

Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1997

E. T. FETERIS, R. GROOTENDORST and P. HOUTLOSSER

Entries for this bibliography were compiled from journals which regularly publish articles on argumentation, from announcements sent by publishers, and from information sent by colleagues.

Compilation of the 1998 bibliography has begun. The editors would like to invite the readers to submit entries with annotations and full publication information. We welcome copies of books, especially those accompanied by abstracts, and reprints of articles. These should be sent by September 1, 1999 to: ISSA Documentation Centre, c/o Peter Houtlosser, Department of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric, University of Amsterdam, Spuistraat 134, 1012 VB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

AA	Argumentation & Advocacy
ARG	Argumentation
CT	Communication Theory
IL	Informal Logic
PhRh	Philosophy & Rhetoric
QJS	Quarterly Journal of Speech

* Authors indicated with an asterisk have a separate entry.

AARNIO, AULIS, 1997

Reason and Authority. A Treatise on the Dynamic Paradigm of Legal Dogmatics. Aldershot: Dartmouth/Ashgate.

This book discusses the epistemic and ontological foundations of law and juridical thinking. The author analyzes contemporary legal norms, juridical reasoning and the concept of 'juridical truth'. Changes in the paradigm of legal theory are also discussed.

ABBOT, DON PAUL, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 266–269.

Review of Edward Schiappa (Ed.), *Warranting Assent. Case Studies in Argument Evaluation.* Albany: SUNY, 1995.

HERRICK, J. A., 1997

The Radical Rhetoric of the English Deists. The Discourse of Skepticism, 1680–1750. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press.

In this book the author explains the movement of the English Deists in rhetorical terms. He shows how rhetorical resources were employed by the Deists and their opponents, and how the rhetorical status of particular dissenters and apologists contributed to establishing a transitional ethos of that time. It is also argued that ethos as a source credibility is central in any time period.

ADLER, JONATHAN E., 1997A

'Fallacies not fallacious: Not!' *PhRh*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 333–350.

The author contests the claim that fallacies are not always fallacious. On the basis of an analysis of the *argumentum ad hominem*, he criticizes four reasons adduced in the literature on fallacies to distinguish between fallacious and non-fallacious *ad hominem* arguments.

Argumentation 13: 221–232, 1999.

© 1999 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

ADLER, JONATHAN E. 1997B

'Reply by repetition and reminder'. *PhRh*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 367–375.

The author refutes arguments against his position adduced in Wreen 1997.

AURAND JR., HAROLD, 1997

PhRh, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 94–96

Review of R. H. Carpenter, *History as Rhetoric. Style, Narrative, and Persuasion*.

Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1995.

BAESLER, JAMES E., 1997

'Persuasive effects of story and statistical evidence'. *AA*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 170–175.

The author reports about empirical research which compared the persuasiveness of narratives and statistical evidence by means of, and in interaction with, arguments on three different subjects. As far as the effectivity of the two types of support was concerned, no differences were found. The persuasiveness of statistical evidence was found to depend on the subject matter of the arguments.

BANNET, EVE TAVOR, 1997

'Criticism and intertranslation: The end of critique in democratic society'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 23–33.

This article examines the role as well as the constraints of critical thinking in a democratic society. The author rejects a negative analysis of critical analysis, which to her mind is not constructive but authoritarian, and she advocates a Derridaean and feministic approach.

BENOIT, WILLIAM L., 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 381–383.

Review of R. L. Whillock & D. Slayden (Eds.), *Hate Speech*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1995.

BERBRIER, MITCHELL, 1997

'From *logos* to *pathos* in social psychology and academic argumentation: Reconciling post-modernism and positivism in a sociology of persuasion'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 35–50.

The author argues that cognitive social-psychological research should be transformed into a sociology of persuasion in which knowledge, facts and reasoning are not considered incompatible with attitudes, beliefs and emotions, and which focuses on the process of construction of knowledge and truth. Such research would enhance academic engagement with public life.

BINKLEY, ROBERT W., 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 259–262.

Review of R. H. Johnson & J. A. Blair (Eds.), *New Essays in Informal Logic*. Windsor, Ont.: Informal Logic, 1994.

BOWLES, GEORGE, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

'Reply to Professor Allen'. *IL*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 83–85.

Critical reaction on D. Allen, 'Relevance, conduction and Canada's rape-shield decision', *IL*, Vol. 15 (1993), No. 2, pp. 105–122.

BROWN, RICHARD HARVEY, 1997A

'New roles for rhetoric: From academic critique to civic affirmation'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 9–22.

It is argued that rhetoric has recently come to play a constructive role in public life. The transformed rhetorical perspective has not only resulted in a critical attitude towards posi-

tivistic philosophy and the scientific view of public life, but has also provided methods with which people can shape their way of functioning in society.

BROWN, RICHARD HARVEY (GUEST ED.), 1997B

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 3–130.

'From critique to affirmation: New roles for rhetoric in creating civic life'. Special issue on the public role of rhetoric, with an introduction by the guest editor (pp. 3–7) and contributions of Richard Harvey Brown*, Eve Tabor Bannett*, Mitchell Berbrier*, Jeanine Czubaroff*, Alan G. Gross & Arthur Walzer*, John Shotter* and James F. Klumpp*.

BURGCHARDT, CARL R., 1997

QJS, Vol. 83, No. 1, pp. 123–125.

Review of R. H. Carpenter, *History as Rhetoric Style, Narrative, and Persuasion*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1995.

BUSSI, G. E., BONDI, M. & GATTA, F. (EDS.), 1997

Understanding argument. La logica informale del discorso. Bologna: Forli.

This book is a collection of thirteen articles - nine in Italian and four in English - on argumentation, presenting an overview of the type of research at the University of Bologna and in Italy and Europe in general.

CATTANI, ADELINO, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 269–273.

Review of R. Morresi, *Argomentazione e dialettica. Tra logica hegeliana e 'Nouvelle rhéorique'*. Rome: Il Calamo, 1995.

CZUBAROFF, JEANINE, 1997

'The public dimension of scientific controversies'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 51–75.

The author rejects the objectivistic, ahistorical starting points of contemporary scientific practice. Scientists should aim for theoretical diversity, develop rational instruments for evaluating differences in values, and cultivate conversational and written communication.

DOURY, M., 1997

Le débat immobile. L'argumentation dans le débat médiatique sur les parasciences [Stuck in debate. Argumentation in media discussions on the parasciences]. Paris: Editions Kimé.

This book analyzes the discursive strategies of proponents and opponents in discussions on paranormal sciences such as parapsychology and dianetics. She attempts to show that such discussions linger relatively often on procedural issues whereas concentration on the subject matter often results in circular reasoning.

EEMEREN, F. H. VAN, R. GROOTENDORST, S. JACKSON & S. JACOBS, 1997

'Argumentation'. In: T. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse Studies: A Multidisciplinary Introduction. Volume I: Discourse as Structure and Process* (pp. 208–229). London: Sage.

The article first discusses the concept of 'argumentation'. The history of the study of argumentation is then reviewed. To illustrate the principles of reconstruction and evaluation, a critical analysis is carried out of an American advertorial on adolescent cigarette smoking. Finally, practical applications of the study of argumentation are discussed.

FETERIS, EVELINE T., 1997

'A survey of 25 years of research on legal argumentation'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 355–376.

The authors give an overview of research on legal argumentation between 1970 and 1995. The main approaches to the study of legal argumentation are discussed as well as prevailing subjects and research questions and the development of the discipline.

FETERIS, E. T., R. GROOTENDORST & P. HOUTLOSSER, 1997

'Bibliography argumentation studies 1995'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 237–258.

FINOCCHIARO, MAURICE A., 1997A

'The *Port-Royal Logic*'s theory of argument'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 393–410.

The author discusses the relevance of Antoine Arnauld's *Logic and the Art of Thinking* (1662) for contemporary argumentation theory.

FINOCCHIARO, MAURICE A., 1997B

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 263–266.

Review of R. H. Carpenter, *History as Rhetoric. Style, Narrative, and Persuasion*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1995.

FINOCCHIARO, MAURICE A. (ED.), 1997C

Galileo on the World systems. A New abridged Translation and Guide. Berkeley: University of California Press.

This is a new edition of Galileo's book with an introduction and extensive comments by the editor.

FISHER, ALEC & MICHAEL SCRIVEN, 1997

Critical Thinking. Its Definition and Assessment. Pont Reyes, CA: Edgepress.

This book discusses and compares methods for evaluating tests of critical thinking.

FRANK, DAVID A., 1997

'The new rhetoric, Judaism, and post-Enlightenment thought: The cultural origins of Perelmanian philosophy', *QJS*, Vol. 83, No. 3, pp. 311–331.

In the business of correcting deformed representations of Perelman's philosophical thoughts, the author argues that Perelman's work should be read as a reaction to post-Enlightenment thinking and postmodern culture. He shows that Perelman's argumentation theory fits in with the Jewish tradition and is in conformity with the Talmudic style of arguing. Seen in this perspective, Perelman is claimed not to be the relativist he has often been accused of being.

FUSFIELD, WILLIAM, 1997

'Communication without constellation? Habermas's argumentative turn in (and away from) critical theory'. *CT*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 301–320.

It is argued that the argumentative turn in Habermas' philosophical work is a breach with the starting points of his predecessors of the Frankfurt School. Some theoretical and political implications of this turn are discussed.

GARRETT, MARY M., 1997

'Chinese Buddhist religious disputation'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 195–209.

The author describes the contextual, procedural and functional characteristics of the formal, semi-religious and originally Indian disputes among Chinese Buddhist monks in the fourth until the tenth century A.D. She analyzes the strategies by means of which the participants in these disputes attempt to prevent ending up in a position inconsistent with the Buddhist principles concerning language and salvation.

GILBERT, MICHAEL A., 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 391–392.

Review of G. Colwell, *You Won't Believe This, But . . . Responding to Student Complaints and Excuses*. Calgary: Detselig, 1996.

GOLDMAN, ALVIN I., 1997

'Argumentation and interpersonal justification'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 155–164.

The author argues that the concept of 'interpersonal justification' can be defined along the lines of personal justification of beliefs. Starting from such a definition, he analyzes the relation between sound argumentation and personal justification.

GORRELL, DONNA, 1997

'The rhetorical situation again: Linked components in a Venn diagram'. *PhRh*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 395–412.

This article discusses Loyd Bitzer's model of the 'rhetorical situation'. She argues for a synthesis of this model and the rhetorical triangle of communication.

GROARKE, LEO, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 497–501.

Review of H. V. Hansen & R. C. Pinto (Eds.), *Fallacies. Classical and Contemporary Readings*. University Park: Penn State Press, 1995.

GROARKE, L., C. W. TINDALE & L. FISHER, 1997

Good reasoning matters! A constructive approach to critical thinking. 2nd Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Revised edition of the textbook on argumentation on argumentation and critical thinking.

GROSS, ALAN G. & ARTHUR WALZER, 1997

'The *Challenger* disaster and the revival of rhetoric in organizational life'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 75–93.

The authors argue that the official declarations made after the disaster with the Challenger space shuttle were unjustly devoted only to the technical and procedural aspects of the accident, and not to the ethical and emotional aspects. Here, the authors see an opportunity for rhetoric. They explain the political and social conditions under which rhetoric can be made instrumental in enhancing cognitively secured understanding and ethically motivated action.

HATTIANGADI, JAGDISH N., 1997

'The first dogma of logical negativism'. *ARG*. Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 165–178.

The author discusses Quine's theory of science and his related theory of language from the perspective of the relation between argumentation as an instrument for truth finding and argumentation as a means of convincing.

HEYSSE, TIM, 1997

'Why logic doesn't matter in the (philosophical) study of argumentation'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 211–224.

This article argues that formal logic may provide a critical notion of transcendence, but that this notion is not appropriate for evaluating arguments, since argumentation is not within logic's scope.

HINTIKKA, JAAKKO, 1997

'What was Aristotle doing in his early logic, anyway?' *Synthese*, Vol. 113, pp. 241–249.

This article discusses Aristotle's early logic. The author attempts to reconstruct Aristotle's aspirations in designing his logic.

HOVEN, PAUL VAN DEN, 1997

'The dilemma of normativity: How to interpret a rational reconstruction?' *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 411–417.

The author discusses the issue of evaluating argumentation with the help of an ideal model by comparing it to legal practice. He argues that there are three moments at which the model requires interpretation itself, and is then not applicable.

ISHIYAMA, JOHN T., MICHAEL K. LAUNER, IRINA E. LIKHACHOVA,
DAVID CRATIS WILLIAMS & MARILYN J. YOUNG, 1997

'Russian electoral politics and the search for national identity'. *AA*, Vol. 34, No. 2, pp. 90–109.

National identity formation is examined in the emerging Russian democracy. As a clue, a comparison is made between the arguments adduced in the parliamentary elections in 1993 and 1995 and the presidential elections in 1996.

JACQUETTE, DALE, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

'Charity and the reiteration problem for enthymemes'. *IL*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 1–16.

The author discusses the reiteration problem occurring in the process of reconstructing unexpressed premises. As a rule, he argues, adding an if-then conditional is trivial. In some cases, however, it is not. He sketches an outline for reconstructing unexpressed premises in which there is room for non-trivial addition of the if-then condition.

KEEFER, MATTHEW, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

'Distinguishing practical and theoretical knowledge', *IL*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 35–56.

The author presents a model of practical reasoning that is to provide a solution for the problems indicated by Deanne Kuhn in her book *The Skills of Argument*. The author argues that because Kuhn considers practical arguments as failed theoretical justifications, she inadequately interprets the contributions that her subjects make to the discussion under analysis.

KIENPOINTNER, MANFRED, 1997

'On the art of finding arguments: What ancient and modern masters of invention have to tell us about the *Ars inveniendi*'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 225–236.

This is a critical review of various classical, medieval and contemporary theories of argument invention. The author advocates an integration of the various invention strategies.

KIENPOINTNER, MANFRED & WALTHER KINDT, 1997

'On the problem of bias in political argumentation: An investigation into discussions about political asylum in Germany and Austria'. *Journal of Pragmatics*, Vol. 27, pp. 555–585. Starting from an integration of descriptive and normative insights in the study of argumentation, the authors define 'prejudice' as a lack of appropriate balancing and critical doubt with respect to a specific type of argumentation. They distinguish between local and general prejudices, and apply the distinction in analyzing and evaluating letters to the editor on the German and Austrian asylum policy.

KINDT, W., 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 386–391

Review of H. Wohlrapp (Hrsg.), *Wege der Argumentationsforschung*. Stuttgart: Frommann Holzboog, 1995.

KLOOSTERHUIS, HARM, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 135–138.

Review of P. Mengel, *Analogien als Argumente*. Frankfurt a/M: Peter Lang, 1995.

KLUMPP, JAMES F., 1997A

'Freedom and responsibility in constructing public life: Toward a revised ethic of discourse'.

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 113–130.

The author criticizes the present rationale for the principle of freedom of speech, which to his mind is based on Enlightenment presuppositions about the relation between public discussion and public life. He advocates an alternative rationale based on principles from contemporary rhetoric.

KLUMPP, JAMES F., 1997B

AA, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 147–159.

Critical review of C.A. Willard, *Liberalism and the Problem of Knowledge. A New Rhetoric for Modern Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1996.

LAKE, RANDALL A., 1997

'Argumentation and Self: The enactment of identity in *Dances with Wolves*'. AA, Vol. 34, No. 2, pp. 66–89.

The author advocates a dialectical approach to the contradistinction between the individual and the culture of which that individual is a part. In support of his argument, he analyzes the film *Dances with Wolves* and the criticisms of that film.

LANGSDORF, LENORE, 1997

'Argument as inquiry in a postmodern context'. ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 315–327.

Because interpretation is a constitutive component of interactive argumentation, the author deems it necessary to develop procedures for proper interpretation. The article sets out to do this with the help of insights from hermeneutical phenomenology.

LINKER, MAUREEN, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 131–134.

Review of R. Andrews, *Teaching and Learning Argument*. London: Cassell, 1995.

LIU, YAMENG, 1997A

'Unintelligibility or defeat: The issue of engagement in philosophical debates'. ARG, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 479–491.

The author advocates a triadic, instead of a dyadic, approach to philosophical debates. In such an approach, the public is actively involved as a third factor in the debate.

LIU, YAMENG, 1997B

'Authority, presumption, and invention'. *PhRh*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 413–427.

The author argues that the notion of 'authority' can play a positive role in argumentative discourse. A variety of accounts of reasoning and arguing from authority are discussed and an approach is advocated in which authority is regarded as a basis for *inventio* and in which invention is distinguished from being authorized to speak.

LUMER, CHRISTOPH, 1997

'Practical arguments for theoretical theses'. ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 329–340.

The author outlines an approach to developing criteria for the validity and soundness of particular types of argument. He applies these criteria to the type of arguments that parallel the structure of Pascal's argument that it is better to believe in God than not to believe in God.

McKERROW, RAYMIE & MICHAEL BRUNER, 1997

'Argument and multiple identities: Contemporary European nationalism and environmentalism'. AA, Vol. 34, No. 2, pp. 51–65.

The authors investigate the role of argumentation in creating a social identity. In this endeavour they analyze expressions of nationalism in former Yugoslavia and expressions of concern for the environment in the Brent Spar discussion.

MEIJER WERTHEIMER, MOLLY, 1997

Listening to Their Voices. The Rhetorical Activities of Historical Women. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press.

This book collects 18 contributions – distributed over five parts – about the history and development of feminine rhetoric and feminist rhetorical critique. With an introduction by the editor.

MEYER, MICHEL, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

IL, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 97–99.

Review of E. Carver, *Aristotle's Rhetoric. An Art of Character.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994.

MICHAEL, EMILY & FRED S. MICHAEL, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

IL, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 94–96.

Review of E. Stump, *Dialectic and Its Place in the Development of Medieval Logic.* Ithaca/London: Cornell University Press, 1989.

MISSIMER, CONNIE, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

IL, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 87–89.

Review of R. C. Pinto & J. A. Blair, *Reasoning. A Practical Guide.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1993.

O'KEEFE, DANIEL J., 1997

'Standpoint explicitness and persuasive effect: A meta-analytic review of the effects of varying conclusion articulation in persuasive messages'. *AA*, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 1–13.

A meta-analytical review of empirical studies shows that explicitly marking a standpoint enhances the persuasiveness of the speaker's or writer's argument. Unlike what is often thought, critical norms and instrumental considerations turn out not to exclude one another.

O'LEARY, STEPHEN D., 1997

'Apocalyptic argument and the anticipation of catastrophe: The prediction of risk and the risk of prediction'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 293–313.

The author applies a theory of apocalyptic argumentation on the debates about earthquake predictions that were conducted in 1990 in the United States. He shows that a number of characteristic argumentative patterns from religious apocalyptic discussions also occur in the earthquake debates.

PEREZ-MIRANDA, L.A., 1997

'Deciding, planning, and practical reasoning: Elements towards a cognitive architecture'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 435–461.

The author argues that the framework of decision theory is not suited to the analysis of cognitive action. Instead, a theory should be applied that introduces a *plan-structure* as the basic idea.

POEL, M. VAN DER, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 501–504.

Review of W. M. Purcell, *Ars Poetriae. Rhetorical and Grammatical Invention at the Margin of Literacy.* Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1996.

POULAKIS, TAKIS, 1997

Speaking for the Polis. Isocrates' Rhetorical Education. Columbia, SC: The University of South Carolina Press.

In this book, a reinterpretation is given of Isocrates' rhetorical achievements. The author evaluates the Greek orator's educational program from the perspective of rhetorical theory

and its relation to sociopolitical practices. Illuminating Isocrates' effort to reformulate sophistic conceptions of rhetoric on the basis of the intellectual and political debates of his time, he contends that Isocrates crafted a version of rhetoric that gave the art an important new role in the ethical and political activities of Athens.

PRAKKEN, HENRY, 1997A

Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

In this book, the author provides a logical analysis of recurring reasoning patterns in law. He discusses the logical perspective in artificial intelligence research as well as the reasoning perspective.

PRAKKEN, HENRY, 1997B

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 377–381.

Review of Z. Bankowski, I. White & U. Hahn (Eds.), *Informatics and the Foundations of Legal Reasoning*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1995.

RAÏKKA, JUHA, 1997

'Burden of proof in social criticism'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 463–477.

The author examines which rules for the allocation of the burden of proof are operative in debates on discrimination. The fact that this proves to be unclear shows that such debates suffer from a lack of certainty about which views have presumptive status and which views do not.

ROLOFF, MICHAEL E., 1997

AA, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 206.

Review of G. Colwell, *You Won't Believe This, But . . . Responding to Student Complaints and Excuses*. Calgary: Detselig, 1996.

SANDVIK, MARGARETH, 1997

'Reconstructing interactive argumentative discourse'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 419–434.

The author argues that both pragma-dialectics and conversation analysis fail to provide an appropriate method for analyzing and reconstructing political debates. The pragmat-dialectical method is claimed to be too hierarchical and too static, whereas conversation analysis does not offer instruments for the evaluation of the contributions of the participants in such debates.

SHI-XU, 1997

Cultural Representations. Analysing the Discourse about the Other. Bern: Peter Lang.

This book presents a discourse analytical approach to mental representation, focusing on discursive structures and processes. With the help of interviews with expatriate Chinese academics in the Netherlands about the Dutch and of Dutch travel literature on China, it is argued that perceptions, attitudes, attributions and ideologies are integrated components of social interaction which serve to project particular cultural identities.

SHOTTER, JOHN, 1997A

'On a different ground: From contests between monologues to dialogical contests'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 95–112.

In this article it is argued that an approach in which arguments are considered as monologues presented to others for acceptance or rejection excludes a collaborative construction of a point of view on the issues of discussion. This is why the author advocates a dialogical approach in which the evaluation of arguments is not situated in a logical framework but in a cultural ideological context.

SHOTTER, JOHN, 1997B

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 493–497.

Review of B. W. Mckinzie, *Objectivity, Communication, and the Foundation of Understanding*. New York/London: UPA, 1994.

SIEGEL, HARVEY & JOHN BIRO, 1997

'Epistemic normativity, argumentation, and fallacies'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 277–292.

The authors argue that the argumentation theory they have developed can do justice to the normative aspect of the activity of evaluating arguments. They claim that the theory provides criteria for both evaluating the normative status of argumentation and explaining that status (i.e. why the arguments are good or bad). To illustrate their views, the authors analyze and evaluate a number of fallacies.

SLATER, MICHAEL D., 1997

'Persuasion processes across receiver goals and message genres'. *CT*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 125–148.

The author examines the usefulness of his conception of the notion of 'involvement' for sociopsychological persuasion research. Starting from a goal-oriented attitude of the addressed audience in receiving and processing persuasive messages, he distinguishes six goals and associated processing strategies and discusses their implications for predicting persuasion effects.

SLOT, PAULINE, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 383–386.

Review of C. Ilie, *What Else Can I Tell You? A Pragmatic Study of English Rhetorical Questions as Discursive and Argumentative Acts*. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Stockholm, 1994.

STARMANS, RICHARD, 1997

ARG, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 139–144.

Review of D. N. Walton & E. C. W. Krabbe, *Commitments in Dialogue. Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning*. New York: SUNY, 1995.

TINDALE, CHRISTOPHER W., 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

'Fallacies in transition: An assessment of the pragma-dialectical perspective', *IL*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 17–34.

Critical review of the pragma-dialectical theory of fallacies. The author points to crucial though unacknowledged rhetorical aspects in this theory and advocates a theory of fallacies in which logical and dialectical aspects of arguments are explicitly subordinated to rhetorical aspects.

TINDALE, CHRISTOPHER, W., 1997A

'Fallacies, blunders, and dialogue shifts: Walton's contributions to the fallacy debate'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 341–354.

The author discusses three stages in the developments of Douglas Walton's approach to fallacies.

TINDALE, CHRISTOPHER W., 1997B

PhRh, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 97–100.

Review of D. N. Walton, *Arguments from Ignorance*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1995.

WALKER, JEFFREY, 1997

PhRh, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 206–209.

Review of G. Myerson, *Rhetoric, Reason and Society. Rationality as Dialogue*. London: Sage, 1994.

WALTON, DOUGLAS N., 1997

Appeal to Pity. Argumentation Ad Misericordiam. New York: SUNY.

An analysis is given of the *argumentum ad misericordiam*. After a review of the literature and an outline of a theoretical framework, a number of case studies are carried out to determine under which conditions an *ad misericordiam* is fallacious.

WALTON, D. N. & A. BRINTON (EDS.), 1997

Historical Foundations of Informal Logic. Aldershot: Ashgate.

This book is a collection of articles about the history of fallacy theory. After an introduction by the editors, three chapters are devoted to classical history. John Poulakos deals with the older sophists, focusing on features of rhetorical situation and aspects of argumentation. James Benjamin discusses Plato, emphasizing his character as a theorist and practitioner of argumentation. Pamela Huby examines Aristotle's treatment of language and some aspects of the *Rhetoric*. Alan Perreiah writes about medieval dialectic; in discussing dialectical forms of argumentation he pays special attention to its application to both philosophical and practical reasoning and disputation. The logic of the Port Royal is the subject of Russell Wahl's contribution, who focuses on their approach and on the central concept of 'idea'. Alan Brinton discusses the psychological approach of logic in Watt's *Logick*. Ramie McKerrow does the same with regard to Whately's *Elements of Logic*. Rob Grootendorst discusses Bentham's classification of political fallacies, interpreting them dialectically. Hans Hansen examines Mill's classification of fallacies in relation to his general theory of inference. Hud Hudson examines the relevance of Kant's conceptions of dialectic, fallacies, analysis and synthesis for informal logic. Johnson and Blair provide an overview and assessment of recent developments in fallacy theory.

WASTYN, RONALD O. & M. LINDA WASTYN, 1997

'Argument within a scientific debate: The case of the DRD2 A1 Allele as a gene for alcoholism'. *AA*, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 13–26.

On the basis of a scientific debate on the genetics of alcoholism the authors examine the ways in which the parties present their arguments to the scientific community, the extent to which their debates start from well-established and clear starting points and what it implies when they present a finding as a 'scientific discovery'.

WHALEY, BRYAN B., 1997

'Perception of rebuttal analogy'. *AA*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 161–169.

Starting from the idea that rebuttal analogies can serve separate – both argumentative and social – communicative goals, the author investigated how respondents react to the use and the user of a rebuttal analogy. While their users are experienced as less polite, the use of rebuttal analogies is considered as both less effective and less ethical than that of the nonanalogical counterpart.

WIJZE, STEPHEN DE, 1996 [PUBLISHED IN 1998]

'Helping to undo the past: Teaching critical thinking in South Africa'. *IL*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 57–82.

Drawing upon his experiences as a teacher, the author argues that critical thinking should be considered a contribution to the intellectual development of South-African students.

WILLARD, CHARLES ARTHUR, 1997

'McWorld and the tweed Jihad'. *AA*, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 122–134.

The author gives an explanation of his book *Liberalism and the Problem of Knowledge. A New Rhetoric for Modern Democracy* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1996).

WILLIAMS, DAVID CRATIS, JOHN T. ISHIYAMA, MARILYN J. YOUNG & MICHAEL T. LAUNER, 1997

'The role of public argument in emerging democracies: A case study of the 12 December 1993 elections in the Russian Federation'. *ARG*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 179–194.

The authors analyze the rhetorical strategy displayed by the Russian Communist Party in the 1993 Duma elections. They show that the Communists apply Western concepts such as 'democracy' but transform their meaning, while at the same time clinging to all kinds of Communist terminology.

WREEN, MICHAEL J., 1997

'Absent thee from fallacy a while?' *PhRh*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 351–366.

Reaction to Adler 1997a. In the author's opinion, Adler's inadequate conception of the fallaciousness of fallacies is a consequence of his ignorance of the *type-token* distinction.

ZULICK, MARGARET D., 1997

'Generative rhetoric and public argument: A classical approach'. *AA*, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 109–119.

The authors advocates a generative rhetoric in which instruments for social change are related to the generative capacity of language. She examines the generative structures in classical *inventio* and then discusses contemporary opportunities for social intervention. She relates these opportunities to the process of variation on existing forms of language. In her opinion, they are a precondition for social innovation.