49. Malfertheiner, P. et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infectionthe Maastricht V/Florence Consensus Report. Gut 66, 6–30, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312288) doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312288 (2017).
- 50. Vianna, J. S., Ramis, I. B., Ramos, D. F., Von Groll, A. & Silva, P. E. A. D. Drug resistance in Helicobacter pylori. Arquivos de. Gastroenterol. 53, 215–223, [https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-28032016000400002) [28032016000400002](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-28032016000400002) (2016).
- 51. Hong, T. C. et al. Primary antibiotic resistance of Helicobacter pylori in the Asia-Pacific region between 1990 and 2022: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 9, 56–67, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-1253\(23\)00281-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-1253(23)00281-9) (2024).
- 52. Gisbert, J. P. et al. Recurrence of Helicobacter pylori infection after eradication: incidence and variables influencing it. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 33, 1144–1151, [https://doi.org/10.1080/003655](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365529850172485) [29850172485](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365529850172485) (1998).
- 53. Kato, M. et al. Guidelines for the management of Helicobacter pylori infection in Japan: 2016 Revised Edition. Helicobacter 24, e12597, <https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12597> (2019).
- 54. Das, A. et al. Gastric microbiome of Indian patients with Helicobacter pylori infection, and their interaction networks. Sci. Rep. 7, 15438, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15510-6> (2017).
- 55. Bruno, G. et al. Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric dysbiosis: can probiotics administration be useful to treat this condition? Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol. 6237239, [https://doi.org/10.1155/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6237239) [2018/6237239](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6237239) (2018).
- 56. Zheng, W. et al. The effects of Helicobacter pylori infection on microbiota associated with gastric mucosa and immune factors in children. Front. Immunol. 12, 625586, [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.625586) fi[mmu.2021.625586](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.625586) (2021).
- 57. Klymiuk, I. et al. The human gastric microbiome is predicated upon infection with Helicobacter pylori. Front. Microbiol. 8, 2508, [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02508) doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02508 (2017).
- 58. Zhang, L., Zhao, M. & Fu, X. Gastric microbiota dysbiosis and Helicobacter pylori infection. Front. Microbiol. 14, 1153269, [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1153269) doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1153269 (2023).
- 59. Strati, F. et al. Antibiotic-associated dysbiosis affects the ability of the gutmicrobiota to control intestinal inflammation uponfecalmicrobiota transplantation in experimental colitis models. Microbiome 9, 39, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00991-x> (2021).
- 60. Guo, Y., Cao, X. S., Guo, G. Y., Zhou, M. G. & Yu, B. Effect of Helicobacter Pylori eradication on human gastric microbiota: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 12, 899248, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.899248> (2022).
- 61. Liou, J. M. et al. Long-term changes of gut microbiota, antibiotic resistance, and metabolic parameters after Helicobacter pylori eradication: a multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. Lancet Infect. Dis. 19, 1109–1120, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(19\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(19)30272-5) [30272-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(19)30272-5) (2019).
- 62. Gotoda, T. et al. Gut microbiome can be restored without adverse events after Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy in teenagers. Helicobacter 23, e12541, <https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12541> (2018).
- 63. Mahmud, M. R. et al. Impact of gut microbiome on skin health: gutskin axis observed through the lenses of therapeutics and skin diseases. Gut Microbes 14, 2096995, [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2022.2096995) [19490976.2022.2096995](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2022.2096995) (2022).
- 64. Hufnagl, K., Pali-Schöll, I., Roth-Walter, F. & Jensen-Jarolim, E. Dysbiosis of the gut and lung microbiome has a role in asthma. Semin. Immunopathol. 42, 75–93, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-019-00775-y) [019-00775-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-019-00775-y) (2020).
- 65. Oh, J. E. et al. Dysbiosis-induced IL-33 contributes to impaired antiviral immunity in the genital mucosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, E762–E771, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518589113> (2016).
- 66. Ianiro, G., Tilg, H. & Gasbarrini, A. Antibiotics as deep modulators of gut microbiota: between good and evil. Gut 65, 1906–1915, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312297) doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312297 (2016).
- 67. Kravtsov, V., Surovtceva, T., Taame, M., Grukhin, Y. & Kalinina, N. Increased level of interleukin-8 in female genital tract after HP eradication lines. Infect. Disord. Drug Targets 21, e300821189859, <https://doi.org/10.2174/1871526520666210104091545> (2021).
- 68. Qi, X. et al. Effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication triple therapy on vaginal microbiota in fertile women. Chin. J. Antibiot. 38, 955–959, <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-8689.2013.12.015> (2013).
- 69. Shen, J. & Zhou, S. Effect of anti-Helicobacter pylori therapy on vaginal micorbiota in women of childbearing age. Chin. Rural Med. 13, 14, <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-5180.2016.03.006> (2016).
- 70. Verwijs, M. C., Agaba, S. K., Darby, A. C. & van de Wijgert, J. Impact of oral metronidazole treatment on the vaginal microbiota and correlates of treatment failure. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 222, 157.e151–157.e113, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.08.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.08.008) [008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.08.008) (2020).
- 71. Ferrer, M., Méndez-García, C., Rojo, D., Barbas, C. & Moya, A. Antibiotic use and microbiome function. Biochem. Pharmacol. 134, 114–126, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2016.09.007> (2017).
- 72. Kurowski, K., Ghosh, R., Singh, S. K. & Beaman, K. D. Clarithromycininduced alterations in vaginal flora. Am. J. Ther. 7, 291–295, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1097/00045391-200007050-00004) doi.org/10.1097/00045391-200007050-00004 (2000).
- 73. Stokholm, J. et al. Antibiotic use during pregnancy alters the commensal vaginal microbiota. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 20, 629–635, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12411> (2014).
- 74. Levison, M. E. & Levison, J. H. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antibacterial agents. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am. 23, 791–815, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2009.06.008> (2009).
- 75. Bayar, E., Bennett, P. R., Chan, D., Sykes, L. & MacIntyre, D. A. The pregnancy microbiome and preterm birth. Semin. Immunopathol. 42, 487–499, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-020-00817-w) [020-00817-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-020-00817-w) (2020).
- 76. Łaniewski, P., Ilhan, Z. E. & Herbst-Kralovetz, M. M. The microbiome and gynaecological cancer development, prevention and therapy. Nat. Rev. Urol. 17, 232–250, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41585-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41585-020-0286-z) [0286-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41585-020-0286-z) (2020).
- 77. Borges, S., Silva, J. & Teixeira, P. The role of lactobacilli and probiotics in maintaining vaginal health. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 289, 479–489, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-013-3064-9> (2014).
- 78. Ranjit, E., Raghubanshi, B. R., Maskey, S. & Parajuli, P. Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis and its association with risk factors among nonpregnant women: a hospital based study. Int. J. Microbiol. 2018, 8349601, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8349601> (2018).
- 79. Baeten, J. M. et al. Prospective study of correlates of vaginal Lactobacillus colonisation among high-risk HIV-1 seronegative women. Sex. Transm. Infect. 85, 348–353, [https://doi.org/10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2008.035451) [sti.2008.035451](https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2008.035451) (2009).
- 80. Koumans, E. H. et al. The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. Sex. Transm. Dis. 34, 864–869, <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e318074e565> (2007).
- 81. Laxmi, U., Agrawal, S., Raghunandan, C., Randhawa, V. S. & Saili, A. Association of bacterial vaginosis with adverse fetomaternal outcome in women with spontaneous preterm labor: a prospective cohort study. J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. 25, 64–67, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2011.565390) [org/10.3109/14767058.2011.565390](https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2011.565390) (2012).
- 82. Klebanoff, M. A. et al. Is bacterial vaginosis a stronger risk factor for preterm birth when it is diagnosed earlier in gestation?Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 192, 470–477, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.07.017) [017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.07.017) (2005).
- 83. Verstraelen, H. et al. Modified classification of Gram-stained vaginal smears to predict spontaneous preterm birth: a prospective cohort study. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 196, 528.e521–526, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2006.12.026) [10.1016/j.ajog.2006.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2006.12.026) (2007).
- 84. Mania-Pramanik, J., Kerkar, S. C. & Salvi, V. S. Bacterial vaginosis: a cause of infertility? Int. J. STD AIDS 20, 778–781, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1258/ijsa.2009.009193) [1258/ijsa.2009.009193](https://doi.org/10.1258/ijsa.2009.009193) (2009).
- 85. Bradshaw, C. S. & Sobel, J. D. Current treatment of bacterial vaginosis-limitations and need for innovation. J. Infect. Dis. 214, S14–S20, <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw159> (2016).
- 86. Workowski, K. A. & Bolan, G. A. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. MMWR Recomm. Rep. 64, 1–137 (2015).
- 87. Faught, B. M. & Reyes, S. Characterization and treatment of recurrent bacterial vaginosis. J. Womens Health (2002) 28, 1218–1226, <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2018.7383> (2019).
- 88. Ross, J. D. C. et al. Intravaginal lactic acid gel versus oral metronidazole for treating women with recurrent bacterial vaginosis: the VITA randomised controlled trial. BMC Womens Health 23, 241, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-023-02303-5> (2023).
- 89. Plummer, E. L. et al. A prospective, open-label pilot study of concurrent male partner treatment for bacterial vaginosis. mBio 12, e0232321, <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02323-21> (2021).
- 90. Aguin, T., Akins, R. A. & Sobel, J. D. High-dose vaginal maintenance metronidazole for recurrent bacterial vaginosis: a pilot study. Sex. Transm. Dis. 41, 290–291, [https://doi.org/10.1097/olq.](https://doi.org/10.1097/olq.0000000000000123) [0000000000000123](https://doi.org/10.1097/olq.0000000000000123) (2014).
- 91. Srinivasan, S. et al. Temporal variability of human vaginal bacteria and relationship with bacterial vaginosis. PloS One 5, e10197, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010197> (2010).
- 92. Bilardi, J. et al. Women's management of recurrent bacterial vaginosis and experiences of clinical care: a qualitative study. PloS One 11, e0151794, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151794> (2016).
- 93. Barrientos-Durán, A., Fuentes-López, A., de Salazar, A., Plaza-Díaz, J. & García, F. Reviewing the composition of vaginal microbiota: inclusion of nutrition and probiotic factors in the maintenance of eubiosis. Nutrients 12, 419 (2020).
- 94. Dieterich, W., Schink, M. & Zopf, Y. Microbiota in the Gastrointestinal Tract. Med. Sci. 6, <https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci6040116> (2018).
- 95. Amabebe, E. & Anumba, D. O. C. The vaginal microenvironment: the physiologic role of lactobacilli. Front. Med. 5, 181, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00181) [3389/fmed.2018.00181](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00181) (2018).
- 96. Dominguez-Bello, M. G. et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 11971–11975, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002601107) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002601107 (2010).
- 97. Quaranta, G., Sanguinetti, M. & Masucci, L. Fecal microbiota transplantation: a potential tool for treatment of human female reproductive tract diseases. Front. Immunol. 10, 2653, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02653) org/10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.02653](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02653) (2019).
- 98. Fudaba, M., Kamiya, T., Tachibana, D., Koyama, M. & Ohtani, N. Bioinformatics analysis of oral, vaginal, and rectal microbial profiles during pregnancy: a pilot study on the bacterial co-residence in pregnant women. Microorganisms 9, 1027, [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9051027) [microorganisms9051027](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9051027) (2021).
- 99. Strus, M. et al. Studies on the effects of probiotic Lactobacillus mixture given orally on vaginal and rectal colonization and on parameters of vaginal health in women with intermediate vaginal flora. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 163, 210–215, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2012.05.001) doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2012.05.001 (2012).
- 100. Russo, R., Edu, A. & De Seta, F. Study on the effects of an oral lactobacilli and lactoferrin complex in women with intermediate vaginal microbiota. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 298, 139–145, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-018-4771-z) doi.org/10.1007/s00404-018-4771-z (2018).
- 101. Graham, M. E. et al. Gut and vaginal microbiomes on steroids: implications for women's health. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 32, 554–565, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2021.04.014> (2021).
- 102. Kim, D. E. et al. Lactobacillus plantarum NK3 and Bifidobacterium longum NK49 Alleviate Bacterial Vaginosis and Osteoporosis in Mice by Suppressing NF-κB-Linked TNF-α Expression. J. Med. Food 22, 1022–1031, <https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2019.4419> (2019).
- 103. DeLong, K., Zulfiqar, F., Hoffmann, D. E., Tarzian, A. J. & Ensign, L. M. Vaginal microbiota transplantation: the next frontier. J. Law Med. Ethics 47, 555–567, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073110519897731> (2019).
- 104. Campus, G. et al. Effect of a daily dose of Lactobacillus brevis CD2 lozenges in high caries risk schoolchildren. Clin. Oral. Investig. 18, 555–561, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-013-0980-9> (2014).
- 105. Wilkins, T. & Sequoia, J. Probiotics for gastrointestinal conditions: a summary of the evidence. Am. Fam. Phys. 96, 170-178 (2017).
- 106. Abraham, B. P. & Quigley, E. M. M. Probiotics in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterol. Clin. North Am. 46, 769-782, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gtc.2017.08.003) [10.1016/j.gtc.2017.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gtc.2017.08.003) (2017).
- 107. Ismail, N. I. et al. Probiotic containing Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17648 as an adjunct treatment for Helicobacter pylori infection: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Helicobacter 28, e13017, <https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.13017> (2023).
- 108. Sgibnev, A. & Kremleva, E. Probiotics in addition to metronidazole for treatment Trichomonas vaginalis in the presence of BV: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 39, 345–351, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-03731-8) [019-03731-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-03731-8) (2020).
- 109. Reznichenko, H. et al. Oral intake of Lactobacilli can be helpful in symptomatic bacterial vaginosis: a randomized clinical study. J. Low. Genit. Trac. Dis. 24, 284–289, [https://doi.org/10.1097/lgt.](https://doi.org/10.1097/lgt.0000000000000518) [0000000000000518](https://doi.org/10.1097/lgt.0000000000000518) (2020).
- 110. Ruggiero, P. Use of probiotics in the fight against Helicobacter pylori. World J. Gastrointest. Pathophysiol. 5, 384–391, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4291/wjgp.v5.i4.384) [4291/wjgp.v5.i4.384](https://doi.org/10.4291/wjgp.v5.i4.384) (2014).
- 111. Oncel, S. & Basson, M. D. Gut homeostasis, injury, and healing: New therapeutic targets. World J. Gastroenterol. 28, 1725-1750, [https://](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i17.1725) doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i17.1725 (2022).
- 112. Yang, R. et al. Coprococcus eutactus, a potent probiotic, alleviates colitis via acetate-mediated IgA response and microbiota restoration. J. Agric. Food Chem. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.2c06697) [2c06697](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.2c06697) (2023).
- 113. Qureshi, N., Li, P. & Gu, Q. Probiotic therapy in Helicobacter pylori infection: a potential strategy against a serious pathogen? Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 103, 1573–1588, [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-09580-3) [s00253-018-09580-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-09580-3) (2019).
- 114. Shen, S. et al. Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM and Lactiplantibacillus plantarum Lp-115 inhibit Helicobacter pylori colonization and gastric inflammation in a murine model. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 13, 1196084, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1196084) [1196084](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1196084) (2023).
- 115. Fakharian, F., Sadeghi, A., Pouresmaeili, F., Soleimani, N. & Yadegar, A. Immunomodulatory effects of live and pasteurized Lactobacillus crispatus strain RIGLD-1 on Helicobacter pylori-triggered inflammation in gastric epithelial cells in vitro. Mol. Biol. Rep. 50, 6795–6805, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-023-08596-x) doi.org/10.1007/s11033-023-08596-x (2023).
- 116. Zhao, Y. et al. Two novel lactic acid bacteria, Limosilactobacillus fermentum MN-LF23 and Lactobacillus gasseri MN-LG80, inhibited Helicobacter pylori infection in C57BL/6 mice. Food Funct. 13, 11061–11069, <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2fo02034c> (2022).
- 117. Juntarachot, N. et al. Characterization of adhesion, anti-adhesion, co-aggregation, and hydrophobicity of Helicobacter pylori and probiotic strains. J. Taibah Univ. Med. Sci. 18, 1048–1054, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2023.02.017) doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2023.02.017 (2023).
- 118. Jin, F. & Yang, H. Transcriptome analysis of the response of mature Helicobacter pylori biofilm to different doses of Lactobacillus salivarius LN12 with amoxicillin and clarithromycin. Antibiotics (Basel Switz.) 11, 262, <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11020262> (2022).
- 119. Poonyam, P., Chotivitayatarakorn, P. & Vilaichone, R. K. High effective of 14-day high-dose PPI- Bismuth-containing quadruple therapy with probiotics supplement for Helicobacter Pylori eradication: a double blinded-randomized Placebo-controlled study. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. 20, 2859–2864, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.31557/apjcp.2019.20.9.2859) [31557/apjcp.2019.20.9.2859](https://doi.org/10.31557/apjcp.2019.20.9.2859) (2019).
- 120. Wang, Y., Wang, X., Cao, X. Y., Zhu, H. L. & Miao, L. Comparative effectiveness of different probiotics supplements for triple helicobacter pylori eradication: a network meta-analysis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 13, 1120789, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1120789) [1120789](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1120789) (2023).
- 121. Butel, M. J. Probiotics, gut microbiota and health. Med. Mal. Infect. 44, 1–8, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2013.10.002> (2014).
- 122. Zhou, Q. et al. Preventive and therapeutic effect of Lactobacillus paracasei ZFM54 on Helicobacter pylori-induced gastritis by ameliorating inflammation and restoring gastric microbiota in mice model. Front. Nutr. 9, 972569, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.972569) [972569](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.972569) (2022).
- 123. He, C. et al. Probiotics modulate gastrointestinal microbiota after Helicobacter pylori eradication: a multicenter randomized doubleblind placebo-controlled trial. Front. Immunol. 13, 1033063, [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1033063) doi.org/10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.1033063](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1033063) (2022).
- 124. He, C. et al. Probiotics mitigate Helicobacter pylori-induced gastric inflammation and premalignant lesions in INS-GAS mice with the modulation of gastrointestinal microbiota. Helicobacter 27, e12898, <https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12898> (2022).
- 125. Kovachev, S. Vaginal ecosystem. Akush. Ginekol. 50, 41–49 (2011).
- 126. Qian, Z. et al. Probiotic Lactobacillus sp. strains inhibit growth, adhesion, biofilm formation, and gene expression of bacterial

vaginosis-inducing Gardnerella vaginalis. Microorganisms 9, 728, <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9040728> (2021).

- 127. Chae, S. A. et al. Anti-inflammatory and anti-pathogenic potential of Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus IDCC 3201 isolated from feces of breast-fed infants. Microb. Pathog. 173, 105857, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105857) [1016/j.micpath.2022.105857](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105857) (2022).
- 128. Mei, Z. & Li, D. The role of probiotics in vaginal health. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 12, 963868, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.963868) [963868](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.963868) (2022).
- 129. Jeong, D., Kim, D. H., Song, K. Y. & Seo, K. H. Antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens DD2 against oral pathogens. J. Oral. Microbiol. 10, 1472985, [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/20002297.2018.1472985) [20002297.2018.1472985](https://doi.org/10.1080/20002297.2018.1472985) (2018).
- 130. Kim, J. Y. et al. Lactobacillus helveticus HY7801 ameliorates bacterial vaginosis by inhibiting biofilm formation and epithelial cell adhesion of Gardnerella vaginalis. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 32, 507–515, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-022-01208-7> (2023).
- 131. Castro, J., Martins, A. P., Rodrigues, M. E. & Cerca, N. Lactobacillus crispatus represses vaginolysin expression by BV associated Gardnerella vaginalis and reduces cell cytotoxicity. Anaerobe 50, 60–63, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.01.014> (2018).
- 132. Jeng, H. S., Yan, T. R. & Chen, J. Y. Treating vaginitis with probiotics in non-pregnant females: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp. Ther. Med. 20, 3749–3765, [https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2020.](https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2020.9090) [9090](https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2020.9090) (2020).
- 133. Huang, H., Song, L. & Zhao, W. Effects of probiotics for the treatment of bacterial vaginosis in adult women: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 289, 1225–1234, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-013-3117-0> (2014).
- 134. Bohbot, J. M. et al. Efficacy and safety of vaginally administered lyophilized Lactobacillus crispatus IP 174178 in the prevention of bacterial vaginosis recurrence. J. Gynecol. Obstet. Hum. Reprod. 47, 81–86, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogoh.2017.11.005> (2018).
- 135. Palma, E. et al. Long-term Lactobacillus rhamnosus BMX 54 application to restore a balanced vaginal ecosystem: a promising solution against HPV-infection. BMC Infect. Dis. 18, 13, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2938-z) [org/10.1186/s12879-017-2938-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2938-z) (2018).
- 136. Moumne, O. et al. Implications of the vaginal microbiome and potential restorative strategies on maternal health: a narrative review. J. Perinat. Med. 49, 402–411, [https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2020-0367)[2020-0367](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2020-0367) (2021).
- 137. Ho, M. et al. Oral Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and Lactobacillus reuteri RC-14 to reduce Group B Streptococcus colonization in pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. Taiwan. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 55, 515–518, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjog.2016.06.003> (2016).
- 138. Ansari, A. et al. Lactobacillus probiotics improve vaginal dysbiosis in asymptomatic women. Nutrients 15, 1862, [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15081862) [nu15081862](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15081862) (2023).
- 139. Mändar et al. Impact of Lactobacillus crispatus-containing oral and vaginal probiotics on vaginal health: a randomised double-blind placebo controlled clinical trial. Benef. Microbes 14, 143–152, <https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2022.0091> (2023).
- 140. Vladareanu, R. et al. New evidence on oral L. plantarum P17630 product in women with history of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis (RVVC): a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci. 22, 262–267, [https://doi.org/10.26355/](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_201801_14128) [eurrev_201801_14128](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_201801_14128) (2018).
- 141. Russo, R., Karadja, E. & De Seta, F. Evidence-based mixture containing Lactobacillus strains and lactoferrin to prevent recurrent bacterial vaginosis: a double blind, placebo controlled, randomised clinical trial. Benef. Microbes 10, 19–26, [https://doi.org/10.3920/](https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2018.0075) [bm2018.0075](https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2018.0075) (2019).
- 142. Yefet, E., Colodner, R., Strauss, M., Gam Ze Letova, Y. & Nachum, Z. A randomized controlled open label crossover trial to study vaginal colonization of orally administered lactobacillus reuteri RC-14 and

rhamnosus GR-1 in pregnant women at high risk for preterm labor. Nutrients 12, 1141, <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12041141> (2020).

- 143. Koirala, R. et al. Effect of oral consumption of capsules containing Lactobacillus paracasei LPC-S01 on the vaginal microbiota of healthy adult women: a randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind crossover study. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 96, fiaa084, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa084) [doi.org/10.1093/femsec/](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa084)fiaa084 (2020).
- 144. Qi, F. et al. Orally administrated Lactobacillus gasseri TM13 and Lactobacillus crispatus LG55 can restore the vaginal health of patients recovering from bacterial vaginosis. Front. Immunol. 14, 1125239, [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1125239)fimmu.2023.1125239 (2023).
- 145. León, E. D. & Francino, M. P. Roles of secretory immunoglobulin A in host-microbiota interactions in the gut ecosystem. Front. Microbiol. 13, 880484, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.880484> (2022).
- 146. Si, J., You, H. J., Yu, J., Sung, J. & Ko, G. Prevotella as a hub for vaginal microbiota under the influence of host genetics and their association with obesity. Cell Host Microbe 21, 97–105, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.11.010) [org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.11.010) (2017).
- 147. Mora, J. R. et al. Generation of gut-homing IgA-secreting B cells by intestinal dendritic cells. Science 314, 1157–1160, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132742) [10.1126/science.1132742](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132742) (2006).
- 148. Garzon, S. et al. Novel drug delivery methods for improving efficacy of endometriosis treatments. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 18, 355–367, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17425247.2021.1829589> (2021).
- 149. Zhang, Y. et al. Probiotic Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and Limosilactobacillus reuteri RC-14 as an adjunctive treatment for bacterial vaginosis do not increase the cure rate in a Chinese cohort: a prospective, parallel-group, randomized, controlled study. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11, 669901, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.669901) [2021.669901](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.669901) (2021).
- 150. Lu, C. et al. Probiotic supplementation does not improve eradication rate of Helicobacter pylori infection compared to placebo based on standard therapy: a meta-analysis. Sci. Rep. 6, 23522, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23522) [org/10.1038/srep23522](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23522) (2016).
- 151. Lerner, A., Shoenfeld, Y. & Matthias, T. Probiotics: if it does not help it does not do any harm. Really? Microorganisms 7, 104, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7040104) [org/10.3390/microorganisms7040104](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7040104) (2019).
- 152. Sherid, M. et al. Liver abscess and bacteremia caused by lactobacillus: role of probiotics? Case report and review of the literature. BMC Gastroenterol. 16, 138, [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-016-0552-y) [s12876-016-0552-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-016-0552-y) (2016).
- 153. Quigley, E. M. M., Pot, B. & Sanders, M. E. Brain fogginess' and D-lactic acidosis: probiotics are not the cause. Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol. 9, 187, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41424-018-0057-9> (2018).
- 154. Goldenberg, J. Z. et al. Probiotics for the prevention of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 12, Cd006095, [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006095.pub4) [14651858.CD006095.pub4](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006095.pub4) (2017).
- 155. Sotoudegan, F., Daniali, M., Hassani, S., Nikfar, S. & Abdollahi, M. Reappraisal of probiotics' safety in human. Food Chem. Toxicol. 129, 22–29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2019.04.032> (2019).
- 156. Splichalova, A., Jenistova, V., Splichalova, Z. & Splichal, I. Colonization of preterm gnotobiotic piglets with probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and its interference with Salmonella Typhimurium. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 195, 381–394, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.13236) [1111/cei.13236](https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.13236) (2019).
- 157. Stroupe, C., Pendley, J., Isang, E. & Helms, B. Persistent bacteremia secondary to delayed identification of Lactobacillus in the setting of mitral valve endocarditis. IDCases 10, 132–134, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2017.10.002) [1016/j.idcr.2017.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2017.10.002) (2017).
- 158. Vahabnezhad, E., Mochon, A. B., Wozniak, L. J. & Ziring, D. A. Lactobacillus bacteremia associated with probiotic use in a pediatric patient with ulcerative colitis. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 47, 437-439, <https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e318279abf0> (2013).
- 159. Costa, R. L., Moreira, J., Lorenzo, A. & Lamas, C. C. Infectious complications following probiotic ingestion: a potentially underestimated problem? A systematic review of reports and case series. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 18, 329, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-018-2394-3) [1186/s12906-018-2394-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-018-2394-3) (2018).
- 160. Aires, J., Doucet-Populaire, F. & Butel, M. J. Tetracycline resistance mediated by tet(W), tet(M), and tet(O) genes of Bifidobacterium isolates from humans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 2751–2754, <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02459-06> (2007).
- 161. Egervärn, M., Roos, S. & Lindmark, H. Identification and characterization of antibiotic resistance genes in Lactobacillus reuteri and Lactobacillus plantarum. J. Appl. Microbiol. 107, 1658–1668, [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04352.x) [j.1365-2672.2009.04352.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04352.x) (2009).
- 162. Viazis, N. et al. A four-probiotics regimen combined with a standard Helicobacter pylori-eradication treatment reduces side effects and increases eradication rates. Nutrients 14, 632 (2022).
- 163. Mohtasham, M. et al. Lactobacillus ruteri compared with placebo as an adjuvant in quadruple therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Arab J. Gastroenterol. 24, 40–44 (2023).
- 164. Recine, N. et al. Restoring vaginal microbiota: biological control of bacterial vaginosis. A prospective case-control study using Lactobacillus rhamnosus BMX 54 as adjuvant treatment against bacterial vaginosis. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 293, 101–107 (2016).
- 165. Lev-Sagie, A. et al. Vaginal microbiome transplantation in women with intractable bacterial vaginosis. Nat. Med. 25, 1500–1504 (2019).
- 166. Leclercq, S. et al. Low-dose penicillin in early life induces long-term changes in murine gut microbiota, brain cytokines and behavior. Nat. Commun. 8, 15062 (2017).
- 167. Shi, Y. et al. Restoration of cefixime-induced gut microbiota changes by Lactobacillus cocktails and fructooligosaccharides in a mouse model. Microbiol. Res. 200, 14–24 (2017).
- 168. Laue, C. et al. Effect of a yoghurt drink containing Lactobacillus strains on bacterial vaginosis in women—a double-blind, randomised, controlled clinical pilot trial. Benef. Microbes 9, 35–50 (2018).

Acknowledgements

Sincere gratitude to T.C., Z.Z., and Q.C. for their selfless guidance on the conceptualization and writing structure of this article. Many thanks to the lab colleagues for their valuable suggestions on paper collection and writing. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82060638) and the Double Thousand Plan of Jiangxi Province (High-End Talents Project of Scientific and Technological Innovation). Figures were created using BioRender software (biorender.com).

Author contributions

Conceptualization: T.C., Z.Z., Q.C., and Y.W.; writing-original draft, Y.W.; writing-review and editing, T.C., Z.Z., Q.C., and Y.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Zhenyu Zhang, Qi Chen or Tingtao Chen.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2024