



# Difference of composition operators on the weighted Bergman spaces over the half-plane

Changbao Pang<sup>1</sup> · Zhiyu Wang<sup>1</sup> · Yan Li<sup>1</sup> · Liankuo Zhao<sup>1</sup> 

Received: 5 March 2023 / Accepted: 15 June 2023 / Published online: 1 July 2023  
© Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG) 2023

## Abstract

In this paper, based on the characterization of Carleson measure, we study bounded difference of composition operators from Bergman spaces with Békollé weight to Lebesgue spaces over the half-plane. We also obtain a characterization for the Carleson measure by products of functions.

**Keywords** Békollé weight · Carleson measure · Composition operator · Weighted Bergman space

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 47B32 · 46E30

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Pi^+$  be the upper half of the complex plane, i.e.,  $\Pi^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}z > 0\}$ , and  $dA$  be the Lebesgue area measure on  $\Pi^+$ . Given a positive Lebesgue function  $\omega$  on the  $\Pi^+$ , for  $0 < p < \infty$ , the weighted Bergman space  $A^p(\omega)$  is the space of

---

Communicated by Manuel Maestre.

---

✉ Liankuo Zhao  
lkzhao@sxnu.edu.cn

Changbao Pang  
cbpangmath@sxnu.edu.cn

Zhiyu Wang  
1776810506@qq.com

Yan Li  
1873565434@qq.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Normal University, Taiyuan 030031, People's Republic of China

holomorphic functions  $f$  over  $\Pi^+$  with

$$\|f\|_{A^p(\omega)} = \left( \int_{\Pi^+} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

If  $\omega(z) = c_\alpha(\text{Im}z)^\alpha$  for  $\alpha > -1$ , then  $A^p(\omega)$  is the standard weighted Bergman space  $A^p_\alpha(\Pi^+)$ , where  $c_\alpha = \frac{2^\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\pi}$ . Let  $S(\Pi^+)$  be the set of all holomorphic self-maps on  $\Pi^+$ . The composition operator  $C_\varphi$  on  $A^p(\omega)$  induced by  $\varphi \in S(\Pi^+)$  is defined by

$$C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi, \quad f \in A^p(\omega).$$

Composition operators on various analytic function spaces have been extensively studied (see the monographs [4, 17, 21]). One of the most important topics in the study of composition operators is to characterize properties of the difference of composition operators, especially the compactness (see [6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 19] and the references therein). Different from the unit disk case, there exist unbounded composition operators and there are no compact composition operators on  $A^p_\alpha(\Pi^+)$  [10, 18]. In [7], Choe et al. characterized bounded and compact difference of composition operators on  $A^p_\alpha(\Pi^+)$ . In [14], Pang and Wang extended the results in [7] to the composition operators from  $A^p_\alpha(\Pi^+)$  to Lebesgue spaces  $L^q(\mu)$  for all  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . Here,  $\mu$  is a positive Borel measure on  $\Pi^+$  and  $L^q(\mu)$  is the space of all measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $\Pi^+$  with “norm”

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mu)} = \left( \int_{\Pi^+} |f|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

In this paper, we consider the bounded difference of composition operators from  $A^p(\omega)$  into  $L^q(\mu)$  for  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  with  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\alpha > -1$ .

Let  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\alpha > -1$ . Recall that the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  consists of all positive locally integrable functions  $\omega$  on  $\Pi^+$  satisfying

$$\sup_I \frac{\int_{Q_I} \omega dA_\alpha}{\int_{Q_I} dA_\alpha} \left( \frac{\int_{Q_I} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA_\alpha}{\int_{Q_I} dA_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} < \infty,$$

where  $I$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q_I = I \times [0, |I|]$  ( $|I|$  denotes the length of  $I$ ) is the Carleson square associated to  $I$  and  $p'_0$  is the conjugate index of  $p_0$ . Since  $\frac{|\text{Im}z|}{|I|} \leq 1$  for  $z \in Q_I$ , we see that  $B_{p_0}(\alpha) \subset B_{p_0}(\beta)$  if  $-1 < \alpha < \beta$ .

In order to state our main results, we introduce more terminology and notation.

Let  $\rho$  be the pseudo-hyperbolic distance on  $\Pi^+$ , that is

$$\rho(z, \xi) = \left| \frac{z - \xi}{z - \bar{\xi}} \right|, \quad z, \xi \in \Pi^+.$$

For  $z \in \Pi^+$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $E_\delta(z)$  denotes the pseudo-hyperbolic disk centered at  $z$  with radius  $\delta$ . That is,  $E_\delta(z) = \{\xi \in \Pi^+, \rho(z, \xi) < \delta\}$ . A sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  is called  $\delta$ -separated if  $\{E_\delta(z_n)\}$  are pairwise disjoint, and is called a  $\delta$ -lattice if it is  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -separated and  $\Pi^+ = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(z_n)$ . A  $\delta$ -lattice on the upper half plane exists and can be explicitly constructed by using almost the same argument as that on the unit disk [21, Lemma 4.8].

The Borel measure  $\mu$  is called an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $f \in A^p(\omega)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}.$$

Denote

$$H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) = \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) = \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))}, \quad z \in \Pi^+.$$

Our first result gives the characterization of  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $p_0 > \max\{1, p\}$ ,  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  and  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\Pi^+$ .*

(1) *If  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) < \infty.$$

(2) *If  $0 < q < p < \infty$ , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure;
- (b)  $\{H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)\} \in l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$  for any  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ ;
- (c)  $\{H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)\} \in l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$  for some  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ ;
- (d)  $G_{\omega, \mu, \delta} \in L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega dA)$  for some  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

For  $\varphi, \psi \in S(\Pi^+)$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ , let

$$\sigma(z) := \sigma_{\varphi, \psi}(z) = \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)), \quad z \in \Pi^+.$$

The joint pullback measure  $\mu_{\varphi, \psi, q}$  is defined for any Borel set  $E \subset \Pi^+$  as

$$\mu_{\varphi, \psi, q}(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} \sigma^q d\mu + \int_{\psi^{-1}(E)} \sigma^q d\mu.$$

Based on Theorem 1.1, we characterize the bounded difference of composition operators from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $p_0 > \max\{1, p\}$ ,  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  and  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\Pi^+$ . Suppose that  $\varphi, \psi \in S(\Pi^+)$ . Then  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$  if and only if  $\mu_{\varphi, \psi, q}$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.*

Let  $\lambda = \frac{q}{p}$ , then  $\frac{p}{p-q} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$ . By Theorem 1.1, we see that the  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure depends only on the ratio  $\lambda = \frac{q}{p}$ . So we introduce the following definition. A Borel measure  $\mu$  is called an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $0 < p, q < \infty$  with  $\lambda = \frac{q}{p}$  and any  $f \in A^p(\omega)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}.$$

Finally, we give a characterization for  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure by using products of functions in  $A^p(\omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\alpha > -1, p_0 > 1, \frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  and  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\Pi^+$ . For any integer  $k \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , let*

$$0 < p_i, q_i < \infty, \quad \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i}{p_i}.$$

*Then  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure if and only if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $f_i \in A^{p_i}(\omega), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,*

$$\int_{\Pi^+} \prod_{i=1}^k |f_i(z)|^{q_i} d\mu(z) \leq C \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|_{A^{p_i}(\omega)}^{q_i}. \tag{1.1}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we discuss the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  and prove a collection of preliminary results which will be used. In Sects. 3–5, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 respectively.

Throughout this paper, the notation  $A \lesssim B$  means that there is a positive constant  $C$  which is independent of  $z \in \Pi^+$  and  $f \in A^p(\omega)$  such that  $A \leq CB$ , and the notation  $A \approx B$  means that both  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$  hold.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some results about the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  and the weighted Bergman spaces  $A^p(\omega)$ . Some technical lemmas used throughout the paper are proved.

**Lemma 2.1** [7] *Let  $z \in \Pi^+, 0 < \delta < 1$ . Then for all  $\xi \in E_\delta(z)$  and  $a \in \Pi^+$ ,*

$$\text{Im}\xi \approx \text{Im}z, \quad |z - \bar{\xi}| \approx 2\text{Im}z, \quad |z - \bar{a}| \approx |\xi - \bar{a}|.$$

For  $p_0 > 1, 0 < \delta < 1$ , we say that a weight  $\omega$  belongs to the  $C_{p_0}(\delta)$  class if

$$\sup_{z \in \Pi^+} \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} < \infty.$$

Let  $E$  be a measurable subset in  $\Pi^+$ , denote  $|E| = \int_E dA$ . Then for any Carleson square  $Q_I$ ,

$$\int_{Q_I} dA_\alpha \approx |Q_I|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} = |I|^{2+\alpha}.$$

Given a pseudo-hyperbolic disk  $E_\delta(z)$ .  $E_\delta(z)$  is actually a Euclidean disk centered at  $x + i\frac{1+\delta^2}{1-\delta^2}y$  with radius  $\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta^2}y$ , where  $z = x + iy, x = \text{Re}z, y = \text{Im}z$  [7]. Let  $z' = x + i\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}y$  and  $Q(z') = \{\xi \in \Pi^+ : |\text{Re}\xi - x| < \frac{1}{2}\text{Im}z', 0 < \text{Im}\xi < \text{Im}z'\}$ . Then,  $Q(z')$  is a Carleson square with side length  $\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}y$ . Obviously,  $E_\delta(z) \subset Q(z')$  and

$$\frac{|E_\delta(z)|}{|Q(z')|} = \frac{\pi(\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta^2}y)^2}{(\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}y)^2} = \pi \left( \frac{2\delta}{(1+\delta)^2} \right)^2.$$

That is,  $|E_\delta(z)| \approx |Q(z')|$ . The following lemma shows that  $B_{p_0}(\alpha) \subset C_{p_0}(\delta)$  for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\alpha > -1, 0 < \delta < 1$ . Then  $B_{p_0}(\alpha) \subset C_{p_0}(\delta)$ . Furthermore, if  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ , then  $\omega \in C_{p_0}(\delta)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\omega \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ & \approx \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} dA_\alpha(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} dA_\alpha(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \right)^{1+\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \frac{\int_{Q(z')} \omega dA_\alpha}{|Q(z')|} \left( \frac{\int_{Q(z')} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA_\alpha}{|Q(z')|} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ & \approx \frac{\int_{Q(z')} \omega dA_\alpha}{\int_{Q(z')} dA_\alpha} \left( \frac{\int_{Q(z')} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA_\alpha}{\int_{Q(z')} dA_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

The last “ $\approx$ ” follows from the fact that  $\int_{Q(z')} dA_\alpha \approx |Q(z')|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \approx (\text{Im}z)^{\alpha+2}$ . Thus,  $\omega \in C_{p_0}(\delta)$  and  $B_{p_0}(\alpha) \subset C_{p_0}(\delta)$ .

Suppose  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . Then, we have  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in C_{p_0}(\delta)$ . By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \Pi^+} \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA}{\int_{E_\delta(z)} dA} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ & \approx \sup_{z \in \Pi^+} \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}\xi)^\alpha} (\text{Im}z)^\alpha dA(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \left(\frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}\xi)^\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} (\text{Im}z)^{-\alpha} \frac{p'_0}{p_0} dA(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ & = \sup_{z \in \Pi^+} \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}\xi)^\alpha} dA(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \left( \frac{\int_{E_\delta(z)} \left(\frac{\omega(\xi)}{(\text{Im}\xi)^\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA(\xi)}{|E_\delta(z)|} \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\omega \in C_{p_0}(\delta)$ . □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . If  $\xi \in E_\delta(z)$ , then*

$$\omega(E_\delta(\xi)) \approx \omega(E_\delta(z)).$$

**Proof** Take  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$ . We first show that  $\omega(E_{\delta_1}(z)) \approx \omega(E_{\delta_2}(z))$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume  $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ . Then,  $E_{\delta_1}(z) \subset E_{\delta_2}(z)$ . Hence,

$$\omega(E_{\delta_1}(z)) \leq \omega(E_{\delta_2}(z)), \quad |E_{\delta_1}(z)| \approx |E_{\delta_2}(z)|.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2,  $\omega \in C_{p_0}(\delta_2)$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E_{\delta_2}(z)) &= \int_{E_{\delta_2}(z)} \omega dA \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{E_{\delta_2}(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{-\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \left( \int_{E_{\delta_2}(z)} dA \right)^{p_0} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{-\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \left( \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} dA \right)^{p_0} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{-\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \left( \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} \omega dA \right) \left( \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{\frac{p_0}{p'_0}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{E_{\delta_1}(z)} \omega dA = \omega(E_{\delta_1}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

The first “ $\lesssim$ ” and “ $\leq$ ” in the formula above follow from the definition of the class  $C_{p_0}(\delta)$  and Hölder’s inequality respectively. We obtain that  $\omega(E_{\delta_1}(z)) \approx \omega(E_{\delta_2}(z))$ .

Since  $\xi \in E_\delta(z)$ ,  $E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}(\xi) \subset E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}+\delta}(z)$ ,  $E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}(z) \subset E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}+\delta}(\xi)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}+\delta}(\xi)) &\approx \omega(E_\delta(\xi)) \approx \omega(E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}(\xi)) \\ &\leq \omega(E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}+\delta}(z)) \approx \omega(E_\delta(z)) \approx \omega(E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}(z)) \\ &\leq \omega(E_{\frac{1-\delta}{2}+\delta}(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $\omega(E_\delta(\xi)) \approx \omega(E_\delta(z))$ . □

Applying Hölder’s inequality, it is easy to verify that  $B_{p_0}(\alpha) \subset B_{p_1}(\alpha)$ ,  $C_{p_0}(\delta) \subset C_{p_1}(\delta)$  if  $p_0 < p_1$ .

In the following, we discuss the properties of functions in  $A^p(\omega)$  with  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . These properties are the extension of the corresponding properties of functions in standard weighted Bergman spaces  $A^p_\alpha(\Pi^+)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Suppose that  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . Let  $f$  be any analytic function on  $\Pi^+$  and  $z \in \Pi^+$ .*

(1)  $|f(z)|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA$ ,  $z \in \Pi^+$ . In particular,

$$|f(z)|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} \int_{\Pi^+} |f|^p \omega dA;$$

(2) Let  $0 < \delta' < \delta$ . For  $\xi \in E_{\delta'}(z)$ ,

$$|f(z) - f(\xi)|^p \lesssim \frac{\rho(z, \xi)^p}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA.$$

**Proof.** (1) By Hölder’s inequality and submean value type inequality with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $dA$  [9, Lemma 3.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} &\lesssim \frac{1}{|E_\delta(z)|} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} dA \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|E_\delta(z)|} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\omega \in C_{p_0}(\delta)$  and hence

$$\left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p'_0}{p_0}} dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_0}} \lesssim \frac{|E_\delta(z)|}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore,

$$|f(z)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}},$$

and

$$|f(z)|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA.$$

(2) By [7, Lemma 3.2], Hölder’s inequality and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(z) - f(\xi)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\rho(z, \xi)^{\frac{p}{p_0}}}{|E_\delta(z)|} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} dA \\ &\lesssim \frac{\rho(z, \xi)^{\frac{p}{p_0}}}{|E_\delta(z)|} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} \omega^{-\frac{p_0'}{p_0}} dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\rho(z, \xi)^{\frac{p}{p_0}}}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \left( \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|f(z) - f(\xi)|^p \lesssim \frac{\rho(z, \xi)^p}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f|^p \omega dA.$$

□

For  $\alpha > -1$ , let  $K_\alpha$  be the reproducing kernel functions of  $A_\alpha^2(\Pi^+)$ , i.e.,

$$K_\alpha(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{(\xi - \bar{z})^{\alpha+2}}, \quad z, \xi \in \Pi^+.$$

The integral operators  $P_\alpha$  and  $P_\alpha^+$  are defined as

$$P_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z - \bar{\xi})^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(\xi), \quad P_\alpha^+ f(z) = \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{|z - \bar{\xi}|^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(\xi).$$

The following result shows that the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  plays a special role in the theory of function spaces.

**Theorem 2.5** [13, Theorem 1.3] *Let  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\omega$  be a positive locally integrable function. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_\alpha$  is bounded from  $L^{p_0}(\omega dA)$  to  $L^{p_0}(\omega dA)$ ;
- (2)  $P_\alpha^+$  is bounded from  $L^{p_0}(\omega dA)$  to  $L^{p_0}(\omega dA)$ ;
- (3)  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ .

Note that the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  was firstly studied by Békollé and Bonami in the setting of the unit disk (or the unit ball) [1, 2]. We will see that the class  $B_{p_0}(\alpha)$  in the upper half plane shares similar properties as that in the unit disk [3, 5, 20].



**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $\alpha > -1, p_0 > 1, 0 < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . Then,*

$$\|K_\alpha(z, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}} \approx \frac{\omega(E_\delta(z))}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}}.$$

**Proof.** By the submean value type inequality [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\alpha(z, \xi)| &= \left| \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi} - z)^{\alpha+2}} \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{\alpha+2}} \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{1}{|\bar{\xi} - \eta|^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{\alpha+2}} \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z)}(\eta)}{|\xi - \bar{\eta}|^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{\alpha+2}} (P_\alpha^+ \chi_{E_\delta(z)})(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\alpha(z, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}} &= \int_{\Pi^+} |K_\alpha(z, \xi)|^{p_0} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}} \int_{\Pi^+} [(P_\alpha^+ \chi_{E_\delta(z)})(\xi)]^{p_0} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}} \|\chi_{E_\delta(z)}\|_{L^{p_0}(\omega dA)}^{p_0} \\ &= \frac{\omega(E_\delta(z))}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(E_\delta(z))}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}} &\approx \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{|\xi - \bar{z}|^{p_0(\alpha+2)}} dA(\xi) \\ &\leq \int_{\Pi^+} |K_\alpha(z, \xi)|^{p_0} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) = \|K_\alpha(z, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|K_\alpha(z, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}} \approx \frac{\omega(E_\delta(z))}{(\operatorname{Im}z)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}}.$$

□

The following lemma is a modification of [9, Lemma 4.2].

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$  and  $s = 1, 2$ . If  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice, then there exists a positive integer  $N = N(s, \delta)$  such that no more than  $N$  of the balls  $E_{s\delta}(z_n)$  contain a common point.*

Let  $r_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be the Rademacher functions defined as

$$r_n(t) = \text{sgn}(\sin(2^n \pi t)).$$

Khinchine’s inequality says that for  $0 < p < \infty$ , there are constants  $0 < A_p \leq B_p < \infty$  such that

$$A_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n r_n(t) \right|^p dt \leq B_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

for all natural numbers  $m$  and all complex numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  [14].

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, \alpha > -1, p_0 > \max\{1, p\}$  and  $\frac{\omega(z)}{(\text{Im}z)^\alpha} \in B_{p_0}(\alpha)$ . Suppose that  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ . Then, for any  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  and  $\{c_n\} \in l^p$ ,*

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n r_n(t) \frac{K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \in A^p(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_I\|_{A^p(\omega)} \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p},$$

where  $\{r_n(t)\}$  are the Rademacher functions.

**Proof** Since  $p_0 > p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_I(z)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n| \frac{|K_\alpha(z_n, z)|^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{|K_\alpha(z_n, z)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p}{p_0}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_I(z)|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{(P_\alpha^+ \chi_{E_\delta(z_n)})(z)}{(\text{Im}z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p}{p_0}}} \\ &= P_\alpha^+ \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\text{Im}z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p}{p_0}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Pi^+} |f_I(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left( P_\alpha^+ \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\text{Im}z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p}{p_0}}} \right) \right)^{p_0} \omega(z) dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\| P_\alpha^+ \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}} \right) \right\|_{L^{p_0}(\omega dA)}^{p_0} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}} \right\|_{L^{p_0}(\omega dA)}^{p_0} \\
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}} \right)^{p_0} \omega(z) dA(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^{\frac{p}{p_0}} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{\alpha+2} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}} \right)^{p_0} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Pi^+} |f_t(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z_n)}(z)}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)} \|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^p} \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)}}{(\operatorname{Im} z_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)} \omega(E_\delta(z_n))} \int_{E_\delta(z_n)} \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_t \in A^p(\omega)$  and  $\|f_t\|_{A^p(\omega)} \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}$ . □

The following lemma provides an estimate of the difference of our test functions in terms of the pseudo-hyperbolic distance.

**Lemma 2.9** [14, Lemma 2.12] *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $p_0 > 1$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then*

$$\left| K_\alpha(z, \xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}} - K_\alpha(z, \eta)^{\frac{p_0}{p}} \right| \gtrsim |K_\alpha(z, \xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}| \rho(\xi, \eta)$$

for all  $z, \eta \in \Pi^+$  and  $\xi \in E_\delta(z)$ .

### 3 Characterization of $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that

$$H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) = \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) = \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))}, \quad z \in \Pi^+.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** (1) For sufficiency, assume that

$$\sup_{z \in \Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) < \infty.$$

For any  $f \in A^p(\omega)$ , by Lemma 2.4(1) and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} \int_{E_\delta(\xi)} |f(z)|^q \omega(z) dA(z) \right) d\mu(\xi) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} \int_{\Pi^+} |f(z)|^q \chi_{E_\delta(\xi)}(z) \omega(z) dA(z) \right) d\mu(\xi) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{\chi_{E_\delta(z)}(\xi)}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} d\mu(\xi) |f(z)|^q \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} d\mu(\xi) |f(z)|^q \omega(z) dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\xi \in E_\delta(z)$ , by Lemma 2.3, we have  $\omega(E_\delta(\xi)) \approx \omega(E_\delta(z))$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) & \lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} d\mu(\xi) |f(z)|^q \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))} |f(z)|^q \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) |f(z)|^{q-p} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $|f(z)|^{q-p} \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q-p}{p}}} \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) & \lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z)}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q-p}{p}}} \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & = \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p} \int_{\Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & \leq \sup_{z \in \Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.

For necessity, assume that  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.

For any  $z \in \Pi^+$ , let  $f_z(\xi) = \frac{K_\alpha(z, \xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}}$ . Then,  $\|f_z\|_{A^p(\omega)} = 1$ . By

Lemma 2.6,

$$|f_z(\xi)|^q \approx |K_\alpha(z, \xi)|^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}} \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}(\alpha+2)}}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f_z(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) &\approx \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}(\alpha+2)}}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{1}{|\xi - \bar{z}|^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}(\alpha+2)}} d\mu(\xi) \\ &\approx \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}(\alpha+2)}}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{E_\delta(z)} \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{p_0 \frac{q}{p}(\alpha+2)}} d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \frac{\mu(E_\delta(z))}{\omega(E_\delta(z))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &= H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) \approx \int_{E_\delta(z)} |f_z(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) \leq \int_{\Pi^+} |f_z(\xi)|^q d\mu(\xi) \lesssim \|f_z\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q.$$

Thus,  $\sup_{z \in \Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) < \infty$ .

(2) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure. Let

$$f_t(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n r_n(t) \frac{K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}},$$

be the functions as in Lemma 2.8. Then,  $f_t \in A^p(\omega)$  and  $\|f_t\|_{A^p(\omega)} \lesssim \{c_n\}_{l^p}$ . Thus,

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f_t(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f_t\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q \lesssim \{c_n\}_{l^p}^q.$$

By Khinchine's inequality and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Pi^+} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| c_n \frac{K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n r_n(t) \frac{K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \right|^q dt \right) d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} \int_0^1 |f_t(z)|^q dt \, d\mu(z) \\
 &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Pi^+} |f_t(z)|^q d\mu(z) \, dt \\
 &\lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q \frac{\int_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)} d\mu(z)}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\
 &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q \int_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)} \frac{1}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \left(\frac{\text{Im}z_n}{|\bar{z} - z_n|}\right)^{\frac{pQ}{p}(\alpha+2)q} d\mu(z) \\
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|c_n| \frac{\chi_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)}(z)}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{\text{Im}z_n}{|\bar{z} - z_n|}\right)^{\frac{pQ}{p}(\alpha+2)}\right)^q d\mu(z).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|c_n| \frac{\chi_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)}(z)}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{\text{Im}z_n}{|\bar{z} - z_n|}\right)^{\frac{pQ}{p}(\alpha+2)}\right)^q \\
 &\lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|c_n|}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{\text{Im}z_n}{|\bar{z} - z_n|}\right)^{\frac{pQ}{p}(\alpha+2)}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
 &\approx \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|c_n| \frac{|K_{\alpha}(z_n, z)|^{\frac{pQ}{p}}}{\|K_{\alpha}(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{pQ}{p}}}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate both sides of this formula, by (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Pi^+} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|c_n| \frac{\chi_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)}(z)}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{\text{Im}z_n}{|\bar{z} - z_n|}\right)^{\frac{pQ}{p}(\alpha+2)}\right)^q d\mu(z) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|c_n| \frac{|K_{\alpha}(z_n, z)|^{\frac{pQ}{p}}}{\|K_{\alpha}(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{pQ}{p}}}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\
 &\lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (3.2), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n) \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q.$$

Since  $\{c_n\} \in l^p$  if and only if  $\{|c_n|^q\} \in l^{\frac{p}{q}}$ , we deduce that

$$\{H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)\} \in l^{(\frac{p}{q})'} = l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). It is trivial.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Suppose that there exists a constant  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$  such that  $\{H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)\} \in l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$ , where  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice.

For  $z \in E_{\delta}(z_n)$ , we have  $E_{\delta}(z) \subset E_{2\delta}(z_n)$  and  $\omega(E_{\delta}(z)) \approx \omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))$ . Then,

$$G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z) = \frac{\mu(E_{\delta}(z))}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z))} \lesssim \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))} = G_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^+} |G_{\omega, \mu, \delta}(z)|^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{\delta}(z_n)} |G_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)|^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(z) dA(z) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{G_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q-p}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{\omega, \mu, 2\delta}(z_n)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $G_{\omega, \mu, \delta} \in L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega dA)$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose that there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $G_{\omega, \mu, \delta} \in L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega dA)$ . For any  $f \in A^p(\omega)$ , by Lemma 2.4(1), Fubini's theorem, Lemma 2.3 and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^+} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z))} \int_{E_{\delta}(z)} |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right) d\mu(z) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z))} \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^q \chi_{E_{\delta}(z)}(\xi) \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right) d\mu(z) \\ & = \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{E_{\delta}(\xi)} \frac{1}{\omega(E_{\delta}(z))} d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\approx \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{E_\delta(\xi)} \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{\mu(E_\delta(\xi))}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega,\mu,\delta}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega,\mu,\delta}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left( \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
 &= \|G_{\omega,\mu,\delta}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega dA)} \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q.$$

Thus,  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure. □

### 4 Bounded difference of composition operators

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that for  $\varphi, \psi \in S(\Pi^+)$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\sigma(z) := \sigma_{\varphi,\psi}(z) = \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)), \quad \Omega_\delta := \{z \in \Pi^+ : \sigma(z) < \delta\}.$$

For any Borel set  $E \subset \Pi^+$ ,

$$\mu_{\varphi,\psi,q}(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} \sigma^q d\mu + \int_{\psi^{-1}(E)} \sigma^q d\mu.$$

In the following, we write  $\mu_{\varphi,\psi,q}$  as  $\mu_q$  for simplicity.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** For sufficiency, assume that  $\mu_q$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.

Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . For any  $f \in A^p(\omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(C_\varphi - C_\psi)f\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q \\
 &= \int_{\Pi^+} |(C_\varphi - C_\psi)f|^q d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} |f(\varphi) - f(\psi)|^q d\mu + \int_{\Pi^+ \setminus \Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} |f(\varphi) - f(\psi)|^q d\mu \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} |f(\varphi) - f(\psi)|^q d\mu + \int_{\Pi^+ \setminus \Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} (|f(\varphi)|^q + |f(\psi)|^q) d\mu
 \end{aligned}$$



$$=: \mathbf{I}(f) + \mathbf{II}(f). \tag{4.1}$$

For  $z \in \Pi^+ \setminus \Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ ,  $\sigma(z) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{II}(f) &\leq \frac{2^q}{\delta^q} \int_{\Pi^+ \setminus \Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} (|f(\varphi)|^q + |f(\psi)|^q) \sigma^q d\mu \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} (|f(\varphi)|^q + |f(\psi)|^q) \sigma^q d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} |f|^q [(\sigma^q d\mu) \circ \varphi^{-1} + (\sigma^q d\mu) \circ \psi^{-1}] \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} |f|^q d\mu_q. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu_q$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure,

$$\mathbf{II}(f) \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q. \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, we estimate the first term  $\mathbf{I}(f)$ . By Lemma 2.4(2) and Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(f) &= \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} |f(\varphi) - f(\psi)|^q d\mu \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} \left( \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{\omega(E_\delta(\varphi(z)))} \int_{E_\delta(\varphi(z))} |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \chi_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}}}(z) \left( \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{\omega(E_\delta(\varphi(z)))} \int_{\Pi^+} \chi_{E_\delta(\varphi(z))}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{\Pi^+} \chi_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cap \varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))}(z) \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{\omega(E_\delta(\varphi(z)))} d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cap \varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{\omega(E_\delta(\varphi(z)))} d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\leq \int_{\Pi^+} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{\omega(E_\delta(\varphi(z)))} d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &\approx \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \sigma(z)^q d\mu(z) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds when the roles of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are interchanged. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(f) &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{|f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi)}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \sigma(z)^q d\mu(z) + \int_{\psi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \sigma^q(z) d\mu(z) \right) dA(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))} \mu_q(E_\delta(\xi)) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

If  $0 < q < p < \infty$ , then by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}(f) &\lesssim \left( \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left( \int_{\Pi^+} |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1 and (4.2), we have

$$\text{I}(f) + \text{II}(f) \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q.$$

Therefore,  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$  by (4.1).

If  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}(f) &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^q \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^{q-p} |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi) dA(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4(1), we have  $|f(\xi)|^{q-p} \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{\frac{q-p}{p}}} \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}(f) &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{G_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi)}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{\frac{q-p}{p}}} |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p} \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi) dA(\xi) \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{q-p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \Pi^+} H_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 1.1 and (4.2), we have

$$\text{I}(f) + \text{II}(f) \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q.$$

Therefore,  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$  by (4.1).

Therefore, if  $\mu_q$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure, then  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$  for  $0 < p, q < \infty$ .

For necessity, assume that  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$ .

Assume  $0 < q < p < \infty$ . Let

$$f_t(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r_n(t) \frac{K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)\|_{A^p(\omega)}^{\frac{p_0}{p}}}.$$

be the functions in Lemma 2.8. Here  $\{z_n\} \subset \Pi^+$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ . Then  $f_t \in A^p(\omega)$  and  $\|f_t\|_{A^p(\omega)} \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}$ .

For  $\varphi(z) \in E_{2\delta}(z_n)$ , by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$|K_\alpha(z_n, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}} - K_\alpha(z_n, \psi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}| \gtrsim |K_\alpha(z_n, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}| \sigma(z).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z)^q &\lesssim \frac{|K_\alpha(z_n, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}} - K_\alpha(z_n, \psi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}|^q}{|K_\alpha(z_n, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}|^q} \\ &\approx (\text{Im}z_n)^{\frac{p_0q}{p}(\alpha+2)} |(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6 and Khinchine’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^q \frac{1}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))} \sigma^q d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^q \frac{\chi_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}(z)}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \sigma^q(z) d\mu(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^q \chi_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}(z) \frac{|(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q} d\mu(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^2 \left| \frac{(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\ &\approx \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n r_n(t) \frac{(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(z_n, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})}{\|K_\alpha(z_n, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}} \right|^q dt \right) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Pi^+} \left( \int_0^1 |(C_\varphi - C_\psi) f_t(z)|^q dt \right) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_{\Pi^+} |(C_\varphi - C_\psi) f_t(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right) dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \|f_t\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q dt \\ &\lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds when we replace  $\varphi$  by  $\psi$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^q \frac{1}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{\psi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))} \sigma^q d\mu \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q H_{\omega, \mu_q, 2\delta}(z_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q \frac{\mu_q(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q \frac{1}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))} \sigma^q d\mu + \int_{\psi^{-1}(E_{2\delta}(z_n))} \sigma^q d\mu \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^q H_{\omega, \mu_q, 2\delta}(z_n) \lesssim \|\{c_n\}\|_{l^p}^q.$$

It follows from the arbitrary of  $\{c_n\} \in l^p$  that

$$\{H_{\omega, \mu_q, 2\delta}(z_n)\} \in l^{(\frac{p}{q})'} = l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.$$

By Theorem 1.1,  $\mu_q$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure.

If  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ , by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.9, for  $\xi \in \Pi^+$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $z \in \varphi^{-1}(E_{\delta}(\xi))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi})(K_{\alpha}(\xi, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q \\ &= |K_{\alpha}(\xi, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}} - K_{\alpha}(\xi, \psi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}|^q \\ &\gtrsim |K_{\alpha}(\xi, \varphi(z))^{\frac{p_0}{p}}|^q \sigma(z)^q \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{(\varphi(z) - \bar{\xi})^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)}} \right|^q \sigma(z)^q \\ &\approx \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{(\text{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Pi^+} |(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi})(K_{\alpha}(\xi, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q d\mu(z) \\ &\geq \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{\delta}(\xi))} |(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi})(K_{\alpha}(\xi, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q d\mu(z) \\ &\gtrsim \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{\delta}(\xi))} \frac{\sigma(z)^q}{(\text{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}} d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\text{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_{\delta}(\xi))} \sigma(z)^q d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds when the roles of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are interchanged. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^+} |(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(\xi, z)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})|^q d\mu(z) \\ & \gtrsim \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}} \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \sigma(z)^q d\mu(z) + \int_{\psi^{-1}(E_\delta(\xi))} \sigma(z)^q d\mu(z) \right) \\ & = \frac{\mu_q(E_\delta(\xi))}{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(K_\alpha(\xi, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}})\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q}{\|K_\alpha(\xi, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q} & \gtrsim \frac{\mu_q(E_\delta(\xi))}{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}} \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{\frac{p_0}{p}(\alpha+2)q}}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{q/p}} \\ & = \frac{\mu_q(E_\delta(\xi))}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ & = H_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C_\varphi - C_\psi$  is bounded from  $A^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$ , we obtain

$$H_{\omega, \mu_q, \delta}(\xi) \lesssim \frac{\|(C_\varphi - C_\psi)K_\alpha(\xi, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q}{\|K_\alpha(\xi, \cdot)^{\frac{p_0}{p}}\|_{A^p(\omega)}^q} \lesssim 1.$$

By Theorem 1.1,  $\mu_q$  is an  $(\omega, p, q)$ -Carleson measure. □

### 5 Characterization of $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. Some ideas are derived from [15].

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $\omega$  be a positive Lebesgue measurable function on  $\Pi^+$ . For any integer  $k \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , let  $0 < p_i, q_i < \infty$ ,  $f_i \in A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)$  and  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i}{p_i}$ . Then  $\prod_{i=1}^k f_i \in A^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\omega)$  and*

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^k f_i \right\|_{A^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\omega)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)}.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.1 is similar to the proof of [15, Lemma 3.1], we omit it.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** For necessity, assume that  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure. If  $k = 1$ , then it is just the definition of Carleson measure.

Assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $h_i \in A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $\prod_{i=1}^k h_i \in A^{\frac{1}{k}}(\omega)$  and

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^k h_i \right\|_{A^{\frac{1}{k}}(\omega)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)}.$$

Since  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure,

$$\int_{\Pi^+} \left| \prod_{i=1}^k h_i(z) \right| d\mu(z) \lesssim \left\| \prod_{i=1}^k h_i \right\|_{A^{1/\lambda}(\omega)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let

$$d\mu_1 = \left( \prod_{i=2}^k |h_i| d\mu \right) / \left( \prod_{i=2}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)} \right).$$

Then (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |h_1(z)| d\mu_1(z) \lesssim \|h_1\|_{A^{p_1/q_1}(\omega)}.$$

This means that  $\mu_1$  is an  $(\omega, \frac{q_1}{p_1})$ -Carleson measure. Thus for any  $f_1 \in A^{p_1}(\omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f_1(z)|^{q_1} d\mu_1(z) \lesssim \|f_1\|_{A^{p_1}(\omega)}^{q_1},$$

that is

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f_1(z)|^{q_1} \prod_{i=2}^k |h_i(z)| d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f_1\|_{A^{p_1}(\omega)}^{q_1} \prod_{i=2}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let

$$d\mu_2 = \left( |f_1|^{q_1} \prod_{i=3}^k |h_i| d\mu \right) / \left( \|f_1\|_{A^{p_1}(\omega)}^{q_1} \prod_{i=3}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)} \right).$$

Then (5.2) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |h_2(z)| d\mu_2(z) \lesssim \|h_2\|_{A^{p_2/q_2}(\omega)}.$$

This means that  $\mu_2$  is an  $(\omega, \frac{q_2}{p_2})$ -Carleson measure. Thus for any  $f_2 \in A^{p_2}(\omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f_2(z)|^{q_2} d\mu_2(z) \lesssim \|f_2\|_{A^{p_2}(\omega)}^{q_2},$$

which is the same as

$$\int_{\Pi^+} |f_1(z)|^{q_1} |f_2(z)|^{q_2} \prod_{i=3}^k |h_i(z)| d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f_1\|_{A^{p_1}(\omega)}^{q_1} \|f_2\|_{A^{p_2}(\omega)}^{q_2} \prod_{i=3}^k \|h_i\|_{A^{p_i/q_i}(\omega)}.$$

Continuing this process, we will eventually get

$$\int_{\Pi^+} \prod_{i=1}^k |f_i(z)|^{q_i} d\mu(z) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|_{A^{p_i}(\omega)}^{q_i}.$$

For sufficiency. Assume first that  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Let

$$f_{i,\xi}(z) = \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{(2+\alpha)p_0/p_i} \omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{-\frac{1}{p_i}}}{(z - \bar{\xi})^{(2+\alpha)p_0/p_i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

By Lemma 2.6,  $f_{i,\xi} \in A^{p_i}(\omega)$  and  $\|f_{i,\xi}\|_{A^{p_i}(\omega)} \lesssim 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus,

$$\int_{\Pi^+} \prod_{i=1}^k |f_{i,\xi}(z)|^{q_i} d\mu(z) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_{i,\xi}\|_{A^{p_i}(\omega)}^{q_i} \lesssim 1. \tag{5.3}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k |f_{i,\xi}(z)|^{q_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_i/p_i} \omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{-\frac{q_i}{p_i}}}{|z - \bar{\xi}|^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_i/p_i}} \\ &= \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda} \omega(E_\delta(\xi))^{-\lambda}}{|z - \bar{\xi}|^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Pi^+} \prod_{i=1}^k |f_{i,\xi}(z)|^{q_i} d\mu(z) &= \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^\lambda} \int_{\Pi^+} \frac{1}{|z - \bar{\xi}|^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}} d\mu(z) \\ &\geq \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^\lambda} \int_{E_\delta(\xi)} \frac{1}{|z - \bar{\xi}|^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}} d\mu(z) \\ &\approx \frac{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^\lambda} \int_{E_\delta(\xi)} \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Im}\xi)^{p_0(2+\alpha)\lambda}} d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\mu(E_\delta(\xi))}{\omega(E_\delta(\xi))^\lambda}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 and (5.3) that  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure.

Next we consider the case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

If  $k = 1$ , then (1.1) is just the definition of the Carleson measure. Let  $k \geq 2$  and assume that the result holds for  $k - 1$ . Let

$$\lambda_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{p_i}, \quad \lambda = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{q_k}{p_k}.$$

Considering the measure

$$d\mu_k(z) = \frac{|f_k(z)|^{q_k}}{\|f_k\|_{A^{p_k}(\omega)}^{q_k}} d\mu(z).$$

Then, the condition (1.1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\int_{\Pi^+} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |f_i(z)|^{q_i} d\mu_k(z) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \|f_i\|_{A^{p_i}(\omega)}^{q_i}.$$

By induction,  $\mu_k$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda_{k-1})$ -Carleson measure. Since  $0 < \lambda_{k-1} < \lambda < 1$ , by Theorem 1.1, for any  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{z_n\}$  with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ ,

$$\left\{ \frac{\mu_k(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\lambda_{k-1}}} \right\} \in l^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}}}.$$

Let

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{(z - \bar{z}_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)/p_k}}.$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\|f_k\|_{A^{p_k}(\omega)} \approx \frac{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{1/p_k}}{(\text{Im}z_n)^{p_0(\alpha+2)/p_k}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k(E_{2\delta}(z_n)) &= \int_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)} \frac{|f_k(z)|^{q_k}}{\|f_k\|_{A^{p_k}(\omega)}^{q_k}} d\mu(z) \\ &\approx \frac{(\text{Im}z_n)^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_k/p_k}}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{q_k/p_k}} \int_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)} \frac{1}{|z - \bar{z}_n|^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_k/p_k}} d\mu(z) \\ &\approx \frac{(\text{Im}z_n)^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_k/p_k}}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{q_k/p_k}} \int_{E_{2\delta}(z_n)} \frac{1}{(\text{Im}z_n)^{p_0(2+\alpha)q_k/p_k}} d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$



$$= \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{q_k/p_k}}.$$

So we have

$$\frac{\mu_k(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\lambda_{k-1}}} \approx \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\lambda_{k-1}+q_k/p_k}} = \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^\lambda}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mu_k(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{1-\lambda_{k-1}}{1-\lambda}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mu_k(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^{\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1-\lambda_{k-1}}{1-\lambda}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{\mu(E_{2\delta}(z_n))}{\omega(E_{2\delta}(z_n))^\lambda} \right\} \in l^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $\mu$  is an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -Carleson measure. □

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions and careful reading, which has improved the presentation of this paper. This work was completed with the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 12271134), Shanxi Scholarship Council of China (Grant no. 2020-089), and Fund Program for the Scientific Activities of Selected Returned Overseas Professionals in Shanxi Province (Grant no. 20200019).

**Data availability** Not applicable.

## References

1. Bekollé, D.: Inégalités à poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Stud. Math.* **71**, 305–323 (1982)
2. Bekollé, D., Bonami, A.: Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **286**, 775–778 (1978)
3. Bourass, M., Marrich, I.: Littlewood–Paley estimates with applications to Toeplitz and integration operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **17**(1), Paper No. 10 (2023)
4. Cowen, C.C., MacCluer, B.D.: *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995)
5. Constantin, O.: Carleson embeddings and some classes of operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **365**(2), 668–682 (2010)
6. Choe, B.R., Choi, K., Koo, H., Park, I.: Compact difference of composition operators on the Hardy spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **9**, 733–756 (2022)
7. Choe, B.R., Koo, H., Smith, W.: Difference of composition operators over the half-plane. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **369**(5), 3173–3205 (2017)
8. Choe, B.R., Koo, H., Wang, M.: Compact double differences of composition operators on the Bergman spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**(6), 2273–2307 (2017)

9. Choe, B.R., Yi, H.: Representations and interpolations of harmonic Bergman functions on half-spaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* **151**, 51–89 (1998)
10. Elliott, S.J., Wynn, A.: Composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of a half-plane. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **54**(2), 373–379 (2011)
11. Koo, H., Park, I., Wang, M.: Joint Carleson measure for the difference of composition operators on the polydisks. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **67**(6), 1352–1378 (2022)
12. Koo, H., Wang, M.: Joint Carleson measure and the difference of composition operators on  $A_\alpha^p(B_n)$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **419**(2), 1119–1142 (2014)
13. Pott, S., Reguera, M.C.: Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265**(12), 3233–3244 (2013)
14. Pang, C., Wang, M.: Difference of composition operators over the half-plane. *Sci. China Math.* **63**(11), 2299–2320 (2020)
15. Pau, J., Zhao, R.: Carleson measures and Toeplitz operators for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball. *Mich. Math. J.* **64**(4), 759–796 (2015)
16. Saukko, E.: An application of atomic decomposition in Bergman spaces to the study of differences of composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**(9), 3872–3890 (2012)
17. Shapiro, J.H.: *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*. Tracts in Mathematics, Springer, New York (1993)
18. Shapiro, J.H., Smith, W.: Hardy spaces that support no compact composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **205**(1), 62–89 (2003)
19. Tong, C.: Weighted composition operators and differences of composition operators between weighted Bergman space. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **67**(7), 1677–1697 (2022)
20. Tong, C., Li, J., Arroussi, H.: The Berezin transform of Toeplitz operators on the weighted Bergman space. *Potential Anal.* **57**(2), 263–281 (2022)
21. Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd edn. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2007)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.