

ARTICLE

Received 17 Nov 2015 | Accepted 13 Sep 2016 | Published 9 Nov 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms13224

OPEN

MHC class II complexes sample intermediate states along the peptide exchange pathway

Marek Wieczorek^{1,*}, Jana Sticht^{1,*}, Sebastian Stolzenberg^{2,*}, Sebastian Günther^{1,3}, Christoph Wehmeyer², Zeina El Habre¹, Miguel Álvaro-Benito¹, Frank Noé² & Christian Freund¹

The presentation of peptide-MHCII complexes (pMHCII) for surveillance by T cells is a well-known immunological concept in vertebrates, yet the conformational dynamics of antigen exchange remain elusive. By combining NMR-detected H/D exchange with Markov modelling analysis of an aggregate of 275 microseconds molecular dynamics simulations, we reveal that a stable pMHCII spontaneously samples intermediate conformations relevant for peptide exchange. More specifically, we observe two major peptide exchange pathways: the kinetic stability of a pMHCII's ground state defines its propensity for intrinsic peptide exchange, while the population of a rare, intermediate conformation correlates with the propensity of the HLA-DM-catalysed pathway. Helix-destabilizing mutants designed based on our model shift the exchange behaviour towards the HLA-DM-catalysed pathway and further allow us to conceptualize how allelic variation can shape an individual's MHC restricted immune response.

¹Protein Biochemistry, Institute for Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, Thielallee 63, 14195 Berlin, Germany. ²Computational Molecular Biology group, Institute for Mathematics, Arnimallee 6, 14195 Berlin, Germany. ³Institute of Human Virology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 725 West Lombard Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.N. (email: frank.noe@fu-berlin.de) or to C.F. (email: christian.freund@fu-berlin.de).

Antigen-specific recognition of peptide-major histocompatibility class II (MHCII) complexes (pMHCII) by CD4⁺ T cells represents a key feature of acquired immunity. Professional antigen-presenting cells express a subset of different alleles of heterodimeric MHCII proteins on their surface. These MHCII proteins are capable of binding and presenting both foreign and self-antigens to potentially activate CD4⁺ T cells via cognate T cell receptors as part of the adaptive immune response. The structural hallmarks of antigen binding by MHCII molecules are well understood at the phenomenological level: Optimal occupation of the four main pockets (P₁, P₄, P₆, P₉) in the MHCII-binding groove by peptide side-chains and concomitant formation of a conserved set of hydrogen bonds mainly between peptide backbone and MHCII side-chains are necessary and sufficient to guarantee high-affinity binding of certain epitopes and their subsequent surveillance by T cells^{1–3}. Initially, the class II-associated invariant chain peptide (CLIP) occupies the MHCII-binding groove, but can later be replaced in the endosomal compartments for higher affinity antigens. Here under acidic conditions, the exchange reaction can be catalysed by the non-classical MHCII molecule HLA-DM (DM)^{4–8}. The propensity to exchange peptides depends on the kinetic stability of an individual pMHCII, as measured by its intrinsic dissociation rate. Stable complexes, however, are also prone to DM-mediated exchange, and it has been postulated that MHCII dynamically samples alternative conformations^{9–12}, which is a major prerequisite for DM susceptibility¹³.

A recently solved pMHCII/DM crystal structure highlights the extensive conformational changes in the P₁ pocket region required for DM binding of a pMHCII (ref. 14). As yet, details of the molecular mechanism leading to DM binding and peptide exchange remain elusive, but its understanding is of utmost importance for the concepts of immunodominance and autoimmunity^{15–19}.

Here we show by an integration of structural, biophysical and theoretical methods, that pMHCII spontaneously sample transient conformations that have secondary structural features of the DM-stabilized peptide exchange complex. By comparing the stable wild-type pMHCII to a mutant not relying on DM for fast exchange, we reveal the existence of two major peptide exchange pathways, one offering spontaneous release, the other one relying on DM catalysis. For the catalysed exchange pathway we reveal a series of spontaneously occurring conformational changes, which share some, but not all features with the DM-bound structure, and which potentially promote DM binding. Which of these pathways predominates and thus how immune responses are shaped is determined by an individual's particular combination of expressed MHCII alleles and available peptides.

Results

A stable pMHCII shows inherent conformational plasticity. In order to unravel the impact of conformational plasticity on peptide exchange, we first characterized a kinetically stable pMHCII as reference, an HLA-DR1/CLIP complex with the P₁-methionine of the natural placeholder CLIP_{102–120} substituted for tryptophan (DR1/CLIP_{102–120}M107W, shortened: DR1/C_w). The expected advantage of choosing this reference is an increased stability of the pMHCII as the tryptophan side-chain optimally fills the P₁ pocket that dominates the DR1-binding groove, but cannot be accommodated by P₉ and thus prevents peptide reorientation²⁰. Indeed, the DR1/C_w complex is markedly more resistant to exchange against a fluorescein-labelled haemagglutinin (HA)-derived peptide than DR1 loaded with wild-type CLIP (DR1/C), as detected by an FP-based peptide-exchange assay (Fig. 1a). A small but significant increase

of the stability of this complex is also reflected by a gain in midpoint denaturation temperature of approximately 4 °C (Supplementary Table 1). Additionally, we solved the crystal structure of DR1/C_w at 1.4 Å resolution (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Table 2), and confirmed that DR1/C_w has the standard canonical fold and that the new tryptophan side-chain is well accommodated by the P₁ pocket.

Previous studies suggested that in order to be amenable for peptide exchange, a pMHCII must be able to transiently sample exchange-competent excited conformational states^{13,14,21–23}. In order to identify secondary structure elements that could undergo conformational changes in solution, we subjected the DR1/C_w complex to NMR-detected H/D exchange (HDX) measurements that report the dynamical exposure of amide protons to the solvent. HDX protection factors were derived from exponentially-fitted (EX2 regime) intensity decays of backbone amide signals in a series of heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra (Fig. 1c,d, Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 1a,b). The results reveal that the N-terminal half (region coloured red in Fig. 1c) of the peptide-binding groove extending from the P₁ to P₆ pocket region, including residues αF48-L60 and βK65-Q92, displays strikingly little protection from HDX in DR1/C_w. Additionally, the indole proton of the highly conserved αW43, which is needed to flip out of the peptide-binding groove to form an essential part of the DM interface¹⁴, is not protected from HDX indicating side-chain mobility.

Thus, although the crystal structure displays several hydrogen bonds in the P₁ pocket region of the peptide-binding groove, this part of the protein appears to represent a rather flexible sub-module surrounding the N-terminal part of the peptide (Fig. 1c). This includes the side-chain of αW43 and the adjacent helical segments of both MHCII chains, thereby covering part of the main binding site of the exchange catalyst DM (see Fig. 1b for a sketch of the DM interface).

In order to reveal structural details and transition rates of the dynamical changes, we conducted extensive all-atom explicit-solvent molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Over 160 simulation trajectories adding up to about 90 microseconds of total simulation time were collected—and thus two to three orders of magnitude more sampling than in previous simulation studies^{9–12}—combined and analysed by a Markov state model (MSM)^{24,25} using the PyEMMA program²⁶ (see Methods). In agreement with the HDX results, we found that several secondary structural elements could undergo spontaneous conformational fluctuations. Figure 1e shows the three most long-lived metastable states from our analysis that can interconvert on timescales of a few microseconds: The most stable ground state MS3^{WT}, and the less stable excited state MS2^{WT} have an overall structured fold. Of special interest is MS1^{WT}, a very low-populated state with a free energy of 19 kJ mol^{−1} above the ground state that exhibits unfolding of β1 α-helix residues C79-R93 (Fig. 1e, orange arrow, and Supplementary Fig. 2), consistent with the HDX results. Finally, we find that in all three simulated metastable states, there are spontaneous transitions of αW43 to the outward-flipped state (Supplementary Fig. 3), consistent with a side-chain conformation that resembles the DM-bound state¹⁴. Moreover, all three states exhibit flexible termini of the CLIP ligand, which may be an indication of early steps in a ligand-exchange pathway.

Taken together, the kinetically stable pMHCII DR1/C_w predominantly exists in a ground state, but the N-terminal end of the peptide-binding groove including the DM-binding site in the α1-domain as well as the β1-domain α-helix spontaneously samples low-populated conformations. Despite a well-occupied P₁ pocket the outward-flipping of the αW43 side-chain appears to be a rare feature of both the ground state and the low-populated conformations.




Figure 1 | Conformational plasticity of an intrinsically stable and DM-unresponsive pMHCII complex. (a) Exchange of DR1-bound CLIP (C) or CLIP_{M107W} (C_w) for HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈-FITC in the absence or presence of DM at 37 °C as detected by fluorescence polarization, performed as triplicates and representative of three independent experiments. (b) Crystal structure of DR1/C_w. The DM-binding interface is indicated, DR1 α1/β1 and α2/β2 domains are coloured in light and dark grey, respectively. The peptide is shown in yellow with its N and C terminus marked. (c) Backbone HDX half-lives observed for DR1/C_w plotted onto the structure of DR1/C_w, viewed from the top. ND means not determined. (d) Signal decay due to HDX plotted over time for selected α and β residues in DR1/C_w. (e) Kinetic maps of Markov state models obtained from our in total 90 μs molecular dynamics simulations of DR1/C_w. Metastable states (MS) are represented as black discs with areas proportional to the relative stationary weight of an MS. The black arrows in these maps indicate transitions between metastable states, where the width of each arrow increases with the corresponding transition rate. Relative free energies and transition rates for the MSs are each presented with a 'lower-/upper-case' 1σ confidence interval. Each MS is illustrated by ribbon representations of MHCII (white) and CLIP (magenta) of eight simulated conformations (one opaque, seven transparent viewed from the top into the binding groove). The α-helix of βA74-R93 is highlighted in orange, and the side-chains of αW43 and βN82/A as sticks and a space fill model, respectively. An orange arrow highlights the distortion of in the β-chain α-helix (β74-93). The simulated conformations were superimposed using the iterative fit VMD plugin^{78,80}.

Indication of a rare conformation during peptide exchange.

Interestingly, the flexible N-terminal end of the peptide-binding groove covers part of the main binding site of the exchange catalyst DM, spanning $\alpha 1$ -chain residues $\alpha K39$ - $\alpha L60$ (see Fig. 1b for a sketch of the DM interface). Moreover, a region on the opposite side of the peptide-binding groove ($\beta V85$ - $V91$) has also been shown to rearrange upon DM binding¹⁴. Thus, it appears likely that enhanced dynamics of the N-terminal end of the peptide-binding groove are a prerequisite for DM interaction. Conformational plasticity of the DM-binding site in the $\alpha 1$ domain has already been suggested to represent a prerequisite for catalysed peptide exchange, and various mutations within this region have been shown to modulate DM susceptibility^{13,14,21,27}. In order to unravel the relevance of the observed transient local unfolding of the $\beta 1$ domain α -helix for peptide exchange, we asked for the structural and dynamic impact of a mutation that further destabilizes that region. We introduced an alanine in position $\beta 82$ deleting the two H-bonds between $\beta N82$ and the peptide's P_2 site, which have been shown to contribute remarkably to pMHCII stability^{1,3,21}. Indeed, DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ is a kinetically destabilized complex that displays a clear reduction in thermal stability of 19 °C (Supplementary Table 1), concomitant with a dramatic acceleration of the non-catalysed (DM-independent) peptide-exchange rate (Fig. 2a). Strikingly, Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) measurements report that the

binding of the mutant to DM is massively increased, showing a K_D value in the 100 nM range for DR1- $\beta N82A$ loaded with C_W as well as another high-affinity peptide (HA), while binding of DR1/ C_W to DM was hardly detectable (Fig. 2b,c)²⁸. Thus, DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ captures features of a late intermediate of the peptide-exchange mechanism, as it tightly binds the catalyst without depending on its action.

In order to elucidate the basis of this phenotype, we solved the crystal structure of DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$. The limited resolution of 3.2 Å (Supplementary Table 2) was conceivably due to the reduced thermodynamic stability of this complex. Surprisingly, the DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ structure was nearly indistinguishable from the high resolution DR1/ C_W structure, with a root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value of only 0.432 Å (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 4a). Also the comparison of B-factor distributions, normalized to the mean B factor of each chain, did not reveal gross differences between both structures with variability found in those regions that also differ between all HLA-DR1-CLIP structures deposited to date (data not shown). Moreover, nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) spectra of DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ in solution did not indicate structural alterations if compared with the much more stable DR1/ C_W complex (Supplementary Fig. 4b–d), and chemical shift differences between ¹H-¹⁵N-TROSY-HSQC spectra of both DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ and DR1/ C_W were restricted to the site of mutation




Figure 2 | The intermediate state behaviour of DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ is not mediated by structural alteration. (a) Exchange of DR1- or DR1- $\beta N82A$ -bound CLIP_{M107W} (C_W) for HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈-FITC in the absence or presence of DM at 25 °C, performed as triplicates and representative of two independent experiments. Plotted are the mean values \pm standard deviation of the change in fluorescence polarization (ΔFP), calculated by subtracting the detected FP values of a protein-free reaction [HA-FITC only] from the reaction containing the DR/peptide complexes) over time. (b) SPR-detected binding of DR1/peptide complexes to immobilized DM. In all, 5 μM DR1/peptide complexes (DR1/C [wt CLIP] or DR1/ C_W CLIP_{M107W} and DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ CLIP_{M107W}) are allowed to bind to the chip, washed with buffer and released by HA-peptide injection. (c) Req-plots for the SPR-detected binding of DM to DR1- $\beta N82A$ bound to C_W or HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈ at different substrate (DR/peptide) concentrations, with calculated K_D values \pm standard deviation resulting from two experiments. (d) Left: Structural alignment of DR1/ C_W (grey) and DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ (red). Right: magnification of the N-terminal-binding groove highlighting the H-bonds formed between $\beta N82$ and the P_2 site of the peptide. (e) Box-and-whisker plot of signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) for backbone NH groups of the individual domains of DR1/ C_W and DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ ($\alpha 1$: assigned residues of 2-85, $\alpha 2$: 86-189, $\beta 1$: 6-95 and $\beta 2$: 96-193) showing the significant global increase in line broadening of the $\beta 1$ domain in DR1- $\beta N82A/C_W$ relative to the WT complex. The box includes the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The whisker represent 1.5 standard deviations. *** $P < 0.001$, * $P < 0.05$ (t test).

(Supplementary Fig. 5a,b). Having no indication for major structural changes in the peptide-bound conformation, we also ruled out that DR1- β N82A has lost most of the bound peptide and might behave as an empty MHCII: Spectra of DR1- β N82A/ C_W are consistent with a peptide-loaded conformation as they did not show the massive loss of signals characterizing a destabilized binding groove in peptide-free DR1 (exemplified in Supplementary Fig. 5c), and the presence of peptide-loaded DR1- β N82A was further confirmed by peptide dissociation experiments (Supplementary Fig. 5d-f).

Thus, even in the context of an optimal P_1 anchor residue, a mutation in the β 1 domain α -helix leads to a kinetically unstable complex (DR1- β N82A/ C_W) that tightly binds DM. This

biochemical phenotype is not explained by the ground-state structure as detectable by crystallography and NMR.

HLA-DR1 excited states rationalize catalysed exchange. As the biochemical phenotype of DR1- β N82A/ C_W could not be explained by its ground-state structure, we wondered whether its structural ensemble comprises excited metastable states that account for accelerated peptide-exchange and increased DM binding.

A first indication for a difference in conformational plasticity between DR1- β N82A/ C_W and DR1/ C_W is given by a comparison of ^1H - ^{15}N TROSY-HSQC peak intensities: Signal-to-noise levels of the DR1- β N82A/ C_W β 1 domain are reduced to 48% as




Figure 3 | DR1- β N82A/ C_W transiently features DM-susceptible conformations. (a) DR1- β N82A/ C_W backbone NH HDX rates significantly enhanced (red) or reduced (blue) as compared with DR1/ C_W are highlighted in the DR1/ C_W crystal structure, with magnification of two different regions.

(b,c) Comparison of the change in S/N between DR1/ C_W and DR1- β N82A/ C_W upon HDX for the NH groups of β 85Val (b), located in region I, and α 22Phe (c) located in region II. (d) Kinetic maps of our MD simulations of DR1- β N82A/ C_W with metastable states, transition rates and conformations represented as in Fig. 1g. Coloured arrows highlight characteristic conformational changes, that is, the α -helix distortion of β 74-93 (orange) the side-chain flip of α Trp43 (green), and partial dissociation of CLIP (magenta).

compared with DR1/C_w (Fig. 2e), suggesting a higher plasticity of DR1-βN82A/C_w compared with DR1/C_w. This effect remained significant at lower temperatures (Supplementary Fig. 6).

In order to give a detailed account of these altered dynamics we performed HDX measurements as described above and observed greatly amplified internal dynamics in DR1-βN82A/C_w compared with DR1/C_w (Fig. 3a–c and Supplementary Table 4). In particular, the β1 domain α-helix residue βR80 and region βG84–R93 in the vicinity of the mutation were destabilized (Fig. 3a, region I).

The impact of these increased internal dynamics on the conformational space of DR1-βN82A/C_w was again analysed using a total of 90 microseconds of explicit-solvent MD simulations and a subsequent Markov model analysis (Fig. 3d). These simulation data show a qualitatively similar picture as for DR1/C_w, but with shifted populations and enhanced structural flexibility. The ground-state MS3^{βN82A} has a mostly stable fold similar to DR1/C_w, in agreement with our X-ray structures, NOESY measurements and chemical shift perturbation data. Again, two excited metastable states with low populations are found, one with a well-defined fold (MS2^{βN82A}), and one where the β1 domain α-helix is largely unfolded at residues βQ70–R93 (MS1^{βN82A}, Fig. 3d, orange arrow and Supplementary Fig. 2). The α-helix unfolding is more pronounced than the partial helix breaks observed in DR1/C_w. However, the most striking effect is the relative stabilization of MS1^{βN82A} over MS1^{WT} by 9 kJ mol⁻¹ (from 19 kJ mol⁻¹ to 10 kJ mol⁻¹—compare Fig. 3d and 1e). While it is still a low-populated metastable state with a population fraction of about 1.6% and has thus escaped characterization by X-ray and NMR measurements, its population is now increased by a factor of 28.

Several features of this excited metastable state suggest that MS1^{βN82A} represents an intermediate state along the peptide exchange pathway that can be spontaneously sampled in timescales of about 50–100 microseconds: The β1 domain α-helix conformation in MS1^{βN82A} is in good agreement with the partially unfolded helix in the DR1/DM complex structure¹⁴. Additionally, the optimal arrangement of the DM-binding site goes along with an alignment of α-chain β-strands s2 and s3 (Fig. 3a, region II) leading to novel interstrand H-bonds in DM-bound structures^{14,29}. The increased protection of the α22F amide observed in HDX experiments of DR1-βN82A/C_w (Fig. 3a,c) is in agreement with an on average more proximal orientation of the two strands in the mutant. An increased protection of the adjacent potential H-bond partners α20G/α36M is not observed, indicating that a full line up of s2 and s3 strands, as seen in the DM/DR1 crystal structure, might rather occur by an induced-fit mechanism. Instead, increased protection of α18Q and α19S amide protons additionally indicate stabilized H-bonds between strands s3 and s4 in DR1-βN82A/C_w and our simulation results indicate a stabilization of the helical residues αE55–Y79 on top of these β-strands in MS1^{βN82A} as compared with MS3^{WT} (Supplementary Fig. 7). Overall, however, the entire region around the N terminus of the peptide displays a notable increase in mobility (Supplementary Fig. 7). This increased mobility likely facilitates the conformational change of the αW43 side-chain to flip outward and thus to participate in the DM-binding interface, as seen in the DR1/DM crystal structure¹⁴. As α43W Hε chemical shift differences between wild-type and mutant pMHCII are relatively small in ¹H-¹⁵N TROSY-HSQC spectra (Supplementary Figs 4e and 5b), it has to be concluded that the flipped-in orientation of the α43W side-chain is still dominant in DR1-βN82A/C_w. This is confirmed by our MD simulation results, however, we find the probability of being in a flipped-out state has more than doubled in the DR1-βN82A/C_w mutant compared with DR1/C_w (that is, from

0.063 ± 0.003 to 0.16 ± 0.02) (Supplementary Fig. 3). In order to account for this population increase, MS1^{βN82A} and MS3^{βN82A} are represented by conformers which show the low-populated flipped-out α43W side-chain conformation in Fig. 3d (green arrows).

Taken together, the βN82A mutation destabilizes the β1 domain α-helix fold, which contributes to an overall increase of the mobility in the entire region surrounding the peptide N terminus. This destabilization shifts the conformational ensemble towards the low-populated state that shares features of the DM-bound conformation¹⁴ and thus rationalizes the phenotype of DR1-βN82A/C_w in the DM-catalysed peptide exchange pathway.

Non-catalysed peptide exchange pathway. A key feature of DR1-βN82A/C_w is its high and DM-independent peptide-exchange rate (Fig. 2a), which cannot be explained by the simulated excited state MS1^{βN82A} but MS3^{βN82A}.

Compared with DR1/C_w, in DR1-βN82A/C_w we observe the peptide's residues S105, K106, R108–A110, P112 and A117 to have a significantly lower contact frequency to any DR1 residue (magenta arrows in Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 8a). This is associated with a significantly higher frequency of most of these residues (S105–R108, A110) to interact with water (Supplementary Fig. 8b). Interestingly, most of these partial CLIP dissociation events occur more often in MS3^{βN82A} than in MS1^{βN82A} (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 8a).

Thus, our hypothesis is that two major distinct pathways for peptide exchange are likely to exist in parallel: while the kinetic stability of the ground state defines the propensity of an individual pMHCII for uncatalysed peptide exchange, the population of rare conformations determines DM susceptibility.

Validations of the model of two major exchange pathways. As shown above, the equilibrium between the two peptide-exchange pathways exhibiting different DM responsiveness can be shifted by a single βN82A point mutation at the P₂ site. In both our HDX measurements and MSM analyses of MD simulations (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 7), a characterizing feature of this shift is the unfolding of the MHCII β1 domain α-helix at residues βQ70–R93. The βN82A mutation, however, does not only modulate the β1 domain α-helix stability but also markedly affects peptide dissociation by the removal of two H-bonds.

Hypothesizing two independent exchange pathways, we anticipate that destabilizing the β1 domain α-helix without touching the H-bond network between MHCII and peptide should be sufficient to shift the conformational equilibrium towards the DM-susceptible state, while helix-stabilization should not enhance DM binding. In order to test this hypothesis, we introduced one or two helix-destabilizing proline residues into the β1 domain α-helix of the wild-type DR1 molecule at positions pointing away from the binding groove (DR1-βE87P and DR1-βG84PβE87P) and additionally aimed at stabilizing the helix by replacing the same residues by leucine, which has a higher propensity for helix formation due to hydrophobic contacts (DR1-βG84LβE87L) (Fig. 4a).

SPR measurements show that introduction of one proline (DR1-βE87P/C_w) or two (DR1-βG84PβE87P/C_w) leads to complexes with gradually increased binding to DM, whereas DR1-βG84LβE87L/C_w shows a similarly negligible response to DM as DR1/C_w (Fig. 4b). Strikingly, DR1-βE87P/C_w's non-catalysed peptide exchange is slightly increased as compared with DR1/C_w, but clearly can be enhanced overproportionally by the addition of DM. For DR1-βG84PβE87P/C_w, the non-catalysed peptide exchange is strongly enhanced but can be further accelerated upon addition of DM (Fig. 4c–f). In contrast to that,




Figure 4 | DM-susceptibility is associated with $\beta 1$ domain α -helix unfolding. (a) Shown is the structure of DR1/ C_w with the magnified $\beta 1$ domain α -helix. Two residues ($\beta 84G$ and $\beta 87E$) that point away from the binding pocket were chosen to either destabilize (by substitution for proline) or stabilize (by substitution for leucine) the $\beta 1$ domain α -helix. Additionally, a mutation (DR1- $\alpha T41A$) on the opposite site of the binding groove previously described to influence DM susceptibility²⁷ was analysed by simulation. (b) Binding of streptavidin-immobilized biotinylated DM to different DR1/peptide complexes. Measurements were performed as described in Fig. 2b and are representative of at least three experiments. (c–f) Exchange of DR1-bound C_w for HA_{306–318}-FITC in dependence of DM as detected by fluorescence polarization. Experiments were performed as triplicates and are representative of at least two independent experiments. Plotted are the mean values \pm standard deviation of Δ FP over time. (g) Kinetic Maps of MD simulations of DR1- $\alpha T41A$ / C_w with metastable states, transition rates and conformations represented as in Figs 1e and 3d.

DR1- β G84L β E87L/ C_w shows slightly increased intrinsic peptide exchange rates but DM does only improve exchange to a level comparable to DR1/ C_w . Thus, a successive destabilization of the $\beta 1$ domain α -helix by the introduction of proline, especially when placed at position 87, shifts the conformational equilibrium from the DR1/ C_w situation towards a more DM-susceptible conformation. To the contrary, the introduction of helix-stabilizing leucine residues does not significantly improve DM susceptibility.

To validate our model based on previous experimental design we capitalized on the mutant DR1- $\alpha T41A$, that has been described to enhance DM binding and DM-dependent exchange rates without

accelerating non-catalysed peptide dissociation of a high affinity peptide²⁷. According to our model, this phenotype would be consistent with a stabilization of the DM-susceptible MS1 states. We tested this hypothesis with an aggregated total of 95 microseconds of explicit-solvent MD simulations and a subsequent Markov model analysis of DR1- $\alpha T41A$ / C_w . Indeed, we observe a clear destabilization of the same region of the $\beta 1$ domain α -helix in MS1 $\alpha T41A$ and MS2 $\alpha T41A$ as observed in MS1 $\beta N82A$ and MS2 $\beta N82A$ (Fig. 4g, orange arrow, Supplementary Figs 2 and 7), although the mutation is introduced on the opposite side of the peptide-binding groove. Despite the mutation's direct vicinity to the $\alpha 43W$ side-chain, flipped-out conformations are




Figure 5 | Thermodynamic and kinetic models for catalysed and non-catalysed MHCII-peptide exchange. Along these modelled pathways with sketched free energy surfaces, representative conformations are shown of MHCII (white ribbons, top view into the binding groove), CLIP (magenta), antigen (green, sketched) and DM (blue ribbons). In **a**, **b**, **b'**, **c**, **f**, we present simulated conformations of MS3^{WT}, MS1^{βN82A}, MS3^{βN82A}, MS1^{βN82A} and MS3^{βN82A}, respectively, **d** and **e**, crystal structures with PDB IDs 4FXQ and 1DLH, respectively. In MHCII, helical residues of αR44-E55 and βA74-R93 are highlighted in red and orange, respectively, and the αW43 side-chain is highlighted in green. The dashed ellipses point out key conformational events along each peptide exchange pathway.

similarly frequent in MS1^{αT41A} and MS3^{αT41A} as in MS1^{βN82A} and MS3^{βN82A}, respectively (Fig. 4g, green arrows, Supplementary Fig. 3). Moreover, the burial of the CLIP peptide side-chains in DR1-αT41A/C_w is similar to DR1/C_w, and thus the peptide is more tightly bound than in MS3^{βN82A} (Supplementary Fig. 8a). However, residue P₋₁ shows more frequent solvent encounters in MS3^{αT41A} (Supplementary Fig. 8b), thus arguing for flexibility to increase at the very N terminus of the peptide. All these observations go along with an even more pronounced stabilization of MS1^{αT41A} over MS1^{WT} by 14 kJ mol⁻¹ (compared with 9 kJ mol⁻¹ for MS1^{βN82A}, see Supplementary Fig. 7), and provide a possible explanation for why in clear contrast to the βN82A mutation, αT41A does not significantly enhance non-catalysed exchange rates of a high affinity peptide²⁷.

Thus, consistent with our model, the equilibrium between non-catalysed and DM-catalysed peptide exchange pathway can be shifted towards the latter by mutations that either directly target the stability of the β1 domain α-helix at residue β87 without removing H-bonds between MHCII and peptide, or by a mutation of a residue at the opposite side of the binding groove that is part of the DM interface.

Discussion

Conformational plasticity has long been suspected to lie at the heart of recapitulating antigen exchange at the molecular level^{13,21,27,30–33}. Comparing the wealth of solved MHCII-peptide structures hinted at structural variability that might well extend beyond the ground-state structures seen so far^{18,19,22}. Two landmark studies by Pos *et al.*¹⁴ and Guce *et al.*²⁹ unambiguously proved the MHCII fold to be prone to large structural rearrangements when complexes of either MHCII/DM or DM/DO form. The timescale and order of these transitions as

well as their relevance for either catalysed or uncatalysed peptide exchange remained enigmatic.

Here we combined NMR and computational MD approaches to compare the conformational plasticity of a stable pMHCII (DR1/C_w) that is characterized by low affinity for DM, but exerts DM-dependent peptide exchange, with the kinetically destabilized mutant DR1-βN82A/C_w. This mutant, in contrast, showed strong DM-binding albeit with DM-independent peptide exchange. Based on aggregate results we are now able to propose a detailed mechanistic model of MHCII peptide exchange (Fig. 5). The proposed pathways were rationalized by the comparison of model sub-states to the DM/DR complex (Supplementary Fig. 9) and validated by the analysis of further mutations (βE87P, βG84PβE87P, βG84LβE87L and αT41A):

The propensity for intrinsic, uncatalysed peptide exchange is determined by the kinetic stability of a pMHCII's ground state (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Fig. 9A, Supplementary Movie 1), which rarely samples slightly higher energy states that are characterized by flipping-out of the α43W side-chain and/or a destabilized β79-93 helix (MS1^{WT} in Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 2). Destabilizing mutations such as βN82A or βG84PβE87P can lead to a ground state that is clearly more prone to intrinsic peptide exchange than in case of the stable complex DR1/C_w.

The catalysed peptide exchange pathway, however, occurs from the MHCII ground-state structure (Fig. 5a) via multi-step transitions (Fig. 5b,b', Supplementary Movie (1,2,3)) towards an energetically excited intermediate (Fig. 5c) that exhibits features of a DM/DR complex¹⁴ (Fig. 5d) with the least number of significant polar interaction differences with respect to the DR1/DM crystal structure among all the simulated metastable states (Supplementary Fig. 9). Thus, the propensity for DM-catalysed peptide exchange depends on the sampling of a

low-populated state where the β C79-R93 α -helix partially unfolds and the α 43W side-chain is in the flipped-out conformation (Fig. 5c, Supplementary Fig. 9c). The resulting transient states that already carry features of the DM-bound conformation are spontaneously sampled on timescales of about a hundred microseconds. Mutations can shift the conformational equilibrium towards higher DM affinity, as observed for DR1- β N82A/ C_W and DR1- α T41A/ C_W , in which the excited metastable state MS1 $^{\beta$ N82A} and MS1 $^{\alpha$ T41A} is 28-fold and 200-fold, respectively, higher populated than in DR1/ C_W . DM would then select for conformations with unfolded β C79-R93, a flipped-out α 43W and a flexible peptide N terminus (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 9d).

Interestingly, in the DM-bound¹⁴ form, the DR1 β -helix is still destabilized as evidenced by the distortion of β E87 away from the helical conformation. Two critical intra-helical hydrogen bonds provided by this residue are not seen in the DM-bound DR1 and when introducing a proline at this position the NH-based hydrogen bond to β Y83(CO) is disrupted and nicely explains the increased DM susceptibility of this mutant in the framework of our model (Fig. 4). The α R44-E55 helix that is observed in the DR/DM complex¹⁴ (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 9d), is assumed to fold as part of an induced fit, as we do not detect its spontaneous formation, neither experimentally nor in our simulations. Finally, upon DM-binding, peptide exchange occurs and DM dissociates (Fig. 5e, Supplementary Fig. 9e).

We postulate that the equilibrium between the non-catalysed as well as the DM-catalysed exchange pathways can be skewed as a result of various modifications as implied by the investigated mutations on both sides of the binding groove. As peptide affinity influences kinetic stability of pMHCII complexes, binding groove pocket occupation will also affect the populations of the conformational states. In this study, we used a CLIP peptide variant modified to increase the stability of the pMHCII complex in order to derive features independent of the effect of non-optimal pocket occupation. In case of wild-type CLIP, which has a sub-optimal P_1 pocket packing, we expect based on its reduced kinetic stability an increase of both non-catalysed and DM-catalysed peptide exchange. An empty P_1 pocket¹³, however, does not appear to be the only prerequisite for the formation of DM-susceptible conformations, as indicated by the α T41A mutation²⁷ (Fig. 4g). Thus, in the context of a low-affinity peptide we would anticipate that destabilizing mutations or polymorphisms have an additive effect and that enthalpic and entropic contributions from the entire pMHCII system determine the DM susceptibility of an individual pMHCII (refs 27,33).

Our data are in agreement with previous observations that dynamics in the 3_{10} -helical region of the DR α -chain and the P_1 pocket are of great relevance for the peptide-exchange reaction^{15,16,21}, but we provide evidence that the stability of the adjacent helical hinge segment in the β -chain α -helix is also important, as its loss in DR1- β N82A/ C_W is associated with enhanced peptide-exchange rates (Figs 1, 3 and 4, Supplementary Figs 2 and 7, Supplementary Table 4). This is supported by the observation that the DM/DR crystal structure and MD simulations of the peptide-free conformation of DR show structural re-arrangements involving MHCII residues β V85-V91 and β C79-Y83, respectively^{10,14}. Taking into account that transient helix unfolding as observed for DR1 β -chain residues β C79-R93 is a relatively slow event, it appears conceivable that MHCII β -chain dynamics represent a major step to free the system and provide an entropic contribution to arrange the DM interface located in the α -chain. Communication across the peptide-binding groove is confirmed by our simulation of DR1- α T41A/ C_W , where a mutation in the α 1 domain

indirectly influences the stability of the β 1 domain α -helix (Fig. 4a,g). A previously described hydrophobic network (β 89F, β 153W, α 48F)²¹, could function as a central allosteric communication switch to translate dynamic modulation across the binding groove.

Furthermore, we anticipate that our model can help to explain the variability in DM susceptibility^{13,15,16,34} and peptide exchange rates observed for DR and DQ alleles. Interestingly, the low DM susceptibility observed for several DQ alleles^{15,16,35} that represent risk factors for autoimmune disorders goes along with the incorporation of residues with a higher helical propensity in the region β G84-T90 and several additional hydrogen bonds lead to an extension of the DR1 helical segment β R80-R90 to residue β R93. Thus, these DQ alleles, namely DQ2 and DQ8, show a stabilization of a structural segment, which we here prove to be a highly sensitive element of conformational plasticity, and we argue that the dynamic profile in these DQ alleles is modulated in a way that conformations lying on-pathway for peptide exchange and DM binding are less frequent, due to an increase in β -chain α -helix stability. On the other hand, alleles DQ1 and DQ6, which are protective with regard to T1D and which display enhanced DM susceptibility, show a more open and thus less stable helical segment β G84-T90, a feature that might be coupled to altered 3_{10} helical flexibility for these variants.

We see our investigation as a contribution towards a unifying concept of antigen presentation by class II molecules. Clearly, the two major pathways described in this study are not strictly to separate since most mutants or polymorphic variants will affect both scenarios. On the one hand, changing the pocket occupation or hydrogen bond network between MHCII and peptide will directly impact intrinsic peptide release rates and increased peptide dissociation will propagate to the flexible helical elements required for DM binding. On the other hand, mutations more remote from the binding groove such as the α T41A or β E87P DR1 variants also investigated here are well suited to reveal the more peptide-independent structural elements required for DM editing and pave the way to understand the impact of certain polymorphisms as discussed for the DQ alleles.

Furthermore, our model could help to explain the action of small molecules on MHCII peptide exchange: several described compounds appear to act at the N-terminal end of the peptide-binding groove^{36–39}, but direct experimental approaches to confirm their binding sites have as yet remained fruitless. We postulate that small molecule loading enhancers act on low-populated conformational states described in this study. Taking that idea further, drugs that induce adverse reactions based on their interference with antigen presentation as shown for Abacavir and MHCII^{40,41} might not only alter the presented peptide repertoire by modifying pocket properties, but also by influencing the exchange pathway.

The above presented concept of the relevance of the conformational landscape of a 'receptor' molecule on downstream pathways might not only be applicable to the closely related tapasin-catalysed peptide loading of MHC class I molecules^{42–45} but is also known to other biological systems: The energy landscape of G-protein coupled receptors that strongly impacts activation pathways has been shown to depend on the individual receptor/ligand complex⁴⁶ and as shown in this study, ligands might not act on the crystallizable ground states but on low-populated excited states⁴⁷. For example, an order-to-disorder transition of two helical segments in the rhodopsin effector $G\alpha_{i1}$ has been postulated and mutations that affect the thermodynamic stability of this region were shown to have a large effect on GDP release⁴⁸. To determine the existence and rate of formation of the implied rare conformations will be an important step in a more universal understanding of G-protein coupled receptor activation.

In summary, we are able to rationalize key aspects of MHC class II dependent antigen binding and exchange. Our work thereby provides a blueprint for a thorough understanding of the complex interplay between allelic variance and peptide repertoires as they ultimately form and trigger T helper cell responses in wanted and unwanted immune responses.

Methods

Expression and purification of soluble MHCII proteins. DRA*0101 and DRB1*0101 (residues 1-192 and 1-198, respectively⁴⁹) subunit-derived constructs were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) using the standard QuickChange protocol to introduce mutations. For crystallization of DR1-βN82A, a CLIP_{106-120M107W} peptide was genetically linked via a flexible tri-G₄S-linker to the N terminus of the β-subunit^{50,51}.

HLA-DR proteins were produced as described previously^{49,52}. To generate pMHCII complexes, empty MHCII molecules were loaded with a 20-fold molar excess of peptide in the presence of 2 mM Ac-FR-NH₂ dipeptide (loading enhancer) for 48 h at 37 °C. Soluble monomeric pMHCII complexes and peptide-free MHCII were finally obtained by applying the proteins and loading reactions onto a size exclusion chromatography column and buffer exchanged to PBS, pH 5.8. DM was expressed and purified using the baculovirus-insect cell expression system (pFastBacDual-Sf9) as previously described²⁸.

Fluorescence polarization assays. Loading of 200 nM HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈-fluorescein isothiocyanate (HA-FITC) on 1 μM DR1/peptide complexes was followed by fluorescence polarization in the absence or presence of 250 nM DM (if not stated otherwise). The reactions were set up in 40 μl in triplicates in PBS buffer, pH 5.8 at 37 °C (Figs 1a and 4b). In order to resolve loading of DR1-βN82A/C_W experiments were performed at 25 °C (Fig. 3a). Peptide dissociation of 150 nM DR/HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈-FITC complexes was monitored in the presence and absence of 20 μM competitor peptide (HA₃₀₆₋₃₁₈) and 150 nM DM in PB/Citrate buffer, pH 5.5 at 37 °C as previously described²⁸. ΔFP values were calculated by subtracting the measured fluorescence polarization of a protein-free reaction (HA-FITC only) from the reaction containing the DR/peptide complexes.

Surface plasmon resonance. In all, 400–500 RU of biotinylated DM was coupled to streptavidin (SAD 500, Xantec bioanalytics, Germany), as previously described¹³. Experiments were carried out at 30 °C in 50 mM citrate buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 5.35, 0.06% C12E9 detergent, with a flow rate of 15 μl min⁻¹ in a Biacore 3,000 device (GE Healthcare). Non-specific binding of the reference flow cells was subtracted from the DM-coupled flow cells. DR1/peptide complexes were injected for 300 s into DM-immobilized or control flow cells followed by 300 s buffer injection and 450 s of 50 μM HA peptide. The chip was regenerated by injecting high-affinity peptides for the respective HLA-DR alleles in the flow cell at concentrations ranging from 20 to 100 μM.

Thermofluor assay. In order to determine the thermal stability, 0.2–0.5 mg ml⁻¹ monomeric pMHCII protein was mixed with 5 × Sypro Orange (Life Technology). While the temperature was increased for 2 °C min⁻¹, the emission was detected at 575 nm in lifetime after exciting the dye at 490 nm. Fluorescence intensity was plotted versus the temperature and a sigmoidal function was fitted to determine the midpoint temperature of the unfolding reaction (*T_m*). Stability measurements were performed in PBS buffer, pH 5.8.

Nuclear magnetic resonance. NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AV700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm triple-resonance cryoprobe. Backbone assignments of approximately 90% α- and around 80% β-chain resonances were obtained for DR1/C_W and DR1-βN82A/C_W by standard three-dimensional experiments in combination with transferring assignments from DR1/C spectra²⁰.

All NMR measurements were performed at 310 K in PBS buffer pH 5.8 containing 10% D₂O with 35–100 μM pMHCII. Spectra were processed with Topspin (Bruker) and analysed with CcpNmr Analysis⁵³.

MHCII complexes were partly deuterated α and fully deuterated β and ¹⁵Nα- and/or ¹⁵Nβ-labelled. DR1-βN82A samples used for assignments were additionally ¹³C labelled. NOESY-HSQC spectra with 80 ms mixing time were acquired for ²H-¹⁵Nα/β-labelled DR1-βN82A/C_W with specific labelling of ¹H-¹⁵N-Ala/Trp in the α and ¹H-¹⁵N-Trp in the β-chain.

Chemical shift perturbation was calculated according to equation 1:

$$\Delta\delta^1\text{H}^{15}\text{N} = \sqrt{(\delta^1\text{H})^2 + (0.15 \cdot \delta^{15}\text{N})^2} \quad (1)$$

CcpNMR Analysis was used to determine the average spectral noise levels from peak-free regions. For signal to noise level calculation peak-heights were determined from ¹H-¹⁵N-TROSY-HSQC spectra of 50 μM ¹⁵N β-labelled DR1/C_W (144 scans, noise level 2,450), 50 μM DR1-βN82A/C_W (144 scans, noise level 2,594), 60 μM ¹⁵N α-labelled DR1/C_W (144 scans, noise level of 5,093) and 35 μM ¹⁵N

α-labelled DR1/C_W (340 scans to account for the difference in protein concentration, noise level of 3,997) measured at 37 °C for Fig. 2e.

H/D exchange. Protected hydrogen atoms can exchange against deuterium in a transient energy state of a protein region, typically involving H-bond breakage⁵⁴. For native state proteins at pH values below 10, the EX2 limit can be used to obtain the equilibrium constant for the exchange according to equation 2:

$$K_{\text{ex}} = \frac{k_{\text{obs}}}{k_{\text{int}}} = P^{-1} \quad (2)$$

where *k_{obs}* is the observed exchange rate, *k_{int}* the intrinsic exchange, which has to be experimentally determined (or derived from the Sphere databases, <http://landing.foxchase.org/research/labs/roder/sphere/>), and *P*⁻¹ is the amide protection factor. Based on the knowledge of *K_{ex}*, the energy difference between the open and closed state is calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta G_{\text{op/cl}} = -R \cdot T \cdot \ln K_{\text{ex}} \quad (3)$$

where Δ*G_{op/cl}* refers to the energy difference between the open and the closed state, *R* to the universal gas constant (0.00831462 kJ K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and *T* to the absolute temperature in Kelvin.

Partly deuterated α and fully deuterated β ¹⁵Nα- and/or ¹⁵Nβ-labelled DR1/C_W complexes were concentrated to 80–100 μM in PBS buffer, pH 5.7, 10% D₂O, 0.02% NaN₃. Reference HSQC spectra were acquired with 1,024 × 96 complex data points and 16 scans at 37 °C. Afterwards, these DR1/peptide complexes were shock frozen and lyophilized overnight. Immediately after re-solubilization in 100% D₂O a set of 90 HSQC experiments were acquired with a measurement time of ~35 min per HSQC. At least 20 data points of the HSQC data set was used to plot S/N ratios of NH peaks against the experiment time. NH groups exchanging to an undetectable level within the dead time of the HSQC measurement (~35 min) were considered to have half-lives (*t*_{1/2}) of < 15 min, while NH groups not showing adequate signal decay after 60 h were classified as highly stable, with a *t*_{1/2} > 4,000 min and peaks with overlapping maxima were mostly excluded from the analysis. For peaks, which showed a clear decay within the experiment time (~60 h), an exponential decay function of first order was fitted to the data according to the EX2-limit⁵⁵. *K_{ex}*⁻¹ was obtained by normalizing the observed exchange rates for the intrinsic exchange rates (an average for the intrinsic rates was calculated with respect to the *i* ± 1 position; <http://landing.foxchase.org/research/labs/roder/sphere/>).

All together, 99 DR1/C_W and 124 DR1-βN82A/C_W residues displayed *t*_{1/2} of < 15 min, 87 DR1/C_W and 72 DR1-βN82A/C_W residues displayed *t*_{1/2} > 4,000 min, 85 DR1/C_W and 64 DR1-βN82A/C_W residues could be fitted.

Crystallization and structure determination. Both proteins were crystallized using commercial sparse matrix screens. DR1 was purified and refolded from *Escherichia coli*. To obtain DR1/C_W the peptide was loaded a posteriori using a 10- to 20-fold molar excess of peptide in the presence of 1 mM AdEtOH³⁷ or 2 mM Ac-FR-NH₂ (ref. 39) as molecular loading enhancer overnight at 37 °C. Unbound peptide was removed by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare, USA) into 20 mM MES, 50 mM NaCl, pH 6.4. The complex was concentrated to 10 mg ml⁻¹ and subjected to crystallization by vapour diffusion in sitting drops. Diffraction-quality crystals grew within a few days in 0.2 M K/Na-tartrate, 20% (w/v) PEG3350, 0.1 M BisTrisPropane, pH 8.5.

For DR1-βN82A/C_W, the protein was purified and refolded from *E. coli* and gel filtered using a Superdex 200 column equilibrated in 20 mM MES, 50 mM NaCl, pH 6.4. Protein was concentrated and mixed with an equimolar amount of DM purified from S2 cells in the same buffer. The final protein concentration was 10 mg ml⁻¹. Crystals were grown by vapour diffusion in sitting drops in 0.2 M Na nitrate, 20% (w/v) PEG 3350, 0.1 M BisTrisPropane, pH 7.5.

In both cases, crystals were harvested and flash frozen in the crystallization condition supplemented with 20% glycerol. Data from these crystals were collected at beamline 14.1 at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin.

In both cases, data sets were processed using the XDS package⁵⁶. Initial phases were obtained by molecular replacement using the program PHASER⁵⁷ using HLA-DR1 (PDB 2G9H) as a search model with the coordinates of the peptide removed. The final models were built using iterative rounds of refinement with the Phenix program package⁵⁸ interspersed with manual model building in COOT⁵⁹.

Despite the presence of equimolar amounts of DM in the crystallization conditions for the crystals of DR1-βN82A/C_W, no DM could be identified in the electron-density. Both structures were deposited at the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 4X5W and 4X5X.

MD set-up. The DR1/C (3PDO) and DR1/C_W (4X5W) crystal structures were analysed. pKa values of titratable residues for each structure were estimated with the program propKa⁶⁰. Together with the gromacs program pdb2gmx⁶¹ and previous MD simulation studies performed on MHCII (ref. 12), protonation states were assigned for MHCII-physiological pH = 5.8 that are consistent among these structures (fully-protonated His: α5/33/177, β16/81/111; ε-prot. His: α143/149/167, β112/177, prot. Asp: α25/29, prot. Glu: α11/21), together with disulphide bridges we introduced (αC107-αC163, β15-β79, β117-β173). In DR1, unresolved atom

coordinates of α L105-F112, β Q107-H112 were modelled by superposition with corresponding templates taken from 3PDO. Standard (charged) termini were used for chains α and β , whereas CLIP's N and C terminus were acetylated and amidated, respectively. The corresponding mutations in the starting structures of DR1/C_W and DR1- β N82A/C_W were modelled atomically clash-free by substituting the β Asn82 (CLIP Met107) side-chain with the one of Ala (Trp) resulting in four different starting structures. The starting structures for 162 DR1- β T41A/C_W simulations were generated from the MSM of the DR1/C_W simulations by uniformly sampling in the kmeans-clustered ($k = 6 \approx \sqrt{\text{no. of microstates in the largest connected MSM set}}$) space of the first three MSM eigenvectors. Each structure was aligned onto its principal axes and solvated with a 150 mM l⁻¹ NaCl using VMD⁵⁸. The resulting simulation boxes were about 70 × 80 × 120 Å contained 67,000 atoms, including 20,000 water molecules.

MD simulations. Simulations were run using ACEMD⁶² with the ff99SB⁶³ Amber force-field, an integration time-step of 4 fs and a hydrogen mass scaling factor of 4, a 1–4 scaling factor of 5/6, orthorhombic periodic boundary conditions and Particle Mesh Ewald electrostatics with 1 Å grid spacing, a 9 Å cutoff, switching at 7.5 Å, scale1–4 exclusion, full electrostatic frequency of 2 steps, fixed bonded interactions between heavy atoms and hydrogens ('rigidbonds all'), and Langevin dynamics. In the beginning of the MD simulations, three replicates of each of the four starting structures were subjected to 5,000 steps of minimization, followed by 8 ns isothermal-isobaric ensemble (NPT) equilibration with with 1 ps⁻¹ Langevin damping, 1 atm Berendsen pressure and 800 fs relaxation time, and with harmonic, positional restraints on all protein heavy atoms (initial force constant: 5 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻²). For the first 4 ns in steps of 0.1 ns, these restraints were gradually reduced to zero, while the simulation temperature was gradually increased from 10 K to 310 K. For the remaining MD simulations, the pressure control was turned off (NVT-canonical ensemble), and the Langevin damping was set to 0.1 ps⁻¹. Each of these remaining simulations, that is, of the six replicates for each DR1/C_W and DR1- β N82A/C_W, we performed for about 1 μ s. Each final restart point of these simulations was then replicated again (27 times), and simulated on the Titan supercomputer for another 550 ns each. Each of the 162 DR1- β N82A/C_W simulations was run on an in-house graphics-processing unit (GPU) cluster for 200–1,100 ns. The resulting MD simulation data set of 486 individual simulations with 275 μ s total aggregated simulation time was then used for analysis.

Throughout all our MD simulations, we harmonically restrained the center of mass and the inertia tensor's off-diagonal elements of pMHCII's heavy atoms to zero. We implemented these restraints as an ACEMD plugin, which is available upon request. This strategy allowed us to perform our MD simulations with the periodic boundary conditions more efficiently, as the principle components of this inertia tensor had been aligned in the starting structures (see 'MD set-up'). This gain in efficiency (~50% speed-up for pMHCII) is due to the fact that an additional box size (and thus additional water molecules) is not necessary to accommodate for the rotational tumbling of pMHCII under periodic boundary conditions, as has been studied previously^{64,65}. For pMHCII, the force constant values of these restraints (2 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² and 2 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻⁴, respectively) were carefully chosen, so that with a minimal bias, the minimal distances between pMHCII and each of its periodic images would not fluctuate on average over all three box dimensions by more than 0.8 Å during short MD test simulations (<50 ns). In the course of our MD simulations, these minimal distances were closely monitored not to be smaller than two times 9 Å, the electrostatic cutoff.

Markov state modelling. MSMs^{24,47,66–68} were constructed with pyEMMA (www.pyemma.org)²⁶ to arrive at a systematic analysis of the large amounts of simulation data. The data of DR1/C_W as well as the DR1- β N82A/C_W and DR1- α T41A/C_W mutants were transformed and discretized in the same way in order to arrive at models at which the effect of the mutant can be consistently compared: In particular, the MSMs for DR1/C_W and DR1- β N82A/C_W were computed from the combined discretized simulation set of DR1/C_W and DR1- β N82A/C_W, whereas the MSM for DR1- α T41A/C_W was determined from the combined discretized simulation set of DR1/C_W, DR1- β N82A/C_W and DR1- α T41A/C_W. To this end, all pairwise $C\alpha$ -coordinates of residues within 15 Å of β N82 in a simulated 3PDO conformation (that is, α H5- α A10, α M23- α D27, α E30- α F32, α F48- α E55 (not α R50), β R13- β L27 (not β N19), β R71- β R93 (not β R72, β n82)) were used as input coordinates to a time-lagged independent component analysis^{69,70}. After the time-lagged independent component analysis transform, the three most important reaction coordinates were partitioned to 100 discrete states using k-means clustering. The simulations were assigned to this discrete state space and a reversible Markov model was estimated using the algorithm described in ref. 67. Based on implied timescales calculations⁷¹, a lag time of 200 ns was selected (Supplementary Fig. 10, left panels). The resulting Markov models were validated using a Chapman-Kolmogorov Test^{67,72} (Supplementary Fig. 10, right panels), and coarse-grained into three metastable states using PCCA + ⁷³ (Figs 1d and 3g) to represent metastable conformational transitions on the microsecond scale. Molecular graphics and movies were generated with the program PyMol⁷⁴.

Pairwise interaction analysis. Pairwise interactions and their significant differences between pairs of metastable states were detected with a modification of the system-wide Pairwise Interaction Analyser^{75,76}: For every simulation frame assigned to a metastable conformation, a pairwise interaction between two sets of heavy atoms (for example, the heavy side-chain or backbone atoms a residue) is inferred to exist, if the two sets fulfill certain geometric criteria evaluated by the program HBPLUS⁷⁷, or the program VMD⁷⁸ (using a distance criterion provided in ref. 79). For a bootstrapped sample of 100 frames from a metastable state, the list of pairwise interactions were then combined into relative interaction frequencies, and the averages and standard deviations of these frequencies is computed for 50 of such bootstrapped samples. For each bootstrapped metastable state sample, entire trajectories are resampled with fixed k-means microstate definition and microstate-to-metastable state mappings and updated Markov model.

Data availability. The crystal structures of DR1/C_W (4X5W) and DR1- β N82A/C_W (4X5X) have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 4X5W and 4X5X, respectively. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

References

- McFarland, B. J., Katz, J. F., Beeson, C. & Sant, A. J. Energetic asymmetry among hydrogen bonds in MHC class II*peptide complexes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 9231–9236 (2001).
- McFarland, B. J., Katz, J. F., Sant, A. J. & Beeson, C. Energetics and cooperativity of the hydrogen bonding and anchor interactions that bind peptides to MHC class II protein. *J. Mol. Biol.* **350**, 170–183 (2005).
- Zhou, Z., Callaway, K. A., Weber, D. A. & Jensen, P. E. Cutting edge: HLA-DM functions through a mechanism that does not require specific conserved hydrogen bonds in class II MHC-peptide complexes. *J. Immunol.* **183**, 4187–4191 (2009).
- Blum, J. S., Wearsch, P. A. & Cresswell, P. Pathways of antigen processing. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **31**, 443–473 (2013).
- Riberdy, J. M., Newcomb, J. R., Surman, M. J., Barbosa, J. A. & Cresswell, P. HLA-DR molecules from an antigen-processing mutant cell line are associated with invariant chain peptides. *Nature* **360**, 474–477 (1992).
- Denzin, L. K. & Cresswell, P. HLA-DM induces CLIP dissociation from MHC class II alpha beta dimers and facilitates peptide loading. *Cell* **82**, 155–165 (1995).
- Belmares, M. P., Rabinowitz, J. D., Liu, W., Mellins, E. D. & McConnell, H. M. pH stability of HLA-DR4 complexes with antigenic peptides. *Biochemistry* **39**, 14558–14566 (2000).
- Marks, M. S. *et al.* A lysosomal targeting signal in the cytoplasmic tail of the beta chain directs HLA-DM to MHC class II compartments. *J. Cell Biol.* **131**, 351–369 (1995).
- Bello, M. & Correa-Basurto, J. Molecular dynamics simulations to provide insights into epitopes coupled to the soluble and membrane-bound MHC-II complexes. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e72575 (2013).
- Painter, C. A., Cruz, A., Lopez, G. E., Stern, L. J. & Zavala-Ruiz, Z. Model for the peptide-free conformation of class II MHC proteins. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e2403 (2008).
- Rupp, B. *et al.* Characterization of structural features controlling the receptiveness of empty class II MHC molecules. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e18662 (2011).
- Yaneva, R., Springer, S. & Zacharias, M. Flexibility of the MHC class II peptide binding cleft in the bound, partially filled, and empty states: a molecular dynamics simulation study. *Biopolymers* **91**, 14–27 (2009).
- Anders, A. K. *et al.* HLA-DM captures partially empty HLA-DR molecules for catalyzed removal of peptide. *Nat. Immunol.* **12**, 54–61 (2011).
- Pos, W. *et al.* Crystal structure of the HLA-DM-HLA-DR1 complex defines mechanisms for rapid peptide selection. *Cell* **151**, 1557–1568 (2012).
- Hou, T. *et al.* An insertion mutant in DQA1*0501 restores susceptibility to HLA-DM: implications for disease associations. *J. Immunol.* **187**, 2442–2452 (2011).
- Zhou, Z. & Jensen, P. E. Structural Characteristics of HLA-DQ that may impact DM editing and susceptibility to type-1 diabetes. *Front. Immunol.* **4**, 262 (2013).
- Fallang, L. E. *et al.* Complexes of two cohorts of CLIP peptides and HLA-DQ2 of the autoimmune DR3-DQ2 haplotype are poor substrates for HLA-DM. *J. Immunol.* **181**, 5451–5461 (2008).
- Pos, W., Sethi, D. K. & Wucherpfennig, K. W. Mechanisms of peptide repertoire selection by HLA-DM. *Trends Immunol.* **34**, 495–501 (2013).
- Mellins, E. D. & Stern, L. J. HLA-DM and HLA-DO, key regulators of MHC-II processing and presentation. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **26**, 115–122 (2014).
- Gunther, S. *et al.* Bidirectional binding of invariant chain peptides to an MHC class II molecule. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 22219–22224 (2010).
- Painter, C. A. *et al.* Conformational lability in the class II MHC 310 helix and adjacent extended strand dictate HLA-DM susceptibility and peptide exchange. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 19329–19334 (2011).

22. Painter, C. A. & Stern, L. J. Conformational variation in structures of classical and non-classical MHCII proteins and functional implications. *Immunol. Rev.* **250**, 144–157 (2012).
23. Ferrante, A. For many but not for all: how the conformational flexibility of the peptide/MHCII complex shapes epitope selection. *Immunol. Res.* **56**, 85–95 (2013).
24. Bowman, G. R., Pande, V. S. & Noé, F. *An Introduction to Markov State Models and Their Application to Long Timescale Molecular Simulation* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2014).
25. Bowman, G. R. An overview and practical guide to building Markov state models. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **797**, 7–22 (2014).
26. Senne, M., Trendelkamp-Scroer, B., Mey, A. S. J. S., Schütte, C. & Noe, F. EMMA—A software package for Markov model building and analysis. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.* **8**, 2223–2238 (2012).
27. Yin, L. *et al.* Susceptibility to HLA-DM is determined by a dynamic conformation of major histocompatibility complex class II molecule bound with peptide. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 23449–23464 (2014).
28. Alvaro-Benito, M., Wiczorek, M., Sticht, J., Kipar, C. & Freund, C. HLA-DMA polymorphisms differentially affect MHC class II peptide loading. *J. Immunol.* **194**, 803–816 (2015).
29. Guce, A. I. *et al.* HLA-DO acts as a substrate mimic to inhibit HLA-DM by a competitive mechanism. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 90–98 (2013).
30. Chou, C. L. & Sadegh-Nasseri, S. HLA-DM recognizes the flexible conformation of major histocompatibility complex class II. *J. Exp. Med.* **192**, 1697–1706 (2000).
31. Natarajan, S. K., Stern, L. J. & Sadegh-Nasseri, S. Sodium dodecyl sulfate stability of HLA-DR1 complexes correlates with burial of hydrophobic residues in pocket 1. *J. Immunol.* **162**, 3463–3470 (1999).
32. Ferrante, A. HLA-DM: arbiter conformationis. *Immunology* **138**, 85–92 (2013).
33. Ferrante, A., Templeton, M., Hoffman, M. & Castellini, M. J. The thermodynamic mechanism of peptide-MHC class II complex formation is a determinant of susceptibility to HLA-DM. *J. Immunol.* **195**, 1251–1261 (2015).
34. van Lith, M., McEwen-Smith, R. M. & Benham, A. M. HLA-DP, HLA-DQ, and HLA-DR have different requirements for invariant chain and HLA-DM. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 40800–40808 (2010).
35. Busch, R. *et al.* On the perils of poor editing: regulation of peptide loading by HLA-DQ and H2-A molecules associated with celiac disease and type 1 diabetes. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* **14**, e15 (2012).
36. Marin-Esteban, V., Falk, K. & Rotzschke, O. ‘Chemical analogues’ of HLA-DM can induce a peptide-receptive state in HLA-DR molecules. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 50684–50690 (2004).
37. Hopner, S. *et al.* Small organic compounds enhance antigen loading of class II major histocompatibility complex proteins by targeting the polymorphic P1 pocket. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 38535–38542 (2006).
38. Call, M. J. *et al.* In vivo enhancement of peptide display by MHC class II molecules with small molecule catalysts of peptide exchange. *J. Immunol.* **182**, 6342–6352 (2009).
39. Gupta, S. *et al.* Anchor side chains of short peptide fragments trigger ligand-exchange of class II MHC molecules. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e1814 (2008).
40. Ostrov, D. A. *et al.* Drug hypersensitivity caused by alteration of the MHC-presented self-peptide repertoire. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 9959–9964 (2012).
41. Illing, P. T. *et al.* Immune self-reactivity triggered by drug-modified HLA-peptide repertoire. *Nature* **486**, 554–558 (2012).
42. Hulpke, S. & Tampe, R. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **38**, 412–420 (2013).
43. van Hateren, A., Bailey, A., Werner, J. M. & Elliott, T. Plasticity of empty major histocompatibility complex class I molecules determines peptide-selector function. *Mol. Immunol.* **68**, 98–101 (2015).
44. Abualrous, E. T. *et al.* F pocket flexibility influences the tapasin dependence of two differentially disease-associated MHC Class I proteins. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **45**, 1248–1257 (2015).
45. Bailey, A. *et al.* Selector function of MHC I molecules is determined by protein plasticity. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14928 (2015).
46. Manglik, A. & Kobilka, B. The role of protein dynamics in GPCR function: insights from the beta2AR and rhodopsin. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **27**, 136–143 (2014).
47. Kohlhoff, K. J. *et al.* Cloud-based simulations on Google Exacycle reveal ligand modulation of GPCR activation pathways. *Nat. Chem.* **6**, 15–21 (2014).
48. Sun, D. *et al.* Probing Galphai1 protein activation at single-amino acid resolution. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **22**, 686–694 (2015).
49. Schlundt, A. *et al.* A xenon-129 biosensor for monitoring MHC-peptide interactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **48**, 4142–4145 (2009).
50. Schlundt, A. *et al.* Peptide linkage to the alpha-subunit of MHCII creates a stably inverted antigen presentation complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* **423**, 294–302 (2012).
51. Kozono, H., White, J., Clements, J., Marrack, P. & Kappler, J. Production of soluble MHC class II proteins with covalently bound single peptides. *Nature* **369**, 151–154 (1994).
52. Frayser, M., Sato, A. K., Xu, L. & Stern, L. J. Empty and peptide-loaded class II major histocompatibility complex proteins produced by expression in *Escherichia coli* and folding in vitro. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **15**, 105–114 (1999).
53. Vranken, W. F. *et al.* The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline. *Proteins* **59**, 687–696 (2005).
54. Englander, S. W., Sosnick, T. R., Englander, J. J. & Mayne, L. Mechanisms and uses of hydrogen exchange. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **6**, 18–23 (1996).
55. Bai, Y., Milne, J. S., Mayne, L. & Englander, S. W. Primary structure effects on peptide group hydrogen exchange. *Proteins* **1**, 75–86 (1993).
56. Kabsch, W. Xds. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
57. McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40**, 658–674 (2007).
58. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
59. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
60. Olsson, M. H., Søndergaard, C. R., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. PROPKA3: consistent treatment of internal and surface residues in empirical p K a predictions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **7**, 525–537 (2011).
61. Pronk, S. *et al.* GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 845–854 (2013).
62. Harvey, M. J., Giupponi, G. & Fabritiis, G. D. ACEMD: accelerating biomolecular dynamics in the microsecond time scale. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **5**, 1632–1639 (2009).
63. Hornak, V. *et al.* Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. *Proteins* **65**, 712–725 (2006).
64. Amadei, A., Chillemi, G., Ceruso, M., Grottesi, A. & Di Nola, A. Molecular dynamics simulations with constrained roto-translational motions: theoretical basis and statistical mechanical consistency. *J. Chem. Phys.* **112**, 9–23 (2000).
65. Wassenaar, T. A. & Mark, A. E. The effect of box shape on the dynamic properties of proteins simulated under periodic boundary conditions. *J. Comput. Chem.* **27**, 316–325 (2006).
66. Buchete, N. V. & Hummer, G. Coarse master equations for peptide folding dynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **112**, 6057–6069 (2008).
67. Prinz, J. H. *et al.* Markov models of molecular kinetics: generation and validation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **134**, 174105 (2011).
68. Stanley, N., Esteban-Martin, S. & De Fabritiis, G. Kinetic modulation of a disordered protein domain by phosphorylation. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5272 (2014).
69. Perez-Hernandez, G., Paul, F., Giorgino, T., De Fabritiis, G. & Noe, F. Identification of slow molecular order parameters for Markov model construction. *J. Chem. Phys.* **139**, 015102 (2013).
70. Schwantes, C. R. & Pande, V. S. Improvements in Markov state model construction reveal many non-native interactions in the folding of NTL9. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **9**, 2000–2009 (2013).
71. Swope, W. C. & Pitera, J. W. Describing protein folding kinetics by molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **108**, 6671–6581 (2004).
72. Trendelkamp-Schroer, B., Wu, H., Paul, F. & Noe, F. Estimation and uncertainty of reversible Markov models. *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 174101 (2015).
73. Röblitz, S. & Weber, M. Fuzzy spectral clustering by PCCA ± : application to Markov state models and data classification. *Adv. Data Anal. Classif.* **7**, 147–179 (2013).
74. Schrödinger, L. L. C. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8 (New York, NY, 2015).
75. Stolzenberg, S. *et al.* Mechanism of the association between Na⁺ binding and conformations at the intracellular gate in neurotransmitter: sodium symporters. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 13992–14003 (2015).
76. Stolzenberg, S., Michino, M., LeVine, M. V., Weinstein, H. & Shi, L. Computational approaches to detect allosteric pathways in transmembrane molecular machines. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes* **1858**, 1652–1662 (2016).
77. McDonald, I., Naylor, D., Jones, D. & Thornton, J. *HBPLUS Computer Program* (Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University College, 1993).
78. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 33–38 (1996).
79. Venkatakrisnan, A. *et al.* Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. *Nature* **494**, 185–194 (2013).
80. Zhao, C. *et al.* Ion-controlled conformational dynamics in the outward-open transition from an occluded state of LeuT. *Biophys. J.* **103**, 878–888 (2012).

Acknowledgements

This research was made possible with allocation of synchrotron beamtime at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin and of computing time at the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (Director’s Discretion Award, project name: ‘BIP103’). S.S. is supported by a DRS/Marie Curie Post-Doctoral POINT Fellowship of Freie Universität Berlin. F.N. acknowledges support from the European Commission (starting grant ‘pcCell’) and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant no 825/3-1) and C.F. his support

from SFB854, SFB958 and TR-186. We thank Peter Schmieder, Monika Beerbaum and Martin Ballaschk for NMR technical support and pulse sequences, and Yvette Roske for X-ray data collection. We also are thankful to Eliot Morrison for critically reading the manuscript, and Nuria Plattner, Guillermo Pérez-Hernández and Martin Scherer for helpful discussions.

Author contributions

M.W. and J.S. performed NMR; S.S. performed MD simulations, Markov state modelling, and molecular analyses; C.W. developed the ACEMD plugin software that provided the basis for S.S. to implement the rotational restraints imposed in the MD simulations, S.G. performed X-ray crystallography, M.W., M.A.-B. and Z.H. performed biochemical experiments, M.W., J.S., S.S., F.N. and C.F. designed experiments, interpreted results and wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Wiczorek, M. *et al.* MHC class II complexes sample intermediate states along the peptide exchange pathway. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13224 doi: 10.1038/ncomms13224 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016