ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Surface oxidation of PAN‑based ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers (UHMCFs) and its efect on the properties of UHMCF/EP composites

Xinyu Wang1 · Xin Qian² [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6507-1665) Yonggang Zhang2 · Xuefei Wang2 · Shulin Song2 · Cheng Zhang1

Received: 31 March 2020 / Revised: 5 July 2020 / Accepted: 30 July 2020 / Published online: 9 August 2020 © Korean Carbon Society 2020

Abstract

In this study, nitric acid oxidation with varied treatment temperature and time was conducted on the surfaces of polyacrylonitrile-based ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers. Scanning electron microscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy and surface tension/dynamic contact angle instruments were used to investigate changes in surface topography and chemical functionality before and after surface treatment. Results showed that the nitric acid oxidation of ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers resulted in decreases in the values of the crystallite thickness Lc and graphitization degree. Meanwhile, increased treating temperature and time made the decreases more obviously. The surfaces of ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers became much more activity and functionality after surface oxidation, e.g., the total surface energy of oxidized samples at 80 °C for 1 h increased by 27.7% compared with untreated fbers. Efects of surface nitric acid oxidation on the mechanical properties of ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers and its reinforced epoxy composites were also researched. Signifcant decreases happened to the tensile modulus of fbers due to decreased Lc value after the nitric acid oxidation. However, surface treatment had little effect on the tensile strength even as the treating temperature and processing time increased. The highest interfacial shear strength of ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers/epoxy composites increased by 25.7% after the nitric acid oxidation. In the fnal, surface oxidative mechanism of ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers in the nitric acid oxidation was studied. Diferent trends of the tensile strength and tensile modulus of fbers in the nitric acid oxidation resulted from the typical skin–core structure.

Keywords Ultrahigh modulus carbon fber · Nitric acid treatment · Microstructure · Surface activity

1 Introduction

During the past decades, carbon fber reinforced polymers (CFRPs) have been widely used owing to their excellent ratio of stifness to material density and the advantages of designing composite structures for optimum in-service performance $[1-6]$ $[1-6]$. The properties of CFRPs are greatly governed by the properties of carbon fbers and resin matrix as well as the interface between them. The strong interface

 \boxtimes Xin Qian qx3023@nimte.ac.cn

 \boxtimes Cheng Zhang czhang@sit.edu.cn

¹ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai 201418, China

² National Engineering Laboratory of Carbon Fiber Preparation Technology, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315201, Zhejiang, China

between carbon fbers and the matrix can efectively transfer foreign load from the matrix to the fbers, which enhances the structural integrity of CFRPs and improves their performance [\[7](#page-11-2)[–9](#page-11-3)].

However, carbon fbers usually have highly inert surfaces due to high-temperature treatment in its preparation process. To improve surface chemical reactivity, the surface treatment of carbon fbers become necessary and it can be classifed into two categories: surface coating method and surface oxidation method $[10, 11]$ $[10, 11]$ $[10, 11]$. The surface coating method is to improve the bonding ability of carbon fbers by directly coating or growing active material on fber surfaces, such as electrochemical polymerization [\[12](#page-11-6), [13\]](#page-11-7), in-situ growth of carbon material [[14,](#page-11-8) [15\]](#page-11-9)and the introduction of nanoparticles [\[16](#page-11-10)–[19\]](#page-11-11).

Surface oxidation also can be divided into electrochemical oxidation [[3](#page-11-12), [20](#page-11-13), [21\]](#page-11-14), liquid-phase oxidation [[9,](#page-11-3) [22](#page-11-15)], gas-phase (plasma) oxidation [[23–](#page-11-16)[26\]](#page-11-17), etc. In the process of liquid-phase oxidation, nitric acid, sulfuric acid, phosphoric acid, and hydrogen peroxide can be chosen as the oxidizing

agent. Yue et al. [\[9](#page-11-3)] indicated that surface treatment of carbon fibers by $HNO₃$ could improve the mechanical properties of carbon fber reinforced composites. According the study by Woodhead et al. [\[27](#page-11-18)], much more functional groups of C=O and NO*x* were introduced onto the surface of carbon fibers after $HNO₃$ oxidation treatment.

According to the tensile modulus, PAN-based carbon fbers can be roughly classifed into four grades: standard grade carbon fbers (230–240 GPa), high strength and intermediate modulus carbon fbers (HSCFs, 280–300 GPa), high strength and high modulus carbon fbers (HMCFs, 350–480 GPa) and ultra-high modulus carbon fbers (UHMCFs, more than 480 GPa) [\[28](#page-11-19)[–30](#page-11-20)].

Compared with HSCFs, the surfaces of HMCFs and UHMCFs are much more inert due to the high-temperature graphitization process with a heat-treatment temperature of 2000 °C. Especially for the UHMCFs, the heat-treatment temperature is almost 3000 °C. Increased fber tensile modulus and surface inertness of HMCFs and UHMCFs also result in weak interfacial strength between carbon fber and resin matrix. A few researches have been studied on the surface treatments of low-modulus carbon fbers and HMCFs [\[31](#page-11-21)–[35\]](#page-12-0). However, the study on surface oxidation of UHM-CFs is very limited. In our recent published article, the surfaces of UHMCFs were electrochemically oxidized and the corresponding stepwise oxidation mechanism of UHMCFs in the electrochemical modification was revealed [\[30\]](#page-11-20).

 $HNO₃$ oxidation can effectively create functional groups on carbon fber surfaces. Therefore, the specifc efect of $HNO₃$ oxidation on the microstructure and mechanical properties of UHMCFs was explored in the present research. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray difraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Raman spectroscopy (Raman) and dynamic contact angle analyzer/ surface tension device were utilized to reveal changes in surface physico-chemical structure of UHMCFs during the $HNO₃$ oxidation. The mechanical properties of single filament and the interfacial shear strength (IFSS) between carbon fbers and epoxy resin were also researched.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

The ultra-high modulus carbon fbers (UHMCFs) in this study were PAN-based carbon fbers and produced in our laboratory, with the tensile strength of 3.39 GPa and tensile modulus of 493.65 GPa. The typical diameter of single flament was about 5 μm. The UHMCFs without surface treatment and sizing process were collected immediately after high-temperature graphitization. $HNO₃$ of analytical pure was supplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.

2.2 HNO₃ oxidation of UHMCFs

Surface treatment of UHMCFs was carried out by dipping carbon fiber into the $HNO₃$ solution. Firstly, the fiber samples with the length of about 50 mm were immersed in $HNO₃$ solution. Secondly, the surface treated samples were fltered with deionized water followed by a further ultrasonic washing for 0.5 h. Thirdly, the samples were dried in the oven for 6 h with the temperature of 50 °C.

The time for the samples immersed in $HNO₃$ solution were 0.5 h, 1 h and 2 h, respectively. The processing temperature of HNO₃ solution were room temperature 25 °C, 60 °C and 80 °C, respectively. According to the treating time and temperature, the corresponding samples were named as CF- HNO_3-25 °C-0.5 h/1 h/2 h, CF-HNO₃-60 °C-0.5 h/1 h/2 h, and CF-HNO₃-80 °C-0.5 h/1 h/2 h, respectively. UHMCFs without any treatment (CF-untreated) were also prepared as the comparative sample.

2.3 Characterization

2.3.1 Surface morphology characterization

The surface morphologies of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation were characterized by Hitachi S-4800 model scanning electron microscope (SEM), with an amplifcation of 15,000 times. The fber samples were secured on a metal mount by conducting tape and then coated with gold prior to examination.

2.3.2 Crystal structure analysis

A D8 ADVANCE DAVINCI X-ray difractometer (XRD) was used to characterize the crystal structure of UHMCFs with Cu K α radiation (= 1.5406 nm) generated at 40 kV and 40 mA. XRD patterns were collected at 2°/min in a 2*θ* range of 10°–35°. The crystallite thickness was calculated according to Scherrer equation [\[36](#page-12-1)]:

$$
Lc = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta},\tag{1}
$$

where Lc is the crystallite thickness, *K* is Scherrer constant, 0.9, *λ* is the wavelength of the incident X-ray, 0.15418 nm, 2 θ is the diffraction angle and β is the half-height-width of the difraction peak.

2.3.3 Surface chemical microstructure

The surface chemical microstructure of UHMCFs was characterized by a Renishaw inVia Refex Raman spectrometer with a green 532 nm line of an argon ion laser as the incident radiation. The Raman spectra were collected with the wavenumber range of 1000–2000 cm^{-1} under nitrogen atmosphere.

2.3.4 Surface chemical compositions

The surface chemical elements of UHMCFs were analyzed by an Axis Utltradld model X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with an achromatic Mg K α X-ray as a radiation source. The discharge stream was with a current of 30 mA and a voltage of 15 kV. The total pressure in the main vacuum chamber was below 10^{-8} mbar. Data processing was performed using Casa XPS processing software and all binding energies were referred to the C_{1s} peak at 284.6 eV.

2.3.5 Surface wettability

Dynamic contact angle measurements were performed using a DCAT21 model dynamic contact angle meter (Data Physics Instruments, Germany). Deionized water $(\gamma_1 = 72.8$ mN/m, γ ^d = 21.8 mN/m, γ ^p = 51.0 mN/m) and diiodomethane $(\gamma_1 = 50.8 \text{ mN/m}, \gamma^d = 50.8 \text{ mN/m})$ [\[10](#page-11-4), [37,](#page-12-2) [38](#page-12-3)] were selected as the tested liquids. The contact angle can be determined by the Wilhelmy formula:

$$
\cos \theta = \frac{mg}{\Pi \cdot d_f \cdot \gamma_1},\tag{2}
$$

where d_f is the fiber diameter, *g* is the gravitational acceleration, and γ_1 is the surface energy of the test liquid. The surface energy of UHMCFs (γ_f) can be calculated through the following equations $[11, 13]$ $[11, 13]$ $[11, 13]$ $[11, 13]$:

$$
\gamma_1(1+\cos\theta) = 2\left(\gamma_1^P \gamma_f^P\right)^{1/2} + 2\left(\gamma_1^d \gamma_f^d\right)^{1/2},\tag{3}
$$

$$
\gamma_{\rm f} = \gamma_{\rm f}^P + \gamma_{\rm f}^{\rm d},\tag{4}
$$

where γ_1^p , γ_1^d are the polarity component and dispersion component of the test fluid, respectively; γ_f^p , γ_f^d are the polarity component and dispersion component of carbon fber, respectively.

2.3.6 Mechanical properties of UHMCFs and interfacial property of carbon fber reinforced epoxy composite

The tensile tests of carbon fbers were performed on a FAVI-MAT+ single fber analyzer (Textechno H. Stein, Germany) according to the ASTM D3379-75 standard. The tensile load-elongation curves were collected at a test speed of 2.0 mm/min with the gauge length of 25 mm. The results were analyzed using Weilbull statistical methods and more than 30 flaments for each specimen were tested.

The interfacial shear strength (IFSS) of carbon fber reinforced epoxy composite were characterized by the microbond test [[26\]](#page-11-17). Single flament in fber tows was picked out with the length of 30 mm and secured onto the metal plate with superglue. A mixture of E-44 epoxy resin and triethylenetetramine with a weight ratio of 10:1 was dropped onto the single fber tow to form several resin droplets. The droplets were then cured at the 120 °C for 2 h. The IFSS between UHMCFs and epoxy resin matrix was detected by an interfacial microbond assessment instrument (Model HM410, Tohei Sanyon Co., Japan) and calculated according to the following equation:

$$
IFSS = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{\pi dl},\tag{5}
$$

where F_{max} is the maximal load as the interfacial debonding or sliding occurs, *d* is the fber diameter, and *l* is the embedded length of the epoxy droplet.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface morphology analysis by SEM

The morphology of carbon fibers treated with $HNO₃$ (processing time 1 h) are shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0). Well-defned longitudinal ridges and striations running along the fbers' axis were found on the surfaces of untreated UHMCFs (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0)), which resulted from the wet spinning process in the preparation of PAN-precursors [\[39](#page-12-4)]. Compared with untreated UHMCFs, changes in the longitudinal ridges and striations on the sur-faces of CF-HNO₃-25 °C were not obvious (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)b). However, UHMCF surfaces became smoother rather than rougher as the treating temperature increased to 60 °C and 80 °C (Fig. [1c](#page-3-0), d). The reason possibly lied in that the ridges of longitudinal grooves were oxidized much more intensively than the bottoms due to their large areas $[21]$ $[21]$. The violent oxidation at higher temperature fnally damaged the groove structure which made the grooves less rougher.

3.2 XRD analysis

 XRD patterns of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation (processing time 1 h) are shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-1). As can be seen, the difraction peak located at 26° in all the curves are assigned to the 002 peak [[40](#page-12-5)[–42](#page-12-6)]. The existence of the 002 peak is attributed to the typical turbostratic structure of carbon and it reveals that there also exists imperfect graphite structure in the UHMCFs. By comparison of XRD patterns of untreated UHMCFs, the full-width at half-maxima (FWHM) of the 002 peak increased in the XRD patterns of

Fig. 1 SEM micrographs of UHMCFs: **a** CF-untreated, **b** CF-HNO₃-25 °C-1 h, **c** CF-HNO₃-60 °C-1 h, **d** CF-HNO₃-80 °C-1 h

Table 1 XRD spectrum parameters of UHMCFs under HNO₃ treatment

Samples	2θ (°)	d_{002} (nm)	FWHM $(^\circ)$	Lc (nm)
CF-untreated	25.95	0.3430	0.965	8.46
$CF-HNO3 - 25 °C-0.5 h$	26.09	0.3413	0.969	8.42
$CF-HNO3 - 60 °C-0.5 h$	26.02	0.3422	0.976	8.36
CF-HNO ₃ -80 °C-0.5 h	26.12	0.3409	0.982	8.31
$CF-HNO3-25$ °C-1 h	26.14	0.3406	0.970	8.41
$CF-HNO3-60$ °C-1 h	26.11	0.3410	0.985	8.29
$CF-HNO3-80$ °C-1 h	26.08	0.3413	0.994	8.21
$CF-HNO3-25$ °C-2 h	26.09	0.3413	0.972	8.40
$CF-HNO3-60$ °C-2 h	26.12	0.3412	0.992	8.23
$CF-HNO3-80$ °C-2 h	26.15	0.3406	1.005	8.12

Fig. 2 XRD patterns of UHMCFs before and after the $HNO₃$ oxidation

surface oxidized UHMCFs, which indicated $HNO₃$ oxidation had destroyed the original crystal structure of the fbers.

The calculated XRD spectrum parameters of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation are provided in Table [1.](#page-3-2) The average interlayer spacing (d_{002}) of untreated UHMCFs was 0.3430 nm which was slightly higher than the d_{002} value of the ideal graphite crystal (0.3354 nm). Compared with untreated UHMCFs, all the d_{002} value after surface treatment with $HNO₃$ decreased, and the $HNO₃$ oxidation of UHMCFs also resulted in increased values of FWHM and decreased values of the crystallite thickness Lc. This result showed that the $HNO₃$ oxidation temperature of UHMCFs could affect graphite microcrystalline structure.

Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows the relationship between the crystallite thickness Lc and the processing time and temperature. When the processing temperature remained the same, the Lc value of oxidized UHMCFs decreased with the increase of the processing time. However, decreases in the Lc values of oxidized UHMCFs were not obvious as the processing temperature was at room temperature 25 °C, e.g., the Lc value of CF-HNO₃-25 °C-2 h was still 8.40 nm (as shown in Table [1](#page-3-2)).

Results in the paper also showed that increased processing time and temperature could result in signifcant decreases in the Lc value. When the process temperature of $HNO₃$ oxidation was 80 °C, the Lc values of CF-HNO₃-80 °C-0.5 h, $CF-HNO₃$ -80 °C-1 h, and $CF-HNO₃$ -80 °C-2 h samples (as shown in Table [1](#page-3-2)) decreased by 1.7%, 3.0% and 4.0% compared with that of untreated UHMCFs, respectively.

3.3 Raman spectroscopy analysis

Raman spectroscopy has been proved to be an efective method to follow the micromechanics of deformation of CF surfaces [[43](#page-12-7), [44](#page-12-8)]. Figure [4](#page-5-0) reveals the Raman spectra

Fig. 3 Relationship between crystallite thickness Lc, the processing time and the temperature of $HNO₃$ oxidation

and their corresponding ftting curves of UHMCFs before and after the $HNO₃$ oxidation (processing time 1 h) over the 1000–2100 cm^{-1} frequency range. Two characteristic bands can be found in the Raman spectra of all samples. The D-band located at around 1350 cm⁻¹ is assigned to increased crystallite boundaries and the G-band (about 1580 cm^{-1}) is assigned to the E_{2g} vibrational mode of the graphite crystal. The intensity ratio of two bands I_D/I_G has been utilized to characterize the degree of structural disorder [\[45](#page-12-9)]. Besides the G-band and D-band, another peak D′-band around 1620 cm−1 is also observed in the Raman spectra of UHM-CFs. The D′-band resulted from disorder and small crystallite structure are also defect-induced Raman features [[46\]](#page-12-10).

Table [2](#page-5-1) reveals the peak position and FWHM values of the disorder D-line and graphitic G-line, as well as the I_D/I_G ratios. As can be seen, the I_D/I_G ratios of HNO₃ oxidized UHMCFs were higher than that of untreated sample, and the higher the processing temperature in the $HNO₃$ oxidation, the higher the I_D/I_G ratio. Increased I_D/I_G ratios after the $HNO₃$ oxidation indicated that surface structure of oxidized UHMCFs became much more disorder. As a result, the chemical etching and increased number active carbon atoms of during the $HNO₃$ oxidation could reduce the graphitization degree of UHMCFs, which was consistent with the results by XRD.

According to above results in Table [2,](#page-5-1) higher processing temperature could result in increased I_D/I_G values on the surfaces of oxidized UHMCFs. The relationship between the I_D/I_G values, the processing time and treatment temperature is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-6-0) As can be seen, a higher processing time also led to significant increases in the I_D/I_G values. Compared with the I_D/I_G value of untreated UHMCFs (0.528), the I_D/I_G values of CF-HNO₃-80 °C-0.5 h, CF-HNO₃-80 °C-1 h, and CF-HNO₃-80 °C-2 h samples (as shown in Table [2\)](#page-5-1) increased to 0.550, 0.565 and 0.585, respectively. The I_D/I_G values of CF-HNO₃-25 °C and CF-HNO₃-60 °C samples also showed the same trend, and the higher the processing time, the higher the $I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm G}$ ratio was.

3.4 Surface chemistry by XPS

The surface chemical composition of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation was investigated by XPS, and Fig. [6](#page-7-0) shows high-resolution XPS spectra of untreated and surface oxidized UHMCFs. The characteristic peaks in the XPS spectra of untreated and surface oxidized UHMCFs at 284.6 eV, 532.6 eV and 102.6 eV indicated the C_{1s} peak, O_{1s} peak and Si_{2p} peak, respectively, which proved that surface chemical elements of both untreated and oxidized UHMCFs were mainly composed of carbon, oxygen and silicon.

Changes in the relative contents of chemical elements before and after $HNO₃$ $HNO₃$ $HNO₃$ treatment are shown in Table 3. To identify the obvious changes in surface chemical activity,

Fig. 4 Raman spectra and their corresponding fitting curves of **a** CF-untreated, **b** CF-HNO₃-25 °C-1 h, **c** CF-HNO₃-60 °C-1 h, (d) CF- $HNO₃$ -80 °C-1 h

the O/C ratio on the surface of oxidized UHMCFs are also reviewed in Table [3.](#page-7-1) The relative contents of C and O elements of untreated UHMCFs were 87.03% and 9.26%,

Table 2 Raman spectrum parameters of UHMCFs with different HNO₃ treatment

> respectively. The presence of O possibly resulted from absorbed oxygen element in the form $CO₂/O₂$ after hightemperature treatment.

Fig. 5 Relationship between I_D/I_G values, the processing time and the temperature of $HNO₃$ oxidation

As UHMCFs were oxidized at room temperature, the oxygen percentage of $CF\text{-HNO}_3$ -0.5 h sample slightly decreased compared with untreated UHMCFs, which possibly resulted from the elimination of absorbed oxygen element. Obvious increases happened to the oxygen percentages of CF- $HNO₃$ -60 °C-0.5 h and CF-HNO₃-80 °C-0.5 h samples at the expense of carbon elements. Meantime, the O/C ratio of oxidized UHMCFs at 80 °C increased from 0.11 to 0.18, which confirmed that $HNO₃$ oxidation at higher temperature was much more intense than that at room temperature.

As can be also seen in Table [3,](#page-7-1) the oxygen percentages of oxidized UHMCFs at 80 °C with the time of 1 h and 2 h decreased compared that of 0.5 h, which indicated that higher level of $HNO₃$ oxidation with increased treating time could result in decreases in surface chemical functionality. This could be due to the excessive oxidation of the UHMCFs surface. High temperature or processing time resulted in the decomposition of the carbon double bond on the surface of UHMCFs into [H] and $CO₂$ (as shown in Scheme [1](#page-8-0)) [\[47](#page-12-11)]. As a result, the corresponding O/C ratio decreased.

The chemical composition results showed a certain regularity as the processing time was 0.5 h. Therefore, high-resolution XPS C1s spectra and ftting curves of UHMCFs under $HNO₃$ treatment with the process time of 0.5 h are shown in Fig. [7.](#page-8-1) The XPS spectra of the C1s region is deconvoluted into four kinds of carbon-based functional groups: graphite carbon (C–C, 284.6 eV), carbon atoms in hydroxyl group or ether bond (C–OH, 285.5 ± 0.2 eV), carbon atoms in carbonyl group (C=O, 286.6 ± 0.3 eV), and carbon atoms in carboxyl or ester group (–COOH, 289.3 ± 0.2 eV) [[48](#page-12-12)[–50](#page-12-13)].

The calculated percentages of graphitic carbon and oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of untreated and surface oxidized UHMCFs are listed in Table [4.](#page-9-0) The calculated percentages of $C=O$ groups was as high as

11.56% on the surface of untreated UHMCFs. According to the results by our previous research, the basic $C=O$ groups couldn't react with the functional groups of epoxy resin and –COOH groups were responsible for the strong reactions between CF surfaces and the epoxy resin in the preparation of CF/epoxy composites [[51\]](#page-12-14). Compared with untreated UHMCFs (CF-0), signifcant decreases in the relative content of the C=O group showed up on the surfaces of oxidized UHMCFs. The relative content of the –COOH group also increased which was benefcial to the interfacial chemical reaction in the preparation of UHMCF/epoxy resin

3.5 Surface wettability analysis and interfacial shear strength

composites.

Figure [8](#page-9-1) shows the contact angle and surface energy values of untreated and surface oxidized UHMCFs (processing time 1 h). According to the surface materialization principle, the size of contact angle is closely related to the infltration of the infltrating fuid to the fbers [\[11](#page-11-5), [13](#page-11-7)]. Generally speaking, the smaller the contact angle and the higher the surface energy, the better the wettability of the resin to the fbers [[37\]](#page-12-2).

As shown in Fig. [8](#page-9-1)a, the contact angles between untreated UHMCFs and the water as well as diiodomethane were 72.42° and 49.42°, respectively. The contact angles between oxidized UHMCFs and the water as well as diiodomethane decreased. Especially for the oxidized UHMCFs at 80 °C, the contact angles between the CF-HNO₃-80 \degree C sample and the diiodomethane decreased from 49.42° to 30.37°, which indicated that $HNO₃$ surface treatment could improve the surface wettability of UHMCFs.

As for surface energy of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation, it can be seen from Fig. [8b](#page-9-1) that $HNO₃$ surface treatment even at 25 °C could also improve the surface energy of UHMCFs. The total surface energy of UHMCFs increased from 42.39 mN/m of untreated UMHCFs to 50.02 mN/m of CF-HNO₃ sample. On the other hand, increased treating temperature was benefcial to increases in fber surface energy. When the UHMCFs oxidized at 80 °C, the total surface energy increased by 27.7% compared with untreated UHMCFs.

3.6 Mechanical properties of UHMCFs and UHMCF/ EP composites

Figure [9](#page-9-2) reveals the mechanical properties of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ treatment. Compared with untreated UHMCFs, $HNO₃$ surface treatment resulted in significant decreases in the tensile modulus (Fig. [9](#page-9-2)a). Increased processing temperature and time resulted in significant decreases in the modulus, e.g., the tensile modulus of

Bingding Energy/eV

Fig. 6 High-resolution XPS spectra of **a** processing time was 0.5 h, **b** processing time was 1.0 h, **c** processing time was 2.0 h

Samples	element $(\%)$	Relative contents of chemical Atomic ratio		
	C_{1s}	O_{1s}	$\mathrm{Si_{2p}}$	(O/C)
CF-untreated	87.29	9.29	3.42	0.11
$CF-HNO3 - 25$ °C-0.5 h	88.05	7.59	4.36	0.09
$CF-HNO3$ -60 °C-0.5 h	85.55	11.13	3.32	0.13
$CF-HNO3$ -80 °C-0.5 h	81.17	14.51	4.32	0.18
CF-HNO ₃ -25 °C-1.0 h	86.14	9.53	4.33	0.11
$CF-HNO3$ -60 °C-1.0 h	87.19	8.86	3.95	0.10
CF-HNO3-80 °C-1 h	86.26	9.88	3.86	0.11
$CF-HNO3 - 25$ °C-2 h	87.52	8.28	4.20	0.10
$CF-HNO3-60$ °C-2 h	86.70	9.48	3.82	0.11
$CF-HNO3-80$ °C-2 h	87.84	7.97	3.95	0.09

Table 3 Surface elemental and chemical composition of UHMCFs

CF-HNO₃-25 °C-2 h (463.34 GPa) decreased by 6.14% compared with untreated UHMCFs (493.65 GPa). A large extent of decline in the fber modulus showed up as treatment temperature increased to 80 °C and the tensile modulus of $CF-HNO₃$ -80 °C-2 h decreased by about 10.68% compared with untreated UHMCFs. However, the effects of both the processing temperature and time on the tensile strength of oxidized UHMCFs were not obvious (as shown in Fig. [9](#page-9-2)b).

The IFSS values of UHMCF/epoxy resin composites before and after $HNO₃$ treatment (processing time 1 h) is shown in Fig. [10](#page-10-0). Due to highly inert surface of untreated UHMCFs, the IFSS value of composites reinforced by untreated UHMCFs was only 14.59 MPa. Compared with untreated UHMCFs, the IFSS value of composites reinforced by CF-HNO₃-80 \degree C increased by 25.7%. Increases

Scheme 1 The oxidative process of $HNO₃$ oxidation treatment

Fig. 7 High-resolution XPS C1s spectra and fitting curves of **a** CF-untreated, **b** CF-HNO₃-25 °C-0.5 h, **c** CF-HNO₃-60 °C-0.5 h, **d** CF- $HNO₃ - 80 °C - 0.5 h$

in the IFSS values could be due to increased fiber surface activity and functionality [\[52](#page-12-15)], and results in the paper also showed that $HNO₃$ surface treatment of UHMCFs could enhance the interfacial properties of UHMCF/ epoxy resin composites.

3.7 Effect of HNO₃ oxidation on the mechanical properties of UHMCFs

The typical skin–core structure of high performance CFs has been proved in early published documents [\[53](#page-12-16)[–55\]](#page-12-17), and

Table 4 Relative contents of graphitic and functional groups on the UHMCF surfaces

Samples				$C-C(\%)$ $C-OH(\%)$ $C=O(\%)$ $-COOH(\%)$
CF-untreated	57.68	26.71	11.56	4.05
$CF-$ $HNO3 - 25$ °C-0.5 h	65.80	23.40	8.69	2.11
$CF-$ $HNO3$ -60 °C-0.5 h	58.65	27.89	8.99	4.48
$CF-$ $HNO3 - 80$ °C-0.5 h	67.47	23.24	4.81	4.47

it is also well-known that the graphitic structure does exist in CFs, but the bulk of the material is in the turbostratic form [[55](#page-12-17)]. In the preparation of UHMCFs, the turbostratic structure of CFs gradually turn to the graphitic structure of UHMCFs. However, there still exists turbostratic structure in the UHMCFs which was proved by above XRD results. Due to the skin–core structure, the graphitic structure on external surface of UHMCFs more easily formed and increased. The micro-structure of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation is shown in Fig. [11](#page-10-1). The tensile modulus of

Fig. 8 Value of **a** the contact angle, **b** the surface energy of untreated and oxidized UHMCFs

Fig. 9 Mechanical properties of UHMCFs before and after $HNO₃$ oxidation

Fig. 10 Interfacial shear strength of UHMCF/epoxy resin composites

UHMCFs is highly dependent on the graphite structure, and the tensile modulus increases signifcantly with decreases in the d_{002} value and improvements in the Lc value $[29]$ $[29]$ $[29]$. As shown in Table [1](#page-3-2) and Fig. [3](#page-4-0), the d_{002} value of oxidized UHMCFs increased and the Lc value of oxidized UHMCFs also decreased due to chemical etching during the $HNO₃$ oxidation. As a result, signifcant decreases happened to the tensile modulus of UHMCFs after $HNO₃$ oxidation (Fig. [9a](#page-9-2)).

As for the tensile strength of UHMCFs, it is more likely related to the turbostratic structure. A large Lc value could

Fig. 11 Micro-structure of UHMCFs before and after

 $HNO₃$ oxidation

be accompanied by reduced lateral bonding between the stacks of carbon layers, thereby degrading the strength [[29\]](#page-11-22). In other words, decreased Lc value of oxidized UHM- CFs due to $HNO₃$ oxidation had a positive effect on fiber strength. $HNO₃$ oxidation could result in a certain degree of decline in the tensile strength of UHMCFS, but it merely afected the external surfaces of UHMCFs (as shown in Fig. [11\)](#page-10-1). As a result, effect of the $HNO₃$ oxidation on the tensile strength was not obvious (Fig. [9](#page-9-2)b).

4 Conclusions

The highly inert surfaces of UHMCFs results in poor interfacial properties between UHMCFs and epoxy matrix. In the present article, the $HNO₃$ oxidation of UHMCFs was conducted to improve UHMCFs surface activity. Compared with the untreated UHMCFs, the $HNO₃$ oxidation of UHM-CFs resulted in decreases in the graphitization degree, and UHMCFs surface became much more activity and functionality after surface oxidation. Signifcant decreases happened to the tensile modulus of UHMCFs after the $HNO₃$ oxidation as the treating temperature and the treating time increased. However, $HNO₃$ oxidation treatment had no significant effect on the tensile strength, After the $HNO₃$ oxidation treatment, the highest IFSS value of composites reinforced by oxidized UHMCFs increased by 25.7%.

Surface graphtic structure Internal turbostratic structure Surface oxidation **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to acknowledge the fnancial supports from Equipment Development Fund in The Field of Key Projects (no. 6140922010103), the Strategic Priority Research of Programme of Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. XDA17020405), Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (no. LY18E080037), and the "Science and Technology Innovation 2025" Major Project of Ningbo of China (no. 2019B10091).

References

- 1. Sharma M, Gao S, Mäder E et al (2014) Carbon fber surfaces and composite interphases. Compos Sci Technol 102:35–50
- 2. Raphael N, Namratha K, Chandrashekar BN et al (2018) Surface modifcation and grafting of carbon fbers: a route to better interface. Prog Cryst Growth Charact Mater 64:75–101
- 3. Kim KW, Jeong JS, An KH et al (2019) A study on the microstructural changes and mechanical behaviors of carbon fbers induced by optimized electrochemical etching. Compos Part B Eng 165:764–771
- 4. Werken N, Reese MS, Taha MR et al (2019) Investigating the efects of fber surface treatment and alignment on mechanical properties of recycled carbon fber composites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 119:38–47
- 5. Zhang RL, Huang YD, Liu L et al (2011) Efect of the molecular weight of sizing agent on the surface of carbon fbres and interface of its composites. Appl Surf Sci 257:1840–1844
- 6. Lissek F, Haeger A, Knoblauch V et al (2018) Acoustic emission for interlaminar toughness testing of CFRP: evaluation of the crack growth due to burst analysis. Compos Part B Eng 136:55–62
- 7. Liu L, Jia C, He J et al (2015) Interfacial characterization, control and modifcation of carbon fber reinforced polymer composites. Compos Sci Technol 121:56–72
- 8. Newcomb BA (2016) Processing, structure, and properties of carbon fbers. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 91:262–282
- 9. Yue Z, Vakili A, Duran MP (2017) Surface treatments of solvated mesophase pitch-based carbon fbers. J Mater Sci 52:10250–10260
- 10. Wen Z, Xu C, Qian X et al (2019) A two-step carbon fber surface treatment and its effect on the interfacial properties of CF/EP composites: the electrochemical oxidation followed by grafting of silane coupling agent. Appl Surf Sci 486:546–554
- 11. Zhang Y, Choi JR, Park SJ (2018) Enhancing the heat and load transfer efficiency by optimizing the interface of hexagonal boron nitride/elastomer nanocomposites for thermal management applications. Polymer 143:1–9
- 12. Sun T, Li M, Zhou S et al (2020) Multi-scale structure construction of carbon fber surface by electrophoretic deposition and electropolymerization to enhance the interfacial strength of epoxy resin composites. Appl Surf Sci 499:143929
- 13. Wen Z, Qian X, Zhang Y et al (2019) Electrochemical polymerization of carbon fbers and its efect on the interfacial properties of carbon reinforced epoxy resin composites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 119:21–29
- 14. Du X, Xu F, Liu HY et al (2016) Improving the electrical conductivity and interface properties of carbon fber/epoxy composites by low temperature fame growth of carbon nanotubes. RSC Adv 6:48896–48904
- 15. Lutz V, Duchet-Rumeau J, Godin N et al (2018) Ex-PAN carbon fbers vs carbon nanotubes fbers: from conventional epoxy based composites to multiscale composites. Eur Polym J 106:9–18
- 16. Barzoki PK, Rezadoust AM, Latif M et al (2018) The experimental and numerical study on the efect of PVB nanofber mat

thickness on interlaminar fracture toughness of glass/phenolic composites. Eng Fract Mech 194:145–153

- 17. Xiao C, Tan Y, Wang X et al (2018) Study on interfacial and mechanical improvement of carbon fber/epoxy composites by depositing multi-walled carbon nanotubes on fbers. Chem Phys Lett 703:8–16
- 18. Chang H, Luo J, Liu HC et al (2019) Carbon fbers from polyacrylonitrile/cellulose nanocrystal nanocomposite fbers. Carbon 145:764–771
- 19. Rostamiyan Y, Youseftabar H, Azadi R (2018) Experimental study on the efect of nano zirconia on mechanical strength and microstructure of damaged epoxy-nanocomposites. Mater Res Express 6:025046
- 20. Qian X, Wang X, OuYang Q et al (2012) Surface structural evolvement in electrochemical oxidation and sizing and its efect on carbon fber/epoxy composites properties. J Reinf Plast Compos 31:999–1008
- 21. Qian X, Zhi J, Chen L et al (2013) Efect of low current density electrochemical oxidation on the properties of carbon fber-reinforced epoxy resin composites. Surf Interface Anal 45:937–942
- 22. Zhang G, Sun S, Yang D et al (2008) The surface analytical characterization of carbon fibers functionalized by H_2SO_4/HNO_3 treatment. Carbon 46:196–205
- 23. Paredes JI, Martinez-Alonso A, Tascon JMD (2003) Atomicscale scanning tunneling microscopy study of plasma-oxidized ultrahigh-modulus carbon fber surfaces. J Colloid Interface Sci 258:276–282
- 24. Lee ES, Lee CH, Chun YS et al (2017) Effect of hydrogen plasmamediated surface modifcation of carbon fbers on the mechanical properties of carbon-fber-reinforced polyetherimide composites. Compos Part B Eng 116:451–458
- 25. Moosburger-Will J, Lachner E, Löffler M et al (2018) Adhesion of carbon fbers to amine hardened epoxy resin: infuence of ammonia plasma functionalization of carbon fbers. Appl Surf Sci 453:141–152
- 26. Yuan JM, Fan ZF, Yang QC et al (2018) Surface modifcation of carbon fbers by microwave etching for epoxy resin composite. Compos Sci Technol 164:222–228
- 27. Woodhead AL, Souza ML, Church JS (2017) An investigation into the surface heterogeneity of nitric acid oxidized carbon fber. Appl Surf Sci 401:79–88
- 28. Chae HG, Newcomb B, Gulgunje PV et al (2015) High strength and high modulus carbon fbers. Carbon 93:81–87
- 29. Qian X, Zhi J, Chen L et al (2018) Evolution of microstructure and electrical property in the conversion of high strength carbon fber to high modulus and ultrahigh modulus carbon fber. Compos Part A Appl S 112:111–118
- 30. Qian X, Zhong J, Zhi J et al (2019) Electrochemical surface modifcation of polyacrylonitrile-based ultrahigh modulus carbon fbers and its efect on the interfacial properties of UHMCF/ EP composites. Compos Part B Eng 164:476–484
- 31. Kiuchi N, Sohda Y, Arai Y et al (2000) Unique low modulus carbon fbers for hybrid materials with excellent impact resistance. In: SAMPE 2000: 45 th International SAMPE Symposium and Exhibition 330–341
- 32. Anikin VA, Andrianova NN, Borisov AM et al (2017) Physical and mechanical properties of high-modulus carbon fber crimped by ion irradiation. J Phys Conf Ser 941:102029
- 33. Xu P, Yu Y, Liu D et al (2018) Enhanced interfacial and mechanical properties of high-modulus carbon fber composites: establishing modulus intermediate layer between fber and matrix based on tailored-modulus epoxy. Compos Sci Technol 163:26–33
- 34. Makeev A, Ghafari S, Seon G (2019) Improving compressive strength of high modulus carbon-fber reinforced polymeric composites through fber hybridization. Int J Eng Sci 142:145–157
- 35. Zhao XJ, Qin W, Wang BL (2007) Efect of ozone treatment on the interfacial properties of high modulus carbon fber/epoxy composites. Mater Sci Forum 546–549:1547–1550
- 36. Zhang T, Qi L, Li S et al (2019) Evaluation of the efect of PyC coating thickness on the mechanical properties of T700 carbon fber tows. Appl Surf Sci 463:310–321
- 37. Ma L, Li N, Wu G et al (2018) Interfacial enhancement of carbon fiber composites by growing $TiO₂$ nanowires onto amine-based functionalized carbon fber surface in supercritical water. Appl Surf Sci 433:560–567
- 38. Jiang D, Xing L, Liu L et al (2015) Enhanced mechanical properties and anti-hydrothermal ageing behaviors of unsaturated polyester composites by carbon fbers interfaced with POSS. Compos Sci Technol 117:168–175
- 39. Li WW, Kang HL, Xu J et al (2018) Microstructures of highstrength high-modulus carbon fbers and high-modulus carbon fbers. Acta Polym Sin 49:380–388
- 40. Chen X, Zha L, Zhu Y (2010) Microstructure of high tensile strength and high tensile modulus PAN-based carbon fbers determined by X-ray difraction. New Chem Mater 38:85–88
- 41. Hao W, Wang Z, Zhang X et al (2018) Transforming of highstrength-medium-modulus into high-modulus carbon fber. New Chem Mater 46:70
- 42. Qian X, Wang X, Zheng K et al (2019) Relationship between micro-structure and macro-properties during the formation of PAN-based high modulus carbon fbers. Chem Ind Eng Prog 38:2276–2283
- 43. Melanitis N, Tetlow PL, Galiotis C (1996) Characterization of PAN-based carbon fibres with laser Raman spectroscopy. 1. Efect of processing variables on Raman band profles. J Mater Sci 31:851–860
- 44. Moosburger-Will J, Jan J, Strauch J et al (2016) Interphase formation and fber matrix adhesion in carbon fber reinforced epoxy resin: infuence of carbon fber surface chemistry. Compos Interfaces 24:1–20
- 45. Ren GZ, Chen CJ, Deng LH et al (2015) Microstructural heterogeneity on the cylindrical surface of carbon fbers analyzed by Raman spectroscopy. New Carbon Mater 30:476–480
- 46. Qian X, Wang X, Zhong J et al (2019) Efect of fber microstructure studied by Raman spectroscopy upon the mechanical properties of carbon fbers. J Raman Spectrosc 50:665–673
- 47. Fu Y, Lu Y, You T et al (2019) Study on multistage anodization for high-modulus carbon fber. Surf Interface Anal 51:798–808
- 48. Paiva MC, Bernardo CA, Nardin M (2000) Mechanical, surface and interfacial characterisation of pitch and PAN-based carbon fbres. Carbon 38:1323–1337
- 49. Liu X, Yang C, Lu Y (2012) Contrastive study of anodic oxidation on carbon fbers and graphite fbers. Appl Surf Sci 258:4268–4275
- 50. Wang B, Duan Y, Zhang J et al (2016) Microwave radiation efects on carbon fbres interfacial performance. Compos Part B Eng 99:398–406
- 51. Qian X, Chen L, Huang J et al (2013) Efect of carbon fber surface chemistry on the interfacial properties of carbon fbers/epoxy resin composites. J Reinf Plast Compos 32:393–401
- 52. Guigon M, Oberlin A, Desarmot G (1984) Microtexture and structure of some high-modulus, PAN-base carbon fbres. Fibre Sci Technol 20:177–198
- 53. Guigon M, Oberlin A, Desarmot G (1984) Microtexture and structure of some high tensile strength, PAN-base carbon fbres. Fibre Sci Technol 20:55–72
- 54. Peebles LH (1994) Carbon fbres: structure and mechanical properties. Int Mater Rev 39:75–92
- 55. Zhang T, Cheng Q, Xu Z et al (2019) Improved interfacial property of carbon fber composites with carbon nanotube and graphene oxide as multi-scale synergetic reinforcements. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 125:105573

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.