



# Characterization of plant growth-promoting, antifungal, and enzymatic properties of beneficial bacterial strains associated with pulses rhizosphere from Bundelkhand region of India

Raj K. Mishra<sup>1</sup> · Sonika Pandey<sup>1</sup> · U. S. Rathore<sup>1</sup> · Monika Mishra<sup>1</sup> · Krishna Kumar<sup>1</sup> · Sandeep Kumar<sup>1</sup> · L. Manjunatha<sup>1</sup>

Received: 22 November 2022 / Accepted: 26 June 2023 / Published online: 16 August 2023 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia 2023

### Abstract

The present study was conducted to characterize the native plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) from the pulse rhizosphere of the Bundelkhand region of India. Twenty-four bacterial isolates belonging to nineteen species (B. amyloliquefaciens, B. subtilis, B. tequilensis, B. safensis, B. haynesii, E. soli, E. cloacae, A. calcoaceticus, B. valezensis, S. macrescens, P. aeruginosa, P. fluorescens, P. guariconensis, B. megaterium, C. lapagei, P. putida, K. aerogenes, B. cereus, and B. altitudinis) were categorized and evaluated for their plant growth-promoting potential, antifungal properties, and enzymatic activities to identify the most potential strain for commercialization and wider application in pulse crops. Phylogenetic identification was done on the basis of 16 s rRNA analysis. Among the 24 isolates, 12 bacterial strains were gram positive, and 12 were gram negative. Among the tested 24 isolates, IIPRAJCP-6 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens), IIPRDSCP-1 (Bacillus subtilis), IIPRDSCP-10 (Bacillus tequilensis), IIPRRLUCP-5 (Bacillus safensis), IIPRCDCP-2 (Bacillus subtilis), IIPRAMCP-1 (Bacillus safensis), IIPRMKCP-10 (Bacillus haynesii), IIPRANPP-3 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens), IIPRKAPP-5 (Enterobacter soli), IIPRAJCP-2 (Enterobacter cloacae), IIPRDSCP-11 (Acinetobacter calcoaceticus), IIPRDSCP-9 (Bacillus valezensis), IIPRMKCP-3 (Seratia macrescens), IIPRMKCP-1 (Pseudomonas aeruginosa), IIPRCKPP-3 (Pseudomonas fluorescens), IIPRMKCP-9 (Pseudomonas guariconensis), IIPRMKCP-8 (Bacillus megatirium), IIPRMWCP-9 (Cedecea lapagei), IIPRKUCP-10 (Pseudomonas putida), IIPRAMCP-4 (Klebsiella aerogenes), IIPRCKPP-7 (Enterobacter cloacae), IIPRAMCP-5 (Bacillus cereus), IIPRSHEP-6 (Bacillus subtilis), IIPRRSBa89 (Bacillus altitudinis) bacterial isolates, IIPRM-KCP-9, IIPRAJCP-6, IIPRMKCP-10, IIPRAMCP-5, IIPRSHEP-6, and IIPRMKCP-3 showed the maximum antagonistic activity against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceris (FOC), Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lentis (FOL), and Fusarium udum (FU) causing wilt disease of chickpea, lentil, and pigeonpea, respectively, and maximum plant growth-promoting enzyme (phosphatase), plant growth hormone (IAA), and siderophore production show promising results under greenhouse conditions. This study is the first report of bacterial diversity in the pulse-growing region of India.

Keywords Rhizobacteria · Pulses · Plant Growth promotion · Fusarium spp. · Biocontrol

# Introduction

Bundelkhand region of India, which comprises seven districts of Uttar Pradesh (Jhansi, Jalaun, Lalitpur, Mahoba, Hamirpur, Banda, and Chitrakoot) and six districts of

Responsible Editor: Admir Giachini

Raj K. Mishra rkmishraiipr@gmail.com Madhya Pradesh (Datia, Tikamgarh, Chhatarpur, Panna, Damoh, and Sagar), is a semi-arid area with temperatures ranging between 3 and 47.8 °C [1]. Bundelkhand is the major pulse-growing region of India, commonly known as the "pulse bowl of the country" [2–4]. The Bundelkhand region contributes 8.4% of the total pulse production in the country. Chickpea is the most important pulse crop in the Bundelkhand region, followed by lentil, fieldpea, pigeonpea, and mungbean. Among the pulses, chickpea contributes about 30% of total pulse production in the world [5]. Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) is another major crop, and India is the largest producer and consumer in the world.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 208024, India

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) is the most nutritious and oldest pulse crop traced back to 13,000 and 7000 BC in the world and Asia [6]. These pulses are important because of the important role they play in atmospheric nitrogen fixation. They are also rich in dietary protein, minerals, and vitamins, which play an important role in human nutrition, especially for the vegetarian population worldwide. In terms of area and production, India is in first place, but in terms of productivity, it is far below Canada, Australia, Ethiopia, Mexico, and Myanmar.

The productivity of these crops is affected by a large number of pests and diseases at various crop stages. Among these diseases, wilt-causing Fusarium spp. is one of the most devastating soil-borne pathogens, causing up to 100% crop loss. Butler first reported that wilt of chickpea, pigeonpea, and lentil are caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceris, F. udum, and F. oxysporum f. sp. lentis, respectively [7]. The management of soil-borne pathogens is difficult because of their nature and wide host range. Biological control offers an environmentally friendly alternative to chemical fungicides [8, 9]. Among the biological approaches, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria are considered an important and effective approach for crop protection [10]. The use of biocontrol products is increasing day by day, but their products on the market are available in very limited quantities. Several bacteria have been studied as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria; in particular, the application of Pseudomonas and Bacillus has received particular attention because of their high root colonizing activity, metabolite (harzianic acid, viridin, etc.) production, and enzyme production [11, 12].

Knowledge of the native microbial population is required for understanding the distribution and diversity of rhizosphere microbes in specific crops. With the increasing popularity of chemical fertilizer in agriculture, there is a need to search for region specific microbes that can be utilized for better soil and crop health management in a sustainable manner. Recently, the bacterial diversity in the forest soil of Kashmir has been reported [13], but there is no data regarding the bacterial population of Uttar Pradesh. Keeping this in mind, the native strains from the rhizosphere of the pulsegrowing regions of Bundelkhand were isolated. These bacterial strains were characterized and screened in vitro for plant growth-promoting (PGP) and antagonistic potential, and representative potential isolates were identified by 16SrRNA gene analysis. Further, the plant growth potential was also evaluated on major pulses (chickpea, lentil, and pigeonpea) under in vivo conditions. The main objectives of the present investigation were to identify and characterize indigenous PGPR from the crop niches and to prove their efficacy for plant growth promotion potential and disease suppression ability against Fusarium udum, F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceris, and F. oxysporum f. sp. lentis which cause wilt disease of pigeonpea, chickpea, and lentil worldwide.

# Material and methods

#### Survey and sampling

Field survey of 13 districts (Jhansi, Jalaun, Panna, Banda, Lalitpur, Chhatarpur, Hamirpur, Damoh, Tikamgarh, Mahoba, Chitrakoot, Datia, and Sagar) was conducted to collect healthy plant samples from pigeonpea, chickpea, and brinjal crops of Bundelkhand region (Table 1). Ten rhizospheric samples were collected with a shovel to a depth of 30 cm to cut any of the lateral roots holding the plant in the soil from each district [14]. Collected plant samples were kept in plastic bags and stored in the refrigerator until used for the isolation.

# Isolation of rhizospheric bacteria

Bacterial species were isolated from the rhizosphere of healthy plants collected from Bundelkhand region of India (Fig. 1). Roots were excised and placed into 10 ml sterilized distilled water and vortexes to detach soil. Serial dilutions of the prepared mixture are plated on the nutrient agar and incubated for 28 °C for 24 h [15, 16].

# Isolation and characterization of *Fusarium* spp. associated with chickpea, lentil, and pigeonpea

Fungal phytopathogens, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceris (FOC), Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lentis (FOL), and Fusarium udum (FU), were isolated from the wilt infected chickpea, lentil, and pigeonpea plants by surface sterilization methods [17]. The plates were incubated at 28 °C, and primary identification was done by microscope. Isolated fungal cultures were stored in PDA slants, and pathogenicity tests were performed with hydroponics techniques. The desired *Fusarium* conidial suspensions  $(3.3 \times 10^6 \text{ conidia})$ ml) were added to each hydroponic test tube, and 15-day-old seedlings of chickpea, pigeonpea, and lentil were inoculated. After 7 days of inoculation, wilt disease symptoms were examined, and the plant became wilted and dry. The infected plants were bring in the laboratory for Fusarium isolation. Isolated cultures were further identified by molecular techniques using ITS universal primers ITS-1 (TCCGTAGGT GAACCTGCGG) and ITS-4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATAT GC) [18] were used as molecular markers.

# Characterization of rhizospheric bacteria

Bacterial characterization was done on the colony morphology, gram staining, oxidase test, and glucose fermentation test. Genomic DNA of bacteria was isolated by using

Table 1 Morphological characters of different bacteria isolated from rhizosphere of different crop plants of Bundelkhand region of India

Strains	Isolated from	Geographical locations	Plant rhizosphere	Cell motility	Bacterial cell shape
IIPRAJCP-6	Jhansi	25.4484° N, 78.5685° E	Chickpea	Highly motile	Small road
IIPRDSCP-1	Jalaun	26.1459° N, 79.3297° E	Chickpea	Less motile	Medium rod
IIPRDSCP-10	Panna	24.7180° N, 80.1819° E	Chickpea	Less motile	Medium rod
IIPRRLUCP-5	Banda	25.4763° N, 80.3395° E	Chickpea	Highly motile	Small rod
IIPRCDCP-2	Lalitpur,	24.6912° N, 78.4138° E	Chickpea	Less motile	Medium rod
IIPRAMCP-1	Chhatarpur	24.9168° N, 79.5910° E	Chickpea	Medium motile	Medium rod
IIPRMKCP-10	Jalaun	26.1459° N, 79.3297° E	Chickpea	Slow motile	Medium rod
IIPRANPP-3	Hamirpur	31.6862° N, 76.5213° E	Pigeonpea	High motile	Medium rod
IIPRKAPP-5	Jhansi	25.4484° N, 78.5685° E	Pigeonpea	High motile	Small oval
IIPRAJCP-2	Damoh	24.7456° N, 78.8321° E	Chickpea	Slow motile	Large oval
IIPRDSCP-11	Tikamgarh	24.7456° N, 78.8321° E	Chickpea	Medium motile	Large oval
IIPRDSCP-9	Mahoba	25.2921° N, 79.8724° E	Chickpea	Medium motile	Medium rod
IIPRMKCP-3	Tikamgarh	24.7456° N, 78.8321° E	Chickpea	Slow motile	Small rod
IIPRMKCP-1	Chitrakoot	25.1094° N, 81.0755° E	Chickpea	High motile	Small oval
IIPRCKPP-3	Mahoba	25.2921° N, 79.8724° E	Pigeonpea	Slow motile	Medium oval
IIPRMKCP-9	Datia	25.6653° N, 78.4609° E	Chickpea	Less motile	Medium oval
IIPRMKCP-8	Mahoba	25.2921° N, 79.8724° E	Chickpea	Medium motile	Medium rod
IIPRMWCP-9	Chitrakoot	25.1094° N, 81.0755° E	Chickpea	Medium motile	Medium rod
IIPRKUCP-10	Jalaun	26.1459° N, 79.3297° E	Chickpea	High motile	Small oval
IIPRAMCP-4	Panna	24.7180° N, 80.1819° E	Chickpea	High motile	Small oval
IIPRCKPP-7	Damoh	24.7456° N, 78.8321° E	Pigeonpea	Medium motile	Small oval
IIPRAMCP-5	Sagar	23.8388° N, 78.7378° E	Chickpea	High motile	Small rods
IIPRSHEP-6	Mahoba	25.2921° N, 79.8724° E	Brinjal	High motile	Small rods
IIPRRSBa89	Damoh	24.7456° N, 78.8321° E	Pigeonpea	Motile	Small rods

alkaline lysis method [19], and 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the universal primer pair (27F: 5'-AGA GTT TGA TC[A/C] TGG CTC AG-3', 1492R: 5'-G[C/T]T ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT-3') [17]. PCR conditions used for amplification were 1 min DNA denaturation at 94 °C, 1 min annealing at 57 °C, and 1 min extension at 72 °C for 35 cycles. The amplified PCR products were sent for sequencing to Chromus Biotech, and obtained sequences were run on BLAST program for the identification. After identification, the obtained sequences were trimmed and submitted to NCBI database for accession number allotment http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/). Phylogenetic tree was constructed with the obtained 16SrRNA gene sequences and reference sequences obtained from NCBI. The phylogenetic relationship among bacterial isolates and reference sequences was constructed using the maximum likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model [20].

# In vitro estimation of plant growth-promoting traits and extra-cellular enzymatic abilities of PGPRs

For proteolytic enzyme production, 0.1% CMC was amended in the YEMA medium, and 24-hour-old bacterial culture was inoculated. After 3 days, petri plates

were flooded with 0.1% Congo red solution; after 10 min, plates were washed with 1M NaCl, and plates were observed for the hydrolysis zone formation [22]. Measurement of proteolytic activity was done by the method of Uman, 1968 [21]; 1 ml of culture supernatant was added into a MCT containing 1 ml solution of 1% casein and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. After incubation, 3 ml of 5% TCA solution was added and shaken and kept at RT for 30 min. After incubation, contents of the tube were centrifuged for 15 min. Colloidal chitin amended media (glucose, 0.5%; peptone, 0.2%; colloidal chitin, 0.2%; K2HPO4, 0.1%; MgSO4, 0.05%; and Nacl, 0.05%) was used for chitinase activity determination. For chitinase activity, determination method of Reissig, Strominger, and Leloir (1955) [22] was used. One unit of chitinase was determined as 1 nmol of GlcNAc released per minute per mg of protein as described by Miller method [23]. The method described by García et al. [24] was used for the siderophore production. CAS media plates were prepared, and spot inoculation of tested bacterial strains was done. After 5 days of incubation at 28 °C, the plates were observed for the appearance of orange halo zone. For IAA screening, Salkowaski reagent was used [25], and 2 ml of Luria Bertani broth was inoculated by bacterial



Fig. 1 Geographical map location of bacterial isolates

strains and incubated for 48 h. After 48 h, 1 ml of Salkowaski reagent was added and observed for color change. Appearance of pink color indicates the IAA production. For quantification, the optical density (OD) was recorded at 530 nm, and IAA standard curve was used for the calculation of IAA content. Phosphate solubilization activity of PGPR bacteria was studied on Pikovskaya's agar media plates. Bacterial cultures were streaked on the Pikovskaya plates and incubated for 5 days for the appearance of clear zone formation around the colony [26]. For quantification, the bacterial strains were cultured on LB broth and incubated on shaker at 30 °C for 3 days. After incubation, cultures were centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000 rpm at 4 °C, and supernatant was collected to measure the amount of solubilized phosphate by method of Kovacs, 1956 [27]. Ammonia production was estimated by growing rhizobacterial strains in peptone broth (10 ml) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 to 72 h. After incubation, 0.5 ml of Nessler's reagent was added to bacterial suspension. The development of brown to yellow color indicated ammonia production [25]. For oxidase test, a loopful of bacterial inoculums was picked up and smeared on the filter paper containing oxidize reagent. Appearance of purple color indicates that the isolates are oxidase positive [28].

#### Abiotic stress tolerance of bacterial isolates

All the isolated bacteria were checked for their salt tolerance on nutrient agar media plates supplemented with different NaCl concentrations (1, 2, 4, 8, and 10%) [28]. For temperature tolerance test, all the isolated bacteria were incubated at different temperature 20 °C, 25 °C, 30 °C, 35 °C, 40 °C, and 42 °C [29]. All the experiments were performed in three replicates.

#### Antagonism assay

The pathogenic fungus culture was streaked on the PDA plate after 48 h on the opposite direction, bacterial culture was streaked, and plates were incubated for 7 days at  $28 \pm 2$  °C in incubator. After 7 days, mycelial growth inhibition percentage was calculated. For control plates, bacteria were not inoculated with pathogen [30]. Inhibition percentage is calculated by using the following formula:

%inhibition =  $[(R - r/R)] \times 100$ 

where R is the radius of fungal growth from the center of the plate towards the control treatment and r is the radius of fungal growth towards the bacterial treatment.

## **Greenhouse assay**

On the basis of antagonistic potential and PGPR tests, species selection of bacterial strains was done for greenhouse assay. Experiments were conducted in pots containing dried and sieved soil. For seed treatment, 5 ml of log phase bacterial cell suspension  $(1 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/ml})$  was added on seeds (10 seeds per pot) placed on a filter paper in petri dish and kept for 4 h. Each treatment was replicated three times. Plants were kept in greenhouse for 16 weeks with a 12-h photoperiod, 70% relative humidity, and 35 °C for light and 20 °C for dark. At the end of the experiment, bacterial isolation was done to check that introduced strains in the plants were the same. Bacterial identification was done by microscopic, cultural, and molecular techniques.

# Results

# Survey, sampling, isolation, and identification

A total of 24 bacterial isolates were obtained from the rhizosphere of different crop plants. Population density of the isolates in the rhizosphere was estimated, and it was found  $3.1 \pm 2.20 \times 10^6$  to  $5.6 \pm 1.21 \times 10^{10}$ , respectively. Based on the gram staining and KOH test, it was found that 52.1% were gram positive, while the remaining isolates were gram negative. Details of all the isolated bacterial strains are given in Table 1.

#### Molecular characterization

The results indicated 94.5 to 100% similarity homology with various Bacillus, Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Cedecea, Seratia, and Pseudomonas species available in NCBI database (Table 2). Two clades are present in Fig. 2. Clade second presents a single bacterial isolate IIPRMKCP-8 (MT436399). Clade first is divided into two subclades. Subclade first represents IIPRMWCP-9 (MT436400), IIPRCKPP-7 (MT436403), IIPRAJCP-2 (MT436392), IIPRKUCP-10 (MT436401), IIPRMKCP-1 (MT436396), IIPRMKCP-3 (MT436395) IIPRAMCP-4 (MT436402), IIPRLUCP-5 (MK863577), IIPRCDCP-2 (MK863578), and Bacillus subtilis (ON872223). Subclade second is further divided into two parts representing IIPRAMCP-5 (MT436404), IIPRDSCP-1 (MK863575), IIPRANPP-3 (MK863581), IIPRMKCP-9 (MT436398), IIPRCKPP-3 (MT436397), IIPRDSCP-11 (MT436393), IIPRKAPP-5 (MT436391), IIPRMKCP-10 (MK863580), IIPRDSCP-10 
 Table 2
 Molecular analysis of isolated bacterial strains

Sl No	Isolate name and their scientific name	NCBI Acc. No		
1.	IIPRAJCP-6 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens)	MK841518		
2.	IIPRDSCP-1(Bacillus subtilis)	MK863575		
3.	IIPRDSCP-10 (Bacillus tequilensis)	MK863576		
4.	IIPRRLUCP-5 (Bacillus safensis)	MK863577		
5.	IIPRCDCP-2 (Bacillus subtilis)	MK863578		
6.	IIPRAMCP-1 (Bacillus safensis)	MK863579		
7.	IIPRMKCP-10 (Bacillus haynesii)	MK863580		
8.	IIPRANPP-3 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens)	MK863581		
9.	IIPRKAPP-5 (Enterobacter soli)	MT436391		
10.	IIPRAJCP-2 (Enterobacter cloacae)	MT436392		
11.	IIPRDSCP-11 (Acinetobacter calcoaceticus)	MT436393		
12.	IIPRDSCP-9 (Bacillus valezensis)	MT436394		
13.	IIPRMKCP-3 (Seratia macrescens)	MT436395		
14.	IIPRMKCP-1 (Pseudomonas aeruginosa)	MT436396		
15.	IIPRCKPP-3 (Pseudomonas fluorescens)	MT436397		
16.	IIPRMKCP-9 (Pseudomonas guariconensis)	MT436398		
17.	IIPRMKCP-8 (Bacillus megatirium)	MT436399		
18.	IIPRMWCP-9 (Cedecea lapagei)	MT436400		
19.	IIPRKUCP-10 (Pseudomonas putida)	MT436401		
20.	IIPRAMCP-4 (Klebsiella aerogenes)	MT436402		
21.	IIPRCKPP-7 (Enterobacter cloacae)	MT436403		
22.	IIPRAMCP-5 (Bacillus cereus)	MT436404		
23.	IIPRSHEP-6 (Bacillus subtilis)	ON872223		
24	IIPRRSBa89 (Bacillus altitudinis)	MT641195		

(MK863576), IIPRDSCP-9 (MT436394), IIPRAJCP-6 (MK841518), and IIPRAMCP-1 (MK863579). For *Fusar-ium* isolates, reference sequences were retrieved from the NCBI, and neighbor-joining based phylogenetic tree was constructed (Fig. 3). Two clades are present in the tree; clade first is divided into two subclades. Subclade first presents *F. oxy. lentis* strains, and subclade second presents *F. oxy. ciceris* strain. Clade second presents *F. udum* strains.

# In vitro estimation of plant growth-promoting traits and extra-cellular enzymatic abilities of PGPRs

In vitro plant growth-promoting traits of the isolated rhizobacteria are described in the Table 3. All the tested 24 isolates produced IAA. The maximum IAA production was shown by IIPRSHEP-6 (78.90 ug ml<sup>-1</sup>) followed by IIPRM-KCP-9 (69.09 ug ml<sup>-1</sup>) and IIPRANPP-3 (65.11 ug ml<sup>-1</sup>). All the tested bacteria except IIPRLUCP-1 showed the formation of clear zone on Pikovaskaya media. Quantification of phosphate enzyme was done spectrophotometrically, and IIPRSHEP-6 showed maximum phosphate enzyme production (28 ug ml<sup>-1</sup>). The remaining other isolates showed the P solubilization from 2 to22 ug/ml (Table 3). Siderophore test revealed that 9 (IIPRMKCP-9, IIPRMKCP-10, IIPRAJCP-6,



Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree constructed by the neighbor-joining method derived from analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of PGPR bacterial isolates and related sequences obtained from NCBI

IIPRSHEP-6, IIPRCDCP-2, IIPRMKCP-3, IIPRDSCP-10, and IIPRAMCP-5) bacterial isolates were able to produce orange colored zone on the CAS media. Ammonia production test was also done for all the isolates. All the isolates showed ammonia production. All the isolates were tested for



Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree constructed by the neighbor-joining method derived from analysis of the ITS gene sequence of *Fusarium* isolates (highlighted in green color) and related sequences obtained from NCBI

the hydrolytic enzyme (chitinase and proteolytic enzyme) production. Quantification of chitinase enzyme production showed that IIPRSHEP-6, IIPRMKCP-9, IIPRMKCP-10, and IIPRANPP-3 produced maximum chitinase enzyme, while for proteolytic enzyme, production range was 2.33 to 24.33 mg/ml (Table 3).

# **Abiotic stress tolerance**

Twenty-four bacterial isolates of Bundelkhand region were screened for the salt tolerance. The bacterial isolates were analyzed ranging from 2 to 10%; only one isolate MKCP-9 was able to survive up to 6% of salt concentration. Thermophilic bacteria have the ability to survive in high temperature conditions. In the present study, a survey was conducted in Bundelkhand to collect and isolate plant growth

 Table 3
 Biochemical characterization of isolated plant growth promoting rhizobacteria

Isolate name	IAA ( $\mu g m l^{-1}$ )	Siderophore	КОН	Ammonia	Phosphate $(\mu g m l^{-1})$	Chitinase (mg/ml)	Proteolytic (mg/ml)	Gram reaction
IIPRAJCP-6	35.9	+	+	+	12.4	0.31	18.78	+
IIPRDSCP-1	56.2	-	-	+	21.8	0.30	10.23	+
IIPRDSCP-10	17.3	+	-	+	19.7	0.27	9.22	+
IIPRLUCP-5	22.9	-	-	+	-	0.30	2.33	+
IIPRCDCP-2	56.2	+	+	+	16.5	0.37	14.76	+
IIPRAMCP-1	29.7	-	+	+	20.8	0.35	17.98	+
IIPRMKCP-10	20.3	+	+	+	12.5	0.41	20.11	+
IIPRANPP-3	65.1	-	+	+	14.3	0.39	16.45	+
IIPRKAPP-5	27.3	-	+	+	11.2	0.38	11.43	-
IIPRAJCP-2	32.1	-	+	+	19.6	0.38	9.34	-
IIPRDSCP-11	12.3	-	+	+	18.7	0.23	5.45	-
IIPRDSCP-9	17.4	-	+	+	20.9	0.29	16.87	+
IIPRMKCP-3	34.6	-	+	+	11.3	0.19	12.98	+
IIPRMKCP-1	40.2	-	+	+	12.6	0.40	17.54	-
IIPRCKPP-3	43.1	-	+	+	20.5	0.31	6.43	-
IIPRMKCP-9	69.0	+	+	+	23.5	0.38	23.12	-
IIPRMKCP-8	56.4	-	+	+	16.3	0.19	5.54	+
IIPRMWCP-9	44.3	-	+	+	15.6	0.11	19.80	+
IIPRKUCP-10	65.3	-	-	+	14.3	0.14	20.33	-
IIPRAMCP-4	50.8	-	-	+	23.5	0.18	17.65	-
IIPRCKPP-7	48.5	-	+	+	20.4	0.24	15.55	-
IIPRAMCP-5	50.3	+	+	+	13.2	0.27	14.74	+
IIPRSHEP-6	78.9	+	+	+	28.2	0.45	24.33	+
IIPRRSBa89	55.1	+	+	+	17.8	0.34	11.32	+

and disease-suppressing rhizobacteria for the wilt disease of major pulses. Twenty-four bacteria were isolated, and out of the 23 bacteria, only one bacterium IIPRMKCP-9 was able to survive at 42 °C of temperature.

# Biocontrol ability of bacterial isolates against *Fusarium* isolates of pulses

Screening of all the bacterial isolates revealed significant reduction in the mycelial growth of all the tested wilt pathogens (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. ciceris, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. lentis, and *F. udum*) (Figs. 4 and 5). Among all the tested bacterial isolates, five isolates showed IIPRMKCP-9 (*Pseudomonas* guariconensis), IIPRAJCP-6 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens), IIPRMKCP-10 (Bacillus haynesii), IIPRAMCP-5 (Bacillus cereus), IIPRSHEP-6 (Bacillus subtilis), and IIPRMKCP-3 (Seratia macrescens) and showed the maximum inhibition of all the tested *Fusarium* isolates.

#### Plant growth parameters

In vitro plant growth-promoting traits of six most effective rhizobacteria are described in Fig. 6. The application of PGPRS has a profound effect on the lentil, chickpea, and pigeonpea plants. The relative increase in shoot and root length due to bacterial inoculation ranged from 25 to 50% over uninoculated plants. Similarly, bacterial isolates increase the root and shoot biomass up to 65% and 70%. Pseudomonas guariconensis (IIPRMKCP-9) and Bacillus subtilis (IIPRSHEP-6) found significantly better than the other tested rhizobacteria. Rhizobacteria strains with plant growth potential and high antifungal potential were evaluated for the disease suppression and pulse plant growth under greenhouse conditions. All the tested bacterial strains showed enhanced seed germination (87-91%) compared to the control (76%). Seed mortality caused by Fusarium isolate was reduced by PGPR bacteria isolates. The maximum seed germination was shown by Bacillus subtilis (IIPRSHEP-6) followed by Pseudomonas guariconensis (IIPRMKCP-9) and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (IIPRAJCP-6). Plant growth characteristics, viz., shoot length, root length, fresh weight, and dry weight, were significantly increased by the bacterial seed inoculation compared to the untreated control. All the tested bacterial strains enhanced the root and shoot length compared to the control. All the tested strains showed strong ability to produce IAA, siderophore, and phosphatase Fig. 4 In vitro antifungal activity of rhizobacteria against pulse wilt pathogen (*Fusarium* oxysporum lentis, *Fusarium* oxysporum ciceri, and *Fusarium* udum)



enzyme. Dry plant weight was also significantly higher in the treated plants over control plants (Fig. 6).

# Discussion

Fusarium wilt is a most destructive plant disease which poses a serious threat to plants by infecting the host plant at any growth stage and caused seedling death. It causes loss in many crops including fruits, cereal, pulses, and vegetable crops. Fusarium wilt pathogen is soil borne in nature and survives in soil for longer periods. In the present study, Fusarium spp. was isolated from the infected plants of pigeonpea, chickpea, and lentil. Rhizobacteria living in the rhizosphere interact with plants in many ways by increasing the plant growth and controlling the plant diseases. Rhizobacteria with plant growth and disease-suppressing potential are used as an alternative to chemical pesticides in the agriculture. In our study, 24 rhizobacterial isolates were recovered from the rhizosphere belonging to the Bacillus, Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Cedecea, Seratia, and Pseudomonas genera. All identified bacterial isolates are frequently used for the management of wilt disease in chickpea, lentil, and pigeonpea. Results revealed that Pseudomonas guariconensis IIPRMKCP-9, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens IIPRA-JCP-6, Bacillus haynesii IIPRMKCP-10, Bacillus cereus IIPRAMCP-5, Bacillus subtilis IIPRSHEP-6, and Seratia macrescens IIPRMKCP-3 showed the mycelial growth inhibition greater than 80% (Fig. 7). Mycelial growth inhibition could be attributed due to the process of antibiosis [31, 32]. It has been reported that production of various antimicrobial compounds (antimicrobial peptides, secondary metabolites, β-lactams, aminglycoside, macrolides, quinolones and flouroquinolones, streptogramin antibiotics, sulphonamides, tetracyclines, nitroimidazoles) are responsible for the phytopathogenic fungal growth inhibition. Most of the tested bacteria exhibit multiple PGP traits which aid in the growth promotion and disease reduction potential of PGPR. It is important to explore the potential of native rhizobacteria for their plant growth-promoting traits. In the present investigation, 7 bacterial strains showed strong positive response to IAA, siderophore and phosphate, and chitinase enzyme production. IAA production in bacteria generally depends on their ability to utilize tryptophan via indole pyruvic acid pathway. IAA helps in the root development, elongation, and proliferation and helps the plant to take up water and nutrients. All the bacterial strains produced IAA in varying concentration because of their different physiological characters (culture condition, growth stage, and substrate availability). However, it has been investigated that indole pyruvic decarboxylase is the enzyme which determines IAA biosynthesis. Siderophore production is the major biocontrol mechanisms exhibited by various plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under iron-limiting conditions. PGPR produces a wide variety of siderophores, most of the bacterial siderophores are catecholates (i.e., enterobactin), and some are carboxylates (i.e., rhizobactin) and hydroxamates (i.e., ferrioxamine B). However, there are also certain types of bacterial siderophores that contain a mix of the main functional groups (i.e., pyoverdine). Microbial siderophores provide plants with Fe nutrition to enhance their growth when the bioavailability of Fe is low. Siderophores also play an important role in disease suppression by limiting iron which is necessary for virulence, which make iron unavailable for pathogens, thus inhibiting their growth. 16 s rRNA sequencing technique has been widely used for the classification and identification of Fig. 5 In vitro antifungal activity of Rhizobacteria against wilt pathogen pulses (*Fusarium* oxysporum lentis, *Fusarium* oxysporum ciceri, and *Fusarium* udum)



bacteria [32]. In the present study, 16 s rRNA-based maximum likelihood tree indicates that isolated bacteria belong to the genus *Bacillus*, *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Cedecea*, *Seratia*, and *Pseudomonas*.

In pulse crops, salinity affects the production of pulses [33]. It adversely affects the root nodulation, symbiotic relationship, and finally the nitrogen fixation. Many workers have demonstrated the negative effects of soil salinity on soybean, pigeonpea, and common bean, mungbean [34–40]. Salt-tolerant species of *Pseudomonas* have been found to increase the health of chickpea under saline conditions [41]. The application of *Agrobacterium, Pseudomonas*, and *Ochrobactrum* has been found to increase the salt tolerance of groundnut crop [42]. Co-inoculation of *Bacillus japonicum* and *Pseudomonas putida* has been found to increase the N and P content in soybean plant under salt stress [43].

The present research clearly indicates that seed treatment with bacteria results in the decreased mortality rate of chickpea, pigeonpea, and lentil crops. Various studies have reported that rhizobacteria belonging to *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* genera are efficient in controlling the wilt disease in pulses [44]. The greenhouse study results revealed that all the tested PGPR strains significantly differ in their nature to control disease and PGP activity. All the tested bacterial strains performed better under greenhouse conditions compared to the control. Many studies have reports that PGP bacteria decrease the disease incidence in treated plants [45–50].

It has been observed that field application of bacteriabased products has been hampered because of their low performance due to various climatic and soil factors [51]. It was investigated that bacterial sporulation is greatly influenced by environmental factors. Development of multi-trait **Fig. 6** Effect of selected plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on **A** lentil, **B** chickpea, and **C** pigeonpea growth characters (values are mean  $\pm$  SD of three replicates. Significant at  $p \le 0.05$ )



Fig. 7 Effect of different bacterial isolates on the growth characteristics of lentil, chickpea, and pigeonpea (A control, B IIPRAJCP-6 (*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*), C IIPRMKCP-10 (*Bacillus haynesii*), D IIPRMKCP-3 (*Seratia macrescens*), E IIPRMKCP-9 (*Pseudomonas guariconensis*), F IIPRAMCP-5 (*Bacillus cereus*), G IIPRSHEP-6 (*Bacillus subtilis*))





bioformulation as well consortia is under process as a series of experiments with different soil types under greenhouse conditions, carrier material assessment etc. are going on.

# Conclusion

The findings of the present investigation suggest that identified most potential indigenous rhizobacteria strains could be utilized for making multi-trait biocontrol formulation as well as consortia as an eco-friendly alternative to the chemical fertilizers for soil and plant health management which enhances the production and productivity of pulse crops in a sustainable manner to increase the income of farming communities.

Acknowledgements The authors are highly grateful to the Department of Science and Technology, India, for financial assistance and Director, ICAR-IIPR, Kanpur, for his encouragement and constant support.

### Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- Sah U, Dixit GP, Kumar H, Ojha J, Katiyar M, Singh V, Dubey SK, Singh NP (2021) Dynamics of pulse scenario in Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh: a temporal analysis. Indian J Extension Education 57:97–103
- Sharma MK, Sisodia BVS (2018) Pulses area out of reach-a regional study of Uttar Pradesh. Int J Agric Sci 10:5335–5342
- Kumar R, Singh SK, Sah U (2017) Multidimensional study of pulse production in Bundelkhand region of India. Legum Res 40:2046–2052. https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-3502
- Pandey NK, Dikshit A, Tewari D, Yadav NK, Somvanshi SPS (2019) Pulses production in Lalitpur district of Bundelkhand region: constraints and opportunities. Indian J Extension Education 55:35–39
- Wani SP, Rockstrom J, Oweis T (2009) Rainfed agriculture: unlocking the potential. Wallingford, UK: CABI; Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT); Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute (IWMI), p 310
- Anonymous (2013) FAQ Press economics and statistics, ministry of agriculture government of India, New Delhi 34–38
- Desai S, Prasad RD, Kumar GP (2019) Fusarium wilts of chickpea, pigeon pea and lentil and their management. In: Singh, D, Prabha R. (eds) Microbial Interventions in Agriculture and Environment. Springer, Singapore
- Vandana UM, Chopra A, Choudhury A, Adapa D, Mazumder PB (2018) Genetic diversity and antagonistic activity of plant growth promoting bacteria, isolated from tea-rhizosphere: a culture dependent study. Biomedical Res 29:853–864. https://goo. gl/52qUST
- Muleta D, Assefa F, Granhall U (2007) In vitro antagonism of rhizobacteria isolated from *Coffea Arabica* L. against emerging fungal coffee pathogens. Eng Life Sci 7:1–11

- Nakkeeran S, Fernando WGD, Siddiqui ZA (2005) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria formulations and its scope in commercialization for the management of pests and dieases. In: Siddiqui Z.A., editor. *PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization*. Springer; Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 257–296
- Zhao L, Xu Y, Lai X-H, Shan C, Deng Z, JiY, (2015) Screening and characterization of endophytic Bacillus and Paenibacillus strains from medicinal plant Lonicera japonica for use as potential plant growth promoters. Braz J Microbiol 46(4):977–989
- Preston GM (2004) Plant perceptions of plant growth-promoting Pseudomonas. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 359(1446):907–18. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1384
- Gowhar HD, Suhaib A, Bandh AN, Kamili RN, Rouf AB (2013) Comparative analysis of different types of bacterial colonies from the soils of Yusmarg Forest, Kashmir Valley. India Ecol Balkanica 5(31):35
- Aparna, Y. and Sarada, J (2012) Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of serratia sp-YAJS an extracellular dnase producer isolated from rhizosphere soil. 53:78-84
- Wahyudi AT, Astuti RI, Giyanto (2011) Screening of Pseudomonas sp isolated from rhizosphere of soybean plant as plant growth promoter and biocontrol agent. Am J Agric Biol Sci (In press)
- Avishai BD, Charles E, Davidson (2014) Estimation method for serial dilution experiments. J Microbiol Methods 107:214–221
- Lane DJ (1991)16S/23SrRNA sequencing in nucleic acid technique in bacterial systematics, eds E. Stackebrandt and M. Good fellow (New york, NY: John Wiley and Sons) 115–175
- Bellemain E, Carlsen T, Brochmann C (2010) ITS as an environmental DNA barcode for fungi: an in silico approach reveals potential PCR biases. BMC Microbiol 10:189
- Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook JK (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Umana R (1968) Reevaluation of the method of Kunitz for the assay of proteolytic activities in liver and brain homogenate Anal. Biochem 26(3):430–438
- Reissig JL, Strominger JL, Leloir LF (1955) A modification colorimetric method for the estimation of N-acetylamino sugars. J Biol Chem 27:959–966
- Miller GL (1959) Modified DNS method for reducing sugars. Anal Chem 31:426–428
- García CA, De Rossi BP, Alcaraz E, Vay C, Franco M (2012) Siderophores of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: detection and determination of their chemical nature. Rev Argent Microbiol 44:150–154
- 25. Cappuccino JC, Sherman N (1992) Microbiology: a laboratory manual. Benjamin/Cummings, New York, NY, USA
- Nautiyal CS (1999) An efficient microbiological growth medium for screening phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Lett 170:265–270
- Kovacs N (1956) Identification of Pseudomonas pyocyanae by oxidase reaction. Nature 178:703. https://doi.org/10.1038/17870 3a0
- Sharma A, Dev K, Sourirajan A (2021). Isolation and characterization of salt-tolerant bacteria with plant growth-promoting activities from saline agricultural fields of Haryana, India. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 19
- Panda MK, Sahu MK, Tayung K (2013) Isolation and characterization of a thermophilic Bacillus sp. with protease activity isolated from hot spring of Tarabalo, Odisha India. Iran J Microbiol 5(2):159–65

- Morton DJ, Stroube WH (1955) Antagonistic and stimulating effects of soil micro-organism of Sclerotium. Phytopathol 45:417–420
- White PJ, Ward H, Cassel JA (2005) Vicious and virtuous circles in the dynamics of infectious disease and the provision of healthcare: gonorrhea in Britain as an example. J Infect Dis 192:824–836
- Teather RM, Wood PJ (1982) Use of Congo red-polysaccharide interactions in enumeration and characterization of cellulolytic bacteria from the bovine rumen. Appl Environ Microbiol 43:777–780
- Raaijmakers JM, Vlami M, De Souza JT (2002) Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 81:537
- Nielse TH, Sorensen J (2003) Production of cyclic lipopeptides by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains in bulk soil and in the sugar beet rhizosphere. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:861–868
- 35. Goodfellow M, Sutcliffe I (2014) Chun J (2014) New approaches to prokaryotic systematics. Academic Press, Ne York
- Manchanda G, Garg N (2008) Salinity and its effects on the functional biology of legumes. Acta Physiol Plant 30:595–618. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11738-008-0173-3
- Bashan Y, Holguin G (1997) Azospirillum–plant relationships: environmental and physiological advances (1990–1996). Can J Microbiol 43:103–121. https://doi.org/10.1139/m97-015
- Kumar H, Arora NK, Kumar V, Maheshwari DK (1999) Isolation, characterization and selection of salt-tolerant rhizobia nodulating *Acacia catechu* and *Acacia nilotica*. Symbiosis 26:279–288
- Dobbelaere S, Croonenborghs A, Thys A, Ptacek D, Vanderleyden J, Dutto P et al (2001) Responses of agronomically important crops to inoculation with emph type"2Azospirillum</emph>. Funct Plant Biol 28:871–879. https://doi.org/10.1071/PP01074
- Bashan Y, Holguin G, de Bashan LE (2004) Azospirillum-plant relationships: physiological, molecular, agricultural, and environmental advances (1997–2003). Can J Microbiol 50:521–577. https://doi.org/10.1139/w04-035
- 41. Dardanelli MS, Fernández de Córdoba FJ, Espuny MR, Rodríguez Carvajal MA, Soria Díaz ME, Gil Serrano AM et al (2008) Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* coinoculated with *Rhizobium* on *Phaseolus vulgaris* flavonoids and Nod factor production under salt stress. Soil Biol Biochem 40:2713–2721. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.soilbio.2008.06.016
- 42. Meena KK, Sorty AM, Bitla UM, Choudhary K, Gupta P, Pareek A et al (2017) Abiotic stress responses and microbe-mediated mitigation in plants: the omics strategies. Front Plant Sci 8:172. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00172

- 43. Yasin NA, Khan WU, Ahmad SR, Ali A, Ahmad A, Akram W (2018) Imperative roles of halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and kinetin in improving salt tolerance and growth of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo*). Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:4491–4505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0761-0
- Jatan R, Chauhan PS, Lata C (2019) *Pseudomonas putida* modulates the expression of miRNAs and their target genes in response to drought and salt stresses in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Genomics 111:509–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2018.01.007
- 45. Rath CC (1999) Heat stable lipase activity of thermotolerant bacteria from hot springs at Orissa. India Cytobios 99:105–111
- Du Toit LJ (2004) Management of diseases in seed crops. In: Goodman R (ed) Encyclopedia of plant and crop science (pp 675–677)
- Hanane B, Abdelilah M, Jane R, Said M, Cherkaoui El M (2022) The effects of mycorrhizal fungi on vascular wilt diseases. Crop Protection 155
- Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE (2010) How the plant growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum promotes plant growth—a critical assessment. Adv Agron 108:77–136. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- 49. Mishra RK, Rathore US, Pandey S, Mishra M, Sharma N, Kumar S, Tripathi KBM (2022) Plant–rhizospheric microbe interactions: enhancing plant growth and improving soil biota. In: Singh UB, Rai JP, Sharma AK (eds) Re-visiting the Rhizosphere Eco-system for Agricultural Sustainability. Rhizosphere Biology. Springer, Singapore
- 50. Mishra RK, Monika Mishr, Sonika Pandey, Naimuddin (2020) Bacillus altitudidnis: new biocontrol against for phytopthora stem blight and dry root rot disease of pigeonpea. ICAR-Pulses News letter 31:2
- Abdul Rahman NSN, Abdul Hamid NW, Nadarajah K (2021) Effects of abiotic stress on soil microbiome. Int J Mol Sci 22(16):9036. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22169036

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.