**ORIGINAL PAPER** 



# Development of dual stress-tolerant rice variety Jyothi with *Saltol* and *Sub1* genes through marker-assisted backcross breeding

Deepa John<sup>1</sup> · P. C. Rohini<sup>1</sup> · K. S. Shylaraj<sup>1</sup>

Received: 25 May 2023 / Accepted: 4 August 2023 / Published online: 4 October 2023 © Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt. 2023

#### Abstract

Abiotic stresses such as salinity, submergence, drought and extreme temperatures greatly affect rice production worldwide. Marker-assisted selection was effectively used to introgress QTLs for salinity tolerance (*Saltol*) and submergence tolerance (*Sub1*) into the background of elite rice variety Jyothi. *Saltol* introgressed BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> lines of Jyothi with 79.3% of recipient parent genome was crossed with *Sub1* introgressed BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> lines of Jyothi showing 95.9% of parent genome recovery. F<sub>1</sub> progenies were screened with *Saltol* and *Sub1* linked foreground markers and recombinant markers. F<sub>1</sub> heterozygous plants were selfed to produce F<sub>2</sub> generation. F<sub>2</sub> progenies homozygous for both the loci were selected. Phenotypic screening for salinity and submergence tolerance was performed to validate the introgressed genes. The plants able to tolerate both salinity and submergence stresses were selected for selfing to raise F<sub>3</sub> progenies. The pyramided lines were also similar to recurrent parent in agro-morphological and grain quality traits.

Keywords Rice  $\cdot$  Abiotic stress  $\cdot$  Saltol QTL  $\cdot$  Sub1 gene  $\cdot$  Marker-assisted selection

# Introduction

Rice is the major food crop in Asian countries. The total production of rice in India has increased to 103.75 million tons in 2020-21 (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2nd advance estimates 2020-21). Salinity and submergence are the two major abiotic stresses greatly affecting rice production worldwide. Global warming and the resulting climate change lead to intrusion of seawater to coastal ecosystem and make wet lands salt affected and unsuitable for rice production (Wassmann et al. 2009; Thuy and Anh 2015). A total of 6.74 million hectare of land in India are affected by salinity resulting in huge economic loss (Biswas and Biswas 2014). According to a talk by V. Selvam, executive director of M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation organized by Earth Journalism Network and The Third Pole, sea level is expected to rise by 16 and 32 cm by 2050 and 2100, respectively. Kerala state with a coastline of 590 km experiences the problem

Communicated by P. Stephen Baenziger.

Deepa John shylarajks@gmail.com of saline soils and also serious problems of water logging as they are situated below the mean sea level (Nambiar and Raveendran 2009). Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop rice varieties tolerant to several abiotic stresses.

Progress in the field of molecular biology, genetics, biochemistry and bioinformatics has led to the detailed study of major QTLs linked to salinity and submergence tolerance. These QTLs can be successfully introgressed into high yielding rice varieties for cultivation in problem soils (Ismail et al. 2007). Marker-assisted backcrossing is the most effective and economical way to introgress the desired trait while retaining the essential characters of elite rice varieties. The use of molecular markers in MAB greatly enhances the selection process by reducing the time period as compared to conventional breeding (Tanksley et al. 1989; Hospital 2003). The markers used in MAB include foreground, recombinant and background markers. Foreground markers are those that are within the locus and recombinant markers are the markers flanking the desired locus which will minimize the linkage drag. Background markers are used to accelerate the recovery of recurrent parent genome (Collard and Mackill 2008; Hasan et al. 2015).

The successful development of elite breeding rice varieties involves transfer of desirable genes from multiple parents. This process is called gene pyramiding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rice Research Station, Kerala Agriculture University, Vyttila, Kerala, India

MAS-based gene pyramiding offers great opportunity to transfer multiple stress-tolerance genes into one single variety (Joshi and Nayak 2010). The developed variety expresses the introgressed genes from multiple parents simultaneously.

A major QTL for salt tolerance was mapped on chromosome 1 by using an F8 recombinant inbred line (RIL) of a Pokkali/IR29 cross (Bonilla et al. 2002). The mapping of *Saltol* QTL provided the opportunity to develop many salt tolerant rice varieties through introgression of this QTL using MAB (Vu et al.2012; Linh et al.2012; Babu et al.2017; Quan et al.2018). Fine mapping, positional cloning, transformation, expression and validation of the QTL conferring submergence tolerance *Sub1* located on chromosome 9, facilitated the introgression of this QTL into several high yielding rice varieties (Bailey et al. 2010; Collard et al. 2013; Mackill et al. 2012; Rahman et al. 2018).

In the present work, *Saltol* and *Sub1* QTLs from FL-478 and Swarna Sub1 donor parents, respectively, were transferred into the background of most popular rice variety of Kerala, Jyothi through marker-assisted gene pyramiding. Simultaneous and stepwise gene pyramiding scheme was followed. The newly developed rice variety Jyothi, which is tolerant to both the abiotic stresses, can be successfully cultivated in the low-lying coastal areas.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Plant material**

Fl-478 and Swarna Sub1 were used as donors of saline tolerance and submergence tolerance, respectively. The recurrent parent Jyothi is the most popular rice variety of Kerala but sensitive to salinity and submergence. Simultaneous and stepwise gene pyramiding pattern was followed. The schematic representation of hybridization detail is given in Fig. 1.

### **DNA isolation and quantification**

DNA was extracted from young leaf tissues following modified CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1987) and dissolved in 1X TE buffer. DNA was quantified spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Scientific), and the concentration was adjusted to 25 ng/ $\mu$ l for use in polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

## SSR marker analysis

PCR amplification was carried out in a total volume of  $20 \,\mu$ l containing a final concentration of  $25 \,\text{ng}$  of genomic DNA, 1X Taq Buffer with 2.5-mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 400  $\mu$ M of dNTPs, 1 unit of Taq polymerase enzyme and 0.4- $\mu$ M each of forward and reverse primers. The PCR program involved an



Fig. 1 Breeding scheme for gene pyramiding

initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 31 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 5 min), annealing at 55–65 °C depending on the GC content of the primers for 40 s and extension at 72 °C for 40 s. PCR reactions were carried out in Thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Veriti 96-well thermocycler). SSR markers linked to *Saltol* and *Sub1* were used for foreground screening. The markers flanking on either side of the *Saltol* and *Sub1* locus were used for recombinant selection. The details of primers used are given in Table 1.

Genome-wide SSR markers were used for recurrent parent genome recovery analysis. The marker data were analyzed using the software Graphical Genotyper (GGT2.0). The homozygous recipient allele, homozygous donor allele and heterozygous allele were scored as 'A,' 'B' and 'H,' respectively. Recurrent parent genome recovery in the selected *Saltol and Sub1* introgressed  $F_2$  lines of Jyothi was calculated using the statistics function of GGT 2.0 software.

#### Phenotypic screening

#### Screening for salinity tolerance

The rice varieties under study were subjected to phenotypic screening for salinity tolerance at seedling stage using hydroponics based on the standard protocol of IRRI, Manila, Philippines (Gregorio et al. 1997). The set up was prepared with monolayer plastic tubs and trays, plastic net sheets along with fabricated seedling floats (acrylic make) with holes. Two pregerminated seeds were sown per hole on the seedling float. The sheet was floated in distilled water for 3 days. After 3 days, the distilled water was replaced with

 Table 1
 SSR markers used for foreground and recombinant selection

Marker	Type of marker	Abiotic stress resistance	Chromosome
AP3206	Foreground	Salinity	1
RM3412b	Foreground	Salinity	1
SUB1BC2	Foreground	Submergence	9
ART5	Foreground	Submergence	9
RM1287	Flanking	Salinity	1
RM140	Flanking	Salinity	1
RM8303	Flanking	Submergence	9
RM23958	Flanking	Submergence	9

Yoshida nutrient solution (Yoshida et al. 1976) having a pH of 5.5 salinized with common salt to obtain the desired EC of 12 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The nutrient solution was renewed after every 8 days, and its pH maintained at 5.5 (adjusted by adding either 1N NaOH or 1N HCl). Visual salinity tolerant rating was done according to the modified standard evaluation score (SES) developed at IRRI, Manila, Philippines, as shown in Table 2. Progenies with a phenotypic score of 3 similar to the donor parent were selected for further screening.

#### Screening for submergence tolerance

Germinated seedlings of the selected  $F_2$  plants along with donor and recurrent parents were sown in pots. IR64 and FR13A were used as submergence sensitive and submergence tolerant check varieties, respectively. Fourteen days old seedlings were completely submerged in tanks filled with turbid water of 1-m height for 14 days following standard protocols (Neeraja et al. 2007). The survival percentage of plants was scored 14 days after de-submergence according to the IRRI standard evaluation system (IRRI, 1988) as shown in Table 3. Progenies with a phenotypic score of 3 similar to the donor parent were selected for further screening.

## Analysis of pyramided lines for agro-morphological and yield traits

Eight *Saltol/Sub1* introgressed  $F_3$  lines of Jyothi were subjected to field trial during Kharif 2017 at paddy field of Rice Research Station, Vyttila. The 21-day-old seedlings of the selected  $F_3$  progenies along with the donor and recurrent

 
 Table 3
 Standard evaluation system (SES) score for submergence tolerance in rice (IRRI 1988)

Survival %	Score	Observation	Tolerance
100	1	Minor visible symptom of injury	Highly tolerant
95–99	3	Some visible symptom of injury	Tolerant
75–94	5	Moderate injury	Moderately tolerant
50-75	7	Severe injury	Susceptible
1–49	9	Partial to complete death	Highly susceptible

Table 2Modified standardevaluation system (SES) scoreof visual salt injury at seedlingstage in rice

Score	Observation	Tolerance
1	Nearly normal growth, no leaf symptoms	Highly tolerant
3	Nearly normal growth, but leaf tips or few leaves whitish and rolled	Tolerant
5	Growth severely retarded; most leaves rolled; only a few are elongating	Moderately tolerant
7	Complete cessation of growth; most leaves dry; some plants dying	Susceptible
9	Almost all plants dead or dying	Highly susceptible

\_ .

parental lines were transplanted in the field. Ten plants were randomly selected from each replication for each of the genotypes, and the following observations such as 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and grain yield recorded. The data from various agro-morphological and yield traits were statistically analyzed by Web Agri Stat Package 2.0 (ICAR Goa).

# Results

#### Parental polymorphism screening

A total of 600 SSR markers covering the 12 chromosomes were used to analyze the parental polymorphism. Among these, 109 markers showed polymorphism between Jyothi and respective donor parents Fl-478 and Swarna Sub1. These polymorphic markers were used for further screening of *Saltol and Sub1* introgressed F<sub>2</sub> generations. Among the 13 foreground and recombinant markers linked to *Saltol* locus screened, six were found to be polymorphic between Jyothi and Fl-478. Similarly, with respect to 10 foreground and 13

recombinant markers associated with submergence tolerance screened, three and four markers were found to be polymorphic between Jyothi and Swarana Sub1, respectively.

#### Foreground and recombinant selection

 $F_1$  progenies derived from the hybridization between *Saltol* and *Sub1* introgressed lines of Jyothi were subjected to salinity screening. Withstanding plants were genotyped with foreground and recombinant markers linked to *Saltol* and *Sub1* locus.  $F_1$  plants heterozygous at both the loci were selfed to produce  $F_2$  progenies (Fig. 2).  $F_2$  plants were then subjected to salinity and submergence screening according to the standard protocols, and plants showing a score of 3 for both salinity and submergence tolerances (Fig. 3) were genotyped with foreground and recombinant markers (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.) The selected eight  $F_2$  progenies homozygous for both the loci were selfed to produce  $F_3$  progenies.

Lanes 1–15:  $F_1$  progenies, lane 16: recurrent parent Jyothi, lane 17: donor parent and lane L: 100-bp ladder.

Lanes 1–15:  $F_1$  progenies, lane 16: recurrent parent Jyothi, lane 17: donor parent and lane L: 100-bp ladder.



Fig. 2 Molecular screening of  $F_1$  progenies with key Saltol marker AP3206 A and key Sub1 linked marker Sub1BC2 B



Fig. 3 Screening of F<sub>2</sub> progenies for salinity tolerance A and submergence tolerance B

#### **Background selection**

A total of eight  $F_2$  progenies survived after salinity submergence screening were selected after genotypic screening with respective foreground and recombinant markers. These progenies were subjected to background screening with the polymorphic markers. Marker data generated from the background screening of the selected  $F_2$  progenies were analyzed with GGT 2.0 software, and the recurrent parent genome content was found to be in the range of 72.1–82.9% (Fig. 6). Graphical genotype of the best plant MC-F3-4 with maximum recurrent parent genome recovery is shown in Fig. 6.



Fig. 4 Molecular screening of F<sub>2</sub> progenies with key Saltol marker AP3206 A and key Sub1 linked marker Sub1BC2 B



**Fig. 5** Molecular screening of  $F_2$  progenies with key *Saltol* linked recombinant marker RM8303 **A**, RM23958 **B** and key Sub1 linked recombinant marker RM1287 **C** and RM140 **D**. Lanes 1–4:  $F_2$  progenies, lane 5: recurrent parent Jyothi, lane 6: donor parent and lane M: 100-bp ladder



Fig. 6 Recovery of recurrent parent genome (percentage) in F<sub>2</sub> progenies

## Agro-morphological traits and grain yield of pyramided lines

The selected eight pyramided lines along with the donor parents and recurrent parent were evaluated during Kharif 2017 at paddy field of Rice Research Station, Vyttila. Agro-morphological traits such as plant height, days to maturity, number of productive tillers, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and seed length determine the yield of a rice variety (Moldenhauer and Nathan 2004; Sakamoto and Matsuoka 2008; Huang et al. 2013). Most of the pyramided lines performed better than the recurrent parent in the field. The agro-morphological characters of the pyramided lines were almost similar to the recurrent parent Jyothi. All the pyramided lines produced higher grain yield than the recurrent parent (Table 4).

The donor parent for submergence tolerance (Swarna-Sub1) showed longest days to maturity (144 days). However, all the pyramided lines had maturation days on par with the recurrent parent Jyothi which matured earlier (120). Varieties with duration of 110-135 days are more preferable as they produce better yield than those maturing earlier or later under most of the agronomic and climatic conditions (Jennings et al. 1979). A significant difference was observed for plant height among the pyramided lines, and it ranged from 91.51 to 111.43 cm with an average of 99.49 cm. The mean plant height of recurrent parent was found to be 95.97 cm for Jyothi, whereas in case of donor parent, it was found to be 114.47 cm for Swarna-Sub1 and 88.43 cm for FL-478. All the pyramided lines were found to be medium tall. With respect to productive tiller number, which is another important trait contributing to yield of a variety, seven out of the eight pyramided lines were found to bear on par or more number of productive tillers with respect to recurrent and donor parents.

The yield of a crop is an important parameter to be considered while crop improvement programs to select superior. Therefore, total yield of all the pyramided lines and recurrent and donor parents was calculated. Four of the pyramided lines had total yield on par with the recurrent parent (MC-F3-4, MC-F3-5, MC-F3-6 and MC-F3-7); whereas, three pyramided lines yielded on par with the donor parent (MC-F3-1, MC-F3-2 and MC-F3-8). One of the pyramided lines (MC-F3-3) showed better yield than both the recurrent and donor parents. All the pyramided lines were found to have long medium grains similar to the recurrent parent. Therefore, the pyramided lines were almost similar to the recurrent parent in terms of agromorphological traits. Similar results were obtained in other gene pyramiding program (Pradhan et al. 2015; Hsu et al.2020).

50% flower- ing	Days to maturity	Total tillers	Produc- tive tillers	Panicle length	Flag leaf length	Flag leaf width	Index leaf length	Index leaf width	Total no. of grains/ panicle	No. of filled grains/ panicle	Length (mm)	Breadth (mm)	L/B	1000 grain wt (g)	Total yield (kg)
1 89.00 <sup>b</sup>	119.00 <sup>b</sup>	11.61 <sup>abc</sup>	10.03°	26.83 <sup>a</sup>	35.30 <sup>a</sup>	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	36.00 <sup>b</sup>	$1.30^{a}$	171.38 <sup>b</sup>	139.40 <sup>b</sup>	6.59°	2.32 <sup>bc</sup>	2.88 <sup>b</sup>	28.70 <sup>abcd</sup>	7.04 <sup>b</sup>
, 89.80 <sup>b</sup>	$119.80^{b}$	$10.16^{de}$	9.64 <sup>cde</sup>	25.33 <sup>b</sup>	29.03 <sup>cd</sup>	$1.50^{\mathrm{b}}$	32.33 <sup>d</sup>	$1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$168.88^{\mathrm{b}}$	136.53 <sup>b</sup>	6.71 <sup>bc</sup>	$2.33^{\mathrm{b}}$	2.89 <sup>b</sup>	$30.29^{ab}$	6.81 <sup>b</sup>
<sup>36</sup> 90.00 <sup>b</sup>	$120.00^{b}$	12.65 <sup>a</sup>	12.07 <sup>a</sup>	25.44 <sup>b</sup>	$22.00^{f}$	$1.00^{de}$	$32.00^{d}$	$1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$160.07^{c}$	140.67 <sup>b</sup>	6.77 <sup>bc</sup>	$2.34^{b}$	$2.90^{\mathrm{b}}$	27.70 <sup>cde</sup>	7.85 <sup>a</sup>
$89.70^{b}$	119.70 <sup>b</sup>	9.13 <sup>e</sup>	$8.96^{\text{def}}$	24.82 <sup>bc</sup>	$22.03^{f}$	$1.00^{de}$	$32.00^{d}$	$1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$136.87^{d}$	105.39 <sup>de</sup>	$6.84^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.36^{b}$	$2.86^{\mathrm{b}}$	26.67 <sup>de</sup>	5.43 <sup>cd</sup>
<sup>1</sup> 89.77 <sup>b</sup>	119.77 <sup>b</sup>	$7.56^{f}$	7.68 <sup>g</sup>	24.61 <sup>bc</sup>	$18.07^{\ g}$	$1.00^{de}$	$22.00^{e}$	$0.60^{\rm cd}$	133.87 <sup>d</sup>	117.07 <sup>c</sup>	$6.84^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.28^{bc}$	$3.00^{b}$	$30.38^{ab}$	5.84 <sup>c</sup>
<sup>1</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup>	119.67 <sup>b</sup>	10.81 <sup>cd</sup>	8.93 <sup>def</sup>	23.73 <sup>c</sup>	$30.04^{\rm bc}$	$1.50^{\mathrm{b}}$	$32.00^{d}$	$1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	120.57 <sup>e</sup>	$100.60^{ef}$	$6.64^{\rm bc}$	$2.24^{\circ}$	$2.96^{ab}$	29.62 <sup>abc</sup>	5.36 <sup>cd</sup>
s 89.77 <sup>b</sup>	119.77 <sup>b</sup>	9.6 <sup>e</sup>	$8.09^{\mathrm{fg}}$	24.12 <sup>bc</sup>	$17.02^{\text{g}}$	$1.3^{\circ}$	$30.00^{d}$	$1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$132.93^{d}$	112.10 <sup>cd</sup>	6.85 <sup>b</sup>	$2.32^{bc}$	2.91b	28.19 <sup>bcde</sup>	5.95°
89.63 <sup>b</sup>	119.63 <sup>b</sup>	$12.00^{ab}$	6.79 <sup>cd</sup>	23.75 <sup>c</sup>	$18.97^{g}$	$1.00^{de}$	$32.00^{d}$	$0.80^{\mathrm{bc}}$	131.49 <sup>d</sup>	$116.06^{\circ}$	6.72 <sup>bc</sup>	$2.30^{bc}$	$2.92^{b}$	$30.70^{a}$	6.67 <sup>b</sup>
89.67 <sup>b</sup>	119.67 <sup>b</sup>	9.3 <sup>e</sup>	8.80 <sup>ef</sup>	21.63 <sup>d</sup>	$26.03^{e}$	1.12 <sup>d</sup>	29.37 <sup>d</sup>	$0.45^{d}$	$109.77^{\rm f}$	91.37 fg	$6.84^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.30^{bc}$	$2.82^{bc}$	26.94 <sup>de</sup>	5.53 <sup>cd</sup>
<sup>a</sup> 114.33 <sup>a</sup>	144.33 <sup>a</sup>	11.17 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.09 <sup>b</sup>	25.33 <sup>b</sup>	32.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.63 <sup>a</sup>	42.67 <sup>a</sup>	1.367 <sup>a</sup>	191.50 <sup>a</sup>	$162.84^{a}$	5.52 <sup>d</sup>	2.13 <sup>d</sup>	2.59°	19.42 <sup>f</sup>	7.13 <sup>b</sup>
83.00 <sup>c</sup>	$113.00^{\circ}$	9.24 <sup>e</sup>	$8.04^{\mathrm{fg}}$	23.52°	27.05 <sup>de</sup>	0.98°	35.10 <sup>bc</sup>	0.78°	99.30 <sup>g</sup>	84.38 <sup>g</sup>	9.73 <sup>a</sup>	2.97 <sup>a</sup>	3.27 <sup>a</sup>	26.31 <sup>e</sup>	4.95 <sup>d</sup>
• • • • • • • • • •	ing a 89.00 <sup>b</sup> b 89.80 <sup>b</sup> b 90.00 <sup>b</sup> 89.77 <sup>b</sup> e 89.77 <sup>b</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 83.00 <sup>c</sup> 83.00 <sup>c</sup>	ing a 89.00 <sup>b</sup> 119.00 <sup>b</sup> b 89.80 <sup>b</sup> 119.00 <sup>b</sup> b 89.70 <sup>b</sup> 119.70 <sup>b</sup> 1 89.77 <sup>b</sup> 119.77 <sup>b</sup> a 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.77 <sup>b</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 114.33 <sup>a</sup> 144.33 <sup>a</sup> 83.00 <sup>c</sup> 113.00 <sup>c</sup>	ing a 89.00 <sup>b</sup> 119.00 <sup>b</sup> 11.61 <sup>abc</sup> b 89.80 <sup>b</sup> 119.80 <sup>b</sup> 10.16 <sup>de</sup> b 90.00 <sup>b</sup> 120.00 <sup>b</sup> 12.65 <sup>a</sup> 89.77 <sup>b</sup> 119.77 <sup>b</sup> 9.13 <sup>e</sup> a 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 10.81 <sup>cd</sup> b 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 9.6 <sup>e</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 9.6 <sup>e</sup> 89.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 9.6 <sup>e</sup> 83.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 9.6 <sup>e</sup> 83.67 <sup>b</sup> 119.67 <sup>b</sup> 9.3 <sup>e</sup> 83.00 <sup>e</sup> 113.00 <sup>e</sup> 9.24 <sup>e</sup> 83.0 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 9.2 <sup>e</sup> 83.0 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 9.2 <sup>e</sup> 10.8 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 12.0 <sup>e</sup> 10.8 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 12.0 <sup>e</sup> 10.8 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 12.0 <sup>e</sup> 10.8 <sup>e</sup> 113.0 <sup>e</sup> 10.8 <sup>e</sup>	ing ing tillers ing $a = 89.00^{\text{b}} = 119.00^{\text{b}} = 11.61^{\text{abc}} = 10.03^{\text{c}}$ $a = 89.00^{\text{b}} = 119.80^{\text{b}} = 10.16^{\text{de}} = 9.64^{\text{cde}}$ $a = 90.00^{\text{b}} = 120.00^{\text{b}} = 12.65^{\text{a}} = 12.07^{\text{a}}$ $a = 89.77^{\text{b}} = 119.77^{\text{b}} = 7.56^{\text{f}} = 7.68^{\text{g}}$ $a = 89.77^{\text{b}} = 119.77^{\text{b}} = 7.56^{\text{f}} = 7.68^{\text{g}}$ $a = 89.67^{\text{b}} = 119.67^{\text{b}} = 9.66^{\text{cd}} = 8.99^{\text{def}}$ $a = 89.67^{\text{b}} = 119.67^{\text{b}} = 9.66^{\text{cd}} = 8.09^{\text{fg}}$ $a = 114.33^{\text{a}} = 144.33^{\text{a}} = 11.17^{\text{bcd}} = 11.09^{\text{b}}$ $a = 114.33^{\text{a}} = 144.33^{\text{a}} = 11.17^{\text{bcd}} = 11.09^{\text{b}}$	ing tillers tillers tillers tillers tillers $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ingtillerstillersa $89.00^{b}$ $119.00^{b}$ $11.61^{abc}$ $10.03^{c}$ $26.83^{a}$ $35.30^{a}$ b $89.80^{b}$ $119.80^{b}$ $10.16^{de}$ $9.64^{cde}$ $25.33^{b}$ $29.03^{cd}$ b $90.00^{b}$ $120.00^{b}$ $12.65^{a}$ $12.67^{a}$ $25.44^{b}$ $22.00^{f}$ $89.70^{b}$ $119.70^{b}$ $9.13^{e}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^{f}$ $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $7.56^{f}$ $7.68^{t}$ $24.40^{bc}$ $18.07^{t}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $10.81^{cd}$ $8.93^{def}$ $23.73^{c}$ $30.04^{bc}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.6^{e}$ $8.09^{te}$ $24.12^{bc}$ $17.02^{t}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.73^{c}$ $30.04^{bc}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.73^{c}$ $26.03^{e}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.73^{c}$ $26.03^{e}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.75^{c}$ $26.03^{e}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $25.33^{b}$ $22.03^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $111.77^{bcd}$ $11.09^{b}$ $25.33^{b}$ $22.03^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $111.77^{bd}$ $8.04^{fg}$ $23.75^{c}$ $26.03^{e}$ $89.67^{b}$ $111.77^{bd}$ $11.09^{b}$ $25.33^{b}$ $22.03^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $111.73^{d}$ $8.04^{fg}$ $23.52^{c}$ $27.05^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $111.70^{cd}$ <	ingtillerstillersa $89.00^{b}$ $119.00^{b}$ $11.61^{abc}$ $10.03^{c}$ $26.83^{a}$ $35.30^{a}$ $1.50^{b}$ b $89.80^{b}$ $119.80^{b}$ $10.16^{de}$ $9.64^{cde}$ $25.33^{b}$ $29.03^{cd}$ $1.50^{b}$ b $89.70^{b}$ $119.70^{b}$ $9.13^{c}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.70^{b}$ $9.13^{c}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $7.56^{f}$ $7.68^{a}$ $24.61^{bc}$ $18.07^{a}$ $1.00^{de}$ b $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $10.81^{cd}$ $8.93^{def}$ $23.73^{c}$ $30.04^{bc}$ $1.3^{c}$ b $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.6^{c}$ $8.09^{fe}$ $24.12^{bc}$ $17.02^{a}$ $1.3^{c}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{c}$ $8.93^{def}$ $23.73^{c}$ $18.97^{a}$ $1.00^{de}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{c}$ $23.75^{c}$ $18.97^{a}$ $1.00^{de}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.3^{c}$ $8.00^{ff}$ $21.63^{d}$ $26.03^{e}$ $1.12^{d}$ a $114.33^{a}$ $11.17^{bcd}$ $11.09^{b}$ $23.32^{b}$ $32.33^{b}$ $1.63^{a}$ 83.00^{c} $113.00^{c}$ $9.24^{c}$ $8.04^{fg}$ $23.52^{c}$ $27.05^{de}$ $0.98^{e}$	ingtillerstillerslengtha $89.00^{b}$ $119.00^{b}$ $11.61^{abc}$ $10.03^{c}$ $26.83^{a}$ $35.30^{a}$ $1.50^{b}$ $36.00^{b}$ b $89.80^{b}$ $119.80^{b}$ $10.16^{de}$ $9.64^{cde}$ $25.33^{b}$ $29.03^{cd}$ $1.50^{b}$ $32.33^{d}$ bc $90.00^{b}$ $120.00^{b}$ $12.65^{a}$ $12.07^{a}$ $25.44^{b}$ $22.00^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ a $89.70^{b}$ $119.70^{b}$ $9.13^{c}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $9.13^{c}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.42^{bc}$ $22.00^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ be $89.77^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $10.81^{cd}$ $8.93^{def}$ $23.73^{c}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ be $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.6^{c}$ $8.09^{fg}$ $24.12^{bc}$ $1.702^{g}$ $1.3^{c}$ $30.00^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.73^{c}$ $18.97^{g}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{cd}$ $23.73^{c}$ $18.97^{g}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.3^{c}$ $23.73^{c}$ $1.702^{g}$ $1.30^{c}$ $29.37^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.3^{c}$ $8.00^{f}$ $23.33^{b}$ $26.03^{e}$ $1.12^{d}$ $29.37^{d}$ $89.67^{b}$ $114.33^{a}$ $11.17^{bc}$ $8.04^{f}$ $23.33^{b}$	ingtillerstillerslengthwidtha $89.00^b$ $119.00^b$ $11.61^{abc}$ $10.03^c$ $26.83^a$ $35.30^a$ $1.50^b$ $36.00^b$ $1.30^a$ b $89.80^b$ $119.80^b$ $10.16^{de}$ $9.64^{cde}$ $25.33^b$ $29.03^{cd}$ $1.50^b$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ b $89.70^b$ $119.70^b$ $9.13^c$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^f$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ a $89.77^b$ $119.77^b$ $9.13^c$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^f$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ a $89.77^b$ $119.77^b$ $9.13^c$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.03^f$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ b $89.77^b$ $119.77^b$ $9.16^c$ $8.93^{def}$ $23.73^c$ $18.07^g$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ b $89.67^b$ $119.67^b$ $9.6^c$ $8.09^{fg}$ $24.12^{bc}$ $17.02^g$ $1.3^c^b$ $30.00^d$ $1.00^b$ b $89.67^b$ $119.67^b$ $9.7^c$ $23.73^c$ $10.3^c$ $26.03^e$ $1.12^d$ $29.37^d$ $0.45^d$ a $114.33^a$ $141.33^a$ $11.17^{bcd}$ $11.09^b$ $25.33^b$ $23.33^b$ $1.63^a$ $22.67^a$ $23.76^e$ $0.78^c$ b $80.67^b$ $119.67^b$ $9.3^e$ $8.09^{fg}$ $23.73^c$ $24.03^e$ $1.12^d$ $24.73^a$ $24.67^a$ $1.367^a$ b $114.33^a$ $144.33^a$ $11.17^{bcd}$ <	inglengthwidthgrains/a89.00b119.00b11.61 abc10.03c26.83a35.30a1.50b36.00b1.30a171.38bb89.80b119.80b10.164e9.64cde25.33b29.03 cd1.50b32.03d1.00b168.88bb89.70b119.70b9.13c8.96def24.82bc22.00f1.00de32.00d1.00b136.87da89.77b119.77b7.56f7.68 &24.61bc18.07 &1.00de32.00d1.00b136.87da89.77b119.77b9.16c8.99def24.12bc17.02 &1.00de32.00d1.00b136.87db89.67b119.67b9.6e8.09fe23.73c30.04bc1.30c0.60 cd133.87da89.67b119.67b9.6e8.09fe23.73c18.97g1.00de32.00d1.00b132.93db89.67b119.67b9.6e8.09fe23.73c18.97g1.30c0.60 cd133.87db89.67b119.67b9.3e8.09fe23.73c18.97g1.00de32.00d1.00b132.93db89.67b119.67b9.3e8.09fe23.73c18.97g1.00de32.00d1.00b132.93db89.67b119.67b9.3e8.09fe23.73c18.97g1.00de32.00d1.00b132.93db114.33a114.33a114.33a114.33a11.17bcd11.09b25.33b25	ingingingthwidthgrains/grains/a89.00b119.00b11.61 abc10.03c $26.83^{3}$ $35.30^{a}$ $1.50^{b}$ $36.00^{b}$ $1.30^{a}$ $171.38^{b}$ $139.40^{b}$ b89.80b119.80b10.16 de $9.64^{cde}$ $25.33^{b}$ $29.03^{cd}$ $1.50^{b}$ $32.00^{d}$ $1.00^{b}$ $166.07^{c}$ $140.67^{b}$ b89.70b119.70b $9.13^{c}$ $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^{bc}$ $22.00^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $1.00^{b}$ $166.07^{c}$ $140.67^{b}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $7.56^{f}$ $7.68^{g}$ $24.42^{bc}$ $22.00^{f}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $1.00^{b}$ $136.87^{d}$ $150.7^{c}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $7.56^{f}$ $7.68^{g}$ $24.41^{bc}$ $18.07^{g}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $1.00^{b}$ $136.87^{d}$ $105.30^{d}$ a $89.77^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $7.56^{f}$ $7.68^{g}$ $24.41^{bc}$ $18.07^{g}$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^{d}$ $100^{b}$ $136.87^{d}$ $105.07^{c}$ a $89.67^{b}$ $119.77^{b}$ $9.6^{c}$ $8.09^{def}$ $23.73^{c}$ $30.04^{bc}$ $1.50^{b}$ $32.00^{d}$ $100^{b}$ $120.76^{d}$ a $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{c}$ $23.73^{c}$ $1.00^{d}$ $22.00^{c}$ $1.00^{c}$ $131.49^{d}$ $117.10^{c}$ a $89.67^{b}$ $119.67^{b}$ $9.79^{c}$ $27.03^{d}$ $1.00^{d}$ $29.37^{$	ingingingthwidthgrains/ grains/grains/ paniclegrains/ paniclea89.00b119.00b11.61 abc10.03°26.83°35.30°1.50b36.00b1.30°171.38'139.40b6.59°b89.80b119.80b10.164°9.64°25.33b29.03 cd1.50b32.00°1.00b160.07°140.67b6.77b°b89.70b119.70b9.13°8.964°25.33b29.03 cd1.00d°32.00d1.00b160.07°140.67b6.77b°a89.70b119.70b9.13°8.964°25.33b29.03 cd1.00d°32.00d1.00b160.07°140.67b6.77b°a89.70b119.77b7.56'7.68 %24.82b°22.03'1.00d°32.00d1.00b156.87d105.39d°6.84ba89.77b119.77b9.13°8.964°23.73°30.04b°1.50b32.00d1.00b136.87d105.39d°6.84ba89.77b119.67b9.18°8.964°23.73°17.02 %1.30°0.60°131.696°6.4b°b89.67b119.67b9.3°8.99°24.12b°17.02 %1.30°0.80°112.00°6.72b°b89.67b119.67b9.3°8.99°23.75°18.97 %1.00°29.37°100.66°6.4b°b89.67b119.67b9.3°8.99°23.75°18.97 %1.20°0.60°131.49°116.06°6.4b° </td <td>inglengthwidthgrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclea89.00b119.00b11.61 abc10.03c<math>26.83^a</math><math>35.30^a</math><math>1.50^b</math><math>36.00^b</math><math>1.30^a</math><math>171.38^b</math><math>139.40^b</math><math>6.59^c</math><math>2.33^b</math>b89.00b119.00b12.65^a12.07^a<math>25.44^b</math><math>22.00^c</math><math>1.00^a</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>166.07^c</math><math>40.67^b</math><math>6.71^bc</math><math>2.34^b</math>b89.70b119.70b9.13^c<math>8.96^{def}</math><math>24.82^bc</math><math>22.03^c</math><math>1.00^{de}</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>160.07^c</math><math>40.67^b</math><math>6.71^bc</math><math>2.34^b</math>a89.77b119.77b7.56^f7.68 t<math>24.82^bc</math><math>22.03^c</math><math>1.00^{de}</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>136.87^d</math><math>05.39^{de}</math><math>6.84^b</math><math>2.36^b</math>a89.77b119.77b9.13^c<math>8.96^{def}</math><math>24.81^bc</math><math>18.07^a</math><math>10.00^{de}</math><math>22.00^c</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>126.3^a</math><math>6.84^b</math><math>2.36^b</math>a89.67b119.67b<math>0.81^{ed}</math><math>24.12^bc</math><math>17.02^a</math><math>1.00^{de}</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>126.3^a</math><math>6.84^b</math><math>2.36^b</math>a89.67b119.67b<math>9.6^c</math><math>8.90^{ef}</math><math>24.12^bc</math><math>17.02^a</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>126.3^a</math><math>6.84^b</math><math>2.36^b</math>a89.67b119.67b<math>9.6^c</math><math>8.09^{ef}</math><math>24.12^bc</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>32.00^d</math><math>1.00^b</math><math>120.7^c</math><math>6.84^b</math><math>2.36^b</math></td> <td>inglengthwidthgrains/ panicle</td> <td>ingtillerstillerstengthwidthgrains/grai</td>	inglengthwidthgrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclegrains/ paniclea89.00b119.00b11.61 abc10.03c $26.83^a$ $35.30^a$ $1.50^b$ $36.00^b$ $1.30^a$ $171.38^b$ $139.40^b$ $6.59^c$ $2.33^b$ b89.00b119.00b12.65^a12.07^a $25.44^b$ $22.00^c$ $1.00^a$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $166.07^c$ $40.67^b$ $6.71^bc$ $2.34^b$ b89.70b119.70b9.13^c $8.96^{def}$ $24.82^bc$ $22.03^c$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $160.07^c$ $40.67^b$ $6.71^bc$ $2.34^b$ a89.77b119.77b7.56^f7.68 t $24.82^bc$ $22.03^c$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $136.87^d$ $05.39^{de}$ $6.84^b$ $2.36^b$ a89.77b119.77b9.13^c $8.96^{def}$ $24.81^bc$ $18.07^a$ $10.00^{de}$ $22.00^c$ $1.00^b$ $126.3^a$ $6.84^b$ $2.36^b$ a89.67b119.67b $0.81^{ed}$ $24.12^bc$ $17.02^a$ $1.00^{de}$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $126.3^a$ $6.84^b$ $2.36^b$ a89.67b119.67b $9.6^c$ $8.90^{ef}$ $24.12^bc$ $17.02^a$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $126.3^a$ $6.84^b$ $2.36^b$ a89.67b119.67b $9.6^c$ $8.09^{ef}$ $24.12^bc$ $1.00^b$ $32.00^d$ $1.00^b$ $120.7^c$ $6.84^b$ $2.36^b$	inglengthwidthgrains/ panicle	ingtillerstillerstengthwidthgrains/grai

#### Discussion

Submergence and salinity are the two major abiotic stresses affecting rice cultivation in coastal areas. Climate changes increase the risk of sea level rise and flooding. Submergence and salinity can occur separately, or both the stresses can affect simultaneously. Therefore, modifying high yielding rice varieties to withstand submergence and salinity stresses has become crucial for meeting the ever-increasing demand. Advance techniques like markerassisted breeding have enabled introgression of QTLs imparting tolerance to various abiotic stresses into the genetic background of high yielding mega rice varieties. In the current study, the two different QTLs for salinity tolerance and submergence tolerance were pyramided into one single genotype using marker-assisted simultaneous and stepwise gene transfer method. Similar methodology was followed by researchers to pyramid two or more stresstolerance genes into a single genetic background (Singh et al. 2013; Jamaloddin et al. 2020).

The recombinant progenies were screened with SSR markers linked to Saltol locus (Chowdhury et al. 2016; Singh et al. 2018; Adak et al. 2020) and SSR markers linked to Sub1 loci (Neeraja et al. 2007) to confirm the introgression of both the QTLs. The codominant nature of SSR markers makes it easier to detect the recombinant progenies even in the heterozygous state (Yang et al. 2016). Background markers were used to screen out the progenies possessing the maximum recovery of the recurrent parent genome. Background markers are used to accelerate the recovery of recurrent parent genome and thereby reduce the breeding cycle (Collard and Mackill 2008, Hospital and Charcosset 1997). Therefore, with the help of molecular markers, the recurrent parent genome could be restored in the pyramided lines and highlights the significance of marker-assisted breeding (Olalekan et al. 2019).

The backcross inbred lines pyramided with *Saltol* and *Sub1* loci were evaluated for their tolerance to salinity and submergence stresses. All the selected progenies could withstand the stress conditions similar to the respective donor parents; whereas, the recurrent parent exhibited complete susceptibility. This confirmed the effectiveness of the QTLs introgressed into the genetic background of Jyothi. Similar results were demonstrated in other introgressed progenies (Neeaja et al. 2007; Septiningsih et al. 2009; Rahman et al. 2018; Singh et al. 2018; Valarmathi et al. 2019).

The grain quality parameters and total duration of the pyramided lines were found to be very similar to the recurrent parent. The improved lines also exhibited higher grain yield than the recurrent and donor parents. Therefore, the current study clearly demonstrates the success of markerassisted breeding technique in pyramiding two QTLs imparting tolerance against both salinity and submergence stresses into the genetic background of high yielding mega rice variety of Kerala. This is the first ever work reported in Kerala.

## Conclusion

Marker-assisted backcrossing was successfully used to pyramid the two major abiotic stress-tolerant traits into the most popular rice variety of Kerala within a short span of time. The size of each donor fragments was limited assisted with the use of foreground and recombinant markers. The parent genome recovery was also ensured with the use of rice genome background markers. The pyramided lines showed similar agro-morphological characters like the recurrent parent. The total grain yield was much better than the recurrent parent. Four best lines with higher grain yield were selected for further field trials necessary for future release procedures. The newly developed rice variety will be tolerant to both salinity and submergence with all the other favorable traits of the recipient parent retained. This variety can be successfully cultivated in low-lying coastal areas leading to increased rice production. The present study successfully demonstrates the application of marker-assisted gene pyramiding as an efficient tool to introgress multiple abiotic stress genes into a single variety. These developed pyramided lines can be used by breeders as donors suiting to their respective needs.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interests** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

### References

- Adak S, Datta S, Bhattacharya S, Ghose TK, Majumder AL (2020) Diversity analysis of selected rice landraces from West Bengal and their linked molecular markers for salinity tolerance. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 24:1–14
- Babu NN, Krishnan SG, Vinod KK, Krishnamurthy SL, Singh VK, Singh MP, Singh R, Ellur RK, Rai V, Bollinedi H, Bhowmick PK (2017) Marker aided incorporation of *Saltol*, a major QTL associated with seedling stage salt tolerance, into *Oryza sativa* 'Pusa basmati 1121.' Front Plant Sci 8:41
- Bailey-Serres J, Fukao T, Ronald P, Ismail AM, Heuer S, Mackill D (2010) Submergence tolerant rice: SUB1's journey from landrace to modern cultivar. Rice 3:138–147
- Biswas A, Biswas A (2014) Comprehensive approaches in rehabilitating salt affected soils: a review on Indian perspective. Open Trans Geosci 1:13–24
- Bonilla P, Dvorak J, Mackill D, Deal K, Gregorio G (2002) RLFP and SSLP mapping of salinity tolerance genes in chromosome 1 of

rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using recombinant inbred lines. Philipp Agric Sci 85:68–76

- Chowdhury AD, Haritha G, Sunitha T, Krishnamurthy SL, Divya B, Padmavathi G, Ram T, Sarla N (2016) Haplotyping of rice genotypes using simple sequence repeat markers associated with salt tolerance. Rice Sci 23(6):317–325
- Collard BCY, Mackill DJ (2008) Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the 21st century. Philos Trans R Soc B 363:557–572
- Collard BCY, Septiningsih EM, Das SR, Carandang J, Pamplona AM, Sanchez DL, Kato Y, Ye G, Reddy JN, Singh US, Iftekharuddaula KM, Venuprasad R, Vera-Cruz CN, Mackill DJ, Ismail AM (2013) Developing new flood-tolerant varieties at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). SABRAO J Breed Genet 45:42–46
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull 19:11–15
- Gregoria GB, Senadhira D, Mendoza RD (1997) Screening rice for salinity tolerance. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2005.695. 25.
- Hasan MM, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Mahmood M, Rahim HA, Alam MA, Ashkani S, Malek MA, Latif MA (2015) Marker-assisted backcrossing: a useful method for rice improvement. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 29(2):237–254
- Hospital F, Charcosset A (1997) Marker-assisted introgression of quantitative trait loci. Genetics 147(3):1469–1485
- Hospital F (2003) Marker-assisted breeding. In: Newbury HJ (ed) Plant molecular breeding. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 30–59
- Hsu YC, Chiu CH, Yap R, Tseng YC, Wu YP (2020) Pyramiding bacterial blight resistance genes in Tainung82 for broad-spectrum resistance using marker-assisted selection. Int J Mol Sci 4:1281
- Huang R, Jiang L, Zheng J, Wang T, Wang H, Huang Y, Hong Z (2013) Genetic bases of rice grain shape: so many genes, so little known. Trends Plant Sci 18:218–226
- IRRI (1988) Standard evaluation system for rice testing programme (IRTP). Rice manual, 3rd ed. IRRI, Manila (Philippines), pp 19
- Ismail AM, Heuer S, Thomson MJ, Wissuwa M (2007) Genetic and genomic approaches to develop rice germplasm for problem soils. Plant Mol Biol 65(4):547–570
- Jamaloddin M, Durga Rani CV, Swathi G, Anuradha C, Vanisri S, Rajan CP, Krishnam Raju S, Bhuvaneshwari V, Jagadeeswar R, Laha GS, Prasad MS (2020) Marker assisted gene pyramiding (MAGP) for bacterial blight and blast resistance into mega rice variety "Tellahamsa." PLoS ONE 15(6):e0234088
- Jennings PR, Coffman WR, Kauffman HE (1979) Rice improvements. international rice research institute, Los Banos, Philippines. pp 97
- Joshi RK, Nayak S (2010) Gene pyramiding-A broad spectrum technique for developing durable stress resistance in crops. Biotechnol Mol Biol 5(3):51–60
- Linh LH, Linh TH, Xuan TD, Ham LH, Ismail AM, Khanh TD (2012) Molecular breeding to improve salt tolerance of rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) in the Red River Delta of Vietnam. Int. J. Plant Genom 2012:949038
- Mackill DJ, Ismail AM, Singh US, Labios RV, Paris TR (2012) Development and rapid adoption of submergence-tolerant (*Sub1*) rice varieties. Adv Agron 115:303–356
- Moldenhauer K, Nathan S (2004) 1-Rice growth and development. In: Slaton N (ed) Rice Production Handbook. University of Arkansas, Arkansas
- Nambiar GR, Raveendran K (2009) Exploration of untapped potentiality of coastal paddy fields of Kerala (India)—a case study. Middle East J Sci Res 4(1):44–47
- Neeraja C, Maghirang-Rodriguez R, Pamplona A, Heuer S, Collard BCY, Septiningsih EM, Vergara G, Sanchez D, Xu K, Ismail AM, Mackill DJ (2007) A marker-assisted backcross approach for developing submergence- tolerant rice cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 115(6):767–776

- Olalekan KK, Rafii MY, Salleh AM, Mohamed MT, Ahmad K, Misran A, Abro TF, Oladosu Y, Arolu IW, Samuel C, Usman M (2019) Analysis of recurrent parent genome recovery in marker-assisted backcross breeding programme in Watermelon. Int J Sci Technol Res 8(08):945–955
- Pradhan SK, Nayak DK, Mohanty S, Behera L, Barik SR, Pandit E, Lenka S, Anandan A (2015) Pyramiding of three bacterial blight resistance genes for broad-spectrum resistance in deepwater rice variety. Jalmagna Rice 8(1):1–4
- Quan R, Wang J, Hui J, Bai H, Lyu X, Zhu Y, Zhang H, Zhang Z, Li S, Huang R (2018) Improvement of salt tolerance using wild rice genes Front. Plant Sci 8:2269
- Rahman H, Dakshinamurthi V, Ramasamy S, Manickam S, Kaliyaperumal AK, Raha S, Panneerselvam N, Ramanathan V, Nallathambi J, Sabariappan R, Raveendran M (2018) Introgression of submergence tolerance into CO 43, a popular rice variety of India, through marker-assisted backcross breeding. Czech J Genet Plant Breed 54(3):101–108
- Sakamoto T, Matsuoka M (2008) Identifying and exploiting grain yield genes in rice. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11:209–214
- Septiningsih EM, Pamplona AM, Sanchez DL, Neeraja CN, Vergara GV, Heuer S, Ismail AM, Mackill DJ (2009) Development of submergence-tolerant rice cultivars: the Sub1 locus and beyond. Ann Bot 103:151–160
- Singh VK, Singh A, Singh SP, Ellur RK, Singh D, Gopala Krishnan S, Bhowmick PK, Nagarajan M, Vinod KK, Singh UD, Mohapatra T (2013) Marker-assisted simultaneous but stepwise backcross breeding for pyramiding blast resistance genes Piz5 and Pi54 into an elite Basmati rice restorer line 'PRR 78.' Plant Breed 132(5):486–495
- Singh VK, Singh BD, Kumar A, Maurya S, Krishnan SG, Vinod KK, Singh MP, Ellur RK, Bhowmick PK, Singh AK (2018) Markerassisted introgression of Saltol QTL enhances seedling stage salt tolerance in the rice variety "Pusa Basmati 1." Int J Genom. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8319879
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tools for an old science. Biotechnol 7:257–264
- Thuy NN, Anh HH (2015) Vulnerability of rice production in Mekong River Delta under impacts from floods, salinity and climate change. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Technol 5(4):272–279
- Valarmathi M, Sasikala R, Rahman H, Jagadeeshselvam N, Kambale R, Raveendran M (2019) Development of salinity tolerant version of a popular rice variety improved white ponni through marker assisted back cross breeding. Plant Physiol Rep 24:262–271
- Vu HT, Le DD, Ismail AM, Le HH (2012) Marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC) for improved salinity tolerance in rice ('Oryza sativa' L.) to cope with climate change in Vietnam. Aust J Crop Sci 12:1649–1654
- Wassmann R, Jagadish SVK, Heuer S, Ismail A, Redona E, Serraj R, Singh RK, Howell G, Pathak H, Sumfleth K (2009) Climate change affecting rice production: the physiological and agronomic basis for possible adaptation strategies. Adv Agron 101:59–122
- Yang H, Zhang R, Jin G, Feng Z, Zhou Z (2016) Assessing the genetic diversity and genealogical reconstruction of cypress (Cupressus funebris Endl.) breeding parents using SSR markers. Forests 7:160
- Yoshida S, Forno D, Cook JH, Gomez KA (1976) Laboratory manual for physiological studies of rice. International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Laguna, Philippines, pp 61–66

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.