SPECIAL ISSUE: SHORT COMMUNICATION

Antidepressant‑like efects of cannabidiol in a rat model of early‑life stress with or without adolescent cocaine exposure

Cristian Bis‑Humbert1,2 [·](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8919-6019) Rubén García‑Cabrerizo1,2,3 · M. Julia García‑Fuster1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9177-3775)

Received: 19 April 2021 / Revised: 21 May 2021 / Accepted: 24 May 2021 / Published online: 2 June 2021 © Maj Institute of Pharmacology Polish Academy of Sciences 2021

Abstract

Background Further studies are needed to better understand the efects of potential novel antidepressants, such as cannabidiol, for the treatment of psychiatric disorders during adolescence. In this context, we evaluated in a rodent model of early-life stress (a single 24-h episode of maternal deprivation, PND 9), the antidepressant-like efects of adolescent cannabidiol alone and/or in combination with adolescent cocaine exposure (given the described benefcial efects of cannabidiol on reducing cocaine effects).

Methods Maternally deprived Sprague-Dawley male rats were treated in adolescence with cannabidiol (with or without concomitant cocaine) and exposed to a battery of behavioral tests (forced-swim, novelty-suppressed feeding, open feld, sucrose preference) across time. Putative enduring molecular correlates (CB receptors, BDNF) were evaluated in the hippocampus by western blot.

Results Cannabidiol exerted antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like efects in rats exposed to early-life stress. Cocaine did not alter afective-like behavior during adolescence in rats exposed to early-life stress; however, a depressive- and anxiogeniclike phenotype emerged during adulthood, and cannabidiol exerted some behavioral improvements, along with the growing literature supporting its benefcial role for reducing cocaine intake and/or reinstatement in rodents. Finally, cannabidiol did not modulate hippocampal CB receptors or BDNF proteins, and although the data raised interesting questions about the possible role of CB1 receptors on modulating the long-term effects of cocaine, future research is needed to expand these findings. **Conclusion** Cannabidiol showed a promising therapeutic response in terms of ameliorating afect in a rat model of early-life stress during adolescence and up to adulthood.

Keywords Adolescence · Antidepressant · Cannabidiol · Cocaine · Maternal deprivation · Rat

Introduction

In the last years a lot of attention has been given to the potential of medicinal cannabis (i.e., mainly cannabidiol, a non-psychotomimetic compound of *Cannabis sativa*; [\[1](#page-6-0)]) for the treatment of a range of psychiatric disorders (e.g., [\[2\]](#page-6-1)), although the conclusions of its efficacy are currently premature (see systematic review in [\[3\]](#page-6-2)), and with the limitation that most studies have been performed in adults [[4\]](#page-6-3). This limitation is relevant since antidepressant drugs elicit different behavioral responses and neurochemical efects in young and adults (for a general revision of the efficacy and tolerability of several antidepressants in children and adolescents see $[5]$ $[5]$; and $[6]$ $[6]$ for specific age effects of cannabidiol in naïve rats). While extensive research has been done in adult rodent models, future studies are needed to better understand the efects of potential novel antidepressants, such as cannabidiol, during adolescence. To do so, we utilized a rodent model of early-life stress, known to modify brain developmental trajectories and capable of inducing behavioral and neurochemical alterations during adulthood, which applied a single episode (24 h) of early maternal deprivation on PND 9 (see recent studies from our group using this model in [[7,](#page-6-6) [8\]](#page-6-7)) to evaluate the immediate and/or persistent antidepressant-like potential of cannabidiol

 \boxtimes M. Julia García-Fuster j.garcia@uib.es

¹ IUNICS, University of the Balearic Islands, Ctra. de Valldemossa km 7.5, 07122 Palma, Spain

² Health Research Institute of the Balearic Islands (IdISBa), Palma, Spain

³ Present Address: APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

exposure during adolescence through a battery of behavioral tests (forced-swim, novelty-suppressed feeding, open feld, sucrose preference). We hypothesized that cannabidiol will induce an antidepressant-like effect in rats exposed to earlylife stress as did in non-stressed naïve rats [\[6](#page-6-5)].

Moreover, we recently demonstrated that the accumulation of early-life stress (maternal separation combined with adolescent cocaine exposure) could anticipate the negative behavioral outcome induced by either factor alone during adulthood to the adolescent period [[8\]](#page-6-7). In this context, several studies have described the potential benefcial efects of cannabidiol on reducing drug intake and/or relapse prevention (see some of the many recent studies, e.g., [[9](#page-6-8)], and specifically for cocaine [[10](#page-6-9), [11](#page-6-10)]). Thus, we evaluated the efects of cannabidiol on improving the emergence of negative afect induced by stress accumulation (maternal deprivation plus adolescent cocaine exposure) through a battery of behavioral tests (forced-swim, novelty-suppressed feeding, open feld, sucrose preference). We hypothesized that cannabidiol will improve the negative impact induced by adolescent cocaine in early-life stressed rats.

As for the possible neuroplastic and neuroprotective mechanisms involved in the therapeutic efects of cannabidiol in psychiatric disorders [\[12](#page-6-11)], some key targets emerge as relevant in the hippocampus [\[13\]](#page-6-12). For example, some studies evaluated the regulation of cannabinoid receptors not only by cannabidiol administration (e.g., [[14\]](#page-6-13),), but also as a consequence of adolescent cocaine alone (e.g., [\[15\]](#page-6-14)), or by the combination of early-life stress and adolescent cocaine exposure (see [\[16](#page-6-15)]). Also, cannabidiol induced rapid and sustained antidepressant-like effects in rodents through increased BDNF signaling [[17\]](#page-6-16), and increased BDNF acts as an essential common mechanism of action of several antidepressants (see recent study by [[18\]](#page-6-17)). Therefore, this study evaluated cannabinoid receptors (CB1 and CB2) and BDNF protein regulation by western blot following the described experimental procedures in the hippocampus as they might likely be involved in the negative impact induced by cocaine and/or the potential antidepressant-like effects exerted by cannabidiol.

Experimental procedures

Early‑life maternal deprivation

maternal deprivation (24 h, PND 9–10; see [[7,](#page-6-6) [8](#page-6-7)] for more details and earlier references within). Pups were weighted on PND 9 and PND 10 and were kept in their home cage with no nutritional supplements, while the mother was placed in a diferent cage in the same room. Rats were weaned at PND 22 and only male rats were selected for this study $(n=51)$; Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)), since female rats were reserved for a diferent experimental procedure. Thus, sex could not be evaluated as a biological variable in the present study.

Behavioral testing, pharmacological treatments and neurochemical analyses

Basal rates of immobility were determined for all rats in the forced-swim test prior to any pharmacological treatments (so groups could be balanced by immobility; [[20\]](#page-7-1)). After handling (PND 23–24), rats were individually placed in water tanks $(41 \text{ cm high} \times 32 \text{ cm diameter}, \text{water})$ at 25 ± 1 °C, 25 cm depth) for 15 min (pre-test, PND 25) and 24 h later for 5 min (test, PND 26). Test sessions were recorded and later analyzed (Behavioral Tracker software, CA, USA) to separate rats in four experimental groups: Veh-Sal, $n = 12$; CBD-Sal, $n = 13$; Veh-COC, $n = 13$ $n = 13$ $n = 13$; CBD-COC, $n = 13$ (Fig. 1a). Rats received (i.p.) cannabidiol (CBD: 10 mg/kg; dose selected from [[6\]](#page-6-5)) or its vehicle (Veh: 1 ml/kg of 1:1 DMSO:saline), followed by (3 h later) a daily injection of cocaine (COC: 15 mg/ kg; dose and window of adolescence selected from [\[8,](#page-6-7) [20–](#page-7-1)[22\]](#page-7-2)) or saline (Sal: 0.9% NaCl, 1 ml/kg), for 7 days during adolescence (PND 33–39). The forced-swim test (5-min videotaped sessions) was repeated throughout treatment on PND 33, 36 and 40 (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)a). Then, rats were food deprived for 48 h before exposure to the novelty-suppressed feeding test on PND 43 (see [[8](#page-6-7)]), since the test requires motivation for food (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)a). The 5-min test was performed in a wall-enclosed square arena $(60 \times 60 \text{ cm} \times 40 \text{ cm of height})$ with three food pellets in the center. Sessions were videotaped to later blindly analyze exploratory time (s), latency to center (s) and feeding time (s). Then, rats were single housed to evaluate 1% sucrose preference (vs. water) individually with the two-bottle choice test (PND 46–47, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) as described [[8\]](#page-6-7). Rats were left undisturbed until adulthood when they were challenged on PND 71 with cocaine (15 mg/kg, i.p.) and exposed to the forced-swim test 45 min later [[20](#page-7-1)], to explore the long-term effects of a prior history of adolescent cocaine exposure on behavior. The forced-swim test (5-min videotaped sessions) were repeated across time on PND 78 and 100 (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)). In between these tests, rats were exposed to the open field test $(60 \times 60 \text{ cm} \times 40 \text{ cm of})$ height) for 5 min on PND 82 to evaluate exploratory-like behavior in an anxiogenic-like environment [[20](#page-7-1)]. Videos were blindly analyzed with a specific software (Smart

a. Experimental design

PND																		
9 10	22	23	24	25	26	33	34 35	36		37 38 39	40	41	42	43		45 46	47 48	
MD	Weaning	Handle	Handle	FST	FST			Vehicle (Veh: 1:1 DMSO:Saline, 1 ml/kg)			FST	Remove food		NSF		Sucrose		
				pre-test	test			Cannabidiol (CBD: 10 mg/kg, i.p., 7 d)			test	Weight		Weight		preference		
8 litters	MD: n=51							3 h-Saline (Sal: 1 ml/kg, i.p., 7 d)						Single				
	3 h-Cocaine (COC: 15 mg/kg, i.p., 7d) 45 min										housed rats							
			45 min															
FST FST																		
											71							
							Veh-Sal: n=12					78	82	83	84	100	103	
							CBD-Sal: n=13 Veh-COC: n=13					FST test		Open Sucrose field preference		FST test	Brains	
	CBD COC: n=13									45 min FST								
	b. Body weight																	
			MD-Sal					MD-COC										
	$400 -$ -0-	Veh				-O-	Veh											
		-O CBD					CBD											
	$300 -$																	
	$200 -$																	
Weight (g)				00000000000			0-0-0-0-0-0-0											
	100 ₁																	
	0			33 34 35 36 37 38 40 41 42 45 48 71 82				33 34 35 36 37 38 40 41 42 45 48 71 82										
		Treatment					Treatment											

Fig. 1 a Experimental design. *COC* cocaine, *DMSO* dimethyl sulfoxide, *FST* forced-swim test, *MD* maternal deprivation, *NSF* noveltysuppressed feeding test, *PND* post-natal day, *Veh* vehicle. **b** Body

Challenge: +COC

weight (g) across days (PND 33–82). Data represent mean \pm SEM of body weight (g). A three-way repeated measures ANOVA did not detect any signifcant changes

Video Tracking, Version 3.0.03, Panlab SL, Barcelona, Spain). The novelty-suppressed feeding test was not repeated in adulthood to avoid the recurrent extra stress of food depriving the rats, and since the open field is also a valid tool to explore the potential anxiolytic-like effects of drugs. Finally, rats were re-exposed to the two-bottle choice test on PND 83–84 to evaluate sucrose preference as detailed above.

On PND 103, rats were killed and western blot analyses performed in the hippocampus with anti-CB1 (1:2000 dilution, Abcam, Cat No. 23703, Cambridge, UK), anti-CB2 (1:1000 dilution, Cayman Chemical, Cat No. 101550, MI, USA) or anti-BDNF (N-20; 1:2500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology CA, USA; detects pro- and m-BDNF) primary antibodies as previously characterized in detail [[15,](#page-6-14) [21](#page-7-3)]. β-actin (clone AC-15; 1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) served as a loading control since it was not altered by any treatment conditions.

Statistical analyses

Challenge: +COC

Data analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism, Version 9 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). Results are expressed as mean values \pm standard error of the mean (SEM), and individual symbols for each rat are shown within bar graphs. Twoor three-way ANOVA (with or without repeated measures) were used for statistical evaluations with *post hoc* comparisons when appropriate (e.g., multiple *t* test analysis, Sidak's). Independent variables: treatment (vehicle vs. cannabidiol), posttreatment (saline vs. cocaine) and day (from PND 33 to 82). The level of significance was $p \le 0.05$.

Fig. 2 a Immobility (s) during adolescence in the forced-swim test ◂during treatment (PND 33 and PND 36) and post-treatment on PND 40. **b** Exploratory time (s) and latency to center (s) in the noveltysuppressed feeding test on PND 43. **c** Sucrose preference (%) in the two-bottle choice test on PND 46–47. **d** Immobility (s) during adulthood in the forced-swim test 45 min post-cocaine exposure on PND 71, and later on PND 78 and 100. **e** Exploratory time (s) and latency to center (s) in the open feld test on PND 82. **f** Sucrose preference (%) in the two-bottle choice test on PND 83–84. **g** Cannabinoid receptors (CB1 and CB2) and **h** BDNF protein forms (pro-BDNF and m-BDNF) regulation on PND 103, including representative immunoblots. Data represent mean \pm SEM of each measurement for every treatment group. Symbols represent individual rat values within each experimental group. Groups of treatment (all exposed to maternal deprivation, MD): Veh-Sal, *n*=12; CBD-Sal, *n*=13; Veh-COC, $n=13$; CBD-COC, $n=13$. Rats received (i.p., 1 ml/kg) for 7 days (PND 33–39) cannabidiol (CBD: 10 mg/kg) or 1:1 DMSO:saline (Veh), followed by (3 h later) a daily injection of cocaine (COC: 15 mg/kg) or saline (Sal: 0.9% NaCl). Two-way ANOVAs followed by Sidak's multiple comparisons tests were used for statistical analysis: ****p*<0.001, ***p*<0.01 and **p*<0.05 when comparing the overall effect of post-treatment (cocaine vs. saline-treated groups during adolescence); $\#p$ <0.05 when comparing Veh-CBD vs. Veh-Sal; #*p*<0.05 when comparing Veh-COC vs. Veh-Sal

Results

Body weight

Changes in body weight (g) were monitored through the experimental procedure. A three-way repeated measures ANOVA detected a signifcant treatment x post-treatment x day interaction $(F_{12,564} = 1.83, p = 0.0413)$, which was driven by a significant effect of day $(F_{2,12,99,53}=8219,$ *p*<0.0001); i.e., normal weight gain expected from adolescence to adulthood (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b), since no signifcant efects of treatment or post-treatment were observed.

Behavioral efects during adolescence and adulthood

During adolescence, the analysis of immobility (s) on PND 33 did not show a treatment x post-treatment interaction $(F_{1,47} = 1.39, p = 0.245)$ nor an effect of treatment $(F_{1.47}=0.28, p=0.598)$. However, there was a significant effect of post-treatment $(F_{1,47} = 48.66, p < 0.0001;$ represented in Fig. [2a](#page-4-0) by ***), driven by the acute psychomotor effects of cocaine. These effects were repeatedly observed on PND 36 (*F*1,47=62.40, *p*<0.0001; represented in Fig. [2a](#page-4-0) by ***) and on PND 40 (24 h after the last set of injections; $F_{1,47} = 27.13$ $F_{1,47} = 27.13$ $F_{1,47} = 27.13$, $p < 0.0001$; represented in Fig. 2a by ***), with no other changes detected, except for a signifcant efect of treatment on PND 36 (*F*1,47=3.822, *p*=0.05) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a). A multiple *t* test analysis revealed that cannabidiol signifcantly decreased immobility on PND 36 (− 47 s, #*p*=0.0299 vs. vehicle-treated rats; Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)).

When evaluating exploratory time (s) in a novel environment (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b), a two-way ANOVA showed a signifcant treatment x post-treatment interaction $(F_{1,47}=10.91,$ $p = 0.0018$, with a significant *post hoc* comparison (Sidak's); cannabidiol increased exploratory time $(+27 \text{ s},$ $\#p = 0.0214$ vs. vehicle-treated rats). As for latency to center (s) or feeding time (s), the analyses did not show signifcant treatment x post-treatment interactions $(F_{1,47}=0.01,$ *p* = 0.945, Fig. [2b](#page-4-0); and *F*1,47= 0.38, *p* = 0.543, data not shown in graph, respectively).

Moreover, when evaluating sucrose preference in adolescence, the results showed a lack of treatment x post-treatment interaction during adolescence (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)) on PND 46 $(F_{1,47}=1.18, p=0.282)$ or PND 47 $(F_{1,47}=0.09, p=0.761)$.

During adulthood, the analysis of immobility (s) on PND 71 did not show a treatment x post-treatment interaction $(F_{1,47} = 0.04, p = 0.839)$, nor an effect of treatment $(F_{1,47}=0.15, p=0.700)$. However, there was an effect of post-treatment $(F_{1,47} = 15.04, p = 0.0003;$ represented in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)d by ***), driven by lower immobility scores in rats that received cocaine during adolescence (i.e., psychomotor sensitization after an acute challenge). Finally, rats were re-scored in the forced-swim test on PND 78 and PND 100 to evaluate the progression of the behavioral response. A signifcant treatment x post-treatment interaction was observed on PND 78 ($F_{1,47}$ =6.10, p =0.0172), with a signifcant *post hoc* comparison (Sidak's); vehicle rats with a history of adolescent cocaine showed increased immobility $(+44 \text{ s}, \psi p = 0.046)$ when compared to saline-treated rats (Fig. [2d](#page-4-0)); an effect that dissipated on PND 100 ($F_{1,47}$ =2.38, $p = 0.130$.

Rats were exposed to the open feld test (PND 82), with no signifcant changes in exploratory time (s) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)e), but a significant effect of treatment $(F_{1,47} = 4.07, p = 0.0494;$ cannabidiol induced an overall anxiolytic-like efect by decreasing the latency to center independent of treatment) and post-treatment $(F_{1,47}=8.56, p=0.0053;$ cocaine induced an overall anxiogenic-like efect by increasing the latency to center independently of pre-treatment; this efect is repre-sented in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)e by **) for latency to center (s).

Finally, no significant effects (lack of treatment x posttreatment interaction) were observed on sucrose prefer-ence during adulthood (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)f) on PND 83 ($F_{1,47}$ =0.01, $p=0.914$) or PND 84 ($F_{1,47}=0.72$, $p=0.399$).

Neurochemical efects during adulthood

When evaluating CB1 receptor content, a two-way ANOVA showed no treatment x post-treatment interaction $(F_{1,47} = 1.30, p = 0.2597)$, but a significant effect of posttreatment $(F_{1,47} = 5.23, p = 0.0268;$ effect of adolescent cocaine exposure represented in Fig. [2g](#page-4-0) by *). However, no signifcant efects were observed for CB2 regulation

(Fig. [2](#page-4-0)g). Similarly, BDNF protein forms were not altered by any of the prior treatments, as observed in Fig. [2h](#page-4-0) and in the two-way ANOVAs (i.e., lack of signifcant treatment x posttreatment interaction for pro-BDNF: $F_{1,47}$ = 0.04, p = 0.845, and m-BDNF: $F_{1.47} = 0.20, p = 0.660$.

Discussion

Cannabidiol administration during adolescence induced immediate beneficial effects on improving affective-like behavior in a rat model of early-life stress, since it induced an antidepressant-like efect (i.e., improved response under the stressor of the forced-swim test, and increased exploratory time in the novelty-suppressed feeding test). These data align with a recent study from our group performed in naïve rats [\[6](#page-6-5)] in which adolescent cannabidiol induced an antidepressant-like response (improved immobility in the forced-swim test), while showed no efects on ameliorating anxiety- or hedonic-like behaviors, therefore reinforcing the notion that a specifc stressor might be needed (i.e., early-life stress) for cannabidiol to show its anxiolytic-like potential (e.g., see revision in [\[4](#page-6-3)]). Thus, the present results demonstrated a good therapeutic potential for cannabidiol during adolescence in a rat model of early-life stress, in line with its described fast antidepressant-like efects in adolescent [[6\]](#page-6-5) and/or adult rodents (see recent revisions in [\[4](#page-6-3), [23\]](#page-7-4)). Rats were then re-exposed in adulthood to a battery of tests to measure afective-like behavior (i.e., potential enduring benefcial efects of cannabidiol). While the efects in the forced-swim test vanished (see [[23](#page-7-4)] for similar immediate efects of cannabidiol in adult rodents, and [[4\]](#page-6-3)), cannabidiol induced an anxiolytic-like response (i.e., decreased latency to center in the open feld). In conclusion, so far, cannabidiol showed a promising therapeutic response in terms of ameliorating afect in a rat model of early-life stress during adolescence and up to adulthood.

In this context, the next question we tackled was to ascertain whether adolescent cannabidiol administration could be used to prevent the combined negative impact on afectivelike behavior induced by early-life stress and adolescent cocaine exposure (see $[8]$ $[8]$ $[8]$). The results showed that adolescent cocaine exposure in early-life stressed rats reduced immobility in the forced-swim test at all time-points tested during adolescence, and independent of prior treatment (cannabidiol vs. vehicle). Although cocaine is known to elevate mood, these effects could not be attributed to an antidepressant-like response because of the increased locomotion it causes (i.e., acute and repeated psychomotor efects). It is worth remarking that the effects of cocaine were so potent that they completely overpowered any possible improvements induced by cannabidiol. Moreover, cocaine did not alter anxiety-like behavior nor it reduced sucrose preference during adolescence, suggesting, in line with prior results (see [\[8,](#page-6-7) [20\]](#page-7-1)), that it did not cause an immediate negative impact on afect.

Then, rats were left undisturbed until adulthood (i.e., 32 days of forced abstinence), when they were challenged with acute cocaine and scored in the forced-swim test 45 min later. The results showed the expected psychomotor behavioral sensitization [\[24](#page-7-5), [25](#page-7-6)], driven by the observed lower immobility scores in rats that received cocaine during adolescence, which dissipated over time. However, in line with our prior data $[20]$ $[20]$ $[20]$, a pro-depressant-like effect (i.e., increased immobility on PND 78) and an anxiogeniclike phenotype (i.e., increased latency to center on PND 82) emerged in adulthood exclusively in rats with a history of adolescent cocaine exposure. These data, consistently with earlier results, reinforced the notion that cocaine administration during adolescence (and in particular during this specific age window; PND 33–39; [\[21](#page-7-3)]) produced persistent negative affect $[8, 20]$ $[8, 20]$ $[8, 20]$, that could likely increase the susceptibility to develop an addictive-like phenotype (e.g., [\[22,](#page-7-2) [25](#page-7-6)]). Interestingly, cannabidiol improved some of these negative outcomes, along with the growing literature supporting its beneficial role for reducing cocaine intake and/ or reinstatement in rodents (e.g., [[10](#page-6-9), [11](#page-6-10), [13](#page-6-12), [26](#page-7-7)]), but in contrast to the recent randomized placebo-controlled trial showing that cannabidiol did not reduce cocaine craving or relapse among people being treated for cocaine use disorder [[27\]](#page-7-8).

As for the putative molecular changes evaluated, the western blot results showed a lack of enduring efects on the regulation of cannabinoid receptors or BDNF protein forms in the hippocampus, contrarily to prior reports (e.g., [[13,](#page-6-12) [17\]](#page-6-16)) performed either in a diferent species (mice vs. rats) or following alternative experimental procedures (e.g., fast effects vs. enduring responses when the behavioral response was no longer present). Interestingly, CB1 receptor was increased in rats previously exposed to cocaine during adolescence, demonstrating some enduring efects emerging during cocaine abstinence in the hippocampus, in line with prior data showing an increased CB1 receptor functionality within hippocampus as a consequence of early-life stress and adolescent cocaine exposure [[16\]](#page-6-15). Moreover, the same paradigm of adolescent cocaine exposure dysregulated the content of CB receptors by increasing CB1 and decreasing CB2 receptor proteins in rat brain during adolescence, although the changes did not persist into adulthood [[15\]](#page-6-14) (probably because no cocaine challenge was administered as compared to the present study). These results raise interesting questions about the possible role of CB1 receptor in modulating some of the long-term effects of cocaine in the brain. Moreover, since adolescent cannabidiol did not induce long-term neurochemical changes, it might not be the best candidate to improve the enduring molecular impact of cocaine. Finally,

although prior data suggested that during forced abstinence from repeated cocaine treatment, the duration of immobility signifcantly increased (similarly to the present results), as well as the mRNA hippocampal content of BDNF (among other brain regions [\[28](#page-7-9)]), our results did not fnd any signifcant changes on hippocampal BDNF protein regulation. In the context of these neurochemical results, the main limitations of the study are related to not having brain samples at the time-points when behavior was altered (i.e., cannabidiol's behavioral efects in adolescence), to ascertain the putative molecular mechanisms behind its actions. Therefore, future studies should evaluate hypothetical molecular correlates at the time when the behavioral responses occurred, and expand these fndings to also include other brain regions such as prefrontal cortex or striatum.

In summary, cannabidiol exerted antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like efects in a rat model of early-life stress (as it was previously shown in naïve rats). Although adolescent cocaine exposure did not alter afective-like behavior during adolescence in rats exposed to early-life stress, a depressiveand anxiogenic-like phenotype emerged during adulthood, and cannabidiol showed some behavioral improvements.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s43440-021-00285-5>.

Author contributions All authors were responsible for the study concept and design. CB-H conducted the experiments with help from RG-C. CB-H and RG-C analyzed the data. MJG-F revised the data, plotted the fgures and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed and have approved the fnal version for publication.

Funding Funding for this study was provided by Delegación del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas (grants 2016/002 and 2020/001, MSSSI, Spain) and by Fundación Alicia Koplowitz (Madrid, Spain) to MJG-F who is a member of RETICS-RTA (RD16/0017/0010; Instituto de Salud Carlos III, MICINN/FEDER); these entities had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication. The program "TECH" from project "TAL-ENT PLUS Construint Salut, Generant Valor" (IdISBa, GOIB) supported CB-H's salary. RG-C was funded by a pre-doctoral scholarship (Consejería de Innovación, Investigación y Turismo del Gobierno de las Islas Baleares y del Fondo Social Europeo) and now receives postdoctoral funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 754535.

References

- 1. Pisanti S, Malftano AM, Ciaglia E, Lamberti A, Ranieri R, Cuomo G, et al. Cannabidiol: state of the art and new challenges for therapeutic applications. Pharmacol Ther. 2017;175:133–50.
- 2. Fernández-Ruiz J, Galve-Roperh I, Sagredo O, Guzmán M. Possible therapeutic applications of cannabis in the neuropsychopharmacology feld. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2020;36:217–34.
- 3. Sarris J, Sinclair J, Karamacoska D, Davidson M, Firth J. Medicinal cannabis for psychiatric disorders: a clinicallyfocused systematic review. BMC Psychiatry. 2020;20:24.
- 4. García-Gutiérrez MS, Navarrete F, Gasparyan A, Austrich-Olivares A, Sala F, Manzanares J. Cannabidiol: a potential new alternative for the treatment of anxiety, depression, and psychotic disorders. Biomolecules. 2020;10:1575.
- 5. Cipriani A, Zhou X, Del Giovane C, Hetrick SE, Qin B, Whittington C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of antidepressants for major depressive disorder in children and adolescents: a network meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016;388:881–90.
- 6. Bis-Humbert C, García-Cabrerizo R, García-Fuster MJ. Decreased sensitivity in adolescent versus adult rats to the antidepressant-like effects of cannabidiol. Psychopharmacology. 2020;237:1621–31.
- 7. García-Cabrerizo R, Ledesma-Corvi S, Bis-Humbert C, García-Fuster MJ. Sex diferences in the antidepressant-like potential of repeated electroconvulsive seizures in adolescent and adult rats: Regulation of the early stages of hippocampal neurogenesis. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2020;41:132–45.
- 8. Bis-Humbert C, García-Cabrerizo R, García-Fuster MJ. Increased negative afect when combining early-life maternal deprivation with adolescent, but not adult, cocaine exposure in male rats: regulation of hippocampal FADD. Psychopharmacology. 2021;238:411–20.
- Weiss F, Gonzalez-Cuevas G. Unique treatment potential of cannabidiol for the prevention of relapse to drug use. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;44:229.
- 10. Gasparyan A, Navarrete F, Rodríguez-Arias M, Miñarro J, Manzanares J. Cannabidiol modulates behavioural and gene expression alterations induced by spontaneous cocaine withdrawal. Neurotherapeutics. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-020-00976-6) [s13311-020-00976-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-020-00976-6).
- 11. Calpe-López C, Gasparyan A, Navarrete F, Manzanares J, Miñarro J, Aguilar MA. Cannabidiol prevents priming- and stress-induced reinstatement of the conditioned place preference induced by cocaine in mice. J Psychopharmacol. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881120965952) [1177/0269881120965952](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881120965952).
- 12. Campos AC, Fogaça MV, Sonego AB, Guimarães FS. Cannabidiol, neuroprotection and neuropsychiatric disorders. Pharmacol Res. 2016;112:119–27.
- 13. Luján MÁ, Castro-Zavala A, Alegre-Zurano L, Valverde O. Repeated cannabidiol treatment reduces cocaine intake and modulates neural proliferation and CB1R expression in the mouse hippocampus. Neuropharmacology. 2018;143:163-75.
- 14. Fogaça MV, Campos AC, Coelho LD, Duman RS, Guimãraes FS. The anxiolytic effects of cannabidiol in chronically stresses mice are mediated by the endocannabinoid system: role of neurogenesis and dendritic remodeling. Neuropharmacology. 2018;135:22–33.
- 15. García-Cabrerizo R, García-Fuster MJ. Opposite regulation of cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors in the prefrontal cortex of rats treated with cocaine during adolescence. Neurosci Lett. 2016;615:60–5.
- 16. Llorente-Berzal A, Assis MA, Rubino T, Zamberletti E, Marco EM, Parolaro D, et al. Sex-dependent changes in brain CB1R expression and functionality and immune CB2R expression as a consequence of maternal deprivation and adolescent cocaine exposure. Pharmacol Res. 2013;74:23–33.
- 17. Sales AJ, Fogaça MV, Sartim AG, Pereira VS, Wegener G, Guimarães FS, et al. Cannabidiol induces rapid and sustained antidepressant-like efects through increased BDNF signaling and synaptogenesis in the prefrontal cortex. Mol Neurobiol. 2019;56:1070–81.
- 18. Casarotto PC, Girych M, Fred SM, Kovaleva V, Moliner R, Enkavi G, et al. Antidepressant drugs act by directly binding to TRKB neurotrophin receptors. Cell. 2021;184:1299–313.
- 19. du Sert NP, Hurst V, Ahluwalia A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, et al. The arrive guidelines 2.0: updated guidelines for reporting animal research. Br J Pharmacol. 2020;177:3617–24.
- 20. García-Cabrerizo R, García-Fuster MJ. Adolescent cocaine exposure enhanced negative afect following drug re-exposure in adult rats: attenuation of c-Fos activation. J Psychopharmacol. 2019;33:154–62.
- 21. García-Cabrerizo R, Keller B, García-Fuster MJ. Hippocampal cell fate regulation by chronic cocaine during periods of adolescent vulnerability: consequences of cocaine exposure during adolescence on behavioral despair in adulthood. Neuroscience. 2015;304:302–15.
- 22. García-Fuster MJ, Parsegian A, Watson SJ, Akil H, Flagel SB. Adolescent cocaine exposure enhances goal-tracking behavior and impairs hippocampal cell genesis selectively in adult bred low-responder rats. Psychopharmacology. 2017;234:1293–305.
- 23. Silote GP, Sartim A, Sales A, Eskelund A, Guimarães FS, Wegener G, et al. Emerging evidence for the antidepressant efect of cannabidiol and the underlying molecular mechanisms. J Chem Neuroanat. 2019;98:104–16.
- 24. García-Fuster MJ, Perez JA, Clinton SM, Watson SJ, Akil H. Impact of cocaine on adult hippocampal neurogenesis in an

animal model of diferential propensity to drug abuse. Eur J Neurosci. 2010;31:79–89.

- 25. Parsegian A, García-Fuster MJ, Watson SJ, Flagel SB, Akil H. Adolescent cocaine experience diferentially augments psychomotor sensitization in adulthood and alters dopamine receptor and epigenetic profles in the nucleus accumbens of selectively bred high- and low-responder rats. Paper presented at the Society for Neuroscience Annual Meeting. San Diego, California, USA, 12–16 Nov 2016
- 26. Gonzalez-Cuevas G, Martin-Fardon R, Kerr TM, Stoufer DG, Parsons LH, Hammell DC, et al. Unique treatment potential of cannabidiol for the prevention of relapse to drug use: preclinical proof of principle. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018;43:2036–45.
- 27. Mongeau-Pérusse V, Brissette S, Bruneau J, Conrod P, Dubreucq S, Gazil G, et al. Cannabidiol as a treatment for craving and relapse in individuals with cocaine use disorder: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Addiction. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15417) [add.15417](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15417).
- 28. Filip M, Faron-Górecka A, Kuśmider M, Gołda A, Frankowska M, Dziedzicka-Wasylewska M. Alterations in BDNF and trkB mRNAs following acute or sensitizing cocaine treatments and withdrawal. Brain Res. 2006;1071:218–25.