ORIGINAL PAPER

Efects of phosphorus supply and salt stress on plant growth, organic acid concentration, and sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus utilization in wheat

De‑Yong Zhao1,2,3 · Zai‑Wang Zhang1,2,3 · Guo‑Lan Liu1,2,3 · Shuai‑Peng Zhao1,2,3 · Xiao‑Lin Zhang1,2,3 · Wang-Feng Zhao^{1,2,3} · Kadambot H. M. Siddique⁴

Received: 14 November 2022 / Accepted: 20 February 2023 / Published online: 1 March 2023 © Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt. 2023

Abstract

Nutrient utilization efficiency in wheat could be affected by fertilizer supply and soil properties such as salinity. A greenhouse pot study involving two wheat genotypes (Shavano and AK58), two phosphorus (P) supply levels [imposed by adding 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄ (+P), and 0 mM KH₂PO₄ (-P)], and two salt stress levels [imposed by adding 100 mM NaCl (+S), and 0 mM NaCl (–S)] was undertaken to study the impact of P supply and salt stress on organic exudation, nutrient utilization, and plant growth in wheat. At the seedling stage in the –P–S treatment, the tall genotype (Shavano) had higher succinic, fumaric, malic, and malonic acid concentrations in roots than the dwarf genotype (AK58), suggesting genotypic diferences in adapting to unfavorable conditions. Salt stress increased Na and decreased K in roots and shoots (straw). At maturity, the $-P$ treatment increased the total root length (TRL) to aboveground biomass (ABG) ratio relative to the +P treatment, suggesting that –P wheat required more root length to sustain equivalent aboveground biomass than+P wheat. AK58 and Shavano required 8.6–13.8 mg and 31.9–36.1 mg P to achieve 1 g grain across all treatments, respectively. The increased P efficiency of AK58 relative to Shavano was probably due to the increased harvest index conferred by dwarf genes. Wheat coordinated adaptations in root architecture and aboveground plant biomass combined with changes in root exudation and nutrient uptake to deal with P defciency and salt stress, consequently altering nutrient utilization.

Keywords Salt stress · Phosphorus supply · Root · Organic acid · Plant performance

Communicated by Tibor Janda.

 \boxtimes De-Yong Zhao dyzhao@bzu.edu.cn

- College of Biology and Environmental Engineering, Binzhou University, Binzhou, Shandong 256603, People's Republic of China
- ² Shandong Key Laboratory of Eco-Environmental Science for Yellow River Delta, Binzhou University, Binzhou, Shandong 256603, People's Republic of China
- ³ Shandong Engineering and Technology Research Center for Fragile Ecological Belt of Yellow River Delta, Binzhou, Shandong 256603, People's Republic of China
- The UWA Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6001, Australia

Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is an essential element for plant growth. P deficiency usually leads to adaptive responses at the physiological and biochemical levels, consequently modifying root morphology/architecture and aboveground plant development to maintain essential P uptake (Lynch [1995](#page-10-0); Tshewang et al. [2020](#page-10-1)).

Plant root exudation interacts with the soil surrounding roots; for instance, low molecular weight organic acids (LMWOAs) can change the redox state and pH of the rhizosphere, facilitating nutrient uptake for plant growth (Wu et al. [2018](#page-10-2)). Organic acids such as malic, citric, fumaric, succinic, oxalic (OA), and oxalo-acetic acids involved in the Krebs cycle are among the most-studied LMWOAs. A recent study on wheat reported increased soil Olsen-P concentrations, P uptake, and yields after applying LMWOAs, particularly OA and citric acid, combined with soil P fertilizer (Mohankumar et al. [2022\)](#page-10-3). Given

that genetic and environmental factors govern organic acid exudation, two strategies can be explored to improve crop P economy in agricultural practices: (1) selecting genotypes with an increased ability to exude organic acids and uptake P and (2) manipulating the environment surrounding the root surface to increase P availability.

Salt-affected soils seriously inhibit plant growth, including morphological appearance and biomass accumulation. The reduced growth can be partially attributed to reduced levels of bioactive gibberellins inhibiting the protoxylem cell diferentiation process and changes in nutrient uptake (Møller et al. [2009;](#page-10-4) Zhao et al. [2021;](#page-10-5) Augstein et al. 2022). In addition, salt-afected soils have limited P availability due to P and Ca fxation. As soil salinity and P deficiency both adversely affect plant growth (Abbas et al. [2018\)](#page-10-6), it is important to determine the interactive efects of P supply and salt stress on plant growth and nutrient utilization. However, these efects are inconsistent in the literature; for example, salinity reduced (Martinez et al. [1996](#page-10-7)), increased (Martinez & Lauchli [1994\)](#page-10-8), or did not change (Silberbush & Ben-Asher [1989\)](#page-10-9) P uptake, probably due to genetic diferences between species and/or within genotypes, sampling growth stages, and growth substrates used (Marschner [1995\)](#page-10-10).

Zribi et al. [\(2011\)](#page-10-11) reported that salt-treated barley plants under P deficiency had higher salt tolerance than those grown under sufficient P supply, which they attributed to increased root:shoot dry weight ratio, root length, K^+ /Na⁺ ratio, leaf proline, and soluble sugar concentrations and decreased Na+ accumulation in upper leaves. In another study, moderate salinity stress alleviated P deficiency effects in *Hordeum maritimum* L. (Zribi et al. [2012\)](#page-10-12).

Mineral concentrations in mature wheat grain rely on root-to-shoot translocation and remobilization during senescence. K and Mg are rapidly translocated after root uptake, while Ca is predominantly retained by mature and senescent organs, with little Ca translocation from leaves to seeds (White and Broadley [2003](#page-10-13); Cobalchin et al. [2021](#page-10-14)). Wheat straw contains higher amounts of K and Mg than grain, while Ca is equally concentrated in straw and grain (Jankowski et al. [2016](#page-10-15)). Large amounts of Na in saline soils will compete with the Ca and Mg adhering to soil particles; therefore, Ca and Mg uptake in plants under salt stress likely difers those under non-saline conditions.

Macronutrient deprivation can cause large fuctuations in plant elemental compositions and thus imbalance the nutrient environment (Courbet et al. [2021](#page-10-16)); combined low P availability and salt stress presumably has a similar efect. We hypothesized that an increased organic acids concentrations and an altered root architecture would facilitate nutrient uptake under suboptimal P conditions combined with

salt stress. A pot experiment was therefore conducted to investigate the interactive efects of P supply and salt stress on plant growth, organic acid concentrations, and Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P utilization in wheat.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A dwarf wheat cultivar 'AK58' (also known as 'Bainong Aikang 58'), widely grown in Northern China Plain, and a tall wheat genotype 'Shavano' originally from the USA were used in this study.

Greenhouse experiment

Greenhouse experiment was conducted from October 2021 to May 2022 at Binzhou University (Binzhou, Shandong province, China), with light and temperature conditions set to 16 h light at 25 ± 3 °C and 8 h dark at 18 ± 3 °C.

Three factors P supply, salt stress, and genotype were employed in the experiment with three replications. P-added and non-P-added treatments were regarded as two 'P supply' levels, while non-salt stress and salt stress were regarded as two 'salt stress' levels. 'AK58' and 'Shavano' were regarded as two levels for factor 'genotype'. The experiment was designed with intention to examine effects of the treatment factors at two sampling time points, one at six weeks after transplanting and another at maturity. In total, there were forty-eight plastic pots involved in the experiment. Each plastic pot (diameter: 14 cm, height: 18 cm) was flled with 1.3 kg Turface Athletics™ Profile® Porous Ceramic Greens Grade soil amendment as a growth substrate (PRO-FILE Products LLC, USA; [www.profleproducts.com](http://www.profileproducts.com)). The Greens Grade had 74% porosity, pH 5.5, 33.6 m Eq/100 g cation exchange capacity, and 5.5 mg/kg NaHCO₃ extractable Olsen-P concentration. The pots were irrigated with either 1.2 L of 100 mM NaCl or 1.2 L distilled water to saturation (100% relative water content) and placed in a greenhouse for 10 days to allow the soil moisture to decrease to a relative water content of about 60%. Relative water content was calculated using formula: *Wx*−*W*⁰ *Ws*−*W*⁰ × 100%, *W0* represents weight of pot with dry soil amendment and W_S represents saturated pot, while W_x was the weight of tested pot.

Seeds of each genotype were germinated on Petri dishes at room temperature. Three uniformly germinated seeds were selected and transplanted to each pot with substrate pre-irrigated by salt or water. On the day of transplanting, each pot was watered with 400 mL of nutrient solution. The nutrient solution for the P-added $(+P)$ treatment contained

 $1 \text{ mM Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_{2}$, 0.5 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.5 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1 µM H₃BO₃, 50 nM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄, 0.5μ M CuSO₄, 1 μM ZnSO₄, 1 μM MnSO₄, 0.1 mM FeNa-EDTA, and the non-P-added (–P) treatment contained 1 mM $Ca(NO_3)$ ₂, 0.5 mM MgSO₄, 2.0 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 1 μM H₃BO₃, 50 nM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄, 0.5 μM CuSO₄, 1 μM $ZnSO_4$, 1 μ M MnSO₄, and 0.1 mM FeNa-EDTA.

Thereafter, the pots were irrigated every 10 days, with each pot receiving 200 mL nutrient solution combined with 200 mL of 100 mM NaCl for salt stress treatment $(+ S)$ and 200 mL distilled water for non-salt treatment (–S).

Plants were harvested at two time points, six weeks after transplanting and maturity. The harvested seedlings were separated into roots and shoots, while mature plants were separated into roots, straw, and grain; mature roots were rinsed with distilled water to remove residual adhered soil. Plant parts were oven-dried and ground (as per the roots) immediately after harvest.

Evaluation of salinity in the growth substrate

In order to evaluate the salinity that plants had been subjected into during the growth period, electrical conductivity (EC) of the growth substrate at the end of the experiment was measured using a conductivity meter (DDS-307, Shanghai Leici Instrument Co. Ltd, China) as described in Zhao et al. [\(2022\)](#page-10-17). An equivalent NaCl concentration was then estimated as an index of salinity based on a linear regression equation between NaCl concentration and EC (Supplementary Fig S1): salinity $(mM) = (EC_{salt treatment} EC_{non-salt treatment}$)/0.0813, wherein $EC_{salt treatment}$ was the EC value of growth substrate under salt treatment and EC_{non-salt treatment} for that under non-salt treatment, respectively.

Root length measurements

For the frst harvest, three seedlings in the pot were harvested six weeks after transplantation by carefully removing the soil particles with tap water, with the washed roots subsequently scanned with an Epson® Expression 10000XL scanner to determine root length. At maturity, the roots were harvested once the soil had nearly dried at room temperature, air-dried, shaken to remove any remaining attached soil particles, and scanned. Total root length (TRL) for each root sample was determined using the WinRHIZO Root Analysis System (Regent Instruments, Canada). Root length per pot was used as an index to be compared between treatments, due to the difficulty in separating root for each plant.

Measurement of organic acid concentrations

Fifty milligrams of root or shoot sample was weighed into a 15 mL tube with 10 mL of 30% methanol aqueous solution (containing 0.1% formic acid). The tube was oscillated 100 times per minute on a shaker for 5 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 \degree C; the supernatant was subsequently transferred to a detection bottle. Organic acid concentrations were measured using an Acquity UPLC® Beh C18 column $(2.1 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 1.7 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Waters, USA) set at 40 °C, with mobile phases of (A) water (containing 0.1% formic acid) and (B) methanol water (containing 0.1% formic acid). Gradient elution conditions were 0–3 min at 30% B, 3–5 min at 30–50% B, 5–7 min at 50–90% B, 7–9 min at 90% B, and 9–13 min at 30% B with a 0.4 mL/min fow rate.

The concentration of each organic acid (succinic, fumaric, malic, citric, malonic, and pantothenic) in roots/shoots (μg/g) was calculated as:

$$
C_{\text{organic acid in roots/shoots}} = (C_{\text{organic acid in supernatant}} \times 10 \text{ mL})/50 \text{ mg.}
$$
\n(1)

Elemental measurements

Root, shoot, and grain samples (50 mg each) were digested in 13 mL nitric acid and 2 mL H_2O_2 using a microwave digestion instrument. P, Na, K, Ca, and Mg concentrations were measured using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS).

Calculation of Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P utilization

At both harvests, aboveground plants and roots were ovendried at 70 °C and weighed with a digital balance (accuracy 0.1 mg) to obtain aboveground biomass (ABG) and root dry weight.

Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P accumulation in seedlings shoot, root, and whole plant (mg/pot) was calculated as:

Total accumulation of an individual element in shoot (mg∕pot) $=$ shoot weight \times C_{shoot},

Total accumulation of an individual element in root (mg∕pot)

 $=$ root weight \times C_{root},

Total accumulation of an individual element in whole plant (mg∕pot)

= shoot weight \times C_{shoot} + root weight \times C_{root},

where C_{shoot} and C_{root} are the concentrations (mg/kg) of each measured element in shoots and roots, respectively.

Total Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P accumulation in mature grain, straw, root, and whole plant (mg/pot) was calculated as:

Total accumulation of an individual element in grain (mg∕pot)

 $=$ grain weight \times C_{grain},

Total accumulation of an individual element in straw (mg∕pot)

 $=$ straw weight \times C_{straw},

Total accumulation of an individual element in root (mg∕pot)

 $=$ root weight \times C_{root},

Total accumulation of an individual element in whole plant (mg∕pot)

= grain weight \times C_{orain} concentration + straw weight

 \times C_{straw} + root weight \times C_{root}

where C_{grain} , C_{straw} , and C_{root} are the concentrations (mg/ kg) of each measured element in grain, straw, and roots, respectively.

For crop P economy, the P requirement (expressed per g of grain yield) was calculated as:

P requirement = Total P accumulation (mg) / grain weight (g).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software (version 16.0, Chicago, SPSS Inc.). For plant growth parameters, i.e., plant height (cm), grain weight (g/pot), aboveground biomass (g/pot), root weight (g/pot), and total root length (m/pot), analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test significance of the effect of three factors (salt stress, P supply, genotype) and their interactions. For other parameters, organic acid concentrations, elemental concentrations, and total elemental accumulation, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the significance of effect of four factors (salt stress, P supply, genotype, and organ) and their interactions. Multiple comparisons (Duncan's) for a given genotype were conducted after ANOVA to compare the signifcance between values in diferent treatments, following the suggestion that combined stresses should be regarded as a new state of abiotic stress (Mittler [2006\)](#page-10-18).

Results

Root development and plant growth as afected by P supply and salt stress

The average electrical conductivity (EC) of growth substrate under salt stress treatments at the end of experiment was

16.8 dS/m, while the value under non-salt treatment was 3.2 dS/m. Compared with the 100 mM NaCl solution which had an EC of 9.8 dS/m, it was therefore reasonable to deduce that the plants grown in the growth substrate under salt stress had been subjected to a gradually increased salinity from 100 mM NaCl to a salinity equivalent of 167 mM NaCl at the late growth stage.

Aboveground biomass measured at six weeks posttransplanting was significantly affected by salt stress $(P<0.01)$ and P supply $(P<0.01)$ but not by genotype or their interactions (Supplementary Table S1). In contrast, root dry weight measured at six weeks post-transplanting was significantly affected by genotype $(P < 0.01)$, salt stress ($P < 0.01$), and P supply ($P < 0.05$) but not by their interactions (Supplementary Table S2). Aboveground biomass and root dry weight of both genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) decreased with $-P$ supply compared with $+P$ supply (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A, B). Lateral root length and TRL were signifcantly afected by genotype and salt stress but not P

Fig. 1 Aboveground biomass **A**, root dry weight **B**, and TRL/RW ratio **c** at six weeks post-transplanting of two wheat genotypes [dwarf AK58 (red) and tall Shavano (blue)] grown under two P supply levels [no P $(-P)$, P added $(+P)$] and two salt stress levels [no salt stress $(-S)$, salt stress $(+S)$]. Different letters within the same genotype indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between treatments

Genotype	Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Grain weight (g/pot)	Aboveground biomass (g/pot)	Root weight $(g$ /pot)	Total root length (m/pot)
AK58	$-S-P$	49.3 ± 3.3 bc	1.8 ± 0.15 b	3.6 ± 0.32 c	$1.4 \pm 0.12 b$	79.2 ± 10.20 b
	$-S+P$	$57.8 \pm 1.0 a$	2.2 ± 0.14 a	6.1 ± 0.27 a	2.5 ± 0.15 a	111.2 ± 11.89 a
	$+S-P$	$47.0 + 4.9c$	1.2 ± 0.07 d	5.1 ± 0.20 b	1.2 ± 0.09 b	67.8 ± 9.93 b
	$+S+P$	$50.0 \pm 2.6 b$	1.5 ± 0.14 c	5.6 ± 0.48 a	1.4 ± 0.13 b	69.9 ± 12.66 b
Shavano	$-S-P$	$69.7 \pm 5.6 b$	0.6 ± 0.03 b	3.5 ± 0.14 b	$2.0 \pm 0.10 b$	109.1 ± 13.43 b
	$-S+P$	79.0 ± 5.3 a	0.8 ± 0.06 a	5.1 ± 0.28 a	3.3 ± 0.18 a	138.4 ± 12.55 a
	$+S-P$	$65.3 \pm 5.0 b$	0.5 ± 0.03 c	$3.4 \pm 0.11 b$	$1.9 \pm 0.13 b$	108.2 ± 13.61 b
	$+S+P$	73.7 ± 2.5 ab	$0.7 + 0.04$ ab	4.5 ± 0.15 a	$2.0 \pm 0.16 b$	$95.8 \pm 14.19 b$

Table 1 Growth parameters at maturity of two wheat genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) grown under two P supply levels [no P (-P), P added $(+P)$] and two salt stress levels [no salt stress $(-S)$, salt stress $(+S)$]

Different letters within the same genotype indicate significant differences $(P < 0.05)$

Fig. 2 TRL/ABG ratio of mature plants of two wheat genotypes [dwarf AK58 (red) and tall Shavano (blue)] grown under two P supply levels $[no P(-P), P \text{ added } (+P)]$ and two salt stress levels $[no \text{ salt}]$ stress $(-S)$, salt stress $(+S)$]. Different letters within the same genotype indicate significant differences $(P < 0.05)$ between treatments

supply (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). P supply significantly affected the TRL/ABG ratio $(P < 0.01)$ (Supplementary Table S5, Fig. [1](#page-3-0)C), generally increasing under –P supply relative to $+P$ supply.

At maturity, –P supply inhibited the growth of AK58 and Shavano in terms of plant height, aboveground biomass, grain weight/pot, and root weight/pot, compared with $+$ P supply (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The TRL/ABG ratio for all treatments ranged from 12.5 to 21.9 m/g for AK58 and 21.3–32.9 m/g for Shavano (Fig. [2](#page-4-1)). Both genotypes under –P supply had higher TRL/ABG ratios than + P supply regardless of salt treatment.

For AK58, the –P treatment had 44% and 14.3% less root weight/pot than the $+P$ treatment under $-S$ and $+S$ supply, respectively. The corresponding reductions for Shavano were 39.4% and 5%. Both genotypes had a greater root weight/ aboveground biomass ratio under $-P$ supply than $+P$ supply regardless of salt treatment.

Organic acid concentrations in roots and aboveground plants as afected by P supply and salt stress

Genotype, salt stress, P supply, organ, and their interactions afected succinic, fumaric, malic, citric, malonic, and pantothenic acid concentrations (Supplementary Tables S6–S11). Significant effects occurred for salt stress on the concentrations of all six measured organic acids, genotype on succinic, malic, malonic, and pantothenic acid concentrations, and P supply on succinic, fumaric, malic, and pantothenic acid concentrations.

Organic acid concentrations difered between roots and shoots (Supplementary Tables S6–S11), concentrations of succinic, fumaric, malic, citric, malonic, and pantothenic acid in the shoots were higher than roots (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Salt stress signifcantly reduced succinic, fumaric, malic, citric, and pantothenic acid concentrations in the roots of both genotypes.

Due to the interactive efects between salt stress and P supply, salt stress significantly affected organic acid concentrations in response to P supply. For example, in AK58, –P supply without salt stress decreased fumaric, malic, citric, and malonic acid concentrations in roots, while –P supply with salt stress increased succinic, fumaric, malic, and citric acid concentrations in roots (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Organic acid concentrations also signifcantly difered between genotypes in response to salt stress and P supply. For Shavano, –P supply without salt stress increased succinic, citric, malonic, and pantothenic acid concentrations in roots, and –P supply with salt stress increased succinic, malic, citric, and pantothenic acid concentrations in roots (Table [2](#page-5-0)); for AK 58, –P supply without salt stress increased pantothenic acid concentrations in roots, and –P supply with salt stress increased, though not signifcantly, the concentrations of succinic, fumaric, malic, citric, and pantothenic acid in roots.

Table 2 Root and shoot organic acid concentrations at six weeks post-transplanting of two wheat genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) grown under two P supply levels [no P (–P), P added (+P)] and two salt stress levels [no salt stress (–S), salt stress (+S)]

Genotype	Organ	Treatment	Organic acid concentration $\left(\frac{ug}{g}\right)$						
			Succinic acid	Fumaric acid	Malic acid	Citric acid	Malonic acid	Pantothenic acid	
AK58	Root	$-S-P$	4.6 ± 0.65 b	1.6 ± 0.24 b	$127.0 \pm 23.03 \text{ b}$	$117.7 \pm 42.40 \text{ b}$	1.2 ± 0.25 c	23.6 ± 2.45 a	
		$-S+P$	5.7 ± 0.52 a	2.5 ± 0.90 a	328.8 ± 28.48 a	213.2 ± 28.44 a	2.6 ± 0.28 a	10.0 ± 3.01 b	
		$+S-P$	3.3 ± 0.70 c	0.5 ± 0.04 c	36.9 ± 3.66 c	59.7 ± 5.47 c	1.2 ± 0.04 c	3.7 ± 1.01 c	
		$+S+P$	2.9 ± 0.33 c	0.4 ± 0.08 c	15.5 ± 2.39 c	38.0 ± 4.59 c	$1.7 \pm 0.12 b$	3.6 ± 0.31 c	
	Shoot	$-S-P$	$13.9 \pm 2.3 b$	1.5 ± 0.43 b	288.0 ± 9.80 b	774.6 ± 40.80 b	46.3 ± 5.45 a	282.2 ± 9.88 a	
		$-S+P$	$16.3 \pm 4.0 b$	2.1 ± 0.25 a	411.2 ± 48.61 a	879.6 ± 57.06 a	54.4 ± 5.59 a	$102.1 \pm 12.91 b$	
		$+S-P$	23.3 ± 1.3 a	1.2 ± 0.14 bc	270.0 ± 40.05 bc	437.0 ± 50.32 c	31.1 ± 3.47 b	81.8 ± 5.11 c	
		$+S+P$	24.1 ± 4.4 a	0.9 ± 0.24 c	213.4 ± 6.33 c	285.8 ± 10.08 d	20.1 ± 3.93 c	59.0 ± 12.44 d	
Shavano	Root	$-S-P$	5.5 ± 0.63 a	1.8 ± 0.11 a	168.6 ± 25.81 a	116.4 ± 12.92 a	2.3 ± 0.11 a	7.5 ± 0.29 a	
		$-S+P$	4.3 ± 0.23 a	2.0 ± 0.17 a	195.6 ± 23.62 a	104.9 ± 13.97 a	1.5 ± 0.09 b	6.5 ± 0.69 a	
		$+S-P$	2.8 ± 0.68 b	0.3 ± 0.03 b	37.8 ± 14.74 b	48.7 ± 16.13 b	$1.0 \pm 0.32 b$	$4.0 \pm 1.91 b$	
		$+S+P$	2.0 ± 0.86 b	$0.4 \pm 0.16 b$	$15.2 \pm 7.94 b$	31.2 ± 12.65 b	1.3 ± 0.59 b	3.7 ± 1.64 b	
	Shoot	$-S-P$	22.4 ± 2.27 b	1.7 ± 0.20 ab	440.0 ± 20.59 a	$590.3 \pm 45.91 b$	34.3 ± 4.72 a	94.4 ± 8.56 bc	
		$-S+P$	29.3 ± 5.62 ab	1.9 ± 0.26 a	461.1 ± 36.30 a	756.8 ± 22.55 a	34.4 ± 5.06 a	121.6 ± 10.30 a	
		$+S-P$	24.2 ± 1.30 b	1.2 ± 0.06 c	358.4 ± 65.37 b	527.9 ± 45.85 b	28.4 ± 5.80 ab	106.0 ± 10.23 ab	
		$+S+P$	37.7 ± 6.57 a	1.5 ± 0.08 bc	307.5 ± 16.58 b	391.0 ± 52.11 c	$21.4 \pm 3.91 b$	82.0 ± 10.54 c	

Different letters within the same organ and genotype indicate significant differences (*P*<0.05)

Different letters within the same organ and genotype indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$)

Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P utilization as afected by P supply and salt stress

Genotype, salt stress, P supply, and organ significantly afected Na concentration at the seedling stage and maturity (Supplementary Tables S12 and S13). Salt stress increased shoot and root Na concentrations at the seedling stage and maturity relative to no salt stress (Tables [3](#page-5-1) and [4\)](#page-6-0). Salt stress increased grain Na concentration (except AK58 under –P supply) relative to no salt stress.

Table 4 Root, straw, and grain Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P concentrations at maturity of two wheat genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) grown under two P supply levels [no P (–P), P added (+P)] and two salt stress levels [no salt stress (–S), salt stress (+S)]

Genotype	Organ	Treatment	Elemental concentration (g/kg)					
			Na	K	Ca	Mg	${\bf P}$	
AK58	Root	$-S-P$	3.4 ± 0.27 c	15.4 ± 2.05 a	4.9 ± 0.27 a	4.9 ± 0.46 a	1.1 ± 0.09 ab	
		$-S+P$	1.5 ± 0.03 c	11.2 ± 0.89 b	4.2 ± 0.19 b	3.6 ± 0.22 b	1.0 ± 0.08 ab	
		$+S-P$	20.1 ± 1.72 a	8.1 ± 0.24 c	3.0 ± 0.23 c	2.6 ± 0.17 c	0.9 ± 0.06 b	
		$+S+P$	17.2 ± 1.95 b	10.8 ± 0.79 b	4.4 ± 0.26 b	4.6 ± 0.34 a	1.1 ± 0.08 a	
	Straw	$-S-P$	0.2 ± 0.02 c	28.1 ± 2.89 a	1.5 ± 0.11 d	1.8 ± 0.04 c	3.6 ± 0.23 a	
		$-S+P$	0.2 ± 0.02 c	30.5 ± 2.23 a	3.4 ± 0.15 c	3.6 ± 0.29 b	2.7 ± 0.03 c	
		$+S-P$	34.2 ± 2.06 a	17.3 ± 0.97 b	13.1 ± 0.69 a	12.3 ± 0.65 a	2.8 ± 0.16 b	
		$+S+P$	12.5 ± 0.49 b	$18.0 \pm 1.16 b$	8.8 ± 0.39 b	12.6 ± 0.71 a	3.0 ± 0.28 b	
	Grain	$-S-P$	$7.7 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ b	5.0 ± 0.11 ab	0.6 ± 0.04 a	2.3 ± 0.03 a	4.2 ± 0.33 ab	
		$-S+P$	$5.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-3}$ c	$4.9 + 0.44$ b	0.3 ± 0.02 d	1.9 ± 0.18 b	3.8 ± 0.15 b	
		$+S-P$	$7.8 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ b	5.1 ± 0.23 ab	0.4 ± 0.03 c	2.0 ± 0.13 b	3.8 ± 0.19 b	
		$+S+P$	$0.1 \pm 9.5 \times 10^{-3}$ a	5.5 ± 0.35 a	0.5 ± 0.02 b	2.1 ± 0.09 ab	4.4 ± 0.14 a	
Shavano	Root	$-S-P$	2.0 ± 0.14 d	14.4 ± 0.75 a	6.2 ± 0.44 b	4.5 ± 0.31 ab	1.3 ± 0.12 b	
		$-S+P$	5.1 ± 0.45 c	12.0 ± 0.82 b	7.2 ± 0.74 ab	6.1 ± 1.96 a	1.6 ± 0.09 a	
		$+S-P$	19.7 ± 0.90 a	6.3 ± 0.34 d	8.2 ± 0.52 a	3.3 ± 0.23 b	1.2 ± 0.10 b	
		$+S+P$	17.2 ± 0.24 b	9.3 ± 0.46 c	6.8 ± 0.59 b	3.4 ± 0.24 b	1.3 ± 0.11 b	
	Straw	$-S-P$	0.7 ± 0.03 c	42.8 ± 2.87 a	2.2 ± 0.12 b	2.9 ± 0.33 a	4.8 ± 0.31 a	
		$-S+P$	0.8 ± 0.07 c	41.3 ± 2.71 ab	1.5 ± 0.13 c	1.3 ± 0.11 b	3.8 ± 0.29 b	
		$+S-P$	14.5 ± 1.17 b	36.8 ± 2.82 b	$2.2 \pm 0.19 b$	3.0 ± 0.07 a	5.1 ± 0.60 a	
		$+S+P$	16.4 ± 1.28 a	39.3 ± 2.75 ab	2.8 ± 0.13 a	3.1 ± 0.32 a	4.6 ± 0.14 a	
	Grain	$-S-P$	$0.1 \pm 5.4 \times 10$ c	7.7 ± 0.07 b	0.5 ± 0.03 b	2.6 ± 0.06 ab	4.5 ± 0.30 b	
		$-S+P$	$9.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 9.4 \times 10^{-3}$ c	8.6 ± 0.30 ab	0.6 ± 0.05 a	2.7 ± 0.03 ab	4.8 ± 0.54 b	
		$+S-P$	0.2 ± 0.01 b	8.7 ± 0.76 a	0.5 ± 0.05 bc	2.8 ± 0.31 a	5.6 ± 0.39 a	
		$+S+P$	0.4 ± 0.03 a	7.8 ± 0.39 b	0.4 ± 0.03 c	2.3 ± 0.23 b	4.7 ± 0.36 b	

Different letters within the same organ and genotype indicate significant differences $(P < 0.05)$

At the seedling stage, salt stress and P supply had no significant effects on K concentrations, while genotype and organ had signifcant efects (Supplementary S14). In contrast, all four factors signifcantly afected K concentrations at maturity (Supplementary S15). Salt stress sharply increased root and shoot Na/K ratios at the seedling stage relative to no salt stress, on average, by 5.5 (Shavano) to 7.0 (AK58) times in roots and 8.0 (Shavano) to 14.0 (AK58) times in shoots. At maturity, salt stress had signifcantly decreased shoot and root K concentrations in both genotypes relative to no salt stress (Table [4](#page-6-0)).

Ca concentrations at the seedling stage were signifcantly affected by genotype $(P < 0.01)$, P supply $(P < 0.01)$, organ $(P<0.01)$, and some interactions among factors (Supplementary S16). Mg concentrations at the seedling stage were significantly affected by genotype $(P < 0.01)$, salt stress $(P<0.01)$, and organ $(P<0.01)$ (Supplementary S18). P concentrations at the seedling stage were signifcantly affected by genotype $(P < 0.01)$ and organ $(P < 0.01)$ (Supplementary S20). In contrast, at maturity, Ca, Mg, and P concentrations were signifcantly afected by all four factors, genotype $(P < 0.01)$, salt stress $(P < 0.01)$, P supply $(P<0.01)$, organ $(P<0.01)$, and all interactions among factors (Supplementary Tables S17, S19, and S21).

Salt stress increased root and shoot (straw) Na accumulation in both genotypes at the seedling stage and maturity relative to no salt stress (Tables [5](#page-7-0) and [6\)](#page-8-0) but decreased root and straw K accumulation at maturity (Table [6](#page-8-0)). Straw had higher K concentrations and total accumulation than roots and grain in both genotypes across all treatments. Ca, Mg, and P accumulation significantly differed between $+P$ and –P supply. For example, AK58 grown under salt stress with $+P$ supply increased root Ca, Mg, and P and shoot Ca and Mg concentrations and total accumulation at the seedling stage relative to –P supply.

The P requirement (expressed as P per g of grain yield), a critical index for evaluating crop P economy, difered

Genotype	Organ	Treatment	Total elemental accumulation (g/pot)					
			Na	K	Ca	Mg	\mathbf{P}	
AK58	Root	$-S-P$	0.14 ± 0.02 c	2.50 ± 0.32 a	0.18 ± 0.02 a	0.13 ± 0.02 b	0.25 ± 0.03 ab	
		$-S+P$	0.16 ± 0.03 c	2.83 ± 0.50 a	0.16 ± 0.03 a	0.17 ± 0.03 b	0.31 ± 0.04 a	
		$+S-P$	0.64 ± 0.05 b	1.94 ± 0.14 b	0.09 ± 0.01 b	0.14 ± 0.01 b	$0.19 \pm 0.02 b$	
		$+S+P$	1.20 ± 0.16 a	2.64 ± 0.30 a	0.15 ± 0.02 a	0.25 ± 0.03 a	0.35 ± 0.05 a	
	Shoot	$-S-P$	0.10 ± 0.02 c	8.86 ± 1.31 ab	0.66 ± 0.10 a	0.66 ± 0.10 a	1.13 ± 0.17 b	
		$-S+P$	0.07 ± 0.01 c	10.17 ± 1.41 a	0.49 ± 0.08 ab	0.48 ± 0.08 b	1.67 ± 0.27 a	
		$+S-P$	0.24 ± 0.02 b	5.57 ± 0.36 b	0.38 ± 0.02 b	0.39 ± 0.01 b	1.00 ± 0.09 b	
		$+S+P$	0.59 ± 0.07 a	7.81 ± 1.03 b	0.53 ± 0.04 a	0.59 ± 0.08 a	1.11 ± 0.14 b	
Shavano	Root	$-S-P$	0.11 ± 0.03 b	1.76 ± 0.39 a	0.09 ± 0.02 b	0.10 ± 0.02 b	0.18 ± 0.03 a	
		$-S+P$	0.08 ± 0.01 b	1.55 ± 0.07 a	0.11 ± 0.01 ab	0.10 ± 0.01 b	0.16 ± 0.01 ab	
		$+S-P$	0.32 ± 0.04 a	0.97 ± 0.13 b	0.13 ± 0.02 a	0.11 ± 0.01 b	0.10 ± 0.01 b	
		$+S+P$	0.42 ± 0.08 a	1.16 ± 0.25 b	$0.09 \pm 0.02 b$	0.19 ± 0.04 a	0.13 ± 0.03 b	
	Shoot	$-S-P$	0.06 ± 0.02 c	6.48 ± 1.78 b	0.68 ± 0.16 a	0.51 ± 0.09 a	1.40 ± 0.32 ab	
		$-S+P$	0.06 ± 0.01 c	7.54 ± 0.60 a	0.65 ± 0.05 a	0.53 ± 0.05 a	1.65 ± 0.07 a	
		$+S-P$	0.86 ± 0.11 a	6.33 ± 0.88 b	0.60 ± 0.06 ab	0.61 ± 0.05 a	1.12 ± 0.13 b	
		$+S+P$	0.49 ± 0.04 b	7.44 ± 0.44 a	0.55 ± 0.05 b	0.58 ± 0.05 a	1.47 ± 0.13 a	

Table 5 Total root and shoot Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P accumulation at six weeks post-transplanting of two wheat genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) grown under two P supply levels $[no P (-P), P \text{ added } (+P)]$ and two salt stress levels $[no \text{ salt stress } (-S), \text{salt stress } (+S)]$

Different letters within the same organ and genotype indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$)

between dwarf genotype AK58 (8.6–13.8 mg) and tall genotype Shavano (31.9–36.1 mg). The P requirement increased under salt stress with $-P$ and $+P$ supply for AK58 and increased under –P supply and decreased under+P supply for Shavano.

Discussion

Roots usually change at the morphological and architectural level under P defciency and salt stress. Indeed, increased lateral root length under P deficiency may offer more opportunities for wheat plants to absorb P (Zhao et al. [2018](#page-10-19)). Similarly, the TRL/ABG ratio at maturity increased under –P supply relative to $+P$ supply, indicating that plants under $-P$ supply require more root length to sustain equivalent aboveground biomass $as + P$ supply. Therefore, an unfavorable P supply would increase the total root length/aboveground biomass ratio of wheat. Salt stress also inhibited the growth of both genotypes relative to no salt stress in terms of root weight, aboveground biomass, and harvest index.

The link between organic acid concentration and P uptake, particularly under P deficiency, is well documented. Thus, an increased organic acid concentration was assumed to facilitate P uptake suboptimal P conditions. However, changes in organic acid concentrations

under $-P$ supply relative to $+P$ supply were far more complex than anticipated. For example, in AK58, –P supply decreased organic acid concentrations in roots relative $to + P$ supply under no salt stress. However, it increased organic acid concentrations (except malonic acid) under salt stress, suggesting an interactive effect of salt stress and P supply in controlling organic acid metabolism.

McGrail et al. [\(2021\)](#page-10-20) showed that root tips of wild-type wheat varieties contained more organic acids than modern dwarf genotypes under P stress. Similarly, the tall genotype Shavano had higher succinic, fumaric, malic, and malonic acid concentrations in roots in the –P–S treatment than the dwarf genotype AK58, suggesting different adaptive mechanisms to unfavorable soil conditions.

Consistent with our hypothesis, salt stress and low P supply altered the pattern of nutrient utilization in terms of elemental concentration and total accumulation. For example, salt stress increased Na and decreased K in roots and shoots. In one study, wheat straw had higher K and Mg concentrations than wheat grain but similar Ca concentrations (Jankowski et al. [2016](#page-10-15)); however, our data showed AK58 and Shavano had higher K and Ca concentrations and total accumulation in straw than grain, while these two genotypes had higher Mg accumulations in straw than grain under salt stress. The discrepancy

Table 6 Total root, shoot, and grain Na, K, Ca, Mg, and P accumulation at maturity of two wheat genotypes (AK58 and Shavano) grown under two P supply levels [no P (–P), P added (+P)] and two salt stress levels [no salt stress (–S), salt stress (+S)]

Genotype	Organ	Treatments	Total elemental accumulation (g/pot)					
			Na	K	Ca	Mg	\mathbf{P}	
AK58	Root	$-S-P$	6.04 ± 0.49 b	27.22 ± 3.24 a	8.86 ± 0.49 a	8.73 ± 0.82 a	1.96 ± 0.16 a	
		$-S+P$	2.65 ± 0.06 b	20.11 ± 1.60 a	7.61 ± 0.33 a	6.48 ± 0.39 b	1.85 ± 0.15 a	
		$+S-P$	36.04 ± 3.09 a	14.60 ± 0.43 b	5.39 ± 0.41 b	4.66 ± 0.31 b	1.68 ± 0.10 b	
		$+S+P$	30.83 ± 3.50 a	19.30 ± 1.42 b	7.95 ± 0.46 a	8.24 ± 0.61 a	2.03 ± 0.14 a	
	Straw	$-S-P$	0.38 ± 0.03 c	50.32 ± 5.18 a	2.73 ± 0.20 b	3.20 ± 0.06 b	6.38 ± 0.42 a	
		$-S+P$	0.40 ± 0.03 c	54.68 ± 4.00 a	6.09 ± 0.27 b	6.39 ± 0.51 b	4.86 ± 0.05 b	
		$+S-P$	61.35 ± 3.70 a	$31.11 \pm 1.74 b$	23.51 ± 1.23 a	22.06 ± 1.17 a	5.10 ± 0.30 b	
		$+S+P$	22.36 ± 0.88 b	32.28 ± 2.08 b	15.71 ± 0.71 a	22.58 ± 1.28 a	5.34 ± 0.51 ab	
	Grain	$-S-P$	0.14 ± 0.01 a	8.90 ± 0.20 ab	1.05 ± 0.07 a	4.09 ± 0.05 a	7.48 ± 0.60 a	
		$-S+P$	0.11 ± 0.01 b	8.72 ± 0.79 b	0.49 ± 0.04 b	3.37 ± 0.32 b	6.89 ± 0.26 b	
		$+S-P$	0.14 ± 0.01 a	9.10 ± 0.42 a	0.71 ± 0.06 ab	3.50 ± 0.24 b	6.76 ± 0.34 b	
		$+S+P$	0.19 ± 0.02 a	9.94 ± 0.62 a	0.90 ± 0.03 a	3.71 ± 0.16 ab	7.81 ± 0.24 a	
Shavano	Root	$-S-P$	3.50 ± 0.26 b	25.78 ± 1.35 a	$11.13 \pm 0.79 b$	8.03 ± 0.56 b	2.39 ± 0.22 a	
		$-S+P$	9.19 ± 0.80 b	21.48 ± 1.48 a	30.77 ± 1.33 a	11.81 ± 2.52 a	2.78 ± 0.16 a	
		$+S-P$	35.39 ± 1.61 a	11.36 ± 0.61 b	14.62 ± 0.94 b	5.93 ± 0.42 c	2.08 ± 0.18 b	
		$+S+P$	30.81 ± 0.44 a	16.65 ± 0.82 b	12.17 ± 1.05 b	6.04 ± 0.45 bc	2.28 ± 0.20 ab	
	Straw	$-S-P$	1.24 ± 0.06 b	76.75 ± 5.15 a	3.89 ± 0.22 ab	5.13 ± 0.60 a	8.55 ± 0.56 a	
		$-S+P$	$1.39 \pm 0.12 b$	74.12 \pm 4.86 a	2.70 ± 0.24 b	2.41 ± 0.20 b	6.89 ± 0.51 b	
		$+S-P$	26.07 ± 2.10 a	66.05 ± 5.05 b	4.00 ± 0.35 a	5.40 ± 0.13 a	9.17 ± 1.07 a	
		$+S+P$	29.37 ± 2.30 a	70.51 ± 4.94 ab	4.96 ± 0.23 a	5.53 ± 0.57 a	8.23 ± 0.24 a	
	Grain	$-S-P$	0.18 ± 0.01 b	13.83 ± 0.13 b	0.87 ± 0.05 ab	4.58 ± 0.11 b	8.05 ± 0.55 b	
		$-S+P$	0.16 ± 0.02 b	15.35 ± 0.54 a	1.09 ± 0.09 a	4.82 ± 0.05 ab	8.65 ± 0.98 b	
		$+S-P$	0.35 ± 0.03 a	15.63 ± 1.37 a	0.91 ± 0.08 a	5.10 ± 0.55 a	10.12 ± 0.70 a	
		$+S+P$	0.66 ± 0.05 a	13.97 ± 0.70 b	0.77 ± 0.06 b	4.21 ± 0.41 b	8.42 ± 0.65 b	

Different letters within the same organ and genotype indicate significant differences $(P < 0.05)$

between this study and Jankowski et al. [\(2016](#page-10-15)) could be attributed to differences in soil properties and genotypes.

Wheat production requires substantial P fertilizer inputs; the P requirement (expressed as P amount per g of grain yield) differs between growing conditions, ranging from 1.4 to 6.4 mg (Obaid-ur-Reham et al. [2007\)](#page-10-21), 3.8–4.5 mg (Teng et al. [2013\)](#page-10-22), 1.9–5.3 mg (Jones et al. [1992\)](#page-10-23), and 4.2–4.7 mg (Zhan et al. [2015](#page-10-24)) in the field conditions. In contrast, the greenhouse conditions in the current study had much lower P efficiencies than field studies, with P requirements ranging from 8.6 to 13.8 mg for dwarf genotype AK58 and 31.9–36.1 mg for tall genotype Shavano. The higher P economy of AK58 is likely due to the increased harvest index conferred by dwarf genes.

Conclusions

Combined salt stress and P deficiency $(+ S-P)$ seemed to have impacted plant growth more severely compared with a single stress either salt stress $(+ S)$ or P deficiency $(-P)$. Changes of growth parameters and total mineral accumulation of AK58 and Shavano plants at maturity grown under $(-S-P)$, $(+S+P)$, and $(+S-P)$ relative to that under $(-S + P)$ are shown in Figs. [3](#page-9-0) and [4.](#page-9-1) The increased TRL/ ABG ratio with –P supply suggests that plants under –P supply require more root length to sustain equivalent aboveground biomass $as + P$ supply. The higher P economy of dwarf genotype AK58 relative to tall genotype Shavano is likely due to the increased harvest index conferred by dwarf genes. In general, P supply and salt stress signifcantly affected wheat growth (roots and aboveground **Fig. 3** Changes of growth parameters and total mineral accumulation of AK58 plants at maturity grown under (–S–P), $(+ S + P)$, and $(+ S - P)$ relative to that under $(-S + P)$. Abbreviations: PH, Plant Height; GW, Grain Weight; ABG, Aboveground Biomass; RW, Root Weight; TRL, Total Root Length

biomass), requiring biochemical and morphological/architectural changes to adapt to salt stress and/or P defciency, resulting in altered elemental compositions.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42976-023-00362-w>.

Fig. 4 Changes of growth parameters and total mineral accumulation of Shavano plants at maturity grown under $(-S-P)$, $(+S+P)$, and $(+S-P)$ relative to that under $(-S + P)$. Abbreviations: PH, Plant Height; GW, Grain Weight; ABG, Aboveground Biomass; RW, Root Weight; TRL, Total Root Length

Author contribution DYZ contributed to conceptualization; DYZ and ZWZ contributed to methodology; GLL, SPZ, XLZ, and WFZ contributed to investigation; DYZ contributed to writing; DYZ and KHMS contributed to writing—review and editing.

Funding This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32071954), Youth Program of Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020QC038), and PhD initiative Project of Binzhou University (2017Y24).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abbas G, Chen Y, Khan FY, Feng Y, Palta JA, Siddique KHM (2018) Salinity and low phosphorus diferentially afect shoot and root traits in two wheat cultivars with contrasting tolerance to salt. Agronomy 8:155
- Augstein F, Carlsbecker A (2022) Salinity induces discontinuous protoxylem via a DELLA-dependent mechanism promoting salt tolerance in Arabidopsis seedlings. New Phytol 236(1):195–209
- Cobalchin F, Volpato M, Modena A, Finotti L, Manni F, Panozzo A, Vamerali T (2021) Biofortifcation of common wheat grains with combined Ca, Mg, and K through foliar fertilisation. Agronomy 11:1718
- Courbet G, D'Oria A, Lornac A, Diquélou S, Pluchon S, Arkoun M, Koprivova A, Kopriva S, Etienne P, Ourry A (2021) Specifcity and plasticity of the functional ionome of brassica napus and *Triticum aestivum* subjected to macronutrient deprivation. Front Plant Sci 12:641648
- Jankowski KJ, Hulanicki PS, Sokólski M, Hulanicki P, Dubis B (2016) Yield and quality of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in response to diferent systems of foliar fertilization. J Elem 21:715–728
- Jones GPD, Jessop RS, Blair GJ (1992) Alternative methods for the selection of phosphorus efficiency in wheat. Field Crop Res 30:29–40
- Lynch J (1995) Root architecture and plant productivity. Plant Physiol $109.7 - 13$
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 3rd edn. Academic Press, London, UK
- Martinez V, Lauchli A (1994) Salt-induced inhibition of phosphate uptake in plants of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). New Phytol 125:609–614
- Martinez V, Bernstein N, Läuchli A (1996) Salt-induced inhibition of phosphorus transport in lettuce plants. Physiol Plantarum 97:118–122
- McGrail RK, Van Sanford DA, McNear DH (2021) Semidwarf winter wheat roots contain fewer organic acids than wild type varieties under phosphorus stress. Crop Sci 61(5):3586–3597
- Mittler R (2006) Abiotic stress, the feld environment and stress combination. Trends Plant Sci 11:15–19
- Mohankumar KT, Raghu NP, Tapan JP, Kapil AC, Ananta V, Suresh C, Atul BP, Ankita T (2022) Impact of low molecular weight organic acids on soil phosphorus release and availability to wheat. Commun Soil Sci Plant 53(18):2497–2508
- Møller IS, Gilliham M, Jha D, Mayo GM, Roy SJ, Coates JC, Haselof J, Tester M (2009) Shoot Na⁺ exclusion and increased salinity tolerance engineered by cell type- specific alteration of $Na⁺$ transport in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21:2163–2178
- Obaid-ur-Reham MSM, Ranjha AM, Sarfraz M (2007) Phosphorus requirements of cereal crops and fertility build-up factor in a Typic Camborthid soil. J Biol Sci 7:1072–1081
- Silberbush M, Ben-Asher J (1989) The effect of NaCl concentration on $NO³⁻$, $K⁺$ and orthophosphate-P influx to peanut roots. Sci Hortic 39:279–287
- Teng W, Deng Y, Chen XP, Xu XF, Chen RY, Lv Y, Zhao YY, Zhao XQ, He X, Li B, Tong YP, Zhang FS, Li ZS (2013) Characterization of root response to phosphorus supply from morphology to gene analysis in fIeld-grown wheat. J Exp Bot 64:1403–1411
- Tshewang S, Rengel Z, Siddique KHM, Solaiman ZM (2020) Growth, rhizosphere carboxylate exudation, and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation in temperate perennial pasture grasses varied with phosphorus application. Agronomy 10:2017
- White PJ, Broadley MR (2003) Calcium in plants. Ann Bot 92:487–511
- Wu L, Kobayashi Y, Wasaki J, Koyama H (2018) Organic acid excretion from roots: a plant mechanism for enhancing phosphorus acquisition enhancing aluminum tolerance and recruiting benefcial rhizobacteria. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 1–8(697):704
- Zhan A, Chen X, Li S, Cui Z (2015) Changes in phosphorus requirement with increasing grain yield for winter wheat. Agron J107(6):2003–2010
- Zhao DY, Zheng SS, Muhammond KN, Niu JQ, Wang N, Li ZJ, Wu HL, Ling HQ (2018) Screening wheat genotypes for better performance on reduced phosphorus supply by comparing glasshouse experiments with feld trials. Plant Soil 430:349–360
- Zhao D, Gao S, Zhang X, Zhang Z, Khan SA (2021) Impact of saline stress on the uptake of various macro and micronutrients and their associations with plant biomass and root traits in wheat. Plant Soil Environ 67(2):61–70
- Zhao DY, Zhang ZZ, Yuan YR, Zhang XL, Zhao WF, Li XP, Wang J, Siddique KHM (2022) Accumulation of zinc, iron and selenium in wheat as afected by phosphorus supply in salinised condition. Crop Pasture Sci 73(5):537–545
- Zribi OT, Abdelly C, Debez A (2011) Interactive efects of salinity and phosphorus availability on growth, water relations, nutritional status and photosynthetic activity of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Plant Biol 13:872–880
- Zribi OT, Labidi N, Slama I, Slama I, Debez A, Ksouri R, Rabhi M, Smaoui A, Abdelly C (2012) Alleviation of phosphorus deficiency stress by moderate salinity in the halophyte *Hordeum maritimum* L. Plant Growth Regul 66:75–85

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.