



On Absolute Central Moments of Poisson Distribution

Pavel S. Ruzankin^{1,2}

Published online: 5 August 2020
© Grace Scientific Publishing 2020

Abstract

A recurrence formula for absolute central moments of Poisson distribution is suggested.

Keywords Poisson distribution · Absolute central moment · Central moment

Let X be a Poisson random variable with mean m . In this paper, we study absolute central moments $\mathbf{E}|X - a|^r$ about a for naturals r . Explicit representations for such moments may be useful in situations where we want to test whether observations in a large sample are independent Poisson with given means, which is the case, for instance, for some image reconstruction techniques in emission tomography (e.g., see [1, 4]).

An explicit representation for the mean deviation was obtained independently in [3, 7]:

$$\mathbf{E}|X - m| = 2e^{-m} \frac{m^{\lfloor m \rfloor + 1}}{\lfloor m \rfloor!},$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function.

Kendall ([6], relations (5.21) and (5.22) on p. 121) has showed that for all integers $r \geq 2$,

$$\mathbf{E}(X - m)^r = m \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \binom{r-1}{k} \mathbf{E}(X - m)^k, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X - m)^{r+1} = rm\mathbf{E}(X - m)^{r-1} + m \frac{d}{dm} \mathbf{E}(X - m)^r. \quad (2)$$

✉ Pavel S. Ruzankin
ruzankin@math.nsc.ru

¹ Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia

² Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

Denote by ${}_1F_1$ the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind

$${}_1F_1(\alpha, \beta, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha + j}{\beta + j},$$

where $\prod_{j=0}^{-1} = 1$. Katti [5] has derived the following representation for absolute central moments of X about a for all odd $r \geq 1$:

$$\mathbf{E}|X - a|^r = -\mathbf{E}(X - a)^r + \frac{e^{-m} m^{\lfloor a \rfloor + 1}}{(\lfloor a \rfloor + 1)!} G^{(r)}(0, 0), \quad (3)$$

where

$$G(\beta, t) = \exp\{t(\lfloor a \rfloor - a + \beta + 1)\} {}_1F_1(\beta + 1, \beta + \lfloor a \rfloor + 2, me^t),$$

$G^{(r)}(\beta, t)$ is its r -th partial derivative with respect to t , which, for $t = 0$, can be computed by the recurrence formula

$$G^{(s+1)}(\beta, 0) = (\lfloor a \rfloor - a + \beta + 1)G^{(s)}(\beta, 0) + \frac{m(\beta + 1)}{\beta + \lfloor a \rfloor + 2} G^{(s)}(\beta + 1, 0)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$G^{(0)}(\beta, 0) := G(\beta, 0) = {}_1F_1(\beta + 1, \beta + \lfloor a \rfloor + 2, m).$$

The main goal of this paper is to suggest a simpler recurrence formula for absolute central moments of X about a .

Define the sign function

$$\text{sign}(y) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } y \leq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } y > 0 \end{cases}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned} F(b) &:= \mathbf{P}(X \leq b), \\ C(r, a) &:= \mathbf{E}(X - a)^r, \\ D(r, a, b) &:= \mathbf{E}(X - a)^r \text{sign}(X - b), \\ B(r, a, f) &:= \mathbf{E}(X - a)^r f(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $0^0 = 1$ by definition, f is a real-valued function. Here, $F(b)$ is the cumulative distribution function of X , and $C(r, a)$ is the r -th central moment about a . The above definition of the sign function is not common for $y = 0$, but is chosen here for the sake of convenience, to provide the equality

$$D(0, a, b) = 1 - 2F(b). \quad (4)$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E}|X - a|^r = \begin{cases} C(r, a) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ D(r, a, a) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 For all integers $r \geq 1$, reals $b \geq 0$ and a , and functions f such that $EX^r[f(X)] < \infty$, the following recurrence relations are valid:

$$C(r, a) = (m - a)C(r - 1, a) + m \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \binom{r-1}{k} C(k, a) \tag{5}$$

$$= mC(r - 1, a - 1) - aC(r - 1, a), \tag{6}$$

$$D(r, a, b) = (m - a)D(r - 1, a, b) + m \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \binom{r-1}{k} D(k, a, b) + 2([b] + 1 - a)^{r-1} e^{-m} \frac{m^{[b]+1}}{[b]!} \tag{7}$$

$$= mD(r - 1, a - 1, b - 1) - aD(r - 1, a, b), \tag{8}$$

$$B(r, a, f) = (m - a)B(r - 1, a, f) + m \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \binom{r-1}{k} B(k, a, f) + m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} B(k, a, \Delta f), \tag{9}$$

where $0^0 = 1$ by definition,

$$\Delta f(j) := f(j + 1) - f(j),$$

and all the expectations B are finite.

Remark 1 The same approach can be used to obtain similar relations for binomial and hypergeometric distributions.

The following statement is a direct consequence of relations (7) and (4).

Corollary 1

$$E|X - m|^3 = m(1 - 2F(m)) + 2((m - [m])^2 + 2[m] + 1) e^{-m} \frac{m^{[m]+1}}{[m]!},$$

$$E|X - m|^5 = (10m^2 + m)(1 - 2F(m)) + 2(([m] + 1 - m)^4 + 2m(2(m - [m])^2 + 7[m] + 7 - 3m)) e^{-m} \frac{m^{[m]+1}}{[m]!}.$$

Notice that the cumulative distribution function F can be calculated, e.g., by the built-in function `ppois()` in R or by the function `scipy.stats.poisson.cdf()` in Python.

In order to validate the formulas of the corollary, we ran the following simple simulation. For each considered value of m , we computed $\mathbf{E}|X - m|^r$ for $r = 3$ or 5 : by the formulas of Corollary 1; by direct computation

$$\mathbf{E}|X - m|^r \approx \sum_{j=0}^{1,000,000} |j - m|^r \exp(-m + j \log m - \text{lfactorial}(j)), \quad (10)$$

where the lfactorial function is the natural logarithm of factorial; and by the law of large numbers (l.l.n.). In the last case, we calculated, for each value of r and m , 10,000 independent draws of $\frac{1}{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} |X_j - m|^r$, where X_1, X_2, \dots are independent Poisson random variables with mean m ; and reported the empirical mean and standard error among the draws. The computations were done in R v. 3.6.3. Thus, 64-bit reals were used, which corresponds to the precision of 15–17 decimal digits. The results are shown in the following table, where the results of exact computations are presented by 16 decimal digits, while the results for the l.l.n. are presented as empirical mean (7 decimal digits) \pm standard error (4 decimal digits):

	$m = 0.1$	$m = 1.2$	$m = 12.3$	$m = 123.4$
$r = 3$, Corollary 1	0.1018096748360720	2.246710125237284	69.30382763917270	2188.950302642536
$r = 3$, (10)	0.1018096748360720	2.246710125237284	69.30382763917289	2188.950302642802
$r = 3$, l.l.n.	0.1017273 \pm 0.00005931	2.245930 \pm 0.0007664	69.29764 \pm 0.01628	2189.144 \pm 0.4905
$r = 5$, Corollary 1	0.2000180967483608	17.09916647992549	3616.415042490471	1087028.898973938
$r = 5$, (10)	0.2000180967483608	17.09916647992548	3616.415042490486	1087028.898974066
$r = 5$, l.l.n.	0.1996778 \pm 0.0003536	17.07592 \pm 0.01850	3614.712 \pm 2.189	1,087,175 \pm 531.8

The results of the computations justify the correctness of the formulas of Corollary 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 First, let us prove (7). By Proposition 1 in Borisov and Ruzankin[2, p. 1660], we have the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|(X - a)^r f(X)| < \infty &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}X^r |f(X)| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}|\Delta^r f(X)| < \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}X^{r-1} |\Delta f(X)| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}|(X - a)^{r-1} \Delta f(X)| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the \Leftrightarrow sign means “if and only if”, Δ^r means applying the Δ operator r times. Hence, all the expectations B in (7) are finite. For $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^m B(r, a, f) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j-a)^r f(j) m^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \frac{j^k (-a)^{r-k} f(j) m^j}{j!} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{k-1} \frac{j^k (-a)^{r-k} f(j) m^j}{j!} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} \frac{j^k (-a)^{r-k} f(j) m^j}{j!} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j(j-a)^{r-1} f(j) m^j}{j!} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(j-a)^{r-1} f(j) m^j}{j!} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1-a)^{r-1} f(j+1) m^{j+1}}{j!} - ae^m B(r-1, a, f) \tag{11} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1-a)^{r-1} (f(j) + \Delta f(j)) m^{j+1}}{j!} - ae^m B(r-1, a, f) \\
 &= m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j-a)^k (f(j) + \Delta f(j)) m^j}{j!} - ae^m B(r-1, a, f) \\
 &= m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} e^m (B(k, a, f) + B(k, a, \Delta f)) - ae^m B(r-1, a, f),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7). □

Relation (5) follows immediately from (9) with $f(j) \equiv 1$. Analogously, (11) implies (6).

Let us now prove (7). By (11), for $r \geq 1$, we have

$$e^m D(r, a, b) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1-a)^{r-1} \text{sign}(j+1-b) m^{j+1}}{j!} - ae^m D(r-1, a, b) \tag{12}$$

which proves (8). Notice that $\text{sign}(j+1-b) \neq \text{sign}(j-b)$ for $j = [b]$ only. Hence, (12) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1-a)^{r-1} \text{sign}(j-b) m^{j+1}}{j!} + \frac{2([b]+1-a)^{r-1} m^{[b]+1}}{[b]!} - ae^m D(r-1, a, b) \\
 &= m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j-a)^k \text{sign}(j-b) m^j}{j!} + \frac{2([b]+1-a)^{r-1} m^{[b]+1}}{[b]!} - ae^m D(r-1, a, b) \\
 &= m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} e^m D(k, a, b) + \frac{2([b]+1-a)^{r-1} m^{[b]+1}}{[b]!} - ae^m D(r-1, a, b),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7).

The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grants 17-52-14004 and 18-01-00074).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The author declares that has no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ben Bouallège F, Crouzet JF, Mariano-Goulart D (2013) A heuristic statistical stopping rule for iterative reconstruction in emission tomography. *Ann Nucl Med* 27:84–95
2. Borisov IS, Ruzankin PS (2002) Poisson approximation for expectations of unbounded functions of independent random variables. *Ann Probab* 30(4):1657–1680
3. Crow EL (1958) The mean deviation of the Poisson distribution. *Biometrika* 45(3/4):556–559
4. Hebert TJ (1990) Statistical stopping criteria for iterative maximum likelihood reconstruction of emission images. *Phys Med Biol* 35:1221–1232
5. Katti SK (1960) Moments of the absolute difference and absolute deviation of discrete distributions. *Ann Math Stat* 31(1):78–85
6. Kendall MG (1943) *The advanced theory of statistics*, vol 1. Griffin, London
7. Ramasubban TA (1958) The mean difference and the mean deviation of some discontinuous distributions. *Biometrika* 45(3/4):549–556

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.