**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Coproduction of xylose and biobutanol from corn stover via recycling of sulfuric acid pretreatment solution**

**Jin‑Jun Dong1 · Bao‑Jian Ma1 · Yong‑Mei Liu2 · Hao Li1 · Lei Gong<sup>1</sup> · Rui‑Zhi Han1 · Guo‑Chao Xu1 · Ye Ni1,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4887-7517)**

Received: 23 July 2020 / Revised: 26 August 2020 / Accepted: 29 August 2020 / Published online: 12 September 2020 © Jiangnan University 2020

#### **Abstract**

Sulfuric acid was used in the pretreatment of corn stover to obtain xylose as a value-added by-product, and the pretreated corn stover (Pre-CS) was hydrolyzed to produce glucose for butanol fermentation. The aim of this work is to achieve high xylose accumulation and reduced wastewater by recycling the pretreatment solution. The pretreatment conditions were optimized as follows: 180 °C, 15 min, 1:7 solid–liquid ratio (w/w),  $0.6\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (w/w, first batch)/0.9% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (w/w, second and third batches), in which pretreatment solution was recycled for three times. Under above conditions, pretreatment solution containing 56.3 g/L xylose and 4.5 g/L glucose was obtained. Pre-CS residue was further hydrolyzed by cellulase to achieve 35.7–39.9 g/L glucose. The condensed corn stover hydrolysate was subjected to simultaneous detoxifcation and sterilization using 1% (w/w) activated carbon and then applied in butanol fermentation. The highest butanol titer of 9.5 g/L was obtained in 72 h. The results provide a practical approach for coproducing xylose and biobutanol from corn stover.

**Keywords** Acid pretreatment · Recycle · Xylose · Butanol · Simultaneous detoxifcation and sterilization · *Clostridium saccharobutylicum*

### **Introduction**

Increasing environmental pollution and fuctuating petroleum prices have stimulated great interest in biofuels production. In the USA, 58.87 billion liters of ethanol was produced from corn in 2016 [\[1,](#page-5-0) [2\]](#page-5-1). Growing demand for "environmental-friendly" biofuels has driven increased food prices, even food shortage in many countries. Fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass is an attractive and feasible route to biofuels that supplements the fossil fuels [[1,](#page-5-0) [3\]](#page-5-2). It has been estimated that 442 billion liters of bioethanol per year can be produced from lignocellulosic biomass all over the world [[4](#page-5-3)]. Butanol has attracted increasing attentions as a biofuel, due to its excellent properties such as high energy

<sup>2</sup> School of Pharmacy, Taizhou Polytechnic College, Taizhou 225300, Jiangsu, China

<sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Guangxi Biorefnery, Nanning 530003, Guangxi, China

density, low volatile and explosive, and miscible with gaso-line in any proportion [[5\]](#page-5-4).

Corn stover is an abundant lignocellulosic biomass [[6](#page-5-5)[–9](#page-5-6)], with a global annual production of 0.95 billion tons [[10](#page-5-7)]. However, pretreatment of corn stover is required to release sugars that can be utilized by microorganisms to produce biofuels [\[5](#page-5-4), [8,](#page-5-8) [11](#page-5-9)]. Corn stover is composed of 37% cellulose and 19.8% xylan [[12\]](#page-5-10). Various pretreatment methods have been reported, such as alkaline [\[8,](#page-5-8) [13,](#page-6-0) [14\]](#page-6-1), acid [[3](#page-5-2), [15](#page-6-2), [16](#page-6-3)], acid and alkaline [\[17](#page-6-4)], ethanol [\[18\]](#page-6-5), glycerol [[19\]](#page-6-6), hydrogen peroxide [\[20](#page-6-7), [21\]](#page-6-8), alkaline hydrogen peroxide [[22,](#page-6-9) [23](#page-6-10)], ionic liquids [\[24](#page-6-11), [25\]](#page-6-12) and deep eutectic solvents [\[26](#page-6-13), [27\]](#page-6-14).

Acid treatment is a well-known method for processing lignocellulosic biomass [\[28\]](#page-6-15), especially in xylose, xylitol [[10](#page-5-7)] and furfural production [[29](#page-6-16)[–31\]](#page-6-17). Acid pretreatment could increase the accessibility of enzymes to the cellulosic fractions by solubilizing the hemicellulosic fraction of the biomass [[32](#page-6-18)]. Dilute  $H_2SO_4$  (0.5–2%) is usually used for pretreatment because of the strong corrosivity of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  [\[28,](#page-6-15) [33](#page-6-19)]. Acid pretreatment could produce aromatic and toxic compounds such as furfural, hydroxyl methyl furfural (HMF), acetic acid and formic acid, which are inhibitory to microbial fermentation [[1](#page-5-0), [3](#page-5-2)]. Acid-pretreated solutions are highly toxic and usually need to be

 $\boxtimes$  Ye Ni yni@jiangnan.edu.cn

Key Laboratory of Industrial Biotechnology, Ministry of Education, School of Biotechnology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, Jiangsu, China

detoxifed before subjected to hydrolysis and fermentation [[7\]](#page-5-11). Xylose is an important component in acid-pretreated solutions, whereas the utilization of xylose is often inconvenient due to its low concentration. Corn stover is known to contain less xylan than corn cob, which contains about 33% of xylan and is commonly used for xylose and xylitol production [[10\]](#page-5-7). Since the dry mass content is generally less than 13%, the xylose concentration after hydrolysis of corn stover was reported to be 16.4–20.5 g/L [\[10](#page-5-7), [34](#page-6-20)]. However, a signifcantly higher substrate (xylose) concentration of about 200 g/L is required for xylitol production [[35](#page-6-21), [36](#page-6-22)]. Vacuum evaporation concentration is undesirable, due to its high energy consuming and generation of inhibitors such as furfural and hydroxyl methyl furfural (HMF).

Herein, recycling of sulfuric acid pretreatment solution to accumulate xylose from corn stover was studied for the frst time. Importantly, a high xylose concentration of 56.3 g/L was achieved as a by-product from recycled acid-pretreated solution. After pretreatment, the unwashed corn stover was subjected to hydrolyzation, and simultaneous detoxifcation and sterilization (SDS) fermentation, resulting in butanol titer of 9.5 g/L.

### **Materials and methods**

### **Raw materials, strains and enzymes**

Corn stover was kindly provided by Shandong Zesheng Bioengineering Technology. Corn stover was chopped and stored in a closed container at room temperature. Activated carbon was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.

*Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864 was purchased from DSMZ and was manipulated as previously described [[5,](#page-5-4) [7,](#page-5-11) [8,](#page-5-8) [11\]](#page-5-9).

ACCELLERASE® 1500 cellulase was a generous gift from Genencor (Wuxi) Bio-Products Co.

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Acid pretreatment of corn stover**

One gram of untreated corn stover was flled into sealed stainless reactors with lining Tefon (200 mL) and soaked in 0–20% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (w/w) at 170 °C or 180 °C for 0–1 h in an oil bath. After the pretreatment, the reactors were immersed in ice bath immediately to cool down. Addition of  $H_2SO_4$ is based on the percent of liquid weight. Solid–liquid ratios (w/w) were 1:10, 1:8 and 1:7. Pretreated corn stover (Pre-CS) was fltered with a Buchner funnel. The pretreatment solution was collected and recycled for second batch of pretreatment. For unwashed group, filtered Pre-CS was soaked with water and adjusted pH to 4.8 with NaOH for the next enzymatic hydrolysis step. For washed group, fltered Pre-CS was washed with tap water until pH reached 4.8–6.0, dried at 65 °C for 24 h in an oven and stocked in sealed plastic bags for further use.

### **Recycled pretreatment solution for pretreating corn stover**

For recycling pretreatment solution, 1 g of untreated corn stover was soaked in frst and second batches of pretreatment solution (solid–liquid ratios (w/w) of 1:10), and 0%, 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, 0.9%, 1.0% and 1.2% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ were added to the pretreatment solution for second and third batches of pretreatment, respectively.

To optimize the solid–liquid ratio, 1 g of untreated corn stover was soaked in 10 g, 8 g and 7 g of frst and second batches of pretreatment solution, and then 0.9% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  was added to the pretreatment solution for second and third batches of pretreatment. Others conditions were the same as in ["Acid pretreatment of corn stover"](#page-1-0).

### **Enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated corn stover**

The washed Pre-CS and unwashed Pre-CS were soaked in citrate bufer (pH 4.8) in conical fasks with plugs. Then, 30 FPU/g (Pre-CS) cellulase was added to the mixture. Solid–liquid ratio was 1:10 to 1:7. The conical fasks were incubated in a water bath at 50 °C and 150 rpm for 48 h.

Enzymatic hydrolysates were condensed to 60– 65 g/L of total reducing sugar for butanol fermentation using a rotary evaporator under vacuum at 60 °C.

Samples were taken at 24 h and 48 h and then subjected to centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. The glucose concentration was determined using HPLC analysis as described in ["Analytical methods"](#page-2-0).

### **Butanol fermentation**

Butanol fermentation medium was composed of 55 g/L reducing sugar, 10  $g/L$  corn steep liquor (CSL), 4  $g/L$ CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 2 g/L (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g/L K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 0.01 g/L MnSO4·H2O [\[5](#page-5-4)]. *C. saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864 cultured at 37 °C for 16–18 h was used as seed culture for butanol fermentation at an inoculum size of 10% (v/v). The butanol fermentation was conducted as follows.

*Detoxifcation with separate sterilization* (*DSS*) [\[7](#page-5-11)] about 50 mL of undetoxifed and activated carbon detoxifed corn stover hydrolysates was adjusted to pH 6.5 with 2 mol/L NaOH and then transferred into 100-mL anaerobic bottles for sterilization, respectively. Mixture of CSL and mineral salts  $[CaCO<sub>3</sub>, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O] was$ adjusted to pH 6.5 and sterilized. The sterilized CSL and salts mixture was then transferred into sterilized detoxifed FRs hydrolysate to prepare fermentation medium as described above and then inoculated with 10% (v/v) seed culture for butanol fermentation.

*Simultaneous detoxifcation and sterilization* (*SDS*) [[7\]](#page-5-11) about 50 mL of un-detoxifed corn stover hydrolysate was mixed with activated carbon, CSL and mineral salts  $[CaCO<sub>3</sub>,$  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ ,  $K_2HPO_4$ ,  $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ ] and adjusted to pH 6.5 with 2 mol/L NaOH in a 100-mL anaerobic bottle for sterilization. Then, 10% (v/v) seed culture was inoculated for butanol fermentation.

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Analytical methods**

Corn stover hydrolysate was centrifuged (12,000 rpm, 5 min) and diluted for 10 or 20 folds. The total reducing sugar and monosaccharides in corn stover hydrolysate were measured as previous report [\[8](#page-5-8)].

Xylose, glucose and arabinose were analyzed by HPLC (Agilent 1260, Agilent Technologies Ltd., USA) using a refractive index detector (RID) and an Aminex HPX-87H column (300 mm  $\times$  7.8 mm, 9 µm; Bio-Rad, USA). The mobile phase was 5 mmol/L  $H_2SO_4$  solution at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, and the column was operated at 55 °C.

Furfural was analyzed by HPLC using a UV detector at wavelength of 276 nm and a C18 column with 0.01% (v/v)  $H_2SO_4/CH_3OH$  (95/5, v/v) as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min [[37\]](#page-6-23).

The concentrations of butanol, acetone, and ethanol were determined by GC (6890 N; Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) according to previously described method equipped with the fame ionization detector (FID) and an capillary column PEG-20M (30 m $\times$ 0.32 mm $\times$ 0.5 µm, JK, China) using nitrogen as the carrier gas [\[11,](#page-5-9) [38\]](#page-6-24).

# **Results and discussion**

### **Pretreatment of corn stover using sulfuric acid**

Increased temperature, acid dose and pretreatment time could promote the formation of inhibitory compounds during biomass pretreatment [[28](#page-6-15), [39\]](#page-6-25). However, lower pretreatment temperature often requires higher dose of acids. Germec and Turhan reported the pretreatment of rice straw using 5% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  at 126.5 °C [\[3\]](#page-5-2). In Cao and coworkers' study,  $0.5\%$  (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  was applied in the pre-treatment of poplar (solid loading 5% w/w) at 170 °C [\[40](#page-6-26)]. Pretreatment with higher acids concentration could result in higher ionic strength in hydrolysates, which is detrimental to further microbial fermentation  $[41]$  $[41]$ . Thus, 170 °C was chosen for  $H_2SO_4$  pretreatment of corn stover.

Different doses of  $H_2SO_4$  were tested in corn stover pretreatment at 170 °C (Fig. [1](#page-2-1)). Among xylan, dextran and araban, araban is regarded as the most stable polysaccharide



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 1** Effect of  $H_2SO_4$  concentration on corn stover pretreatment at 170 °C. **a** Sugar concentrations of pretreatment solution. **b** Sugar concentrations of enzymatic hydrolysates of  $H_2SO_4$  Pre-CS. The solidliquid ratio of pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis is 1:10, and pretreatment time is 1 h

under acidic conditions. At  $10-20\%$  (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ , xylan and dextran were converted into furfural, 5-HMF, etc., [\[42](#page-6-28)]; araban, however, was hardly degraded. Under pretreatment conditions of 170 °C, 1 h and 10% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ , 0.8 g/L of glucose and 4.6 g/L of arabinose are detected in the enzymatic hydrolysate.

Using  $0.6\%$  (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ , 17.2 g/L xylose and 22.8 g/L glucose were achieved in pretreatment solution and enzymatic hydrolysates, respectively. Although higher glucose (23.3 g/L) was reached at 0.8% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  than that of  $0.6\%$  (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ , lower xylose (15.5 g/L) was resulted at 0.8% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-1). Thus, 0.6% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  was used in further experiments.

### **Recycling of acid pretreatment solution**

Because the price of xylose (\$3500/ton) is signifcantly higher than that of glucose (\$470/ton), it would be more practical to produce xylose (from hemicellulose) and glucose (from cellulose) separately in the pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. To achieve higher xylose and reduced waste liquid, the pretreatment solution was recycled in second batch of pretreatment (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). Considering the reduced acidity after pretreatment [\[43\]](#page-7-0), various amounts of sulfuric acid were added in the pretreatment solution. When 0.6% (w/w) of  $H_2SO_4$  was added, the highest xylose concentration of 33.5 g/L was reached, along with 19.5 g/L glucose. At  $H_2SO_4$  addition of 1.0% (w/w), the highest glucose level of 21.2 g/L was achieved, with reduced xylose of 29.2 g/L. Based on the above results, addition of 0.9% (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$ was selected in second batch of pretreatment.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Effect of  $H_2SO_4$  addition in second batch of pretreatment at 170 °C. **a** Sugar concentrations of pretreatment solutions. **b** Sugar concentrations of enzymatic hydrolysates of  $H_2SO_4$  Pre-CS. The solid–liquid ratio in pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis is 1:10, and pretreatment time is 1 h

To further evaluate the accumulation of xylose in third batch of pretreatment, different concentrations of  $H_2SO_4$ were added in the pretreatment solution of second batch (Fig. [3](#page-3-1)). The results show that xylose concentrations in third batch were not signifcantly increased. When 0.9% (w/w) of  $H_2SO_4$  was added, xylose concentration reached the maximum level of 32.9 g/L, which was slightly decreased compared with second batch (33.5 g/L xylose). It has been reported that xylose is unstable at higher temperatures and can easily transformed into furfural and other compounds [[44–](#page-7-1)[46\]](#page-7-2). The concentration of glucose  $(18.7 \text{ g/L})$  in the



<span id="page-3-1"></span>Fig. 3 Effect of  $H_2SO_4$  addition in third batch of pretreatment at 170 °C. **a** Sugar concentration of pretreatment solutions. **b** Sugar concentrations of enzymatic hydrolysates of  $H_2SO_4$  Pre-CS. The solid– liquid ratio in pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis is 1:10, and pretreatment time is 1 h

hydrolysates was also lower than that in second batch, suggesting a further strengthened bufer capacity and weakened acidity of third batch of pretreatment solution. Addition of  $0.9\%$  (w/w)  $H_2SO_4$  was used in second and third batches of pretreatment in further experiments.

# **Process optimization of recycled acid pretreatment solution over three batches**

Higher temperature and shorter reaction time could result in higher xylose titer and furfural yield [[47\]](#page-7-3). Considering the severe degradation of xylose in third batch of pretreatment at 170 °C for 1 h, shortened pretreatment at higher temperature (180 °C) and solid–liquid ratio (1:7) was attempted to reduce the degradation of xylose.

As shown in Fig. [4a](#page-3-2), xylose concentration did not increase linearly with the increase of solid–liquid ratio (1:10, 1:8 and 1:7) at pretreatment temperature of 170 °C. Although xylose concentrations increased in recycled batches at all solid–liquid ratios, only 40.5 g/L of xylose was obtained in third



<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 4** Optimization of solid–liquid ratio, temperature and time for recycle of pretreatment solution. **a** Pretreatment at 170 °C for 1 h at various solid–liquid ratios; **b** pretreatment at 180 °C for 0−30 min at solid–liquid ratio of 1:7. 170 °C-1H-1/10: 170 °C pretreatment for 1 h, solid–liquid ratio of 1:10; 170 °C-1H-1/8: 170 °C pretreatment for 1 h, solid–liquid ratio of 1:8; 170 °C-1H-1/7: 170 °C pretreatment for 1 h, solid–liquid ratio of 1:7; 180  $^{\circ}$ C-0 M-1/7: 180  $^{\circ}$ C pretreatment for 0 min, solid–liquid ratio of 1:7; 180 °C-15 M-1/7: 180 °C pretreatment for 15 min, solid–liquid ratio of 1:7; 180 °C-30 M-1/7: 180 °C pretreatment for 30 min, solid–liquid ratio of 1:7. Addition of  $H_2SO_4$ : first: 0.6%  $H_2SO_4$  (w/w); second: 0.9%  $H_2SO_4$  (w/w); third:  $0.9\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (w/w)

batch under 170 °C-1H-1/7 (170 °C pretreatment for 1 h, solid–liquid ratio of 1:7).

To reduce the degradation of xylose, pretreatment at higher temperature and shorter time was attempted. As shown in Fig. [4b](#page-3-2), the pretreatment condition was optimized to be 15 min and solid–liquid ratio 1:7 at 180 °C. In second batch, 41.3 g/L of xylose was accumulated, with only 2.5 g/L of glucose. In third batch, accumulation of xylose was further enhanced to 56.3 g/L, along with 4.5 g/L of glucose. For pretreatment at 170 °C, the highest xylose accumulation was merely 40 g/L, while glucose level reached 5 g/L (Fig. [4](#page-3-2)a). Consequently, higher temperature and shorter time could not only result in higher xylose, but also reduce the hydrolysis of cellulose. The recycled pretreatment conditions were optimized as follows: 180 °C, 15 min, 1:7 solid–liquid ratio (w/w), three batches of recycled pretreatment, 0.6%  $H_2SO_4$  (w/w, first batch)/0.9%  $H_2SO_4$  (w/w, second and third batches).

### **Enzymatic hydrolysis of acid‑pretreated corn stover**

To reduce the waste water generated in washing Pre-CS, unwashed Pre-CS was also subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis and further butanol fermentation. Washed Pre-CS and Unwashed Pre-CS in diferent batches were hydrolyzed, and the hydrolysates were analyzed (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Similar glucose concentrations of 39.96 g/L and 38.76 g/L were achieved from washed and unwashed Pre-CS in third batch, respectively. However, total reducing sugar of unwashed Pre-CS is 15.65 g/L higher than that of the washed Pre-CS in third batch, mainly due to the xylose accumulation in unwashed pretreatment solution. The highest xylose titer of 15.04 g/L was obtained from unwashed Pre-CS. This indicates that the pretreatment solution has little inhibitory efect on enzymatic hydrolysis. In further study, the potential application of hydrolysates of unwashed Pre-CS was evaluated in butanol fermentation.

### **Butanol fermentation utilizing SDS‑detoxifed hydrolysates**

As shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-1), butanol fermentation was carried out with enzymatic hydrolysates of acid Pre-CS washed (AW) and acid Pre-CS unwashed (AUW). The fermentation was performed following previously reported SDS fermentation [[7\]](#page-5-11). Briefy, SDS is a process of detoxifcation and sterilization of the mixture containing hydrolysate, detoxifcation agents (resin or/and activated carbon), CSL and mineral salts in one pot at 121 °C for 20 min. SDS could not only reduce the toxicity of hydrolysates, but also remove toxic compounds generated during sterilization of hydrolysates (Maillard reaction). *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864 was used for biobutanol production, which has been successfully applied in the utilization of cane molasses, hydrolysates of rice straw and corn stover for producing biofuels [[7,](#page-5-11) [8](#page-5-8), [11,](#page-5-9) [26](#page-6-13), [38\]](#page-6-24). When undetoxifed medium was applied, cell growth was completely inhibited and no butanol was produced. The highest butanol titer of 9.5 g/L



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Butanol fermentation using corn stover hydrolysates processed by simultaneous detoxification and sterilization (SDS). enzymatic hydrolysate of acid Pre-CS washed (AW); see: enzymatic hydrolysate of acid Pre-CS unwashed (AUW); C1 (**i**): separately sterilized glucose as control 1; C2  $(\sqrt{\sqrt{2}})$ : corn stover hydrolysate processed by detoxifcation with separate sterilization (DSS) as control 2 [[6](#page-5-5)]. SDS and DSS butanol fermentation were conducted in 100 mL anaerobic bottle at 37 °C for 72 h. Fermentation medium: 55 g/L reducing sugar, 10 g/L CSL, 4 g/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 2 g/L (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g/L  $K_2HPO_4$ , 0.01 g/L MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



Corn stover was pretreated at 180 °C for 15 min at 1:7 solid–liquid ratio  $(w/w)$ 

All data are an average of three parallel replicates and are represented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation

was obtained when 1% (w/w) activated carbon was added. Butanol titers of 9.5 g/L and 8.7 g/L were achieved at 72 h of fermentation from AUW and AW, respectively. When 2% of activated carbon was added, butanol concentration was signifcantly decreased, which could be attributed to the nonspecifc adsorption of both toxins and nutrients in the medium by activated carbon [[48–](#page-7-4)[50\]](#page-7-5). In addition, higher butanol concentration was achieved with AUW than that of AW. It is speculated that trace amount of furfural remained in AUW might promote the cell growth and butanol production. Similar phenomenon was observed in previous study on butanol fermentation using furfural residues hydrolysates [\[7](#page-5-11)]. Low concentration of furfural  $(< 0.1$  g/L) did not inhibit the cell growth; on the contrary, it promoted the cell growth and butanol production of *C. saccharobutylicum* (data not shown). The same observations have also been reported with *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 [\[51](#page-7-6)] and *Clostridium beijerinckii* NCIMB 8052 [\[52\]](#page-7-7). Low concentration of furfural could induce the expression of aldo/keto reductase and short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase, which have been identifed to be involved in furfural detoxifcation and tolerance. It is speculated that these enzymes could not only reduce furfural and HMF, but also promote the metabolic pathway of butanol production.

Using this approach,  $82.7 \pm 2.3$  g of xylose and  $61.0 \pm 7.1$  g of butanol could be produced from one kilogram of corn stover. As substitutes for sulfuric acid, nitric acid and phosphoric acid could potentially be applied in pretreatment. Nitrate and phosphate, formed upon being neutralized, could be used as nitrogen and phosphorus source for plants and microorganisms [[53,](#page-7-8) [54\]](#page-7-9).

# **Conclusions**

Sulfuric acid pretreatment of corn stover was optimized, and pretreatment solution containing 56.3 g/L xylose was obtained after recycling of pretreatment solution for 3 times. Pre-CS residue was enzymatically hydrolyzed to obtain 35.7–39.9 g/L glucose and 4.3–5.5 g/L arabinose. The concentrated hydrolysates were used for butanol fermentation following a SDS process. The highest butanol titer (9.5 g/L) was obtained at 72 h from hydrolysates of unwashed corn stover with 1% (w/w) activated carbon. This study provides a practical pretreatment approach for the utilization of corn stover for producing xylose and biobutanol.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31601463), National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0901700), National First-class Discipline Program of Light Industry Technology and Engineering (LITE2018-07), Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX19\_1834) and Top-notch Academic Programs Project of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>1. Qureshi N, Saha BC, Klasson KT, Liu SQ. Butanol production from sweet sorghum bagasse with high solids content: part I-comparison of liquid hot water pretreatment with dilute sulfuric acid. Biotechnol Prog. 2018;34(4):960–6. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2639) [btpr.2639.](https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2639)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. Li H, Fan HC, Li YR, Shi GY, Ding ZY, Gu ZH, Zhang L. Construction and application of multi-host integrative vector system for xylose-fermenting yeast. FEMS Yeast Res. 2017;17(6):fox055. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fox055>.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. Germec M, Turhan I. Ethanol production from acid-pretreated and detoxifed rice straw as sole renewable resource. Biomass Convers Biorefn. 2018;8(3):607–19. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1339](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-018-0310-1) [9-018-0310-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-018-0310-1).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. Kim S, Dale BE. Global potential bioethanol production from wasted crops and crop residues. Biomass Bioenergy. 2004;26(4):361–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomb](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2003.08.002) [ioe.2003.08.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2003.08.002)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Ding JC, Xu GC, Han RZ, Ni Y. Biobutanol production from corn stover hydrolysate pretreated with recycled ionic liquid by *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864. Bioresour Technol. 2016;199:228–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.119>.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. Limayem A, Ricke SC. Lignocellulosic biomass for bioethanol production: Current perspectives, potential issues and future prospects. Prog Energy Combust Sci. 2012;38(4):449–67. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2012.03.002) [org/10.1016/j.pecs.2012.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2012.03.002).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>7. Dong JJ, Han RZ, Xu GC, Gong L, Xing WR, Ni Y. Detoxifcation of furfural residues hydrolysate for butanol fermentation by *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864. Bioresour Technol. 2018;259:40–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.098.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.098)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>8. Dong JJ, Ding JC, Zhang Y, Ma L, Xu GC, Han RZ, Ni Y. Simultaneous saccharifcation and fermentation of dilute alkaline-pretreated corn stover for enhanced butanol production by *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2016;363(4):fnw003. [https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw00](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw003) [3](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw003).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>9. Zheng P, Fang L, Xu Y, Dong JJ, Ni Y, Sun ZH. Succinic acid production from corn stover by simultaneous saccharifcation and fermentation using *Actinobacillus succinogenes*. Bioresour Technol. 2010;101(20):7889–944. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biort](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.016) [ech.2010.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.016).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>10. Hong E, Kim J, Rhie S, Ha SJ, Kim J, Ryu Y. Optimization of dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of corn stover for enhanced xylose recovery and xylitol production. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng. 2016;21(5):612–9. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1225](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-016-0483-z) [7-016-0483-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-016-0483-z)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>11. Xu GC, Ding JC, Han RZ, Dong JJ, Ni Y. Enhancing cellulose accessibility of corn stover by deep eutectic solvent pretreatment for butanol fermentation. Bioresour Technol. 2016;203:364–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.11.002>.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>12. Schell DJ, Farmer J, Newman M, Mcmillan JD. Dilute-sulfuric acid pretreatment of corn stover in pilot-scale reactor. In: Davison BH, Lee JW, Finkelstein M, McMillan JD (eds) Biotechnology for fuels and chemicals. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. Totowa: Humana Press; 2003. pp. 69–85. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0057-4_6) [org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0057-4\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0057-4_6).
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>13. Huang XJ, Ding Y, Liao XL, Peng B, He YC, Ma CL. Microbial lipid production from enzymatic hydrolysate of corn stover pretreated by combining with biological pretreatment and alkalic salt soaking. Ind Crops Prod. 2018;124:487–94. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.030) [org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.030).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>14. He YC, Liu F, Di JH, Ding Y, Zhu ZZ, Wu YQ, Chen L, Wang C, Xue YF, Chong GG, Ma CL. Efective enzymatic saccharifcation of dilute NaOH extraction of chestnut shell pretreated by acidifed aqueous ethylene glycol media. Ind Crops Prod. 2016;81:129–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.11.079>.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>15. Pielhop T, Reinhard C, Hecht C, Del Bene L, Studer MH, von Rohr PR. Application potential of a carbocation scavenger in autohydrolysis and dilute acid pretreatment to overcome high softwood recalcitrance. Biomass Bioenergy. 2017;105:164–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.07.005>.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>16. Qing Q, Huang M, He Y, Wang L, Zhang Y. Dilute oxalic acid pretreatment for high total sugar recovery in pretreatment and subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2015;177(7):1493–507. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1201](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1829-2) [0-015-1829-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1829-2).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>17. Xiao M, Wang L, Wu YD, Cheng C, Chen LJ, Chen HZ, Xue C. Hybrid dilute sulfuric acid and aqueous ammonia pretreatment for improving butanol production from corn stover with reduced wastewater generation. Bioresour Technol. 2019;278:460–3. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.079) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.079).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>18. Huang CX, Ma JM, Liang C, Li X, Yong Q. Infuence of sulfur dioxide-ethanol-water pretreatment on the physicochemical properties and enzymatic digestibility of bamboo residues. Bioresour Technol. 2018;263:17–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.04.104) [biortech.2018.04.104](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.04.104).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>19. Pascal K, Ren HY, Sun FF, Guo SX, Hu JG, He J. Mild acid-catalyzed atmospheric glycerol organosolv pretreatment effectively improves enzymatic hydrolyzability of lignocellulosic biomass. Acs Omega. 2019;4(22):20015–23. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acsom](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b02993) [ega.9b02993](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b02993).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>20. Correia JAD, Marques JE, Goncalves LRB, Rocha MVP. Alkaline hydrogen peroxide pretreatment of cashew apple bagasse for ethanol production: study of parameters. Bioresour Technol. 2013;139:249–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.153.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.153)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>21. Zhao C, Shao QJ, Ma ZQ, Li B, Zhao XJ. Physical and chemical characterizations of corn stalk resulting from hydrogen peroxide presoaking prior to ammonia fber expansion pretreatment. Ind Crops Prod. 2016;83:86–93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.018) [op.2015.12.018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.018)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>22. Tareen AK, Punsuvon V, Parakulsuksatid P. Investigation of alkaline hydrogen peroxide pretreatment to enhance enzymatic hydrolysis and phenolic compounds of oil palm trunk. 3 Biotech. 2020;10:179. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02169-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02169-6)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>23. Li HL, Xiong L, Chen XD, Luo MT, Chen XF, Wang C, Huang C, Chen XD. Enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw via a combination of alkaline hydrogen peroxide and lithium chloride/*N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide pretreatment. Ind Crops Prod. 2019;137:332–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.05.027.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.05.027)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>24. He YC, Liu F, Gong L, Di JH, Ding Y, Ma CL, Zhang DP, Tao ZC, Wang C, Yang B. Enzymatic in situ saccharifcation of chestnut shell with high ionic liquid-tolerant cellulases from *Galactomyces* sp. CCZU11–1 in a biocompatible ionic liquid-cellulase media. Bioresour Technol. 2016;201:133–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.11.034) [biortech.2015.11.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.11.034).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>25. Nasirpour N, Mousavi SM. RSM based optimization of PEG assisted ionic liquid pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse for enhanced bioethanol production: Efect of process parameters. Biomass Bioenergy. 2018;116:89–988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.06.008) [biombioe.2018.06.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.06.008)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>26. Xing WR, Xu GC, Dong JJ, Han RZ, Ni Y. Novel dihydrogenbonding deep eutectic solvents: pretreatment of rice straw for

butanol fermentation featuring enzyme recycling and high solvent yield. Chem Eng J. 2018;333:712–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.09.176) [cej.2017.09.176](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.09.176).

- <span id="page-6-14"></span>27. Tan YT, Ngoh GC, Chua ASM. Evaluation of fractionation and delignification efficiencies of deep eutectic solvents on oil palm empty fruit bunch. Ind Crops Prod. 2018;123:271–7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.06.091) [org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.06.091](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.06.091).
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>28. Avci A, Saha BC, Kennedy GJ, Cotta MA. Dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of corn stover for enzymatic hydrolysis and efficient ethanol production by recombinant *Escherichia coli* FBR5 without detoxifcation. Bioresour Technol. 2013;142:312–9. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.002) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.002).
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>29. Chung NH, Phuong NTM, Dien LQ, Khanh NV, Hue NT. Saccharifcation of Acacia mangium wood sawdust with dilute sulfuric acid for furfural production. Vietnam J Chem. 2019;57(6):753– 7. [https://doi.org/10.1002/vjch.2019000117.](https://doi.org/10.1002/vjch.2019000117)
- 30. Zhu J, Chen L, Gleisner R, Zhu J. Co-production of bioethanol and furfural from poplar wood via low temperature ( $\leq 90$  °C) acid hydrotropic fractionation (AHF). Fuel. 2019;254:115572. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.05.155) [doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.05.155](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.05.155).
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>31. Bamufeh HS, Alhamed YA, Daous MA. Furfural from midribs of date-palm trees by sulfuric acid hydrolysis. Ind Crops Prod. 2013;42:421–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.06.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.06.008)
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>32. Singh J, Suhag M, Dhaka A. Augmented digestion of lignocellulose by steam explosion, acid and alkaline pretreatment methods: a review. Carbohydr Polym. 2015;117:624–31. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.10.012) [org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.10.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.10.012)
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>33. Mikulski D, Klosowski G. Efficiency of dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of distillery stillage in the production of cellulosic ethanol. Bioresour Technol. 2018;268:424–33. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.08.005) [org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.08.005).
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>34. Rodrigues RCLB, Kenealy WR, Jefries TW. Xylitol production from DEO hydrolysate of corn stover by *Pichia stipitis* YS-30. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2011;38(10):1649–55. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-011-0953-4) [org/10.1007/s10295-011-0953-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-011-0953-4)
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>35. Baptista SL, Cunha JT, Romaní A, Domingues L. Xylitol production from lignocellulosic whole slurry corn cob by engineered industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* PE-2. Bioresour Technol. 2018;267:481–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.07.068>.
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>36. Yuan XS, Tu S, Lin JP, Yang LR, Shen HH, Wu MB. Combination of the CRP mutation and *ptsG* deletion in *Escherichia coli* to efficiently synthesize xylitol from corncob hydrolysates. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2020;104:2039–50. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0025](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-10324-0) [3-019-10324-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-10324-0)
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>37. Dong BY, Chen YF, Zhao CC, Zhang SJ, Guo XW, Xiao DG. Simultaneous determination of furfural, acetic acid, and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in corncob hydrolysates using liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection. J AOAC Int. 2013;96(6):1239– 44. [https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.12-290.](https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.12-290)
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>38. Ni Y, Xia ZY, Wang Y, Sun ZH. Continuous butanol fermentation from inexpensive sugar-based feedstocks by *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864. Bioresour Technol. 2013;129:680–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.142>.
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>39. Saha BC, Bothast RJ. Pretreatment and enzymatic saccharifcation of corn fber. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 1999;76(2):65–77. [https](https://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:76:2:65) [://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:76:2:65.](https://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:76:2:65)
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>40. Cao S, Pu Y, Studer M, Wyman C, Ragauskas AJ. Chemical transformations of *Populus trichocarpa* during dilute acid pretreatment. RSC Adv. 2012;2(29):10925–36. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RA22045H) [C2RA22045H.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RA22045H)
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>41. Yee N, Fein JB, Daughney CJ. Experimental study of the pH, ionic strength, and reversibility behavior of bacteria–mineral adsorption. Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 2000;64(4):609–17. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00342-7) [org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(99\)00342-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00342-7).
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>42. Hayes DJ, Fitzpatrick S, Hayes MHB, Ross JRH. The biofne process—production of levulinic acid, furfural, and formic acid from

lignocellulosic feedstocks. In: Kamm B, Gruber PR, Kamm M (eds) Biorefneries-industrial processes and products: status quo and future directions. New Jersey: Wiley-Blackwell; 2008. pp. 139–164. [https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527619849.ch7.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527619849.ch7)

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>43. Chen WH, Ye SC, Sheen HK. Hydrolysis characteristics of sugarcane bagasse pretreated by dilute acid solution in a microwave irradiation environment. Appl Energy. 2012;93:237–44. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.014) [doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.014)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>44. Vazquez M, Oliva M, Tellez-Luis SJ, Ramirez JA. Hydrolysis of sorghum straw using phosphoric acid: evaluation of furfural production. Bioresour Technol. 2007;98(16):3053–60. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.10.017) [org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.10.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.10.017).
- 45. Rong CG, Ding XF, Zhu YC, Li Y, Wang LL, Qu YN, Ma XY, Wang ZC. Production of furfural from xylose at atmospheric pressure by dilute sulfuric acid and inorganic salts. Carbohydr Res. 2012;350:77–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.11.023>.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>46. Rivera OMP, Moldes AB, Torrado AM, Dominguez JM. Lactic acid and biosurfactants production from hydrolyzed distilled grape marc. Process Biochem. 2007;42(6):1010–20. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.03.011) [org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.03.011).
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>47. Montané D, Salvadó J, Torras C, Farriol X. High-temperature dilute-acid hydrolysis of olive stones for furfural production. Biomass Bioenergy. 2002;22(4):295–304. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00007-7) [S0961-9534\(02\)00007-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00007-7)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>48. Aktas Ö, Cecen F. Bioregeneration of activated carbon: a review. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad. 2007;59(4):257–72. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2007.01.003) [org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2007.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2007.01.003).
- 49. Cheldelin VH, Williams RJ. Adsorption of organic compounds. I. Adsorption of ampholytes on an activated charcoal. J Am Chem Soc. 1942;64(7):1513–6. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01259a008>.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>50. Yenisoy-Karakaş S, Aygün A, Güneş M, Tahtasakal E. Physical and chemical characteristics of polymer-based spherical activated carbon and its ability to adsorb organics. Carbon. 2004;42(3):477– 84.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2003.11.019>.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>51. Zhang Y, Han B, Ezeji TC. Biotransformation of furfural and 5-hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF) by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 during butanol fermentation. New Biotechnol. 2012;29(3):345–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2011.09.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2011.09.001)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>52. Zhang Y, Ezeji TC. Transcriptional analysis of *Clostridium beijerinckii* NCIMB 8052 to elucidate role of furfural stress during acetone butanol ethanol fermentation. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2013;6(1):66–66.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-66>.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>53. Kim I, Lee B, Park J, Choi S, Han J. Efect of nitric acid on pretreatment and fermentation for enhancing ethanol production of rice straw. Carbohyd Polym. 2014;99:563–7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.092) [org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.092.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.092)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>54. Wu W, Rondon V, Weeks K, Pullammanappallil P, Ingram LO, Shanmugam KT. Phosphoric acid based pretreatment of switchgrass and fermentation of entire slurry to ethanol using a simplifed process. Bioresour Technol. 2018;251:171–80. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.041) [org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.041).