#### ARTICLE



# High *IFITM3* expression predicts adverse prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia

Yan Liu<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Rongjian Lu<sup>4</sup> · Wei Cui<sup>5</sup> · Yifan Pang<sup>6</sup> · Chaojun Liu<sup>7</sup> · Longzhen Cui<sup>2</sup> · Tingting Qian<sup>1,3</sup> · Liang Quan<sup>1,3</sup> · Yifeng Dai<sup>8</sup> · Yang Jiao<sup>9</sup> · Yue Pan<sup>5</sup> · Xu Ye<sup>1</sup> · Jinlong Shi<sup>10</sup> · Zhiheng Cheng D<sup>11</sup> · Lin Fu <sup>1,3,12</sup>

Received: 26 February 2019 / Accepted: 12 March 2019 / Published online: 29 March 2019 © Springer Nature America, Inc. 2019

#### Abstract

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a malignancy caused by the uncontrolled and dysregulated clonal expansion of abnormal myeloid primordial cells. In general, the prognosis of AML remains poor despite new discoveries in its pathogenesis and treatment. It is crucial to find early and sensitive biomarkers and continue to explore active targeted treatments. Interferon-induced transmembrane protein (*IFITM*) family is an important part of the interferon signaling pathway and participate in the regulation of immune cell signaling, adhesion, cancer, and liver cell migration. However, the clinical and prognostic value of the *IFITM* family in AML has rarely been studied. We screened The Cancer Genome Atlas database and found 155 AML patients with *IFITM* family (*IFITM1–5*) expression data. In patients who only received chemotherapy, those with high *IFITM3* expression had significantly shorter event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) than patients with low expression (all P < 0.05). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that high *IFITM3* expression was an independent risk factor for EFS and OS in patients only received chemotherapy (all P < 0.05). In patients who underwent allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT), however, all *IFITM* members had no impact on either EFS or OS. In conclusion, our study elucidated that high *IFITM3* expression could be an adverse prognostic factor for AML, whose effect might be overcome by allo-HSCT.

These authors contributed equally: Yan Liu, Rongjian Lu, and Wei Cui

These authors jointly supervised this work: Zhiheng Cheng and Lin Fu

Lin Fu fulin022@126.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Hematology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510260, China
- <sup>2</sup> Translational Medicine Center, Huaihe Hospital of Henan University, Kaifeng 475000, China
- <sup>3</sup> Translational Medicine Center, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510260, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Stomatology, The Fifth Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital (Former 307th Hospital of the PLA), Beijing 100071, China
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory, Beijing Haidian Hospital, Beijing Haidian Section of Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100080, China
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak,

### Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a complex and dynamic disease. The malignant myeloid cells are composed of coexisting competing clones and the disease evolves over time [1]. People have discovered and used

MI 48073, USA

- <sup>7</sup> Zhongyuan Union Clinical Laborotory Co., Ltd, Tianjin 300304, China
- <sup>8</sup> Immunoendocrinology, Division of Medical Biology, Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>9</sup> Life Sciences Institute and Innovation Center for Cell Signaling Network, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Hematology, Huaihe Hospital of Henan University, Kaifeng 475000, China

some molecular biomarkers, including genetic mutations, to help decipher this heterogenic and often deadly disease, to predict clinical outcome and guide treatment [2]. For example, *DNMT3A* and *FLT3-ITD* mutations are independent poor prognostic factors [3, 4], whereas the biallelic *CEBPA* mutation is associated with good prognosis [5, 6]. With the improvement molecular diagnostic technology, not only the mutations but also the aberrant expression levels of some genes could be integrated into the refined risk stratification of AML. The over-expressions of *MN1*, *ERG*, *BAALC*, *EVI1*, *DOK4/5*, *PDK2/3*, *FHL2*, and *iASPP* have been associated with poor prognosis, whereas high *DOK7* expression is associated with good prognosis in AML [7–10].

The genes encoding the interferon (IFN)-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs) belong to the IFNstimulated genes. These proteins are powerful suppressor of viral infections. Human IFITM genes are located on chromosome 11 and translates into four highly homologous membrane surface proteins IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, and IFITM5, whereas IFITM4P is a fake gene [11]. At present, the functions and related mechanisms of IFITM1 and IFITM3 as tumor-promoting genes, if not oncogenes per se, have been reported in various solid tumors. For example, high expression of IFITM1 promotes the proliferation, invasion, and distant metastasis of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck [12], and also predicts adverse outcome of esophageal cancer [13]. In breast cancer tissue, the expression of *IFITM3* is significantly higher than adjacent tissues and is closely related to the estrogen and progesterone receptors. Knocking down IFITM3 suppresses breast cancer cell growth and colony formation, and affects the cell cycle [14]. IFITM3 is also abnormally overexpressed in colon cancer, especially in patients with positive lymph node metastasis. It is an independent risk factor for diseasefree survival (DFS) in colon cancer [15]. IFITM5 is only expressed in osteoblasts [16]. Overexpression of IFITM5 promotes osteosarcoma cell apoptosis, inhibits invasion, and promotes osteogenic differentiation [17]. Study on IFITM2 is lacking but there has been one study showing that it is significantly upregulated in intestinal cancer and has a p53-independent role in promoting apoptosis [18].

The prognostic significance of the *IFITM* family in AML has not been reported. In this study, we aimed to investigate the effects of *IFITM* on AML survival. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is an effective treatment for AML, which can reduce recurrence and prolong the survival by significantly reducing the leukemia residual disease [19]. Herein, we also analyzed whether allo-HSCT could overcome the prognostic effects of the *IFITM* family.

#### Materials and methods

#### Patients

From The Cancer Genome Atlas database (https://ca ncergenome.nih.gov/), a total of 155 AML patients with IFITM family (IFITM1-5) expression data were included in this study [20]. Eighty-four patients received chemotherapy only and 71 also underwent allo-HSCT. Clinical characteristics at diagnosis, including peripheral white blood cell (WBC) counts, blast percentages in peripheral blood (PB) and bone marrow (BM), French-American-British (FAB) subtypes, cytogenetic risk group, and frequencies of common recurrent genetic mutations, were downloaded from the database. Event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) were the primary endpoints of the study. EFS was defined as the time from diagnosis to removal from the study due to relapse, death, or failure to achieve complete remission, or was censored at the last follow-up. OS was defined as the time from diagnosis to death from any cause or was censored at the last follow-up. Informed consents were obtained from all patients and the study protocol was approved by the Human Research Council of the University of Washington.

#### Statistical analysis

The clinical and molecular characteristics of patients were summarized using descriptive statistics. Data sets were described with median and/or range. Survival was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test. Numerical data were compared using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test and categorical data were compared using the  $\chi^2$ -test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were constructed for EFS and OS using a limited backward elimination procedure. The confidence interval was 95%. All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS software 20.0 and GraphPad Prism software 7.0.

#### Results

#### Clinical and molecular characteristics of the patients

The clinical and molecular characteristics of all patients were shown in Table 2. Median age was 63 years (range 22–88), with 58 cases over 60 years old. Forty-five patients were men. The median WBC, BM blast, and PB blast count were  $38.3 \times 10^9$ /L, 67.5, and 36.3%, respectively. The major FAB subtypes were M1, M2, and M4 (72.6%). Forty-four patients had abnormal karyotypes. The proportion of good, intermediate, and poor-risk AML were 14.3%, 54.8%, and 28.6%, respectively. *NPM1* had the highest mutation frequency (n = 27, 32.1%), followed by *DNMT3A* 

IFITM1 (high vs. low)

IFITM2 (high vs. low)

IFITM3 (high vs. low)

IFITM5 (high vs. low)

Variables EFS OS  $\chi^2$  $\chi^2$ P-value P-value Chemotherapy-only group IFITM1 (high vs. low) 0.234 0.629 0.492 0.473 IFITM2 (high vs. low) 0.408 0.685 1.154 0.283 IFITM3 (high vs. low) 5.593 0.018 6.694 0.010 IFITM5 (high vs. low) 1.534 0.215 2.513 0.113 Allo-HSCT group

Table 1 Comparison of EFS and OS between different expression levels of IFITM1-5

Allo-HSCT allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, EFS event-free survival, OS overall survival

0.244

2.248

1.613

1.156

0.622

0.134

0.204

0.282

0.857

1.306

1.825

0.533

0.355

0.253

0.177

0.465

(n = 23, 27.4%), FLT3 (n = 22, 26.2%), IDH1/2 (n = 15, 17.9%), NRAS/KRAS (n = 12, 14.3%), TP53 (n = 12, 14.3%), TET2 (n = 11, 13.1%), and RUNX1 (n = 8, 9.5%).

#### Prognostic significance of IFITM family in AML

To evaluate the prognostic significance of the *IFITM* family in AML, all patients were divided into high- and lowexpression subgroups by the median expression levels of each *IFITM* member (*IFITM1/2/3/5*). EFS and OS of the expression subgroups of each gene were analyzed with the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test (Table 1). In the chemotherapy-only group, high *IFITM3* expression had adverse effects on EFS and OS (P = 0.018 and P = 0.010, Fig. 1a, b). None of the *IFITM* members had impact on survival in the allo-HSCT group.

#### Association of *IFITM3* expression with other clinical and molecular characteristics in the chemotherapyonly group

The clinical and molecular characteristics of high and low *IFITM3* expression subgroups were compared (Table 2). *IFITM3*<sup>high</sup> had more age  $\geq$  60 patients (P = 0.018), more FAB-M0 (P = 0.006), and fewer FAB-M5 (P = 0.002) patients, fewer normal karyotype patients, and more complex karyotype (all P < 0.001). No significant differences were found in gender distribution, peripheral WBC count, BM blasts, PB blasts, risk-group distribution, and frequency of common genetic mutations (*FLT3, NPM1, DNMT3A, RUNX1, TET2, TP53, IDH1/IDH2, and NRAS/KRAS*) between the two groups.





**Fig. 1** Kaplan–Meier curves of event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients who received chemotherapy only. **a**, **b** High *IFITM3* expressers had shorter EFS and OS than the low expressers

# Multivariate analysis of EFS and OS in the chemotherapy-only group

To further evaluate prognostic value of *IFITM3*, multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were constructed, selecting the expression levels of *IFITM3* (high vs. low), age ( $\geq 60$  vs. < 60 years), peripheral WBC count ( $\geq 15 \times$  $10^9/L$  vs.  $< 15 \times 10^9/L$ ), BM blasts ( $\geq 70\%$  vs. < 70%), PB blasts ( $\geq 70$  vs. < 70%), *FLT3-ITD* (positive vs. negative), and common AML mutations (*NPM1*, *DNMT3A*, *CEBPA*, *RUNX1*, *IDH1/IDH2*, and *NRAS/KRAS*, mutated vs. wild type). Results were shown in Table 3.

Multivariate analysis showed that high *IFITM3* expression and age  $\geq 60$  years were independent risk factors for both EFS and OS (all *P* < 0.05). Besides, BM blasts  $\geq 70\%$ , PB blasts  $\geq 70\%$ , and *DNMT3A* mutation were independent risk factors for EFS (all *P* < 0.05) and *RUNX1* mutation was an independent risk factor for OS (*P* < 0.05)

Characteristics	Total	IFITM3		Р
		High $(n = 42)$	Low $(n = 42)$	
Age/years, median (range)	63 (22-88)	67 (34–88)	59 (22-82)	0.803 <sup>a</sup>
Age group/n (%)				0.018 <sup>b</sup>
≥60 years	58 (69.0)	34 (81.0)	24 (57.1)	
<60 years	26 (31.0)	8 (19.0)	18 (42.9)	
Gender/n (%)				0.126 <sup>b</sup>
Male	45 (53.6)	26 (61.9)	19 (45.2)	
Female	39 (46.4)	16 (38.1)	23 (54.8)	
WBC/ $\times 10^{9}$ /L, median (range)	38.3 (0.7-297.4)	28.3 (0.7-171.9)	48.3 (1.4–297.4)	0.122 <sup>a</sup>
BM blasts/%, median (range)	67.5 (30–99)	64.0 (32–98)	71.0 (30–99)	0.298 <sup>a</sup>
PB blasts/%, median (range)	36.3 (0-98)	34.5 (0-97)	37.6 (0–98)	0.397 <sup>a</sup>
FAB subtypes/n (%)				
M0	7 (8.3)	7 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0.006 <sup>b</sup>
M1	20 (23.8)	10 (23.8)	10 (23.8)	1.000 <sup>b</sup>
M2	21 (25.0)	12 (28.6)	9 (21.4)	0.450 <sup>b</sup>
M4	20 (23.8)	8 (19.0)	12 (28.6)	0.306 <sup>b</sup>
M5	12 (14.3)	1 (2.4)	11 (26.2)	0.002 <sup>b</sup>
M6	1 (1.2)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0.314 <sup>b</sup>
M7	3 (3.6)	3 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	0.078 <sup>b</sup>
Cytogenetics/n (%)				
Normal	40 (47.6)	12 (28.6)	28 (66.7)	0.000 <sup>b</sup>
Complex	11 (13.1)	11 (26.2)	0 (0.0)	0.000 <sup>b</sup>
inv(16)/CBFβ-MYH11	6 (7.1)	1 (2.4)	5 (11.9)	0.090 <sup>b</sup>
t(8;21)/RUNX1-RUNX1T1	6 (7.1)	4 (9.5)	2 (4.8)	0.397 <sup>b</sup>
11q23/MLL	3 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (7.1)	0.078 <sup>b</sup>
-7/7q-	3 (3.6)	3 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	0.078 <sup>b</sup>
t(9;22)/BCR-ABL1	1 (1.2)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0.314 <sup>b</sup>
Others	14 (16.7)	10 (23.8)	4 (9.5)	0.079 <sup>b</sup>
Risk/n (%)				
Good	12 (14.3)	5 (11.9)	7 (16.7)	0.823 <sup>b</sup>
Intermediate	46 (54.8)	19 (45.2)	27 (64.3)	0.205 <sup>b</sup>
Poor	24 (28.6)	17 (40.5)	7 (16.7)	0.053 <sup>b</sup>
FLT3/n (%)				0.390 <sup>b</sup>
FLT3-ITD	15 (17.9)	9 (21.4)	6 (14.3)	
<i>FLT3</i> -TKD	7 (8.3)	2 (4.8)	5 (11.9)	
Wild type	62 (73.8)	31 (73.8)	31 (73.8)	
NPM1/n (%)				0.815 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	27 (32.1)	14 (33.3)	13 (31.0)	
Wild type	57 (67.9)	28 (66.7)	29 (69.0)	
DNMT3A/n (%)				0.463 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	23 (27.4)	13 (31.0)	10 (23.8)	
Wild type	61 (72.6)	29 (69.0)	32 (76.2)	
<i>IDH1/IDH2/n</i> (%)				0.393 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	15 (17.9)	9 (21.4)	6 (14.3)	
Wild type	69 (82.1)	33 (78.6)	36 (85.7)	
RUNX1/n (%)	()		()	0.137 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	8 (9.5)	6 (14.3)	2 (4.8)	0.127
	- ()	~ ()	- ()	

#### Table 2 (continued)

Characteristics	Total	IFITM3		Р
		High $(n = 42)$	Low ( <i>n</i> = 42)	
Wild type	76 (90.5)	36 (857)	40 (95.2)	
NRAS/KRAS/n (%)				0.533 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	12 (14.3)	5 (11.9)	7 (16.7)	
Wild type	72 (85.1)	37 (88.1)	35 (83.3)	
TET2/n (%)				0.332 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	11 (13.1)	4 (9.5)	7 (16.7)	
Wild type	73 (86.9)	38 (90.5)	35 (83.3)	
TP53/n (%)				0.533 <sup>b</sup>
Mutation	12 (14.3)	7 (16.7)	5 (11.9)	
Wild type	72 (85.1)	35 (83.3)	37 (88.1)	

BM bone marrow, FAB French-British-American, PB peripheral blood, WBC white blood cell

<sup>a</sup>Mann-Whitney U-test

 ${}^{\rm b}\chi^2$ -test

**Table 3** Multivariate analysis ofEFS and OS

Variables	EFS		OS	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
IFITM3 (high vs. low)	1.919 (1.108–3.323)	0.020	2.037 (1.177-3.525)	0.011
Age (≥60 vs. <60 years)	3.994 (2.003-7.966)	0.000	3.105 (1.596-6.038)	0.001
WBC ( $\ge 15$ vs. $< 15 \times 10^{9}/L$ )	1.206 (0.644-2.258)	0.559	1.241 (0.661–2.329)	0.502
BM blasts (≥70 vs. <70%)	1.857 (1.052-3.277)	0.033	1.713 (0.962-3.050)	0.068
PB blasts (≥70 vs. <70%)	2.157 (1.046-4.446)	0.037	1.487 (0.707-3.126)	0.295
FLT3-ITD (positive vs. negative)	0.701 (0.340-1.443)	0.335	0.870 (0.419-1.807)	0.708
NPM1 (mutated vs. wild)	0.567 (1.269-1.196)	0.136	0.571 (0.269–1.210)	0.144
DNMT3A (mutated vs. wild)	1.893 (1.008-3.553)	0.047	1.603 (0.853-3.013)	0.142
CEBPA (mutated vs. wild)	0.591 (0.120-2.922)	0.519	0.683 (0.141-3.320)	0.637
RUNX1 (mutated vs. wild)	2.327 (0.963-5.623)	0.061	2.432 (1.017-5.815)	0.046
NRAS/KRAS (mutated vs. wild)	1.052 (0.442-2.503)	0.909	0.775 (0.321-1.869)	0.571
IDH1/IDH2 (mutated vs. wild)	0.761 (0.388-1.492)	0.427	0.878 (0.450-1.712)	0.703

BM bone marrow, CI confidence interval, EFS event-free survival, HR hazard ratio, OS overall survival, PB peripheral blood, WBC white blood cell

## Discussion

In this retrospective study, we found that high *IFITM3* expression was an adverse prognostic factor for AML, but not in those who underwent allo-HSCT, implying that allo-HSCT might be able to overcome its prognostic impact.

Increasing number of studies have shown that *IFITM3* participates in the development and progression of various tumors and is involved in myriads of cell biology processes, including cancer cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis, apoptosis, and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). A study indicated that downregulating *IFITM3* in U251 cells could inhibit cell proliferation and cloning, arrest the cell cycle in the G0/G1 phase, especially in the pre-G1 phase that could lead to apoptosis. In addition, the

cell migration was also significantly suppressed after downregulation of *IFITM3* [21]. In gastric cancer, high *IFITM3* expression was found to promote tumor cell migration, invasion, and proliferation by activating Wnt/βcatenin signaling pathway. Another study revealed that *IFITM3* silencing would effectively reverse the EMT phenotype and reduce *MMP-2* and *MMP-9* expression [22]. Overexpression of *IFITM3* may also predict poor prognosis in stage IIA esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients after Ivor Lewis esophagectomy [23]. Consistent with these findings, our study pointed out that *IFITM3* might also be a tumor-promoting gene or oncogene in AML. Its overexpression coincided with other established poor prognostic factors, such as older age and complex karyotype, although its effect was independent.

Out results concurred with previous studies that age  $\geq 60$ years had unfavorable effects on AML survival, probably due to the higher mutation burden, poorer baseline performance status, and more co-morbidities in this age group [24]. We identified that BM blasts  $\geq$  70% and PB blasts  $\geq$ 70% also were independent risk factors for EFS, consistent with a former finding that abnormal proliferation of BM blasts and PB blasts had significant negative effects on survival in AML [25]. In our study, DNMT3A mutation was an independent risk factor for EFS and RUNX1 mutation was an independent risk factor for OS, which was in line with other reports that DNMT3A mutation was associated with inferior DFS and a trend toward shorter OS in cytogenetically normal AML [26], and RUNX1 mutation being a strong independent predictor for inferior OS in complex karyotype AML [27].

In conclusion, high *IFITM3* expression was associated with poor prognosis in AML, but its effects on survival could be overcome by allo-HSCT. Due to the small sample size, larger prospective researches are needed to further validate the role of *IFITM3* as an independent poor prognostic factor for AML. In addition, precise experiments need to be designed to explain the mechanisms of *IFITMs* in tumorigenesis.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81500118 and 61501519), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (2016M600443), and Jiangsu Province Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (1701184B).

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### References

- Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:1136–52.
- Wang M, Yang C, Zhang L, Schaar DG. Molecular mutations and their cooccurrences in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. Stem Cells Int. 2017;2017:6962379.
- Hou HA, Kuo YY, Liu CY, Chou WC, Lee MC, Chen CY, et al. DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: stability during disease evolution and clinical implications. Blood. 2012;119:559–68.
- Fleischmann M, Schnetzke U, Schrenk KG, Schmidt V, Sayer HG, Hilgendorf I, et al. Outcome of FLT3-ITD-positive acute myeloid leukemia: impact of allogeneic stem cell transplantation and tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2017;143:337–45.
- Awad MM, Aladle DA, Abousamra NK, Elghannam DM, Fawzy IM. CEBPA gene mutations in Egyptian acute myeloid leukemia patients: impact on prognosis. Hematology. 2013;18:61–8.

- Dufour A, Schneider F, Hoster E, Benthaus T, Ksienzyk B, Schneider S, et al. Monoallelic CEBPA mutations in normal karyotype acute myeloid leukemia: independent favorable prognostic factor within NPM1 mutated patients. Ann Hematol. 2012;91:1051–63.
- Thol F, Yun H, Sonntag AK, Damm F, Weissinger EM, Krauter J, et al. Prognostic significance of combined MN1, ERG, BAALC, and EVI1 (MEBE) expression in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Ann Hematol. 2012;91:1221–33.
- Zhang L, Li R, Hu K, Dai Y, Pang Y, Jiao Y, et al. Prognostic role of DOK family adapters in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Gene Ther. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41417-018-0052-z.
- Cui L, Cheng Z, Liu Y, Dai Y, Pang Y, Jiao Y, et al. Overexpression of PDK2 and PDK3 reflects poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Gene Ther. 2018. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41417-018-0071-9.
- Cheng Z, Dai Y, Pang Y, Jiao Y, Zhao H, Zhang Z, et al. Enhanced expressions of FHL2 and iASPP predict poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Gene Ther. 2019;26:17–25.
- Hickford D, Frankenberg S, Shaw G, Renfree MB. Evolution of vertebrate interferon inducible transmembrane proteins. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:155.
- Hatano H, Kudo Y, Ogawa I, Tsunematsu T, Kikuchi A, Abiko Y, et al. IFN-induced transmembrane protein 1 promotes invasion at early stage of head and neck cancer progression. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14:6097–105.
- Borg D, Hedner C, Gaber A, Nodin B, Fristedt R, Jirstrom K, et al. Expression of IFITM1 as a prognostic biomarker in resected gastric and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Biomark Res. 2016; 4:10.
- Yang M, Gao H, Chen P, Jia J, Wu S. Knockdown of interferoninduced transmembrane protein 3 expression suppresses breast cancer cell growth and colony formation and affects the cell cycle. Oncol Rep. 2013;30:171–8.
- Li D, Peng Z, Tang H, Wei P, Kong X, Yan D, et al. KLF4mediated negative regulation of IFITM3 expression plays a critical role in colon cancer pathogenesis. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17:3558–68.
- Friedman RL, Manly SP, McMahon M, Kerr IM, Stark GR. Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of interferoninduced gene expression in human cells. Cell. 1984;38:745–55.
- 17. Liu BY, Lu YQ, Han F, Wang Y, Mo XK, Han JX. Effects of the overexpression of IFITM5 and IFITM5 c.-14C > T mutation on human osteosarcoma cells. Oncol Lett. 2017;13:111–8.
- Daniel-Carmi V, Makovitzki-Avraham E, Reuven EM, Goldstein I, Zilkha N, Rotter V, et al. The human 1-8D gene (IFITM2) is a novel p53 independent pro-apoptotic gene. Int J Cancer. 2009;125:2810–9.
- 19. Brissot E, Mohty M. Which acute myeloid leukemia patients should be offered transplantation? Semin Hematol. 2015;52: 223–31.
- Ley TJ, Miller C, Ding L, Raphael BJ, Mungall AJ, Robertson A, et al. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:2059–74.
- Zhao B, Wang H, Zong G, Li P. The role of IFITM3 in the growth and migration of human glioma cells. BMC Neurol. 2013;13:210.
- Hu J, Wang S, Zhao Y, Guo Q, Zhang D, Chen J, et al. Mechanism and biological significance of the overexpression of IFITM3 in gastric cancer. Oncol Rep. 2014;32:2648–56.
- Jia Y, Xiao Z, Jiang W, Chen G, Wang Z. Overexpression of IFITM3 predicts poor prognosis in stage IIA esophageal squamous cell carcinoma after Ivor Lewis esophagectomy. Thorac Cancer. 2017;8:592–9.
- Briot T, Roger E, Thepot S, Lagarce F. Advances in treatment formulations for acute myeloid leukemia. Drug Discov Today. 2018;23:1936–49.

- 25. Bacher U, Haferlach C, Alpermann T, Kern W, Schnittger S, Haferlach T. Comparison of genetic and clinical aspects in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes all with more than 50% of bone marrow erythropoietic cells. Haematologica. 2011;96:1284–92.
- 26. Marcucci G, Metzeler KH, Schwind S, Becker H, Maharry K, Mrozek K, et al. Age-related prognostic impact of different types

of DNMT3A mutations in adults with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:742–50.

27. Mendler JH, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrozek K, Becker H, Metzeler KH, et al. RUNX1 mutations are associated with poor outcome in younger and older patients with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia and with distinct gene and microRNA expression signatures. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:3109–18.