VETERINARY MICROBIOLOGY - RESEARCH PAPER

SBM BRASILEIRA DE MICROBIOLOGIA



Leptospira interrogans in bats in Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil: epidemiologic aspects and phylogeny

Bruna Carolina Ulsenheimer^{1,2} · Ana Eucares von Laer² · Alexandre Alberto Tonin^{2,3} · Aline Alves Scarpellini Campos⁴ · Helton Fernandes dos Santos¹ · Luís Antônio Sangioni¹ · Sônia de Avila Botton^{1,2}

Received: 1 February 2022 / Accepted: 2 October 2022 / Published online: 7 October 2022 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia 2022

Abstract

Leptospirosis is an infectious disease caused by *Leptospira* spp. and affects animals and humans. Reports of leptospirosis in bats have increased and prompted epidemiological research in Brazil. This study aimed to perform a molecular and epidemiological investigation of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in bat kidneys. The total DNA was extracted from 102 kidney samples from chiropterous of different species and cities in Rio Grande do Sul State (RS), Brazil. The polymerase chain reaction was used to amplify a fragment corresponding to *lipL32* gene, which is only present in pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. *lipL32* gene was detected in 22.5% (23/102) of the bat kidney tissues. Phylogenetic analysis showed that *L. interrogans* is circulating in bats in RS. Most species of the bats collected were insectivores. Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. detection in bats demonstrated that these animals participate in the infection chain of leptospirosis and, therefore, may play as reservoirs and disseminators of this microorganism. Thus, it is important to monitor infectious agents, especially with zoonotic potential in bats.

Keywords Leptospirosis · Chiroptera · Public health · Zoonosis · Molecular epidemiology

Responsible editor: Luiz Henrique Rosa

Sônia de Avila Botton sabott20@gmail.com

- ¹ Centro de Ciências Rurais (CCR), Departamento de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva (DMVP), Programa de Pós-Graduação Em Medicina Veterinária (PPGMV), Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Prédio 44, Sala 5132, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil CEP 97105-900
- ² Centro de Ciências da Saúde (CCS), Departamento de Microbiologia E Parasitologia (DMIP), Laboratório de Diagnóstico E Pesquisa Em Leptospirose (LabLepto), Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Prédio 20, 2° andar, Sala 4235, Santa Maria, Brasil CEP 97105-900
- ³ Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência E Tecnologia Do Amazonas, Campus Manaus Zona Leste, Avenida Cosme Ferreira, 8045 - São José Operário, Manaus, AM 69083-000, Brasil
- ⁴ Centro Estadual de Vigilância Em Saúde (CEVS), Avenida Ipiranga 5400, Jardim Botânico, Porto Alegre, RS CEP 90610-000, Brasil

Introduction

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease caused by bacteria of the genus Leptospira; this disease represents significant social, economic, and health impacts in several countries [1-4], especially in tropical and subtropical regions and areas with high humidity and temperatures [3–5]. This bacterium infects various species of domestic and wild animals and humans [6, 7]. Moreover, the genus Leptospira is divided into 35 species classified into three phylogenetic groups, which presumably correlate with the bacterium's virulence: saprophytic, intermediate, and pathogenic [8]. Saprophytic bacteria are considered free-living and generally do not cause diseases [9]; intermediate species share a near common ancestor with pathogen species while exhibiting moderate pathogenicity in humans and animals [10, 11], while pathogenic bacteria can cause infection in several animal species and humans, more notably Leptospira interrogans [8].

The maintenance of leptospirosis foci in endemic regions is due to the plethora of reservoir hosts that can harbor the bacteria for long periods, which may or may not present clinical signs and quickly spread the infectious agent in the environment and to susceptible species [1]. Transmission of *Leptospira* spp. occurs mainly through contact with urine from infected animals or in contaminated environments (soil, mud, or water) via mucous membranes or the skin [12–14].

Rodents constitute the main reservoirs of the etiologic agent [12, 15]; however, there is an increasing role of different wild animal species in the disease cycle. This may be relevant due to the considerable number of wild animal species and contact with domestic animals and humans [16–18]. As such, in the context of zoonotic diseases (e.g., leptospirosis), the role of wild animals in disease epidemiology is crucial, as these animals often coexist with humans, hence their peridomestic habits [19–23]. The relevance of bats as reservoirs of zoonotic pathogens is due to their high mobility, wide distribution, and social behavior [14, 24]. Additionally, these chiropterans constitute one of the most diverse and abundant mammal species groups in neotropical ecosystems [25].

Among the main factors that have favored the increase of contact between wild animals and domestic animals and humans, thereby propitiating the transmission of important zoonoses, we highlight the increased expansion of urban areas and occupation of peri-urban regions, greater population density, global travel, wildlife transit, human encroachment into areas inhabited by wild animals, and expansion and intensification of animal production in natural areas [26–28]. In this context, leptospirosis has been widely researched, and the etiologic agent has been detected in virtually all countries, demonstrating the distribution of the bacterium among different animal species worldwide [29]. Identifying potential wild reservoirs is relevant within the eco-epidemiological context of the diseases [30]. These animals may play an important role in the transmission and dissemination of *Leptospira* spp. under the conditions of reservoirs, infected, symptomatic, or asymptomatic carriers [29]. In this scenario, many wild animals, including bats, are considered reservoirs and possible transmitters of leptospirosis [27, 31, 32].

Infection caused by *Leptospira* spp. occurs by the entry of the agent into the mucous membranes or skin, followed by its multiplication in the blood during the acute phase of the disease or leptospiremia. In animals that develop the condition to survive the acute phase of leptospirosis, the microorganisms migrate to the renal system, lodging in the renal tubules, which may cause them to excrete *Leptospira* spp. in the urine for days or even months [29, 33].

In this context, given the possible role of bats as carriers of the agent and their relationship with human leptospirosis, the sharing of habitats between humans and bats due to urbanization may increase the risk of *Leptospira* spp. transmission by these animals [8, 34]. Chiroptera has been implicated in epidemiological cycles of several emerging and re-emerging zoonoses, such as rabies [35, 36], severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) [37], and Ebola [38]. In addition, despite the origin of the etiologic agent of the

COVID-19 pandemic not being well defined, bats of the genus *Rhinolophus* have been listed as the likely origin of the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19 [39–42].

Molecular detection studies of *Leptospira* spp. in wild and synanthropic animals are necessary to demonstrate epidemiological aspects of leptospirosis in a given region since they can act as reservoirs for the bacteria and transmit it to other animal species (domestic and wild) and humans [13, 43–45]. Given this context, we aimed to perform an epidemiological and molecular investigation of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in bats collected in Rio Grande do Sul State (RS), Brazil.

Materials and methods

This study analyzed kidney tissues from 102 bats (204 kidneys) collected in different urban areas of RS, Brazil, from 2016 to 2021. The samples were obtained by convenience sampling, since the dead bats came from different municipalities and were sent to the Centro Estadual de Vigilância em Saúde (CEVS) in Porto Alegre (RS) for rabies diagnosis. All animals analyzed in this study tested negative for rabies. Subsequently, the chiroptera were kept frozen and sent to the Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM) for analysis. The bats were taxonomically identified according to their family, genus, and species according to Díaz et al. [46] (Table 1). Subsequently, the animals were sexed, weighed, kidney tissue fragments aseptically collected (~20 mg), conditioned in Eppendorf polypropylene microtubes, and kept at -20 °C until molecular analyses.

Total DNA extraction was performed according to the protocol described by Botton et al. with modifications adapted for tissues [47]. The fragments of the kidney tissue samples were macerated in lysis buffer containing 2-βmercaptoethanol, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 10% cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), and 5 N Sodium chloride(NaCl) was added. Extraction was then performed with phenol and chloroform, and the total DNA was resuspended in 30 uL of sterile Tris–EDTA (TE) buffer. In the end, the DNA was quantified in a NanoDrop® spectrophotometer, and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed to amplify a fragment of *lipL32* gene, which encodes external membrane proteins that are exclusively present in pathogenic *Leptospira* spp.

The sensitivity of the test was measured by the detection threshold of the positive control using the same primers $(1.5 \times 10^3 Leptospira interrogans$ cells corresponding to 8,3 ng/µL), and a sample PCR was prepared for a final volume of 12.5 µL containing 1×buffer (Ludwig Biotec®, Brazil), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Ludwig Biotec®, Brazil), 0.2 mM dNTPs (Ludwig Biotec®, Brazil), 2.5 U of Taq

Family	Bat species	Feeding habits	Bats col- lected (N)	Positive DNA (N/%)	Positivity per species (%)	Positivity per family (%)
Molossidae	Tadarida brasiliensis	Insectivores	50	13/56.6	26.0	21.4
	Molossus molossus		14	2/8.7	14.3	
	Molossus correntium		11	3/13.1	27.3	
	Molussus rufus		8	0/0	0.0	
	Nyctinomops laticaudatus		1	0/0	0.0	
Vespertilionidae	Histiotus velatus	Insectivores	1	1/4.3	100.0	60.0
	Eptesicus furinalis		1	0/0	0.0	
	Myotis levis		1	1/4.3	100.0	
	Eptesicus brasiliensis		1	0/0	0.0	
	Lasiurus blossevillii		1	1/4.3	100.0	
Phyllostomidae	Sturnira lilium	Frugivores	1	0/0	0.0	0.0
Unidentified samples			12	2/8.7		
TOTAL			102	23		

Table 1 Frequency of molecular detection of Leptospira spp. pathogens in bats collected from 2016 to 2021 in Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil

DNA polymerase (Ludwig Biotec®, Brazil), 0.5μ M of each primer (Invitrogen®, Brazil) LipL32-45F (5'-AAG CAT TACCGC TTG TGG TG-3') and LipL32-286R (5'-GAA CTC CCA TTT CAG CGA TT-3') [48], and 2.5μ L (330 ng/ μ L) of the extracted DNA sample. Amplification was performed in a PCR thermal cycler (K960, TION96) consisting of an initial denaturation of 94 °C/2 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C/30 s, 53 °C/30 s, 72 °C/1 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C/5 min and 4 °C/ ∞ . The PCR products were analyzed in horizontal 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with Gel Red®(Kasvi), observed under ultraviolet light, and photo-documented.

Sequencing analyses later identified positive samples of *Leptospira* (*lipL32*) in PCR. PCR amplicons were purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced. The sequences obtained were aligned with the MEGA X [49] software and compared with each other and the reference sequences available in the GenBank. The phylogenetic tree [50] was constructed with Bayesian Analysis [51], using the bootstrap was resampled as a test of phylogeny using 500 replications [52].

Results

The number of bats analyzed per municipality is shown in Table 2. The bats were classified into eleven species of the families Phyllostomidae, Molossidae, and Vespertilionidae. The distribution of bats by family, species, and feeding habits is listed in Table 1. Most samples (49.0%; 50/102) were free-tailed bats (*Tadarida brasiliensis*). Regarding the animals' sex, 60.8% (62/102) were male, and 39.2% (40/102) were female. The PCR revealed that the amplification of a fragment of 242 base pairs (bp) corresponds to the expected size for the *lipL32* gene in 23 (22.5%) samples, which is considered positive for pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. The sensitivity of the test was detection up to 1.5×10^3 bacteria/ml. Among the amplified samples, six were identified in at least one different bat species evaluated (Table 1). Among the males, the expected DNA fragment was detected in 52.2% (12/23) and among females in 47.8% (11/23).

Among the species with the highest number of specimens analyzed, *Tadarida brasiliensis* showed 56.6% (13/23) of animals positive for pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. *Molossus correntium* and *Molossus molossus* showed positivity rates of 13.1% (3/23) and 8.7% (2/23), respectively. In the other bat species analyzed, the infection rate detected was 4.1% for *Histiotus velatus* (1/23), *Myotis levis* (1/23), and *Lasiurus blossevillii* (1/23). It was not possible to identify the presence of DNA in samples from individuals of the species *Molossus rufus*, *Nyctinomops laticaudatus*, *Eptesicus furinalis*, *Eptesicus brasiliensis*, and *Sturnira lilium*.

The samples were from 31 municipalities distributed in the seven mesoregions of RS. It was possible to observe that 10 cities had at least one positive bat (Table 2).

Based on the phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1), we found *lipL32* gene fragments from *Leptospira* spp. detected in bats in Rio Grande do Sul State were clustered in *L. interrogans* pathogenic group. Comparing the analyzed samples, was obtained 100% identity with *L. interrogans* from the sequences available on the GenBank (MT482312 and KM211316), originating from samples of bats (*Myotis myotis*) and swine (*Sus scrofa domesticus*), respectively.

 Table 2
 Geographical distribution of kidney tissue samples of bats

 positive for pathogenic Leptospira spp. from different cities of Rio
 Grande do Sul State, Brazil

City	Collected samples	DNA positive samples
Pelotas	21	1
Canoas	13	5
Rio Grande	11	5
Porto Alegre	11	2
Caxias do Sul	7	4
Santa Maria	5	-
Ijuí	3	1
São Leopoldo	3	-
Bento Gonçalves	2	-
Campo Bom	2	-
Agudo	1	-
Alegrete	1	-
Cachoerinha	1	-
Camaquã	1	-
Capão do leão	1	1
Capivari do Sul	1	1
Eldorado do Sul	1	-
Gramado	1	-
Gravataí	1	-
Guaíba	1	-
Humaitá	1	1
Igrejinha	1	-
Ivoti	1	-
Nova Alvorada	1	-
Nova Boa Vista	1	-
Passo Fundo	1	-
São Gabriel	1	-
Sapucaia do Sul	1	-
Sertão Santana	1	1
Taquari	1	-
Tiradentes do Sul	1	-
Toropi	1	-
Unknown origin	2	1
Total	102	23

-: DNA sample was not detected by molecular analysis

Discussion

In Brazil, a frequency of 39.1% (36/92) was observed in RS and Santa Catarina States [18], 1.8% (6/343) in São Paulo State [53], and 7.8% (16/204) in Botucatu [54]. Nevertheless, in this study, it was possible to detect the presence of DNA of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in 22.5% (23/102) of bat kidney tissues from different RS regions. The positive samples were from metropolitan, northeastern, northwestern, and southeastern Rio Grande do Sul,

which have higher temperatures, humidity, and high annual rainfall distribution than other Brazilian regions [55].

Here, the presence of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. DNA was detected primarily in insectivorous bat species. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that *L. interrogans* circulates among chiropteran bats in southern Brazil, an important region of international transit of people and animals circulating in the country, Uruguay, and Argentina. Notably, this is the first known study using phylogenetic analysis to detect *Leptospira interrrogans* in bats in Brazil, as previous studies [53, 54] employed serological analysis and only one study [18] performed analysis by PCR.

In this study, there were no differences in the sex of the animals: 52.2% (12/23) were males and 47.8% (11/23) were females. Nonetheless, Wilkinson [56] described that bat colonies have the habit of licking other bats and females perform regurgitation to feed their offspring. This behavior favors the proximity of animals, consequently increasing the likelihood of transmitting pathogens, including *Leptospira* spp.

The presence of pathogenic Leptospira spp. DNA has been detected primarily in insectivorous bat species. Harkin et al. [57] hypothesized that a possible mode of transmission of Leptospira spp. for bats would be sharing food with rodents. However, because the habitats of the bats could not be assessed, it was impossible to determine the routes by which the animals were likely infected. In urban areas, bats usually nest in ceiling panels, which are also places that host other animal species such as rodents, lacertids, columbids, and even marsupial species [58]. Thus, proximity between the species may increase the risk of contact with secretions and/or excretions and the contamination of the agent in utensils and food consumed by humans and domestic animals. Hence, a network of possibilities for transmission of the agent emerges in the multiple interactions between various mammalian species, including humans [40].

Rodents and bats share roosting sites in peri-urban and rural areas, such as sheds where food and grain are stored and animals are raised. It is common to find nests and bats hanging from farm roofs and structures, whose waste, such as urine, falls on the animals and their food [40]. In an environment with high habitat overlap, shared resources among species bring individuals closer together and intensify the possibility of spreading *Leptospira* spp. [19].

In this study, all bats positive for *Leptospira* spp. were insectivores. These animals possibly have characteristics of synanthropy since these bat species came from urban environments [59]. Urban afforestation is a potential source of food and shelter for insects and insectivorous bats. In addition, public lighting attracts insects around the light beams, predisposing the joint occurrence of insectivorous bats [60, 61].



The region with a higher concentration of bats that tested positive for pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. corresponds to the metropolitan area of Porto Alegre (capital of RS). In this area, there is greater urbanization, with a more concentration of population and a large industrial and commercial area [62]. In addition, this region has degraded environmental areas with accumulations of waste and water (water springs, water reservoirs such as public and private pools, and water tanks) [55, 62, 63], favoring the contact of synanthropic animals, especially rodents, and stray animals. These environmental conditions contribute epidemiologically to the development of *Leptospira* spp. in these places, thereby making possible the favoring the transmission of the agent to insectivorous bats.

The current incidence of leptospirosis in human and animal is unknown due to the lack of information [64], large proportion of subclinical infection and non-specific course, and unavailable diagnostic methods in laboratories public and private health services, thus impairing detection [65]. In Porto Alegre, leptospirosis incidence from 1996 to 2007 varied between 0.85/100 thousand inhabitants (2004) and 7.14/100 thousand inhabitants (2001), and this was associated with the disorderly growth of the city, lack of environmental sanitation and public water supply, domestic sewage canalization, and waste management, increasing the problem of synanthropic rodent infestation [66]. The southern region of RS, another highly relevant area due to the positive results of our study, is also indicated as a place of high leptospirosis rates [64].

Leptospira spp. have been detected in roughly 50 bat species belonging to 8 families in tropical and subtropical regions of the planet [9]. In several countries of the Americas, the presence of pathogenic Leptospira spp. DNA has been detected in bats. In Mexico, DNA detection of L. noguchii and L. weilii in bats has been reported [67], the first country to report pathogenic Leptospira spp. species in flying mammals in North America. Reports in Peru have addressed DNA detection of L. interrogans, L. borgpetersenii, L. kirschneri, and the species of intermediate pathogenicity such as L. fainei [17, 68]. In the Peruvian Amazon basin, Bunnell et al. [68] found that 35% (7/20) of bat kidneys showed DNA of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. by PCR. Matthias et al. [17] tested 589 bats from the same area and found only 20 positive kidneys using PCR and three urine samples positive by culture. In Argentina, Ramirez et al. [69] found 20% of DNA from *Leptospira* spp. (14/70) in insectivorous bats. In Colombia, Mateus et al. [14] observed 26.9% of the presence of *Leptospira* spp. (7/26) and 15.4% for pathogenic *Leptospira* (4/26) and Monroy [70], with 9.70% for the presence of DNA from *Leptospira* spp. (20/206). Nonetheless, Harkin et al. [57] did not detect pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in 98 kidney tissue samples from the US states of Kansas and Nebraska.

On other continents, different studies have detected the presence of *Leptospira* spp. in chiropterans. In Madagascar, Lagadec et al. [71] obtained a total positivity of 35% (18/52); meanwhile, in Comoros, the same researchers observed 12% (9/77). In Tanzania, Mgode et al. [72] found 19% (7/36) of the samples positive. In Zambia (the Republic of Congo), Ogawa et al. [73] obtained 15% (79/529) of positive samples. In Australia, Tulsiani et al. [30] reported 11% (19/173), while the prevalence of *Leptospira* spp. in bats was 56.7% (34/60) in central China; however, in the northern region of this country, this rate was slightly higher, reaching 62% (62/124) [7].

Regarding the participation and importance of species in leptospirosis, some studies can be highlighted, including Han et al. [7], that reported *Myotis* spp. as a species of a high prevalence of *Leptospira* spp., with 53% and 63% in central and the northern region of China, respectivelly. Bats of the genus *Myotis* belong to the family Vespertilionidae, which differs from our results, since we found pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in bats of the Molossidae family, especially in *Tadarida brasiliensis*. This fact can be explained by the circulation of this species mainly in humid places, where it is believed there is a higher probability of the presence of *Leptospira* spp. [74]. Han et al. [7] also detected pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in *M. fimbriatus*, *M. ricketti*, and *M. pequinius*, which also live in the wetlands of Mengyin County, China.

Conclusion

The presence of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. DNA was found in wild bats in different macro-regions of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil. The highest occurrence was observed in insectivorous bats of the species *Tadarida brasiliensis*. This was the first study in Brazil combining a molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. DNA confirming the presence of *L. interrogans* in bats. Our findings corroborate the elucidation of the epidemiology of leptospirosis in southern Brazil, an important region due to the transit of people and animals among neighboring countries. However, further research is needed on the ecology of this agent in these mammals, reinforcing the need for surveillance of infectious agents, especially zoonotic ones, in wild animals.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-022-00838-7.

Author contribution B. C. U., L. A. S., and S. A. B drafted the manuscript and all other author's contributed substantially to the intellectual content of the manuscript and approved the final draft.

Funding This study is funded by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) (financial code 001), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS).

Declarations

Ethics approval No ethical approval was sought or required for this work as it is a theoretical contribution.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Oliveira SV, Arsky MLNS, Caldas EP (2013) Reservatórios animais da leptospirose: Uma revisão bibliográfica. Saúde 39:920
- Miotto BA et al (2018) Prospective study of canine leptospirosis in shelter and stray dog populations: Identification of chronic carriers and different *Leptospira* species infecting dogs. PLoS ONE 13:e0200384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200384
- Murphy K (2018) Dealing with leptospirosis in dogs. Vet Rec 384–385. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.k4093
- Reagan KL, Sykes JE (2019) Diagnosis of Canine Leptospirosis. Vet Clin Small Anim1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.02.008
- Pappas G et al (2008) The globalization of leptospirosis: worldwide incidence trends. Int J Infect Dis 12:351–357. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijid.2007.09.011
- Adler B (2015) History of leptospirosis and Leptospira. In: Adler B (ed) Leptospira and leptospirosis. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-662-45059-8_1
- Han H et al (2018) Pathogenic *Leptospira* species in insectivorous bats, China, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis 24:1123. https://doi. org/10.3201/eid2406.171585

- 8. Philip N et al (2020) *Leptospira interrogans* and *Leptospira kirschneri* are the dominant *Leptospira* species causing human leptospirosis in Central Malaysia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14:e0008197. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008197
- Dietrich M et al (2015) *Leptospira* and bats: story of an emerging friendship. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005176. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.ppat.1005176
- Andre-Fontaine G, Aviat F, Thorin C (2015) Water borne leptospirosis: survival and preservation of the virulence of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in fresh water. Curr Microbiol 71:136– 142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-015-0836-4
- Vincent AT et al (2019) Revisiting the taxonomy and evolution of pathogenicity of the genus *Leptospira* through the prism of genomics. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13:e0007270. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007270
- Bharti AR (2003) Peru-United States Leptospirosiss C. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis 3:757–771. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(03)00830-2
- Dietrich M et al (2015) *Leptospira* and Paramyxovirus infection dynamics in a bat maternity enlightens pathogen maintenance in wildlife. Environ Microbiol 17:4280–4289. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1462-2920.12766
- Mateus J et al (2019) Bats are a potential reservoir of pathogenic *Leptospira* species in Colombia. J Infect Dev Ctries 13:278– 283. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.10642
- Boey K, Shiokawa K, Rajeev S (2019) *Leptospira* infection in rats: a literature review of global prevalence and distribution. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13:e0007499. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pntd.0007499
- Petrakovsky J et al (2014) Animal leptospirosis in Latin America and the Caribbean countries: reported outbreaks and literature review (2002–2014). Int J Environ Res Public Health 11:10770–10789. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph111010770
- Matthias MA, Díaz MM, Campos KJ (2005) Diversity of bat-associated *Leptospira* in the Peruvian Amazon inferred by Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of 16S ribosomal DNA sequences. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73:964–974
- Mayer FQ et al (2017) Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in bats: molecular investigation in Southern Brazil. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 52:14–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid. 2017.05.003
- Plowright RK et al (2011) Urban habituation, ecological connectivity and epidemic dampening: the emergence of Hendra virus from flying foxes (*Pteropus* spp.). Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci 278:3703–3712. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0522
- O'Shea TJ et al (2011) Bat ecology and public health surveillance for rabies in an urbanizing region of Colorado. Urban Ecosyst 14:665–697. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-011-0182-7
- Wibbelt G, Speck S, Field H (2009) Methods for assessing diseases in bats. In: Kunz TH, Parsons S (eds) Ecological and behavioral methods for the study of bats. 2nd edn, pp 775–794. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore
- Ramírez-Chaves HE, Suárez-Castro AF, González-Maya JF (2016) Recent changes to the list of mammals in Colombia. Mammal Notes 1:1–9
- Lei BR, Olival KJ (2014) Contrasting patterns in mammal–bacteria coevolution: *Bartonella* and *Leptospira* in bats and rodents. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8:e2738. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pntd.0002738
- Kunz TH (2011) Ecosystem services provided by bats. Ann NY Acad Sci 31:1–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011. 06004.x
- Dutra DR et al (2021) Os quirópteros e sua importância na regulação dos ecossistemas florestais. Rev Multidiscip Educ Meio Ambiente 2:55

- Rhyan JC, Spraker TR (2010) Emergence of diseases from wildlife reservoirs. Vet Pathol 47:34–39. https://doi.org/10.1177/03009 85809354466
- Llanos-Soto S, González-Acuña D (2019) Knowledge about bacterial and viral pathogens present in wild mammals in Chile: a systematic review. Rev Chil Infectol 36:195–218. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-10182019000200195
- Torgerson PR et al (2015) Global burden of Leptospirosis: estimated in terms of disability adjusted life years. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004122
- Bevans AI et al (2020) Phylogenetic relationships and diversity of bat-associated *Leptospira* and the histopathological evaluation of these infections in bats from Grenada, West Indies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14:e0007940. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0007940
- Tulsiani SM et al (2011) Maximizing the chances of detecting pathogenic leptospires in mammals: the evaluation of field samples and a multi-sample-per-mammal, multi-test approach. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 105:145–162. https://doi.org/10.1179/13648 5911X12899838683205
- Ullman LS, Langoni H (2011) Interactions between environment, wildanimals and human leptospirosis. J Venom Anim Toxins Incl 2:119–129. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-91992011000200002
- 32. Dietrich M et al (2018) Biogeography of *Leptospira* in wild animal communities inhabiting the insular ecosystem of the western Indian Ocean islands and neighboring Africa. Emerg Microbes Infect 7:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0059-4
- Fennestad KL, Borg-Petersen C (1972) Leptospirosis in Danish wild mammals. J Wildl Dis 8:343–351
- Hayman DT et al (2013) Ecology of zoonotic infectious diseases in bats: current knowledge and future directions. Zoonoses Public Health 60:2–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12000
- Kobayashi Y et al (2006) Geographical distribution of vampire bat-related cattle rabies in Brazil. J Vet Med Sci 68:1097–1100. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.68.1097
- 36. Souza PG, Amaral BMPM, Gitti CB (2014) Raiva animal na cidade do Rio de Janeiro: emergência da doença em morcegos e novos desafios para o controle. Revista do Instituto Adolfo Lutz 73:119–124. https://doi.org/10.18241/0073-98552014731596
- Li W et al (2005) Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science 310:676–679. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1118391
- Saéz AM (2014) Investigating the zoonotic origin of the West African Ebola epidemic. EMBO Mol Med 7:17–23. https://doi. org/10.15252/emmm.201404792
- Benvenuto D et al (2020) The 2019 new coronavirus epidemic: evidence for virus evolution. J Med Virol 92. https://doi.org/10. 1002/jmv.25688
- Acosta AL et al (2020) Interfaces à transmissão e spillover do coronavírus entre florestas e cidades. Estud Av 34:191–208. https:// doi.org/10.1590/s0103-4014.2020.3499.012
- Zhang T, Wu Q, Zhang Z (2020) Probable pangolin origin of SARS-CoV-2 associated with the COVID-19 Outbreak. Curr Biol 30:1346–1351
- Zhou P et al (2020) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579:270–273. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7
- Adler B (2015) Leptospira and leptospirosis. Curr Topics Microbiol 387:1–293
- 44. Calderon A et al (2014) Leptospirosis in pigs, dogs, rodents, humans, and water in an area of the Colombian tropics. Trop Anim Health Prod 46:427–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11250-013-0508-y
- Rodríguez-Barreto H et al (2009) Prevalence of leptospirosis in humans from urban area of the municipality of Puerto Libertador,

Córdoba, Colombia. RIAA 1:23–8. https://doi.org/10.22490/ 21456453.897

- 46. Díaz MM et al (2016) Clave de Identificación de los murciélagos de Sudamérica–Chave de identificação dos morcegos da América do Sul. 1ed. bilíngue – Argentina: Yerba Buena 160
- Botton SA et al (2011) Identification of *Pythium insidiosum* by Nested PCR in cutaneous lesions of Brazilian horses and rabbits. Curr Microbiol 62:1225–1229
- Stoddard RA et al (2009) Detecção de *Leptospira* spp. através da reação em cadeia da polimerase TaqMan visando o gene LipL32. Microbiologia Diagnóstica e Doenças Infecciosas 64:247–255
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35(6):1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msy096
- 50. Dereeper A et al (2008) Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res 1(36):465–9
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17(8):754–755
- Chevenet F, Brun C, Banuls AL, Jacq B, Chisten R (2006) Tree-Dyn: towards dynamic graphics and annotations for analyses of trees. BMC Bioinformatics 10(7):439
- Bessa TAF et al (2010) The contribution of bats to leptospirosis transmission in São Paulo City, Brazil. Am J Trop Med Hyg 82:315–317. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0227
- 54. Zetun C et al (2009) *Leptospira* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies in vampire bats (Desmodus rotundus) in Botucatu region, SP, Brazil. J Venomous Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis 15:546–552. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-91992009000300014
- 55. Fujimoto NSVM (2002) Implicações ambientais na área metropolitana de Porto Alegre - RS: um estudo geográfico com ênfase na geomorfologia urbana. GEOUSP - Espaço e Tempo 177:141. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2179-0892.geousp.2002.123777
- Wilkinson GS (1990) Food sharing in vampire bats. Sci Am 262:64–70
- Harkin KR et al (2014) Use of PCR to identify *Leptospira* in kidneys of big brown bats (Eptesicus fuscus) in Kansas and Nebraska, USA. J Wildl Dis 50:651–654. https://doi.org/10.7589/ 2013-08-201
- Valadas SYOB, Soares RM, Lindsay DS (2016) A review of Sarcocystis spp. shed by opossums (*Didelphis* spp.) in Brazil. Braz J Vet Res Anim Sci 53:214–26. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.1678-4456.v53i3p214-226
- Jung K, Kalko EKV (2011) Adaptability and vulnerability of high flying neotropical aerial insectivorous bats to urbanization. Divers Distrib 1–13
- Blake D et al (1994) Use of lamplit roads by foraging bats in southern England. J Zool 234:453–462
- Pacheco SM et al (2010) Morcegos urbanos: status do conhecimento e plano de ação para a conservação no Brasil. Chiroptera Neotropical 16:629–647
- Troleis AL, Basso LA (2010) Porto Alegre: urbanização, subhabitação e consequências ambientais. Boletim Gaúcho de Geografia 37:109–116
- 63. Fujimoto NSV (2001) Análise ambiental urbana na área metropolitana de Porto Alegre/RS: sub bacia hidrográfica do Arroio Dilúvio. 236 f. Tese [Doutorado em Geografia] -Faculdade de Filosofia e Ciências Humanas. Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo
- Barcellos C et al (2003) Distribuição espacial da leptospirose no Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil: recuperando a ecologia dos estudos ecológicos. Cad Saúde Pública 19:1283–1292
- 65. Bharti AR et al (2003) Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis 3:757–771

- 66. Thiesen SV et al (2008) Aspectos relacionados à ocorrência de leptospirose em Porto Alegre no ano de 2007. Bol Epidemiol Porto Alegre 10:1–4
- 67. Ballados-González GG et al (2018) Detection of pathogenic *Leptospira* species associated with phyllostomid bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) from Veracruz, Mexico. Transbound Emerg Dis 65:773–781. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12802
- Bunnell J et al (2000) Detection of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp infections among mammalscaptured in the Peruvian Amazon basin region. Am J Trop Med Hyg 63:255–258
- Ramirez NN et al (2014) Detección de leptospiras patógenas en tejido renal de murciélagos de Corrientes, Argentina. Rev Vet 25:16–20
- Monroy FP et al (2021) High diversity of Leptospira species infecting bats captured in the Uraba region (Antioquia-Colombia). Microorganisms 9:1897
- Lagadec E et al (2012) Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in bats, Madagascar and Union of the Comoros. Emerg Infect Dis 18:1696– 1698. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1810.111898
- 72. Mgode GF et al (2014) Seroprevalence of *Leptospira* infection in bats roosting in human settlements in Morogoro municipality in

Tanzania. Tanzan J Health Res 16:23–28. https://doi.org/10.4314/ thrb.v16i1.4

- 73. Ogawa H et al (2015) Molecular epidemiology of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in the strawcolored fruit bat (Eidolon helvum) migrating to Zambia from the Democratic Republic of Congo. Infect Genet Evol 32:143–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid. 2015.03.013
- Ivanova S et al (2012) *Leptospira* and rodents in Cambodia: environmental determinants of infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg 86:1032–1038. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0349

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.