




Weak n -inner product spaces

Nicușor Minculete¹ · Radu Păltănea¹ 

Received: 15 June 2020 / Accepted: 18 December 2020 / Published online: 26 January 2021
© Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG) 2021

Abstract

In this article, we study a generalization of the n -inner product which we name weak n -inner product. As particular case, we consider the n -iterated 2-inner product and we give its representation in terms of the standard k -inner products, $k \leq n$, using the Dodgson's identity for determinants. Finally, we present several applications, including a brief characterization of a linear regression model for the random variables in discrete case and a generalization of the Chebyshev functional using the n -iterated 2-inner product.

Keywords n -inner product space · n -pre-Hilbert space · Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

Mathematics Subject Classification 46C05; 26D15 · 26D10

1 Introduction

The concept of linear 2-normed spaces and 2-metric spaces has been investigated by Gähler [13]. In [6] and [7], Diminnie, Gähler, and White studied the 2-inner product spaces.

A classification of results related to the theory of 2-inner product spaces can be found in book [3]. Here, several properties of 2-inner product spaces are given. In [10], Dragomir et al. show the corresponding version of Boas–Bellman inequality in 2-inner product spaces. Others properties of a 2-inner product space can be found in [4].

Misiak [20] generalizes this concept of a 2-inner product space, in 1989, in the following way: let n be a nonnegative integer ($n \geq 2$) and X be a vector space of

Communicated by Jacek Chmielinski.

✉ Radu Păltănea
radupaltanea@yahoo.com

Nicușor Minculete
minculetenu@yahoo.com

¹ Transilvania University of Brașov, Iuliu Maniu 50, 500091 Brașov, Romania

dimension $\dim X = d \geq n$ (d may be infinite) over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} . An \mathbb{R} -valued function $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle$ on X^{n+1} satisfying the following properties:

- (I1) $\langle v_1, v_1 | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle \geq 0; \langle v_1, v_1 | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = 0$ if and only if v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly dependent;
- (I2) $\langle v_1, v_1 | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_1} | v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}, \dots, v_{i_n} \rangle$, for every permutation (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$;
- (I3) $\langle v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle w, v | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$;
- (I4) $\langle \alpha v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \alpha \langle v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$, for every scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (I5) $\langle v + v', w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle + \langle v', w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$;

is called an *n-inner product* on X , and the pair $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$ is called an *n-inner product space* or *n-pre-Hilbert space*.

It is easy to see that the *n-inner product* is a linear function of its two first arguments. Several results related to the theory of the *n-inner product spaces* can be found in [15, 21]: $\langle v, w | \alpha v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \alpha^2 \langle v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$, for every real number α and for $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$; $\langle v, w | v_2 + v'_2, v_3, \dots, v_n \rangle - \langle v, w | v_2 - v'_2, v_3, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle v_2, v'_2 | v + w, v_3, \dots, v_n \rangle - \langle v_2, v'_2 | v - w, v_3, \dots, v_n \rangle$, for all $v, w, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n, v'_2 \in X$ and an extension of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to arbitrary n :

$$|\langle v, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle v, v | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle} \sqrt{\langle w, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle}, \tag{1.1}$$

for all $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$. The equality holds in (1) if and only if v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly dependent.

Other consequences from the above properties can be inferred very easily:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle &= \langle v, 0 | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \langle v, w | 0, \dots, v_n \rangle = 0, \\ \langle v_2, w | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle &= \langle v, v_2 | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$.

Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$ be an *n-inner product space*, $n \geq 2$. We can define a function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on $X \times X \times \dots \times X = X^n$ by:

$$\|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\| := \sqrt{\langle v, v | v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle},$$

for all $v, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$, which in [20] is shown that satisfies the following conditions:

- (N1) $\|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\| \geq 0$ and $\|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\| = 0$ if and only if v, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly dependent;
- (N2) $\|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
- (N3) $\|\alpha v | v_2, \dots, v_n\| = |\alpha| \|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\|$, for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (N4) $\|v + w | v_2, \dots, v_n\| \leq \|v | v_2, \dots, v_n\| + \|w | v_2, \dots, v_n\|$.

for all $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$.

A function $\|\cdot\|, \dots, \|\cdot\|$ defined on X^n and satisfying the above conditions is called an n -norm on X and $(X, \|\cdot\|, \dots, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a linear n -normed space.

It is easy to see that if $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an n -inner product space over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , then $(X, \|\cdot\|, \dots, \|\cdot\|)$ is a linear n -normed space and the n -norm $\|\cdot\|, \dots, \|\cdot\|$ is generated by the n -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Furthermore, we have the parallelogram law [3]:

$$\|v + w\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2 + \|v - w\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2 = 2\|v\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2 + 2\|w\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$ and the polarization identity (see, e.g., [3] and [4]):

$$\|v + w\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2 - \|v - w\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n}^2 = 4\langle v, w \rangle_{v_2, \dots, v_n}, \quad (1.3)$$

for all $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$.

The standard n -inner product on an inner product space $X = (X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is given by:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{v_2, \dots, v_n} := \begin{vmatrix} \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, v_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle v, v_n \rangle \\ \langle v_2, w \rangle & \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_2, v_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle v_n, w \rangle & \langle v_n, v_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_n, v_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}, \quad (1.4)$$

which generates n -norm $\|v\|_{v_2, \dots, v_n} := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_{v_2, \dots, v_n}}$, representing the volume of the n -dimensional parallelepiped spanned by v, v_2, \dots, v_n .

Various type of applications of n -inner products and n -norms can be found in recent papers [2, 16–18, 22, 23, 25, 26].

Remark 1.1 The standard n -inner product satisfies also the following additional condition:

$$16) \quad \text{If } v, v_2, \dots, v_n \text{ are linearly dependent, then } \langle v, w \rangle_{v_2, \dots, v_n} = 0,$$

for $v, w, v_2, \dots, v_n \in X$.

The motivation of this article is to study another type of n -inner product built based on the properties of the n -inner product, except property I2. We will define the weak n -inner product and the n -iterated 2-inner product and we will give its representation in terms of the standard k -inner products, $k \leq n$, using the Dodgson's identity for determinants. We also present a brief characterization of a linear regression model for the random variables in discrete case. Finally, we generalize the Chebyshev functional using the n -iterated 2-inner product.

2 The weak n -inner product

Let X be a real vector space.

Definition 2.1 An \mathbb{R} -valued function $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot)$ on X^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, satisfying the following properties:

- (P1) *Positivity*: $(x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2) \geq 0$ and $(x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0$ if and only if x, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent;
- (P2) *Interchangeability*: $(x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x_n, x_n | x, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)$;
- (P3) *Symmetry*: $(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = (y, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)$;
- (P4) *Homogeneity*: $(\alpha x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = \alpha(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)$, for every scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (P5) *Additivity*: $(x + x', y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) + (x', y | x_n, \dots, x_2)$;

is called a weak n -inner product on X , and the pair $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ is called a weak n -inner product space or weak n -pre-Hilbert space.

Remark 2.2 It is easy to see that:

$$(0, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x, 0 | x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x, y | 0, \dots, x_2) = 0.$$

Remark 2.3 Obviously, an n -inner product is a weak n -inner product, so an n -inner product space is a weak n -inner product space, but the reciprocal is not true. This fact will be shown in Remark 2.14.

For $n = 2$, a weak n -inner product is also an n -inner product. For $n \geq 3$, a weak n -inner product can be build, for instance, by formula:

$$(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = \Theta(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) \cdot \Psi(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2),$$

where $\Theta(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)$ is a n -inner product and $\Psi : X^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with properties $\Psi(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2) \geq 0$, $\forall (x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)$ and $\Psi(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2) = 0$ iff x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 are linearly dependent (in the case $n = 3$, this means $x_2 = 0$).

In the next lemma, we generalize a property that exists in the case of 2-inner products. The method of the proof is based on the method used in [4].

Lemma 2.4 Let $x_2, \dots, x_n, x, y \in X$. If x_2, \dots, x_n, x are linearly dependent, then:

$$(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof We consider two cases.

Case 1. x_2, \dots, x_n, y are linearly independent. Consider the vector:

$$u = (y, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)x - (x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)y.$$

Then from P1), we have $(u, u|x_n, \dots, x_2) \geq 0$. This inequality is equivalent to:

$$(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)[(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) - (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)^2] \geq 0.$$

Since x_2, \dots, x_n, x are linearly dependent, from one has $(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0$ and hence:

$$-(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)(x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)^2 \geq 0.$$

Since x_2, \dots, x_n, y are linearly independent, it follows that $(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) > 0$. Consequently, one obtains (2.1).

Case 2. x_2, \dots, x_n, y are linearly dependent. Then, also $x_2, \dots, x_n, x + y$ are linearly dependent. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(x + y, x + y|x_n, \dots, x_2) - (x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2) - (y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Because $(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0$, $(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0$, $(x + y, x + y|x_n, \dots, x_2) = 0$ relation (2.1) follows. \square

Theorem 2.5 Suppose that $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ is a weak n -inner product space over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} . Let $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, $n \geq 2$ be fixed. Denote $Y = \text{span}\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Define the quotient space $X/Y = \{\hat{x} | x \in X\}$, where $\hat{x} = \{u \in X | u - x \in Y\}$, $x \in X$. Then, function $\psi : (X/Y)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) := (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)$, $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in X/Y$ is well defined and is a semi-inner product on X/Y . Moreover, if x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, then ψ is an inner product.

Proof Let $x, x', y, y' \in X$, such that $x' - x \in Y$ and $y' - y \in Y$. Using Lemma 2.4, we get $\psi(\hat{x}', \hat{y}') = (x', y'|x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) + (x' - x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) + (x, y' - y|x_n, \dots, x_2) + (x' - x, y' - y|x_n, \dots, x_2) = (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2) = \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$. This means that ψ is well defined.

From P1), we have $\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{x}) = (x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2) \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{x}) = 0$, then $(x, x|x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$, which implies that x, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent. If x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, it follows that $x \in Y$. Then $\hat{x} = \hat{0}$.

The other properties of the inner product follow in a simple manner from conditions P3), P4), and P5). \square

Theorem 2.6 (Schwarz type inequality) Let $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ be a weak n -inner product space. For any $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, we have:

$$|(x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)| \leq \sqrt{(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)}\sqrt{(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the case, when x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, then the equality holds in (2.2) if and only if there exist $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $u \in Y := \text{span}\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, such that $y = \mu x + u$.

Proof By taking into account Theorem 2.5 and the notations given there, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |(x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)| &= |\Psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})| \leq \sqrt{\Psi(\hat{x}, \hat{x})}\sqrt{\Psi(\hat{y}, \hat{y})} \\ &= \sqrt{(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)}\sqrt{(y, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, then the equality holds in (2.2) iff there is $\mu \geq 0$, such that $\hat{y} = \mu\hat{x}$, i.e., exists $u \in Y$ for which $y = \mu x + u$. \square

Definition 2.7 Let $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ be a weak n -inner product space, $n \geq 2$. We can define a function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on $X \times X \times \dots \times X = X^n$ by:

$$\|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\| := \sqrt{(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)}, \text{ for all } x, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.8 If $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ is a weak n -inner product space, then function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ defined in (2.3) satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1) $\|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\| \geq 0$ and $\|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\| = 0$ if and only if x, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent;
- (C2) $\|x|x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2\| = \|x_n|x, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2\|$;
- (C3) $\|\alpha x|x_n, \dots, x_2\| = |\alpha| \|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\|$, for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (C4) $\|x + y|x_n, \dots, x_2\| \leq \|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\| + \|y|x_n, \dots, x_2\|$

for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Proof Conditions (C1)– (C4) follow immediately from conditions (P1)–(P5) and Definition 2.7. \square

Definition 2.9 Let X be a real vector space. A real function $\|\cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ defined on X^n and satisfying conditions (C1)–(C4) is called a weak n -norm on X and $(X, \|\cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called a linear weak n -normed space.

It follows that if $(X, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot))$ is a weak n -inner product space over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , then $(X, \|\cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear weak n -normed space and the weak n -norm $\|\cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is generated by the weak n -inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot)$.

Theorem 2.10 In conditions of Theorem 2.5, function $\varphi : X/Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(\hat{x}) := \|x|x_n, \dots, x_2\|$, $\hat{x} \in X/Y$ is well defined and is a semi-norm on X/Y . Moreover, if x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, then φ is a norm.

Proof It follows immediately from Theorem 2.5, since $\varphi(\hat{x}) = \sqrt{\Psi(\hat{x}, \hat{x})}$, $\hat{x} \in X/Y$, where function Ψ was defined in this theorem. \square

In an inner product space, a special weak n -inner product can be defined by recurrence starting from the 2-inner product. Recall that the 2-inner product was studied in [3, 4].

Definition 2.11 Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real pre-Hilbert space. The n -iterated 2-inner product, or standard weak n -inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot)_* : X^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined for $n \geq 2$ as follows. For $n = 2$, $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)_*$ coincides with the standard 2-inner product, that is:

$$(x, y | z)_* := \langle x, y | z \rangle = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} = \langle x, y \rangle \langle z, z \rangle - \langle x, z \rangle \langle z, y \rangle, \quad x, y, z \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, if $n \geq 3$ and $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, define:

$$(x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* := \begin{vmatrix} (x, y | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_n, y | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_n, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

Theorem 2.12 If $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a real pre-Hilbert space, then for any $n \geq 2$ function $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot)_* : X^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given in Definition 2.11 is a weak n -inner product.

Proof Consider proposition $S(n)$: the n -iterated 2-inner product satisfies conditions (P1)–(P6). We prove this proposition by mathematical induction, for $n \geq 2$.

For $n = 2$, $S(n)$ is true, since we know from [3, 4] that the standard 2-inner product, $(x, y | z)_* = \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{pmatrix}$, satisfies conditions P1) – P6).

Suppose $S(n)$ is true and prove that proposition $S(n + 1)$ is true. The $(n + 1)$ -iterated 2-inner product is given by:

$$(x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = \begin{vmatrix} (x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let us prove P1) for $n + 1$. First, we prove that $(x, x | x_{n+1}, \dots, x_2)_* \geq 0$, for $x, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in X$.

Case 1: $(x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = 0$. Then, from property P1) for n , it results that x, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent. From the hypothesis of induction and from Lemma 2.4, it follows that $(x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, x | x_{n+1}, \dots, x_2)_* &= \begin{vmatrix} (x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (x_{n+1}, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $(x, x | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* > 0$. From (P1) for n , we have $(z, z | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \geq 0$, for all $z \in X$, and then:

$$(\lambda x + x_{n+1}, \lambda x + x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We obtain the following relation:

$$\lambda^2(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* + 2\lambda(x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* + (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \geq 0, \forall \lambda.$$

Since $(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* > 0$, the discriminant Δ_λ of this polynomial in variable λ is not strictly positive. Hence, $(x, x|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = -\frac{1}{4}\Delta_\lambda \geq 0$. Therefore, in both cases, we obtain $(x, x|x_{n+1}, \dots, x_2)_* \geq 0$.

On the other hand, let us suppose that $(x, x|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = 0$, which means that:

$$(x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_*(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* - (x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_*^2 = 0.$$

If $(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \neq 0$, the expression above is equal to $-\frac{1}{4}\Delta_\lambda$, where Δ_λ is the discriminant of the polynomial equation of degree 2 in λ : $Q(\lambda) = 0$, where $Q(\lambda) = (x + \lambda x_{n+1}, x + \lambda x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_*$. Since the discriminant is 0, then there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, for which $Q(\lambda_0) = 0$. From condition (P1) for n , it follows that $x + \lambda_0 x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2$ are linearly dependent. Then, there are the numbers $\alpha, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, not all null, such that $\alpha(x + \lambda_0 x_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$. Therefore, x, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} are linearly dependent. If $(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = 0$, then x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} are linearly dependent from (P1) for n . Then, x, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} are linearly dependent. Condition (P1) is completely proved for $n + 1$.

We prove condition (P2) for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, x|x_{n+1}, \dots, x_2)_* &= \begin{vmatrix} (x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x, x_n, \dots, x_2)_*. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, condition (P2) is true for $n + 1$.

We pass to the verification of condition (P3). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* &= \begin{vmatrix} (x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} (y, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} = (y, x|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_*, \end{aligned}$$

because $\det A = \det A^T$, for any square matrix A and $(x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = (y, x|x_n, \dots, x_2)_*$. Therefore, the $(n + 1)$ -iterated 2-inner product satisfies condition (P3) for $n + 1$.

We pass now to condition (P4). Since we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha x, y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* &= \begin{vmatrix} (\alpha x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (\alpha x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & \alpha(x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} = \alpha(x, y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_*, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that condition (P4) is proved for $n + 1$.

For (P5) for $n + 1$, the determinant $(x + x', y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_*$ can be expressed by a determinant of second order, having on the first line the elements $(x + x', y|x_n, \dots, x_2)_*$

and $(x + x', x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_{**}$ respectively, and on the second line, elements which do not depend on x and x' . Then, using by induction the additivity in the first argument of the products above, and then the additivity of the determinant with regard to the first line, it follows immediately that:

$$(x + x', y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_{**} = (x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_{**} + (x', y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_{**}.$$

□

Proposition 2.13 *Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real pre-Hilbert space. For $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, $n \geq 2$ and: $t \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$(tx, ty | tx_2, \dots, tx_n)_{**} = t^{2n} (x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n)_{**}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof For $n = 2$, $(tx, ty | tx_2)_{**} = t^4 (x, y | x_2)_{**}$. Then, it follows by mathematical induction. □

Remark 2.14 Theorem 2.12 allows us to furnish an example of weak n -inner product which is not a n -inner product. For this, let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with the usual inner product. Then, from Theorem 2.12, 3-iterated 2-inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot)_{**} : X^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a weak 3-inner product, but it is not a 3-inner product. Indeed, if axiom I2) would be true for 3-iterated 2-inner product, then we must have:

$$(x, x | u, v)_{**} = (v, v | u, x)_{**}, \quad \text{for all } x, u, v \in X. \tag{2.7}$$

However, if we choose $x = (1, 0, 0)$, $u = (1, 1, 1)$, $v = (2, 1, 2)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, x | u, v)_{**} &= \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, u \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle v, x \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, u \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle u, x \rangle & \langle u, v \rangle & \langle u, u \rangle & \langle u, v \rangle \\ \langle v, x \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, u \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 & 5 & 9 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{array} \right| = 9. \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (v, v | u, x)_{**} &= \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, x \rangle & \langle v, u \rangle & \langle v, x \rangle \\ \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, u \rangle & \langle x, x \rangle \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} 9 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} \langle u, v \rangle & \langle u, x \rangle & \langle u, u \rangle & \langle u, x \rangle \\ \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, u \rangle & \langle x, x \rangle \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} 5 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array} \right| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, relation (2.7) is not true. Consequently, axiom (I2) is not satisfied. Therefore, 3-iterated 2-inner product is not a 3-inner product.

3 Representation of the n -iterated 2-inner product in terms of the standard k -inner products, ($k \leq n$)

In this section, we obtain a representation of the n -iterated 2-inner product, given in Definition 2.11 in terms of the standard k -inner products $k \leq n$. For this, we use Dodgson’s identity for determinants, [8, 9]. Historical notes about this identity, in connection with Chid’s formula can be found in [1]. To express this identity, we adopt the compact notation used by Eves [11]. If $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a square matrix, denote the determinant of A by $|a_{1,1} \dots a_{n,n}|$ and the sub-determinant involving rows i_1, \dots, i_s and columns j_1, \dots, j_s by $|a_{i_1, j_1} \dots a_{i_s, j_s}|$. In [11]—Theorem 3.6.3, the following Dodgson-type identity ($n \geq 3$) is proved:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2}| \cdot |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n,n}| \\
 = & \begin{vmatrix} |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2} a_{n-1, n-1}| & |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2} a_{n-1, n}| \\ |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2} a_{n, n-1}| & |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2} a_{n, n}| \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For us, it is more convenient to use the following identity ($n \geq 3$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1, n-1}| \cdot |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n,n}| \\
 = & \begin{vmatrix} |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2, n-2} a_{n-1, n-1}| & |a_{1,2} \dots a_{n-2, n-1} a_{n-1, n}| \\ |a_{2,1} \dots a_{n-1, n-2} a_{n, n-1}| & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1, n-1} a_{n, n}| \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For $n = 3$, one has:

$$a_{2,2} \cdot |a_{1,1} \ a_{2,2} \ a_{3,3}| = \begin{vmatrix} |a_{1,1} \ a_{2,2}| & |a_{1,2} \ a_{2,3}| \\ |a_{2,1} \ a_{3,2}| & |a_{2,2} \ a_{3,3}| \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Formula (3.2) can be easily obtained applying formula (3.1). Indeed, first note that:

$$|a_{1,1} \dots a_{n,n}| = (-1)^{n-1} |a_{1,2} \dots a_{n-1, n} a_{n,1}| = |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n,n} a_{1,1}|.$$

Then, applying rule (3.1) for our new matrix, we find, using the notation $\varepsilon = (-1)^{n-2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1}| \cdot |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n,n} a_{1,1}| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{n,n}| & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{n,1}| \\ |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{1,n}| & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{1,1}| \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{n,n}| & \varepsilon |a_{2,1} \dots a_{n-1,n-2} a_{n,n-1}| \\ \varepsilon |a_{1,2} \dots a_{n-2,n-1} a_{n-1,n}| & \varepsilon^2 |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2,n-2} a_{n-1,n-1}| \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} |a_{1,1} \dots a_{n-2,n-2} a_{n-1,n-1}| & |a_{1,2} \dots a_{n-2,n-1} a_{n-1,n}| \\ |a_{2,1} \dots a_{n-1,n-2} a_{n,n-1}| & |a_{2,2} \dots a_{n-1,n-1} a_{n,n}| \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, conversely, from relation (3.2), one can deduce relation (3.1).

Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. For $x, y, z, v \in X$, from (3.2), for $n = 3$, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y|v, z)_* &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} (x, y|z)_* & (x, v|z)_* \\ (v, y|z)_* & (v, v|z)_* \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \\ \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right| \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \end{array} \right| & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{array} \right| \end{array} \right| = \langle z, z \rangle \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ &= \langle z, z \rangle \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtained:

$$(x, y|v, z)_* = \langle z, z \rangle \langle x, y|v, z \rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

Also, using formula (3.2), for $n = 4$, and then formula (3.4) we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle z, z|w \rangle \langle z, z \rangle^2 \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, v \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \langle z, z \rangle^2 \begin{vmatrix} \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle \\ \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, v \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \langle z, z \rangle^2 \left| \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, v \rangle \end{vmatrix} \right| \\
 &= \left\langle z, z \right\rangle^2 \left| \begin{vmatrix} \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, v \rangle \\ \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{vmatrix} \right| \\
 &= \left\langle z, z \right\rangle \left| \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \langle z, z \rangle \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, v \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle w, v \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle \\ \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \right| \\
 &= \left\langle z, z \right\rangle \left| \begin{vmatrix} \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \langle z, z \rangle \begin{vmatrix} \langle v, v \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle \\ \langle w, v \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle \\ \langle z, v \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \right| \\
 &= \left| \langle z, z \rangle \langle x, y|w, z \rangle \langle z, z \rangle \langle x, v|w, z \rangle \right| \\
 &= \left| \langle z, z \rangle \langle v, y|w, z \rangle \langle z, z \rangle \langle v, v|w, z \rangle \right| \\
 &= \left| \langle x, y|w, z \rangle_* \langle x, v|w, z \rangle_* \right| \\
 &= \left| \langle v, y|w, z \rangle_* \langle v, v|w, z \rangle_* \right| \\
 &= \langle x, y|v, w, z \rangle_*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\langle x, y|v, w, z \rangle_* = \langle z, z|w \rangle \langle z, z \rangle^2 \langle x, y|v, w, z \rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

The results obtained in (3.4) and (3.5) can be generalized as it is shown in the next theorem. We extend the definition of the standard weak n -inner product, for $n = 1$, by the convention $\langle x, y|x_1, \dots, x_2 \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. For $n \geq 2$, consider the vectors $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. Then:*

$$\langle x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle_* = E_n \cdot \langle x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

where $E_2 = 1$ and:

$$E_n = \prod_{k=2}^{n-1} \langle x_k, x_k | x_{k-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle^{2^{n-k-1}}, \quad (n \geq 3). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof For $n = 2$, the theorem is immediate, since $(x, y | x_2)_* = \langle x, y | x_2 \rangle$ and $E_2 = 1$. For $n = 3$, the theorem follows from relation (3.4), for the choice $v = x_3$ and $z = x_2$. Then, $E_3 = \langle z, z \rangle$. For $n \geq 4$, we prove by induction. Suppose the theorem true for $n \geq 3$ and let us prove it for $n + 1$. Using the hypothesis of induction, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* &= \begin{vmatrix} (x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \\ (x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* & (x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2)_* \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} E_n \langle x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & E_n \langle x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \\ E_n \langle x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & E_n \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (E_n)^2 \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We transform all the four elements from the above determinant. Each of them is a determinant of order n . First, in the following determinant, changing the order of the last $n - 1$ lines and then changing the order of the last $n - 1$ columns, we obtain successively:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_n, y \rangle & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y \rangle & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_n \rangle \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y \rangle & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Next, for the second determinant, we change the order of all the n columns and then we change the order of the last $n - 1$ lines; we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x_2 \rangle & \langle x, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_n, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^{(n-1)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x_2 \rangle & \langle x, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle & \langle x_n, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle \end{vmatrix},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

since $\frac{(n-1)n}{2} + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} = (n-1)^2$.

Similar operations there can be made for the third determinant. We change the order of all the n lines and then we change the order of the last $n-1$ columns, and we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_{n+1}, y \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_n, y \rangle & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_3, y \rangle & \langle x_3, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_3, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^{(n-1)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_n \rangle \\ \langle x_3, y \rangle & \langle x_3, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_3, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, applying formula (I2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle &= \langle x_2, x_2 | x_3, \dots, x_{n+1} \rangle \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \langle x_3, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_3, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_3, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} \rangle \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Consider the matrix:

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} \rangle \end{vmatrix}.$$

Denote the elements of A by a_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$. Using the notation given in the beginning of the section, we have $|A| = |a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n+1,n+1}|$.

From (3.9), one has $\langle x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle = |a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n,n}|$.

From (3.10), one has $\langle x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle = (-1)^{(n-1)^2} |a_{1,2} a_{2,3} \dots a_{n,n+1}|$.

From (3.11), one has $\langle x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle = (-1)^{(n-1)^2} |a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \dots a_{n+1,n}|$.

From (3.12), one has $\langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle = |a_{2,2} a_{3,3} \dots a_{n+1,n+1}|$.

Then, applying formula (3.2) for $n+1$ instead of n , we arrive to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}|x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= |a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n+1,n+1}| \cdot |a_{2,2} a_{3,3} \dots a_{n,n}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

If we change the order of the last n lines and of the last n columns in $|A|$, the determinant does not change, that is:

$$|a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n+1,n+1}| = |a_{1,1} a_{n+1,n+1} a_{n,n} \dots a_{2,2}|.$$

However:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{1,1} a_{n+1,n+1} a_{n,n} \dots a_{2,2}| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, x_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n+1}, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, y \rangle & \langle x_2, x_{n+1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \langle x, y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$|a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \dots a_{n+1,n+1}| = \langle x, y|x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle. \quad (3.14)$$

Also, if we change the order of the lines and columns in determinant $|a_{2,2} a_{3,3} \dots a_{n,n}|$, the value does not change. Hence:

$$|a_{2,2} a_{3,3} \dots a_{n,n}| = |a_{n,n} a_{n-1,n-1} \dots a_{2,2}|.$$

However:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n,n} a_{n-1,n-1} \dots a_{2,2}| &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_n, x_n \rangle & \langle x_n, x_{n-1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x_n, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_{n-1}, x_n \rangle & \langle x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n-1}, x_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_2, x_n \rangle & \langle x_2, x_{n-1} \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \langle x_n, x_n|x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$|a_{2,2} a_{3,3} \dots a_{n,n}| = \langle x_n, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle. \tag{3.15}$$

From relations (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15), we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \langle x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle x_{n+1}, y | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle & \langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} | x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \end{array} \right| \\ &= \langle x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \langle x_n, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Replacing in (3.8), we obtain:

$$(x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = (E_n)^2 \langle x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle \langle x_n, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle.$$

Since $(E_n)^2 \langle x_n, x_n | x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2 \rangle = E_{n+1}$, it results, finally, that:

$$(x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2)_* = E_{n+1} \langle x, y | x_{n+1}, x_n, \dots, x_2 \rangle.$$

□

4 Several applications of the n iterated 2-inner product

1. Let $X = (X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Let $x, w, z \in X$. From Definition 2.11, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, x | w, z)_* &= (x, x | z)_* (w, w | z)_* - (x, w | z)_* (w, x | z)_* \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|z\|^2 \|w\|^2 - (x, w | z)_*^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Relation (4.1) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, x | w, z)_* &= (\|x\|^2 \|w\|^2 \|z\|^2 + 2\langle w, z \rangle \langle z, x \rangle \langle x, w \rangle - \|x\|^2 \langle w, z \rangle^2 \\ &\quad - \|w\|^2 \langle z, x \rangle^2 - \|z\|^2 \langle x, w \rangle^2) \|z\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since $(x, x | w, z)_* \geq 0$, then we obtain the inequality from Lupu and Schwarz [19] given by the following:

$$\|x\|^2 \langle w, z \rangle^2 + \|w\|^2 \langle z, x \rangle^2 + \|z\|^2 \langle x, w \rangle^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \|w\|^2 \|z\|^2 + 2\langle w, z \rangle \langle z, x \rangle \langle x, w \rangle. \tag{4.3}$$

2. Formula (3.4) can be written in the form:

$$(x, y | w, z)_* = \langle x, y | w, z \rangle \|z\|^2 = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \cdot \|z\|^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, for $\|z\| \neq 1$, we have $(x, y | w, z)_* \neq \langle x, y | w, z \rangle$. Also, since in the case $x = y$, the determinant in (4.4) is the Gram's determinant $\Gamma(x, w, z)$, from relation (4.4), we can deduce:

$$(x, x|w, z)_* = \Gamma(x, w, z) \cdot \|z\|^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Since, also $(x, x|z, w)_* = \Gamma(x, z, w) \cdot \|w\|^2$ and $\Gamma(x, w, z) = \Gamma(x, z, w)$, it results:

$$(x, x|z, w)_* \|z\|^2 = (x, x|w, z)_* \|w\|^2. \quad (4.6)$$

3. From Theorem 3.1 for $n = 4$, we find that the 4 iterated 2-inner product can be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y|v, w, z)_* &= \|w\|z\|^2 \|z\|^4 \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle & \langle x, w \rangle & \langle x, v \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle & \langle z, w \rangle & \langle z, v \rangle \\ \langle w, y \rangle & \langle w, z \rangle & \langle w, w \rangle & \langle w, v \rangle \\ \langle v, y \rangle & \langle v, z \rangle & \langle v, w \rangle & \langle v, v \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \langle x, y|v, w, z \rangle \|w\|z\|^2 \|z\|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

From relation (4.7), for $x = y$, we deduce:

$$(x, x|v, w, z)_* = \Gamma(x, v, w, z) \cdot \|w\|z\|^2 \|z\|^4, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\Gamma(x, v, w, z)$ is the Gram's determinant.

In [4], Cho, Matic, and Pečarić used Gram's determinant of the vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k with respect to the vector z by:

$$\Gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k|z) = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1|z \rangle & \langle x_1, x_2|z \rangle & \dots & \langle x_1, x_k|z \rangle \\ \langle x_2, x_1|z \rangle & \langle x_2, x_2|z \rangle & \dots & \langle x_2, x_k|z \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_k, x_1|z \rangle & \langle x_k, x_2|z \rangle & \dots & \langle x_k, x_k|z \rangle \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.9)$$

We consider the following determinant, which can be rewritten using formula (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y|z \rangle & \langle x, w|z \rangle & \langle x, v|z \rangle \\ \langle w, y|z \rangle & \langle w, w|z \rangle & \langle w, v|z \rangle \\ \langle v, y|z \rangle & \langle v, w|z \rangle & \langle v, v|z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle w, w|z \rangle} \left[(x, y|w, z)_* (v, v|w, z)_* - (x, v|w, z)_* (w, y|w, z)_* \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle w, w|z \rangle} (x, y|v, w, z)_*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

From relations (4.7) and (4.10), we find the following identity:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x, y|z \rangle & \langle x, w|z \rangle & \langle x, v|z \rangle \\ \langle w, y|z \rangle & \langle w, w|z \rangle & \langle w, v|z \rangle \\ \langle v, y|z \rangle & \langle v, w|z \rangle & \langle v, v|z \rangle \end{vmatrix} = \langle x, y|v, w, z \rangle \|z\|^4, \quad (4.11)$$

which implies the relation:

$$\Gamma(x, w, v|z) = \Gamma(x, w, v) \|z\|^4. \quad (4.12)$$

4. Let x, y, e, w be vectors in the inner product space X , over the field of real numbers and the vectors $\{e, x, y\}$ being linearly independent, such that:

$$ax + by + ce = w,$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

We want to study the problem of determining the scalars a, b, c . Using the inner product and its properties, we deduce:

$$\begin{cases} a\langle x, x \rangle + b\langle y, x \rangle + c\langle e, x \rangle = \langle w, x \rangle \\ a\langle x, y \rangle + b\langle y, y \rangle + c\langle e, y \rangle = \langle w, y \rangle \\ a\langle x, e \rangle + b\langle y, e \rangle + c\langle e, e \rangle = \langle w, e \rangle. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, we have to solve this system with three equations and three unknowns $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The matrix of the system is:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle y, x \rangle & \langle e, x \rangle \\ \langle x, y \rangle & \langle y, y \rangle & \langle e, y \rangle \\ \langle x, e \rangle & \langle y, e \rangle & \langle e, e \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

From formula (4.4), we find:

$$\det A = \Gamma(x, y, e) = \frac{1}{\|e\|^2} (x, x|y, e)_*.$$

From P1), $(x, x|y, e)_*$ is zero if and only if the vectors x, y, e are linearly dependent. However, the vectors $\{e, x, y\}$ are linearly independent; therefore, we have $(x, x|y, e)_* > 0$. Using the Cramer method, we find that:

$$a = \frac{(w, x|y, e)_*}{(x, x|y, e)_*}, \quad b = \frac{(w, y|x, e)_*}{(x, x|y, e)_*}, \quad c = \frac{\|e\|^2(w, e|x, y)_*}{\|y\|^2(x, x|y, e)_*}.$$

In the particular case, when $\|e\| = 1$, we obtain:

$$a = \frac{(w, x|y, e)_*}{(x, x|y, e)_*}, \quad b = \frac{(w, y|x, e)_*}{(x, x|y, e)_*}, \quad c = \langle w, e \rangle - a\langle x, e \rangle - b\langle y, e \rangle.$$

5. Next, we will make a correlation of the previous calculations with the coefficients that appear in the case of a multiple linear regression model.

A process is called *multiple linear regression*, when we have more than one independent variable [12]. For a general linear model for two independent variables V and W and a dependent variable Z , $Z = aV + bW + c$, where $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$; $W = \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$; $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_i \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with probabilities $P(V = x_i) = \frac{1}{n}$, $P(W = y_i) = \frac{1}{n}$, $P(Z = z_i) = \frac{1}{n}$, for any $i = 1, n$.

We can describe the underlying relationship between z_i and x_i, y_i involving error term ϵ_i by $\epsilon_i = z_i - ax_i - by_i - c$.

If we take $S(a, b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - ax_i - by_i - c)^2$, then we have to find $\min_{a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}} S(a, b, c)$. Using the Lagrange method, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + nc &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_i, \\ a \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + b \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i + c \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i, \\ a \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i + b \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n y_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_i. \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculations, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{\text{Var}(W)\text{Cov}(V, Z) - \text{Cov}(V, W)\text{Cov}(W, Z)}{\text{Var}(V)\text{Var}(W) - \text{Cov}^2(V, W)}, \\ b &= \frac{\text{Var}(V)\text{Cov}(W, Z) - \text{Cov}(V, W)\text{Cov}(V, Z)}{\text{Var}(V)\text{Var}(W) - \text{Cov}^2(V, W)}, \\ c &= E(Z) - aE(V) - bE(W). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we take the vector space $(X = \mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n, \quad \|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}, \\ (x, y|z)_* &= \langle x, y \rangle \langle z, z \rangle - \langle x, z \rangle \langle z, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \sum_{i=1}^n z_i y_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|x|z\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i\right)^2}.$$

If $e = \frac{u}{\|u\|}$, where $u = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the average of vector x is $\mu_x = \left\langle \frac{x}{\|u\|}, e \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and we have:

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|u\|} | e \right\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^2}.$$

Therefore, in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we define the variance of a vector x by $\text{var}(x) := \left\| \frac{x}{\|u\|} | e \right\|^2$.

The standard deviation $\sigma(x)$ of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\sigma(x) := \sqrt{\text{var}(x)}$, so we deduce that $\sigma(x) = \left\| \frac{x}{\|u\|} | e \right\|$. Since, using the standard 2-inner product, we have:

$$\left(\frac{x}{\|u\|}, \frac{y}{\|u\|} \mid e \right)_* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right),$$

it is easy to define the *covariance* of two vectors x and y by:

$$\text{cov}(x, y) := \left(\frac{x}{\|u\|}, \frac{y}{\|u\|} \mid e \right)_*.$$

It is easy to see that, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{\text{Var}(y)\text{cov}(x, z) - \text{cov}(x, y)\text{cov}(y, z)}{\text{Var}(x)\text{Var}(y) - \text{cov}^2(x, y)}, \\ b &= \frac{\text{Var}(x)\text{cov}(y, z) - \text{cov}(y, x)\text{cov}(x, z)}{\text{Var}(x)\text{Var}(y) - \text{cov}^2(x, y)}, \\ c &= \mu_z - a\mu_x - b\mu_y. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that, by the vector method, we obtain the same coefficients as by the Lagrange method.

6. In [24], the *Chebyshev functional* is defined by:

$$T_z(x, y) = \|z\|^2 \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle,$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $z \in X$ is a given nonzero vector.

It is easy to see that if the standard 2-inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)$ is defined by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then we have $T_z(x, y) = (x, y | z)_* = (x, y | z)$.

Therefore, we generalize this Chebyshev functional to the following functional:

$$T_{x_n, \dots, x_2}(x, y) := (x, y | x_n, \dots, x_2)_*,$$

which we will call *n-Chebyshev functional*, so:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x_n, \dots, x_2}(x, y) &= T_{x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2}(x, y) T_{x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2}(x_n, x_n) \\ &\quad - T_{x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2}(x, x_n) T_{x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2}(x_n, y), \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ are given nonzero vectors.

In a particular case, when $n = 3$, we have:

$$T_{w,z}(x, y) = (x, y | w, z)_* = (x, y | z)_*(w, w | z_*) - (x, w | z)_*(w, y | z)_*;$$

so, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{w,z}(x, x) &= (x, x | w, z)_* = (x, x | z)_*(w, w | z)_* - (x, w | z)_*(w, x | z)_* \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|z\|^2 \|w\|^2 - (x, w | z)^2 \\ &= (\|x\|^2 \|w\|^2 \|z\|^2 + 2\langle w, z \rangle \langle z, x \rangle \langle x, w \rangle - \|x\|^2 \langle w, z \rangle^2 \\ &\quad - \|w\|^2 \langle z, x \rangle^2 - \|z\|^2 \langle x, w \rangle^2) \|z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in terms of the n -Chebyshev functional becomes:

$$|T_{x_n, \dots, x_2}(x, y)|^2 \leq T_{x_n, \dots, x_2}(x, x)T_{x_n, \dots, x_2}(y, y). \quad (4.15)$$

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we exemplified the weak n -inner product only by the weak n iterated 2-inner product. This particular case of weak n -inner product does not exhaust all the possibilities of particular cases. The weak n -inner product is clearly more general than the n -inner product, and consequently, it offers more possibilities. An important connection is between the vector method and the Lagrange method given above. In the future, we will determine a formula for multiple regression for n independent variables.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank to the reviewers for the pertinent remarks, which led to an improvement of the paper.

References

1. Abeles, F.F.: Chió's and Dodgson's Determinantal Identities. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **454**, 130–137 (2014)
2. Brzdęk, J., Ciepliński, K.: A fixed point theorem in n -banach spaces and ulam stability. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **470**(1), 632–646 (2019)
3. Cho, Y.J., Lin, P.C.S., Kim, S.S., Misiak, A.: *Theory of 2-inner product spaces*. Nova Science Publishes Inc., New York (2001)
4. Cho, Y.J., Matic, M., Pecarić, J.E.: On Gram's determinant in 2-inner product spaces. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38**(6), 1125–1156 (2001)
5. Debnath, P., Saha, M.: Categorization of n -inner product space. *Asian Res. J. Math.* **11**(4), 1–10 (2018)
6. Diminnie, C., Gähler, S., White, A.: 2-inner product spaces. *Demonstr. Math.* **6**, 525–536 (1973)
7. Diminnie, C., Gähler, S., White, A.: 2-inner product spaces II. *Demonstr. Math.* **10**, 169–188 (1977)
8. Dodgson, C. L.: Condensation of Determinants, Being a New and Brief Method for Computing Their Arithmetical Values. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society XV* (1866), 150–155. Reprint [F. F. Abeles, (Ed.), *The Mathematical Pamphlets of Charles Lutwidge Dodgson and Related Pieces*. Lewis Carroll Society of North America/University Press of Virginia, New York, Charlottesville, 1994.]
9. Dodgson, C.L.: *An elementary treatise on determinants with their application to simultaneous linear equations and algebraical geometry*. Macmillan, London (1867)
10. Dragomir, S. S., Cho, Y. J., Kim, S. S., Sofo, A.: Some Boas–Bellman type inequalities in 2-inner product spaces. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **6**(2) 55, 1–13 (2005)
11. Eves, H.: *Elementary matrix theory*. Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston (1966)
12. Evans, J.R.: *Statistics. Data analysis and decision modeling*. Pearson Prentice Hall, New Jersey (2007)
13. Gähler, S.: Lineare 2-normierte Räume. *Math. Nachr.* **28**, 1–43 (1965)
14. Gehér, G.P.: On n -norm reserves and the aleksandrov conservative n -distance problem. *Aequationes Math.* **91**(5), 933–943 (2017)
15. Gunawan, H.: Inner products on n -inner product spaces. *Soochow J. Math.* **28**(4), 389–398 (2002)

16. Gunawan, H.: n -inner products, n -norms, and angles between two subspaces (Book Chapter). *Mathematical Analysis and Applications: Selected Topics*, Editors M. Ruzhansky, H. Dutta, R. P. Agarwal, 493–515 (2018)
17. Huang, X., Tan, D.: A Tingley's type problem in n -normed spaces. *Aequationes Math.* **93**(5), 905–918 (2019)
18. Khan, N.: Classes of I-convergent double sequences over n -normed spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces*, Article ID 7594031 (2016)
19. Lupu, C., Schwarz, D.: Another look at some new Cauchy–Schwarz type inner product inequalities. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **231**, 463–477 (2014)
20. Misiak, A.: n -inner product spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **140**, 299–319 (1989)
21. Misiak, A.: Orthogonality and orthonormality in n -inner product spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **143**, 249–261 (1989)
22. Mohiuddine, S. A., Sharma, S. K., Abuzaid, D. A.: Some seminormed difference sequence spaces over n -normed spaces defined by a Musielak–Orlicz function of order (α, β) . *J. Funct. Spaces* (2018), Article ID 4312817
23. Mursaleen, M., Sharma, S.K.: Lacunary I-convergent and lacunary I-bounded sequence spaces defined by a Musielak–Orlicz function over n -normed spaces. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topol.* **24**(4), 370–380 (2018)
24. Niezgodna, M.: On the Chebyshev functional. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **10**(3), 535–546 (2007)
25. Raj, K., Jamwal, S.: Applications of statistical convergence to n -normed spaces. *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.* **7**(3), 197–204 (2016)
26. Raj, K., Mohiuddine, S. A., Mursaleen, M. Ayman: Some generalized sequence spaces of invariant means defined by ideal and modulus functions in n -normed spaces. *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39**, 579–595 (2018)