#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE** ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Demographic evidence showing that the removal of Wolbachia decreases the fitness of the brown planthopper



Guoyong Li<sup>1,2,3</sup> • Yangyang Liu<sup>2,3</sup> • Wenjia Yang<sup>1</sup> • Yu Cao<sup>1</sup> • Jianlin Luo<sup>1</sup> • Can Li<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 29 January 2019 /Published online: 6 March 2019  $\odot$  African Association of Insect Scientists 2019

#### Abstract

Wolbachia is a maternally inherited intracellular bacterium (Rickettsiaceae) that infects a wide range of arthropods and nematodes, plays an influential role in host development and triggers various reproductive abnormalities. In this study it was investigated whether Wolbachia infection of the Nilaparvata lugens (Stål) species of Guizhou brown planthopper (BPH) induces cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) and alters BPH developmental timing, longevity, and fecundity. It was shown that although Wolbachia does not induce CI in the species of BPH, its absence decreases BPH fitness. Furthermore, the cross of a Wolbachiafree female BPH and an infected male ( $F \vee \times M$ ) resulted in a significantly shorter pre-adult developmental period (11.15  $\pm$  0.22), significantly reduced ovi-days (5.80  $\pm$  0.2438), significantly shorter longevity (22.9  $\pm$  0.38), and lower fecundity (176.28  $\pm$ 5.79b). This cross also affected a series of population parameters by increasing the finite rate ( $\lambda$ ) (1.2903 ± 0.02) and intrinsic rate value (r)  $(0.2548 \pm 0.01)$ , reducing the net reproductive rate (R<sub>0</sub>) (88.12  $\pm$  19.9) and decreasing longevity (22.9  $\pm$  0.38).

Keywords Brown planthopper . Wolbachia . Developmental time . Fecundity . Life history

# Introduction

Wolbachia spp. is a common intracellular bacteria found in many species, including nematodes (Luck et al. [2014](#page-8-0); Voronin et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0), crustaceans (Sicard et al. [2014](#page-8-0)), insects (Li et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0), and acarids (Glowska et al. [2015\)](#page-7-0). Wolbachia acts as a reproductive manipulator and can spread through host populations via feminization (Hosseinali et al. [2014](#page-8-0); Kern et al. [2015](#page-8-0)), male killing (Hornett et al. [2014;](#page-8-0) Zeh et al. [2005\)](#page-8-0), parthenogenesis (Goryacheva and Andrianov [2015;](#page-7-0) He et al. [2015\)](#page-7-0), and cytoplasmic incompatibility

 $\boxtimes$  Can Li [lican790108@163.com](mailto:lican790108@163.com) (Gerardo and Parker [2014;](#page-7-0) Carrington et al. [2015;](#page-7-0) Ming et al. [2015](#page-8-0); Zhang et al. [2015](#page-8-0)).

Rice planthoppers (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) are among the most devastating pests of rice fields in Asian countries. Three of the most important planthopper species in China and the surrounding areas are the brown planthopper (BPH) Nilaparvata lugens (Stål), the white-backed planthopper (WBPH) Sogatella furcifera (Horváth), and the small brown planthopper (SBPH) Laodelphax striatellus (Fallén). These planthoppers feed on the stems of rice, which causes physiological stress and "hopper burn", a noncontagious disease caused by damage due to direct feeding by certain planthoppers and leafhoppers (Hayashi and Chino [1990;](#page-7-0) Backus et al. [2005\)](#page-7-0). Furthermore, planthoppers can transmit plant-pathogenic viruses that substantially decrease rice yields (Sōgawa [1982](#page-8-0); Hibino et al. [1988\)](#page-8-0). The brown planthopper Nilaparvata lugens is an insect pest prevalent in the paddy fields of East and South China. BPHs harbor yeast-like symbiotes (YLS), particularly in mycetocytes formed by the gathering of YLS cells in the fat cells of the abdomen (Chen et al. [1981\)](#page-7-0).

Wolbachia is a well-known arthropod reproductive endosymbiont and infects at least 20% of all arthropods and certain nematode species (Werren et al. [1995a,](#page-8-0) [1995b](#page-8-0); Bandi et al. [1998;](#page-7-0) West et al. [1998](#page-8-0); Werren and Windsor [2000;](#page-8-0) Kikuchi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Guizhou Provincial Key Laboratory for Rare Animal and Economic Insects of Mountainous Region, Guiyang University, Guiyang, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> The Provincial Key Laboratory for Agricultural Pest Management of Mountainous Region, Guizhou University, Guiyang, People's Republic of China

Institute of Entomology / Special Key Laboratory for Development and Utilization of Insect Resources, Guizhou University, Guiyang, Guizhou, People's Republic of China

and Fukatsu [2003;](#page-8-0) Tagami and Miura [2004;](#page-8-0) Hilgenboecker et al. [2008\)](#page-8-0). Bacterial endosymbionts might provide essential nutrients that are lacking in the diet or aid in food digestion and detoxification (Cardoza et al. [2006](#page-7-0); Adams and Celniker [2000\)](#page-7-0). Previous studies have indicated that symbiotic bacteria play roles in insect defense systems by enhancing pathogen and parasitoid resistance (Oliver et al. [2005,](#page-8-0) [2008;](#page-8-0) Currie et al. [2006;](#page-7-0) Hedges et al. [2008](#page-8-0); Teixeira et al. [2008;](#page-8-0) Brownlie and Johnson [2009](#page-7-0)) and might aid in prey preservation and nest hygiene (Kaltenpoth et al. [2009](#page-8-0)). In addition, endosymbionts have been shown to mediate the thermal tolerance of their hosts (Dunbar et al. [2007](#page-7-0)) and facilitate the use of novel hosts (Tsuchida et al. [2011\)](#page-8-0). Studies conducted on Liposcelis tricolor have demonstrated that the removal of Wolbachia can reduce egg production (Dong et al. [2006\)](#page-7-0). Previous research has demonstrated that Wolbachia is capable of inducing CI and enhances the fitness of the host. The current study investigated whether Wolbachia induces CI in the Guizhou BPH species and how Wolbachia affects the developmental timing, longevity and reproduction of N. lugens.

## Materials and methods

## Insect gathering and rearing

In this study, a Wolbachia-harboring strain of BPH was collected from a paddy field near Guizhou University in June 2011. The BPH strain was reared in a greenhouse for 10 generations and subsequently used for experimentation.

#### Preparation of infected and uninfected strains

Other BPHs used in this study originated from a paddy field near Guizhou University and were collected in June 2014. The BPHs obtained from rice were reared in a greenhouse for 10 generations and subsequently used for experimentation. The presence of BPHs harboring a Wolbachia strain was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of a partial sequence of the wsp gene. To obtain Wolbachia-infected and Wolbachia-free lines, pairs of male and female adults from naturally occurring populations were placed in individual plastic cups (120 mm in height and 80 mm in diameter) that contained rice seedlings. The seedling root was wrapped in cotton and then dipped in an artificial Espino culture (Liu and Wu [2010](#page-8-0)) in a test tube (50 cm length  $\times$  38 cm diameter), which was maintained at 25  $\pm$ 1 °C with  $85 \pm 5\%$  humidity and a 16 h light:8 h dark cycle in an air-conditioned room. The pairs were allowed to lay eggs into the rice seedlings for 1 week and were removed from the cup. The infection status of these pairs were assessed by PCR amplification. Only offspring from parents that tested either positive or negative for Wolbachia by PCR screening were continually reared and used as parental stock. This selection regime was maintained for several successive generations until Wolbachia-infected populations and Wolbachia-free populations were obtained.

#### Immature developmental traits of BPHs

Within three to 5 days of hatching, 250–300 of the Wolbachia-harboring BPH adults were aspirated into 15–20 plastic bottles (1 cm in height  $\times$  4 cm in diameter) with a nylon screen top and containing a piece of black filter paper. The bottles were then placed in a 5000 ml desiccator with 75–80% humidity and a saturated NaCl solution, and the desiccator was maintained at 28 °C. The BPHs oviposited eggs for 12 h and were then removed. Pieces of filter paper containing a single egg were cut and transferred separately into plastic vials (1 cm in height, 2.4 cm in diameter, and a nylon screen top), and a small amount of food (10 mg) was added. Between 25 and 60 eggs were placed in each humidified desiccator. The desiccators were placed in growth chambers (Chongqing Electronic, Chongqing, China) maintained at a temperature of 28 °C.

#### Mature longevity and reproduction

For these experiments, adults were reared from eggs in growth chambers. After maturation, male and female adults were paired and reared separately in plastic bottles. After the onset of reproduction, the number of adult BPHs and the number of offspring produced each day were counted. The offspring were removed after counting so that they could not mature to adulthood and reproduce. After a 12 h period of BPH egg laying, the adults were removed. Pieces of filter paper containing a single egg were cut, transferred separately into plastic vials (1 cm in height, 2.4 cm in diameter with a nylon screen top) and provided a small amount of food (10 mg). The total number of eggs laid was recorded daily until all of the released BPH females had died. The eggs were counted using a magnifying glass. Food (10 mg) (Liu and Wu [2010\)](#page-8-0) was added every 2 days.

#### PCR amplification detection

For DNA extraction, individual BPHs were homogenized in 40 μl of STE (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, and 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]) in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and incubated with proteinase K (10 mg/ml, 2.5  $\mu$ l) at 37 °C for 30 min and then at 95 °C for 5 min. The samples were briefly centrifuged and either used immediately for PCR or stored at −20 °C for later use. The presence of Wolbachia in the BPHs was determined by PCR amplification of a partial sequence of the wsp gene (the expected size for the PCR product ranged from 590 to 632 bp depending on the individual Wolbachia strain). The wsp gene was amplified using the specific primers wsp 81F (5′-TGG TCC AAT AAG TGA TGA AGA AAC-3′) and wsp 691R (5′-AAAAATTA AACGCTACTCCA-3′) (Zhou et al. [1998\)](#page-8-0). The temperature program used for PCR was 95 °C for 10 min, 30 cycles of 95  $\degree$ C for 30 s, 55  $\degree$ C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min. A 10 μl sample of the PCR product was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized by ultraviolet illumination.

#### Estimating Wolbachia density

To estimate the abundance of Wolbachia, the copy numbers of the wsp gene were determined using an Applied Biosystems 7300 real-time PCR system. Based on the sequences of the wsp gene in the sequenced Wolbachia strain (wLug), new specific primers (WSP/F 5′-TGAGT AAAGACGG AGATGTGGC-3′ and WSP/R5'-TTTTGTCGCTAAAG GGTTGC-3′) were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 and used for the quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplification of a 170 bp DNA fragment.

To estimate the copy number of the wsp gene, a standard curve was plotted using dilutions of a pGEM-T vector containing part of the wsp sequence. A SYBR Premix Ex Taq PCR Reagent Kit (Takara Shuzo) was used to measure the copy number of the Wolbachia genes. Based on the assumption that each genome harbors one copy of the wsp gene, the wsp copy number was considered an estimate of the number of Wolbachia bacteria. The temperature program used for PCR was 30 s at 95  $\degree$ C, 40 cycles of 95  $\degree$ C for 5 s and 60 °C for 31 s, and a dissociation stage of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min, and 95 °C for 5 s. The 20 μl PCR mixture included 10 μl of SYBR Premix Ex Taq, 0.2 μM of each primer, 7.2 μl of double-distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O), and 2 μl of template DNA. Each DNA template was analyzed in triplicate.

#### DNA sequence analysis

The wsp amplicons from several individuals were cloned using the TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Multiple clones of each individual were submitted to the Beijing Genomics Institute (Beijing, China) for DNA sequencing. The length of the cloned wsp gene ranged from 590 to 611 bp. The sequences were aligned using the BioEdit software package, and a BLAST search of GenBank was performed to identify identical or highly similar sequences reported in other organisms. All sequences have been submitted to GenBank under the accession number KU933913.

#### Data analysis

An age-stage, two-sex life table model was used for the data analysis (Chi and Liu [1985](#page-7-0)) based on the method described by Chi ([1988](#page-7-0)). This model includes the following parameters:  $R_0$ (net reproduction rate), r (intrinsic rate of increase),  $\lambda$  (finite rate of increase), and  $T$  (mean generation time). The intrinsic rate of increase was determined using the iterative bisection method based on the following equation:

$$
\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-r(x+1)} l_x m_x = 1 \tag{1}
$$

The mean generation time was defined as the length of time required for a  $R_0$ -fold increase in the population size (i.e.,  $\lambda T = R_0$ ) at the stable age-stage distribution. The mean generation time  $(T)$  and the gross reproductive rate  $(GRR)$  were calculated using the following equations:

$$
T = \frac{\ln R_0}{r} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\sum m_x = GRR \tag{3}
$$

The age-stage life expectancy  $(e_{xi})$  was calculated according to Chi and Su ([2006](#page-7-0)). The means, variances and standard errors of the life table parameters were estimated using the jackknife method (Sokal and Rohlf [1995\)](#page-8-0). To facilitate the tedious process of raw data analysis, the computer program TWOSEX-MSCHART was used for the age-stage, two-sex life table analysis (Chi [2010](#page-7-0); Farhadi et al. [2011\)](#page-7-0). This program was developed in VISUAL BASIC for Windows and is available at <http://140.120.197.173/Ecology/prod02.htm> (Chung Hsing University) and [http://nhsbig.inhs.uiuc.edu/](http://nhsbig.inhs.uiuc.edu/wes/chi) [wes/chi.](http://nhsbig.inhs.uiuc.edu/wes/chi)html (Illinois Natural History Survey).

## **Results**

## PCR amplification-based detection of the wsp gene

PCR was used to detect Wolbachia infection in BPHs. Fig. [6a](#page-7-0) shows a 574 bp DNA fragment amplified from 15 BPHs, which represents Wolbachia infection, and Fig. [6](#page-7-0)b shows eight BPHs that did not contain Wolbachia and therefore failed to produce a PCR product.

#### Estimation of Wolbachia density

The Wolbachia density of two different populations was tested: one population collected from Southern Guizhou Province, which yielded uninfected female BPHs after several generations, and one population from Northern Guizhou Province. Wolbachia was detected in greater numbers in females than in males of the Southern population, whereas the

<span id="page-3-0"></span>opposite was found for the Northern population, which is consistent with previous reports (Bourtzis and O'Neill [1998](#page-7-0); Sugimoto and Tsuchida [2015\)](#page-8-0). However, the Wolbachia number significantly decreased during pre-adult development in both BPH populations. The *wsp* gene copy number significantly increased from 32 to 37 days of age in the Northern BPH population, whereas the copy number slightly increased from 25 to 32 days of age and then significantly increased from 33 to 35 days of age in the Southern BPH population (Fig. [5](#page-6-0)).

## Developmental time, longevity and fecundity of the brown planthopper

The survival and fecundity of BPHs are shown in Fig. 1 and Table [1.](#page-4-0) The longest pre-adult developmental time was 20.15  $\pm$  0.31 days for the F  $\times$  M treatment, whereas the shortest preadult stage observed among all of the experiments was 11.15  $\pm$  0.22 days for the F $\sqrt{x} \times M$  treatment (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The oviposition period of the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  treatment was  $5.80 \pm 0.244$  days, which was significantly lower than other treatments. The longevity of the adult BPHs was also affected by Wolbachia infection: the longevity of the  $F \times M$  treatment (43.95 ± 0.049) was greater than those of other three treatments, whereas the longevity of the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  cross (22.9 ± 0.387) was significantly shorter than those of the other treatments (Table [1\)](#page-4-0).

Females began to lay eggs on day 10 ( $F^{\nabla} \times M$  treatment) or day 15 (other treatments; Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The total fecundities were 674.61  $\pm$  24.21 eggs/female for the F  $\times$  M cross, 176.28  $\pm$  5.79 eggs/female for the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  cross, 393.76  $\pm$  18.43 eggs/female for the  $F \times Mv$  cross and 438.7 ± 24.78 eggs/female for the  $F^{\nabla} \times M^{\nabla}$  cross (Table [2](#page-4-0)). These data indicated that the number of BPH eggs laid by uninfected females was significantly

deceased. Together, these results showed that all parameters, including the developmental time, longevity and fecundity, of the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross were lower than those of the other treatments. Therefore, it was concluded that Wolbachia could increase the fitness of BPHs and that this effect is more pronounced in infected females.

The average reproductive value for age  $x$  and stage  $j$  per day is presented in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) and the lx and mx values are presented in Fig. 1. The  $lx$  curve, which reflects changes in the survival rate of a population over time, revealed significant differences among the four experiments. The higher survival rate of the  $F \times M$  cross (greater than 0.6) was apparent from days 24 to 28, and the highest survival rate of the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  cross (slightly greater than 0.4) was observed between days 14 and 16.

The detailed age-stage survival rates  $(S_{xi}$ , which is the probability that a newborn will survive to age x and stage  $j$ ) of N. lugens under different experimental conditions are plotted in Figs. [3,](#page-5-0) [4,](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0), and [6.](#page-7-0) The survival rate curves of the  $N$ . *lugens* cohorts were significantly different between the experiments. However, for the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  cross, the female survival rate was higher than the male survival rate.

#### Population parameters

The means and standard errors of the population parameters of N. lugens subjected to the different treatments are listed in Table [2.](#page-4-0) The intrinsic rates (r) obtained with the different treatments were  $0.2056 \pm 0.009$ ,  $0.2548 \pm 0.01$ ,  $0.1858 \pm 0.01$ 0.09, and  $0.2052 \pm 0.01$ , and these values did not differ significantly. However, the net reproductive rate  $(R_0)$  was different than the intrinsic rate. The greatest  $R_0$  value (337.18  $\pm$ 76.03) was more than four-fold greater than the lowest value

Fig. 1 Age-specific survival rate  $(lx)$  and age-specific fecundity (mx) of N. lugens under different treatment conditions: Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females ( $F \times$ M), uninfected females were mated with infected males (F▽ × M), infected females were mated with uninfected males ( $F \times M$ <sup> $\triangledown$ </sup>), infected females were mated with uninfected males



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1 Pre-adult developmental time and adult longevity (mean  $\pm$ SE) of BPHs under different treatment conditions. The symbol ▽ represents uninfected with Wolbachia. SEs were estimated via 100,000 bootstrap resamplings



There were four different treatment conditions in this study:  $F \times M$  represents Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females,  $F \vee \vee M$  represents uninfected females were mated with infected males,  $F \times$ M▽represents infected females were mated with uninfected males, F▽ × M▽and infected females were mated with uninfected males, the same as bellow

(88.12 ± 19.9). The longevity of the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross (22.9 ± 0.38) was half the value obtained for the other treatments, and the mean generation time  $(T)$  was markedly decreased to  $17.469 \pm 0.19$  days for the F $\triangledown \times M$  cross.

## **Discussion**

Intracellular rickettsial bacteria of the genus Wolbachia are found in numerous invertebrates, including insects and nematodes. Wolbachia often manipulate the reproductive mode of their insect hosts, resulting in cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). To obtain Wolbachia-free insect strains, most researchers use antibiotics to remove Wolbachia. In this work, we obtained N. lugens populations that were uninfected and infected with Wolbachia and used PCR technology to screen the natural populations, which enables us to measure the strength of CI induced by *Wolbachia* in BPHs from Guizhou Province without the negative effects of antibiotics (Bandi et al. [1999\)](#page-7-0).

Wolbachia-induced CI occurs when males infected by one Wolbachia strain produce sperm that appears to be "toxic" to oocytes of uninfected females or females infected with another Wolbachia strain. In this study, we did not observe the occurrence of CI (the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross is viable, although the number of eggs was significantly decreased). Instead, it was found that Wolbachia exerts a positive effect on the fitness of the host and observed enhanced fecundity and shortened longevity only when infected males mated with uninfected females or females infected with a Wolbachia strain. Wolbachia induces CI in a density-dependent manner. In a related example, the bacterial density was found to be correlated with compatibility differences among male and female parasitoid Nasonia vitripennis wasps, with males from strains with high bacterial numbers presenting incompatibility with females from strains with lower numbers (Breeuwer and Werren [1993\)](#page-7-0). Among Drosophila species, Drosophila simulans Hawaii, Drosophila sechellia, and Drosophila auraria exhibit high levels of CI relative to the level of infection, whereas all other species, including D. simulans Riverside, exhibit significantly lower levels of CI relative to the level of infection (Bourtzis et al. [1996](#page-7-0)). The symbiont density is also a major factor in host-symbiont

Table 2 Population parameters of brown planthoppers subjected to different treatments: mean fecundity  $(F)$ , finite rate of increase  $(\lambda)$ , intrinsic rate of increase  $(r)$ , net reproductive rate  $(R_0)$ , mean generation

time (T) and GRR. The symbol  $\triangledown$  represents the removal of Wolbachia. SEs were estimated via 100,000 bootstrap resamplings



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 2 Age-stage-specific reproductive value  $(v_{xi})$  of N. lugens under different treatment conditions: Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females (F × M), uninfected females were mated with infected males (F▽ × M), infected females were mated with uninfected males ( $F \times M$ <sup> $\triangledown$ </sup>). infected females were mated with uninfected males



relationships because it can influence both the efficiency of transmission and the expression of symbiont functions. The wsp gene copy number (represents the symbiont density) significantly increased from 32 to 37 days of age in the northern BPH population, and a similar result was found for the southern BPH population, with the copy number increasing slightly from 14 to 18 days of age and then increasing significantly from 33 to 36 days of age. As shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0), the highest fecundity for the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross occurred from 14 to 18 days of age, whereas the peak fecundity of the other treatments occurred from 22 to 28 days of age. Therefore, the greatest bacterial density was found for the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross, and this high bacterial density decreased the fitness of the BPHs. This result illustrates how protective symbionts affect their hosts: by increasing their hosts' fitness, symbionts increase the proportion of hosts in populations that harbor the symbiont, thereby furthering their own distribution (Gerardo and Parker [2014](#page-7-0)).

The developmental time  $(11.15 \pm 0.22d)$  and adult longevity of the  $F<sup>\nabla</sup> \times M$  cross were shorter (22.9  $\pm$  0.387d) than those of the other treatments (developmental time 13.09~20.15d;

Fig. 3 Age-stage-specific survival rate  $(S_{xi})$  of N. lugens under different treatment conditions. Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females  $(F \times M)$ , uninfected females were mated with infected males ( $F^{\nabla} \times M$ ), infected females were mated with uninfected males  $(F \times M)$ , infected females were mated with uninfected males



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 4 Age-stage-specific life expectancy  $(e_{xi})$  of N. lugens under different treatment conditions: Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females  $(F \times M)$ , uninfected females were mated with infected males ( $F^{\nabla} \times M$ ), infected females were mated with uninfected males  $(F \times M)$ , infected females were mated with uninfected males



longevity 39.15~43.95d). More importantly, the developmental time of the  $F^{\nabla} \times M$  cross was shorter than that of the  $F \times$ M▽ cross.

The population parameters calculated from the life table studies reveal the life-long effects of a variety of factors on the population and summarize the joint effect of the age-specific survival rate and fecundity on population growth. However, the tedious calculations inherent in life table analyses might yield errors in population parameters, which would alter their interpretation. Four treatments were used in this work: Wolbachia-infected males were mated with infected females ( $F \times M$ ) at 25 °C in the lab, uninfected females were mated with infected males ( $F \vee \times$ M), infected females were mated with uninfected males  $(F \times M)$ , and infected females were mated with uninfected males ( $F^{\nabla} \times M^{\nabla}$ ). All of the treatments in this study revealed that  $R_0 > 1$  and  $r > 0$ . These results are consistent with the life table theory, which states that  $R_0 > 1$  must always be accompanied by  $r > 0$ . In addition to the  $R_0$  and

calculated. The largest GRR value was  $337.3 \pm 76.034$ eggs/female and was observed with the  $F \times M$  cross (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Because the GRR is a simple summation of the age-specific fecundity  $(mx)$  over all ages, it is easily estimated from the fecundity curve. Based on the curve shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0), it was found that the GRR of the  $F \times M$ cross was approximately 116 eggs/female between days 11 and 23. The five values obtained for the intrinsic rate did not differ significantly.

r parameters, GRR values of the four treatments were also

The obligate reliance of many insects on their symbionts reveals a potential target for the biological control of devastating agricultural pests. Therefore, this study examined the importance of Wolbachia for host fitness with the goal of manipulating these partnerships and rendering insect pests more vulnerable to broad-scale measures of population control by targeting bacterial symbionts. A large number of manipulations have been administered to hinder the development and survival of insect pests

Fig. 5 Wolbachia density (wsp gene copy number) changes in two BPH strains with their age



<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 6 PCR-based detection of infected (a) and uninfected (b) Wolbachia BPHs

by targeting their bacterial partners primarily through the application of antibiotics (Brownlie and Johnson 2009) and/or the disruption of the symbiont's route of transmission to the next host generation (Salem et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0).

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Program for Firstclass Discipline Construction in Guizhou Province (201785), the Training Program for High-level innovative Talents of Guizhou Province (20164020), the special funding of Guiyang science and technology bureau and Guiyang University GYU-KYZ [2018]02-23. We are especially grateful to professor Chi Hsin for his constructive suggestions on this article.

## References

- Adams MD, Celniker SE (2000) The genome sequence of Drosophila melanogaster. Science 287:2185–2195
- Backus EA, Serrano MS, Ranger CM (2005) Mechanisms of hopperburn: an overview of insect taxonomy, behavior, and physiology. Annu Rev Entomol 50:125–151
- Bandi C, Anderson TJC, Genchi C, Blaxter ML (1998) Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial nematodes. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 265: 2407–2413
- Bandi C, McCall JW, Genchi C, Corona S, Venco L, Sacchi L (1999) Effects of tetracycline on the filarial worms Brugia pahangi and Dirofilaria immitis and their bacterial endosymbionts Wolbachia. Int J Parasitol 29:357–364
- Bourtzis K, O'Neill S (1998) "Wolbachia" infections and arthropod reproduction. BioScience 48:287–293
- Bourtzis K, Nirgianaki A, Markakis G, Savakis C (1996) Wolbachia infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in Drosophila species. Genetics 144:1063–1073
- Breeuwer JA, Werren JH (1993) Cytoplasmic incompatibility and bacterial density in Nasonia vitripennis. Genetics 135:565–574
- Brownlie JC, Johnson KN (2009) Symbiont-mediated protection in insect hosts. Trends Microbiol 17:348–354
- Cardoza YJ, Klepzig KD, Raffa KF (2006) Bacteria in oral secretions of an endophytic insect inhibit antagonistic fungi. Ecol Entomol 31: 636–645
- Carrington LB, Lipkowitz JR, Hoffmann AA, Turelli M (2015) Correction: a re-examination of Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic

incompatibility in California Drosophila simulans. PLoS One 10: e0138050

- Chen CC, Cheng LL, Kuan CC, Hou RF (1981) Studies on the intracellular yeast-like symbiote in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens Stål. I. Histological observations and population changes of the symbiote. Z Angew Entomol 91:321–327
- Chi H (1988) Life-table analysis incorporating both sexes and variable development rates among individuals. Environ Entomol 17:26–34
- Chi H. (2010) TWOSEX-MS chart: a computer program for the agestage, two-sex life table analysis. [http: //140.120.197.173/ecology/](http://140.120.197.173/ecology/download) [download](http://140.120.197.173/ecology/download)
- Chi H, Liu H (1985) Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. Bull Inst Zool Acad Sin 24:225–240
- Chi H, Su HY (2006) Age-stage, two-sex life tables of Aphidius gifuensis (ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its host Myzus persicae (sulzer) (homoptera: Aphididae) with mathematical proof of the relationship between female fecundity and the net reproductive rate. Environ Entomol 35:10–21
- Currie CR, Poulsen M, Boomsma JJ, Billen J (2006) Coevolved crypts and exocrine glands support mutualistic bacteria in fungus-growing ants. Science 311:81–83
- Dong P, Wang JJ, Zhao ZM (2006) Infection by Wolbachia bacteria and its influence on the reproduction of the stored-product psocid, Liposcelis tricolor. J Insect Sci 6:1–7
- Dunbar HE, Wilson ACC, Ferguson NR, Moran NA (2007) Aphid thermal tolerance is governed by a point mutation in bacterial symbionts. PLoS Biol 5:e96
- Farhadi R, Allahyari H, Chi H (2011) Life table and predation capacity of Hippodamia variegata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) feeding on aphis fabae (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Biol Control 59:83–89
- Gerardo NM, Parker BJ (2014) Mechanisms of symbiont-conferred protection against natural enemies: an ecological and evolutionary framework. Curr Opin Insect Sci 4:8–14
- Glowska E, Dragun-Damian A, Dabert M, Gerth M (2015) New Wolbachia supergroups detected in quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae). Infect Genet Evol 30:140–146
- Goryacheva II, Andrianov BV (2015) Biological effects of Wolbachia pipientis: elucidation of genetic mechanisms. Biol Bull Rev 5: 109–118
- Hayashi H, Chino M (1990) Chemical composition of phloem sap from the uppermost internode of the rice plant. Plant Cell Physiol 31:247–251
- He LF, Feng DD, Li P, Zhou ZS, Xu ZF (2015) Reproductive modes and daily fecundity of Aenasius bambawalei (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), a parasitoid of phenacoccus solenopsis (hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). Fla Entomol 98:358–360
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN (2008) Wolbachia and virus protection in insects. Science 322:702–702
- Hibino H, Daquioag RD, Cabauatan PQ (1988) Resistance to rice tungro spherical virus in rice. Plant Dis 72:843–847
- Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH (2008) How many species are infected with Wolbachia? A statistical analysis of current data. FEMS Microbiol Lett 281:215–220
- Hornett EA, Moran B, Reynolds LA, Charlat S, Tazzyman S, Wedell N, Jiggins CD, Hurst G (2014) Extraordinarily wide genomic impact of a selective sweep associated with the evolution of sex ratio distorter suppression. BioRxiv 2014:1–28
- Hosseinali A, Chang PL, Mazzoglio PJ, Negri I (2014) Wolbachia is not all about sex: male-feminizing Wolbachia alters the leafhopper Zyginidia pullula transcriptome in a mainly sex-independent manner. Front Microbiol 5:430–438
- Kaltenpoth M, Winter SA, Kleinhammer A (2009) Localization and transmission route of Coriobacterium glomerans, the endosymbiont of pyrrhocorid bugs. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 69:373–383
- Kern P, Cook JM, Kageyama D, Riegler M (2015) Double trouble: combined action of meiotic drive and Wolbachia feminization in eurema butterflies. Biol Lett 11:20150095–20150095
- Kikuchi Y, Fukatsu T (2003) Diversity of Wolbachia endosymbionts in heteropteran bugs. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:6082–6090
- Li YY, Fields PG, Pang BP, Coghlin PC, Floate KD (2015) Prevalence and diversity of Wolbachia bacteria infecting insect pests of stored products. J Stored Prod Res 62:93–100
- Liu J, Wu J (2010) Effects of *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) infestation on the uptake level of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by roots of rice varieties with different resistant levels. Acta Entomol Sin 53:411–419
- Luck AN, Evans CC, Riggs MD, Foster JM, Moorhead AR, Slatko BE, Michalski ML (2014) Concurrent transcriptional profiling of Dirofilaria immitis and its Wolbachia endosymbiont throughout the nematode life cycle reveals coordinated gene expression. BMC Genomics 15:1041–1059
- Ming QL, Shen JF, Cheng C, Liu CM, Feng ZJ (2015) Wolbachia infection dynamics in Tribolium confusum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and their effects on host mating behavior and reproduction. J Econ Entomol 108:1408–1415
- Oliver KM, Moran NA, Hunter MS (2005) Variation in resistance to parasitism in aphids is due to symbionts not host genotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci 102:12795–12800
- Oliver KM, Campos J, Moran NA, Hunter MS (2008) Population dynamics of defensive symbionts in aphids. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 275:293–299
- Salem H, Florez L, Gerardo N, Kaltenpoth M (2015) An out-of-body experience: the extracellular dimension for the transmission of mutualistic bacteria in insects. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 282: 20142957–20142957
- Sicard M, Bouchon D, Ceyrac L, Raimond R, Thierry M, Le Clec'h W, Marcadé I, Caubet Y, Grève P (2014) Bidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility caused by Wolbachia in the terrestrial isopod Porcellio dilatatus. J Invertebr Pathol 121:28–36
- Sōgawa K (1982) The rice brown planthopper: feeding physiology and host plant interactions. Annu Rev Entomol 27:49–73
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1995) Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W. H. Freeman, New York
- Sugimoto TN, Tsuchida T (2015) Simple electroporation device for gene functional analyses in insects. Appl Entomol Zool 50:271–275
- Tagami Y, Miura K (2004) Distribution and prevalence of Wolbachia in Japanese populations of Lepidoptera. Insect Mol Biol 13:359–364
- Teixeira L, Ferreira Á, Ashburner M (2008) The bacterial symbiont Wolbachia induces resistance to RNA viral infections in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol 6:e1000002 e2
- Tsuchida T, Koga R, Matsumoto S, Fukatsu T (2011) Interspecific symbiont transfection confers a novel ecological trait to the recipient insect. Biol Lett 7:245–248
- Voronin D, Abeykoon AMLL, Gunawardene YIS, Dassanayake RS (2015) Absence of Wolbachia endobacteria in Sri Lankan isolates of the nematode parasite of animals setaria digitata. Vet Parasitol 207:350–354
- Werren JH, Windsor DM (2000) Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 267:1277–1285
- Werren JH, Windsor D, Guo L (1995a) Distribution of Wolbachia among Neotropical arthropods. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 262:197–204
- Werren JH, Zhang W, Guo LR (1995b) Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 261:55–71
- West SA, Cook JM, Werren JH, Godfray HCJ (1998) Wolbachia in two insect host-parasitoid communities. Mol Ecol 7:1457–1465
- Zeh DW, Zeh JA, Bonilla MM (2005) Wolbachia, sex ratio bias and apparent male killing in the harlequin beetle riding pseudoscorpion. Heredity 95:41–49
- Zhang X, Tang S, Cheke RA (2015) Birth-pulse models of Wolbachiainduced cytoplasmic incompatibility in mosquitoes for dengue virus control. Nonlinear Anal Real World Appl 22:236–258
- Zhou W, Rousset F, O'Neill S (1998) Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of Wolbachia strains using wsp gene sequences. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 265:509–515