**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Assessing the infuence of body size on patterns of dietary niche segregation among the ungulate community in Yellowstone National Park, USA**

**Laura C. Eastham1 · Robert S. Feranec2**

Received: 26 June 2023 / Accepted: 16 April 2024 / Published online: 15 May 2024 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Deutsche Gesellschaft für Säugetierkunde 2024

#### **Abstract**

Evaluating the factors that promote coexistence between ecologically similar species is crucial to understanding the evolution and assembly of herbivore communities. The Jarman–Bell principle presents a trade-off between diet quality and quantity as an axis for dietary niche segregation and has been suggested as a mechanism facilitating species coexistence. This idea holds that larger-bodied herbivores consume greater amounts of relatively low-quality plant resources, while smaller-bodied herbivores typically feed selectively on higher-quality resources. Most studies investigating the Jarman–Bell principle have examined free-living ungulates in African savannas. The diverse ungulate community in Yellowstone National Park, USA ofers an opportunity to investigate the applicability of this principle in a temperate North American ecosystem. In this study we use fecal nitrogen (FN) and stable carbon isotope values ( $\delta^{13}$ C) to examine the relationship between body size and seasonal patterns of dietary niche segregation among fve species of wild ungulates. Specifcally, we test the predictions that: (1) diet quality decreases with increasing body mass, (2) interspecifc diferences in diet are greatest between the largest- and smallest-bodied species, and (3) smaller-bodied species have narrower dietary breadth than larger-bodied species. Diet quality, as indicated by digestibility, declined signifcantly with body mass, consistent with the empirical pattern predicted by the Jarman–Bell hypothesis. Signifcant interspecifc diferences in diet quality generally aligned with variation in body mass. When resources were limited during the winter, the relationship between body mass and diet quality was more pronounced, suggesting increased dietary niche segregation during the lean season. The results showed little evidence indicating that dietary breadth scaled allometrically with body mass, as the two species most similar in body mass displayed the greatest and least range of seasonal variation in both FN and  $\delta^{13}C$ . This study adds to the weight and breadth of evidence that diet quality is negatively correlated with body size in wild ungulate assemblages. Our fndings underscore the importance of body size as a factor facilitating dietary niche segregation and promoting coexistence among ecologically similar ungulate species.

**Keywords** Dietary niche segregation · Body size · Ungulates · North America

## **Introduction**

The remarkable level of species diversity in ungulate communities is often explained through a framework of dietary niche segregation, wherein diferences in the diets of

Handling editor: Marcus Clauss.

 $\boxtimes$  Laura C. Eastham Laura.Eastham@SMU.ca sympatric species promote coexistence by reducing inter-specific competition (Schoener [1974;](#page-14-0) Jarman and Sinclair [1979;](#page-12-0) Belovsky [1986\)](#page-11-0). Evaluating the underlying mechanisms that facilitate segregation in a group of herbivores utilizing a broadly similar range of plant resources is key to understanding community assembly and function (Lamprey [1963](#page-13-0); MacArthur and Levis [1967](#page-13-1); McNaughton and Georgiadis [1986](#page-13-2)). Most research has focused on diferences in either diet type or quality when investigating segregation, and a range of traits including body size, digestive morphophysiology, and craniodental anatomy have been functionally linked to both variables (Bell [1970;](#page-11-1) Hofmann and Stewart [1972;](#page-12-1) Jarman [1974](#page-12-2); Demment and Van Soest [1985](#page-12-3); Hofmann [1989;](#page-12-4) Gordon and Illius [1994](#page-12-5); Clauss et al. [2007,](#page-11-2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Anthropology, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, NS B3H-3C3, Canada

<sup>2</sup> New York State Museum Cultural Education Centre, Albany, NY 12230, USA

[2013](#page-11-3); Kaiser et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Dittmann et al. [2015;](#page-12-7) Lazagabaster et al. [2016\)](#page-13-3). Diet type-based models typically consider the proportion of grass consumed, with grazers consuming mostly grasses, browsers consuming mostly non-grasses, and intermediate feeders consuming both, resulting in a grazer-browser continuum (Lamprey [1963](#page-13-0); Cerling et al. [2003;](#page-11-4) Codron et al. [2007\)](#page-12-8). Separately, models focused on diet quality highlight the trade-ofs between the quantity and nutritional quality of plants consumed (Bell [1971](#page-11-5); Jarman [1974](#page-12-2)). In this study, we use fecal nitrogen (FN) and stable carbon isotope values ( $\delta^{13}$ C) to evaluate patterns of dietary niche segregation within the ungulate community in Yellowstone National Park (YNP), USA. Specifcally, we investigate whether diferences in body size predict variation in diet quality or breadth.

Body size is a fundamental biological trait determining many ecological, behavioural, and physiological characteristics of an animal (Bell [1970;](#page-11-1) Jarman [1974](#page-12-2); Demment and Van Soest [1985](#page-12-3); Fritz and Loison [2006;](#page-12-9) Hopcraft et al. [2010\)](#page-12-10). The Jarman–Bell principle (JBP) emphasizes the relationship between body size and dietary quality, predicting that large-bodied species consume greater amounts of low-quality forage, while smaller-bodied herbivores feed selectively on higher-quality resources (Bell [1971](#page-11-5); Jar-man [1974;](#page-12-2) du Toit and Olff [2014](#page-12-11)). Here diet quality refers to the nutritional value of food as defned by protein and/ or digestible-energy content. Metabolic rate allometries, specifically the putative scaling of basal metabolic rate ( $\approx$  $M^{0.75}$ ) and food retention time ( $\approx M^{0.25}$ ), were long thought to underpin the relationship between body size and diet quality (Demment and Van Soest [1985;](#page-12-3) Illius and Gordon [1987](#page-12-12)). Research over the past decade, however, has shown that while the body size—diet quality relationship is empirically common (Clauss et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Arman and Prideaux [2015](#page-11-6); Garnick et al. [2018;](#page-12-13) Potter et al. [2022;](#page-13-4) Daskin et al. [2023](#page-12-14)), it cannot be explained simply on the basis of physiological allometries (Clauss et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Müller et al. [2013](#page-13-5); Steuer et al. [2014\)](#page-14-1). Other mechanisms put forth to explain the JBP can be broadly divided into those focused on digestive physiology, evolutionary factors, and ecological trade-offs (review in Potter and Pringle [2023](#page-13-6)), with ecological trade-ofs being the most widely accepted in the literature (Clauss et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Codron [2019](#page-12-15)). Most studies examining the JBP in free-ranging ungulates have been conducted in African savannas (Bell [1971](#page-11-5); Jarman [1974;](#page-12-2) Jarman and Sinclair [1979](#page-12-0); Sensenig et al. [2010;](#page-14-2) Kleynhans et al. [2011](#page-13-7); Potter et al. [2022](#page-13-4)). The diverse ungulate community in YNP ofers an opportunity to evaluate the JBP in a temperate North American ecosystem.

Biochemical analysis of feces is often utilized in wildlife research due to the non-invasive nature of sample collection and proven efectiveness for evaluating diet with respect to both space and time (Codron et al. [2007](#page-12-8), [2013;](#page-12-16) Leslie et al. [2008](#page-13-8); Codron and Codron [2009](#page-12-17)). FN is composed of a large proportion of metabolic fecal nitrogen (both microbial and endogenous in origin) and a smaller proportion of food residue nitrogen (Wehausen [1995](#page-14-3); Schwarm et al. [2009](#page-14-4); Steuer et al. [2014\)](#page-14-1). Metabolic nitrogen increases with the proportion of readily fermentable carbohydrates consumed and has been shown to be highly correlated with FN (Leite and Stuth [1995](#page-13-9)). The correlation between FN and metabolic fecal nitrogen has fostered the use of FN as an index of dietary quality since the 1940s (Lancaster [1949](#page-13-10); Holecheck et al. [1982](#page-12-18); Leslie et al. [2008;](#page-13-8) Verheyden et al. [2011](#page-14-5); Galvez-Ceron et al. [2015](#page-12-19); Espunyes et al. [2022](#page-12-20)). The concentration of FN is typically higher when there are less indigestible substances in the diet (e.g., indigestible fbre) and more substrates on which intestinal microbes can grow (e.g., digestible fber and carbohydrates) (Schwarm et al. [2009](#page-14-4)). Studies of wild ungulates have demonstrated a positive relationship between FN and elevation that has been linked to plant phenology and used to track changes in diet quality during seasonal migration (Van Soest [1983;](#page-14-6) Festa-Bianchet [1988;](#page-12-21) Albon and Langvatn [1992](#page-11-7); Sakuragi et al. [2003](#page-14-7)). While FN provides an efective, albeit coarse, proxy for diet quality, its interpretation must be accompanied by several caveats. First, the relationship between diet quality and FN can be obfuscated when the diet includes a large proportion of secondary plant compounds, such as tannins. When herbivores consume large quantities of tannins, the FN concentration can become elevated because tannins make dietary nitrogen partly indigestible (Hobbs and Hanley [1990\)](#page-12-22). However, this tannin-mediated decoupling of the relationship between FN and diet quality appears limited to browsers and cases where animals ingest unusually high amounts of secondary plant compounds (Leslie et al. [2008](#page-13-8); Verheyden et al. [2011;](#page-14-5) Carpio et al. [2015\)](#page-11-8). Second, FN is an indicator of diet quality linked to overall digestibility (Clauss et al. [2013](#page-11-3)), which is often, but not always, higher in diets that are higher in protein. An experimental study by Steuer et al. [\(2014](#page-14-1)) showed that while body size was negatively correlated with digestibility in free-ranging ungulates, it did not infuence digestibility in captive ungulates fed a consistent diet. In nature, higher-protein forage is typically more digestible, however, because protein content and digestibility can vary widely, comparing FN across feeding types (e.g., grazer vs. browser) can be problematic. Finally, the third caveat involves intraspecifc variation in FN occurring in association with diferences in age, body mass, sex, reproductive status, and body condition (Čupić et al. [2021\)](#page-12-23). Because these factors cannot always be readily assessed when studying free-ranging ungulates, interpretations of diet quality based on FN can be misleading.

Animals that feed on diferent kinds of plants will refect the carbon isotope ratio ( ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ) that was ingested in their body tissues and faeces. Studies using  $\delta^{13}$ C to investigate the diet of sympatric ungulates have predominantly focused on xeric and tropical ecosystems where pronounced contrasts in isotopic values exist between grazing and browsing species (Quade et al. [1992](#page-13-11); Tieszen [1994;](#page-14-8) Cerling et al. [1999](#page-11-9); MacFadden et al. [1999;](#page-13-12) Codron et al. [2007](#page-12-8)). In these ecosystems, grazers consume grass and other graminoids that use the  $C_4$  photosynthetic pathway, while browsers eat leaves of trees and shrubs that use the  $C_3$  photosynthetic pathway. However, vegetation in temperate and boreal ecosystems is dominated by  $C_3$  plants (Still et al. [2003;](#page-14-9) Lüttge [2004\)](#page-13-13). In YNP, for example, up to 97% of all plant taxa use the  $C_3$  photosynthetic pathway (Teeri and Stowe [1976](#page-14-10); Stowe and Teeri [1978](#page-14-11); Sage et al. [1999](#page-14-12)).  $\delta^{13}$ C can still be used to investigate herbivore diet in  $C_3$ -dominant ecosystems because of predictable variations in the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of plants occurring due to diferences in aridity, soil salinity, degree of canopy cover, carbon source (atmospheric or aquatic), nitrogen source, and mycorrhizal associations (Tieszen [1991](#page-14-13); Lajtha and Michener [1994;](#page-13-14) Hare et al. [2018](#page-12-24)). In forested environments, poor ventilation of the understory, depleted  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  resulting from the decomposition of leaf litter, and decreased solar radiation, result in a spectrum of plant  $\delta^{13}$ C values from more depleted under the shade-crown dense canopy (Van der Merwe and Medina [1991\)](#page-14-14), to less depleted in more open canopy habitats (Francey and Farqu-har [1982](#page-12-25)). Different plant parts can reflect widely varying  $\delta^{13}$ C values due to different formation times, biochemical composition, fractionations during transportation of biomolecules within the plant, and height within the forest canopy (Chevillat et al. [2005;](#page-11-10) Cernusak et al. [2009](#page-11-11); Ghashghaie and Badeck [2014\)](#page-12-26). For example, lower  $\delta^{13}$ C values are typically associated with plant leaves relative to seeds/fowers (Badeck et al. [2005;](#page-11-12) Metcalfe and Mead [2019](#page-13-15)). Several studies have shown an increase in the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of C<sub>3</sub> plants with elevation (Morecroft and Woodward [1990;](#page-13-16) Li et al. [2006](#page-13-17), [2007](#page-13-18), [2009;](#page-13-19) Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-13-20) that can be recorded in the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of livestock tissues (Männel et al. [2007](#page-13-21)). This pattern has been explained by the high carboxylation rates relative to stomatal conductance at higher elevations, which results in lower  $\delta^{13}$ C discrimination (Morecroft and Woodward [1990;](#page-13-16) Li et al. [2006](#page-13-17), [2007](#page-13-18), [2009\)](#page-13-19). The combined efect of plant-part and habitat selection can result in signifcant carbon isotope niche segregation within  $C_3$ -dominant environments, with the largest diferences between animals consuming seedy/fowering plants in open canopy habitats (higher  $\delta^{13}$ C), and those selecting seedless/flowerless plants in closed canopy habitats (lower  $\delta^{13}$ C). While interspecific variation in herbivore  $\delta^{13}$ C is typically far less pronounced in  $C_3$ -dominant ecosystems, significant differences in diet and microhabitat use have been demonstrated (Cerling et al. [1999,](#page-11-9) [2004](#page-11-13); Stewart et al. [2003;](#page-14-15) Urton and Hobson [2005](#page-14-16); Feranec [2007](#page-12-27); Krigbaum et al. [2013](#page-13-22); Tejada et al. [2020](#page-14-17)). Within the YNP ecosystem, we use ungulate fecal  $\delta^{13}C$  as an indicator of variation in habitat use and plant types/parts consumed.

Using FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C we test the following predictions: (1) diet quality, as indexed by FN, will decrease with increasing body size across the sampled species; (2) interspecifc differences in FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C will be greatest between the largest- and smallest-bodied species; and (3) smaller-bodied species will have narrower dietary breadth, as indexed by lower intraspecific variability in FN and  $\delta^{13}C$ , than larger-bodied species. To investigate the infuence of seasonality on patterns of dietary niche segregation we analyze FN and  $\delta^{13}C$ during both summer when resources are abundant and winter when they are scarce. To evaluate spatial diferences in diet we analyze the relationship between elevation and FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C values. The five YNP ungulate species examined in this study include bison (*Bison bison*), elk (*Cervus canadensis*), pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*), bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*), and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*). Testing the relationship between diet quality and body size in a temperate North American ecosystem offers important information for understanding the environmental and taxonomic generalizability of the JBP. Additionally, a greater awareness of the mechanisms facilitating dietary niche segregation among ecologically similar ungulate species will allow wildlife managers to augment resource availability based on the composition of local communities.

### **Material and methods**

#### **Study area**

Yellowstone National Park occupies 8991 km<sup>2</sup> of primarily forested (~80%) habitat in northwestern Wyoming, extending into Idaho and Montana, USA, and ranges from 1500 to 3300 m in elevation (44°25′47″N and 110°35′4″W). The park is at the core of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, which represents one of the last remaining large (89,000  $km<sup>2</sup>$ ), nearly intact ecosystems in the northern temperate zone. The climate is characterized by long, cold winters (daily mean  $\approx$  -10 °C) and short, cool summers (daily mean  $\approx 10^{\circ}$ C), resulting in a mean annual temperature of 3 °C. A snowpack typically accumulates from November, peaking in April, and ablating completely by June. Seasonal fuctuation in temperature and precipitation produces predictable vegetation patterns (Marston and Anderson [1991\)](#page-13-23). Forest tree species are dominated (95%) by lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) diferentiated primarily on the basis of successional stage. Non-forested habitats include expansive dry sagebrush-grassland steppe, wet willow-sedge meadows, areas of geothermal activity, and alpine tundra at the highest reaches of all major mountain ranges (Waddington and Wright [1974](#page-14-18); Despain [1990](#page-12-28)).

A diversity of native large ungulates reside within YNP including elk, bison, mule deer, pronghorn, bighorn sheep, white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), moose (*Alces alces*), and mountain goat (*Oreamnos americanus*). The fve species evaluated here were selected because they vary in body size and represent the most abundant ungulate species in the park. Each of the studied species are migratory moving seasonally throughout the park, and in some cases, beyond its boundaries. With focus to the habitat preferences of the studied species, elk and mule deer are associated with a variety of overlapping habitats including pine forest, wet meadows, and sagebrush-grassland-steppe. Bison and pronghorn typically occupy sagebrush-grassland-steppe habitats. Finally, bighorn sheep are associated with alpine and subalpine habitats in the upper Yellowstone River drainage (Merrill and Boyce [1991](#page-13-24); Singer and Norland [1994\)](#page-14-19). Wolves (*Canis lupus*) were extirpated from YNP by the mid-1920s, absent for a period of seven decades, and reintroduced in the winters of 1995/1996, creating a natural experiment through time and an opportunity to study cascading trophic interactions (Smith and Ferguson [2012](#page-14-20)). Rapid recovery of the wolf population caused both temporal and spatial variability in wolf-ungulate interactions and likewise coincided with signifcant temporal and spatial variation in the vegetation (Ripple and Beschta [2012](#page-14-21); Boyce [2018\)](#page-11-14). The fecal samples analyzed in this study were collected during the summer and winter seasons of 2002–2004, ofering a window into ungulate diets less than a decade after wolf reintroduction.

#### **Sample collection and analysis**

Fecal samples analyzed in this study were collected from fve species of ruminants inhabiting YNP during August 2002, August 2003, and January 2004 (Table [1\)](#page-3-0).  $\delta^{13}C$  data from August 2002 evaluated here are the same as those reported by Feranec ([2007](#page-12-27)). An average of 15 samples per species were collected each season from a range of habitats throughout the park. The spatial distribution of samples was dependent on where animals were located at the time

of collection. While samples were collected throughout the park, the majority were concentrated in four locations of high species overlap including Hayden Valley, Lamar Valley, the area surrounding the North Entrance, and on the slopes of Mount Washburn. Sample collection in each location occurred over the duration of 1–6 days and spanned an area ranging between 1 and 10 km<sup>2</sup>. In Hayden Valley and Lamar Valley, samples were collected from bison, elk, mule deer, and pronghorn. Samples were collected from each of the fve studied species in the area surrounding the North Entrance. On Mount Washburn, samples were collected from bighorn sheep and mule deer. Samples were collected soon after elimination in order to ensure that they had not been contaminated by fungi, invertebrates, or microorganisms. Dried, homogenized samples (2–3 mg) were loaded into 5 X 8 mm tin capsules and analyzed for stable carbon isotope ratios using a Carlo Ebra elemental analyzer attached to a Micromass Europa Mass Spectrometer at the Centre for Stable Isotope Biogeochemistry at the University of California Berkeley. Nitrogen content of the samples was determined by gas chromatography prior to isotope analysis and is reported as percentage per sample to the nearest 0.1%. Carbon stable isotope values are reported in parts per thousand  $(\%_0)$  and were obtained using the following equation:  $\delta^{13}C=1000$  [R<sub>sample</sub>/R<sub>standard</sub>] – 1, where R<sub>sample</sub> and R<sub>standard</sub> are the ratio of  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  of the sample and the standard. The standard used was the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB). Replicate measurements of internal laboratory standard NIST 1547 (peach leaves) indicated measurement precision was 0.1‰.

#### **Data analysis**

We used linear regression to evaluate the relationship between FN and body size, to test our prediction that diet quality would decrease with increasing body size. Body size for each species was calculated using the mean body mass reported for males and females (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Body mass was log-transformed to improve the fit of the linear model.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Seasonal fecal nitrogen (FN) concentration of the YNP ungulate species

Species	Body mass (kg)	FN Summer 2002					FN 2003					FN Winter 2004				
		N	Mean	Min	Max	<b>SD</b>	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD.	N	Mean	Min	Max	-SD
Pronghorn	$48^{a,b}$	16	-1.92	1.24	2.91	0.45	30	2.20	1.46	3.01	0.31	15	1.49	1.25	1.92	0.20
Mule deer	$72$ g,h	9.	2.46	0.92	3.50	0.70	8	3.34	2.43	4.43	0.60	11	1.62	1.31	1.98	0.21
Bighorn sheep	$78^{i,j}$	12 <sup>7</sup>	2.52	2.26	2.95	0.21	5.	2.39	2.25	2.54	0.11	6	1.21	1.03	1.40	0.13
Elk	$270^{e,f}$	20	2.24	1.05	2.97	0.58	5	2.28	2.01	2.71	0.28	34	1.24	0.61	1.52	0.16
<b>Bison</b>	$617^{c,d}$	30	1.68	0.63	2.85	0.46	3	1.95	1.79	2.19	0.21	21	1.26	1.10	1.43	0.09

Sample size, body mass, mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation are reported

<sup>a</sup>Barnowe-Meyer and White ([2017\)](#page-11-15), <sup>b</sup>Mitchell [\(1980](#page-13-25)), <sup>c</sup>Berger and Peacock [\(1988](#page-11-16)), <sup>d</sup>Meagher ([1986\)](#page-13-26), <sup>e</sup>Urtin and Hobson [\(2005](#page-14-16)), <sup>f</sup>Cassier et al.  $(1992)$  $(1992)$ , <sup>g</sup>Bleich et al.  $(2003)$  $(2003)$ , <sup>h</sup>Hakonson and Whicker  $(1971)$  $(1971)$ , <sup>i</sup>Poissant et al.  $(2008)$  $(2008)$ , <sup>j</sup>Pelletier and Festa-Bianchet  $(2004)$  $(2004)$ 

We assessed interspecific differences in FN and  $\delta^{13}C$  values using parametric (One-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD) and nonparametric (Kruskal–Wallis) tests where appropriate. This was done to test our prediction that larger-bodied species would have signifcantly diferent diets from smallerbodied species. Levene's test for homogeneity of variance was used to examine seasonal diferences in intraspecifc FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C variation. We used linear regression to evaluate the relationship between FN,  $\delta^{13}$ C, and elevation. Elevation was log-transformed to improve the fit of the linear model. All analyses were carried out in R 4.3.0 with signifcance set at  $\alpha$  = 0.05.

## **Results**

#### **Efect of body size on fecal nitrogen values**

We found a negative association between FN and body mass across seasons (summer 2002,  $R^2 = 0.12$ ; summer 2003,  $R^2$ =0.04; winter 2004,  $R^2$ =0.25) however, the model did not account for a signifcant proportion variation (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). The association between FN and body mass was signifcant during the summer of 2002 and winter of 2004. While the efect of body mass on FN was consistently negative, it was most pronounced during the winter season (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)).

## **Interspecifc diferences in fecal nitrogen and carbon stable isotope values**

We found significant differences in FN across species during each season (summers of 2002,  $F_{4.82} = 9.53$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; summer 2003, H<sub>4, 51</sub> = 23.65, *p* < 0.001; winter 2004,  $H_{4,87}$  = 38.292,  $p$  < 0.001). Post hoc contrasts revealed several signifcant diferences between species pairs that align with diferences in body mass (Table [2](#page-4-1)). In line with our <span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2** Significant differences in  $\delta^{13}C$  (top) and FN (bottom) shown in post hoc contrasts of species pairs



Values shown in bold indicate significance for  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

prediction that larger-bodied species would have lower FN than smaller-bodied species, bison showed signifcantly



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Scaling of faecal nitrogen (FN) with body mass. Lines are linear regressions

lower FN than bighorn sheep, mule deer, and elk. We found that the two smallest-bodied species, pronghorn and mule deer, had signifcantly higher FN than all other species during the winter (Tables [1](#page-3-0), [2](#page-4-1)). Counter to our prediction, bighorn sheep had signifcantly higher FN than smaller-bodied pronghorn during the summer of 2002. Further, we found that larger-bodied mule deer had signifcantly higher FN than pronghorn during the summer of 2003. There were a greater number of signifcant interspecifc diferences during the winter than the summer. All species showed a decrease in FN from summer to winter (Table [1,](#page-3-0) Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). The range of interspecifc mean FN was greater during the summer (2002: 1.68–2.52%; 2003: 1.95–3.34%) than the winter (1.21–1.62%*)*.

 $\delta^{13}$ C also differed significantly across species during each season (summer 2002, F<sub>4,82</sub> = 23.73, *p* < 0.001; summer 2003,  $F_{4,46} = 15.01$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; winter 2004,  $F_{4,82} = 16.86$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Post hoc contrasts showed several significant diferences between species pairs; however, these diferences did not align discernibly with body mass (Table [2](#page-4-1)). Pronghorn consistently had higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values than larger-bodied species (Tables [2](#page-4-1), [3](#page-6-0)). Bison had the lowest  $\delta^{13}C$  values during the winter of 2004. We found a greater number of significant interspecific differences in  $\delta^{13}$ C during the summers than the winter. All species showed an increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C values from summer to winter (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). The range of interspecific mean  $\delta^{13}$ C was greater during the winter (−25.15 to −27.16‰) than the summer (2002: −27.24 to  $-28.41\%$ <sub>c</sub>; 2003:  $-27.56$  to  $-28.94\%$ <sub>c</sub>).

## **Intraspecifc variation in fecal nitrogen and carbon stable isotope values**

We found that diferences in the breadth of intraspecifc FN variation rarely aligned with body mass (Table [1](#page-3-0), Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). This fnding runs counter to our prediction that smallerbodied species would have narrower dietary breadth than larger-bodied species. In line with our prediction, bighorn sheep showed the lowest range of FN variation during both summer seasons. However, similarly sized mule deer showed the greatest range during both summer and winter (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). Further, bison showed the lowest range of variation during the winter. Across species we found that the range of FN variation decreased from summer to winter. We found no signifcant diference in the range FN variation for any species between the two summer seasons. However, there was a signifcant diference for most species between summer and winter (Table [4\)](#page-7-1).

Mirroring the results for FN, diferences in the range of intraspecific  $\delta^{13}$ C variation infrequently aligned with body mass (Table [3](#page-6-0), Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). While bighorn sheep had the lowest range of variation during the summer of 2003 and winter of 2004, mule deer showed the greatest range of variation during the same two seasons. Further, bison had the narrowest range of variation during the summer of 2002. Across species we found that the range of  $\delta^{13}$ C variation decreased from summer to winter. All species except pronghorn had a similar range of variation during the two summer seasons. In contrast, elk, mule deer, pronghorn, and bison showed

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Mean±standard deviation plots of fecal nitrogen (FN) for the Yellowstone National Park (YNP) ungulate species. Body mass is shown along the x-axis. Mammal reconstructions are not to scale. Associated post hoc statistics found in Table [1](#page-3-0)





## **Efect of elevation on fecal nitrogen and carbon stable isotope values**

We found a positive association between FN and elevation during summers (summer 2002,  $R^2 = 0.04$ ; summer 2003,  $R^2 = 0.06$ ) and a negative association during winter  $(R<sup>2</sup>=0.08)$ , however, the model did not account for a significant portion of variation (Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0). The association between FN and elevation was signifcant during the winter, but non-signifcant during the summers. We found a negative association between  $\delta^{13}$ C and elevation throughout each season (summer 2002,  $R^2 = 0.06$ ; summer 2003,  $R^2 = 0.01$ ; winter 2004,  $R^2 = 0.05$  $R^2 = 0.05$ ) (Fig. 5), but again, the model did not account for a signifcant portion of variation. The association between  $\delta^{13}$ C and elevation was significant during the summer of 2002 and winter of 2004, but non-signifcant during the summer of 2003.

## **Discussion**

Evaluating the factors that act to reduce interspecifc com petition among ecologically similar species is key to understanding the assembly and evolution of herbivore communities. The JBP represents a major organizing concept in large herbivore ecology and asserts that larger-bodied species will consume greater amounts of relatively lowquality forage, whereas smaller-bodied species selectively consume higher quality diets (Bell [1971](#page-11-5); Jarman [1974](#page-12-2)). In this study we examined the infuence of body size on diet quality and breadth in the ungulate community in YNP. Our aim was to assess the applicability of the JBP in a temper ate C 3-dominant North American ecosystem. With some exceptions, the results support the JBP in showing that diet quality, as indicated by digestibility, declined signifcantly with body size. The results do not, however, support the prediction that body size would infuence dietary breadth.

During two out of three seasons we found a signifcant negative relationship between FN and body mass (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)), indicating that the mean digestibility of diet declined with increasing body mass. This fnding is congruent with the core empirical prediction of the JBP: a negative correlation between diet quality and body size in free-ranging herbi vores. However, the strength of the relationship between body mass and diet quality in the sampled YNP ungulates was strongly infuenced by outliers. This issue is exempli fed when considering the expansive range of variation in FN displayed by mule deer *.* Often characterized as a highly opportunistic browser, this cervid is known to consume a range of resource types of varying digestibility including





<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3**

**3** Seasonal  $\delta^{13}C$  values of the YNP ungulate species

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Mean±standard deviation plots of carbon stable isotope values ( $\delta^{13}$ C) for the Yellowstone National Park (YNP) ungulate species. Body mass is shown along the x-axis. Mammal reconstructions are not to scale. Associated post hoc statistics found in Table [3](#page-6-0)



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 4** Seasonal comparison of intraspecifc FN variation



 $p$ -values shown in bold indicate significance for alpha $=0.05$ 

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Table 5** Seasonal comparison of intraspecific  $\delta^{13}$ C variation

<b>Species</b>	mer 2003		Summer 2002 versus Sum-	ter $2004$		Summer 2002 versus Win-	Summer 2003 versus Winter 2004			
	F	df	$\boldsymbol{p}$	F	df	$\boldsymbol{p}$	F	df	p	
Pronghorn	17.29	44	< 0.01	45.2	29	< 0.01	32.19	43	< 0.01	
Mule deer	0.31	15	0.59	7.98	18	0.01	5.3	17	0.03	
Bighorn sheep	0.22	15	0.64	0.16	16	0.69	0.025	9	0.88	
Elk	0.07	23	0.80	2.19	52	0.15	1.25	37	0.27	
<b>Bison</b>	1.89	31	0.18	13.7	49	< 0.01	0.52	22	0.48	

 $p$ -values shown in bold indicate significance for alpha $=0.05$ 

forbs, shrubs, sedges, fungus, and fruit (Deschamp et al. [1979](#page-12-29); Singer and Norland [1994](#page-14-19); Stewart et al. [2003](#page-14-15)). Further confounding the relationship between body size and diet quality was our fnding that certain species would follow the predicted pattern during one season, but not the other. For example, pronghorn had signifcantly higher FN than many large-bodied species during the winter but had signifcantly lower FN than larger-bodied bighorn sheep and mule deer during both summers. The noisy association between body mass and diet quality that we observed in YNP is characteristic of empirical studies of the JBP (Garnick et al. [2018](#page-12-13); Potter et al. [2022;](#page-13-4) Daskin et al. [2023](#page-12-14)). Of the various



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Association between FN values and elevation. Lines are linear regressions



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Association between carbon stable isotope values  $(\delta^{13}C)$  and elevation. Lines are linear regressions

mechanisms suggested to explain the JBP, the ecological trade-off hypothesis best accommodates the noise and outliers commonly reported. This hypothesis posits that while all herbivores must navigate a diet quantity-quality tradeof, larger herbivores should generally sacrifce quality to a greater extent than smaller herbivores because of their larger absolute nutritional requirements, and because their big bodies make it harder to harvest large amounts of high-quality plants. Species-specifc traits and environmental attributes act to modulate the tendency of these factors to promote a negative body size-diet quality relationship (Potter and Pringle [2023](#page-13-6)).

We found that the negative relationship between diet quality and body mass was stronger during the winter when preferred plant resources became scarce. There were a greater number of signifcant interspecifc diferences in FN during the winter, suggesting an increased level of dietary niche segregation. Further, we found an increase in the range of interspecific mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values during winter, which indicates more pronounced diferences in the plants or plant parts consumed by each species. This pattern of increased dietary niche segregation during the lean season is common across taxa and has been interpreted as interspecifc competition operating on multiple scales (Schoener [1982;](#page-14-22) Gordon and Illius [1989](#page-12-30)). During periods of resource scarcity, selection favours traits that enable species to use certain foods more efficiently than their competitors, and it is those specifc foods that each species will focus their foraging efforts upon. Pansu et al. ([2022](#page-13-29)) report similar fndings from their fecal DNA metabarcoding analysis of large herbivore diet in southeastern Africa. These authors found that food plant partitioning was stronger between species of diferent body size and that the strength of plant partitioning was greater during the dry season when resources were scarce. The mirroring of this pattern in our study of a temperate North American ecosystem lends support to the generalizability of the JBP. Nonetheless, caution is critical when considering the

broadscale applicability of this hypothesis, as highlighted by a recent study of mega- and meso-herbivores in Assam, northeastern India, which found that body size did not explain interspecifc diferences in diet (Devi et al. [2022](#page-12-31)).

Although the results do support a negative allometric relationship between body mass and diet quality, we found little evidence suggesting that body mass infuenced dietary breadth. We predicted that selective feeding by smaller-bodied herbivores on higher-quality foods would lead to a narrower range of FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C values; however, this was not the case for most of the YNP ungulates. In fact, we found that the two species most similar in body mass, bighorn sheep and mule deer*,* collectively displayed both the narrowest and broadest range of variation in FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C values. The lack of association between body mass and dietary breadth observed here accords with the fndings of several recent studies (Kartzinel et al. [2015](#page-12-32); Kartzinel and Pringle [2020;](#page-12-33) Hutchinson et al. [2022](#page-12-34); Daskin et al. [2023\)](#page-12-14). Kartzinel and Pringle ([2020](#page-12-33)) examined the relationship between taxonomic and phylogenetic dietary diversity among large mammalian herbivores in a semi-arid East African savanna and found that body mass did not predict dietary diversity.

Seasonal diferences in the range of intraspecifc FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C variation generally followed predicted environmental patterns. FN variation decreased across species from summer to winter, which accords with the seasonal decrease in protein and favourable mineral concentration of forage plants in temperate environments. The content of hemicellulose in forbs and shrubs generally increases with maturity and the cellulose content of graminoids can increase by up to 50% from summer to winter (Moser et al. [2006](#page-13-30)). Our fndings are congruent with general seasonal trends observed in other wild ungulate species (Verheyden et al. [2011;](#page-14-5) Gálvez-Cerón et al. [2013](#page-12-35); Ramanzin et al. [2017](#page-14-23); Espunyes et al. [2022](#page-12-20)), refecting an increase in diet quality during the spring/summer and decline in fall/winter. Similarly, the observed increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C values from summer to winter is concordant with studies in other temperate  $C_3$ -dominant ecosystems (Metclafe [2021\)](#page-13-31). Metcalfe ([2021](#page-13-31)) examined plant isotopic variability in a boreal mixed woodland ecosystem in Alberta, Canada, and found a  $1\%$  increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C from summer to fall. This author attributes the increase in  $\delta^{13}C$  to a combination of factors including changes in the biochemical compositions of plant tissues, changes in source carbon isotopic compositions, remobilization of nutrients into roots for winter, and early decomposition. Seasonal changes in the diets of a range of large herbivores living in temperate  $C_3$ -dominant ecosystems have been studied, and variation in  $\delta^{13}$ C values are typically relatively small in magnitude (~2) to 3‰ or less; Keilland [2001](#page-13-32); Julien et al. [2012](#page-12-36); Funck et al. [2020\)](#page-12-37), which accords with our fnding of a 1 to 2‰ seasonal difference in the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the YNP ungulates.

Notwithstanding the low levels of intra- and interspecifc variation in  $\delta^{13}$ C, the results do show significant differences between species that accord with observed variations in habitat use within the park. During both summer seasons, mule deer and elk displayed significantly lower  $\delta^{13}$ C than the other sampled species, which is consistent with a habitat preference for wet meadows and coniferous forests. These species share an overlapping annual range and utilize many of same movement corridors within the park (White et al. [2010](#page-14-24); Gogan et al. [2019](#page-12-38)). In contrast, bighorn sheep, bison, and pronghorn shared similarly higher  $\delta^{13}$ C during the summers, which accords with a more open habitat preference. Bison and pronghorn are associated with grassland and shrub-steppe habitats, and bighorn sheep are typically found in open and rocky alpine and subalpine areas (Singer and Norland [1994;](#page-14-19) Yoakum [2004](#page-14-25)). During winter only pronghorn difered signifcantly from the other sampled species, limiting interpretations of diferential habitat use. Pronghorn had significantly higher mean  $\delta^{13}$ C than all other species, which is congruous with overwintering in open low-elevation, windswept areas where snow is less deep and allows for selective foraging on sagebrush (Singer and Norland [1994](#page-14-19); Yoakum [2004](#page-14-25); Boccadori et al. [2008;](#page-11-19) Barnowe-Meyer et al. [2017\)](#page-11-15). We found a negative association between  $\delta^{13}C$  and elevation during each season (Fig. [5\)](#page-8-1). This was unexpected and difers from the positive correlation previously reported for livestock (Männel et al. [2007\)](#page-13-21). Our fndings are congruent with the results of several plant studies conducted in alpine regions of northern Spain (Tornero et al. [2018\)](#page-14-26), central China (Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-13-20), and Mongolia (Makarewicz and Tuross [2006](#page-13-33)). Notably, the negative pattern reported here accords with a recent study of ungulate tissues in the Mongolian Altai that showed a signifcant negative correlation between  $\delta^{13}$ C and grazing altitude (Lazzerini et al. [2021](#page-13-34)). Our results add to the growing body of research demonstrating an association between variations in plant and animal  $\delta^{13}$ C values and elevation. The specific factors influencing this relationship are thought to be site specifc, with several authors proposing that local climatic factors infuence water availability and thereby complicate the relationship between plant  $\delta^{13}$ C and elevation (Morecroft and Woodward [1990](#page-13-16); Liu et al. [2007,](#page-13-35) [2010\)](#page-13-36).

We found seasonal diferences in the association between FN and elevation that generally follow patterns observed in other temperate migratory ungulates (Festa-Bianchet [1988](#page-12-21); Albon and Langvatn [1992](#page-11-7); Sakuragi et al. [2003](#page-14-7)). There was a positive but non-signifcant association between FN and elevation during the summers, and a signifcant negative association during the winter (Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0). These fndings are congruent with the idea that protein content and digestibility of plants are correlated with elevation (Van Soest [1983](#page-14-6)), and that FN can be used to track seasonal changes in foraging elevation (Festa-Bianchet [1988](#page-12-21); Albon and Langvatn [1992](#page-11-7); Sakuragi et al. [2003](#page-14-7)). We found interspecifc diferences in FN that support these interpretations, with bighorn sheep, the only studied species consistently associated with higher elevation habitats, showing signifcantly higher summer FN than pronghorn (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). Similarly, mule deer, which were sampled from a range of higher and lower elevation habitats, also had significantly higher summer FN than pronghorn. These fndings run counter to predictions based on body size and suggest that foraging elevation also infuenced diet quality. Similar results were reported in a study of migratory Sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) in eastern Hokkaido, where authors found a signifcant positive correlation between the summer FN and elevation, indicating that individuals foraging at higher elevations gained access to better quality diets (Sakuragi et al. [2003\)](#page-14-7). During winter the association between FN and elevation was negative, indicating a decrease in diet quality with increasing elevation. This accords with diminished winter plant growth at higher elevations, where snow cover lasts longer and temperatures are lower resulting in decreased access to high protein and low fbre forage (Klein [1970;](#page-13-37) Langvatn and Albon [1986](#page-13-38); Albon and Langvatn [1992](#page-11-7); Sakuragi et al. [2003](#page-14-7)). Each of the studied species were sampled at lower elevations during winter, including bighorn sheep, which seasonally descend from their alpine habitats to overwinter in lower elevation areas of the park. During winter the FN of bighorn sheep was signifcantly lower than pronghorn and mule deer, following the empirical pattern predicted by the JBP (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). While the current study was not explicitly designed to assess spatial diferences in diet quality, our results highlight the challenge of diferentiating between several non-mutually exclusive factors infuencing diet quality in wild ungulates.

In this study we used FN as an indicator of diet quality linked to overall digestibility. It is prudent to again outline factors that have been shown to limit the utility of FN as an indicator of diet quality, and thus temper our interpretations with caution. The relationship between FN and diet quality can be obscured if animals feed on plants rich in secondary compounds, such as tannins, because tannins may inhibit the digestion of protein and fbre which are excreted as non-metabolic nitrogen (Frutos et al. [2004\)](#page-12-39), thus reducing the amount of digestible protein in forage and increasing FN (Kariuki and Norton [2008\)](#page-12-40). This effect has been shown repeatedly in free-ranging herbivores (Leslie et al. [2008](#page-13-8); Verheyden et al. [2011;](#page-14-5) Caprio et al. [2015\)](#page-11-8). We acknowledge that the infuence of tannins could have resulted in elevated FN in both mule deer and elk, as both species are known to seasonally consume plants and plant parts that can be high in tannins (Deschamp et al. [1979;](#page-12-29) Singer and Norland [1994](#page-14-19)). However, even if mule deer and elk are excluded from consideration*,* the negative association between body size and diet quality remains amongst the other YNP ungulates. Interspecifc diferences in FN between bison, bighorn sheep, and pronghorn generally follow diferences body size. Another cause for caution in the interpretation of FN was recently highlighted in an environmentally controlled study of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). Čupić et al. ([2021](#page-12-23)) showed that differences in factors such as age, body mass, sex, reproductive status, and body condition were associated with high levels of variation in FN. Because these factors cannot always be readily assessed when studying free-ranging ungulates, interpretations of FN as a measure of diet quality can be misleading. While we did generally control for age by collecting samples from adults, we did not assess specifc body mass, sex, reproductive status, or body condition. Finally, we note that while FN and  $\delta^{13}C$  can provide important information about the plant types and even plant parts consumed by herbivores, neither proxy affords the determination of taxonomic identity. Without this level of resolution, we are unable to assess cryptic niche diferences and the degree to which individual specialization is acting to increase the populations dietary niche breadth. With this in mind, we suggest that future research examining trophic niche dynamics among the YNP ungulates include fecal DNA metabarcoding. This method of analysis has proven highly efective for elucidating fnite dietary diferences and untangling the various factors infuencing dietary niche diferentiation (Kartzinel and Pringle [2020;](#page-12-33) Devi et al. [2022;](#page-12-31) Hutchinson et al. [2022;](#page-12-34) Pansu et al. [2022](#page-13-29); Potter et al. [2022;](#page-13-4) Walker et al. [2023](#page-14-27); Daskin et al. [2023\)](#page-12-14).

## **Conclusions**

Investigating the factors that promote coexistence between ecologically similar species is key to understanding community diversity and function. The JBP presents a trade-of between diet quality and quantity as an axis for dietary niche segregation and has often been put forth as a mechanism facilitating species coexistence (Jarman and Sinclair [1979;](#page-12-0) Kleyhans et al. [2011](#page-13-7); Owen-Smith et al. [2017\)](#page-13-39). Our study used FN and  $\delta^{13}C$  values to evaluate the influence of body size on patterns of dietary niche segregation within the ungulate community in Yellowstone National Park. We specifcally tested the infuence of body mass on diet quality and breadth. The results generally follow the empirical pattern predicted by the JBP in showing that diet quality, as indicated by digestibility, declined signifcantly with increasing body mass. We found that the relationship between diet quality and body mass was more pronounced during the winter when resources were scarce. There were also a greater number of signifcant interspecifc diferences in diet quality during the winter. These fndings accord with the interpretation that periods of resource scarcity favour the selection of traits that enable species to use certain foods more efficiently than their competitors.

We found little evidence indicating that dietary breadth scaled with body mass. In fact, the two species most similar in body mass displayed the greatest and least range of variation in both FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C values. Seasonal differences in the range of intraspecific FN and  $\delta^{13}$ C variation generally followed predicted environmental patterns. We found a positive relationship between diet quality and elevation during the summer and a negative relationship during winter. These fndings accord with previous studies of migratory ungulates in temperate environments. While interspecific differences in  $\delta^{13}$ C were relatively small in magnitude, signifcant diferences between species are in line with observed variations in habitat use. Identifying signifcant, albeit noisy, empirical support for the JBP in a temperate North American ecosystem serves to extend the environmental and taxonomic generalizability of one of the most important organizing principles in large herbivore ecology. Our results underscore the importance of body size as a factor facilitating dietary niche segregation and promoting coexistence among ecologically similar ungulate species.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42991-024-00419-1>.

**Acknowledgements** We thank C. Hendrix, L. Cleveland, D. Smith, P. White, R. Wallen, and M. Biel of the National Park Service for their help and advice in conducting feldwork and collecting samples in Yellowstone National Park. We thank E.B. Davis, R.J. Feranec, and M. Feranec for help in the feld. Finally, we thank the Associate Editor M. Clauss, reviewer R.M. Pringle, and an anonymous reviewer for their time spent on our manuscript. Your insightful comments greatly improved the quality of this work. Funding and support were provided by the University of California (UC) Department of Integrative Biology, UC Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, UC Museum of Paleontology, Saint Mary's University, the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, the Geological Society of America, and NSF 0308770

**Author contributions** LCE developed study, RSF conducted feldwork and collected data, LCE analyzed data and wrote manuscript with editorial input from RSF.

**Funding** This research was supported by funding from the University of California (UC) Department of Integrative Biology, UC Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, UC Museum of Paleontology, Saint Mary's University, the Geological Society of America, the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, and NSF 0308770. Logistical support provided by Saint Mary's University and the New York State Museum.

**Availability of data and materials** All data are provided in the manuscript or supplemental online materials.

**Code availability** Not applicable.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

**Consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Ethics approval** Not applicable.

## **References**

- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Albon SD, Langvatn R (1992) Plant phenology and the benefts of migration in a temperate ungulate. Oikos 65:502–513
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Arman S, Prideaux G (2015) Dietary classifcation of extant kangaroos and their relatives (Marsupialia: Macropodoidea). Austral Ecol 40:909–922
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Badeck FW, Tcherkez G, Nogues S, Piel C, Ghashghaie J (2005) Post– photosynthetic fractionation of stable carbon isotopes between plant organs—a widespread phenomenon. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 19:1381–1391
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Barnowe-Meyer K, White PJ, Davis T, Treanor J, Byers J (2017) Seasonal foraging strategies of migrant and non-migrant pronghorn in Yellowstone National Park. Northwestern Nat 98:82–90
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Bell RHV (1970) The use of the herb layer by grazing ungulates in the Serengeti. In: Watson A (ed) Animal population in relation to their food resources. Blackwell Scientifc, Singapore, pp 111–123
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Bell RHV (1971) A grazing system in the Serengeti. Sci Am 225:86–93
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Belovsky GE (1986) Generalist herbivore foraging and its role in competitive interactions. Am Zool 26:51–69
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Berger J, Peacock M (1988) Variability in size-weight relationships of *Bison bison*. J Mammal 69:618–624
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Bleich V, Stephenson TR, Holste NJ, Snyder IC, Marshal JP, McGrath PW, Pierce BM (2003) Effects of tooth extraction on body condition and preproduction of mule deer. Wildl Soc Bull 31:233–236
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Boccadori SJ, White PJ, Garrotte RA, Borkowski JJ, Davis TL (2008) Yellowstone pronghorn alter resource selection after sagebrush decline. J Mammal 89:1031–1040
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Boyce MS (2018) Wolves for Yellowstone: dynamics in time and space. J Mammal 99:1021–1031
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Carpio AJ, Guerrero-Casado J, Ruiz-Aizpurua L, Tortosa FS, Vicente J (2015) Interpreting fecal nitrogen as a non-invasive indicator of diet quality and body condition in contexts of high ungulate density. Eur J Wildl Res 61:557–562
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Cassirer EF, Freddy DJ, Ables ED (1992) Elk responses to disturbance by cross-country skiers in Yellowstone National Park. Wildl Soc Bull 20:375–381
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Cerling TE, Harris JM (1999) Carbon isotope fractionation between diet and bioapatite in ungulate mammals and implications for ecological and paleoecological studies. Oecologia 120:347–363
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Cerling TE, Harris JM, Passey BH (2003) Diets of East African Bovidae based on stable isotope analysis. J Mammal 84:456–470
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Cerling TE, Hart JA, Hart TB (2004) Stable isotope ecology in the Ituri Forest. Oecologia 138:5–12
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Cernusak LA, Tcherkez G, Keitel C, Cornwell WK, Santiago LS, Knohl A, Barbour MM, Williams DG, Reich PB, Ellsworth DS, Dawson TE, Grifths HG, Farquhar GD, Wright IJ (2009) Viewpoint: why are non-photosynthetic tissues generally <sup>13</sup>C enriched compared with leaves in  $C_3$  plants? Review and synthesis of current hypotheses. Funct Plant Biol 36:199–213
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Chevillat VS, Siegwolf RTW, Pepin S, Körner C (2005) Tissue-specifc variation of  $\delta^{13}$ C in mature canopy trees in a temperate forest in central Europe. Basic Appl Ecol 6:519–534
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Clauss M, Schwarm A, Ortmann S, Streich WJ, Hummel J (2007) A case of non-scaling in mammalian physiology? Body size, digestive capacity, food intake, and ingesta passage in mammalian herbivores. Comp Biochem Physiol B 148:249–265
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Clauss M, Steuer P, Müller DWH, Codron D, Hummel J (2013) Herbivory and body size: allometries of diet quality and

gastrointestinal physiology, and implications for herbivore ecology and dinosaur gigantism. PLoS ONE 8:e68714

- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Codron D (2019) Evolution of large mammal herbivores in savannas. In: Scogings PF, Sankaran M (eds) Savanna woody plants and large herbivores. Wiley, London, pp 213–243
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Codron D, Codron J (2009) Reliability of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N in faeces for reconstructing savanna herbivore diet. Mamm Biol 74:36–48
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Codron D, Lee-Thorp JA, Sponheimer M, Codron J, Brink JS (2007) Signifcance of diet type and diet quality for ecological diversity of African ungulates. J Anim Ecol 76:526–537
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Codron J, Lee-Thorp JA, Sponheimer M, Codron D (2013) Plant stable isotope composition across habitat gradients in a semiarid savanna: implications for environmental reconstruction. J Quat Sci 28:301–310
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Čupić S, García AJ, Holá M, Ceacero F (2021) Evaluation of factors inducing variability of faecal nutrients in captive red deer under variable demands. Sci Rep 11:2394
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Daskin JH, Becker JA, Kartzinel TR, Potter AB, Walker RH, Eriksson FAA, Buoncore C, Getraer A, Long RA, Pringle RM (2023) Allometry of behavior and niche diferentiation among congeneric African antelopes. Ecol Monogr 93:1549
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Demment MW, Van Soest PJ (1985) A nutritional explanation for body-size patterns of ruminant and non-ruminant herbivores. Am Nat 125:641–672
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Deschamp JA, Urness PJ, Austin DD (1979) Summer diets of mule deer from lodgepole pine habitats. J Wildl Manage 43:154–161
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Despain DG (1990) Yellowstone vegetation. Robert Reinharts Inc, London, pp 239–240
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Devi A, Hussain SA, Sharma M, Gopi GV, Badola R (2022) Seasonal pattern of food habits of large herbivores in riverine alluvial grasslands of Brahmaputra foodplains. Assam Sci Rep 12:482
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Dittmann MT, Runge U, Ortmann S, Lang RA, Moser D, Galeffi C, Schwarm A, Kreuzer M, Clauss M (2015) Digesta retention patterns of solutes and diferent-sized particles in camelids compared with ruminants and other foregut fermenters. J Comp Physiol B 185:559–573
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>du Toit JT, Olf H (2014) Generalities in grazing and browsing ecology: using across-guild comparisons to control contingencies. Oecologia 174:1075–1083
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Espunyes J, Serrano E, Chaves S, Bartolomé J, Menaut P, Albanell E, Marchand P, Foulché K, Garel M (2022) Positive efect of spring advance on the diet quality of an alpine herbivore. Integr Zool 17:78–92
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Feranec RS (2007) Stable carbon isotope values reveal evidence of resource partitioning among ungulates from modern C<sub>3</sub>-dominated ecosystems in North America. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 252:575–585
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Festa-Bianchet M (1988) Seasonal range selection in bighorn sheep: conficts between forage quality, forage quantity, and predator avoidance. Oecologia 75:580–586
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Francey R, Farquhar G (1982) An explanation of  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  variations in tree rings. Nature 297:28–31
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Fritz H, Loison A (2006) Large herbivores across biomes. In: Danell K, Duncan P, Bergström R, Pastor J (eds) Large herbivore ecology, ecosystem dynamics and conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 19–49
- <span id="page-12-39"></span>Frutos P, Hervas G, Giraldez FJ, Mantecon AR (2004) Review. Tannins and ruminant nutrition. Span J Agric Res 2:191–202
- <span id="page-12-37"></span>Funck J, Kellam C, Seaton CT, Wooller MJ (2020) Stable isotopic signatures in modern wood bison (*Bison bison athabascae*) hairs as telltale biomarkers of nutritional stress. Can J Zool 98:505–514
- <span id="page-12-35"></span>Gálvez-Cerón A, Serrano E, Bartolomé J, Mentaberre G, Fernández-Aguilar X, Fernández-Sirera L, Navarro-González N, Gassó G, López-Olvera JR, Lavín S, Marco I, Albanell E (2013) Predicting seasonal and spatial variations in diet quality of Pyrenean

chamois (*Rupicapra pyrenaica pyrenaica*) using near infrared refectance spectroscopy. Eur J Wildl Res 59:115–121

- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Gálvez-Cerón A, Gassó D, López-Olvera JR, Mentaberre G, Bartolomé J, Marco I, Ferrer D, Rossi L, Garel M, Lavín S, Clauss M, Serrano E (2015) Gastrointestinal nematodes and dietary fbre: two factors to consider when using FN for wildlife nutrition monitoring. Ecol Indic 52:161–169
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Garnick S, Di Stefano J, Moore BD, Davis NE, Elgar MA, Coulson G (2018) Interspecifc and intraspecifc relationships between body mass and diet quality in a macropodid community. J Mammal 99:428–439
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Ghashghaie J, Badeck FW (2014) Opposite carbon isotope discrimination during dark respiration in leaves versus roots—a review. New Phytol 201:751–769
- <span id="page-12-38"></span>Gogan P, Klaver RW, Olexa E (2019) Northern Yellowstone mule deer seasonal movement, habitat selection, and survival patterns. West N Am Nat 79:403–427
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Gordon IJ, Illius AW (1989) Resource partitioning by ungulates on the Isle of Rhum. Oecologia 79:383–389
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Gordon IJ, Illius AW (1994) The functional signifcance of the browsergrazer dichotomy in African ruminants. Oecologia 2:167–175
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Hare VJ, Loftus E, Jeffrey A, Ramsey CB (2018) Atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ efect on stable carbon isotope composition of terrestrial fossil archives. Nat Commun 9:252
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Hobbs NT, Hanley TA (1990) Habitat evaluation: do use/availability data refect carrying capacity? J Wildl Manag 54:515–522
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Hofmann RR (1989) Evolutionary steps of ecophysical adaptation and diversifcation of ruminants: a comparative view of their digestive system. Oecologia 78:443–457
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Hofmann RR, Stewart DRM (1972) Grazer or browser: a classifcation based on the stomach structure and feeding habits of east African ruminants. Mammalia 36:226–240
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Holecheck JL, Vavra M, Pieper RD (1982) Methods for determining the nutritive quality of range ruminant diets: a review. J Anim Sci 54:363–376
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Hopcraft JG, Olf H, Sinclair ARE (2010) Herbivores, resources and risks: alternating regulation along primary environmental gradients in savannas. Trends Ecol Evol 25:119–128
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Hutchinson MC, Dobson AP, Pringle RM (2022) Dietary abundance distributions: dominance and diversity in vertebrate diets. Ecol Lett 25:992–1008
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Illius AW, Gordon IJ (1987) The allometry of food intake in grazing ruminants. J Anim Ecol 56:989–999
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Jarman PJ (1974) The social organisation of antelope in relation to their ecology. Behaviour 48:215–266
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Jarman PJ, Sinclair ARE (1979) Feeding strategy and the pattern of resource partitioning in ungulates. In: Sinclair ARE, Norton-Grifths M (eds) Serengeti: dynamics of an ecosystem. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 130–163
- <span id="page-12-36"></span>Julien MA, Bocherens H, Burke A, Drucker DG, Patou-Mathis M, Krotova O, Péan S (2012) Were European steppe bison migratory?  ${}^{18}O$ ,  ${}^{13}C$  and Sr intra-tooth isotopic variations applied to a palaeoethological reconstruction. Quat Int 271:106–119
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Kaiser TM, Muller DWH, Fortelius M, Schulz E, Codron D, Clauss M (2013) Hypsodonty and tooth facet development in relation to diet and habitat in herbivorous ungulates: implications for understanding tooth wear. Mammal Rev 43:34–46
- <span id="page-12-40"></span>Kariuki IW, Norton BW (2008) The digestion of dietary protein bound by condensed tannins in the gastro-intestinal tract of sheep. Anim Feed Sci Technol 142:197–209
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Kartzinel TR, Pringle RM (2020) Multiple dimensions of dietary diversity in large mammalian herbivores. J Anim Ecol 89:1482–1496
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Kartzinel TR, Chen PA, Coverdale TC, Erickson DL, Kress WJ, Kuzmina ML, Pringle RM (2015) DNA metabarcoding illuminates dietary niche partitioning by African large herbivores. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:8019–8024
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Kielland K (2001) Stable isotope signatures of moose in relation to seasonal forage composition: a hypothesis. Alces 37:329–337
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Klein DR (1970) Food selection by North American deer and their response to over utilization of preferred plant species. In: Watson A (ed) Animal populations in relation to their food sources. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 25–46
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Kleynhans EJ, Jolles AE, Bos MRE, Olf H (2011) Resource partitioning along multiple niche dimensions in diferently sized African savanna grazers. Oikos 120:591–600
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Krigbaum J, Berger MH, Daegling DJ, McGraw WS (2013) Stable isotope canopy efects for sympatric monkeys at Taï Forest. Côte D'ivoire Biol Lett 9:20130466
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Lajtha K, Michener RH (1994) Stable isotopes in ecology and environmental science. Blackwell, London, pp 22–44
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Lamprey HF (1963) Ecological separation of the large mammal species in the Tarangire Game Reserve, Tanganyika. Afr J Ecol 1:63–92
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Lancaster RJ (1949) The measurement of feed intake by grazing cattle and sheep: a method of calculating the digestibility of pasture based on the nitrogen content of faeces derived from the pasture. N Z J Sci Technol 31:31–38
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Langvatn R, Albon SD (1986) Geographic clines in body weight of Norwegian red deer, a novel explanation of Bergmann's rule? Ecography 9:285–293
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Lazagabaster IA, Rowan J, Kamilar JM, Reed KE (2016) Evolution of craniodental correlates of diet in African Bovidae. J Mamm Evol 23:385–396
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Lazzerini N, Coulon A, Simon L, Marchina C, Fiorillo D, Turbat T, Bayarkhu N, Lepetz S, Zazzo A (2021) The isotope record of  $(\delta^{13}C, \delta^{18}O)$  of vertical mobility in incremental tissues (tooth enamel, hair) of modern livestock: a reference set from the Mongolian Altai. Quat Int 595:128–144
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Leite ER, Stuth JW (1995) Faecal NIRS equations to assess diet quality of free ranging goats. Small Ruminant Res 15:223–230
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Leslie DM, Bowyer RT, Jenks J (2008) Facts from feces: nitrogen still measures up as a nutritional index for mammalian herbivores. J Wildl Manage 72:1420–1433
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Li C, Zhang X, Liu X, Luukkanen O, Berninger F (2006) Leaf morphological and physiological responses of *Quercus aquifolioides* along an altitudinal gradient. Silva Fenn 40:5–13
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Li M, Liu H, Li L, Yi X, Zhu X (2007) Carbon isotope composition of plants along altitudinal gradient and its relationship to environmental factors on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Pol J Ecol 55:67–78
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Li J, Wang G, Liu X, Han J, Liu M, Liu X (2009) Variations in carbon isotope ratios of C3 plants and distribution of C4 plants along an altitudinal transect on the eastern slope of Mount Gongga. Sci China Earth Sci 52:1714–1723
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Liu X, Zhao L, Gasaw M, Gao D, Qin D, Ren J (2007) Foliar  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}$ N values of C<sub>3</sub> plants in the Ethiopia Rift Valley and their environmental controls. Sci Bull 52:1265–1273
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>Liu X, Ma J, Sun W, Cui Y, Duan Z (2010) Advances in mechanisms underlying the responses of  $\delta^{13}$ C in alpine plants to the altitudinal gradients. J Mt Sci 1:37–46
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Liu X, Gao C, Su Q, Zhang Y, Song Y (2016) Altitudinal trends in δ13C value, stomatal density and nitrogen content of Pinus tabuliformis needles on the southern slope of the middle Qinling Mountains, China. J Mt Sci 13:1066–1077
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Lüttge U (2004) Ecophysiology of crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM). Ann Bot 93:629–652
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>MacArthur RH, Levins R (1967) The limiting similarity, convergence, and divergence of coexisting species. Am Nat 101:377–386
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Macfadden BJ, Cerling TE, Harris JM, Prado J (1999) Ancient latitudinal gradients of  $C_3/C_4$  grasses interpreted from stable isotopes of New World Pleistocene horse (Equus) teeth. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 8:137–149
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Makarewicz C, Tuross N (2006) Foddering by Mongolian pastoralists is recorded in the stable carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) isotopes of caprine dentinal collagen. J Archaeol Sci 33:862–870
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Männel TT, Auerswald K, Schnyder H (2007) Altitudinal gradients of grassland carbon and nitrogen isotope composition are recorded in the hair of grazers. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 16:583–592
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Marston RA, Anderson JE (1991) Watersheds and vegetation of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. Biol Conserv 5:338–346
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>McNaughton SJ, Georgiadis NJ (1986) Ecology of African grazing and browsing mammals. Annu Rev Ecol Ecol Syst 17:39–65

<span id="page-13-26"></span>Meagher M (1986) Bison bison. Mamm Species 266:1–8

- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Merrill EH, Boyce MS (1991) Summer range and elk population dynamics in Yellowstone National Park. In: Keiter RB, Boyce MS (eds) The Greater Yellowstone ecosystem: redefning America's wildness heritage. Yale University Press, Yale, pp 262–273
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Metcalfe JZ (2021) C3 plant isotopic variability in a boreal mixed woodland: implications for bison and other herbivores. PeerJ 9:12167
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Metcalfe JZ, Mead JI (2019) Do uncharred plants preserve original carbon and nitrogen isotope compositions? J Archaeol Method Theory 26:844–872
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Mitchell GJ (1980) The pronghorn antelope in Alberta. Gulf Canada Resources Inc, London, p 22
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Morecroft MD, Woodward FI (1990) Experimental investigations on the environmental determination of δ13C at diferent altitudes. J Exp Bot 41:1303–1308
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Moser B, Schütz M, Hindenlang KE (2006) Importance of alternative food resources for browsing by roe deer on deciduous trees: the role of food availability and species quality. For Ecol Manag 226:248–255
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Müller DWH, Codron D, Meloro C, Munn AJ, Scharm A (2013) Assessing the Jarman–Bell principle: scaling of intake, digestibility, retention time and gut fll with body mass in mammalian herbivores. Comp Biochem Physiol A 164:129–140
- <span id="page-13-39"></span>Owen-Smith N, Cromsight JP, Arsenault R (2017) Megaherbivores, competition and coexistence within the large herbivore guild. In: Cromsigt JPGM, Archibald S, Owen-Smith N (eds) Conserving Africa's mega-diversity in the Anthropocene. The HluhluweiMfolozi Park Story, pp 111–134
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Pansu J, Hutchinson MC, Anderson TM, te Beest M, Begg CM, Begg KS, Bonin A, Chama L, Chamaillé-Jammes S, Coissac E, Cromsigt JPGM, Demmel MY, Donaldson JE, Guyton JA, Hansen CB, Imakando CI, Iqbal A, Kalima DF, Kerley GIH, Kurukura S, Landman M, Long RA, Munuo IN, Nutter CM, Parr CL, Potter AB, Siachoono S, Taberlet P, Waiti E, Kartzinel TR, Pringle RM (2022) The generality of cryptic dietary niche diferences in diverse large-herbivore assemblages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 119:e2204400119
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Pelletier F, Festa-Bianchet M (2004) Effect of body mass, age, dominance and parasite load on foraging time of bighorns rams, *Ovis canadensis*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 56:546–551
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Poissant J, Wilson AJ, Festa-Bianchet M, Hogg JT, Coltman DW (2008) Quantitative genetics and sex-specific selection on sexually dimorphic traits in bighorn sheep. Proc R Soc B 275:623–628
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Potter AB, Pringle RM (2023) Revisiting the Jarman–Bell principle. In: Prins HHT, Gordon IA (eds) The equids: a suite of splendid species. Springer, London, pp 171–207
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Potter AB, Hutchinson MC, Pansu J, Wursten B, Long RA, Levine JM, Pringle RM (2022) Mechanisms of dietary resource partitioning in large-herbivore assemblages: a plant-trait-based approach. J Ecol 110:817–832
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Quade J, Cerling T, Barry J, Morgan M, Pilbeam DR, Chivas A, Lee-Thorp JA, van der Merwe N (1992) A 16-Ma record of paleodiet using carbon and oxygen isotopes in fossil teeth from Pakistan. Chem Geol 3:183–192
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Ramanzin M, Párraga Aguado MA, Ferragina A, Sturaro E, Semenzato P, Serrano E, Clauss M, Albanell E, Cassini R, Bittante G (2017) Methodological considerations for the use of faecal nitrogen to assess diet quality in ungulates: the alpine ibex as a case study. Ecol Indic 82:399–408
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Ripple WJ, Beschta RL (2012) Trophic cascades in Yellowstone: the first 15 years after wolf reintroduction. Biol Conserv 145:205–213
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Sage RF, Wedin DA, Li M (1999) The biogeography of C4 photosynthesis: patterns and controlling factors. C4 plant biology. Academic Press, London, pp 313–373
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Sakuragi M, Igota H, Uno H, Kaji K, Kaneko M, Akamatsu R, Maekawa K (2003) Beneft of migration in a female sika deer population in eastern Hokkaido, Japan. Ecol Res 18:347–354
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Schoener TW (1974) Resource partitioning in ecological communities. Science 185:27–39
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Schoener TW (1982) The controversy over interspecifc competition. Am Sci 70:586–595
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Schwarm A, Schweigert M, Ortmann S, Hummel J, Janssens GPJ, Streich WJ, Clauss M (2009) No easy solution for the fractionation of faecal nitrogen in captive wild herbivores: results of a pilot study. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr 93:596–605
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Sensenig RL, Demment MW, Laca EA (2010) Allometric scaling predicts preferences for burned patches in a guild of East African grazers. Ecology 91:2898–2907
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Singer F, Norland J (1994) Niche relationships within a guild of ungulate species in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, following release from artifcial controls. Can J Zool 72:1383–1394
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Smith DW, Ferguson G (2012) Decade of the wolf, revised and updated: returning the wild to Yellowstone. Globe Pequot Press/ Lyon Press, London/New York, pp 125–143
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Steuer P, Südekum KH, Tütken T, Müller D, Kaandorp J, Bucher M, Clauss M, Hummel J (2014) Does body mass convey a digestive advantage for large herbivores? Funct Ecol 28:1127–1134
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Stewart KM, Bowyer RT, Kie JG, Dick BL, Ben-David M (2003) Niche partitioning among mule deer, elk, and cattle: Do stable isotopes refect dietary niche? Ecoscience 10:297–302
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Still CJ, Berry JA, Collatz GJ, DeFries RS (2003) The global distribution of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  vegetation: carbon cycle implications. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 17:GB1006
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Stowe LG, Teeri JA (1978) The geographic distribution of  $C_4$  species of the Dicotyledonae in relation to climate. Am Nat 112:609–623
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Teeri JA, Stowe LG (1976) Climatic patterns and the distribution of  $C_4$ grasses in North America. Oecologia 23:1–12
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Tejada JV, Flynn J, Antoine PO, Cerling TE (2020) Comparative isotope ecology of western Amazonian rainforest mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:26263–26272
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Tieszen LL (1991) Natural variations in the carbon isotope values of plants: implications for archaeology, ecology, and paleoecology. J Archaeol Sci 18:227–248
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Tieszen LL (1994) Stable isotopes on the plains: vegetation analyses and diet determinations. In: Owsley DW, Jantz RL (eds) Skeletal biology in the Great Plains: migration, warfare, health, and subsistence. Smithsonian Institution Press, London, pp 261–282
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Tornero C, Aguilera M, Ferrio JP, Arcusa H, Moreno-Garcia M, Garcia-Reig S, Rojo-Guerra M (2018) Vertical sheep mobility along the altitudinal gradient through stable isotope analyses in tooth molar bioapatite, meteoric water and pastures: a reference from the Ebro valley to the Central Pyrenees. Quat Int 484:94–106
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Urton EJM, Hobson KA (2005) Intrapopulation variation in gray wolf isotope ( $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ ) profiles: implications for the ecology of individuals. Oecologia 145:317–326
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>van der Merwe NJ, Medina E (1991) The canopy efect, carbon isotope ratios and food webs in Amazonia. J Archaeol Sci 3:249–259
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Van Soest PJ (1983) Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant, 2nd edn. O&B Books, Cornwallis
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Verheyden H, Aubry L, Merlet J, Petibon P, Chauveau-Duriot B, Guillon N, Duncan P (2011) Fecal nitrogen, an index of diet quality in roe deer *Capreolus capreolus*? Wildl Biol 17:166–175
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Waddington JCB, Wright HE (1974) Late Quaternary vegetational changes on the east side of Yellowstone Park, Wyoming. Quat Res 2:175–184
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Walker RH, Hutchinson MC, Potter AB, Becker JA, Long RA, Pringle RM (2023) Mechanisms of individual variation in large herbivore diets: roles of spatial heterogeneity and state-dependent foraging. Ecology 104:3921
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Wehausen JD (1995) Fecal measures of diet quality in wild and domestic ruminants. J Wildl Manage 59:816–823
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>White PJ, Proffitt KM, Mech LD, Evans SB, Cunningham JA, Hamlin KL (2010) Migration of northern Yellowstone elk: implications of spatial structuring. J Mammal 91:827–837
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Yoakum JD (2004) Foraging ecology, diet studies and nutrient values. In: O'Gara BW, Yoakum JD (eds) Pronghorn ecology and management. University Press of Colorado, New York, pp 447–502

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.