ORIGINAL PAPER

Combined Use of Mycorrhizae and Green Compost for Reducing the Deleterious Efects of Salt Stress in Two Genotypes of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa***)**

Toubali Salma^{1,2,3} · Anli Mohamed^{1,2,3} · Boutasknit Abderrahim^{1,2,3} · Ben-Laouane Raja^{1,2,3} · Benaffari Wissal^{1,2,3} · **Ben Ahmed Hela3 · Abdelilah Meddich1,2,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9590-4405)**

Received: 23 August 2022 / Accepted: 23 December 2022 / Published online: 12 January 2023 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedad Chilena de la Ciencia del Suelo 2023

Abstract

The present work aims at assessing the efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and/or green compost (Comp) as an efective strategy to boost the productivity of two varieties (Titicaca and Puno) of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd) under salt stress. The quinoa plants were grown for 4 months under controlled conditions in the greenhouse. The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design with three factors: biofertilizer application (AMF, Compo, and AMF+Comp), quinoa varieties (Titicaca and Puno), and salt stress levels (0, 150, and 300 mM of NaCl). Salt stress impaired the growth, physiological, and biochemical parameters. However, the bipartite combination AMF+Comp efficiently increased growth, stomatal conductance, photosystem II efficiency, photosynthetic pigments protein, and sugar of both quinoa varieties compared to the other treatments and control. AMF+Comp increased the plant's dry weight, compared to the other treatments, by an increment of 133% and 275% under non-stressed conditions (0 mM), 337% and 197% under 150 Mm NaCl, and 311% and 197% under 300 mM NaCl for Titicaca and Puno, respectively. In addition, AMF and/or compost mitigated the negative efects of salinity by improving the soil's physicochemical parameters. The use of AMF and compost and especially their combination could be a good strategy to improve the productivity of quinoa under salinity conditions.

Keywords Organic amendment · Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi · Salinity · Biochemical parameters · *Chenopodium quinoa*

1 Introduction

Climate change represents the most critical issue of the twenty-frst century, remodeling the earth's weather patterns on a global scale. Furthermore, the accentuated greenhouse

 \boxtimes Abdelilah Meddich a.meddich@uca.ma

- ¹ Center of Agrobiotechnology and Bioengineering, Research Unit Labelled CNRST (Centre AgroBiotech-URL-CNRST-05), Physiology of Abiotic Stresses Team, Cadi Ayyad University, 40000 Marrakesh, Morocco
- Laboratory of Agro-Food, Biotechnologies and Valorization of Plant Bioresources (AGROBIOVAL), Faculty of Science Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, P.O. Box 2390, 40000 Marrakesh, Morocco
- Tunisian-Moroccan Laboratory (LMTM) of Plant Physiology and Biotechnologies and Climate Change LPBV2C, 1000 Tunis, Tunisia

 \mathcal{D} Springer

gas emissions have intensified water cycle events, adding to global warming, mainly caused by anthropogenic activities. Consequently, estimations have been indicating adverse efects on soil traits, water entities, and air pollution (Ramzan et al. [2022\)](#page-16-0). In addition, drought, salinity, fooding, and other consequent results are expected to increase (Francini and Sebastiani [2019](#page-15-0)). As far as agriculture is concerned, the sector represents one of the most afected domains. Agriculture commends crop productivity and plays a central role in the global economy. However, the world's population is predicted to attain 9.7 billion by 2050, which is positioning food security as a major agricultural hurdle (Arora [2019](#page-14-0); Myers and Ii [2017\)](#page-16-1).

Therefore, crop productivity is strongly infuenced by abiotic factors, such as salinity and drought. Thus, sustainable agriculture, which is adapted to changing climate patterns and a growing global population, should rely on the use of adapted crop species that are resilient to abiotic stress (Ferguson [2019\)](#page-15-1). In this sense, agricultural adaptation to stressed soils has been a major issue of paramount scientifc importance, because of how abiotic stress nega-tively affects crop plants (Flowers and Colmer [2015](#page-15-2)). Fortunately, halophytes represent one of the most promising plant groups in sustainable agricultural production (Nikalje et al. [2018\)](#page-16-2), which can be used as tolerant plant crops, holding the potential to minimize the negative effects of salinity on yield and food requirements (Rozentsvet et al. [2017](#page-16-3)). Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) was initially domesticated in Andean nations about 7000 years ago. In the second part of the twentieth century, quinoa's potential made it emerge as a plant species that can help attain this goal. In this sense, *C. quinoa* is a facultative halophytic species that represents an interesting model species for studies on abiotic stress, in particular salinity stress responses, which has been the focus of several research practitioners in recent years (Bárzana et al. [2015](#page-14-1); Bazile et al. [2016](#page-14-2); Razzaghi et al. [2012\)](#page-16-4). Quinoa can tolerate low temperatures (−8 °C) and drought (Benaffari et al. [2022](#page-15-3); Hussain et al. [2018\)](#page-15-4). Furthermore, quinoa constitutes a highly nutritious food crop, thanks to the seeds' continence of essential amino acids (lysine, methionine, threonine), nutritious minerals (Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, P, Zn), and healthy fatty acids (Pereira et al. [2019\)](#page-16-5).

However, quinoa is largely afected by salinity, which is one of the major factors limiting plant growth and yield. Plants grown in saline soils manifest limitations in morphological, physiological, and biochemical processes (Miranda-Apodaca et al. [2020\)](#page-16-6). Furthermore, high levels of salinity increase the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), inducing the oxidation of membrane lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Ahanger and Agarwal [2017\)](#page-14-3). As a consequence, growth inhibition, photosynthetic activity perturbation, and productivity decline occur. However, plants are equipped with various defense mechanisms, such as the antioxidant system, which helps in mitigating generated ROS excess. The antioxidant system consists of many enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) (Sachdev et al. [2021](#page-16-7)).

In this regard, several studies have already sought alternatives that can improve defense mechanisms in plants under salinity conditions. One of these alternatives is the use of biostimulants, including arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and compost, as an ecological solution to reduce the deleterious efects of salt stress on plants, without many occurrences of chemical fertilizers (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al. [2020](#page-14-4)). Biostimulants represent promising eco-friendly tools in sustainable agriculture. Biostimulants like AMF have been pointed out as beneficial means of attenuating the adverse efects of abiotic stress (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al. [2019](#page-14-5); Toubali et al. [2020](#page-17-0)). AMF can improve the water and mineral status of the plant, thanks to the facilitated transfer of water and mineral elements, in particular phosphorus and other micronutrients, through the plant root system (Baslam et al. [2014\)](#page-14-6). Indeed, the elongation of AMF's extra-radical mycelium increases the contact surface between soil minerals and plant roots. Therefore, the improved water uptake and nutrient assimilation result in ameliorated plant growth as well as better yielding (Bhantana et al. [2021\)](#page-15-5).

Additionally, the use of organic amendments, such as compost, has the advantage of establishing soil remediation and nutriment recycling (Sayara et al. [2020\)](#page-17-1). Thus, several studies have confrmed the positive efects of compost on the biological and chemical properties of dry and degraded soils (Anli et al. [2020](#page-14-7); Ren et al. [2018](#page-16-8); Sayara et al. [2020\)](#page-17-1). The application of compost to soil has been shown to enhance plant growth by improving water retention, soil organic carbon, and nutrient availability, leading to increased photosynthetic activity, yields, and stress tolerance within plants (Anli et al. [2022;](#page-14-8) Ullah et al. [2021](#page-17-2)). Furthermore, diferent studies have confrmed that the application of compost can signifcantly improve crop productivity (Agegnehu et al. [2017](#page-14-9); Manirakiza and Şeker [2020\)](#page-16-9).

Thus, this study aims to investigate the effects of applying AMF and compost on the growth, yield, photosynthesis, water status, and antioxidant system of two varieties (Titicaca and Puno) of quinoa, subjected to salt stress under greenhouse conditions.

We hypothesize that the application of the double combination AMF+Comp to the soil would attenuate the adverse efects of salt stress by controlling and stimulating diferent aspects of plant functioning, such as growth, water uptake, photosynthesis, and the ROS sequestration system. To verify our hypothesis, we used a green waste-based compost and an AMF consortium isolated from salt-afected Taflalet soil and used them alone and/or in combination to improve quinoa productivity and salt tolerance.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Biological Material

Two varieties of quinoa were used, Titicaca (dense and drooping panicle, orange color of panicles, precocious variety) and Puno (full and branched panicles, purple color of the panicles, precocious variety) (Dao et al. [2020](#page-15-6)). Seeds of two varieties were obtained from the National Institute for Agricultural Research (Marrakesh, Morroco).

The AMF consortium was isolated from the Taflalet palm grove located 500 km Southeast of Marrakesh (Morocco). The AMF consortium was composed of 15 species: *Acaulospora delicata*, *Acaulospora leavis*, *Acaulospora* sp, *Claroideoglomus claroideum*, *Glomus aggregatum*, *G. clarum*, *G. claroides*, *G. deserticola*, *G. heterosporum*, *G. macrocarpum*, *G. microcarpum*, *G. versiforme*, *Glomus* sp., *Rhizophagus intraradices*, and *Pacispora boliviana* (Benafari et al.

Table 1 Diferent treatments with three levels of salinity (0, 150, and 300 mM) in the experiment under greenhouse conditions

Code	Treatments
Control	Without AMF inoculation or compost amendment
AMF	AMF consortium inoculation
Comp	Compost based on green waste
$AMF + Comp$	Combination of AMF consortium and compost

AMF, AMF consortium and *Comp*, compost

Table 2 Physicochemical parameters of used compost

	Compost
pH	7.74(0.01)
$EC (mS cm-1)$	5.46(0.20)
NTK $(\%)$	1.32(0.01)
NH_4^+ (%)	0.09(0.01)
NO_3^- (%)	0.31(0.01)
TOC $(\%)$	5.72(0.45)
$OM(\%)$	9.86(0.78)
C/N	7.49
NH_4^+/NO_3^-	0.29
P_{olsen} (mg kg ⁻¹)	489.95 (20.29)
Na^{+} (mg kg ⁻¹)	2110.00 (50.00)
K^{+} (mg kg ⁻¹)	5590.00 (150.00)
Ca^{2+} (mg kg ⁻¹)	37,380.00 (1840.00)

EC, electrical conductivity; *NTK*, total Kjeldahl nitrogen; *TOC*, total organic carbon; *OM*, organic matter; *C/N*, ratio of carbon to nitrogen. Presented data are means (SD). *SD*, standard deviation

[2022](#page-15-3)). Inoculation of quinoa was performed by adding 20 g of the inoculum (roots and substrate containing spores) to the quinoa root system and the diferent treatments used are listed in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

The compost used in this study was made from green waste according to Meddich et al. ([2016](#page-16-10)). The characteristics of the compost are shown in Table [2](#page-2-1).

2.2 Experimental Conditions and Treatments

To prepare the quinoa plants, seeds were frst disinfected with 10% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min, then rinsed several times with sterile distilled water and put to germinate in Petri dishes containing sterilized flter paper and moistened with sterile distilled water. Then, they were placed in the dark at 20 °C for 48 h (Bois et al. [2006\)](#page-15-7). After germination of the seeds, the seedlings were transferred to pots with a capacity of 3 kg of agricultural soil. The substrate was sterilized in an oven at 180 °C for 3 h, then mixed or not with 5% (*w*/*w*) compost according to the treatments. Inoculation of quinoa with AMF was performed by adding 20 g of the inoculum (roots and substrate containing spores) to the quinoa root system at the time of transplanting.

The quinoa plants were grown for 4 months under controlled conditions in the glasshouse (average temperature of 24 °C, average relative humidity of 69%, and light 330 µmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$) at the Faculty of Science Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco. The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design with three factors: biofertilizer application, quinoa varieties, and salt stress levels (0, 150, and 300 mM of NaCl). For each salinity level, four treatments were considered, in total 12 treatments with 10 replicates per treatment were performed. Each pot (one plant) was considered a replicate. The four treatments for the three groups are mentioned in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

The agricultural soil used has a sandy texture, consisting of 50% sand. The other physicochemical analyses of the agricultural soil used have the following characteristics: $pH = 8.1$, electrical conductivity (EC) (mS/cm) = 0.735, available phosphorus $(mg/kg) = 7.96$, and organic matter $(OM)(\%) = 0.86.$

2.3 Seeds Germination

To evaluate the tolerance of the two studied quinoa genotypes to salinity, we tested the impact of NaCl on seed germination. Seeds of both genotypes were separated and disinfected by soaking in 10% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min. They were then rinsed thoroughly with sterile distilled water. Forty seeds of each genotype were germinated in Petri dishes on two layers of flter paper soaked with 5 mL of sterile distilled water with diferent concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 mM). The plates were incubated in an oven in the dark at 18 °C because the ideal average temperature for quinoa germination is around 15 to 20 °C (Maamri et al. 2022). Germination was monitored every 24 h for 6 days. A seed was considered germinated when the radicle emerged. During this experiment, we studied the germination rate, which is expressed as the ratio of the number of germinated seeds to the total number of germinated seeds.

2.4 Mycorrhizal Analysis

Detection of root colonization by AMF was determined using the method described by Phillips and Hayman [\(1970](#page-16-11)), which consists of treating roots with 10% of potassium hydroxide (KOH) for 45 min at 90 °C, then placing the roots in lactic acid for 10 min at room temperature to neutralize the remaining KOH, thereby staining them with Trypan blue (0.05%) at 90 °C for 20 min.

The mycorrhizal frequency and intensity were calculated according to the following formulas:

Mycorrhizal frequency (F)
$$
(\%) = \left(\frac{\text{Infected root segments}}{\text{Total root segments}}\right) \times 100
$$

Mycorrhizal intensity (M)
$$
(\%) = \frac{(95n5 + 70n4 + 30n3 + 5n2 + n1)}{\text{Total root segments}}
$$

where "n5" stands for the number of roots with an infection level of 5 (infection rate 90–100%), "n4" with an infection level of 4 (infection rate 50–90%), "n3" with 3 (infection rate 10–50%), "n2" with 2 (infection rate 1–10 %), and "n1" with 1 (infection rate 0–1%).

2.5 Harvesting, Plant Growth, and Yield Parameters

After 4 months (at the fructifcation period) of treatments' application, plants were harvested. The growth of the quinoa plants was assessed by measuring the shoot height (SH), root length (RL), and biomass production. The dry weight of shoots and roots and the dry weight of seeds were deter-mined by drying at 80 °C for 48 h (Benaffari et al. [2022](#page-15-3)).

2.6 Physiological Parameters

2.6.1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence, Stomatal Conductance, and Leaf Water Potential

Upon the day of harvest, several physiological parameters were measured at the maturity stage of quinoa plants, such as:

Chlorophyll fuorescence (Fv/Fm) was measured by a fuorometer (OPTI-SCIENCE, OS30p) between 10:00 and 12:00 a.m. Clips were placed on the upper surface of young leaves of the same row. The minimum (F0) and maximum (Fm) fuorescence emission were measured on the leaves after 20 min of dark adaptation (Hosseinzadeh et al. 2015).

Stomatal conductance (gs) was measured using a portable steady-state difusion porometer (Leaf Porometer LP1989, Decagon Device, Inc., Washington, DC, USA). Ten measurements per treatment were made on the abaxial side per plant between 9:30 and 11:00 a.m. on sunny days. This parameter is expressed in mmol $H_2O/m^2/s$ (Harley et al. [1992](#page-15-8)).

The leaf water potential (Ψw) was evaluated using a pressure chamber (Model 600-EXP Super Pressure Chamber, PMS instrument, Albany, OR, USA) before dawn (06:00–08:00 a.m.). Measurements were taken on fully extended mature leaves, from the upper part of the stem of each of the ten plants per treatment (Scholander et al. [1965](#page-17-3)).

2.6.2 Leaf Photosynthetic Pigments Analysis

The determination of the content of the photosynthetic pigment was performed after grinding 100 mg of fresh fully developed leaves, located in the central third of the plant, in the presence of 8 mL of 80% acetone. The photosynthetic pigments concentration was measured according to Lichtenthaler ([1987\)](#page-16-12). After centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min, the optical density (OD) of the supernatants was recorded using a UV/visible spectrophotometer (UV-3100PC spectrophotometer) at 480, 645, and 663 nm. The concentrations of the photosynthetic pigments were measured as follows:

Chlorophyll α (mg g⁻¹DW) = 12.25.(OD663) – 2.79.(OD645)

Chlorophyll b (mg g⁻¹DW) = 21.5.(OD645) – 5.1.(OD663)

Total chlorophyll(mg $g^{-1}DW$) = Chlorophyll α + Chlorophyll *b*

$$
Carotenoids \left(mg \, g^{-1}DW \right) = \frac{Acar}{Em * 100}
$$

2.7 Biochemical Analysis

2.7.1 Total Soluble Sugars and Proteins Quantifcation in Leaves and Seeds

The concentration of total soluble sugars (TSS) was determined according to the method described by (Dubois et al. [1956](#page-15-9)). Leaf and seed materials (100 mg) were cold ground in 2 mL ethanol (80%). The homogenates were centrifuged and the extracts were recovered. In test tubes, 1 mL of the supernatant was added to 1 mL of phenol solution (5%) and 5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid. After shaking, the tubes were allowed to cool for 5 min, after which the optical density was measured at 485 nm.

Total soluble proteins were determined using the technique described by Bradford ([1976\)](#page-15-10). Leaf and seed samples (100 mg) were homogenized with 4 mL of 1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7) and then centrifuged at $18,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C. The absorbance was read at 595 nm.

2.7.2 Leaf Hydrogen Peroxide and Malondialdehyde Contents

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) content in leaves was evaluated using the method described by Velikova et al. ([2000](#page-17-4)). Briefy, leaves were homogenized with 5 mL 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) in an ice bath and then centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (0.5 mL) was recovered to determine the concentration of H_2O_2 and 0.5 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7) and 1 mL of iodic potassium (1 M) were added. After 1 h of incubation, the absorbance at 390 nm was read and plotted against a standard H_2O_2 curve. The blank was made by replacing the sample extract with 10% TCA.

Lipid peroxidation as malondialdehyde (MDA) equivalent was evaluated in leaf tissues. MDA content was estimated by leaf samples (0.1 g) in 3 mL of 0.1% (w/v) TCA and centrifuged at $18,000 \times g$ for 10 min as described by Madhava Rao and Sresty [\(2000\)](#page-16-13). The supernatant was mixed with 3 mL of 0.1% TCA containing 0.5% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid (TBA). The mixture was then heated in a water bath at 100 °C for 30 min and immediately cooled in an ice bath. The absorbance was read at 440, 532, and 600 nm. The concentration of MDA (nmol g^{-1} DW) was calculated by using the extinction coefficient of 155 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and the results were expressed as nmol MDA equivalents per gram.

2.7.3 Antioxidant Enzyme Activities

The enzymatic extraction was carried out according to Aroca et al. [\(2003\)](#page-14-10). 0.1 g of fresh leaf tissues was ground in 4 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) with 5% insoluble polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) and 0.1 mM ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA). The homogenate was centrifuged at $18,000 \times g$ for 10 min and then the supernatant was collected and used for the measurement of antioxidant enzymes activity.

CAT activity was determined as described by Aebi [\(1984](#page-14-11)). The reaction mixture contained 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7), $2 \text{ mL H}_2\text{O}_2$ (10 mM), and 200 µL of the enzyme extract. The absorbance of the solution was recorded at 240 nm. The CAT activity was expressed in μmol of protein min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ degraded by H₂O₂.

Peroxidase (POX) activity was determined by the method of Polle et al. [\(1994](#page-16-14)). The reaction mixture consisted of 100 μL of enzymatic extract, 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7), 1 mL of 20 mM guaiacol, and 0.5 mL of 10 mM H_2O_2 . The absorbance of the mixture was recorded at 470 nm for 30 s. POX activity was expressed in $EU·min^{-1} mg^{-1}$ protein.

PPO activity was estimated by the method of Hori et al. [\(1997\)](#page-15-11). The test solution contained 2 mL of catechol (10 mM in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7)); the reaction was started by adding 100 µL of the enzyme extract. The PPO activity was expressed in enzyme unit mg−1 protein.

2.8 Soil Analyses

Sol physicochemical characteristics were assessed after plant harvesting on samples taken near the roots to evaluate the efects of AMF and/or compost applied on soil quality. The samples were dried and sieved to measure pH, EC, total organic carbon (TOC), OM, and available phosphorus (P). pH and EC were measured in a diluted soil suspension of 1/5 (v/v), using a pH meter HI 9025 and a conductivity meter HI-9033 (Hanna Instruments, Padua, Italy), respectively.

TOC and OM were measured according to the method described by Aubert (1978), which consists of the oxidation of organic matter by potassium dichromate in the presence of sulfuric acid. The available P content was evaluated according to Olsen and Sommers ([1982\)](#page-16-15).

2.9 Statistical Analysis

The results were subjected to multivariate statistical analysis (MANOVA) with CoStat software, version 6.400 (Copyright © 1998–2008 CoHort Software). The statistical treatment includes a variance analysis (ANOVA), followed by a comparison of the means with the Student–Newman–Keuls test at the 5% threshold. The data were subjected to principal components analysis (PCA). The PCA was performed using XLSTAT v. 2016 (Addinsoft, NY, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Seeds Germination

We evaluated the degree of tolerance of the two quinoa genotypes (Titicaca and Puno) to salt stress, by determining the germination rates under diferent concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300 mM) during 6 days (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). The results indicated that the germination rate signifcantly decreased with increasing NaCl concentration, compared to the control.

It appears that both genotypes had almost the same behavior towards salinity. At 150 mM, Titicaca recorded a germination rate of 81%, against 65.83% for Puno. On the other hand, at 300 mM, the germination rates were 72.5 and 50.83% for both Titicaca and Puno genotypes, respectively, on the 6th day of germination.

3.2 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on AMF Root Colonization

The results presented in Fig. [2](#page-5-1) confrm that the degree of quinoa root colonization by AMF was decreased, following exposure to salt stress. The percentage values for AMF treatment were 31 and 29% under severe concentration (300 mM NaCl), and 41 and 39% in non-stressed conditions (0 mM NaCl) for Titicaca and Puno, respectively. In addition, the fndings of this study showed that the application of compost decreased the level of colonization of quinoa roots by AMF under stressful and non-stressful conditions. However, the estimation of the mycorrhizal intensity revealed that the application of AMF alone gave the highest mycorrhizal intensity by 34 and 28% for Titicaca, and 28 and 21% for Puno under 0 mM NaCl and 300 mM NaCl conditions, respectively.

Fig. 1 Germination rate of both quinoa varieties, Titicaca (**A**) and Puno (**B**), in the presence of diferent concentrations of NaCl for 6 days

Fig. 2 Efects of salt stress and biofertilizers on the AMF infection frequency (**A** and **B**) and intensity (**C** and **D**) of both quinoa genotypes. AMF, AMF consortium; Comp, compost. Data presented are

means \pm SD. Bars sharing the same letters in each graphic are not significantly different at $p < 0.05$

3.3 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Plants Growth

According to the results shown in Table [3](#page-6-0), the application of salt stress resulted in a negative efect on growth (total dry biomass, aerial and root elongation, and seeds dry weight) in both quinoa genotypes. Nevertheless, the applied biofertilizers enhanced these parameters compared to the control plants, both in the absence and presence of salinity.

The deleterious effect of salt stress was observed on all growth parameters (shoot height, root elongation, and plant dry weights) and yield (seeds dry weights), within

	Treatments	Shoot height (cm)		Root elongation (cm)		Plant dry weight (g/plant)		Seeds dry weight (g/plant)	
		Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno
0 _m M	Control	51.66 (2.08) e.	32.00(2.00)		9.33 (2.08) c 7.33 (1.52) b 4.83 (0.40)			$2.73(0.06)$ e 1.5 (0.10) fg 1.36 (0.66) d	
	AMF	61.66(2.08) d	51.33 (4.16) d	a	a		16.66 (1.52) 14.00 (2.00) 8.83 (1.06) c 4.00 (0.22) c 2.21 (0.20)	ef	$1.68(1.00)$ c
	Comp	82.33 (1.52) h	73.66 (1.52) h	a	a	h		15.66 (0.57) 14.66 (0.57) 10.06 (1.20) 5.43 (0.08) b 4.78 (0.20) d 2.53 (0.50) b	
	$AMF + Comp$	89.66 (2.51) a	80.33 (1.52) a	18.00(1.00) a	a			$16.00(1.00)$ $11.30(0.95)$ $7.53(0.11)$ a $14.00(0.50)$	$6.00(2.64)$ a
150 mM Control		43.33(3.05)	29.33(2.51) fg				9.00 (1.00) c 6.33 (1.50) b 1.80 (0.52) h 2.23 (0.14) f 1.24 (0.15)	gh	$1.16(0.23)$ f
	AMF	60.00(3.00) d	49.00 (2.64) de	h	a		$13.00(1.00)$ $14.00(1.00)$ $3.83(0.5)$ fg $3.36(0.02)$ d $1.46(0.15)$	fg	$1.23(1.00)$ e
	Comp	78.33 (1.52) \mathbf{c}	70.66 (1.52) bc	h	$13.00(1.00)$ $14.33(2.08)$ a			5.40 (0.96) e 4.03 (0.08) c 2.60 (0.45) e 1.45 (1.00) c	
	$AMF + Comp$	88.00 (2.00) a	78.33 (2.51) a	a	$17.00(1.00)$ $14.66(1.52)$ $7.86(0.35)$ a	cd		5.13 (0.02) b 10.13 (1.09) 5.16 (0.51) a	
300 mM Control		41.33(1.52)	26.33(1.52)					8.00 (1.00) c 6.16 (1.44) b 1.71 (0.60) h 1.65 (0.02) f 1.04 (0.13) h 0.76 (0.06) f	
	AMF	58.66 (1.52) d	46.66(1.52) e	h	$12.00(1.00)$ 9.66 (2.51) b 3.03 (0.15)	gh		$2.14(0.02)$ e 1.09 (0.36) gh	$1.00(1.00)$ ef
	Comp	75.66(2.51) \mathbf{c}	68.33 (1.52) \mathbf{c}	13.33(1.52) _b	13.66(1.52) a	4.43(0.40) efg			3.13 (0.02) d 3.03 (0.55) e 1.20 (1.00) ef
	$AMF + Comp$	85.33 (1.52) ab	77.66 (1.52) a	16.00(2.00) a	a				14.50 (1.80) 7.06 (0.40) d 4.16 (0.01) c 7.529 (0.61) 3.76 (0.51) ab

Table 3 Growth performance of non-amended and non-inoculated quinoa (control), and quinoa inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and/or amended with compost (comp) subjected to diferent concentrations of NaCl

AMF, AMF consortium and *Comp*, compost. Presented data are means (SD). Columns sharing the same letters are not signifcantly diferent $(p < 0.05)$

the two genotypes of quinoa, cultivated under greenhouse conditions. The results revealed that plant growth traits of both genotypes were significantly influenced by salinity (300 mM) (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). However, all applied biofertilizer treatments mitigated the negative effect of salt stress and improved the growth of quinoa, compared to the controls. The application of compost and AMF, separately or in combination, significantly increased shoot and root biomass under stressed (150 mM and 300 mM NaCl) and non-stressed (0 mM) conditions, compared to non-inoculated and non-amended plants for both genotypes. For instance, the highest plant growth effect was obtained when the compost was applied in combination with AMF (AMF + Comp). Under 300 mM NaCl, the combination increased the growth parameters, plant dry weight (PDW, 311% for Titicaca and 197% for Puno), seeds dry weight (SDW, 204% for Titicaca and 486% for Puno), SH (106% for Titicaca and 195% for Puno), and root elongation (RE, 100% for Titicaca and 135% for Puno), compared to the control plants.

3.4 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Photosynthetic Efficiency, Stomatal Conductance, and Leaf Water Potential

Data presented in Fig. [3](#page-7-0) showed that salt stress caused signifcant reductions in stomatal conductance in plants. Under the same conditions, the biofertilizer treatments signifcantly increased this parameter in both Titicaca and Puno genotypes, compared to untreated plants, especially in plants treated by AMF+Comp.

The effect of biofertilizers and salt stress on photosys-tem II efficiency is presented in Fig. [3](#page-7-0). The results indicated that salinity negatively affected photosynthetic efficiency as assessed by the Fv/Fm ratio in all treatments. Treatments of plants with AMF+Comp signifcantly improved this parameter both in the absence and presence of salinity for the two genotypes.

The application of 300 mM NaCl signifcantly reduced water potential (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Nevertheless, the treatment of plants with biofertilizers significantly improved this

Fig. 3 Effects of salt stress and biofertilizers on chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) (**A** and **B**), stomatal conductance (gs) (**C** and **D**), and leaf water potential (**E** and **F**) of both quinoa genotypes. AMF, AMF

parameter. Plants treated with AMF+Comp showed the greatest improvements by 37 and 35% for Puno and Titicaca, respectively.

3.5 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Photosynthetic Pigments Quantifcation in Quinoa Leaves

Photosynthetic pigments content (chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, total chlorophyll, and carotenoids) of both genotypes decreased with increasing levels of salinity (Table [4](#page-8-0)). The application of severe salinity level (300 mM NaCl) signifcantly reduced the levels of chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, total chlorophyll, and carotenoids to 10, 57, 39, and 28%, respectively, in Titicaca leaves, while the respective reductions of these pigments in Puno leaves were reported to be 86, 66, 78, and 85%, respectively, compared to their respective control plants (0 mM NaCl). However, the application of biofertilizers induced a signifcant increase in

consortium; Comp, compost. Data presented are means \pm SD. Bars sharing the same letters in each fgure are not signifcantly diferent at $p < 0.05$

these photosynthetic pigments, compared to the control plants. For Puno, the highest amounts of the photosynthetic pigments were recorded in AMF+Comp treatment by 47, 27, 123, and 46% for chl *a*, chl *b*, total chl, and carotenoids, while for Titicaca, it was noticed that the same treatment improved the levels of chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, total chlorophyll, and carotenoids by 18, 9, 13, and 27%, respectively, under 300 mM NaCl conditions.

3.6 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Sugar and Protein Content in Quinoa Plants

The results on the efect of salt stress and biofertilizer application on the sugar and protein contents of quinoa seeds and leaves are presented in Table [5](#page-9-0). Exposure to salinity caused a signifcant decrease in sugar and protein contents, while AMF and/or compost signifcantly increased these contents in both genotypes under 300 mM NaCl conditions, compared to control plants. The highest

	Treatments	Chl a content $(mg/g FW)$		Chl b content $(mg/g FW)$		Total chlorophyll content (mg/g FW)		Carotenoids content (mg/g) FW)	
		Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno
0 Mm	Control			1.19 (0.35) e 1.15 (0.18) e 3.04 (0.16) e 1.39 (0.1) e					4.24 (0.43) g $2.55(0.037)$ 9.42 (0.14) i 6.96 (0.28) e
	AMF					3.23 (0.14) c 2.22 (0.03) c 3.85 (0.14) c 2.74 (0.12) c 7.09 (0.25) c 4.96 (0.14) b 13.71 (0.31) 9.89 (0.20) b			
	Comp								4.73 (0.19) b 3.12 (0.07) b 5.08 (0.29) b 3.32 (0.05) b 9.82 (0.43) b 6.44 (0.12) c 18.18 (1.06) 12.45 (0.08) c
						a		a	AMF+Comp 5.57 (0.31) a 4.17 (0.05) a 6.55 (0.13) a 5.29 (0.03) a 12.12 (0.44) 9.46 (0.06) a 25.64 (1.02) 20.17 (0.17) a
150 Mm Control				1.19 (0.01) e 1.04 (0.06) e 2.18 (0.70) e 0.80 (0.00)	ef		3.37 (0.29) h 1.84 (0.06) g 8.67 (0.43)	e1	$5.77(0.40)$ g
	AMF								3.03 (0.03) c 2.16 (0.05) c 3.27 (0.02) d 1.73 (0.10) d 6.30 (0.12) e 3.89 (0.15) e 12.58 (0.90) 7.07 (0.20) d
	Comp					3.15 (0.08) c 2.56 (0.04) c 4.36 (0.38) b 2.70 (0.15) d 6.30 (0.04) d 5.26 (0.1) f			$16.46(0.73)$ 9.69 (1.63) f
	AMF+Comp $4.54(0.24)$ b $3.76(0.03)$		ab	$6.00(0.32)$ a $4.07(0.14)$	ab	_b		h	$10.52(0.39)$ 7.84 (0.17) d 23.38 (0.21) 15.94 (0.11) d
300 mM Control						1.10 (0.17) e 1.00 (0.06) f 1.94 (0.15) g 0.40 (0.00) f 3.05 (0.29) h 1.40 (0.06) g 7.38 (0.44) j 4.77 (0.14) g			
	AMF	$2.07(0.01)$ d $1.78(0.05)$	cd			3.19 (0.19) d 0.84 (0.10) e 5.27 (0.12) e 2.62 (0.15) e 11.84 (0.49)			$5.07(0.32)$ d
	Comp					3.01 (0.03) c 2.26 (0.04) c 3.43 (0.07) d 1.50 (0.15) e 6.45 (0.05) e 3.76 (0.1) f		15.42(0.41)	$8.69(0.56)$ f
	$AMF + Comp$ 4.96 (0.34) b 3.14 (0.03) b 5.99 (0.06) b 3.17 (0.14) b 10.55 (0.02) 6.28 (0.17) d 20.24 (0.29)					b		$\mathbf c$	$14.94(0.18)$ d

Table 4 Photosynthetic pigments and carotenoids of non-amended and non-inoculated quinoa (control), and quinoa inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and/or amended with compost (comp) subjected to diferent concentrations of NaCl

AMF, AMF consortium; *Comp*, compost; *Chl a*, chlorophyll *a* and *Chl b*, chlorophyll *b*. Presented data are means (SD). Columns sharing the same letters are not significantly different $(p < 0.05)$

sugar content was recorded in the plants treated with the AMF + Comp by 124 and 111%, respectively, in seeds and leaves for Titicaca, and 126 and 122% for Puno under the severe concentration of NaCl 300 mM. Similarly, the protein content was signifcantly improved by the AMF+Comp treatment with 96 and 95%, respectively, in seeds and leaves for Titicaca, and 119 and 56% for Puno under 300 mM of NaCl. In addition, the protein and sugar content was higher in the seeds than in the leaves for both varieties whatever the level of salinity applied.

3.7 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Malondialdehyde and Hydrogen Peroxide Content in Quinoa Leaves

The application of 300 mM NaCl resulted in a high MDA and H_2O_2 content in leaves (Fig. [4\)](#page-10-0). However, plants treated with biofertilizers showed a signifcant reduction in these two parameters, compared to the non-treated plants. Low levels of MDA and H_2O_2 were noticed in plants treated with the dual application of AMF and compost under non-saline and saline conditions, respectively. The percentages of reduction recorded in MDA and H_2O_2 content were 71 and 117% respectively for Titicaca, and 89 and 41% for Puno under 300 mM of NaCl.

3.8 Efect of Salt Stress and Biofertilizers on Antioxidant Enzyme Activities in Quinoa Leaves

To assess the antioxidant enzymes involved in attenuating the generated oxidative stress, the activities of CAT, POX, and PPO were evaluated (Fig. [5\)](#page-11-0). The activities of the antioxidant enzymes increased in both genotypes with increasing levels of salinity. The activities of these antioxidant enzymes were signifcantly higher in plants grown under salinity and without biofertilizers, with a signifcant diference compared to plants grown with AMF and compost, especially the combined treatment. The activities of CAT, POX, and PPO were increased by 79, 58, and 38% in Titicaca plants when treated with AMF+Comp under the highest level of salinity (300 mM NaCl), while the respective increases in the enzymatic activities in Puno plants were 122, 59, and 40%, compared to control plants under 300 mM NaCl.

	Treatments	Leaves sugar content (mg/g) FW)		Seeds sugar content (mg/g FW)		Leaves protein content (mg/g FW)		Seeds protein content (mg/g) FW)	
		Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno	Titicaca	Puno
0 _m M	Control	140.64 (3.62) f	132.81 (1.06) g	177.46 (6.60) h	149.68 (0.94) j	\mathbf{f}	e	g	83.68 (2.48) 69.74 (0.44) 95.46 (1.70) 91.56 (0.83) h
	AMF	155.65 (3.80) e	151.50 (0.59) e	288.55 (4.59) d	259.87 (0.56) e	\mathbf{e}	89.40 (0.83) 86.53 (0.59) 144.29 h	(0.94) d	135.30 (1.34) e
	Comp	179.99 (7.59) d	157.47 (0.36) d	312.17 (5.32) c	292.78 (0.78) d	109.38 (5.57) c	h	88.40 (0.35) 168.95 (1.06) c	156.52 (1.11) b
	$AMF + Comp$	233.63 (8.35) a	190.45 (1.34) a	342.08 (2.86) a	318.06 (1.93) a	143.24 (5.83) a	a	93.88 (0.47) 228.06 (0.91) a	166.93(0.71) a
150 mM Control		114.13 (1.13) h	102.91 (1.69) j	160.15 (1.10) i	136.57 (0.71) k	$g_{\rm c}$	\mathbf{f}	h	74.88 (1.77) 60.05 (0.98) 80.26 (5.67) 87.61 (0.27) j
	AMF	133.53 (2.04) g	131.16 (0.56) g	233.60 (3.22) f	235.53 (1.36) h	$g \sim$	76.28 (1.82) 73.14 (2.63) 106.16 d	(5.63) f	104.26(0.97) g
	Comp	143.24 (1.56) f	136.21 (0.49) f	293.17 (1.57) d	256.43 (0.72) f	104.32 (2.13) cd	\mathbf{c}	77.99 (2.83) 141.08 (4.43) d	140.42 (0.69) d
	$AMF + Comp$	221.98 (1.86) b	179.08 (0.65) b	326.91 (2.07) b	301.98 (0.41) b	134.04 (4.34) b	a	92.67 (0.24) 186.45 (1.724) b	156.91 (0.91) b
	300 mM Control	91.06 (2.48)	78.81 (1.78) $\bf k$	136.41 (4.59) j	131.31 (0.31)1	h	\mathbf{f}	h	67.45 (1.83) 58.78 (0.69) 84.55 (5.65) 69.22 (0.77) k
	AMF	103.30 (2.79) i	105.75 (0.56) i	221.07 (2.58) g	223.44 (0.71) i	70.48 (1.82) gh	e	g	68.08 (2.63) 90.85 (4.30) 89.14 (0.18) i
	Comp	120.05 (2.33) h	120.53 (0.51) h	245.17 (7.44) e	249.12 (1.23) g	d	99.65 (2.13) 75.23 (2.83) 127.58 d	(2.76) e	124.66(0.95) f
	$AMF + Comn$	192.11	175.52	305.50	297.60	131.40		$91.93(0.76)$ 166.32	151.91 (0.92)

Table 5 Total soluble sugar and protein content of non-amended and non-inoculated quinoa (control), and quinoa inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and/or amended with compost (comp) subjected to diferent concentration of NaCl

AMF, AMF consortium and *Comp*, compost. Presented data are means (SD). Columns sharing the same letters are not signifcantly diferent $(p < 0.05)$

 (1.54) c

(0.88) b

a

(3.89) c

c

 (1.07) c

3.9 Soil Analysis

Results of soil analyses after the cultivation of quinoa and application of the diferent treatments are shown in Table [6.](#page-12-0) Compared to the initial properties, the soil organic matter and available P were signifcantly improved after 4 months of quinoa cultivation and biofertilizers application. The pH values decreased in the soil of Puno plants treated with AMF+Comp under stressed conditions (300 mM NaCl). The application of compost alone or combined with AMF signifcantly improved OM by 172 and 227%, TOC by 56 and 88%, and available P content by 17 and 24% respectively for Titicaca under 300 mM NaCl conditions compared to the control. While the respective improvements of OM, TOC, and available P for Puno were 194 and 215%, 43 and 81%, and 31 and 29% under 300 Mm NaCl conditions compared to the control.

(1.89) c

 (1.03) c

3.10 Principal Component Analysis

PCA was performed to gain an overview of the data obtained and to analyze the relationships between variables (Fig. [6](#page-13-0)).

PC2 divided the salinity treatments by stress intensity. The 0 mM NaCl treatment samples were placed on top, the 150 mM NaCl treatment samples in the center, and the 300 mM NaCl treatment samples below the ordination. PC1 represents a strong contrast between growth, physiological, and water parameters, all positively associated with comp treatments alone and/or in combination with AMF under 0 mM and 150 mM salinity and parameters related to the antioxidant activity (POX, PPO, and CAT) and stress markers $(H₂O₂$ and MDA) negatively associated with AMF treatment at 300 mM salinity. PCA revealed that the results obtained showed that the two varieties responded in the same way to the diferent salinity concentrations and treatments applied.

4 Discussion

Abiotic stress, such as salinity, represents a severe constraint on crop productivity. It causes huge economic losses every year in the world (Ghorbanpour and Varma 2017). Hence, organic and biological amendments based on green waste

Fig. 4 Effects of salt stress and biofertilizers on malondialdehyde (MDA) and H₂O₂ of both quinoa varieties. AMF, AMF consortium; Comp, compost. Data presented are means \pm SD. Bars sharing the same letters in each graphic are not significantly different (p <0.05)

and AMF were selected to improve the biomass and tolerance of quinoa, due to their great nutritional content. Quinoa plants are acknowledged as allies for global food security (Alandia et al. 2020). These plants are widely grown in Morocco, where salinity is one of the main factors limiting the growth of this crop.

Salinity has deleterious effects on plants at different stages of their growth and development. Several works have reported the negative effects of soil salinity on crops (Machado and Serralheiro [2017](#page-16-16); Negrão et al. [2017](#page-16-17)). The fndings demonstrated that irrigation of quinoa with saline water signifcantly decreased crop water productivity, harvest index, and ultimate dry biomass (Bouras et al. [2022](#page-15-12)). In this study, the depressive efects of salt on seed germination were demonstrated by the signifcant decrease in their germination rate with increasing NaCl concentration. Our results are in agreement with studies conducted on quinoa (Abdel-Farid et al. [2020\)](#page-14-12), alfalfa (Ben-Laouane et al. [2020](#page-15-13)), and faba bean (Benidire et al. [2017](#page-15-14)). The negative efects of salt at the stage of germination can be the result of an osmotic stress response, which could be due to a decrease in the water potential of the external environment, following elevated rates of salinity. Consequently, the absorption of water becomes difficult, which inhibits plants' germination (Johnson and Puthur [2021;](#page-15-15) Safdar et al. [2019\)](#page-16-18).

According to our results, we noticed negative efects of salinity on AMF colonization for both varieties of quinoa plants. These results are in agreement with several studies showing that mycorrhizal infection decreased when plants were subjected to salinity (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al. [2020;](#page-14-4) Ben-Laouane et al. [2020](#page-15-13)). Salt stress induces inhibition of AMF spore germination and hyphal growth, thereby decreasing mycorrhizal colonization (Rydlová and Püschel [2020\)](#page-16-19). Furthermore, compost application signifcantly decreased mycorrhizal frequency and intensity in AMF-inoculated plants under unstressed and stressed conditions. The application of organic amendments, including compost and mineral nutrients, can reduce AMF colonization and root activity due to the release of mineralized P into the soil and/or difusion of decomposition products, thereby reducing the establishment and maintenance of AMF colonization (Jiang et al. [2021](#page-15-16); Xiao et al. [2020](#page-17-5)).

The obtained results of the present study revealed that the growth of both genotypes, Titicaca and Puno, was not signifcantly infuenced by the low salinity level (150 mM NaCl), indicating the halophytic behavior of quinoa (Moog

Fig. 5 Efects of salt stress and biofertilizers on catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POX), and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activities in shoot part of both quinoa varieties. AMF, AMF consortium; Comp, compost.

Data presented are means \pm SD. Bars sharing the same letters in each graphic are not significantly different $(p < 0.05)$

et al. [2022](#page-16-20)). Our results revealed that the Titicaca genotype was more salinity-tolerant compared to Puno. The inhibition of plant growth and development by salinity could be due to the osmotic and toxic efects of excess salt (Negrão et al. [2017\)](#page-16-17). Decreased water potential causes a reduction in water uptake by plants, resulting in stomatal closure, reduced photosynthesis, and decreased leaf area, leading to a reduction in plant growth rate (Negrão et al. [2017](#page-16-17); Safdar et al. [2019](#page-16-18)).

This behavior has been reported by several authors in other crops, such as alfalfa (Ben-Laouane et al. [2020\)](#page-15-13), faba bean (Benidire et al. [2017\)](#page-15-14), and bean (Abdel Motaleb et al. [2020](#page-14-13)). Our research confrmed the results found by Kellogg et al. ([2021](#page-16-21)), who showed that AMF increased growth traits in quinoa plants. However, AMF showed remarkable growth of quinoa plants under non-stressed and stressed conditions compared to the controls (García-Parra et al. [2022](#page-15-17)). Consequently, they improved the agronomic parameters.

Our results are in agreement with those obtained by Finlay ([2008\)](#page-15-18), who observed a positive impact on plant growth when AMF and compost were combined. Thus, plant biomass increment could be due to the growth promotion mechanisms that occurred by the beneficial microorganisms, such as phytohormone production and mineral nutrients solubilization (Finlay [2008](#page-15-18)). Similarly, Achiba et al. [\(2010](#page-15-19)) demonstrated that compost supply in the soil enriches the rhizosphere with micro- and macro-nutrient elements and counteracts nutrient depletion. The significant increase in growth parameters and grain weight after compost application could be attributed to the increase in soil organic matter and water retention (Goswami et al.

 \mathbf{r}

same letters are not significantly different $(p < 0.05)$

Table 6

Main characteristics of diferent treatments on agricultural soil physicochemical parameters after harvest

[2017\)](#page-15-20). The ability of the AMF and compost combina tion to improve plant growth under stressful conditions has been reported by several authors, notably in date palm (Toubali et al. [2020](#page-17-0)), tomato (Copetta et al. [2011](#page-15-21)), *Canna indica* (El Faiz et al. [2015](#page-15-22)), and shrub (Kohler et al. [2015](#page-16-22)).

Photosynthetic efficiency is a crucial trait that influences plant growth and survival under environmental conditions. Our study showed that salinity impaired stomatal conduct ance and chlorophyll fuorescence (Fv/Fm) functioning as found in several studies (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al. [2020](#page-14-4); Chandrasekaran et al. [2019;](#page-15-23) Ouhaddou et al. [2022](#page-16-23)). Salin ity signifcantly reduced the Fv/Fm ratio and stomatal con ductance (gs) of both quinoa genotypes, with signifcant differences between applied treatments. It is well documented that salt stress negatively afects photosynthetic activities (Hafez et al. [2021;](#page-15-24) Kwon et al. [2019\)](#page-16-24). A similar reduction in the maximum rate of $CO₂$ assimilation can be expected, which could lead to photo-inhibition of PSII (Najar et al. [2019\)](#page-16-25). In plants, salinity usually causes a rapid decline in PSII activity due to the inhibition of PSII repair caused by excessive ROS production (Pan et al. [2021\)](#page-16-26). In this study, the inoculated and amended plants revealed an improvement in the gs and Fv/Fm ratio compared to the control plants under non-saline and saline conditions, which is in agree ment with Benafari et al. ([2022\)](#page-15-3), who found that quinoa plants treated with AMF improved physiological param eters. This increase in the photochemical efficiency of PSII could be due to the accumulation of some osmolytes, such as glycine betaine and proline that can maintain cell tur gor and protect the PSII complex and CO_2 -fixing enzymes [under](#page-16-28) salt stress (Khanna-Cho[pra et](#page-16-29) al. [2019\)](#page-16-27). Mathur et al. ([2019\)](#page-16-28) and Mathur and Jajoo ([2020](#page-16-29)) reported that inoculation with AMF helps plants to maintain the integrity and stability of PSI and PSII under abiotic stress. The increase in leaf water potential by salinity in treated compared to untreated plants in this investigation would confrm that applied biofertilizers could help plants adapt to severe saline conditions by maintaining a favorable water status (Franzini et al. [2019;](#page-15-25) Mokabel et al. [2022](#page-16-30)). Salinity sig nifcantly decreased the levels of total chlorophyll, carot enoids, and chlorophyll *a* and *b*. This decrease could be due to the increase in chlorophyll degrading enzymes, such as chlorophyllases (Siddiqui et al. [2020](#page-17-6)). However, these parameters were signifcantly improved when biofertilizers were applied alone and/or in combination. AMF hyphae are likely to increase Mg uptake, which can increase total chlorophyll content in mycorrhizal quinoa plants (Benafari et al. [2022](#page-15-3)). Previous works showed that the improvement of chlorophyll synthesis is linked to adequate uptake of min eral elements, particularly Mg and N (Begum et al. [2019](#page-14-14); Hashem et al. [2018\)](#page-15-26). In addition, P in synergy with N plays a major physiological role in the process of photosynthesis.

Fig. 6 Principal component analysis (PCA) for both varieties; T, Titicaca; P, Puno; Comp, compost; AMF, AMF consortium; PDW, plant dry weight; SDW, seeds dry weight; Fv/Fm, chlorophyll fuorescence; gs, gas exchange; LWP, leaf water potential; Chla, chlorophyll *a*; Chlb, chlorophyll *b*; Tchl, total chlorophyll; L.TSS, leaf total soluble sugar; S.TSS, seed total soluble sugars; L.Prot, leaf proteins; S.Prot, seed protein; CAT, catalase; PPO, polyphenoloxidase; POX, peroxidase; EC, electrical conductivity; TOC, total organic carbon; OM, organic matter; P, soil available P

The tested biofertilizers increased soluble sugar and protein content in plants of both quinoa genotypes compared to the control, with a better result noted for the AMF+Comp combination. Copetta et al. ([2011](#page-15-21)) also concluded that the use of AMF and compost could improve fruit quality by ameliorating their biochemical composition, including sugar and protein levels. The improvement of photosynthesis increases protein synthesis and sugar content. This would positively infuence the growth and yield of quinoa plants. Several studies revealed that plant adaptation to salt stress is associated with osmotic adjustment by accumulating organic solutes (Abdel Latef et al. [2019;](#page-14-15) Siddiqui et al. [2020\)](#page-17-6).

Sugars are important osmolytes that could contribute to the osmotic adjustment of glycophytes subjected to salinity conditions (Ashraf and Harris [2004\)](#page-14-16). Their main functions are osmoprotection, osmotic adjustment, carbon storage, radical scavenging, and protein structure stabilization (Parida and Das [2005](#page-16-31)). Indeed, these solutes can protect cell membrane by balancing the osmotic potential of the cytosol with that of the vacuole and the external environment. According to our fndings, salt stress reduced total soluble sugar in quinoa leaves while AMF and compost application increased this parameter under stressful conditions as well (Ahanger et al. 2014). The accumulation of sugars would protect membranes from salinity-induced dehydration by regulating the cellular osmotic potential, thus maintaining a good water status of the plants (Achour et al. [2015](#page-14-17); Parida and Das [2005\)](#page-16-31).

In this study, applied biofertilizers increased the accumulation of sugar and protein levels in quinoa plants, suggesting their role as osmoregulators. Our fndings agree with results found in other studies using AMF and/or compost under saline conditions. Quinoa plants treated with AMF and/or compost accumulated more sugar content during the salt stress exposition, likely to maintain high hydration as well as turgor level, which maintains the main physiological activities under saline conditions. In addition, the carbohydrate accumulation in saline conditions reduced the osmotic potentials in host cells. The sugar content in inoculated and amended quinoa was higher than in non-treated plants. Under saline conditions, the higher content of soluble proteins in AMF-treated plants grown in soil amended with compost may explain the strengthening of the non-enzymatic antioxidant defense system by AMF and compost.

Similarly, Li et al. (2019) and Toubali et al. ([2020\)](#page-17-0) recorded that the evaluated antioxidant enzymes (CAT, POX, and PPO) were enhanced during the application of salt stress compared to the control. Indeed, stress-induced ROS accumulation is counteracted by several complexes and processes, such as the enzymatic antioxidant defense system (Devireddy et al. [2021;](#page-15-27) Hussain et al. [2018](#page-15-4)). In our study, the signifcant increase in the activity of these enzymes detected in quinoa plants is presumed to limit cellular damage and improve the antioxidant capacity of the plant to defend itself against stress. In addition, the application of AMF and compost decreased the activity of the antioxidant enzymes PPO and POD, increasing the resistance of quinoa to salt stress.

Our study showed the improvement of soil physicochemical properties at harvest. Under salt stress, AMF and compost application mainly increased soil quality, such as OM and P content, compared to the control treatment. After compost application, the decrease in soil pH can be attributed to

the mineralization of organic matter and $CO₂$ release (Huang and Chen [2009](#page-15-28)). Signifcant increases in available OM and P, especially with the application of compost alone or in combination with AMF, were noted and resulted from the high content of OM and P available in the compost. Similar results were recorded by Gaiotti et al. ([2017\)](#page-15-29).

5 Conclusion

The application of salinity, particularly the high concentration (300 mM NaCl), caused depressive efects on the growth, physiological, and biochemical mechanisms of quinoa plants. However, the application of native biofertilizers, especially the dual combination of arbuscular mycorhizal fungi and compost, signifcantly improved the growth, physiological, biochemical, and tolerance of the host plants to salt stress. The comparison of both varieties revealed that Titicaca showed less reduction in shoot height, root elongation, and seed dry weight. In addition, the biostimulants application exhibited higher activities of antioxidant enzymes, including catalase, peroxidase, and polyphenol oxidase, suggesting that Titicaca was more tolerant to salinity than Puno. Based on these results, the quinoa Titicaca genotype can be successfully cultivated under saline soils.

Funding The present study was supported by the Tuniso-Moroccan Mixed Laboratories (LMTM) of Plant Physiology and Biotechnology and Climate Change LPBV2C and FOSC project (Sus-Agri-CC) from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement N862555.

Data Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abdel-Farid IB, Marghany MR, Rowezek MM, Sheded MG (2020) Efect of salinity stress on growth and metabolomic profling of *Cucumis sativus* and *Solanum lycopersicum*. Plants 9(11):1626. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9111626>
- Abdel Latef AAH, Mostofa MG, Rahman MM, Abdel-Farid IB, Tran L-SP (2019) Extracts from yeast and carrot roots enhance maize performance under seawater-induced salt stress by altering physiobiochemical characteristics of stressed plants. J Plant Growth Regul 38:966–979. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-018-9906-8>
- Abdel Motaleb NA, AbdElhady SA, Ghoname AA (2020) AMF and *Bacillus megaterium* neutralize the harmful efects of salt stress on bean plants. Gesunde Pfanz 72:29–39. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-019-00480-8) [s10343-019-00480-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-019-00480-8)
- Achour A, Bidai Y, Belkhodja M (2015) The impact of salinity on water and metabolic behavior of a variety of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Int J Innov Appl Stud 12:943–953
- Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Methods Enzymol 105:121–126. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(84\)05016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05016-3)
- Agegnehu G, Srivastava AK, Bird MI (2017) The role of biochar and biochar-compost in improving soil quality and crop performance: a review. Appl Soil Ecol 119:156–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.06.008) [apsoil.2017.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.06.008)
- Ahanger MA, Tomar NS, Tittal M, Argal S, Agarwal RM (2017) Plant growth under water/salt stress: ROS production; antioxidants and signifcance of added potassium under such conditions. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 23:731–744. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-017-0462-7) [s12298-017-0462-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-017-0462-7)
- Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Baslam M, Ben-Laouane R, Anli M, Boutasknit A, Mitsui T, Wahbi S, Meddich A (2020) Alleviation of detrimental efects of salt stress on date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) by the application of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and/or compost. Front Sustain Food Syst 4:131.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.00131>
- Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ben LR, Anli M, Boutasknit A, Wahbi S, Meddich A (2019) Use of mycorrhizal fungi in improving tolerance of the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) seedlings to salt stress. Sci Hortic 253:429–438. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.066) [04.066](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.066)
- Anli M, Baslam M, Tahiri A et al (2020) Biofertilizers as strategies to improve photosynthetic apparatus, growth, and drought stress tolerance in the date palm. Front Plant Sci 11:516818. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.516818) [org/10.3389/fpls.2020.516818](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.516818)
- Anli M, Boutasknit A, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ben-Laouane R, Ait-Rahou Y, Fakhech A, Meddich A (2022) Improving lettuce yield and quality of an agricultural soil using a combination of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and phosphate-green wastes compost. Gesunde Pfanz 74:205–217. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-021-00603-0) [s10343-021-00603-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-021-00603-0)
- Aroca R, Vernieri P, Irigoyen JJ, Sánchez-Díaz M, Tognoni F, Pardossi A (2003) Involvement of abscisic acid in leaf and root of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in avoiding chilling-induced water stress. Plant Sci 165:671–679. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(03\)00257-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00257-7)
- Arora NK (2019) Impact of climate change on agriculture production and its sustainable solutions. Environ Sustain 2:95–96. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-019-00078-w) [org/10.1007/s42398-019-00078-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-019-00078-w)
- Ashraf M, Harris PJC (2004) Potential biochemical indicators of salinity tolerance in plants. Plant Sci 166:3–16. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.024) [1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.024)
- Bárzana G, Aroca R, Ruiz‐Lozano JM (2015) Localized and non-localized efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis on accumulation of osmolytes and aquaporins and on antioxidant systems in maize plants subjected to total or partial root drying. Plant Cell Environ 38(8):1613–1627.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12507>
- Baslam M, Qaddoury A, Goicoechea N (2014) Role of native and exotic mycorrhizal symbiosis to develop morphological, physiological and biochemical responses coping with water drought of date palm, *Phoenix dactylifera*. trees 28:161–172. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-0939-0) [10.1007/s00468-013-0939-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-0939-0)
- Bazile D, Jacobsen SE, Verniau A (2016) The global expansion of quinoa: trends and limits. Front Plant Sci 7:622. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00622) [10.3389/fpls.2016.00622](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00622)
- Begum N, Ahanger MA, Su Y, Lei Y, Mustafa NSA, Ahmad P, Zhang L (2019) Improved drought tolerance by AMF inoculation in maize (*Zea mays*) involves physiological and biochemical implications. Plants 8:1–20.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8120579>
- Ben AW, Lakhdar A, Gabteni N, Du LG, Verloo M, Boeckx P, Van Cleemput O, Jedidi N, Gallali T (2010) Accumulation and fractionation of trace metals in a Tunisian calcareous soil amended with farmyard manure and municipal solid waste compost. J Hazard Mater 176:99–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.004)
- Ben-Laouane R, Baslam M, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Anli M, Boutasknit A, Ait-Rahou Y, Toubali S, Mitsui T, Oufdou K, Wahbi S, Meddich A (2020) Potential of native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobia, and/or green compost as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) enhancers under salinity. Microorganisms 8:1695. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111695) [microorganisms8111695](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111695)
- Benafari W, Boutasknit A, Anli M, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ait-Rahou Y, Ben-Laouane R, Ben Ahmed H, Mitsui T, Baslam M, Meddich A (2022) The native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and vermicompost-based organic amendments enhance soil fertility, growth performance, and the drought stress tolerance of quinoa. Plants 11:393.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11030393>
- Benidire L, Lahrouni M, El Khallouf F, Göttfert M, Oufdou K (2017) Efects of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* inoculation on growth, nitrogen uptake and mineral assimilation in *Vicia faba* plants under salinity stress. J Agric Sci Technol 19:889–901
- Bhantana P, Rana MS, Sun X, cheng, et al (2021) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and its major role in plant growth, zinc nutrition, phosphorous regulation and phytoremediation. Symbiosis 84:19–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-021-00756-6>
- Bois JF, Winkel T, Lhomme JP, Rafaillac JP, Rocheteau A (2006) Response of some Andean cultivars of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) to temperature: Efects on germination, phenology, growth and freezing. Euro J Agron 25(4):299–308. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2006.06.007) [org/10.1016/j.eja.2006.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2006.06.007)
- Bouras H, Choukr-Allah R, Amouaouch Y, Bouaziz A, Devkota KP, El Mouttaqi A, Bouazzama B, Hirich A (2022) How does Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) respond to phosphorus fertilization and irrigation water salinity? Plants 11(2):216. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11020216) [3390/plants11020216](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11020216)
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3) [10.1016/0003-2697\(76\)90527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3)
- Chandrasekaran M, Chanratana M, Kim K, Seshadri S, Sa T (2019) Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on photosynthesis, water status, and gas exchange of plants under salt stress—a meta-analysis. Front Plant Sci 10:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00457) [00457](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00457)
- Copetta A, Bardi L, Bertolone E, Berta G (2011) Fruit production and quality of tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) are afected by green compost and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Biosyst 145:106–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2010.539781>
- Dao VD, Vu NH, Yun S (2020) Recent advances and challenges for solar-driven water evaporation system toward applications. Nano Energy 68:104324.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104324>
- Devireddy AR, Zandalinas SI, Fichman Y, Mittler R (2021) Integration of reactive oxygen species and hormone signaling during abiotic stress. Plant J 105(2):459–476.<https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15010>
- Dubois M, Gilles K, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F (1956) Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem 28:350–356. <https://doi.org/10.1038/168167a0>
- El Faiz A, Duponnois R, Winterton P, Ouhammou A, Meddich A, Boularbah A, Hafdi M (2015) Efect of diferent amendments on growing of *Canna indica* L. inoculated with AMF on mining substrate. Int J Phytoremediation 17:503–513. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2014.950408) [1080/15226514.2014.950408](https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2014.950408)
- Ferguson JN (2019) Climate change and abiotic stress mechanisms in plants. Emerg Top Life Sci 3:165–181
- Finlay RD (2008) Ecological aspects of mycorrhizal symbiosis: with special emphasis on the functional diversity of interactions involving the extraradical mycelium. J Exp Bot 59:1115–1126. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ern059) doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ern059
- Flowers TJ, Colmer TD (2015) Plant salt tolerance: adaptations in halophytes. Ann Bot 115:327–331. [https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu267) mcu₂₆₇
- Francini S (2019) Abiotic stress efects on performance of horticultural crops. Horticulturae 5:67. [https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae50](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae5040067) [40067](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae5040067)
- Franzini VI, Azcón R, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Aroca R (2019) Rhizobial symbiosis modifes root hydraulic properties in bean plants under non-stressed and salinity-stressed conditions. Planta 249(4):1207– 1215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-03076-0>
- Gaiotti F, Marcuzzo P, Belfore N, Lovat L, Fornasier F, Tomasi D, Bel N, Lovat L, Fornasier F, Tomasi D (2017) Infuence of compost addition on soil properties, root growth and vine performances of *Vitis vinifera* cv Cabernet sauvignon. Sci Hortic 225:88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.06.052>
- García-Parra M, Cuellar-Rodríguez LÁ, Balaguera-López HE (2022) Arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.): a systematic review. Revista Facultad Nacional de Agronomía Medellín 75(1):9853-9865
- Goswami L, Nath A, Sutradhar S, Bhattacharya SS, Kalamdhad A, Vellingiri K, Kim KH (2017) Application of drum compost and vermicompost to improve soil health, growth, and yield parameters for tomato and cabbage plants. J Environ Manage 200:243–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.05.073>
- Hafez EM, Osman HS, Gowayed SM, Okasha SA, Omara AE-D, Sami R, Abd El-Monem AM, Abd El-Razek UA (2021) Minimizing the adversely impacts of water deficit and soil salinity on maize growth and productivity in response to the application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and silica nanoparticles. Agronomy 11:676.<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11040676>
- Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992) Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO2 fux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO2. Plant Physiol 98(4):1429–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.98.4.1429>
- Hashem A, Alqarawi AA, Radhakrishnan R, Al-Arjani ABF, Aldehaish HA, Egamberdieva D, Abd_Allah EF (2018) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi regulate the oxidative system, hormones and ionic equilibrium to trigger salt stress tolerance in *Cucumis sativus* L. Saudi J Biol Sci 25:1102–1114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.03.009) [2018.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.03.009)
- HoRI K, Wada A, Shibuta T (1997) Changes in phenoloxidase activities of the galls on leaves of *Ulmus davidana* formed by *Tetraneura fuslformis* (Homoptera: Eriosomatidae). Chem Pharm Bull 32:365–371
- Huang W, Chen RF (2009) Sources and transformations of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in the Neponset River Watershed. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 114(G4):G00F05. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG000976) [1029/2009JG000976](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG000976)
- Hussain MI, Dakheel AJA, Reigosa MJ (2018) Plant physiology and biochemistry genotypic diferences in agro-physiological, biochemical and isotopic responses to salinity stress in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) plants: prospects for salinity tolerance and yield stability. Plant Physiol Biochem 129:411–420[.https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.06.023) doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.06.023
- Jiang S, An X, Shao Y, Kang Y, Chen T, Mei X, Dong C, Xu Y, Shen Q (2021) Responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi occurrence to organic fertilizer: a meta-analysis of feld studies. Plant Soil 469:89–105.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05153-y>
- Johnson R, Puthur JT (2021) Seed priming as a cost effective technique for developing plants with cross tolerance to salinity stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 162:247–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.02.034) [2021.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.02.034)
- Kellogg JA, Reganold JP, Murphy KM, Carpenter-Boggs LA (2021) A plant-fungus bioassay supports the classifcation of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) as inconsistently Mycorrhizal. Microb Ecol 82(1):135–144.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-021-01710-1>
- Khanna-Chopra R, Kumar Semwal V, Lakra N, Pareek A (2019) Proline — a key regulator conferring plant tolerance to salinity and drought. Plant Toler to Environ Stress 59–80.[https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203705315-5) [1201/9780203705315-5](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203705315-5)
- Kohler J, Caravaca F, Azcón R, Díaz G, Roldán A (2015) The combination of compost addition and arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation produced positive and synergistic efects on the phytomanagement of a semiarid mine tailing. Sci Total Environ 514:42–48. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.085) doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.085
- Kwon OK, Mekapogu M, Kim KS (2019) Effect of salinity stress on photosynthesis and related physiological responses in carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*). Hortic Environ Biotechnol 60:831–839. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-019-00189-7>
- Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. Chlorophylls Carotenoids Pigment Photosynth Biomembr 148:350–382
- Machado RMA, Serralheiro RP (2017) Soil salinity: effect on vegetable crop growth. Management practices to prevent and mitigate soil salinization. Horticulturae 3:30. [https://doi.org/10.3390/horticultu](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae3020030) [rae3020030](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae3020030)
- Manirakiza N, Şeker C (2020) Efects of compost and biochar amendments on soil fertility and crop growth in a calcareous soil. J Plant Nutr 43:3002–3019. [https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1806307) [1806307](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1806307)
- Mathur S, Jajoo A (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi protects maize plants from high temperature stress by regulating photosystem II heterogeneity. Ind Crops Prod 143:111934. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111934) [op.2019.111934](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111934)
- Mathur S, Tomar RS, Jajoo A (2019) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) protects photosynthetic apparatus of wheat under drought stress. Photosynth Res 139:227–238. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0538-4) [s11120-018-0538-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0538-4)
- Meddich A, Elouaqoudi F, Khadra A, Bourzik W (2016) Valorization of green and industrial waste by composting process. J Rev Compos Adv Mater 26:451–469
- Miranda-Apodaca J, Agirresarobe A, Martínez-Goñi XS, Yoldi-Achalandabaso A, Pérez-López U (2020) N metabolism performance in *Chenopodium quinoa* subjected to drought or salt stress conditions. Plant Physiol Biochem 155:725–734. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.007) [1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.007)
- Mokabel S, Olama Z, Ali S, El-Dakak R (2022) The role of plant growth promoting rhizosphere microbiome as alternative biofertilizer in boosting Solanum melongena L. adaptation to salinity stress. Plants 11(5):659. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11050659>
- Moog MW, Trinh MDL, Nørrevang AF, Bendtsen AK, Wang C, Østerberg JT, Shabala S, Hedrich R, Wendt T, Palmgren M (2022) The epidermal bladder cell-free mutant of the salt-tolerant quinoa challenges our understanding of halophyte crop salinity tolerance. New Phytol 236(4):1409–1421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.10.009) [2021.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.10.009)
- Myers SS, Smith MR, Guth S, Golden CD, Vaitla B, Mueller ND, Dangour AD, Huybers P (2017) Climate change and global food systems: potential impacts on food security and undernutrition. Annu Rev Public Health 38:259–277. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044356) [annurev-publhealth-031816-044356](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044356)
- Najar R, Aydi S, Sassi-Aydi S, Zarai A, Abdelly C (2019) Efect of salt stress on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fuorescence in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Biosyst 153:88–97. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1461701) [1080/11263504.2018.1461701](https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1461701)
- Negrão S, Schmöckel SM, Tester M (2017) Evaluating physiological responses of plants to salinity stress. Ann Bot 119:1–11. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw191) doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw191
- Nikalje GC, Srivastava AK, Pandey GK, Suprasanna P (2018) Halophytes in biosaline agriculture: mechanism, utilization, and value addition. L Degrad Dev 29:1081–1095. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2819) [ldr.2819](https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2819)
- Olsen SR, Sommers LE, Page AL, Miller R, Keeney D (1982) Chemical and microbiological properties. Methods Soil Anal Part 2(2):416–418
- Ouhaddou R, Ben-Laouane R, Lahlali R, Anli M, Ikan C, Boutasknit A, Slimani A, Oufdou K, Baslam M, Ait Barka E, Meddich A (2022) Application of indigenous rhizospheric microorganisms and local compost as enhancers of lettuce growth, development, and salt stress tolerance. Microorganisms 10:1625. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10081625) [10.3390/microorganisms10081625](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10081625)
- Pan T, Liu M, Kreslavski VD, Zharmukhamedov SK, Nie C, Yu M, Kuznetsov VV, Allakhverdiev SI, Shabala S (2021) Non-stomatal limitation of photosynthesis by soil salinity. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 51:791–825. [https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2020.1735231) [1735231](https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2020.1735231)
- Parida AK, Das AB (2005) Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 60:324–349. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.010) [1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.010)
- Pereira E, Encina-Zelada C, Barros L, Gonzales-Barron U, Cadavez V, Ferreira ICFR (2019) Chemical and nutritional characterization of *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd (quinoa) grains: a good alternative to nutritious food. Food Chem 280:110–114[.https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.068) [1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.068)
- Phillips JM, Hayman DS (1970) Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans Br Mycol Soc 55:158– 161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536\(70\)80110-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80110-3)
- Polle A, Otter T, Seifert F (1994) Apoplastic POD in needles. Plant Physiol 106:53–60
- Ramzan M, Iqbal HA, Usman M, Ozturk I (2022) Environmental pollution and agricultural productivity in Pakistan: new insights from ARDL and wavelet coherence approaches. Environ Sci Pollut Res 29:28749–28768. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-17850-3>
- Razzaghi F, Ahmadi SH, Jacobsen SE, Jensen CR, Andersen MN (2012) Effects of salinity and soil-drying on radiation use efficiency, water productivity and yield of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). J Agron Crop Sci 198(3):173–184. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00496.x) [org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00496.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00496.x)
- Rao KVM, Sresty TVS (2000) Antioxidative parameters in the seedlings of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh) in response to Zn and Ni stresses. Plant Sci 157:113–128. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00273-9) [1016/S0168-9452\(00\)00273-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00273-9)
- Ren X, Zeng G, Tang L, Wang J, Wan J, Wang J, Deng Y, Liu Y, Peng B (2018) The potential impact on the biodegradation of organic pollutants from composting technology for soil remediation. Waste Manag 72:138–149. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.11.032) [2017.11.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.11.032)
- Rozentsvet OA, Nesterov VN, Bogdanova ES (2017) Structural, physiological, and biochemical aspects of salinity tolerance of halophytes. Russ J Plant Physiol 64:464–477. [https://doi.org/10.1134/](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1021443717040112) [S1021443717040112](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1021443717040112)
- Rydlová J, Püschel D (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhiza, but not hydrogel, alleviates drought stress of ornamental plants in peat-based substrate. Appl Soil Ecol 146:103394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103394) [apsoil.2019.103394](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103394)
- Sachdev S, Ansari SA, Ansari MI, Fujita M, Hasanuzzaman M (2021) Abiotic stress and reactive oxygen species: generation, signaling, and defense mechanisms. Antioxidants 10(2):277. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020277) [10.3390/antiox10020277](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020277)
- Safdar H, Amin A, Shafq Y, Ali A, Yasin R (2019) A review: impact of salinity on plant growth. Nat Sci 17:34–40. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsnsj170119.06) [7537/marsnsj170119.06](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsnsj170119.06)
- Sayara T, Basheer-Salimia R, Hawamde F, Sánchez A (2020) Recycling of organic wastes through composting: process performance and compost application in agriculture. Agronomy 10:1838. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111838) doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111838
- Scholander PF, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingsen EA, Hammel HT (1965) Sap pressure in vascular plants: negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 148(3668):339–346. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.148.3668.339) [org/10.1126/science.148.3668.339](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.148.3668.339)
- Siddiqui MH, Alamri S, Alsubaie QD, Ali HM (2020) Melatonin and gibberellic acid promote growth and chlorophyll biosynthesis by regulating antioxidant and methylglyoxal detoxifcation system in tomato seedlings under salinity. J Plant Growth Regul 39:1488– 1502.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10122-3>
- Toubali S, Tahiri A, Anli M, Symanczik S, Boutasknit A, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ben-Laouane R, Oufdou K, Ait-Rahou Y, Ben-Ahmed H, Jemo M, Hafdi M, Meddich A (2020) Physiological and biochemical behaviors of date palm vitroplants treated with microbial consortia and compost in response to salt stress. Appl Sci 10:8665.<https://doi.org/10.3390/app10238665>
- Ullah N, Ditta A, Imtiaz M, Li X, Jan AU, Mehmood S, Rizwan MS, Rizwan M (2021) Appraisal for organic amendments and plant

growth-promoting rhizobacteria to enhance crop productivity under drought stress: a review. J Agron Crop Sci 207:783–802. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12502>

- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A (2000) Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants. Plant Sci 151:59–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(99\)00197-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00197-1)
- Xiao Y, Zhao Z, Chen L, Li Y (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic manure have synergistic efects on *Trifolium repens* in Cd-contaminated sterilized soil but not in natural soil. Appl Soil Ecol 149:103485.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103485>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.