# **Implications of agricultural transitions and urbanization for ecosystem services**

Graeme S. Cumming<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Buerkert<sup>2</sup>, Ellen M. Hoffmann<sup>2</sup>, Eva Schlecht<sup>3</sup>, Stephan von Cramon-Taubadel<sup>4</sup> & Teja Tscharntke<sup>5</sup>

**Historically, farmers and hunter-gatherers relied directly on ecosystem services, which they both exploited and enjoyed. Urban populations still rely on ecosystems, but prioritize non-ecosystem services (socioeconomic). Population growth and densification increase the scale and change the nature of both ecosystem- and non-ecosystem-service supply and demand, weakening direct feedbacks between ecosystems and societies and potentially pushing social–ecological systems into traps that can lead to collapse. The interacting and mutually reinforcing processes of technological change, population growth and urbanization contribute to over-exploitation of ecosystems through complex feedbacks that have important implications for sustainable resource use.**

**Contemporary research suggests that humanity is over-exploiting** the environment<sup>1</sup>, driving global climate change, eutrophication, degradation of ecosystems and biodiversity loss<sup>2</sup>. At the same time, the world's human p the environment<sup>1</sup>, driving global climate change, eutrophication, degradation of ecosystems and biodiversity loss<sup>2</sup>. At the same people to 9.6 billion by 2050 (ref. 3). Although most agro-ecosystems have coped with anthropogenic pressures<sup>4</sup>, we cannot assume they will continue to meet our increasing demands<sup>5</sup>. Food-production systems are now global, with attendant benefits and risks $^6$ ; the diversity of farmed crops is declining $\vec{\ }$ ; and environmental degradation from agriculture is widespread<sup>8-10</sup>. These trends are eroding the resilience of agroecosystems to anthropogenic perturbations such as climate change<sup>6,11,12</sup>.

Reconciling the demands of the growing human population with ecological sustainability is increasingly difficult<sup>13</sup>. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment<sup>14</sup> classified ecosystem goods and services (ESS) into four categories: provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural. It also acknowledged that ecosystems can provide or contribute to disservices, such as pathogens and floods. Subsequent analyses have generally focused on single services, or on ESS as outcomes of ecosystem-focused or food-production-focused models<sup>15-17</sup>. The underlying drivers of ecosystem degradation are, however, economic activities that are not themselves ecosystem-focused<sup>18</sup> and may be separated from their own consequences by long socioeconomic supply chains<sup>19</sup>. ESS research has concentrated on ecosystems<sup>20</sup>, rather than the institutional, political and socioeconomic drivers of ecological change<sup>21</sup>. Even the recognition that monitoring ESS requires not only ecological but also socioeconomic data is relatively recent<sup>22</sup> and has not yet influenced the ways in which important policies, such as international trade agreements and development goals, are designed and implemented<sup>22,23</sup>.

Social–ecological systems are complex and adaptive, and attempts to manage them often have unintended consequences $11,24$ . To manage ESS sustainably, we need to understand the trajectories of change that have produced our current situation and continue to shape it; the interactions, feedbacks and trade-offs between different services and the social–ecological interactions that produce them; and the ways in which fundamental structural changes (those that require new system models, rather than simply adjustments to existing models) occur within the ESS context. Developing this understanding requires us to connect people and ecosystems in an interdisciplinary social–ecological systems

framework<sup>25,26</sup>. We address this challenge by proposing a simple conceptual model that shows how a systems perspective on ESS, in the context of agricultural transitions and increasing urbanization, helps to explain ecological over-exploitation.

# **Service shifts in agricultural transitions**

In a sense, human history is the story of the great transition from hunting and gathering, through farming, to the present situation in which less than 1% of the population (in many industrialized countries) is directly employed in food production<sup>27-29</sup>. In Germany, for instance, the average farmer in 1950 fed 10 individuals, but in 2010 he or she was feeding 131 people<sup>30</sup>. Despite its importance, there have been few attempts to develop formal social–ecological models of this transition. Generic systems models of ESS are also surprisingly hard to find. Most empirical analyses apply to individual goods or services, such as water flows or climate regulation<sup>21</sup>. A few published studies have quantified trade-offs between different ecosystem services<sup>31-35</sup>, but we are unaware of any formal, causal systems models that provide a broad overview of ESS across multiple categories and scales. Despite the existence of a wide range of land-cover change models<sup>36</sup>, and a growing interest in transforming cities for greater environmental sustainability<sup>37</sup>, the changes in service provision that are likely to happen during the transition from an agricultural society to an industrial society are poorly specified.

If a human population is stable and most of the people depend directly on ESS, the feedbacks from ESS to human well-being are clear. Many cultures have developed rules and traditions that, under normal conditions, maintain their own resource base (for example, Balinese water temples as irrigation systems<sup>38</sup>; the protection of sacred forests in southwest Madagascar<sup>39</sup>; and the release of trained eagles, once they reach 5 years old, back to the wild by the Kazakh Golden Eagle hunters of western Mongolia40). Although rules for natural resource management are not always effective, if a local equilibrium between resource use and human population size is maintained, a 'green loop' that avoids long-term degradation of ecosystems can be sustained.

The green loop starts to break down when human populations grow as a result of technological change that increases food supply and life expectancy. Population density and infrastructure increase as urban settlements create alternative livelihood opportunities, provide security

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percy FitzPatrick Institute, DST/NRF Centre of Excellence, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, Cape Town 7701, South Africa. <sup>2</sup>Organic Plant Production and Agroecosystems Research in the Tropics and Subtropics, Universität Kassel, Steinstr. 19, D-37213 Witzenhausen, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Animal Husbandry in the Tropics and Subtropics, Universität Kassel and Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Steinstr. 19, D-37213 Witzenhausen, Germany. "Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, Georg-August-Universität, Platz der Göttinger Sieben 5, D-37073 Germany. 5 Agroecology, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Grisebachstr. 6, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany.



**Figure 1 | The green-loop to red-loop transition.** In this transition, as the population grows, the red loop overwhelms the green loop to become the dominant regime driving the use of ecosystem goods and services (ESS). **a**, In the starting green loop, rural populations manage their local ecosystems. **b**, As the population grows, a 'shadow' red loop begins to develop; changes in socioeconomic variables, such as increased demand for food, fibre and fuel, lead to greater local ecosystem impacts. **c**, The red loop gains prominence as

and increase economic, social and political complexity. However, urban dwellers typically have less contact with their primary resource base $4^1$ . Over time, the ability of local ecosystems to supply a full range of ESS to growing settlements is reduced by one or more possible causes. First, the area required to meet the needs of each family exceeds the boundaries of the area that they cultivate, and the needs of the entire population exceed the resources that they can access directly. The local ecosystem cannot produce enough food, particularly during periods of adversity. In addition, as settlements grow, the surrounding ecosystems are increasingly modified for provisioning services such as food and water, often at the expense of other kinds of ESS. Second, increasing population density makes simple forms of waste disposal impractical, necessitating technological solutions. Third, as the settlement grows the logistics of access to ESS and travel time make it impractical for each household to extract everything that it needs from the local ecosystem. This creates demands for trade, technology and infrastructure to enhance resource-use efficiency (particularly of land and water), and the need for specialized production roles (for example, farmer or blacksmith)<sup>42</sup>. Last, an institutional environment that enables specialization and exchange, as well as the planning and maintenance of public infrastructure, requires individuals such as administrators, merchants and law-enforcers who do not contribute directly to food production, further distancing individuals from ecosystems. As societies find solutions to these challenges, local economies and populations grow and the tasks that people perform become more specialized<sup>42</sup>. These changes gradually transform a system in a green loop to one in a 'red loop' (Fig. 1) as three trends unfold. First, demands for non-ESS continue to increase, resulting in changes in institutions (rules, laws, policies and customs) and governance systems as well as the construction of infrastructure (housing, roads and reservoirs). Second, urban settlement

demand for services shifts from a need for ecosystem services to a need for nonecosystem services. **d**, As the demand for services shifts, the red loop becomes the dominant driver in the flow of ecosystem services and is accompanied by an upscaling and specialization process that results in the gradual alienation of urban people from the ecosystem; the strength of the connection between the local ecosystem and society is heavily reduced (dashed line). This can easily result in over-exploitation of ecosystems and ecological degradation.

and specialization foster technological progress, which is then pursued systematically. Technological progress in agriculture, specifically, can strengthen both population growth and urbanization<sup>43,44</sup>. Third, because provisioning from local ecosystems can no longer meet local demand, many needs that were formerly met by local ecosystems are outsourced, resulting in an increase in the geographic extent of supply and demand (upscaling). This trend is reinforced by developments in transportation technology and the demand for foreign products (for example, spices and precious metals) by a growing and increasingly wealthy population. Thus, a gradual transition occurs from an economy based on ESS to one based on non-ESS and remote extraction. In the process, the perceived importance of ecosystems to people decreases. The proportion of people who extract goods directly from ecosystems (farmers, fishers and loggers) declines and their status might be reduced. During the transition period, which may last for decades, elements of both red and green loops coexist (Fig. 2). Typically, this increases socioeconomic diversity, spatial heterogeneity and inequity, often with the formation of spatial gradients in ecosystem service provision and socioeconomic variables related to proximity to various resources<sup>45</sup>.

The socioeconomic dynamics that are driven by growing markets for non-ESS, together with upscaling, ongoing technological change and related acceleration of population growth, have many consequences for ESS. Society's ecological footprint grows<sup>46</sup>. As people's reliance on ecosystems becomes less obvious, they become less aware of ecological degradation and less concerned about it. They might also be too overwhelmed by local change to pay attention to their regional and global impacts<sup>47</sup>. As the connections between food production and food consumption (as well as feed and fuel production and use) become less apparent, societies unintentionally place increasing pressure on dwindling resources. In addition, the ability of people to censure others for abusing natural resources declines<sup>48</sup> because social interactions between producers and consumers weaken.

Once the transition from green-loop to red-loop dynamics is underway, the red loop becomes the dominant driver of societal change. Institutions and actionsthat conserve ESS and contribute to their sustainability must then be negotiated in new action forums<sup> $49$ </sup> in which many powerful and often competing actors, such as politicians, mining corporations and manufacturing industries, push to enhance the provision of non-ESS, often at the expense of ESS. The shift from green-loop to red-loop dynamics thus underpins a gradual regime  $\text{shift}^{24,50}$  in the entire social–ecological system.

Although the transition occurs gradually, the shift from a green to a red loop represents a fundamental change in system functioning that requires two different system models, rather than parameter changes within a single model. The two loops are alternate social– ecological states, each of which has reinforcing feedbacks that buffer it from change. The key slow-changing variables in the system<sup>51</sup> are increasing human population and population density, which create amplifying feedbacks that rapidly ratchet up the demand for ESS and non-ESS; technological change, which accelerates population growth and enables a growing proportion of people to obtain their livelihoods in ways unrelated to agriculture; and a loss of biodiversity, which can lead to eventual socioeconomic collapse.

During transition, the proportion of aggregate income obtained directly from ecosystems declines from high (green loop) to low (red loop)<sup>52,53</sup>. A shift occurs from high to low relative prices for basic ESS (provision of staples such as wheat, potatoes and cassava) and to higher demand for special commodities (luxury food items such as a range of fruits)<sup>54</sup>. The value of sustaining and regulating services also increases while the value of provisioning services declines. For example, access to electricity and fossil fuels in cities reduces the reliance on local wood-fuel production<sup>55</sup>. Demands for cultural services might change with peoples' perception of nature, and willingness to pay for cultural services may increase as natural landscapes become

scarcer. For example, temples and shrines in heavily populated cities in Japan and Thailand have found new significance as places in which to experience nature<sup>56</sup>. Upscaling and increased trade in a redloop population are not necessarily unsustainable if they lead to an equilibrium between the human population and resources at larger geographic extents.

Lock-in to a red loop need not be an 'end point'57. Demand for new cultural services, such as walking trails and ecotourism, could lead to a reintegration of local ESS into urban economies and politics (although ecosystems may be species-poor by the time this occurs). Concerns for human health could also lead to measures to prevent environmental degradation. Ageing and declining post-peak human populations will bring new dynamics and possibly, if sufficient biodiversity remains, the potential to return to more direct interactions with ESS. It remains unclear, however, whether efforts to 're-green' cities (for example, through urban rooftop gardens<sup>58</sup>) can persist as the human population continues to grow, and whether cities will become unsustainable without efforts to make them greener and more self-sufficient.

The transition from green- to red-loop dynamics occurs through feedbacks between technological change, population growth and ecosystem change. The resulting red loop has the potential to sustainably reconcile these forces by solving service supply and distribution problems. There may, however, be hurdles that prevent a successful transition and/or reduce the sustainability of the red loop. Overconsumption in the red loop and failure to regulate ecological decline can produce a 'red trap'. Rural poverty and ecological degradation in the green loop may reinforce each other, leading to a 'green trap'. In both cases, systems must reorganize or they will collapse (Fig. 3).

Tests of our model require long-term time series data for agricultural production, demography, economic developments and ecosystem change (Table 1). As a first step towards grounding the model empirically, we review evidence from three case studies: Sweden, as an example of a green-loop to red-loop transition; the Sahel, focusing



**Figure 2 | Detailed interactions and feedbacks during the transitional period between green and red loops.** Basic household needs create a local demand for ecosystem goods and services (ESS). This may be expressed as direct and unregulated impacts on ecosystems, or, more typically, as 'use actions' (consumptive and non-consumptive) that are governed by rules, laws, policies and customs(institutions). Among use actions, those that have the highest ecological impacts are generally those that involve direct extraction of resources (for example, logging, cultivation or water extraction). Use actions affect the provision of ESS as well as 'disservices' (pathogens, crop damage

or floods). The degree to which human needs are met by ecosystem services then affects future demand, completing the loop. The direct interactions of people and ecosystems are gradually overrun by the red loop, in which the focus is non-ESS, despite the continued importance of ecosystems for the community. Ongoing local and regional impacts on ecosystems are hidden from urban dwellers by outsourcing and infrastructure development. The two 'wild card' variables (in grey), human health and regional processes, may be present in either red- or green-loop situations and may create ecological or socioeconomic surprises that can alter system dynamics.

on Niger, as an example of a green-loop to green-trap transition; and Beijing, as an example of a red-loop to red-trap transition (in the absence of an unequivocal contemporary example of a country in a red trap).

### **Green loop to red loop in Sweden**

For more than 1,000 years, Sweden had low population levels and a dominantly agrarian lifestyle, consistent with a green-loop dynamic. It still has one of Europe's lowest population densities (around 9.5 million inhabitants; 21 people  $\text{km}^{-2}$ ), but between 1750 and 1850 the population doubled and its subsequent growth was much faster<sup>59,60</sup>. Around 1870 to 1890, population growth triggered a switch from a green loop (or possibly even a green trap; more than 1% of the population emigrated to America every year during the  $1880s^{61}$ ) to a red loop. Rapid economic development, fuelled by engineering, mining, and the steel and pulp industries as well as internal institutional changes and a growing export market, took place between 1870 and 1914 (ref. 62). Two world wars and a global recession reduced economic growth, but gross domestic product (GDP) grew rapidly after 1950 (ref. 60).

Since 1950, industries and business services have expanded, whereas agricultural production has remained relatively constant. Infrastructural assets — buildings and machinery — grew by two orders of magnitude from 69 billion year-2000 Swedish kronor in 1965 to 2.5 trillion year-2000 Swedish kronor in 2000. By contrast, employment in agriculture declined from nearly a million people in 1880 to under 50,000 in 2000, and roughly 20% of agricultural land was removed from production between 1949 and 1999 (ref. 63). Sweden managed to retain substantial natural resources through its agricultural transition. In 2013, 69% of the country was forested, 8% was used as agricultural land and only 2.8% was 'built-up<sup>64</sup>. Transport infrastructure (roads, railways, harbours and airports) accounted for 40% of built-up land, with total infrastructure occupying a greater area than residential dwellings.

Advances in technologies and farming methods seem to be helping Sweden to remain sustainably within a red loop, with reductions inlocal environmental degradation and stable or increasing food production. According to Statistics Sweden<sup>64</sup>, total household water withdrawals between 1995 and 2010 declined from 616,000  $\text{m}^{3}$  to 576,000  $\text{m}^{3}$  per year; and for agriculture, from 137,000  $\text{m}^{3}$  to 99,000  $\text{m}^{3}$ . Nitrogen inputs into water bodies declined from 34,527 t in 1995 to 24,416 t in 2005 and for phosphorus, from 970 t to 733 t during the same period. From 1965 to 2012, the area farmed and the numbers of individual people engaged in farming strongly declined, but yields per hectare of wheat (summer and winter) increased from 6,880 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 11,110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and annual production more than doubled from 1,039,320 t to 2,289,300 t.

In a red loop we expect a disconnect between people and local ecosystems, with negative consequences for biodiversity and ESS. The available evidence supports this view. The greatest losses of Swedish grasslands, one of the country's most species-rich habitats, occurred before 1950 and created an extinction debt for habitat-specialized vascular plants, with species still being lost from the remaining grasslands<sup>65</sup>. Extensive loss of old-growth forest dates back to a 1948 policy that enabled clear-cutting and over-use of herbicides in Swedish forests. The remaining forests of high conservation value are considered too small and too fragmented to meet current forest and environmental policy goals<sup>66</sup>. The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency was only created in 1967, and biodiversity conservation has only been a nationally agreed objective of forest management since 1992 (ref. 66). In the Baltic Sea, the Swedish cod harvest peaked at 59,000 t in 1984, but had dropped to 16,000 t by 1993 as the fishery collapsed<sup>67</sup>. A review of the impacts of agricultural intensification on essential ESS in Sweden between 1950 and 1999 (ref. 63) found that most of the measures indicated a loss of ESS from the Swedish agricultural landscape. These included a 60% decline in native pollinator abundance and 46%, 33% and 14% increases in the concentrations of the heavy metals mercury, cadmium and lead, respectively.

Sweden has met many of its needs by upscaling, as predicted by our



Ecological degradation

**Figure 3 | States, traps and transitions along the rural to urban gradient.** A typical development trajectory from an agricultural (green loop) to industrial (red loop) society involves individual households gaining wealth while some level of ecosystem degradation occurs. Depending on population growth rates and governance, societies may grow without true socioeconomic restructuring (green trap) or become rich and continue to over-exploit ecosystems (red trap). The dashed lines indicate avoidable transitions. Both traps can lead to socioeconomic collapse. One of the primary challenges of development and policy initiatives is to shift societies from a green trap to a red loop (dotted line) without heavily altering consumption patterns, thus maintaining a relatively high individual quality of life without entering a red trap.

model. The ecological footprints of large cities in the Baltic Sea region for food and timber production and waste assimilation are more than 565–1,130 times their combined area<sup>68</sup>. Swedish imports and exports increased sevenfold from 1975 to 2000, with unknown ecological impacts on remote locations. Internal biodiversity loss or an external limit to growth (such as climate change) may yet affect Sweden's economy<sup>69</sup>. We could, however, find no obvious evidence that Sweden has entered a red trap. For the moment, it is an example of a shift from a green to a red loop that first increased and then reduced the impacts of the growing human population on local ecosystems.

# **Green loop to green trap in the Sahel**

In Niger, millennia-old, environmentally specialized societies of pastoralists, agro-pastoralists, fishermen and traders indicate the long-term adaptations of people to ecosystem limitations and opportunities. In some parts of the pre-colonial Sahel, the wealth created as a result of labour division and the inter-regional trade of gold, salt and slaves led to the formation of cultural centres such as Timbuktu and Djenné in Mali between the thirteenth and sixteenth centuries<sup>70</sup>, proving the economic success of combined trade, regional migration and agro-pastoralism in successfully defying unpredictable rainfall. The existence of a relatively sparse rural society in the Sahel for several thousand years suggests a stable green loop.

The slave trade during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries resulted in the loss of up to 3 million African inhabitants, affecting the workforce and cultural progress. Population recovery during the twentieth century rapidly led to a shortage of fertile land. Together with erratic rainfall, low soil fertility has, for centuries, limited the effectiveness of agricultural intensification efforts<sup>71</sup>. Shorter fallow periods have led to the expansion of cropping systems into ever more marginal drylands. The resulting large drop in per capita cereal production has required rapidly increasing cereal imports<sup>72</sup> (Fig. 4). Although between 1970 and 2012 the area of harvested cereals expanded from 2.3 million to 10 million ha, cereal imports increased from almost zero to 340,000 t (Fig. 4).







GDP, gross domestic product; ESS, ecosystem goods and services

These trends correspond with a shift from a green loop to a green trap, in which poor rural populations remain enmeshed in rural poverty. Apart from during the two big Sahel droughts (in the early 1970s and mid-1980s), Niger has coped with the per capita decline of its rainfed cereal production by upscaling. In our model, this indicates a shift towards a red loop. However, the economic basis for imports was the uranium boom — recently complemented by revenues from oil and gold — which resulted in an availability of funds without the creation of a full set of economic, infrastructural and institutional assets that would characterize a red loop. The decline in demand for nuclear fuel during the 1990s therefore resulted in a food crisis and political instability.

In response to the green trap, the rural population of Niger migrated. In 1951, the urban population was 6% of the country's 3.3 million inhabitants; by 2012 it was 17% of 16.6 million<sup>72</sup>. As people in Niger attempt to escape the green trap, the intensive production of vegetables in urban and peri-urban agricultural systems and in irrigated gardening systems of southeastern Niger has increased; for example, onion sales in the Maradi region increased from 26,000 t in 1961 to 370,000 t in 2011 (ref. 72). Imports of staple foods, largely financed by foreign aid, have allowed the urban population and its alienation from ESS to continue to grow (upscaling based on external economic resources). Upscaling of demand without expansion of local supply has led to further neglect of the rural sector, putting additional strain on ecosystems, leading to more ecosystem degradation, and making it increasingly difficult to escape the green trap. For example, reliance on wood fuel from

the marginal shrublands that formerly surrounded the cities<sup>73</sup> has led to the widespread loss of vegetation cover and a decline in associated regulating and supporting services.

Agricultural innovations proposed for the Sahel over the past 50 years have largely failed because food production is hampered by a combination of climatic unpredictability and political neglect<sup>74</sup>. A few examples from Sudano–Sahelian West Africa<sup>75,76</sup> indicate that agricultural intensification with positive feedback loops to ESS is possible, in principle, in this region. It depends, however, on effective local policies, risk-reducing technologies and stable market demand for commodities that support farmers' investments in agriculture (as well as curbing the present 3.9% per annum population growth rate).

#### **Red loop to red trap in Beijing**

Beijing is situated on the fertile North China Plain. It has an average annual precipitation of 578 mm and relies, for staple foods, on an intensive double cropping system of maize (corn) and wheat. Although the lack of water has limited the development of the Beijing basin area for centuries, and despite China's one-child policy, the greater metropolitan area has grown from 9 million inhabitants in 1978 to more than 17 million in 2009 (ref. 77).

Population growth, exacerbated by immigration, rapid industrialization and changes in consumer demands, has led to an ever-increasing demand for water resources. Beijing's per-capita water-storage capacity of 300 m<sup>3</sup> is 12.5% of China's urban average and 3% of the world's.

# REVIEW INSIGHT



**Figure 4 | Development of cereal production in Niger between 1960 and 2014.** Cereal data illustrate the failed transition from a green to a red loop, and the resulting entry into a green trap. Cereal yield per hectare (a) has declined and the large expansion of the cultivated area (b) is primarily responsible for the increase in total cereal production (c), despite an overall

increase in fertilizer use (d). Cereal production per 1,000 people (e) dropped slightly as the human population grew (f). This would have led to a decline in cereal availability per capita. However, since the 1990s, extensive cereal imports (g) have compensated for the shortfall, with the linked collapse of cereal exports (h).

Overuse of ESS is evident: 60% of Beijing's total water use, and 80% of its irrigation water, is fossil groundwater, which is unrenewable. Average water table levels in 2000 were 8.1 m lower than in 1980 and 12.2 m lower than in 1960 (ref. 78). In 2005, agriculture consumed 38% of the total water, for industry the value was 20%, and for municipal and residential purposes it was 39%; the latter is rapidly increasing, leading to fierce competition between these sectors<sup>78</sup>. Around 70% of the irrigation water in the North China Plain is wasted by evaporation, deep percolation or run-off<sup>79</sup>. China's central government has now implemented measures, such as the use of plastic mulching and tree-crop interplanting on large areas, to enhance water conservation.

High-intensity agricultural production in the Beijing area satisfies only 17% of city dwellers' demand for grain and 31% of their demand for vegetables<sup>77</sup>. Heavy environmental contamination has occurred from uncontrolled wastewater discharge into water bodies, nitrate leaching from over-fertilization, and the release of gaseous pollutants and aerosols into the atmosphere. An estimated 75% of urban residents in China live in areas in which the air quality is below the country's own standards; fine particle, emissions of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides and subsequent fallout of acid rain<sup>80</sup> affect an even higher proportion of Beijing's population. In 1997 the nationwide death toll from air pollution was already estimated to be 300,000 people per year<sup>81</sup>. Annual total aerial nitrogen deposition rates in China rose from 13 kg N ha−1 in the 1980s to 21 kg N ha−1 in the 2000s, of which agricultural nitrogen sources contributed two-thirds<sup>82</sup>. Recent data for the Beijing area show annual total dry and wet nitrogen depositions of more than 90 kg ha−1 per year<sup>83</sup>, resulting in widespread acidification of the generally wellbuffered surface soils of China's croplands<sup>84</sup>.

In recent decades, per capita income in Beijing has risen faster than the cost of living and the proportion of household income spent on food has declined. Hence, Beijing has witnessed a transition to urban lifestyles, a growing dependence of food markets on distant ESS (upscaling)

and the breakage of direct feedbacks from local ecosystems to the local population. So far, upscaling seems to have been a successful strategy for dealing with a potential red-trap situation. However, it is unclear whether further development in Beijing will be sustainable given ongoing declines in ESS and human well-being<sup>85</sup>.

#### **General implications**

Although ecosystems are the foundation on which non-ESS rest, demands by urban societies for non-ESS make the connections between humanity and ecosystems less obvious and less immediate. The social– ecological dynamics of ESS are strongly driven by the more general demands of society for non-ESS and by the changes in the scales of supply and demand, for both ESS and non-ESS, that accompany the transition from agricultural to industrial societies. The first point in particular has not been incorporated into the ESS literature.

Agricultural transitions are fundamentally linked to human population growth<sup>86</sup>. Growing societies that attempt to remain in a green loop will almost inevitably enter a green trap, which could result in greater biodiversity losses than a red loop<sup>87</sup>. Few contemporary societies exist in a green loop, and those that come closest to doing so are often socially and economically marginalized and vulnerable to external exploitation of their ecosystems<sup>88,89</sup>. Contemporary societies that seem to have best navigated a balance between ecological sustainability and human wellbeing are those, such as Sweden, that have entered a red loop without shifting exploitation to red-trap levels. The red loop has bought such societies additional time, and the best-case scenario is that socioeconomic feedbacks within the red loop (for example, declining fertility, or simply longer inter-generational times and smaller families) could reduce population growth and ecological footprints before these systems enter a red trap and collapse<sup>90</sup>.

Scale is of critical importance here: the cumulative effect of many local or regional red loops may be a global trap, for example if their combined greenhouse-gas emissions trigger climate change. We would expect to find scale dependencies in the relative importance of different links in the model. At local extents, questions of access and infrastructure development may dominate red-loop ecological impacts. At national extents, the model may capture the basis of an economy as rural or urbanized, and upscaling can be perceived as globalization. For empirical analyses, we suggest an initial unit of analysis as the household, with aggregation of household data across a range of different spatial and temporal scales, and institutional levels.

Our model shares some elements with the environmental Kuznets curve $91,92$ , which suggests that indicators of environmental degradation follow an inverted U-shaped curve over the course of economic development. We do not wish to reinstate Kuznets' hypothesis, which has been criticized<sup>92,93</sup>; but the different pathways that we have identified explain why the environmental Kuznets curve might apply to some societies (such as those undergoing a green- to red-loop transition) and not to others (such as those that are caught in, or heading towards, red or green traps).

It remains unclear whether, how and when ecological debts incurred during industrialization will have to be repaid. Human survival depends on maintaining functional, resilient ecosystems and resulting ESS through the bottleneck of maximum human population. The loss of a crucial proportion of Earth's fauna during the next 50–100 years would be irreparable over the time frame of human existence, and future societies may struggle to live sustainably if left with unstable, depauperate life-support systems.

Our model has some parallels with existing research on traps and transformations<sup>94-97</sup>, with many relevant details and implications that will take time to work out. It is not directly diagnostic or prescriptive but it has the potential to both explain and predict, in the context of ESS and agriculture, the creation and resolution of scale mismatches<sup>98</sup> and the development of various systemic syndromes (such as the retention of perverse incentives and subsidies<sup>99</sup>, or the continued presence of destructive feedback loops that are almost impossible to break). The transition from green to red loops may also help to explain collapses in some past societies, providing a translation mode (in moving from theory to empirical, testable hypotheses) for ideas about social complexity and adaptive cycles<sup>100</sup>. A diversity of data-intensive, comparative case studies is needed to test and refine these ideas; developing economies and fast-growing cities should be particularly fertile grounds for further research. Ultimately, we see in these ideas the basis for a scientific framework that would explain why humanity's use of ESS is, despite our combined knowledge and expertise, rapidly approaching planetary boundaries. ■

#### **Received 11 March; accepted 28 July 2014.**

- 1. Rockström, J. *et al*. A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* **461,** 472–475 (2009).
- **In this paper, the authors report that Earth's resources are finite and we are already living unsustainably in some areas.**
- 2. Millennium Assessment. *Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Biodiversity Synthesis* (Island, 2005).
- 3. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division. *World Population Prospects: The 2012 Revision, Volume I: Comprehensive Tables*  http://esa.un.org/wpp/documentation/publications.htm (United Nations, 2013).
- 4. Rudel, T. K. *et al*. Agricultural intensification and changes in cultivated areas, 1970–2005. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106,** 20675–20680 (2009).
- 5. Foley, J. A. *et al*. Global consequences of land use. *Science* **309,** 570–574 (2005).
- 6. O'Brien, K. L. & Leichenko, R. M. Double exposure: assessing the impacts of climate change within the context of economic globalization. *Glob. Environ. Change* **10,** 221–232 (2000).
- 7. Khoury, C. K. *et al*. Increasing homogeneity in global food supplies and the implications for food security. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111,** 4001–4006  $(2014)$ .
- 8. Rabotyagov, S. S., Kling, C. L., Gassman, P. W., Rabalais, N. N. & Turner, R. E. The economics of dead zones: causes, impacts, policy challenges, and a model of the gulf of Mexico hypoxic zone. *Rev. Environ. Econ. Policy* **8,** 58–79 (2014).
- 9. Cramer, W. *et al*. Tropical forests and the global carbon cycle: impacts of atmospheric carbon dioxide, climate change and rate of deforestation. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **359,** 331–343 (2004).
- 10. Child, M. F., Cumming, G. S. & Amano, T. Assessing the broad-scale impact of agriculturally transformed and protected area landscapes on avian taxonomic and functional richness. *Biol. Conserv.* **142,** 2593–2601 (2009).
- 11. Norberg, J. & Cumming, G. S. *Complexity Theory for a Sustainable Future* (Columbia Univ. Press, 2008).
- 12. Loreau, M. *et al*. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* **294,** 804–808 (2001).
- 13. Tscharntke, T. *et al*. Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. *Biol. Conserv*. **151,** 53–59 (2012).
- 14. Millennium Assessment. *Ecosystems and Human Well-being: a Framework for Assessment. A Report of the Conceptual Framework Working Group of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment* (Island, 2003).
- 15. Crossman, N. D. *et al.* A blueprint for mapping and modelling ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv*. **4,** 4–14 (2013).
- 16. Burkhard, B., Kroll, F., Nedkov, S. & Müller, F. Mapping ecosystem service supply, demand and budgets. *Ecol. Indic*. **21,** 17–29 (2012).
- 17. Martínez-Harms, M. J. & Balvanera, P. Methods for mapping ecosystem service supply: a review. *Inter. J. Biodiv. Sci. Ecosyst. Serv. Mgmt* **8,** 17–25 (2012).
- 18. Geist, H. J. & Lambin, E. F. Proximate causes and underlying driving forces of tropical deforestation. *Bioscience* **52,** 143–150 (2002).
- 19. Revilla, E. & Sáenz, M. J. Supply chain disruption management: global convergence vs national specificity. *J. Bus. Res.* **67,** 1123–1135 (2014).
- 20. Mooney, H. A., Duraiappah, A. & Larigauderie, A. Evolution of natural and social science interactions in global change research programs. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110,** 3665–3672 (2013).
- 21. Carpenter, S. R. *et al*. Science for managing ecosystem services: beyond the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106,** 1305–1312 (2009).
- 22. Reyers, B. *et al*. Getting the measure of ecosystem services: a social-ecological approach. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **11,** 268–273 (2013). **This paper reports that policy-related indicators for development goals have focused almost entirely on ecosystems, without effective monitoring of the socioeconomic systems that often drive ecosystem change.**
- 23. Perrings, C. *et al*. Ecosystem services for 2020. *Science* **330,** 323–324 (2010). 24. Folke, C. *et al*. Regime shifts, resilience, and biodiversity in ecosystem
- management. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst*. **35,** 557–581 (2004).
- 25. Scheffer, M. & Westley, F. R. The evolutionary basis of rigidity: locks in cells, minds, and society. *Ecol. Soc*. **12,** 36 (2007).
- 26. Chapin, F. S. *et al*. Directional changes in ecological communities and socialecological systems: a framework for prediction based on Alaskan examples. *Am. Nat*. **168,** S36–S49 (2006).
- 27. Boserup, E. *Population and Technological Change: a Study of Long-term Trends* (Univ. Chicago, 1981).
- Zeder, M. A. Domestication and early agriculture in the Mediterranean basin: origins, diffusion, and impact. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105,** 11597–11604 (2008).
- 29. Livi-Bacci, M. *A Concise History of World Population* (Wiley, 2012).
- 30. Deutscher Bauernverband (German Farmers' Union). *Situationsbericht 2013* (Deutscher Bauernverband, 2013).
- 31. Rodriguez, J. P. *et al*. in *Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Volume 2: Scenarios Assessment* Ch. 11 (Island Press, 2005).
- 32. Raudsepp-Hearne, C., Peterson, G. D. & Bennett, E. M. Ecosystem service bundles for analyzing tradeoffs in diverse landscapes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107,** 5242–5247 (2010).
- 33. Nelson, E. *et al*. Modeling multiple ecosystem services, biodiversity conservation, commodity production, and tradeoffs at landscape scales. *Front*. *Ecol. Environ* **7,** 4–11 (2009).
- 34. Bennett, E. M., Peterson, G. D. & Gordon, L. J. Understanding relationships among multiple ecosystem services. *Ecol. Lett*. 12, 1394-1404 (2009).
- 35. Clough, Y. *et al*. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108,** 8311–8316 (2011).
- 36. Popp, A. *et al*. Land-use transition for bioenergy and climate stabilization: model comparison of drivers, impacts and interactions with other land use based mitigation options. *Clim. Change* **123,** 495–509 (2013).
- 37. Nevens, F., Frantzeskaki, N., Gorissen, L. & Loorbach, D. Urban transition labs: co-creating transformative action for sustainable cities. *J. Cleaner Prod*. **50,** 111–122 (2013).
- 38. Berkes, F. *Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Resource Management* (Taylor and Francis, 1999).
- 39. Tengö, M. *Management Practices for Dealing with Uncertainty and Change: Social-Ecological Systems in Tanzania and Madagascar.* PhD thesis, Stockholm Univ.  $(2004)$
- 40. Soma, T. Contemporary falconry in the Altai-Kazakh in Western Mongolia. *Int. J. Intangible Heritage* **7,** 103–111 (2012).
- 41. Young, H. & Jacobsen, K. No way back? Adaptation and urbanization of IDP livelihoods in the Darfur Region of Sudan. *Dev. Change* **44,** 125–145 (2013). 42. Smith, A. & Garnier, M. *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of*
- *Nations* (Nelson, 1845). 43. Romer, P. M. Endogenous technological change. *J. Polit. Econ*. **98,** S71–S102
- (1990). **This paper develops a model that explains how economic growth arises from endogenous technological change.**
- 44. Matsuyama, K. Agricultural productivity, comparative advantage, and economic growth. *J. Econ. Theory* **58,** 317–334 (1992). **The key theoretical contribution of this paper is the finding that the effect of agricultural productivity on economic growth and industrialization depends on the openness of an economy.**
- 45. Wu, J. Urban ecology and sustainability: the state-of-the-science and future directions. *Landsc. Urban Plan*. **125,** 209– 221 (2014).
- 46. Luck, M. A., Jenerette, G. D., Wu, J. & Grimm, N. B. The urban funnel model and the spatially heterogeneous ecological footprint. *Ecosystems* **4,** 782–796 (2001).
- 47. Grimm, N. B. *et al*. Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science* **319,** 756–760 (2008).
- 48. Ostrom, E. How types of goods and property rights jointly affect collective action. *J. Theor. Polit*. **15,** 239–270 (2003). **This paper lays out a set of premises that explain the conditions that**
- **determine the effectiveness of institutions in common property systems.** 49. McGinnis, M. D. An introduction to IAD and the language of the Ostrom
- workshop: a simple guide to a complex framework. *Policy Stud. J*. **39,** 169–183 (2011). 50. Scheffer, M. *et al*. Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature* **461,**
- 53–59 (2009).
- 51. Carpenter, S. R. & Turner, M. G. Hares and tortoises: interactions of fast and slow variables in ecosystems. *Ecosystems* **3,** 495–497 (2000).
- 52. Leamer, E. E., Maul, H., Rodriguez, S. & Schott, P. K. Does natural resource abundance increase Latin American income inequality? *J. Dev. Econ*. **59,** 3–42 (1999).
- 53. Lebel, L. *et al*. Industrial transformation and shrimp aquaculture in Thailand and Vietnam: pathways to ecological, social, and economic sustainability? *AMBIO* **31,** 311–323 (2002).
- 54. Ocampo, J. & Parra-Lancourt, M. The term of trade for commodity since the mid 19th century. *J. lberian Latin Am. Econ. Hist*. **28,** 11–43 (2009).
- 55. DeFries, R. & Pandey, D. Urbanization, the energy ladder and forest transitions in India's emerging economy. *Land Use Policy* **27,** 130–138 (2010).
- 56. Ishii, H. T. *et al*. Integrating ecological and cultural values toward conservation and utilization of shrine/temple forests as urban green space in Japanese cities. *Landscape Ecol. Eng*. **6,** 307–315 (2010).
- 57. Genske, D. & Ruff, A. in *Proc. 10th IAEG Int. Congress 82* http://www.iaeg.info/ iaeg2006/start.htmI (2006).
- 58. Getter, K. L. & Rowe, D. B. The role of extensive green roofs in sustainable development. *HortScience* **41,** 1276–1285 (2006).
- 59. Hofsten, E. & Lundstrom, H. *Swedish Population History: Main Trends from 1750 to 1970* (National Central Bureau of Statistics, 1976).
- 60. Lobell, H., Schön, L. & Krantz, O. Swedish historical national accounts, 1800–2000: principles and implications of a new generation. *Scand. Econ. Hist. Rev.* **56,** 142–159 (2008).
- 61. Einhorn, E. & Logue, J. *Modern Welfare States: Politics and Policies in Social Democratic Scandinavia* (Praeger Publishers, 1989).
- 62. Schön, L. Internal and external factors in Swedish industrialization. *Scand. Econ. Hist. Rev*. **45,** 209–223 (1997).
- 63. Björklund, J., Limburg, K. E. & Rydberg, T. Impact of production intensity on the ability of the agricultural landscape to generate ecosystem services: an example from Sweden. *Ecol. Econom.* **29,** 269–291 (1999).
- 64. Statistics Sweden. http://www.scb.se/en (Statistics Sweden, 2013).<br>65. Krauss. J. et al. Habitat fragmentation causes immediate and time-
- 65. Krauss, J. *et al*. Habitat fragmentation causes immediate and time-delayed biodiversity loss at different trophic levels. *Ecol. Lett*. **13,** 597–605 (2010).
- 66. Angelstam, P. *et al*. Protecting forest areas for biodiversity in Sweden 1991–2010: policy implementation process and outcomes on the ground. *Silva Fennica* **45,** 1111–1133 (2011).
- 67. Holmlund, C. M. & Hammer, M. Ecosystem services generated by fish populations. *Ecol. Econ*. **29,** 253–268 (1999).
- 68. Jansson, Å., Folke, C., Rockström, J., Gordon, L. & Falkenmark, M. Linking freshwater flows and ecosystem services appropriated by people: the case of the Baltic Sea drainage basin. *Ecosystems* **2,** 351–366 (1999).
- 69. Stoate, C. *et al*. Ecological impacts of early 21st century agricultural change in Europe — a review. *J. Environ. Manage*. **91,** 22–46 (2009).
- 70. McIntosh, R. J. & McIntosh, S. K. The inland Niger delta before the empire of Mali: evidence from Jenne-jeno. *J. Afr. Hist*. **22,** 1–22 (1981).
- 71. Bationo, A., Lompo, F. & Koala, S. Research on nutrient flows and balances in
- West Africa: state-of-the art. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ*. **71,** 19–35 (1998). 72. FAOSTAT. *Crops: Primary Production and Trade Databases* http://faostat.fao.org/ Accessed Dec 2013 (FAO, 2013).
- 73. Brinkmann, K., Schumacher, J., Dittrich, A., Kadaore, I. & Buerkert, A. Analysis of landscape transformation processes in and around four West African cities over the last 50 years. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **105,** 94–105 (2012).
- 74. Buerkert, A. & Schlecht, E. Agricultural innovations in small-scale farming systems of Sudano-Sahelian West Africa: some prerequisites for success. *Secheresse* **24,** 322–329 (2013). **This paper reports that factors driving the success of agricultural innovations in sub-Saharan Africa are their capacity to enhance farmers' access to markets, the possibility to adopt an innovation with only small amounts of**
- **capital, and limited risk of failure despite high rainfall variability.** 75. Mortimore, M. & Turner, B. Does the Sahelian smallholders' management of woodland, farm trees, rangeland support the hypothesis of human-induced desertification? *J. Arid Environ*. **63,** 567–595 (2005).
- 76. Tappan, G. & McGahuey, M. Tracking environmental dynamics and agricultural

intensification in southern Mali. *Agric. Syst.* **94,** 38–51 (2007).

- 77. The National Bureau of Statistics of Beijing. *Beijing Statistical Yearbook* (ed. Xiuqin, Y.) (Chinese Statistics, 2010).
- 78. Zhang, S., Deng, L., Yue, P. & Cui, H. *Study on Water Tariff Reform and Income Impacts in China's Metropolitan Areas: the Case of Beijing* http://documents. worldbank.org/curated/en/2007/07/10119647/study-water-tariff-reformincome-impacts-chinas-metropolitan-areas-case-beijing (World Bank, 2007).
- 79. Huang, J., Zhang, H.-L., Tong, W.-J. & Chen, F. The impact of local crops consumption on the water resources in Beijing. *J. Cleaner Production* **21,** 45–50 (2012).
- 80. State Environmental Protection Administration of China. *SEPA Report* [in Chinese] http://www.sepa.gov.cn/eic/652466692596695040/20040602/105 0958.shtml (SEPA, 2004).
- 81. Johnson, T. M., Liu, F. & Newfarmer, R. *Clear Water, Blue Skies: China's Environment in the New Century* (World Bank, 1997).
- 82. Liu, X. J. *et al.* Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China. *Nature* **494,** 459–462 (2013).
- 83. Shen, J. L. et al. High concentrations and dry deposition of reactive N species at two sites in the North China Plain. *Environ. Pollut*. **157,** 3106–3113 (2009).
- 84. Guo, J. H. *et al*. Significant soil acidification in major Chinese croplands. *Science* **327,** 1008–1010 (2010).
- 85. Zhuang, G. S., Guo, J. H., Yuan, H. & Zhao, C. Y. The compositions, sources, and size distribution of the dust storm from China in spring of 2000 and its impact on the global environment. *Chin. Sci. Bull*. **46,** 895–900 (2001).
- 86. Gibbs, H. *et al*. Tropical forests were the primary sources of new agricultural land in the 1980s and 1990s. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107,** 16732–16737 (2010).
- 87. Sanderson, F. J., Donald, P. F., Pain, D. J., Burfield, I. J. & Van Bommel, F. P. Longterm population declines in Afro-Palearctic migrant birds. *Biol. Conserv*. **131,** 93–105 (2006).
- 88. Zimmerman, B., Peres, C. A., Malcolm, J. R. & Turner, T. Conservation and development alliances with the Kayapo of south-eastern Amazonia, a tropical forest indigenous people. *Environ. Conserv*. **28,** 10–22 (2001).
- 89. Smith, E. A. & Wishnie, M. Conservation and subsistence in small-scale societies. *Annu. Rev. Anthropol*. **29,** 493–524 (2000).
- 90. Daily, G. C. & Ehrlich, P. R. Socioeconomic equity, sustainability, and Earth's carrying capacity. *Ecol. Appl*. **6,** 991–1001 (1996). **This paper reports that increasing equity can help to increase food production and reduce fertility rates, but runaway consumption must be curbed for sustainability.**
- 91. Kuznets, S. Economic growth and income inequality. *Am. Econ. Rev.* **45,** 1–28 (1955).
- 92. Dasgupta, S., Laplante, B., Wang, H. & Wheeler, D. Confronting the environmental Kuznets curve. *J. Econ. Perspect*. **16,** 147–168 (2002).
- 93. Stern, D. I. The rise and fall of the environmental Kuznets curve. *World Dev*. **32,** 1419–1439 (2004).
- 94. Costanza, R. L. *et al*. Sustainability or collapse: what can we learn from integrating the history of humans and the rest of nature? *Ambio* **36,** 522–527  $(2007)$
- 95. Haug, G. H. *et al*. Climate and the collapse of Maya civilization. *Science* **299,** 1731–1735 (2003).
- 96. Rasmussen, L. V. & Reenberg, A. Collapse and recovery in Sahelian agropastoral systems: rethinking trajectories of change. *Ecol. Soc.* **17,** 14 (2012).
- 97. Tainter, J. A. *The Collapse of Complex Societies* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988). 98. Cumming, G. S., Cumming, D. H. M. & Redman, C. L. Scale mismatches in social-ecological systems: causes, consequences, and solutions. *Ecol. Soc*. **11,** 14 (2006).

**This paper argues that mismatches in the scales at which ecosystems vary and the institutional levels at which responsibility for ecosystem management resides can lead to various management problems.**

- van Beers, C. & van den Bergh, J. C. Perseverance of perverse subsidies and their impact on trade and environment. *Ecol. Econ*. **36,** 475–486 (2001).
- 100.Holling, C. S. & Meffe, G. K. Command and control and the pathology of natural resource management. *Conserv. Biol*. **10,** 328–337 (1996). **This paper states that attempts to maximize offtake from production systems often create vulnerabilities in those systems, leading to collapse.**

**Acknowledgements** G.S.C. thanks the Universität Kassel (http://www.icdd.unikassel.de) and Georg-August-Universität Göttingen for travel funding. This research was partially supported by a James S. McDonnell Foundation grant to G.S.C. and benefitted from discussions between A.B. and E.S. in BU1308/5-3, SCHL587/4-3 and the UrbanFood project, funded by the Volkswagen Foundation (No. I/82 189); between S.v.C-T. and T.T. within the RTG 1644 (*Scaling Problems in Statistics*); and the discussions of T.T. within the CRC 990 (EFForTS).

**Author Information** Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of this paper at go.nature.com/t4fvnf. Correspondence should be addressed to G.S.C. (gscumming@gmail.com).