## **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Wheat** *Ms2* **confers complete male sterility without penalizing other traits**

**Huifei Zhang1,2 · Qunqun Hao2,3 · Fei Ni1 · Bo Lyu<sup>1</sup> · Allan Caplan2 · Jiajie Wu1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1536-2421) Daolin Fu2**

Received: 5 December 2020 / Accepted: 24 May 2021 / Published online: 31 May 2021 © Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt. 2021

## **Abstract**

Male sterility is a useful trait in traditional and hybrid wheat breeding. A dwarf male-sterile wheat line that harbors two tightly linked dominant traits, one, the dwarf gene *Rht-D1c* (originally called *Rht10*), and the other, the male-sterility gene *Ms2* (the two genes linkage group is collectively called *RMs2*), has been widely used in wheat breeding programs in China. The dominant *Ms2* (or *RMs2*) locus confers complete male sterility in wheat. In this study, we compared the plant height and spike traits in the  $Ms2$  (or  $RMs2$ )-isogenic  $BC_2F_1$  lines that were derived from four soft white winter (SWW) wheat of the US Pacifc Northwest (PNW), and the SWW line 'Brundage' that harbored an *Ms2* transgene. The dominant *Ms2* gene had no essential effects on agronomic traits, including plant height, spikelet length and spikelet numbers per spike, in the  $BC_2F_1$  plants of SWW wheats and the  $T_2$  transgenic 'Brundage'. In an open pollination environment in field, the *Ms2*-positive  $BC_2F_1$  plants had a 79% natural seed-setting rate, but the *RMs2*-positive  $BC_2F_1$  plants had only a 60% natural seed-setting rate, suggesting that the *Ms2* system is more practical for cross-pollination than the *RMs2* one. This diference is probably due to the extreme plant height-reducing efect (45% reduction on average) and the late anthesis efect (3–5 days in general) of the *Rht-D1c* locus. Collectively, these investigations showed that the dominant *Ms2* gene has no detrimental efects on plant and spike growth in fve PNW wheat varieties/lines, therefore can become a valuable gene tool for traditional and hybrid breeding in wheat.

**Keywords** Plant height · *Rht-D1c* and *Ms2* linkage (=*RMs2*) · Seed-setting rate · Spike trait · *Triticum aestivum*

# **Introduction**

Wheat male sterility has been widely used in traditional and hybrid breeding. Male sterility is common in angiosperms (Kaul et al. [1988](#page-5-0)), it refers to a condition in which fower anthers display partial or complete abortion without any diminution of pistil development. Two types of male sterility, sporophytic and gametophytic, have been documented (Yamagata et al. [2007](#page-6-0)). Sporophytic male sterility is caused by developmental defects in sporophytic tissues (Wilson et al. [2001](#page-6-1)), while gametophytic male sterility is caused

 $\boxtimes$  Daolin Fu dlfu@uidaho.edu

- State Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian 271018, Shandong, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA
- <sup>3</sup> College of Life Sciences, Zaozhuang University, Zaozhuang 277000, Shandong, China

by developmental defects in pollen grains (Durbarry et al. [2005](#page-5-1)). In wheat, eight genic male sterility (GMS) loci have been reported (McIntosh et al. [2013](#page-5-2)) and the genes associated with three of them have been cloned, i.e., *ms1* (Tucker et al. [2017](#page-6-2); Wang et al. [2017](#page-6-3)), *Ms2* (Ni et al. [2017;](#page-5-3) Xia et al. [2017](#page-6-4)), and *ms5* (Pallotta et al. [2019](#page-5-4)).

*Ms2* is a dominant gene conferring a 'non-pollen type' and complete male sterility (Deng and Gao [1982;](#page-5-5) Ni et al. [2017\)](#page-5-3).  $F_1$  seeds obtained from crossing will segregate in a 1:1 ratio between male-sterile and male-fertile progeny (Deng and Gao [1982](#page-5-5)) guaranteeing that *Ms2* plants will always be heterozygous. In 1980s, Liu et al. developed a dwarf male-sterile wheat by linking the dominant dwarf gene *Rht-D1c* (*Rht10*) and *Ms2* (collectively called *RMs2*) (Liu and Yang [1991\)](#page-5-6). Both *Ms2* and *RMs2* have been widely used in wheat breeding programs in China (Yang et al. [2009\)](#page-6-5). Until 2009, more than 30 wheat cultivars and 66 lines have been released by the *Ms2* and *RMs2* breeding systems in China (Zhai et al. [2009\)](#page-6-6). In 2017, Ni et al. cloned the *Ms2* gene using map-based cloning and this work was soon confrmed by an independent study (Xia et al. [2017\)](#page-6-4). *Ms2* is a gain-of-function allele due to a retrotransposon insertion in the promoter of the recessive *ms2* gene (Ni et al. [2017](#page-5-3)). *Ms2* represents a novel protein that interacts with eukaryotic initiation/elongation factors, GTP-binding proteins, and seven ribosomal proteins in yeast-two-hybrid assays (Ni et al. [2017](#page-5-3)). These interactions with the cellular translation machinery may be the cause of *Ms2* male sterility.

In China, the *Ms2*-derived male-sterile and fertile plants were comparable in appearance and in anthesis (Deng and Gao [1980](#page-5-7), [1982\)](#page-5-5). Other than its exclusive use in China, there is no report of the *Ms2* gene efect in non-Chinese wheat germplasm. To extend the utilization of *Ms2* gene, its efect on agronomic traits of PNW wheat varieties/lines was investigated in this study.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Plant materials and growth conditions**

Two male-sterile wheat lines, 'Xiaoyan 6+*Ms2*' ('XY6<sub>*Ms2*</sub>') and 'LM15*RMs2*' (Ni et al. [2017\)](#page-5-3), were used as donors for the *Ms2* gene introgressions. Four PNW wheat varieties/lines, including '01-10704A', '08-00802B', 'LCS Artdeco', and 'Puma', were used as recurrent parents to generate respective  $BC_2F_1$  hybrids. Winter wheat 'Brundage' was used as explant for the genetic transformation of  $Ms2$ . The  $BC_2F_1$ plants were grown on the Parker Farm (Moscow, Idaho, USA) in the 2019–2020 growing season. For each genetic background, the recurrent parent, *Ms2* hybrids and *RMs2* hybrids were each planted in neighboring rows that were 25 cm apart and 1.5 m long. Materials were planted in October 2019 and data were collected in July 2020. The transgenic plants and wild-type (WT) 'Brundage' were grown in greenhouse of the University of Idaho (UI) under a 16-h photoperiod with a day temperature of 22–25 ℃ and a night temperature of 15–20 ℃.

#### **Genetic transformation with the** *Ms2* **gene**

The wheat *Ms2* gene was excised from the plasmid PC976 (Ni et al. [2017](#page-5-3)) and ligated into a high copy plasmid, PC414C, using the restriction enzymes *Asc*I and *Not*I. The resulting plasmid, PC414C-*Ms2*, was amplifed in *E. coli*, and the *Ms2* fragment was gel purifed after cutting with *Asc*I and *Not*I. The *Ms2* fragment and the *BAR* (bialaphos resistance) gene on PC174 (Zhang et al. [2019\)](#page-6-7) were cotransformed into the 'Brundage' using biolistic bombardment (Lv et al. [2014\)](#page-5-8). The treated immature embryos were selected in media supplemented with 3 mg⋅L<sup>-1</sup> bialaphos (Gold Biotechnology, St Louis, MO, USA). Putative transgenic plants were tested for their resistance to 340 ppm

glufosinate-ammonium (Finale Herbicide; Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany).

## **Genotyping of transgenic plants**

Plant DNA was extracted from leaf tissue (Yuan et al. [2012](#page-6-8)), quantifed on an ND-1000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and diluted to 100 ng  $ul<sup>-1</sup>$ . PCR markers, *HT5* and *X26* (Ni et al. [2017\)](#page-5-3), were used to genotype the *Ms2* gene in transgenic plants. PCR primers were 5'-GGCTCTGATACCAAATGTTGTTG-3' plus 5'-CGTAGATGCGGACCCAGGGGAT-3' for *HT5*, and 5'-CAAATTCCATCTCACCGATCTCTT-3' plus 5'- ATG GTGGTGTGCCCCTAAAAAG-3' for *X26*. PCR conditions were 95 ℃ for 5 min, 38 cycles of 95 ℃ for 30 s, 65 ℃ for *HT5* (or 60 ℃ for *X26*) for 30 s, and 72℃ for 60 s for *HT5* (or 30 s for *X26*), and a fnal extension at 72 ℃ for 7 min. PCR products were separated on a 1% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

## **Collection and analysis of agronomic traits**

For the  $BC_2F_1$  and transgenic  $T_2$  plants, we recorded plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike and fertility status at the late-anthesis stage. For spikelets, we only counted the well-developed ones, and excluded the basal and terminal spikelets that were poorly developed. The seed-setting rates per spike measured the fertility of the frst two primary forets in the well-developed spikelets in an open pollination environment. Only the primary tiller, normally the tallest and strongest shoot of each plant, was used for measuring plant height and seed-setting rates. We used SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) to process the collected data, which involved the use of GLM and UNIVARIATE procedures. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed for significance test.

# **Results**

#### **Conventionally transferred** *Ms2***/***RMs2*

In the  $BC_2F_1$  generation, plants segregated into the malesterile and male-fertile groups, as the *Ms2* (or *RMs2*) and *ms2* genetic groups. Plant height was compared among diferent groups. Under all four genetic backgrounds, the *Ms2* (*Ms2ms2*) and *ms2* (*ms2ms2*) genetic groups were not signifcantly diferent from the WT *ms2* group (*ms2ms2*, recurrent parent) (*P*>0.05) (Table [1](#page-2-0))—apart from *Ms2* of 'Puma' (4.2 cm diference). Except for the 8.1 cm diference of '08-00802B' (*P*<0.05), the *Ms2* and *ms2* genetic groups in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> were comparable to each other ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Therefore, *Ms2* had no essential effect on plant

Recurrent parent	Genetic group & plant number	Plant height (cm)	Spike length (cm)	Spikelets per spike	Seed-setting rate $(\%)$
01-10704A	$WT (ms2) (n = 10)$	$88.8 \pm 4.3^{\circ}$	$10.2 \pm 0.6^a$	$19.4 \pm 0.5^{\text{a}}$	$97.2 \pm 1.8^{\text{a}}$
	$Ms2 (n=10)$	$88.2 \pm 6.0^a$	$8.8 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	$16.4 \pm 1.8^b$	$82.2 \pm 10.7$ <sup>b</sup>
	$ms2 (n=10)$	$88.8 \pm 6.7^{\circ}$	$9.4 \pm 0.7$ <sup>ab</sup>	$17.8 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$95.8 \pm 3.7^{\circ}$
	<i>RMs2</i> $(n=6)$	$44.3 \pm 3.8^b$	$8.8 \pm 0.8^{\rm b}$	$16.8 \pm 1.5^b$	$66.0 \pm 12.2$ <sup>c</sup>
08-00802B	$WT (ms2) (n=11)$	$76.8 \pm 4.7$ <sup>ab</sup>	$11.1 \pm 0.5^{\text{a}}$	$18.6 \pm 1.0^a$	$97.6 \pm 2.7^{\circ}$
	$Ms2 (n=9)$	$74.7 \pm 8.7$ <sup>b</sup>	$9.7 \pm 1.2^b$	$17.2 \pm 2.0^a$	$77.2 \pm 9.7^{\rm b}$
	$ms2 (n=10)$	$82.8 \pm 5.6^a$	$10.5 \pm 0.6^{ab}$	$18.5 \pm 1.7^{\rm a}$	$92.4 \pm 7.8^a$
	<i>RMs2</i> $(n=5)$	$44.2 \pm 7.1$ <sup>c</sup>	$10.4 \pm 1.0^{ab}$	$18.8 \pm 1.6^a$	$39.7 \pm 13.3$ <sup>c</sup>
LCS artdeco	$WT (ms2) (n = 10)$	$79.5 \pm 4.0^{ab}$	$9.9 \pm 0.5^{\text{a}}$	$18.5 \pm 1.0^a$	$96.8 \pm 1.7^{\circ}$
	$Ms2 (n=7)$	$77.1 \pm 4.1^a$	$9.6 \pm 1.1^a$	$19.3 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	$70.7 \pm 11.8^{\rm b}$
	$ms2 (n=4)$	$74.6 \pm 1.8^{\circ}$	$8.9 \pm 0.8^a$	$18.0 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	$94.3 \pm 6.4^a$
	<i>RMs2</i> $(n=5)$	$46.1 \pm 7.0^b$	$9.2 \pm 0.6^a$	$18.2 \pm 2.1^a$	$59.9 \pm 13.3$ <sup>c</sup>
Puma	$WT (ms2) (n = 10)$	$91.4 \pm 4.5^a$	$10.6 \pm 0.7^{\text{a}}$	$18.0 \pm 1.2^{\rm a}$	$97.0 \pm 3.6^a$
	$Ms2 (n=10)$	$87.2 \pm 4.6^b$	$9.7 \pm 0.7^{\rm b}$	$19.1 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	$84.5 \pm 7.6^b$
	$ms2 (n=5)$	$88.6 \pm 6.1^{ab}$	$10.2 \pm 0.7^{ab}$	$18.8 \pm 1.5^{\rm a}$	$98.6 \pm 3.2^{\text{a}}$
	<i>RMs2</i> $(n=5)$	$44.0 \pm 4.1^{ab}$	$9.3 \pm 0.6^b$	$17.6 \pm 0.9^{\rm a}$	$74.1 \pm 13.2$ <sup>c</sup>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Plant height and the spike traits in  $BC_2F_1$ 

Mean $\pm$ SD (stand error) are recorded for the four traits. Means followed by the same letter (a, b, or c) are not significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  in Tukey-Kramer analysis. The sample size is indicated by  $n = '$  positioned in the brackets

height in the tested wheat. In contrast, due to the *Rht-D1c* gene, the *RMs2* groups in  $BC_2F_1$  were always dwarf, extremely shorter than any other genetic groups  $(P < 0.01)$ (Table [1](#page-2-0)). This is well characterized by the fact that while the average plant height of all four *Ms2* genetic backgrounds was 82.4 cm that of the *RMs2* was only 44.6 cm. So, the *Rht-D1c* gene itself caused a 45.9% reduction in plant height averagely.

Other agronomic traits including spike length, spikelets per spike and seed-setting rate were further analyzed. For spike length, the *Ms2* and *ms2* as well as *RMs2* genetic groups were not signifcantly diferent in each of the four wheat genetic backgrounds ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). However, the spike length of the  $Ms2$  and/or  $RMs2$  groups in  $BC_2F_1$ was somewhat more reduced than those in the WT *ms2* groups of '01-10704A', '08-00802B', and 'Puma' lines  $(P<0.05)$ —these differences ranged from 0.9 to 1.4 cm. It may require a few more backcrosses to compensate this spike length diference.

Spikelets per spike are an important yield component. In the  $BC_2F_1$  plants, the  $Ms2$  and  $ms2$  genetic groups were not signifcantly diferent in each of the four wheat genetic backgrounds (*P*>0.05); and the *RMs2* linkage did not impact the spikelet numbers compared to the  $ms2$  group ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table [1](#page-2-0)). In comparison to the WT *ms2* group of the recurrent parent, the spikelet numbers per spike of the *Ms2* and *RMs2* groups in  $BC_2F_1$  were only reduced in '01-10704A' (*P*<0.05)—15.5 and 13.4% diferences, respectively. From this, it is concluded that the *Ms2* gene does not essentially afect spikelet numbers per spike in common wheat.

To utilize *Ms2* GMS system in a large-scale hybrid seed production, it is important to know how it afects the seedsetting rate in an open pollination environment. In this study, the seed-setting rate was only based on the frst two forets of the well-developed spikelets in a spike. All spike heads of the  $BC_2F_1$  and the recurrent parents were left open for possible cross-pollination in the field. In  $BC_2F_1$ , the *Ms2* gene caused male-sterility, but when the *Ms2*-containing plants were allowed to cross pollinate, they displayed an average seed-setting rate of 79.4% in the *Ms2* group and 60.2% in the *RMs2* group as compared to the average (95.0%) of the *ms2* group (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Apparently, *Ms2*-based male-sterile plants are able to produce seeds in an open-cross environment on a relatively high percentage. However, the seed-setting rates of the *Ms2* and *RMs2* groups were signifcantly reduced when compared to the  $ms2$  group in  $BC_2F_1$  and in the recurrent parents (*P*<0.05) (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Due to the *Rht-D1c* gene a further signifcant reduction was also observed (19.2% diference between the averages of the *Ms2* and *RMs2* groups).

### **Genetically transformed** *Ms2*

To validate the efect of *Ms2*, we transformed the dominant *Ms2* gene into the PNW winter wheat 'Brundage'. In total, 2190 immature embryos of 'Brundage' were treated, and 32 embryos survived in the selection media supplemented with bialaphos. From 11 immature embryos, we obtained 11 putative  $T_0$  plants, of which four plants, PT1 to PT4 each from an independent embryo, exhibited the classic male sterility of the *Ms2* genotype and were positive for the dominant *Ms2*

gene (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). Three transgenic  $T_0$  plants, PT2–PT4, were also resistant to the Finale herbicide (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0).

Pollen grains of the WT 'Brundage' were used to pollinate the male-sterile transgenic lines (PT2–PT4). As expected, nearly half male-fertile and half male-sterile plants were obtained in both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  generations, and the malesterility trait was inherited from  $T_0$  to  $T_2$  generations. The transgenic plants and the WT 'Brundage' were genotyped using the *Ms2*-specifc markers: *X26* and *HT5* (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). This clearly distinguished the dominant *Ms2* group in the malesterile  $T_2$  segregants from the recessive  $ms2$  group. At the  $T_2$  generation, we compared the two genetic groups of each transgenic line. For plant height, spike length, and spikelets per spike, although there were slight diferences between the *Ms2* and *ms2* groups in each line, these diferences were insignificant  $(P > 0.05)$  (Table [2\)](#page-3-1).

To compare with the WT 'Brundage' (the WT *ms2* group), we pooled the *Ms2* group data and the *ms2* group data of PT2, PT3, and PT4. All data sets for plant height, spike length, and spikelets per spike data met the *ANOVA* assumptions  $(P > 0.05)$ . Again, no significant differences

were present for plant height, spike length, and spikelets per spike among the three genetic groups: WT  $ms2$ ,  $T_2 Ms2$ , and  $T_2$  *ms2* ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a–c). When developing heads in greenhouse-grown plants were bagged, the  $T_2$  *Ms2* group had zero seed set (Fig. [2d](#page-4-0)). In contrast, the WT *ms2* group had a 96% seed set, and the  $T_2$  *ms2* group had an 81% seed set (Fig. [2d](#page-4-0), Supplemental Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0), very similar to that of  $Ms2$  group in  $BC_2F_1$ . Taken together, the dominant *Ms2* gene conferred complete male sterility in transgenic wheat, while having insignificant effects on traits of height, spike length, and spikelet numbers per spike.

## **Discussion**

The male sterility gene *Ms2* is a valuable tool in wheat breeding. Although the *Ms2* gene has been extensively studied in China, how well this gene might function in non-Chinese wheat germplasm remained unknown. In this study, the *Ms2* gene and/or *RMs2* linkage were backcrossed into four PNW SWW wheat lines and transferred into an



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Characteristics of the  $T_0$  transgenic 'Brundage'. **a** Florets from putative transgenic  $T_0$  plants displayed male-sterile (left) and malefertile (right) phenotypes. Scale bar = 1 mm. **b** Putative transgenic  $T_0$ plants were resistant (R) or susceptible (S) to Finale herbicide as it

shown in leaf segments. Scale bar=1 cm. **c** PCR test of three putative transgenic  $T_0$  plants. PT2, PT3, and PT4 were positive for the dominant *Ms2* gene. Black arrows mark specifc band of a dominant *Ms2* gene. WT represents the wild-type 'Brundage'

<span id="page-3-1"></span>



Mean $\pm$ SD (stand error) are recorded for the three traits. The sample size is indicated by '*n*=' positioned in the brackets. In each line the  $Ms2$  and  $ms2$  groups are insignificantly different  $(P>0.05)$  in ANOVA analysis

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Plant height and spike development in the  $T_2$  transgenic 'Brundage'. Boxplots of the plant height (**a**), spike length (**b**), spikelets per spike (**c**), and the seed-setting rate (**d**). Transgenic plants segregated in the  $T_2$  generation into the dominant *Ms2* group and the recessive *ms2* group. 'Brundage' (or BD) was included as the non-transgenic WT control, which contains only the recessive *ms2* gene. For each genetic group, the box plot depicts the range of values shown by the vertical lines, the interquartile range (25–75 percentile) shown by the shaded box, the group means shown by the diamond symbol, and the group medians shown by the horizontal lines within each box. Outliers were plotted separately as cycles on the chart



additional one by biolistic bombardment.  $BC_2F_1$  generation and  $T<sub>2</sub>$  transgenic plants were investigated to illustrate the efect of *Ms2* on agronomic traits.

Plant height, spike length and spikelet numbers per spike are important traits that directly or indirectly contribute to yield potential. The yield components of wheat can be multifaceted (Slafer et al. [2014](#page-6-9)); however, grain number per spike is always an important parameter. In winter wheat, elite varieties showed on average 38% more yield compared to genetic resources, and this yield increase is mainly contributed by a 19% increase in grains per spike, but with limited gain (4%) in thousand grain weight (Philipp et al. [2018\)](#page-5-9). Wheat yield largely depends on the fnal numbers of well-developed spikelets and grains per spike which are established prior to fowering (Würschum et al. [2018\)](#page-6-10). In this study, both  $BC_2F_1$  plants and transgenic plants together

proved that the *Ms2* locus has no essential effect on plant height and spike-related traits, which provides a powerful breeding tool without masking the yield potentials in wheat breeding. Due to seed or space limitations, we did not include replication for each genotype; however, multiple backcross and transgenic lines unanimously agreed with each other, which served as a broad-sense replication within and between treatment groups.

The linkage of the dominant dwarfng gene *Rht-D1c* and the GMS gene *Ms2* (*RMs2*) (Liu and Yang [1991\)](#page-5-6) is very useful for large-scale hybrid seed production, as this dwarfng gene helps the identifcation of tall malefertile plants from dwarf male-sterile ones. However, our observations showed that the *Rht-D1c* gene had an adverse efect on natural seed-setting rate of the malesterile spikes in an open pollination environment, as the  $Ms2$ -positive  $BC_2F_1$  plants had a 79% natural seed-setting rate, but the *RMs2*-positive ones had only a 60%. This difference probably due to the delayed anthesis (3–5 days) in *RMs2* lines. It has been reported that *Rht-D1c*genes have efects on wheat heading and fowering time under diferent backgrounds (Sun et al [2011](#page-6-11)). It might also come from the extreme dwarfng nature of the *Rht-D1c*; the dwarf plants may have less chance to capture pollen grains due to little air fow around the ground. Besides, the adverse efect of this dwarfng gene was also observed in non-male sterile background, as it signifcantly reduced grain yield (Alghabari et al. [2015](#page-5-10)) and root dry mass (Wojciechowski et al. [2009\)](#page-6-12) as compared to various near-isogenic lines of winter wheat carrying various *Rht* alleles. Although the dwarf male-sterile wheat *RMs2* system has been widely used in wheat breeding programs in China, however, due to the above adverse efects of *Rht-D1c* dwarfng gene the *Ms2* system might be more practical for cross-pollination than the *RMs2* one.

In addition to its use as a recurrent selection tool in conventional breeding, the *Ms2* gene can also be designed for hybrid wheat breeding and hybrid seed production (Ni et al. [2017](#page-5-3)). To date, third-generation hybrid seed systems have been developed by integrating the use of male fertility/sterility genes and fuorescence marker genes in cereal crops (Chang et al. [2016;](#page-5-11) Zhang et al. [2018;](#page-6-13) Qi et al. [2020\)](#page-5-12). A similar wheat hybrid system could also be developed by integrating the dominant *Ms2* gene and the natural blue aleurone gene (Li et al. [2017\)](#page-5-13). There were breeders trying to create "Blue-AiBai" lines to stack *RMs2* and the blue aleurone gene via chromosome engineering. In 2004, Pu et al. reported a blue *RMs2* (collectively called *BRMs2*) carrying the blue aleurone gene and *RMs2* linkage on an additional chromosome. This monosomic wheat could be utilized in hybrid wheat breeding and hybrid seed production, but it has a main disadvantage that the inheritance of monosomic chromosome carrying the *BRMs2* is only around 22% (Pu et al. [2004](#page-5-14)). More efficient or high-throughput system could be developed by using cisgenics or transgenics methods to create *BRMs2* or the blue aleurone gene and *Ms2* linkage (*BMs2*). We think that last version, i.e., without the use of *Rht-D1c* gene, would be more economical solution for largescale hybrid production due to the higher seed-setting rate on the male-sterile mother line.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42976-021-00184-8>.

**Acknowledgements** We thank Dr. Yueguang Wang and Dr. Kurtis Schroeder for providing the PNW wheat varieties/lines for this study. We thank Dr. Purnhauser Laszlo for reviewing the manuscript.

**Authors' contributions** DF conceived the project; FN, AC, and JW contributed ideas and resources, HZ, QH, FN, and BL performed the experiments; HZ, QH, and DF analyzed the data; HZ, DF, and AC wrote the paper; and all authors discussed the results and the paper.

Funding This work was supported by the UI Extension and the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station, and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0101004).

**Availability of data and material** All wheat lines tested in this study are used to demonstrate the research results, but they are not available for distribution.

## **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare there is no competing interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-5-10"></span>Alghabari F, Ihsan M, Hussain S, Aishia G, Daur I (2015) Efect of *Rht* alleles on wheat grain yield and quality under high temperature and drought stress during booting and anthesis. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:15506–15515
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>Chang Z, Chen Z, Wang N, Xie G, Lu J, Yan W et al (2016) Construction of a male sterility system for hybrid rice breeding and seed production using a nuclear male sterility gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:14145–14150
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>Deng J, Gao Z (1980) The use of a dominant male-sterile gene in wheat breeding. Acta Agron Sin 6:85–98 (**(in Chinese)**)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>Deng J, Gao Z (1982) Discovery and determination of a dominant male-sterile gene and its importance in genetics and wheat breeding. Scientia Sinica (series B) 25:508–516
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>Durbarry A, Vizir I, Twell D (2005) Male germ line development in Arabidopsis. *duo pollen* mutants reveal gametophytic regulators of generative cell cycle progression. Plant Physiol 137:297–307
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>Kaul MLH (1988) Male sterility in higher plants. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>Li N, Li S, Zhang K, Chen W, Zhang B, Wang D et al (2017) *ThMYC4E*, candidate Blue aleurone 1 gene controlling the associated trait in *Triticum aestivum*. PLoS ONE 12:e0181116
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>Liu B, Yang L (1991) Breeding of dwarfng-sterile wheat and its potential values in wheat breeding. Chin Sci Bull 36:1562–1564
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>Lv B, Nitcher R, Han X, Wang S, Ni F, Li K et al (2014) Characterization of *FLOWERING LOCUS T1* (*FT1*) gene in *Brachypodium* and wheat. PLoS ONE 9:e94171
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>McIntosh RA (2013) Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat—2013. In: The 12th international wheat genetics symposium, Yokohama, Japan
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>Ni F, Qi J, Hao Q, Lyu B, Luo M, Wang Y et al (2017) Wheat *Ms2* encodes for an orphan protein that confers male sterility in grass species. Nat Commun 8:15121
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>Pallotta M, Warner P, Kouidri A, Tucker E, Baes M, Suchecki R et al (2019) Wheat *ms5* male-sterility is induced by recessive homoeologous A and D genome non-specifc lipid transfer proteins. Plant J 99:673–685
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>Philipp N, Weichert H, Bohra U, Weschke W, Schulthess AW, Weber H (2018) Grain number and grain yield distribution along the spike remain stable despite breeding for high yield in winter wheat. PLoS ONE 13:e0205452
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>Pu ZJ, Yan Z (2004) Breeding dwarf male sterile wheat with a blue seed marker. J Plant Genet Resour 5:31–34 (**(In Chinese)**)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>Qi X, Zhang C, Zhu J, Liu C, Huang C, Li X et al (2020) Genome editing enables next-generation hybrid seed production technology. Mol Plant 13:1262–1269
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>Slafer GA, Savin R, Sadras VO (2014) Coarse and fne regulation of wheat yield components in response to genotype and environment. Field Crops Res 157:71–83
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>Sun ZJ, Gao Q, Wang M, Tian S, Yuan K, Yu S (2011) Efects of *Rht10* on agronomic and photosynthetic traits in Lumai 15 and its male-sterility lines. Acta Bot Boreali Occident Sin 31:525–530 (**(in Chinese)**)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Tucker EJ, Baumann U, Kouidri A, Suchecki R, Baes M, Garcia M et al (2017) Molecular identifcation of the wheat male fertility gene *Ms1* and its prospects for hybrid breeding. Nat Commun 8:869
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Wang Z, Li J, Chen S, Heng Y, Chen Z, Yang J et al (2017) Poaceaespecific *MS1* encodes a phospholipid-binding protein for male fertility in bread wheat. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114:12614–12619
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Wilson ZA, Morroll SM, Dawson J, Swarup R, Tighe PJ (2001) The *Arabidopsis MALE STERILITY1* (*MS1*) gene is a transcriptional regulator of male gametogenesis, with homology to the PHDfnger family of transcription factors. Plant J 28:27–39
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>Wojciechowski T, Gooding MJ, Ramsay L, Gregory PJ (2009) The efects of dwarfng genes on seedling root growth of wheat. J Exp Bot 60:2565–2573
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>Würschum T, Leiser WL, Langer SM, Tucker MR, Longin CFH (2018) Phenotypic and genetic analysis of spike and kernel characteristics in wheat reveals long-term genetic trends of grain yield components. Theor Appl Genet 131:2071–2084
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Xia C, Zhang L, Zou C, Gu Y, Duan J, Zhao G et al (2017) A TRIM insertion in the promoter of *Ms2* causes male sterility in wheat. Nat Commun 8:15407
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Yamagata Y, Doi K, Yasui H, Yoshimura A (2007) Identifcation of mutants for abnormal pollen development in rice. Breed Sci 57:331–337
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>Yang L, Liu B, Zhai, Wang S, Liu H, Zhou Y et al (2009) Dwarf malesterile wheat: a revolutionary breeding approach to wheat. In: Shu QY (ed) Induced plant mutations in the genomics era, FAO, Rome, Italy, pp 370–372
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>Yuan C, Jiang H, Wang H, Li K, Tang H, Li X et al (2012) Distribution, frequency and variation of stripe rust resistance Loci *Yr10*, *Lr34*/*Yr18* and *Yr36* in Chinese wheat cultivars. J Genet Genomics 39:587–592
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>Zhai H, Liu B (2009) The innovation of dwarf male sterile wheat and its application in wheat breeding. Sci Agric Sin 42:4127–4131 (**(In Chinese)**)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>Zhang D, Wu S, An X, Xie K, Dong Z, Zhou Y et al (2018) Construction of a multicontrol sterility system for a maize male-sterile line and hybrid seed production based on the *ZmMs7* gene encoding a PHD-fnger transcription factor. Plant Biotechnol J 16:459–471
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>Zhang C, Huang L, Zhang H, Hao Q, Lyu B, Wang M et al (2019) An ancestral NB-LRR with duplicated 3′UTRs confers stripe rust resistance in wheat and barley. Nat Commun 10:4023