ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Predictive DTC-PWM of PMSM based on zero voltage and 12 voltage vectors

Seungjun Kim¹ · Oh-Kyu Choi² · Dong-Hee Lee¹

Received: 29 December 2019 / Revised: 13 July 2020 / Accepted: 23 July 2020 / Published online: 6 August 2020 © The Korean Institute of Power Electronics 2020

Abstract

This paper presents a novel predictive direct torque control (PDTC) for permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) using the zero voltage vector and a selected voltage vector from the 12 available voltage vectors. The 12 voltage vectors consist of 6 effective voltage vectors and 6 combinational voltage vectors to improve control performance. To select the optimum voltage vector for each of the operating conditions, the predictive flux and torque at the zero voltage vector. The PWM (pulse width modulation) duty ratio to reduce torque and flux ripples can be determined by three factors: the reference flux and torque using a zero voltage vector. Due to the linear ratio of the predictive torque and the flux errors in the next sampling, the proper duty ratio to reduce ripples can be easily obtained by the zero voltage vectors. To further reduce ripples, an additional 6 combinational voltage vectors are used, which are the result of combining the duty ratios of the adjacent two effective voltage vectors. Furthermore, a multi-level flux hysteresis band is designed to select the optimal voltage vector according to the operating conditions among the available 12 voltage vectors. The proposed PDTC scheme shows advanced control performance with reduced torque and flux ripples and reduced steady state error.

Keywords $PMSM \cdot PDTC-PWM \cdot Voltage vector \cdot Sector \cdot Back EMF \cdot Decoupling effect$

1 Introduction

Permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) have high efficiency, high torque density, and high control performance when compared to other AC machines [1–3]. These advantages can extend the use of PMSMs to various applications such as industrial production, home appliances, and factory robots [4, 5]. Due to their fast dynamic response and high control performance, semi-conductor manufacturing systems and manufacturing robots use PMSMs as control actuators and conveying devices. Nowadays, PMSMs are gaining a

Dong-Hee Lee leedh@ks.ac.kr great deal of interest as the electric propulsor for ships due to their fast dynamic response and high efficiency [6–8].

Many control methods have been studied to improve PMSM speed and torque control. However, the control method generally used in industrial sites is field oriented control (FOC). FOC controls position, speed, and/or current with either PI (proportional integral) or PID (proportional integral differential) controllers. On the other hand, the voltage control is handled by SVPWM (space vector pulse width modulation) or some other modulation method [9, 10]. For torque, DTC (direct torque control) can also be implemented to directly control the flux and torque. FOC shows excellent performance in a variety of motor applications. However, it relies heavily on the tuning of the gain. Moreover, complex coordinate transformation is involved and it is not easy to implement separate flux and torque controls. As the name suggests, the DTC method controls flux and torque directly and does not require complicated control gain tuning. The algorithm is simple, and the torque response is both excellent

¹ Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Kyungsung University, Busan, South Korea

² System Control Research Center, Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute (KERI), Changwon, South Korea

and is fast [11]. However, there are two common drawbacks in this method which are its high torque ripple and irregular switching frequency [12–14].

To overcome these drawbacks, DTC can be complemented with other control methods. Examples of this are DTC-PWM (DTC-pulse width modulation) and DTC-SVM (DTC-space vector modulation) [12, 13]. The implementation of PWM in DTC gives a constant switching frequency so that both the flux and torque ripples can be lowered. However, there are only six effective voltage vectors and their duty ratio calculations make it very difficult to satisfy flux and torque at the same time [14]. Furthermore, the selected voltage vector around the sector variation boundary cannot produce the necessary torque or flux due to the back EMF and the decoupling effect. On the other hand, the addition of SVM with a fixed switching frequency improves the control performance. However, the structure becomes similar to FOC, which means that the performance depends on the PI controller. Using PI gains in DTC-SVM to control torque and flux is parallel to the d-q axis current controller in the FOC scheme.

Another complementation method is predictive DTC (PDTC) [15–17]. It is constructed by adding flux and torque predictors based on mathematical modeling of the motor. Conventional DTCs select voltage vectors with a cost function along with flux and torque prediction for each voltage vector of the next sampling time. The cost function compares the flux and torque error values between the reference and prediction for all of the voltage vectors and it selects the voltage vector with the minimum error. This reduces the ripple. However, the calculation is complicated. Despite the predictive observer, the actual output torque still has torque and flux ripple due to the voltage vector.

This paper presents a PDTC-PWM (predictive direct torque control-pulse width modulation) method using 12 voltage vectors instead of the general 6 vectors. The flux and torque of the PMSM fluctuate due to the back EMF and decoupling components, where the occurrence depends on operating conditions such as the switching voltage, speed, and load. Therefore, these two components must be considered during sampling to increase the controller performance. The proposed PDTC-PWM selects the voltage vector using the error between the command and the prediction when zero voltage is applied, and a hysteresis comparator. Due to the zero voltage vector effect in the PWM duration, the torque and flux ripple error based on the predictive observer can be correctly estimated. In addition, the optimized voltage vector to reduce the torque and voltage vector can be obtained. Furthermore, optimal duty ratio calculation uses two predicted values: the zero voltage and the selected voltage. In addition, the 12 voltage vectors are effective in reducing the flux and torque ripples. These vectors consist of six effective voltage vectors and six combinational voltage vectors generated from a 3-phase VSI (voltage source inverter). The proposed method can produce reduced torque and flux control performance without the complicated implementation of SVPWM. The effectiveness of the proposed method is verified by simulation and experiments using a 1[kW] PMSM.

2 Analysis of a direct torque control scheme

Figure 1a shows a control block diagram of a conventional DTC method, and Fig. 1b shows the effective voltage vector $V_1 \sim V_6$ of a 3-phase VSI and its sector area. In Fig. 1, ψ and τ refer to flux and torque, respectively.

The error states S_{ψ} and S_{τ} are determined by the error between the command and the actual values. They indicate the required torque and flux direction. The output voltage vector V_n is the selected vector number according to S_{ψ} , S_{τ} , and the sector area of the rotor. For example, if the rotor is in Sector 1, $S_{\psi} = 1$ (positive) and $S_{\tau} = 1$ (positive), the voltage



Fig. 1 Basic concept of DTC and DTC-PWM methods: **a** block diagram of DTC; **b** voltage vectors and sectors of conventional DTC

Table 1Voltage vectorselection of conventional DTCwith 6 vectors

S_{φ}	S_{τ}	Sector number					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	V_2	V_3	V_4	V_5	V_6	V_1
	-1	V_6	V_1	V_2	V_3	V_4	V_5
-1	1	V_3	V_4	V_5	V_6	V_1	V_2
	- 1	V_5	V_6	V_1	V_2	V_3	V_4

vector V_2 with an increasing flux and torque is selected. Table 1 shows the voltage vector selection according to the sector and the required directions of S_{τ} and S_{φ} . In conventional DTC, the voltage vector is only applied according to S_{ψ} and S_{τ} .

Therefore, the switching period is irregular and the flux and torque ripples are inversely proportional to the maximum switching frequency. The DTC-PWM structure is similar to that of the conventional DTC. The difference is that it adds PWM approach in the constant sampling period. Thus, the switching of the selected voltage vector is based on PWM with a fixed switching frequency to avoid irregular switching. Therefore, the switching period is constant and the flux and torque ripple are smaller than those of the DTC method in the same switching frequency.

Both the conventional DTC and DTC-PWM can select the appropriate voltage vector capable of generating the required flux and torque based on S_{ψ} and S_{τ} . However, the selected voltage vector cannot fully satisfy the flux and torque required by a PMSM. This is due to the fact that the flux and torque fluctuate due to the effects of back EMF and the decoupling effect between the torque and the flux. The PMSM flux and torque can be derived from the d-q axis voltage equation. First, the d-q axis voltage equation is as follows:

$$v_{ds} = R_s i_{ds} + L_s \frac{di_{ds}}{dt} - L_s \omega_{re} i_{qs} \tag{1}$$

$$v_{qs} = R_s i_{qs} + L_s \frac{di_{qs}}{dt} + L_s \omega_{re} i_{ds} + K_e \omega_{re}$$
⁽²⁾

where v_{ds} and v_{qs} are the d-q axis voltages of the selected voltage vector. i_{ds} and i_{qs} are the d-q axis currents of the PMSM. R_s and L_s are the winding resistance and inductance, which are transformed into 2-axis coordinates. K_e and ω_{re} denote the back EMF constant and the electric angular speed of the motor. The flux and torque formulas from the instantaneous inputs and outputs of the PMSM are as follows under the assumption of $L_d = L_q$:

$$\psi_m = \sqrt{(\psi_{\rm PM} + L_d i_{ds})^2 + (L_q i_{qs})^2} \tag{3}$$

$$T_m = \frac{3}{2} \cdot P \cdot \left(\psi_{\text{PM}} i_{qs} + \left(L_d - L_q \right) i_{ds} i_{qs} \right) = K_t i_{qs} \tag{4}$$

where ψ_{PM} is the flux of the rotor PM and K_t is the torque constant. *P* denotes the numbers of pole-pairs of the PMSM. The equation shows that the flux of the PMSM is controlled by the *d*-axis current, and that the torque is controlled by the *q*-axis current. As expressed in these equations, the flux and torque are proportional to the *d*–*q* axis current. Considering the voltage, flux, and torque equations, the relationship in the steady state can be explained as follows:

$$\psi_m \propto \frac{1}{R_s} \cdot \left(v_{ds} + L_s \omega_{re} i_{qs} \right) \tag{5}$$

$$T_m \propto \frac{1}{R_s} \cdot \left(v_{qs} - L_s \omega_e i_{ds} - K_e \omega_{re} \right) \tag{6}$$

As can be seen from the equation, the flux is proportional to the d-axis voltage, and the torque is proportional to the q-axis voltage. The effects of back EMF $K_e \omega_{re}$ and the decoupling components $L_s \omega_{re} i_{ds}$ and $L_s \omega_{re} i_{qs}$ depends on the operating conditions of the motor such as the speed and the load.

Figure 2 shows characteristics of the output voltage vector separated by the d-q axis voltage for DTC and DTC-PWM when S_{w} and S_{τ} are positive. Here, the red dotted line represents the voltage that increases due to the decoupling effect on the d-axis voltage $(-L_s \omega_{re} i_{as})$. The blue dotted line indicates the voltage that decreases due to the back EMF ($K_e \omega_{re}$) on the q-axis voltage. The zero line of the flux and torque are changed to the $dT_m = 0$ and $d\psi_m = 0$ lines according to the effects of the back EMF and decoupling components caused by the motor speed and load torque. For this reason, the d-qaxis voltage near the zero voltage may not generate enough flux and torque due to the back EMF and decoupling effect. In addition, a low q-axis voltage and a d-axis voltage near the zero voltage may be applied in the fluctuation vicinity of the rotor flux sector, which may be insufficient to produce the required amount of flux and torque. Furthermore, the d-q axis voltage fluctuates depending on the position of the



Fig. 2 d-q axis voltage characteristics of 6 voltage vectors

rotor in the same sector. In Fig. 2, θ_{sv1} is the angle between voltage vector 1 and the rotor position θ_{re} as shown in Fig. 1. According to the change in the rotor position, the angle between voltage vector 1 and the rotor position is changed from θ'_{sv1} to θ''_{sv1} . As shown in Fig. 3, the actual supplied d-q axis voltages of voltage vector 1 in sector 1 are changed to V_{dsv1} and V_{qsv1} .

In the conventional DTC-PWM method, the duty ratio of the output voltage vector is calculated according to the magnitude of the flux and the torque error. Therefore, the d-q axis voltage characteristics that vary depending on the rotor position are not considered. Moreover, the flux and torque ripples that increase despite being in the same sector are not considered in the conventional method.

Figure 3 shows the torque trajectory during the switching time of the selected voltage vector according to S_{τ} in the conventional DTC-PWM method. In Fig. 3, T_m^* is the



Fig. 3 Voltage vector and torque variation during a switching period: **a** in case of $S_r = 1$; **b** in case of $S_r = -1$; **c** problem with simple selection (in case of $S_r = -1$)

command torque, $T_{\rm BW}$ is the torque hysteresis band, and $T_{\rm S}$ is the switching period. $T_{m(k)}$ is the actual torque at the (k) sampling, and $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}t_0$ is the predicted torque at the (k+1) sampling when the zero voltage vector is applied during the switching period. $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}T_{\rm S}$ is the predicted torque at the (k+1) sampling when the output voltage vector is applied during the switching period. $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}T_{\rm S}$ is the predicted torque at the (k+1) sampling when the output voltage vector is applied during the switching period. $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}t_{0n}$ is the predicted torque at the (k+1) sampling when the output voltage vector is applied for a given duty ratio.

As shown in Fig. 3a, the voltage vector that increases the torque is selected since S_{τ} is positive. On the other hand, in Fig. 3b, the voltage vector that reduces the torque is selected since S_{τ} is negative. The problem caused by this simple selection is illustrated in Fig. 3c. In the conventional method, when S_{τ} is negative, a voltage vector that reduces the torque is selected as the output voltage vector. Moreover, the duty ratio is determined in proportion to the magnitude of the torque and the flux error.

In this case, the proper voltage vector is the positive torque vector and the zero voltage vector to keep the torque error in the error bandwidth. However, the determined output voltage vector and the duty ratio in the conventional method result in a large decreased in the output torque as shown in the figure, and the torque ripple is increased. In the conventional method, selecting the output voltage vector using the error magnitude between the command and actual values generates a problem in which the required flux and torque cannot be met due to the back EMF and decoupling components.

3 Proposed predictive DTC method

3.1 Voltage Vectors Principle

In the proposed method, 12 voltage vectors are adopted to improve the control performance. Figure 4 shows the proposed 12 voltage vectors and sector division. $V_1 \sim V_6$ are the effective voltage vectors of a 3-phase VSI. On the other hand, $V_{12} \sim V_{61}$ are the combinational voltage vectors made from a combination of two adjacent effective voltage vectors.

In the conventional six effective voltage vectors, when S_{ψ} and S_{τ} in one sector are the same, only one voltage vector can be output according to the error magnitude. Therefore, the flux and torque ripples may increase depending on the position of the rotor. However, in the 12-voltage vector, when S_{ψ} and S_{τ} are the same in one sector, the number of voltage vectors that can be selected is increased by three, which reduces the flux and torque ripple.

For example, when the rotor position is at S-1a and S_{ψ} and S_{τ} are positive, only the V_2 voltage vector can be selected in the conventional method. However, in the 12 voltage vectors, V_{12} , V_2 , and V_{23} are available as options.



Fig. 4. 12-voltage vectors and sector division



Fig. 5 d-q axis voltage characteristics of 12 voltage vectors

At this time, when each voltage vector is analyzed by the d-q axis voltage, V_{12} has a large d-axis voltage that generates a flux and a small q-axis voltage that generate a torque. V_2 has both d-axis and q-axis voltages, and V_{23} has a small d-axis voltage and a large q-axis voltage. As a result, the flux and torque ripple can be reduced by selecting an appropriate voltage vector according to the magnitude of the flux and the torque error.

Figure 5 shows the 12 voltage vectors selected according to the rotor position as the d-q axis output voltage when the flux and torque error states are positive. As shown in Fig. 5, when the same voltage vector is selected in a given sector by adding a virtual voltage vector, the flux and torque ripple generated according to the position of the rotor are reduced.

However, the duty ratio should be applied in consideration of the flux and torque of the zero line, and the position of the rotor, which fluctuate under the influence of the back EMF and its decoupling components. Here, the actual switching voltage of the selected voltage vector can be analyzed by the d-q axis as follows:

$$\theta_{\rm s} = \theta_{\rm re} + \frac{\pi}{2} - (N-1) \cdot \frac{\pi}{6} \tag{7}$$

$$v_{\rm ds} = \frac{2}{3} V_{\rm dc} \cdot \sin\left(\theta_s\right) \cdot \frac{t_{\rm on}}{T_{\rm s}} \tag{8}$$

$$v_{\rm ds} = \frac{2}{3} V_{\rm dc} \cdot \cos\left(\theta_s\right) \cdot \frac{t_{\rm on}}{T_{\rm s}} \tag{9}$$

where t_{on} is the switching time of the voltage vector and T_s is the sampling period. V_{dc} denotes the dc-link voltage of the 3-phase VSI. θ_{re} is the rotor position. N denotes the selected voltage vector number. In the 12 voltage vectors, the two-digit voltage vector number N indicates where they originate from. V_{12} is between the conventional V_1 and V_2 , and so on.

3.2 Predictive direct torque control

In the conventional DTC and DTC-PWM methods, the output voltage vector is determined according to the error state. However, the actual flux and torque depend on operating conditions such as the supply voltage, speed, and load torque. Flux and torque ripples occur due to the effects of back EMF, and decoupling components are not considered.

The proposed PDTC-PWM method determines the voltage vector and duty ratio by applying the predictive control method based on the zero voltage vector. The proposed method predicts the flux and torque generated in the next sampling period using mathematical modeling of the motor at every sampling period. The flux and torque prediction for the next sampling can be done using a voltage equation of the motor to the current. The formulas for the flux and torque prediction are as follows:

$$\hat{di}_{\rm ds} = \frac{T_{\rm s}}{L_{\rm s}} \cdot \left(v_{\rm ds} - R_{\rm s} i_{\rm ds} + L_{\rm s} \omega_{\rm re} i_{\rm qs} \right) \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{di}_{qs} = \frac{T_s}{L_s} \cdot \left(v_{qs} - R_s i_{qs} - L_s \omega_{re} i_{ds} - K_e \omega_{re} \right)$$
(11)

$$\hat{i}_{ds0} = i_{ds} + \frac{T_s}{L_s} \cdot \left(-R_s i_{ds(k)} + L_s \omega_{re} i_{qs(k)}\right)$$
(12)

$$\hat{i}_{qs0} = i_{qs} + \frac{T_s}{L_s} \cdot \left(-R_s i_{qs(k)} - L_s \omega_{re} i_{ds(k)} - K_e \omega_{re}\right)$$
(13)

To select the optimal voltage vector in the proposed method, the fluctuating flux and torque can be predicted using the zero voltage vector as shown below. These equations can be derived from the differential Eqs. (10–13) of the current and the torque.

$$\hat{\psi}_{m(k+1)}t_0 = \sqrt{\left(\psi_{\rm pm} + L_{\rm d} \cdot \hat{i}_{\rm ds0}\right)^2 + \left(L_{\rm q} \cdot \hat{i}_{\rm qs0}\right)^2} \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}t_0 = K_{\rm t} \cdot \hat{i}_{\rm qs0} \tag{15}$$

In the proposed method, unlike the conventional DTC-PWM, the optimal voltage vector is selected in consideration of the back EMF and the decoupling effects. The optimal voltage vector is selected according to the error state between the prediction and command values for the zero voltage vector to choose the correct voltage vector as shown



Fig. 6 Proposed multi-level hysteresis band for flux

Table 2 Switching Table of the Proposed Method

in Fig. 3c. The formula for selecting the voltage vector is as follows:

$$s_{\tau} = \text{sign} \left(T_m^* - \hat{T}_{m(k+1)} t_0 \right)$$
(16)

In the conventional method, the hysteresis band has only one voltage vector that is selectable according to the direction of the flux and torque error [18]. In the case of a 3-level inverter, a proper flux hysteresis band is proposed for a direct torque controlled induction motor [19].

In the proposed method, there are three voltage vectors that can be selected based on the switching state. Therefore, a multi-level hysteresis band is proposed to determine the voltage vector according to the magnitude of the flux error. Figure 6 shows the proposed multi-level hysteresis band. B1 and B2 are the flux bands to determine S_{φ} . Table 2 shows the proper voltage vector that is determined by S_{τ} and S_{φ} .

Figure 7 shows the torque trajectory during a sampling period in the proposed method. It can be compared with Fig. 3c, which shows the conventional method. Here, $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}T_s$ and $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}t_0$ are the predicted values generated by the voltage vector selected by the conventional method. $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}T'_s$ and $\hat{T}_{m(k+1)}t'_0$ are the predicted values generated by the voltage vector selected by the proposed method. In the

$\overline{S_{\tau}}$	S_{ψ}	Flux linkage sector						
		S-1a	S-1b	S-2a	S-2b	S-3a	S-3b	
1	2	V ₁₂	V_2	V ₂₃	V ₃	V ₃₄	V_4	
	1	V_2	V_{23}	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	
	0	V_{23}	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	
	-1	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	V_{56}	
	-2	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	V_{56}	V_6	
-1	2	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	V ₂₃	V_3	
	1	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	V_{23}	
	0	V_{56}	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	
	-1	V_5	V_{56}	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	
	-2	V_{45}	V_5	V_{56}	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	
S_{τ}	S_{ψ}	Flux linkage sector						
		S-4a	S-4b	S-5a	S-5b	S-6a	S-6b	
1	2	V ₄₅	V_5	V ₅₆	V_6	V ₆₁	V_1	
	1	V_5	V_{56}	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	
	0	V_{56}	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	
	- 1	V_6	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	V_{23}	
	-2	V_{61}	V_1	V_{12}	V_2	V_{23}	V_3	
-1	2	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	V_{56}	V_6	
	1	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	V_{56}	
	0	V_{23}	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	V_5	
	-1	V_2	V_{23}	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	V_{45}	
	-2	<i>V</i> ₁₂	V_2	V ₂₃	V_3	V_{34}	V_4	



Fig. 7 Estimated torque trajectory in the proposed predictive DTC

conventional DTC-PWM, voltage vectors that may not meet the flux and torque commands are selected since the fluctuations in the flux and torque due to the back EMF and decoupling effects are not taken into consideration. Moreover, the duty ratio is determined by the magnitude of the flux and torque errors. Therefore, the flux and torque ripples increase as shown in the figure. However, the proposed method predicts the flux and torque fluctuations caused by the back EMF and decoupling effects, and uses the error between the predicted and reference values to select a voltage vector. Thus, the flux and torque ripples can be reduced.

The switching duty ratio of the selected voltage vector can be obtained by estimating the torque and flux variation as follows:

$$\Delta \psi_m = \psi_m^* - \psi_m \tag{17}$$

$$\Delta T_m = T_m^* - T_m \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta \hat{\psi}_{m(k+1)} T_s = \psi_m - \hat{\psi}_{m(k+1)} \cdot T_s \tag{19}$$

$$\Delta \hat{\psi}_{m(k+1)} t_0 = \psi_m - \hat{\psi}_{m(k+1)} t_0 \tag{20}$$

$$\Delta \hat{T}_{m(k+1)}T_s = T_m - \hat{T}_{m(k+1)} \cdot T_s \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta \hat{T}_{m(k+1)} t_0 = T_m - \hat{T}_{m(k+1)} t_0 \tag{22}$$

$$d = C_{\psi} \cdot \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{\varphi}_{m0(k+1)}}{\Delta \hat{\psi}_{ms(k+1)} + \Delta \hat{\psi}_{m0(k+1)}} \right| + C_T \cdot \left| \frac{\Delta \hat{T}_{m0(k+1)}}{\Delta \hat{T}_{ms(k+1)} + \Delta \hat{T}_{m0(k+1)}} \right|$$
(23)

where C_{ψ} and C_T are the gains of the DTC control scheme.

Figure 8 shows a block diagram of the proposed predictive DTC scheme using 12 voltage vectors. The 12 voltage vectors are the result of an additional 6 combinational voltage vectors generated from the duty ratio of adjacent effective voltage vectors. When compared to the conventional six vectors, this approach is useful for reducing flux



Fig. 8 Block diagram of the proposed predictive DTC method

and torque ripples. The voltage vector is selected according to the flux and torque error states between the command and the predicted values in consideration of the back EMF and decoupling effects. In addition, the switching duty ratio calculates an optimal duty ratio using the flux and torque values predicted from the selected and zero voltage vector at each sampling.

4 Simulation and experimental results

The proposed PDTC-PWM method using 12 voltage vectors is verified through simulations and experiments. The simulations were performed with the MATLAB-Simulink tool. A 1 [kW] PMSM is used in the simulations and experiments, and its specifications are shown in Table 3. Figures 9 and 10 show simulation results of the conventional DTC-PWM and the proposed PDTC-PWM.

As shown in Fig. 9, large flux and torque ripples occur in the conventional DTC-PWM method. In addition, a steadystate error occurs in the torque due to the influence of the back EMF and decoupling. It can be seen that the flux ripple is largely generated near where the sector of the rotor fluctuates.

Table 3 PMSM specifications

Items	Value	Items	Value
Rated Power	1 kW	Rated Speed	2000 rpm
Rated Torque	5 Nm	Rated Current	10 A
Poles	8	Input Voltage	220 Vac
Resistance	0.27 Ω	Inductance	0.0035 [H]



Fig. 9 Simulation results with a load variation at 500 rpm: a conventional DTC-PWM; b proposed method

However, in the proposed PDTC-PWM method, the flux and torque ripple are reduced and the steady state error is eliminated due to the consideration of the back EMF and decoupling. The flux ripple decreases near the sector where the rotor fluctuates by using the 12 voltage vector.

In Fig. 10 the speed changes from 500 to - 500 [RPM] in the forward and reverse directions at a load torque of 5 [Nm]. Thus, the improved control performance of the proposed PDTC-PWM can be confirmed.

Figure 11 shows the experimental configurations. The load is controlled by another PMSM that operates in the torque control mode. The controller is designed using a TMS320F28335-150Mhz digital signal controller (DSC) from Texas Instruments. The motor current is measured



Fig. 10 Simulation results with a speed variation at 5 Nm: a conventional DTC-PWM; b proposed method

by the ACS-725 current sensor and the 12-bit ADC (analog–digital converter) of the embedded ADC module of the TMS320F28335 DSC. The switching frequency is 20 [kHz] with 50 [μ s]. The dead-time is set to 2.5 [μ s].

Figure 12 shows experiment results at a sudden load fluctuation for 2 s at 500 [RPM]. The load torque fluctuates from no load to 5 [Nm]. It can be seen from Fig. 12a that large amounts of flux and torque ripples are generated in the conventional method. By comparing Fig. 12a and b, it is confirmed that the flux and torque ripples increase near the sector variation due to the characteristics of the voltage vector. From Fig. 12b and c, it can be seen that the flux and torque ripples are reduced and the steady state error

Fig. 11 Experimental configu-

ration





Fig. 12 Experimental results with a load variation at 500 r/min: a conventional DTC-PWM; b DTC-PWM using 12 voltage vectors; c proposed method

disappears when the back EMF and decoupling effects are considered.

Figure 13 shows experimental result when the speed is changing from 500 to -500 RPM at a load torque of 5 Nm for a total of 2 s. From these results, it can be seen that the control performance is improved when the proposed control method is employed.

Similarly, the torque and flux ripples are greatly reduced in the proposed method when compared with other methods. In experiments, the conventional DTC-PWM method shows a 10% flux ripple and a 35% torque ripple (Figs. 12a and 13a). On the other hand, a 6% flux ripple and a 20% torque ripple are generated in the DTC-PWM using 12 vectors (Figs. 12b and 13b). Finally, with the proposed predictive DTC-PWM control method, the flux ripple is mere 5.5% and the torque ripple is 11.4%. The predictive DTC-PWM method proposed in this paper demonstrates a reduction of the flux and torque ripples by 4.5% and 23.6%, respectively.

In Fig. 14, the speed is controlled to 1000[rpm]. The load varies from no-load to 3 [Nm]. Figures 12 and 14 show experimental result presenting a comparison between the conventional DTC-PWM and the proposed PDTC-PWM. The disadvantage of DTC is that the flux and torque ripples increase along with the speed. As shown in the presented experimental results, this phenomenon exists in both the DTC-PWM and PDTC-PWM strategies. However, it can be seen that in the case of the predicted method, the magnitude of the flux and torque ripple that increases with the speed is lower when compared to the DTC-PWM approach.

5 Conclusions

This paper presents a predictive DTC method based on the zero voltage vector effect and 12 voltage vectors for PMSMs. To handle torque and flux variations, the proposed predictive DTC method uses the zero voltage vector to help determine the optimized switching voltage vector to satisfy the required torque and flux. Switching the duty ratio of the selected voltage vector is determined based on the relationship between the predictive torque and flux variations during a sampling period and the actual torque and flux error. To achieve advanced performance, the voltage



Fig. 13 Experimental results with a speed variation at 5 [Nm]: a conventional DTC-PWM; b DTC-PWM using 12 voltage vectors; c proposed method

vectors are divided to 12 sectors that generate 3 possible selectable voltage vectors. To reduce the torque and flux ripples, a multi-level hysteresis band that operates based on the flux condition is adopted in this paper.

The proposed predictive DTC method shows advanced torque and flux control performances in both simulations and the experiments when compared to the conventional DTC-PWM method.



Fig. 14 Experimental results with a load variation at 1000 r/min: a conventional DTC-PWM; b proposed method

Acknowledgements This research was supported by Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute (KERI) Primary research program through the National Research Council of Science & Technology (NST) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) (No. 18-12-N0101-35).

References

- Uddin, M.N., Radwan, T.S., George, G.H., Rahman, M.A.: Performance of current controllers for VSI-fed IPMSM drive. IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 36(6), 1531–1538 (2000)
- Cho, H., Ko, K., Choi, J., Shin, H., Jang, S.: Rotor natural frequency in high-speed permanent-magnet synchronous motor for turbo-compressor application. IEEE Trans. Magn. 47(10), 4258– 4261 (2011)
- Zhong, L., Rahman, M.F., Hu, W.Y., Lim, K.W.: Analysis of direct torque control in permanent magnet synchronous motor drives. IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 12, 528–536 (1997)
- Wang, H., Li, J., Qu, R., Lai, J., Huang, H., Liu, H.: Study on high efficiency permanent magnet linear synchronous motor for maglev. IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 28(3), 1–5 (2018)
- Zhang, I.Y., Zhu, J.: Direct torque control of permanent magnet synchronous motor width reduced torque ripple and commutation frequency. IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 26, 235–248 (2011)
- Dhulipati, H., Ghosh, E., Mukundan, S., Korta, P., Tjong, J., Kar, N.C.: Advanced design optimization technique for torque profile improvement in six-phase PMSM using supervised machine

learning for direct-drive EV. IEEE Trans. Energy Conv. **34**(4), 2041–2051 (2019)

- Kirtley, J.L., Banerjee, A., Englebretson, S.: Motors for ship propulsion. Proc. IEEE 103(12), 2320–2332 (2015)
- Batzel, Y.T.D., Lee, K.Y.: Electric propulsion with sensorless permanent magnet synchronous motor: implementation and performance. IEEE Trans. Energy Conv. 20(3), 575–583 (2005)
- Liang, W., Wang, J., Luk, P.C., Fang, W., Fei, W.: Analytical modeling of current harmonic components in PMSM drive width voltage-source inverter by SVPWM technique. IEEE Trans. Energy Conv. 29(3), 673–680 (2014)
- Gu, M., Ogasawara, S., Takemoto, M.: Novel PWM schemes width multi SVPWM of sensorless IPMSM drives for reducing current ripple. IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 31(9), 6461–6475 (2016)
- Zhang, Z., Liu, X.: A duty ratio control strategy to reduce both torque and flux ripples of DTC for permanent magnet synchronous machines. IEEE Access. 7, 11820–11828 (2019)
- Buja, G.S., Kazmierkowski, M.P.: Direct torque control of PWM inverter-fed AC motors—a survey. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 51(4), 744–757 (2004)
- Habetler, T.G., Profumo, F., Pastorelli, M., Tolbert, L.M.: Direct torque control of induction machines using space vector modulation. IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 28(5), 1045–1053 (1992)
- Patel, C., Rajeevan, P.P., Dey, A., Ramchand, R., Gopakumar, K., Kazmierkowski, M.P.: Fast direct torque control of an open-end induction motor drive using 12-sided polygonal voltage space vectors. IEEE Trans. Power Electron. 27(1), 400–410 (2012)
- Amiri, M., Milimonfared, J., Khaburi, D.A.: Predictive torque control implementation for induction motors based on discrete space vector modulation. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 65(9), 6881– 6889 (2018)
- Ban, F., Lian, G., Zhang, J., Chen, B., Gu, G.: Study on a novel predictive torque control strategy based on the finite control set for PMSM. IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 29(2), 1–6 (2019)
- Beerten, J., Verveckken, J., Driesen, J.: Predictive direct torque control for flux and torque ripple reduction. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 57(1), 404–412 (2010)
- Ambrozic, V., Buja, G.S., Menis, R.: Band-constrained technique for direct torque control of induction motor. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 51(4), 776–784 (2004)
- Lakhimsetty, S., Satelli, V.S.P., Rathore, R.S., Somasekhar, V.T.: Multilevel torque hysteresis-band based direct-torque control strategy for a three-level open-end winding induction motor drive for electric vehicle applications. IEEE J. Emerg. Select. Topics Power Electron. 7(3), 1969–1981 (2019)



Seungjun Kim was born on April 25, 1991. He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Mechatronics Engineering from Kyungsung University, Busan, Korea, in 2016 and 2019, where he is presently working towards his Ph.D. degree in the Department of Mechatronics Engineering. His current research interests include motor control systems and power electronics.



Oh-Kyu Choi received his B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from the Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Korea, in 2005, 2008 and 2015, respectively. From 2015 to 2018, he worked as a Senior Researcher at Samsung Electro Mechanics. Suwon. Korea. He is presently working as a Senior Researcher in the System Control Research Center of the Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute (KERI), Changwon, Korea. His current

research interests include fuzzy system control, motor control, smart factories, and robotics.



Dong-Hee Lee was born on November 11, 1970. He received his B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from Pusan National University. Busan, Korea, in 1996, 1998 and 2001, respectively. From 2002 to 2005, he was a Senior Researcher with the Servo R&D Team, OTIS-LG Company, Korea. Since 2005, he has been working as a Professor in the Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Kyungsung University, Busan, Korea. In 2012, he was a Visiting Professor at the

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA. He is presently serving as an Associate Editor of the Journal of Power Electronics, Seoul, Korea. He is a Senior Member of IEEE. His current research interests include power electronics and motor control systems.