



# On Berezin type operators and Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces

Gabriel T. Prăjitură<sup>1</sup>  · Ruhan Zhao<sup>1</sup> · Lifang Zhou<sup>2</sup>

Received: 16 February 2023 / Accepted: 24 May 2023 / Published online: 7 July 2023  
© Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG) 2023

## Abstract

We introduce a class of integral operators called Berezin type operators. It is a generalization of the Berezin transform, and has a close relation to the Bergman–Carleson measures. The concept is partly motivated by the relationship between Hardy–Carleson measures and area operators. We mainly study the boundedness and the compactness of Berezin type operators from a Bergman space  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to a Lebesgue space  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  with  $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1$ . We also show that Berezin type operators are closely related to Toeplitz operators.

**Keywords** Berezin type operators · Toeplitz operators · Boundedness and compactness · Bergman–Carleson measures

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 47G10 · 47B38 · 47B34 · 32A25

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$  be the unit ball in the  $n$ th dimensional complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $dv(z)$  be the normalized volume measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , such that  $v(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , let  $L_{\alpha}^p := L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$  denote the weighted

---

Communicated by Dechao Zheng.

---

✉ Gabriel T. Prăjitură  
gprajitu@brockport.edu

Ruhan Zhao  
rzhao@brockport.edu

Lifang Zhou  
lfzhou@zjhu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, SUNY Brockport, Brockport, NY 14420, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, 31300 Huzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

Lebesgue space which contains measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where  $dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z)$ , and  $c_\alpha$  is the normalized constant, such that  $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$ . Let  $L^\infty := L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, dv)$  be the space of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , such that

$$\|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

Let  $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  be the space of all holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . We denote by  $A_\alpha^p = L_\alpha^p \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  the weighted Bergman space on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ , and denote by  $H^\infty := L^\infty \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  the holomorphic bounded function space.

Let  $\beta > -1$  and let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . For  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , we consider the following sublinear operator:

$$B_\mu^\beta f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w).$$

We call  $B_\mu^\beta$  a *Berezin type operator*. Note that, if  $\beta = n + 1 + 2\alpha$  and  $d\mu(w) = dv_\alpha(w)$ , then  $(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha} B_\mu^\beta(f)(z)$  is the  $\alpha$ -Berezin transform of the function  $|f|$ . If  $\beta = s + \alpha$  and  $f = 1$ , we denote

$$B_{s,\alpha}(\mu)(z) := (1 - |z|^2)^s B_\mu^\beta(1)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^s}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+s}} d\mu(w).$$

This is called a Berezin type transform for the measure  $\mu$ . We refer to [13] for more information about Berezin transforms.

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the unit disk, let  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  be the unit circle, and let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The area operator on the Hardy space  $H^p$  is a sublinear operator defined by

$$A_\mu(f)(\zeta) = \int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \frac{|f(z)|}{1 - |z|} d\mu(z), \quad \forall \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

where  $\Gamma(\zeta)$  is a non-tangential approach region in  $\mathbb{D}$  with vertex  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  defined by

$$\Gamma(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\zeta - z| < 2(1 - |z|)\}.$$

It has been proved in [1] that the  $A_\mu$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H^p$  to  $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\mu$  is a Hardy–Carleson measure. The result has been generalized to the

case  $A_\mu : H^p \rightarrow L^q(\partial\mathbb{D})$  for possibly different  $p, q$  in [3]. Note that  $A_\mu(1)$  is used to characterize Hardy–Carleson measures; see, for example [5, 8, 9]. It is also known that Berezin type transforms for measures are also used to characterize Bergman–Carleson measures; see [6, 11]. This observation is one of our motivations to consider the Berezin type operators on Bergman spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to study the boundedness and the compactness of the Berezin type operator from one Bergman space  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to a Lebesgue space  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ . It turns out that our characterizations are the same for Toeplitz operators.

Recall that, given  $\beta > -1$  and a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu^\beta$  is defined by

$$T_\mu^\beta(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n. \tag{1.1}$$

It is clear that  $|T_\mu^\beta f| \leq B_\mu^\beta f$  for  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Therefore, boundedness of a Berezin type operator implies boundedness of the corresponding Toeplitz operator.

Our results will heavily depend on Carleson measures. For  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\alpha > -1$ , we say  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure if, for any two positive numbers  $p$  and  $q$  with  $q/p = \lambda$ , there is a positive constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_{p,\alpha}^q$$

for any  $f \in A_{\alpha}^p$ . We also denote by

$$\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\alpha} = \sup_{f \in A_{\alpha}^p, \|f\|_{p,\alpha} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

We say a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure if for any two positive numbers  $p$  and  $q$  satisfying  $q/p = \lambda$  and any sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha}^p$  with  $\|f_k\|_{p,\alpha} \leq 1$  and  $f_k(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{B}_n$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k|^q d\mu(z) = 0.$$

For convenience, we assume that  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  throughout the paper. We list the following conditions and notations which will be used in our main results:

$$n + 1 + \beta > n \max \left( 1, \frac{1}{p_1} \right) + \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}, \tag{C-1}$$

$$n + 1 + \beta > n \max \left( 1, \frac{1}{p_2} \right) + \frac{1 + \alpha_2}{p_2} \tag{C-2}$$

and

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \beta + \frac{\alpha_1}{p_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2} \right). \tag{1.2}$$

Our first two main results are for the case  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1) and (C-2). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (1.2). Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (ii)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (iii) The measure  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.

Moreover, we have

$$\|B_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}} \asymp \|T_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}} \asymp \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}.$$

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1) and (C-2). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (1.2). Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (ii)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (iii) The measure  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.

Our next main result is for the case  $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$ . For this result, we need a well-known result on decomposition of the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_n$ .

For any  $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$  with  $a \neq 0$ , we denote by  $\varphi_a(z)$  the Möbius transformation on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  that interchanges the points 0 and  $a$ . It is known that  $\varphi_a$  satisfies the following properties:  $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a(z) = z$ , and

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}, \quad z, a \in \mathbb{B}_n. \tag{1.3}$$

For  $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the pseudo-hyperbolic distance between  $z$  and  $w$  is defined by

$$\rho(z, w) = |\varphi_z(w)|,$$

and the hyperbolic distance on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  between  $z$  and  $w$  induced by the Bergman metric is given by

$$\beta(z, w) = \tanh \rho(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi_z(w)|}{1 - |\varphi_z(w)|}.$$

Throughout the paper, for  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $r > 0$ , let  $D(z, r)$  denote the *Bergman metric ball* at  $z$  which is given by

$$D(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{B}_n : \beta(z, w) < r\}.$$

It is known that, for a fixed  $r > 0$ , the weighted volume

$$v_\alpha(D(z, r)) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}. \tag{1.4}$$

We refer to [12] for the above facts.

A sequence of points  $\{a_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is called a *separated sequence* (in the Bergman metric) if there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\beta(z_i, z_j) > \delta$  for any  $i \neq j$ .

**Lemma 1.3** [12, Theorem 2.23 ] *There exists a positive integer  $N$ , such that for any  $0 < r < 1$ , we can find a sequence  $\{a_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_j D(a_j, r)$ .
- (ii) *The sets  $D(a_j, r/4)$  are mutually disjoint.*
- (iii) *Each point  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$  belongs to at most  $N$  of the sets  $D(a_j, 4r)$ .*

Any sequence  $\{a_j\}$  satisfying the conditions of the above lemma is called a *lattice* (or an *r-lattice* if one wants to stress the dependence on  $r$ ) in the Bergman metric. Obviously, any  $r$ -lattice is separated. For convenience, we will denote by  $D_j = D(a_j, r)$  and  $\tilde{D}_j = D(a_j, 4r)$  throughout the paper. Then, Lemma 1.3 says that  $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_{k=1}^\infty D_j$  and there is a positive integer  $N$ , such that every point  $z$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  belongs to at most  $N$  of sets  $\tilde{D}_j$ .

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1) and (C-2). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (1.2). Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j$  and  $\tilde{D}_j$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (ii)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (iii)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (iv)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (v)

$$\{\mu_j\} := \left\{ \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \right\} \in l^{1/(1-\lambda)}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|B_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}} \asymp \|T_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}} \asymp \|\{\mu_j\}\|_{l^{1/(1-\lambda)}}.$$

**Remark 1.5** In the above theorems, the most parts of the results on Toeplitz operators have been proved by Pau and the second author in [6]. The only exception is condition

(v) in Theorem 1.4. In [6], it used the condition that “ $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure” instead of (v) above. However, for the case  $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$ , it may happen that  $\lambda \leq 0$ . In this case, the  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure condition does not make sense, while (v) is still valid.

Our work here is mostly built up from the work in [6]. Our main contributions are proofs of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 1.4. We would like to point out that our proofs are different from the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [6]. The key ingredients of our proofs are two technical results, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, which allow us to treat all cases together. By comparison, in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [6], for the case  $1 < p_2 < \infty$ , it used a new characterization of Bergman–Carleson measures discovered in that paper. For proving compactness results for  $B_{\mu}^{\beta}$ , we have to be more careful, since we are dealing with a sublinear operator. We also gave a detailed proof of a characterization of compactness of  $T_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$  (Proposition 3.2), which seems to be a folklore, but we could not find a proof. The proof of Proposition 3.2 for the case  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$  is actually surprisingly involved. Besides, we have also discussed the cases when  $p_1 = \infty$  or/and  $p_2 = \infty$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we recall some notations and preliminary results which will be used later. In Sect. 3, we develop some tools for characterizing compactness of Berezin type operators and Toeplitz operators. We give the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5 and Sect. 6, we study the boundedness and the compactness of  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  and  $T_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  for the remaining cases when  $p_1 = \infty$  or/and  $p_2 = \infty$ .

Throughout the paper, the notation  $A \lesssim B$  means that there is a positive constant  $C$ , such that  $A \leq CB$ , and the notation  $A \asymp B$  means that both  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$  are satisfied.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Carleson measures

The following result was obtained by several authors and can be found, for example, in [11, Theorem 50], [11, p.71] and the references therein.

**Theorem A.** *Suppose  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.
- (ii) For any real number  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$  and any  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$

$$\mu(D(z, r)) \lesssim (1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda}.$$

- (iii) For some (every)  $s > 0$ , the Berezin type transform of  $\mu$

$$B_{s, (n+1+\alpha)\lambda - n - 1}(\mu) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n),$$

that is, there is a constant  $C > 0$

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^s}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda+s}} d\mu(z) \leq C.$$

*Epecially, if  $\lambda = 1$ , we get that a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is a  $(1, \alpha)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure if and only if  $B_{s,\alpha}(\mu) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n)$  for some (every)  $s > 0$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Suppose  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.
- (ii) For some (any)  $s > 0$

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^s}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda+s}} d\mu(z) = 0.$$

- (iii) For any real number  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(D(a, r))}{(1 - |a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda}} = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$  and  $-1 < \gamma < \infty$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Then, for any  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  and any  $0 < p < \infty$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda - (n+1)} dv(z).$$

**Proof** By [12, Lemma 2.24], we know that for  $0 < r < 1$ , we have

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{D(z,r)} |f(w)|^p dv(w).$$

Hence, by Fubini’s theorem, the fact that  $(1 - |z|^2) \approx (1 - |w|^2)$  for  $z \in D(w, r)$ , and Theorem A, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{D(z,r)} |f(w)|^p dv(w) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^p \int_{D(w,r)} \frac{d\mu(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} dv(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^p \int_{D(w,r)} \frac{d\mu(z)}{(1 - |w|^2)^{n+1}} dv(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^p \frac{\mu(D(w,r))}{(1 - |w|^2)^{n+1}} dv(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda - (n+1)} dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

### 2.2 Some useful estimates

The following estimate is well known, and can be found, for example, in [7, Proposition 1.4.10], [12, Theorem 1.12] and [4, Sect. 1.2].

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,  $t > -1$ , and  $c$  is real. The integral*

$$I_{c,t}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^c} dv(w)$$

has the following asymptotic behavior as  $|z| \rightarrow 1$ .

- (i) *If  $c < n + 1 + t$ , then  $I_{c,t}(z) \asymp 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $c = n + 1 + t$ , then  $I_{c,t}(z) \asymp \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $c > n + 1 + t$ , then  $I_{c,t}(z) \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+t-c}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** [6, Lemma C] *Let  $\{z_k\}$  be a separated sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and  $n < t < s$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |z_k|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, z_k \rangle|^s} \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{t-s}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

**Lemma 2.4** *Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ . Let  $\{c_j\}$  be a positive sequence, and let  $\{a_j\}$  be a separated sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . If  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$s > n \max \left( 1, \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1 + \alpha}{p},$$

and  $f$  is a measurable function on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , such that

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s},$$



then  $f \in L^p_\alpha$  and

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j^p}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{sp-(n+1+\alpha)}}.$$

**Proof** If  $0 < p \leq 1$ , then we have that

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j^p}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{sp}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^p \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{sp}} d\nu_\alpha(z) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j^p}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{sp-(n+1+\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $p > 1$ , then  $s > n + \frac{1+\alpha}{p}$ . Let  $p'$  be the conjugate exponent of  $p$ , such that  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^p &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{s-(1+\alpha)/p}}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s} \right)^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j^p (1 - |a_j|^2)^{s(1-p)+(1+\alpha)/p'}}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s} \right) \\ &\lesssim (1 - |z|^2)^{-(1+\alpha)/p'} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j^p (1 - |a_j|^2)^{s(1-p)+(1+\alpha)/p'}}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^p (1 - |a_j|^2)^{s(1-p)+(1+\alpha)/p'} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{-(1+\alpha)/p'}}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^s} d\nu_\alpha(z).$$

Since  $\alpha - (1 + \alpha)/p' = (1 + \alpha)/p - 1 > -1$ , and

$$s - (n + 1 + \alpha) + (1 + \alpha)/p' = s - n - (1 + \alpha)/p > 0,$$

the typical integral estimate in Lemma 2.2 gives the result. □

### 3 Compactness of $B_\mu^\beta$ and $T_\mu^\beta$

Recall that, for a bounded linear operator  $T$  between two Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we say that  $T$  is *compact* if  $T$  maps any bounded set in  $X$  to a relative compact set in  $Y$ . We also recall that a bounded linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *completely continuous* if, for every weakly convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  from  $X$ , the sequence  $(Tx_n)$  is norm-convergent in  $Y$ .

Let  $-1 < \beta < \infty$ . Since  $B_\mu^\beta$  is a sublinear operator, there may be different ways to define its compactness. In this paper, following the above definition, we say that  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is *compact* if it maps any bounded set in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to a relative compact set in  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ , where  $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ , and  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \infty$ . It is clear that  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is compact if and only if for any bounded sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , the image sequence  $\{B_\mu^\beta f_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .

We first give the following sufficient condition for the compactness of  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ , and  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$ . Assume that  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is a bounded sublinear operator. Suppose that, for every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0.$$

*Then,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ . Then, there is a constant  $M > 0$ , such that  $\|f_k\|_{p_1, \alpha_1} \leq M$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . By [12, Theorem 2.1],  $\{f_k\}$  is uniformly bounded on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . By Montel’s Theorem, there is a subsequence of  $\{f_k\}$ , denoted by  $\{f_{k_j}\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , such that  $f_{k_j} \rightarrow f$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  for some holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . By Fatou’s Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f_{k_j}(z)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_{k_j}(z)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{k_j}\|_{p_1, \alpha_1}^{p_1} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f \in A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ . Therefore, we get that  $f_{k_j} - f \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . By our assumption, we get that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta (f_{k_j} - f)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0.$$

We can easily check that

$$\|B_\mu^\beta f_{k_j} - B_\mu^\beta f\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} \leq \|B_\mu^\beta (f_{k_j} - f)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}.$$

From this inequality, we obtain that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta f_{k_j} - B_\mu^\beta f\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0,$$

which implies that  $B_\mu^\beta f \in L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ . Thus,  $\{B_\mu^\beta f_k\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ , and so  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .  $\square$

The following characterization for compactness of  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$  may be well known, but we cannot find a reference, so we give a proof here. The result contains the case when  $0 < p_1 < 1$  or  $0 < p_2 < 1$ , in which we still define the compactness of  $T_\mu^\beta$  in the same way as before, that is, we say that  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is compact if it maps a bounded set in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to a relatively compact set in  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ . For the case when  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ , the proof below is surprisingly involved.

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$ . Suppose that  $p_2, \alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  satisfy (C-2), and suppose that  $T_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .
- (ii) For every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0.$$

We need several lemmas to prove Proposition 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3** *Suppose that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then,  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $A_\alpha^p$  if and only if  $\{f_k\}$  is bounded in  $A_\alpha^p$  and  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ .*

This result is well known and can be easily proved, so we omit the proof here. For the case of the unit disk, see Problem 1 of Exercise 4.7 in [13].

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Let  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$  and  $-1 < \gamma < \infty$  satisfy that*

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda > n \max\left(1, \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1 + \alpha}{p}. \tag{3.1}$$

*Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . If  $\{f_k\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $A_\alpha^p$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then we also have that  $B_\mu^\beta f_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $T_\mu^\beta f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof** It suffices for us to prove  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} f_k \rightarrow 0$  on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $|T_{\mu} f_k| \leq B_{\mu} f_k$ . For convenience, denote  $\eta = (n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda - (n + 1)$ . Since  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $\gamma > -1$ , we have  $\eta > -1$ . Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $A_{\alpha}^p$ . Then, there is a constant  $M > 0$ , such that  $\|f_k\|_{p,\alpha} \leq M$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

First, consider the case  $0 < p \leq 1$ . In this case, (3.1) becomes

$$\frac{n + 1 + \alpha}{p} < n + 1 + \eta, \quad (3.2)$$

Then, there exists  $A$ , such that

$$\frac{n + 1 + \alpha}{p} < A < n + 1 + \eta. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $0 < p \leq 1$ , we get

$$\frac{1 + \alpha}{p} \leq \frac{n + 1 + \alpha}{p} - n < A - n < 1 + \eta.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $B$ , such that  $A - n < B < \min\{1 + \eta, A\}$ , which implies that

$$\frac{1 + \alpha}{p} < B < 1 + \eta. \quad (3.4)$$

Let

$$q = \frac{n}{A - B}, \quad s = \frac{nB}{A - B} - 1.$$

Then, since  $0 < A - B < n$ , we see that  $q > 1$  and  $s > -1$ . Also, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{n + 1 + s}{q} = A, \quad \frac{1 + s}{q} = B.$$

Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain that

$$\frac{n + 1 + \alpha}{p} < \frac{n + 1 + s}{q} < n + 1 + \eta \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\frac{1 + \alpha}{p} < \frac{1 + s}{q} < 1 + \eta. \quad (3.6)$$

By [11, Theorem 69], (3.5) implies that  $A_\alpha^p \subseteq A_s^q$ , and so  $\|f_k\|_{q,s} \lesssim \|f_k\|_{p,\alpha} \leq M$ . Using (3.6), we get that

$$\left(\eta - \frac{s}{q}\right)q' > -1,$$

where  $q'$  is the conjugate index of  $q$ , that is, it satisfies  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |w|^2)^{(\eta-s/q)q'} \, dv(w) < \infty.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $r \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r} (1 - |w|^2)^{(\eta-s/q)q'} \, dv(w) < \varepsilon^{q'}, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $D_r = \{w \in \mathbb{B}_n : |w| < r\}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\mu^\beta f_k(z)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f_k(w)|(1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda-(n+1)}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} \, dv(w) \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r} + \int_{\overline{D}_r} \right) \frac{|f_k(w)|(1 - |w|^2)^\eta}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} \, dv(w) \\ &= I_1(k, r) + I_2(k, r). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (3.7), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(k, r) &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r} |f_k(w)|^q (1 - |w|^2)^s \, dv(w) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\eta-s/q)q'}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{(n+1+\beta)q'}} \, dv(w) \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f_k\|_{q,s}}{(1 - |z|)^{(n+1+\beta)q'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r} (1 - |w|^2)^{(\eta-s/q)q'} \, dv(w) \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq \frac{M\varepsilon}{(1 - |z|)^{(n+1+\beta)q'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take any compact subset  $K$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Then, for any  $z \in K$ , there is a constant  $M' > 0$ , such that  $1/(1 - |z|)^{(n+1+\beta)q'} \leq M'$ . Thus

$$I_1(k, r) \lesssim MM'\varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists an integer  $N > 0$ , such that for any  $k \geq N$  and any  $w \in \overline{D}_r$

$$|f_k(w)| < \varepsilon.$$

Remembering that  $\eta > -1$ , we have for any  $z \in K$

$$I_2(k, r) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1+\beta}} \int_{\overline{D}_r} (1 - |w|^2)^\eta \, dv(w) \lesssim M'\varepsilon. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we get that for any  $k \geq N$  and any  $z \in K$

$$|B_\mu^\beta f_k(z)| \leq I_1(k, r) + I_2(k, r) \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $B_\mu^\beta f_k(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

Next, consider the case  $p > 1$ . In this case, (3.1) becomes

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda > n + \frac{1 + \alpha}{p},$$

Recall that  $\eta = (n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda - (n + 1)$ . Thus

$$\eta + 1 = (n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda - n > \frac{1 + \alpha}{p},$$

which implies that

$$\left(\eta - \frac{\alpha}{p}\right) p' > -1,$$

where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |w|^2)^{(\eta - \alpha/p)p'} \, dv(w) < \infty.$$

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of the case  $0 < p \leq 1$ , except that we use  $p$  to replace  $q$ , and use  $\alpha$  to replace  $s$ . We omit the details.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ , let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$ , and let  $\gamma, \lambda$  be given by (1.2). Suppose that  $p_2, \alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  satisfy (C-2). Then, we have*

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda > n \max\left(1, \frac{1}{p_1}\right) + \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}. \tag{3.11}$$

**Proof** Since  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ , it follows that  $\lambda = 1 + 1/p_1 - 1/p_2 \geq 1$ . We get the following two inequalities:

$$n + 1 + \beta > \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_2}{p_2} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$1 + \beta > \frac{1 + \alpha_2}{p_2} \tag{3.13}$$

by (C-2). Bearing in mind the definitions of  $\lambda, \gamma$  in (1.2), then (3.13) gives that

$$(1 + \gamma)\lambda = (1 + \beta) + \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p_1} - \frac{1 + \alpha_2}{p_2} > \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p_1} > 0,$$

Thus,  $\gamma > -1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda &> n + \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1} - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ &\geq n + \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ . Furthermore, the inequality (3.12) implies that

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda = (n + 1 + \beta) + \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1} - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_2}{p_2} > \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}.$$

The proof is complete. □

**Proof of Proposition 3.2** It follows from [2, Proposition 3.3 in Chapter VI] that  $T_\mu : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is compact if and only if  $T_\mu : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is completely continuous for  $1 < p_1 < \infty$ . By Lemma 3.3, we know that (ii) is equivalent to that  $T_\mu : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is completely continuous for  $1 < p_1 < \infty$ . Therefore, it suffices for us to prove for the case  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ .

Let  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ , and let  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ . The proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from the same discussion as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Thus, we only need to prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that (i) holds, i.e., that  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is compact. Then,  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is bounded. It follows from Theorem 1 that  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , where  $\lambda, \gamma$  are given by (1.2). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of

$\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that (ii) is not true. Then, there exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{f_{k_j}\}$  of  $\{f_k\}$ , such that

$$\|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_j}\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} \geq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{3.14}$$

Since  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ , we can find a further subsequence  $\{f_{k_{j_m}}\}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $g \in A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ , such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}} - g\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

By [12, Theorem 2.1], we have that

$$|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}}(z) - g(z)| \leq \frac{\|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}} - g\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}} \tag{3.16}$$

for all  $m \geq 1$ . Hence

$$|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}}(z) - g(z)| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.17}$$

uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

By the definitions of  $\lambda, \gamma$  given in (1.2), and by lemma 3.5, we have that

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda > \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}$$

for  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ . Since  $\{f_k\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  and  $f_{k_{j_m}}(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}}(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Thus, we must have  $g = 0$  by (3.17). Therefore, by (3.15), we get that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\mu^\beta f_{k_{j_m}}\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0,$$

which contradicts to (3.14). Hence, (ii) must be true. The proof is complete. □

### 4 Proofs of the main theorems

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ , let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$ , and let  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  be given by (1.2). Suppose that  $p_2, \alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  satisfy (C-2). Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j$  and  $\tilde{D}_j$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3. Then, we have*



$$\|B_{\mu}^{\beta}(f)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}^{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \right)^{p_2} \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1}. \tag{4.1}$$

**Proof** Using the fact that  $|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \asymp |1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|$  for  $w \in D_j$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{\mu}^{\beta}(f)(z)| &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_j} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sup_{w \in D_j} |f(w)| \right) \int_{D_j} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sup_{w \in D_j} |f(w)| \right) \frac{\mu(D_j)}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

By [12, Lemma 2.24], we have that

$$|f(w)| \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1}} \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p_1}$$

for any  $w \in D_j$ . Denote

$$|\widehat{f}(a_j)| := \left( \frac{1}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1}} \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p_1},$$

we get that

$$|B_{\mu}^{\beta}(f)(z)| \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(a_j)|\mu(D_j)}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, we obtain (4.1) by taking  $p = p_2, \alpha = \alpha_2, s = n + 1 + \beta$  and  $c_j = |\widehat{f}(a_j)|\mu(D_j)$  in Lemma 2.4. □

**Lemma 4.2** *Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , let  $D_j = D(a_j, r)$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3, and let  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  be a sequence depending on  $a_j$ , such that*

$$\sup_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_k(a_j)| < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Suppose, in addition, for any compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , the sequence  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  satisfies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \Gamma} |b_k(a_j)| = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\Gamma := \{j : a_j \in K\}$ . Let  $\{c(a_j)\}$  be a sequence of real numbers depending on  $\{a_j\}$ . Then, we have the following two results.

(i) If  $\{c(a_j)\}$  is a bounded sequence, such that  $\lim_{|a_j| \rightarrow 1} c(a_j) = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j) b_k(a_j) = 0.$$

(ii) Let  $0 < t < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and  $\gamma = t/(1-s)$ . If  $\{c(a_j)\} \in l^\gamma$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j)^t b_k(a_j)^s = 0.$$

**Proof** (i) Since  $\lim_{|a_j| \rightarrow 1} c(a_j) = 0$ , it follows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $r_1 \in (0, 1)$ , such that  $|c(a_j)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $|a_j| > r_1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j) b_k(a_j) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_1} |c(a_j) b_k(a_j)| + \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_1} |c(a_j) b_k(a_j)| \\ & \leq \sup_{j: |a_j| \leq r_1} |c(a_j)| \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_1} |b_k(a_j)| + \varepsilon \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_1} |b_k(a_j)|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Since the set  $\{a : |a| \leq r_1\}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , by (4.4), we get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_1} |b_k(a_j)| = 0.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and then letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.5), we obtain the result in (i).

(ii) Since  $\{c(a_j)\} \in l^\gamma$ , it follows that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $r_2 \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\sum_{j: |a_j| > r_2} |c(a_j)|^\gamma < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\{a : |a| \leq r_2\}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , by (4.4), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |b_k(a_j)| = 0.$$

Since  $1/s > 1$ , by Hölder inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j)^t b_k(a_j)^s \right| &\leq \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |c(a_j)|^t |b_k(a_j)|^s + \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_2} |c(a_j)|^t |b_k(a_j)|^s \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |c(a_j)|^\gamma \right)^{1-s} \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |b_k(a_j)| \right)^s \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_2} |c(a_j)|^\gamma \right)^{1-s} \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_2} |b_k(a_j)| \right)^s \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |c(a_j)|^\gamma \right)^{1-s} \left( \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_2} |b_k(a_j)| \right)^s \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{1-s} \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_2} |b_k(a_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and then letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the result in (ii). □

### 4.1 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

The implications (i)⇒(ii) in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are obvious. The implications (ii)⇒(iii) in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are given by [6, Theorem 1.2] and [6, Theorem 4.2], respectively. Thus, we only need to prove that (iii)⇒(i) in these two Theorems. Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j$  and  $\tilde{D}_j$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3.

(iii)⇒(i) for Theorem 1.1. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. Since the condition  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$  implies that  $\lambda > 1$  and  $p_2/p_1 \geq 1$ , it follows from (4.1) and Theorem A. that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\mu^\beta(f)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}^{p_2} &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}^{p_2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}^{p_2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}^{p_2} \|f\|_{p_1, \alpha_1}^{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) for Theorem 1.2. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that we only need to show that  $\|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} \rightarrow 0$  for any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  converging to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let

$$c(a_j) = \left( \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \right)^{p_2},$$

and let

$$b_k(a_j) = \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1}.$$

Using (4.1) again, we get

$$\|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}^{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty c(a_j) b_k(a_j). \tag{4.6}$$

Since  $p_2/p_1 \geq 1$  and  $\{f_k\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k \sum_{j=1}^\infty |b_k(a_j)| &= \sup_k \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \sup_k \|f_k\|_{p_1, \alpha_1}^{p_2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be any compact subset in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and  $\Gamma$  be given as in Lemma 4.2. Then,  $\Gamma$  is a finite set. Since  $\{f_k\}$  converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , it follows that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \Gamma} |b_k(a_j)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \Gamma} \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{p_2/p_1} = 0.$$

By Theorem B., we have  $\lim_{|a_j| \rightarrow 1} c(a_j) = 0$ . Therefore, by (i) of Lemma 4.2, we get that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0$ . The proof is complete.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We prove this theorem by showing that

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (iii).$$

The implications  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  and  $(i) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$  are trivial. Notice that the condition  $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$  is equivalent to  $-\infty < \lambda < 1$ , and the proof of Case 2 in  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  of [6, Theorem 1.2] actually works for showing our implication  $(iii) \Rightarrow (v)$ , with condition (v) here replacing the  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure condition in [6]. Therefore, we only need to prove that  $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ .

$(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j$  and  $\tilde{D}_j$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3. Assume that (v) holds. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that we need only show that  $\|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} \rightarrow 0$  for any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . We follow a similar argument as in the proof of  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  in Theorem 1.2. Denote by

$$c(a_j) = \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}, \quad b_k(a_j) = \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(\zeta)|^{p_1} d\nu_{\alpha_1}(\zeta).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we know that the sequence  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  satisfies (4.3) and (4.4) in Lemma 4.2. Let  $t = p_2$ , and let  $0 < s = p_2/p_1 < 1$ . Then

$$\gamma = \frac{t}{1 - s} = \frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1 - p_2} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}.$$

By (v), we see that  $\{c(a_j)\} \in l^\gamma$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.2, we get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0.$$

The proof is complete.

### 5 The case when $p_2 = \infty$

In this section, we study the boundedness and compactness of  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$  and  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow H^\infty$  for  $0 < p_1 < \infty$ .

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $0 < p_1 < \infty$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Let*

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \beta + \frac{\alpha_1}{p_1} \right). \tag{5.1}$$

*If  $T_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^\infty$ , then  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.*

**Proof** For any fixed  $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , take function

$$f_a(z) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}}{(1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}.$$

Then, the condition (C-1) and Lemma 2.2 give that  $f \in A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  and  $\|f\|_{p_1, \alpha_1} \asymp 1$ . Since  $|1 - \langle w, a \rangle| \asymp 1 - |a|^2$  for any  $w \in D(a, r)$ , it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu^\beta f_a(a) &= (1 - |a|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|1 - \langle a, w \rangle|^{2(n+1+\beta)}} \\ &\geq (1 - |a|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \int_{D(a,r)} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|1 - \langle a, w \rangle|^{2(n+1+\beta)}} \\ &\geq C \frac{\mu(D(a, r))}{(1 - |a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The boundedness of Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow H^\infty$  gives that

$$|T_\mu^\beta f_a(a)| \leq \|T_\mu^\beta f_a\|_\infty \leq \|T_\mu^\beta\| \|f_a\|_{p_1, \alpha_1}.$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\mu(D(a, r)) \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\| (1 - |a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}.$$

It follows from Theorem A, that  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. □

**Proposition 5.2** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (5.1). If the measure  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure, then  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^\infty$ .*

**Proof** Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j$  and  $\tilde{D}_j$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given in Lemma 1.3. Since  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ , it follows from (4.2) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |B_\mu^\beta(f)(z)| &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma} \|f\|_{p_1, \alpha_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Thus,  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$  is bounded. The proof is complete. □

Combining Proposition 5.1, Proposition 5.2, and the fact that  $|T_\mu^\beta f| \leq B_\mu^\beta f$ , we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.3** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (5.1). Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^\infty$ .
- (ii)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^\infty$ .
- (iii) The measure  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.

Moreover, we have

$$\|B_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty} \asymp \|T_\mu^\beta\|_{A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow H^\infty} \asymp \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}.$$

For the case  $1 < p_1 < \infty$ , we have the following partial result.

**Proposition 5.4** *Let  $1 < p_1 < \infty$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (5.1). Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) For any  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure  $\mu$ ,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^\infty$ .
- (ii) The integral operator

$$(\mathcal{S}f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\beta+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} |f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} dv(w) \tag{5.4}$$

is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^\infty$ .

**Proof** (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that  $\mathcal{S} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$  is bounded. Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that:

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\mu^\beta f(z)| &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} (1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda - n - 1} dv(w) \\ &= \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\beta+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} |f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} dv(w) \\ &= \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma} (\mathcal{S}f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the boundedness of  $\mathcal{S} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$  implies the boundedness of  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that  $B_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^\infty$  is bounded for any  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. Consider  $d\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\beta+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} dv(z)$ . It can be easily checked that  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\mu}^{\beta} f(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\beta+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} |f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} dv(w) \\
 &= (\mathcal{S}f)(w),
 \end{aligned}$$

the boundedness of  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  implies the boundedness of  $\mathcal{S} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.5** It follows from [10, Theorem 1.3] that for  $1 < p_1 < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{S} : L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  is unbounded. However, we do not know whether  $\mathcal{S} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  is bounded. Also, the above proposition does not fully solve the problem about when  $B_{\mu}^{\beta}$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^{\infty}$ . Therefore, we propose the following open problems.

**Open Problem 1** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Is the operator  $\mathcal{S}$  bounded from the Bergman space  $A_{\alpha}^p$  to  $L^{\infty}$ ?

**Open Problem 2** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . How to characterize boundedness of  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  and  $T_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow H^{\infty}$ ?

Next let us consider compactness of  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ . By the same discussion as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can obtain the following result.

**Proposition 5.6** Let  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ , let  $p_2 = \infty$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1 < \infty$ . Suppose that, for every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{\mu}^{\beta} f_k\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Then,  $B_{\mu}^{\beta} : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  is compact.

We can also get the the following result on  $T_{\mu}^{\beta}$  by a similar discussion as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 combining with Proposition 5.1.

**Proposition 5.7** Let  $0 < p_1 < \infty$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Suppose that  $T_{\mu}^{\beta}$  is bounded from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^{\infty}$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $T_{\mu}^{\beta}$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^{\infty}$ .
- (ii) For every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\mu}^{\beta} f_k\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

**Proof** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from the same discussion as in Proposition 3.1. The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from a similar discussion as in Proposition 3.2.



In fact, if  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow H^\infty$  is compact, then  $T_\mu^\beta : A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow H^\infty$  is bounded. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that  $\mu$  is a  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , where  $\lambda, \gamma$  are given by (5.1). It is easy to see that

$$(n + 1 + \gamma)\lambda = n + 1 + \beta + \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1} > \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}.$$

Thus, a similar discussion to Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.2 gives that (ii) holds. The proof is complete. □

**Theorem 5.8** *Let  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_1, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-1). Let  $\lambda, \gamma$  be given by (5.1). Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $L^\infty$ .
- (ii)  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^\infty$ .
- (iii) The measure  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.

**Proof** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This is trivial.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Suppose that  $T_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  to  $H^\infty$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  with  $|a_k| \rightarrow 1$ . Consider the functions

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}}{(1 - \langle z, a_k \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\sup_k \|f_k\|_{p_1, \alpha_1} < \infty$ , and it is obvious that  $f_k$  converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Thus, by Proposition 5.7, we have that  $\|T_\mu^\beta f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . Fix any  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$ . By the same discussion as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we get that

$$\frac{\mu(D(a_k, r))}{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \leq T_\mu^\beta f_k(a_k) \leq \|T_\mu^\beta f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure. By proposition 5.6, it suffices to prove that  $\|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  for any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$  converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let

$$c(a_j) = \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}$$

and

$$b_k(a_j) = \left( \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(\zeta)|^{p_1} dv_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p_1},$$

Since  $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ , it follows from inequality (5.3) that:

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} |B_\mu^\beta(f_k)(z)| \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty c(a_j)b_k(a_j).$$

By the same discussion as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we know that the sequence  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.2. Since  $\mu$  is a vanishing  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure, we know that  $\lim_{|a_j| \rightarrow 1} c(a_j) = 0$ . Hence, by (i) of Lemma 4.2, we get that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_\infty = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Open Problem 3** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . How to characterize compactness of  $B_\mu^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^\infty$  and  $T_\mu^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow H^\infty$ ?

### 6 The case when $p_1 = \infty$

In this section, we consider the case when  $p_1 = \infty$ .

#### 6.1 The case when $p_1 = \infty, p_2 = \infty$

If we follow the definition of  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  in (1.2), we get that in this case  $\lambda = 1, \gamma = \beta$ . Our first result here shows that the  $(1, \beta)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure condition does not characterize boundedness of  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$ .

**Lemma 6.1** *Let  $-1 < \beta < \infty$ . There exists a  $(1, \beta)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure  $\mu$ , such that  $B_\mu^\beta$  is unbounded from  $H^\infty$  to  $L^\infty$ .*

**Proof** Let  $d\mu = (1 - |z|^2)^\beta dv(z)$ . It can be easily checked that  $\mu$  is a  $(1, \beta)$ -Bergman–Carleson measure, and

$$B_\mu^\beta f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\beta |f(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} dv(w).$$

Let  $f = 1 \in H^\infty$ . Then,  $B_\mu^\beta 1 \notin L^\infty$  by lemma 2.2. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Open Problem 4** How to characterize boundedness and the compactness of  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$  and  $T_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow H^\infty$ ?

#### 6.2 The case when $p_1 = \infty, 0 < p_2 < \infty$ .

We give the following sufficient condition for the boundedness of the Berezin type operator  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow L_{\alpha^2}^{p_2}$ .

**Proposition 6.2** *Let  $0 < p_2 < \infty$  and let  $-1 < \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-2). Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be any  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and let  $D_j = D(a_j, r)$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3. Suppose that*

$$\{v_j\} =: \left\{ \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}} \right\} \in l^{p_2}. \tag{6.1}$$

Then,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is bounded from  $H^\infty$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .

**Proof** Since  $|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \asymp |1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|$  for  $w \in D_j$ , it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\mu^\beta(f)(z)| &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{D_j} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_j)}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\mu^\beta f\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_j)^{p_2}}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\beta)p_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)}} \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \|\{v_j\}\|_{l^{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is bounded. □

By a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can get the following sufficient condition for the compactness of  $B_\mu^\alpha : H^\infty \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_2 < \infty$ .

**Proposition 6.3** *Let  $0 < p_2 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_2 < \infty$ . Assume that  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  is bounded. Suppose that, for every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $H^\infty$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0.$$

Then,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $H^\infty$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .

**Lemma 6.4** *Given  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , let  $D_j = D(a_j, r)$  be the associated Bergman metric balls given by Lemma 1.3, and let  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  be a sequence depending on  $a_j$ , such that*

$$\sup_k \sup_j |b_k(a_j)| < \infty.$$

Suppose, in addition, for any compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , the sequence  $\{b_k(a_j)\}$  satisfies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \in \Gamma} |b_k(a_j)| \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Gamma := \{j : a_j \in K\}$ . Let  $\{c(a_j)\}$  be a sequence of real numbers depending on  $\{a_j\}$  satisfying that  $\{c(a_j)\} \in l^1$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j)b_k(a_j) = 0.$$

**Proof** Since  $\{c(a_j)\} \in l^1$ , then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $r_3 \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\sum_{j: |a_j| > r_3} |c(a_j)| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c(a_j)b_k(a_j) \right| &\leq \sum_{j: |a_j| \leq r_3} |c(a_j)b_k(a_j)| + \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_3} |c(a_j)b_k(a_j)| \\ &\leq \sup_{j: |a_j| \leq r_3} |b_k(a_j)| \sum_{j: |a_j| < r_3} |c(a_j)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{j: |a_j| > r_3} |b_k(a_j)| \sum_{j: |a_j| > r_3} |c(a_j)| \\ &\leq \sup_{j: |a_j| \leq r_3} |b_k(a_j)| \sum_{j: |a_j| < r_3} |c(a_j)| + \varepsilon \sup_k \sup_j |b_k(a_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and then letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the result, since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j: |a_j| \leq r_3} |b_k(a_j)| = 0.$$

□

**Proposition 6.5** Let  $0 < p_2 < \infty$ , and let  $-1 < \alpha_2, \beta < \infty$  satisfy (C-2). Let  $\{v_j\}$  be the sequence given by (6.1) which satisfies that  $\{v_j\} \in l^{p_2}$ . Then,  $B_\mu^\beta$  is compact from  $H^\infty$  to  $L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{a_j\}$  be an  $r$ -lattice. By Proposition 6.3 that it suffices for us to prove that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0$  for any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\} \in H^\infty$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$

uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . First, it is easy to get that

$$|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)(z)| \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\|f_k \chi_{D_j}\|_\infty \mu(D_j)}{|1 - \langle z, a_j \rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}.$$

Let

$$c(a_j) = \left( \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1 - |a_j|^2)^{n+1+\beta - (n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}} \right)^{p_2}$$

and

$$b_k(a_j) = \|f_k \chi_{D_j}\|_\infty^{p_2}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we get that

$$\|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2}^{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty c(a_j) b_k(a_j). \tag{6.2}$$

Notice that  $\{c(a_j)\} = \{v_j^{p_2}\} \in l^1$  and

$$\sup_k \sup_j |b_k(a_j)| = \sup_k \sup_j \|f_k \chi_{D_j}\|_\infty^{p_2} \leq \sup_k \|f_k\|_\infty^{p_2} < \infty.$$

Let  $K$  be any compact subset in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and  $\Gamma = \{j : a_j \in K\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a finite set. Since  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and for all  $z \in \cup_{j \in \Gamma} D_j$ , we have that

$$\sup_{j \in \Gamma} |b_k(a_j)| = \sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|f_k \chi_{D_j}\|_\infty^{p_2} < \varepsilon.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \in \Gamma} |b_k(a_j)| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.4 that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_\mu^\beta(f_k)\|_{p_2, \alpha_2} = 0$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we propose the following open problem.

**Open Problem 5** How to characterize boundedness and compactness of  $B_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$  and  $T_\mu^\beta : H^\infty \rightarrow A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ ?

**Acknowledgements** Ruhan Zhao is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11720101003). Lifang Zhou is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071130).

## References

1. Cohn, W.S.: Generalized area operators on Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **216**, 112–121 (1997)
2. Conway, J.B.: *A Course in Functional Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 96. Springer-Verlag, New York (1985)
3. Gong, M., Lou, Z., Wu, Z.: Area operators from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  spaces to  $L^q$  spaces. *Sci. China Math.* **53**, 357–366 (2010)
4. Liu, C.: Sharp Forelli–Rudin estimates and the norm of the Bergman projection. *J. Funct. Anal.* **268**, 255–277 (2015)
5. Luecking, D.: Embedding derivatives of Hardy spaces into Lebesgue spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **63**, 595–619 (1991)
6. Pau, J., Zhao, R.: Carleson measures and Toeplitz operators for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball. *Michigan Math. J.* **64**, 759–796 (2015)
7. Rudin, W.: *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* . Springer-Verlag, New York (1980)
8. Videnskii, V.: On an analogue of Carleson measures. *Dokl Akad. Nauk SSSR* **298**, 1042–1047 (1988); *Soviet Math Dokl.* **37**, 186–190 (1988)
9. Wu, Z.: Area operator on Bergman spaces. *Sci. China Ser. A* **49**, 987–1008 (2006)
10. Zhao, R., Zhou, L.:  $L^p - L^q$  boundedness of Forelli–Rudin type operators on the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **282**, 109345 (2022)
11. Zhao, R., Zhu, K.: Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* **115**, 103 (2008)
12. Zhu, K.: *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*. Springer-Verlag, New York (2005)
13. Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. Math. Surveys Monogr, vol 138, 2nd edn. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2007)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.