www.nature.com/mp

EXPERT REVIEW Schizophrenia genetics: emerging themes for a complex disorder

DH Kavanagh, KE Tansey, MC O'Donovan and MJ Owen

After two decades of frustration, genetic studies of schizophrenia have entered an era of spectacular success. Advances in genotyping technologies and high throughput sequencing, increasing analytic rigour and collaborative efforts on a global scale have generated a profusion of new findings. The broad conclusions from these studies are threefold: (1) schizophrenia is a highly polygenic disorder with a complex array of contributing risk loci across the allelic frequency spectrum; (2) many psychiatric illnesses share risk genes and alleles, specifically, schizophrenia has substantial overlaps with bipolar disorder, intellectual disability, major depressive disorder and autism spectrum disorders; and (3) some convergent biological themes are emerging from studies of schizophrenia and related disorders. In this commentary, we focus on the very recent findings that have emerged in the past 12 months, and in particular, the areas of convergence that are beginning to emerge from multiple study designs.

Molecular Psychiatry (2015) 20, 72-76; doi:10.1038/mp.2014.148; published online 11 November 2014

INTRODUCTION

It has been known for many years on the basis of a large number of family, twin and adoption studies that genetics has an important role in conferring risk to schizophrenia.¹ Identification of genetic risk at the level of DNA variation has, however, proved challenging with replicable findings hard to come by and the field has endured cycles of optimism and disappointment. However in the past few years this has begun to change. Success has been built largely on three main developments in addition to the publication of the sequence of the human genome and the increasingly detailed documentation of population variation in that sequence. First technology has enabled analyses of genetic variation on a genome-wide scale, both for common alleles through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) as well as rare mutations through copy number variant (CNV) analysis. More recently, the task of seeking rare pathogenic single base and insertion-deletion polymorphisms has been facilitated by the development of whole exome and whole genome sequencing. Second, most geneticists have realised the importance of applying rigorous statistical criteria. Finally, with the realisation that common risk alleles confer very small individual risks has come the appreciation that very large samples are required to satisfy stringent statistical thresholds. This has led geneticists, psychiatric and otherwise, to collaborate to an extent that hitherto has been unusual in the biological sciences (http://www.med.unc.edu/pgc). In this paper, we review very recent genomic findings in schizophrenia and consider their implications.

RECENT HISTORY

Common genetic variation

The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium study of seven common diseases, including 2000 bipolar cases, was a landmark in genetics. Although it did not identify a definitive association to bipolar disorder, it clarified the boundaries of expectation regarding the effect sizes of common risk alleles for a range of complex disorders. To many psychiatric geneticists, the implications were clear; much larger samples were required to capture the small effect sizes (odds ratios < 1.2) typical of common risk alleles for complex disorders.

The first wave of successful schizophrenia GWAS, which between them identified fewer than five risk loci, echoed this conclusion.^{2–5} They also showed that schizophrenia was highly polygenic, and even more so than was generally expected. The clearest demonstration of this came from the study of the International Schizophrenia Consortium.⁵ That group showed that an aggregate score representing the number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) selected for even weak evidence of association in their study was higher in schizophrenia cases than controls in independent studies. Modelling suggested that the signal from this 'polygenic score' was being driven by hundreds, and likely more than a thousand, of individual susceptibility SNPs, which together could explain approximately one-third of the genetic liability.⁵

Over the next few years, studies (refs 2, 6–9 and others reviewed in ref 10) from either informal collaborations or more formalised consortia detected incrementally more risk loci such that by the end of 2013 around 30 loci had been reported at genome-wide significance, albeit not always in samples that were well enough powered to give confidence in the findings. The largest single study prior to 2014 undertook a meta-analysis of new data with that from the Psychiatric Genome Wide Association Consortium (now known as the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium or PGC)¹¹; including replication the sample comprised more than 21 000 cases and 38 000 controls. In total, 22 nonoverlapping genomic loci reached genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). These included 8 previously associated regions and 13 novel associations. It should be noted at this point that when we refer to risk

MRC centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, and Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. Correspondence: Professor MJ Owen, MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clini, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ, UK. E-mail: owenmj@cf.ac.uk

Received 8 July 2014; revised 29 September 2014; accepted 1 October 2014; published online 11 November 2014

loci, we refer to regions of the genome that contains one or more allele that is associated with disorder at a level corresponding to genome-wide significance. However, because of linkage disequilibrium, typically, a region contains many strongly or partially correlated alleles, any of which might be the actual pathogenic DNA variant. Similarly, when we refer to a risk allele, we refer only to association, but for the same reason, we do not intend to suggest that is the variant that directly alters gene function. Moreover, as multiple correlated SNPs within a locus often span multiple genes, and sometimes do not span any known genes, it follows that association does not unequivocally implicates a specific causal gene. Nevertheless, genes involved in a number of broad biological themes were found to be enriched within the regions of association, particularly calcium signalling and genes predicted to be regulated by microRNA MIR137. Interesting as those results were, the evidence for any of the biological themes was not definitive.

Another important finding of the International Schizophrenia Consortium was that the schizophrenia polygenic score is not only higher in people with schizophrenia, it is also higher in people with bipolar disorder than in controls. This indicates that the polygenic contribution to the two disorders is substantially shared, a conclusion that was also reflected in earlier studies which found evidence for shared risk alleles at individual genes including *ZNF804A*² and *CACNA1C*.¹² Subsequent studies have compared and combined GWAS of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorders, major depressive disorder and attentiondeficit and hyperactivity disorder. Again, evidence for shared risk was observed for specific risk variants, but more importantly, substantial overlap was found between the three adult onset disorders, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder, and a reduced, yet still significant overlap between schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder.^{13–15}

The overall conclusions from GWAS can be summarised as follows. First, the common variant contribution is not only substantial but also highly polygenic. Second, despite a possible increase in the heterogeneity, larger sample size leads to more genome-wide significant associations each of which potentially offers a window into the biology of schizophrenia. Third, and in contrast to APOE in Alzheimer's disease, and the major histocompatibility complex in some auto-immune disorders, there are no common variants that individually contribute substantially to liability. Fourth, the cross-disorder analyses reveal substantial genetic overlap between the adult onset disorders, and a more modest amount of overlap between the schizophrenia and childhood onset disorders. This shared risk highlights the importance and potential utility of genetic findings to inform the aetiological and pathophysiological relationships between these syndromically defined disorders.

Rare genetic variation

The GWAS design typically investigates the higher end of the allelic frequency spectrum (minor allele frequency > 1%) but it has been known for some time that rare variation also plays a role in schizophrenia. The earliest definitive report of schizophrenia-associated rare variation concerned deletions at chromosome 22q11.2.¹⁶ This deletion CNV confers a substantial (about 25-fold) increase in risk for schizophrenia as well as other psychiatric and neurodevelopmental phenotypes.¹⁷ As GWAS technology began to permit genome-wide CNV scans, so evidence has accrued for a wider role in the disorder for CNVs.

In general, people with schizophrenia have an increased burden of large (>100 kb) rare (frequency < 1%) CNVs compared with controls. They also have an increased frequency of *de novo* CNVs.^{17–19} To date, CNVs at several distinct loci have been strongly implicated in schizophrenia,^{17,20,21} and, just as for deletions at 22q11.2, the effects of these CNVs are not specific; all are

associated with at least one other neurodevelopmental and psychiatric condition including intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorders and attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder.^{21–27} CNVs typically affect many genes, so cross-disorder effects cannot be attributed with confidence to a shared risk gene at any given multi-genic locus. Nevertheless, the findings are at least suggestive of partial sharing in genetic risk across multiple disorders.

Studies of CNVs, particularly of de novo CNVs, have vielded insights into biological processes that are perturbed in schizophrenia. CNVs preferentially disrupt genes involved in neurodevelopmental pathways.²⁸ More specifically, there is strong evidence that they are enriched for genes in the postsynaptic density that play a role in modulating synaptic strength at glutamatergic synapses, particularly genes encoding members of the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) complex and the activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated (ARC) protein complex.19 'Recently, independent gene-set association analysis of case CNVs discovered in a genome-wide screen of cases and controls provided additional support for genes encoding protein members of the postsynaptic density²¹ as well as for enrichment among case CNVs for calcium channel signalling genes and targets of the fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP).

In general, schizophrenia-associated CNVs have large individual effect sizes but are extremely rare in the population, whereas associated common variants have small individual effect sizes but are common in the population. The effect sizes of *de novo* point mutations are currently unclear. The aggregate effect of CNVs and SNPs, both *de novo* and inherited, across the allelic frequency spectrum must be considered in order to determine the contribution of genetic effects to schizophrenia.

MAJOR GWAS AND EXOME SEQUENCING STUDIES OF 2014 GWAS

The recently published second GWAS paper from the Schizophrenia Working Group of the PGC²⁹ comprised an analysis of 49 nonoverlapping samples containing 34 241 cases and 45 604 controls as well as 1235 parent affected-offspring trios. In total, this more than doubled the sample sizes used in the previously largest GWAS.¹¹

Summary data for an additional sample of 1513 cases and 66,236 controls were obtained from deCODE genetics for linkage disequilibrium-independent-associated SNPs at *P*-value $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$. Meta-analysis of these datasets resulted in a total of 128 statistically independent schizophrenia associations in 108 distinct genomic loci. The 108 loci included 25 previously reported, and 83 novel, loci demonstrates the reliability of the earlier GWAS results built on large sample sizes, a point that had been demonstrated by extensive and fully independent replication of loci identified in the first PGC schizophrenia study.³⁰

Of the associated loci, most (75%) contained 1 or more proteincoding gene. Perhaps most notably of all, one of the loci contains the *Dopamine receptor D2* (*DRD2*) gene, which encodes the main target of all effective anti-psychotic drugs. This is the first strong link between genetic susceptibility to schizophrenia and the mechanism of action underpinning its treatment. It also provides an important reminder that, despite the small effect sizes associated with individual risk alleles, GWAS can identify treatment targets, modulation of which can have profound effects on the disorder.³¹ Other associated loci are notable for containing glutamate receptors (*GRIA1*, *GRIN2A* and *GRM3*) and members of the voltage-gated calcium ion channel family of proteins (*CACNA1C*, *CACNA11* and *CACNB2*) as well as many genes involved in synaptic plasticity. Together with recent findings from sequencing studies reviewed below, these associations provide a broader body of evidence that disruption of the glutamate system and neuronal calcium homeostasis contribute to the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Though these genes are all plausible biological candidates the authors were careful to point out that they may not necessarily be the causal elements within the associated loci.

Using histone acetylation markers from various sources including the ENCODE project³² to define enhancer elements, if not unsurprisingly, then reassuringly, the PGC group found associations were enriched in enhancers that were relatively brainspecific. However, they also found associations were enriched in enhancers that are active in immune cells and tissues, even after removing those with prominent activity in brain as well as excluding the poorly localised signal in the major histocompatibility complex region. This provides support for the hypothesis that reports of abnormal immune function in schizophrenia may reflect a causal disruption in immunity in schizophrenia rather than an epiphenomenon.³³ However, caution is required here until links can be made between the associations at immune system enhancers and altered function in specific immune genes, and between changes in the functions of those genes and altered immune function. Nevertheless, the findings provide a further impetus for studying immune function in schizophrenia.

Polygene score analysis showed the amount of variance in casecontrol status explained by common additive genetic effects rising from previous estimates to 18% as measured by Nagelkerke R². Even so, predicting diagnostic status by polygenic score results in a very-high degree of diagnostic misclassification, indicating that such analyses are not yet clinically useful.

Although the recent study represents a step change in discovering genetic susceptibility loci, an important limitation of the study was that plausible functional variants could not be identified for most of the findings. Only 10 of the index associations could potentially (though not definitively) be ascribed to a nonsynonymous coding variant, and only 12 could be credibly explained by a known expression quantitative trait locus (eQTLs). This highlights the need for a richer resource of annotation data if GWAS results are to be fully exploited for biological understanding.

Sequencing studies

Another recent landmark in schizophrenia genetics was the backto-back publication in 2014 of two fairly large studies that used sequencing technology to screen most of the known coding exome for rare single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions or deletions (indels) that might affect risk for schizophrenia.^{34,35}

Prior to 2014, a series of small sequencing studies provided support for the hypothesis that, just as for *de novo* CNVs, the rate of *de novo* SNVs and indel mutations was increased in schizophrenia.^{36–38} Others also reached similar conclusions for autism^{39–42} and, more so, intellectual disability.^{43,44} Moreover, since this type of mutation preferentially occurs in older men⁴⁵, it was thought *de novo* mutations might partially explain the increased risks for schizophrenia in children whose fathers are relatively old at the time of conception.⁴⁶

However, in the largest study of *de novo* mutations in schizophrenia to date, no evidence was found for an overall increase in the rate of nonsynonymous or loss-of-function *de novo* mutations, suggesting that *de novo* mutations play a lesser role in schizophrenia than has been indicated by the earlier studies, or for the other disorders.³⁴

Despite the lack of evidence for a general elevation in the rate of *de novo* mutations, there was significant enrichment of nonsynonymous *de novo* mutations in glutamatergic postsynaptic proteins comprising the ARC and NMDAR complexes.¹⁹ *De novo* mutations were additionally enriched in other proteins that are hypothesised to modulate synaptic strength, specifically proteins regulating actin filament dynamics and those whose mRNAs are targets of FMRP also shown to be enriched in autism spectrum disorder *de novo* mutations⁴² and in a schizophrenia case-control CNV study.²¹

A second observation, subsequently also reported in a much smaller study, was that *de novo* mutations in schizophrenia occurred more frequently in genes affected by *de novo* mutations in autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability.⁴⁷ Unlike CNV associations, the overlaps in *de novo* mutations point to overlapping risk at single gene rather than locus resolution, and thereby provide more definitive evidence for pleiotropy.

In the study of Fromer *et al.*, although cases in general had no elevation in de novo mutation rates, de novo loss-of-function mutations occurred more frequently than expected in people with schizophrenia who also had lower premorbid educational attainment, suggesting that *de novo* loss-of-function mutations may have a role in neurodevelopmental impairment across diagnostic boundaries. However, on average, schizophrenia de novo mutations were predicted to be less damaging to the protein function than those in people with autism or intellectual disability, a finding that is consistent with the hypothesis that schizophrenia occupies a less extreme position on a neurodevelopmental gradient of impairment than the other two disorders.³⁴ The hypothesis of shared genetic risk has obtained further support from the recent GWAs study of the PGC²⁹ in which is was noted that GWAS significant loci are enriched for genes affected by de novo mutations in autism and intellectual disability.

The second, and larger, exome sequencing study examined rare variants predicted to be damaging using a case-control study design of approximately 2 500 cases and 2 500 controls.³⁵ No specific gene showed a genome-wide corrected significant excess of rare mutations in cases. However, a large set of around 2 500 genes selected a priori by the authors to be likely enriched for schizophrenia susceptibility genes (for example, genes with de novo mutations, genes in GWAS regions, mapping to CNVs, members of the ARC, NMDAR and postsynaptic density pathways) showed an increased burden of rare nonsense and disruptive variants in cases compared with the controls. This burden was attributable to mutations in a large number of genes suggesting that the mutational target of schizophrenia encompasses many hundreds of genes. Modelling suggests that the impact on disease risk of rare CNVs and disruptive mutations may be an order of magnitude smaller relative to common SNPs.

In terms of implications for pathophysiology, notable findings were convergence with the other exome sequencing study³⁴ in finding enrichment for rare damaging mutations in the ARC and NMDAR complexes, and in targets of FMRP. Other convergences were noted with GWAS data; most notably genes encoding voltage-gated calcium ion channel proteins were also enriched. However unlike the large *de novo* study,³⁴ there was no overlap between the mutations observed in schizophrenia and those discovered in either autism spectrum disorders or intellectual disability.

As noted in the original publications these studies lacked power to implicate specific genes and rare mutations in schizophrenia. However, they do allow us to conclude that rare, as well as common, single-nucleotide variation plays a role in schizophrenia though the relative contribution of the two classes of variant remains uncertain pending much larger sequencing studies as does the contribution of rare variation outside the exome. In view of the lack of power to implicate specific genes and variants, the authors took a hypothesis driven, gene-set approach. Both studies have provided support for specific gene sets that have been implicated in previous studies and this convergence between the two exome sequencing studies is striking. However, they were limited in that they examined only a small proportion of the neurobiologcal processes and structures that could potentially be implicated in schizophrenia. This limitation was imposed by the desire to focus on circumscribed, well-annotated gene sets with strong *a priori* evidence for involvement in schizophrenia. It seems certain that other important areas of biology remain to be discovered but that this will require much larger samples and much better annotated genomic resources (see below).

CONCLUSIONS

The combination of new technology, extensive collaboration and persistence in the face of a sometimes challenging funding climate has been rewarding for schizophrenia genetics. Over 100 specific common risk loci²⁹ and at least 11 rare risk alleles²⁰ have been identified. Moreover it is clear that these represent the tip of an iceberg of genetic complexity. Evidence for risk effects is seen across the entire allelic frequency spectrum, from private *de novo* mutations to common SNPs. In addition evidence from both GWAS²⁹ and early sequencing studies³⁵ suggest that the mutational target for schizophrenia is likely to be extensive involving hundreds and very likely thousands of genes.

The second finding, which is perhaps not surprising given the degree of genetic complexity and the continuous nature of many psychiatric traits,⁴⁸ is that genetic risk does not map neatly on psychiatric diagnoses. There is evidence for shared genetic risk between schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorders, intellectual disability and attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder. These point to shared disease mechanisms and to the need for approaches to patient stratification for research that go beyond the current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of the American Psychiatric Association/International Classification of diseases categorical approaches used in the clinic.^{48,49} Recent genetic findings also support the hypothesis that schizophrenia can be conceived of as part of a spectrum of neurodevelopmental disorders ordered by severity with identification at one extreme and mood disorders at the other.⁵⁰

Perhaps most encouragingly, despite the complexity of the genetic picture that is emerging, we are beginning to get glimpses of convergence onto a coherent set of biological processes. Results from GWAS,^{11,29} CNV¹⁹ and sequencing studies^{34,35} point to a functionally related set of synaptic proteins involved in synaptic plasticity, learning and memory. Among the gene sets that can be tied to these processes are the ARC and NMDAR complexes, targets of FMRP, and voltage-gated calcium channels, all implicated by rare variant studies, and in the case of FMRP targets and voltage-gated calcium channels, common variant studies as well, including the most recent PGC GWAS.²⁹ Moreover, although the limited gene-set analyses reported by the PGC GWAS did not identify enrichments among the synaptic gene sets, associations to loci containing individual N-methyl-d-aspartate (GRIN2A), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (GRIA1) and metabotropic glutamate (GRM3) receptor genes as well as affiliated proteins point broadly in that direction. A more detailed gene-set analysis of the PGC GWAS has been undertaken and is being prepared for publication.

Although, it seems highly likely that there are other systems and mechanisms involved, including the dopamine system, and we can expect these to emerge with further and larger GWAS and sequencing studies, the current findings offer numerous entry points for neuroscientists to probe the biological basis of schizophrenia. Of course the sheer genetic complexity poses many challenges for such studies⁵¹ and we should not forget that with respect to the GWAS findings, we have yet to identify the actual risk variants and their proximal functional consequences at the gene level. The relatively sparse annotation of the genome, regulome and proteome across multiple brain regions, specific cell types and developmental periods hinders the translation of genetic findings into mechanistic understanding. The bridging of this 'annotation gap' coupled with larger, well-powered genetic

studies will aid in elucidating both healthy and diseased brain function, and potentially provide further insights into drug discovery and nosology. However, the massive multiple testing burden faced by genomic studies, and the proliferation of analytical methods, means that this endeavour will need to overcome methodological challenges to avoid a proliferation of false positive findings.

A further avenue for defining the effects of specific highpenetrance mutations will be to return to patients carrying the mutations for more extensive phenotyping using the plethora of approaches now available to clinical neuroscientists. Direct comparison with animal and cellular models, including those using induced pluripotent stem cells and new genomic editing approaches of the same mutations will also likely be informative.^{52,53} Detailed phenotyping studies of individual common variants have proliferated in recent years, but these face a number of methodological difficulties.⁵⁴ The development of methods to measure the *en masse* effects of risk SNPs in individuals, such as the polygene score approach mentioned above, offer new approaches in studying the impact of genetic risk on brain function using studies of unaffected as well as affected individuals.

Finally, we should note the successes in schizophrenia genetics are unlikely to have occurred because of the fundamental differences in the genetic architecture of this disorder compared with many other psychiatric disorders. Although in the case of some very-high-frequency disorders such as depression, the genetic architecture and or heterogeneity may be particularly challenging, for many other disorders, similar success is likely to follow the application of larger sample sizes.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declare no conflicts of intrest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work on schizophrenia is supported by MRC Centre (G0800509) and MRC Programme (G0801418) Grants, the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (HEALTH-F2-2010-241909 (Project EU-GEI)) and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 279227.

REFERENCES

- 1 Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC, Gottesman II. Schizophrenia In Psychiatric Genetics and Genomics. OUP Oxford: New Ed edition. 2002, pp 247–266.
- 2 O'Donovan MC, Craddock N, Norton N, Williams H, Peirce T, Moskvina V et al. Identification of loci associated with schizophrenia by genome-wide association and follow-up. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 1053–1055.
- 3 Stefansson H, Ophoff RA, Steinberg S, Andreassen OA, Cichon S, Rujescu D *et al.* Common variants conferring risk of schizophrenia. *Nature* 2009; **460**: 744–747.
- 4 Shi J, Levinson DF, Duan J, Sanders AR, Zheng Y, Pe'er I *et al.* Common variants on chromosome 6p22.1 are associated with schizophrenia. *Nature* 2009; **460**: 753–757.
- 5 International Schizophrenia Consortium. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* 2009; **460**: 748–752.
- 6 Ikeda M, Aleksic B, Kinoshita Y, Okochi T, Kawashima K, Kushima I et al. Genomewide association study of schizophrenia in a Japanese population. Biol Psychiatry 2011; 69: 472–478.
- 7 Hamshere M L, Walters JT, Smith R, Richards AL, Green E, Grozeva D et al. Genome-wide significant associations in schizophrenia to ITIH3/4, CACNA1C and SDCCAG8, and extensive replication of associations reported by the Schizophrenia PGC. Mol Psychiatry 2012; 18: 708–71210.1038/mp.2012.67.
- 8 Genome-wide association study implicates HLA-C*01. 02 as a risk factor at the major histocompatibility complex locus in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012; 72: 620–628.
- 9 Lencz T, Guha S, Liu C, Rosenfeld J, Mukherjee S, DeRosse P et al. Genome-wide association study implicates NDST3 in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Nat Commun 2013; 4: 2739.
- 10 Sullivan PF, Daly MJ, O'Donovan M. Genetic architectures of psychiatric disorders: the emerging picture and its implications. *Nat Rev Genet* 2012; **13**: 537–551.

- 76
- 11 Ripke S, O'Dushlaine C, Chambert K, Moran JL, Kähler AK, Akterin S et al. Genomewide association analysis identifies 13 new risk loci for schizophrenia. Nat Genet 2013; 45: 1150–1159.
- 12 Green E K, Grozeva D, Jones I, Jones L, Kirov G, Caesar S et al. The bipolar disorder risk allele at CACNA1C also confers risk of recurrent major depression and of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 2010; **15**: 1016–1022.
- 13 Smoller JW et al. Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet 2013; 381: 1371–1379.
- 14 Lee SH, Ripke S, Neale BM, Faraone SV, Purcell SM, Perlis RH, Mowry BJ et al. Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genomewide SNPs. Nat Genet 2013; 45: 984–994.
- 15 Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet 2011; 88: 76–82.
- 16 Karayiorgou M, Morris MA, Morrow B, Shprintzen RJ, Goldberg R, Borrow J et al. Schizophrenia susceptibility associated with interstitial deletions of chromosome 22q11. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1995; 92: 7612–7616.
- 17 Malhotra D, Sebat J. CNVs: harbingers of a rare variant revolution in psychiatric genetics. *Cell* 2012; **148**: 1223–1241.
- 18 Xu B, Roos JL, Levy S, van Rensburg EJ, Gogos JA, Karayiorgou M et al. Strong association of de novo copy number mutations with sporadic schizophrenia. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 880–885.
- 19 Kirov G, Pocklington AJ, Holmans P, Ivanov D, Ikeda M, Ruderfer D et al. De novo CNV analysis implicates specific abnormalities of postsynaptic signalling complexes in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012; **17**: 142–153.
- 20 Rees E, Walters JT, Georgieva L, Isles AR, Chambert KD, Richards AL et al. Analysis of copy number variations at 15 schizophrenia-associated loci in a large, independent cohort. Br J Psychiatry 2013; 204: 108–114.
- 21 Szatkiewicz JP, O'Dushlaine C, Chen G, Chambert K, Moran JL, Neale BM *et al.* Copy number variation in schizophrenia in Sweden. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014; **19**: 762–773.
- 22 Elia J, Glessner JT, Wang K, Takahashi N, Shtir CJ, Hadley D et al. Genome-wide copy number variation study associates metabotropic glutamate receptor gene networks with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Nat Genet 2012; 44: 78–84.
- 23 Cooper GM, Coe BP, Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Vu TH, Baker C et al. A copy number variation morbidity map of developmental delay. Nat Genet 2011; 43: 838–846.
- 24 Williams NM, Franke B, Mick E, Anney RJ, Freitag CM, Gill M et al. Genome-wide analysis of copy number variants in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: the role of rare variants and duplications at 15q13.3. Am J Psychiatry 2012; 169: 195–204.
- 25 Girirajan S, Eichler EE. Phenotypic variability and genetic susceptibility to genomic disorders. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010; **19**: R176–R187.
- 26 Sahoo T, Theisen A, Rosenfeld JA, Lamb AN, Ravnan JB, Schultz RA et al. Copy number variants of schizophrenia susceptibility loci are associated with a spectrum of speech and developmental delays and behavior problems. *Genet Med* 2011; **13**: 868–880.
- 27 Kirov G, Rees E, Walters JT, Escott-Price V, Georgieva L, Richards AL et al. The Penetrance of Copy Number Variations for Schizophrenia and Developmental Delay. Biol Psychiatry 2013; 75: 378–385.
- 28 Walsh T, McClellan JM, McCarthy SE, Addington AM, Pierce SB, Cooper GM et al. Rare structural variants disrupt multiple genes in neurodevelopmental pathways in schizophrenia. *Science* 2008; **320**: 539–543.
- 29 Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* 2014; **511**: 421–427.
- 30 Hamshere ML, Walters JT, Smith R, Richards AL, Green E, Grozeva D et al. Genomewide significant associations in schizophrenia to ITIH3/4, CACNA1C and SDCCAG8, and extensive replication of associations reported by the Schizophrenia PGC. *Mol Psychiatry* 2013; **18**: 708–712.
- 31 Plenge RM, Scolnick EM, Altshuler D. Validating therapeutic targets through human genetics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2013; **12**: 581–594.

- 32 ENCODE Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 2012; 489: 57–74.
- 33 Carter CS, Bullmore ET, Harrison P. Is there a flame in the brain in psychosis? *Biol Psychiatry* 2014; **75**: 258–259.
- 34 Fromer M, Pocklington AJ, Kavanagh DH, Williams HJ, Dwyer S, Gormley P et al. De novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate synaptic networks. Nature 2014; 506: 179–184.
- 35 Purcell SM, Moran JL, Fromer M, Ruderfer D, Solovieff N, Roussos P et al. A polygenic burden of rare disruptive mutations in schizophrenia. *Nature* 2014; 506: 185–190.
- 36 Xu B, Ionita-Laza I, Roos JL, Boone B, Woodrick S, Sun Y et al. De novo gene mutations highlight patterns of genetic and neural complexity in schizophrenia. Nat Genet 2012; 44: 1365–1369.
- 37 Girard SL, Gauthier J, Noreau A, Xiong L, Zhou S, Jouan L *et al.* Increased exonic *de novo* mutation rate in individuals with schizophrenia. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**: 860–863.
- 38 Gulsuner S, Walsh T, Watts AC, Lee MK, Thornton AM, Casadei S et al. Spatial and temporal mapping of *de novo* mutations in schizophrenia to a fetal prefrontal cortical network. *Cell* 2013; **154**: 518–529.
- 39 Neale BM, Kou Y, Liu L, Ma'ayan A, Samocha KE, Sabo A et al. Patterns and rates of exonic de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders. Nature 2012; 485: 242–245.
- 40 O'Roak BJ, Vives L, Girirajan S, Karakoc E, Krumm N, Coe BP *et al.* Sporadic autism exomes reveal a highly interconnected protein network of *de novo* mutations. *Nature* 2012; **485**: 246–250.
- 41 Sanders SJ, Murtha MT, Gupta AR, Murdoch JD, Raubeson MJ, Willsey AJ *et al. De novo* mutations revealed by whole-exome sequencing are strongly associated with autism. *Nature* 2012; **485**: 237–241.
- 42 lossifov I, Ronemus M, Levy D, Wang Z, Hakker I, Rosenbaum J *et al. De Novo* Gene Disruptions in Children on the Autistic Spectrum. *Neuron* 2012; **74**: 285–299.
- 43 Rauch A, Wieczorek D, Graf E, Wieland T, Endele S, Schwarzmayr T et al. Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1674–1682.
- 44 De Ligt J, Willemsen MH, van Bon BW, Kleefstra T, Yntema HG, Kroes T et al. Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 1921–1929.
- 45 Kong A, Frigge ML, Masson G, Besenbacher S, Sulem P, Magnusson G et al. Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father's age to disease risk. Nature 2012; 488: 471–475.
- 46 McGrath JJ, Petersen L, Agerbo E, Mors O, Mortensen PB, Pedersen CB *et al.* A comprehensive assessment of parental age and psychiatric disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2014; **71**: 301–309.
- 47 McCarthy SE, Gillis J, Kramer M, Lihm J, Yoon S, Berstein Y et al. De novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate chromatin remodeling and support a genetic overlap with autism and intellectual disability. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014; **19**: 652–658.
- 48 Craddock N, Owen MJ. The Kraepelinian dichotomy going, going... but still not gone. Br J Psychiatry 2010; 196: 92–95.
- 49 Doherty JL, Owen MJ. The Research Domain Criteria: moving the goalposts to change the game. *Br J Psychiatry* 2014; **204**: 171–173.
- 50 Doherty JL, Owen MJ. Genomic insights into the overlap between psychiatric disorders: implications for research and clinical practice. *Genome Med* 2014; 6: 29.
- 51 McCarroll SA, Hyman SE. Progress in the genetics of polygenic brain disorders: significant new challenges for neurobiology. *Neuron* 2013; 80: 578–587.
- 52 Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas CF. ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends Biotechnol* 2013; **31**: 397–405.
- 53 Zhang F, Wen Y, Guo X. CRISPR/Cas9 for genome editing: progress, implications and challenges. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014; 23: R40–R46.
- 54 Linden DEJ. The challenges and promise of neuroimaging in psychiatry. *Neuron* 2012; **73**: 8–22.