Research

Understanding watershed sources of pollution in Vinh Long Province, Vietnamese Mekong Delta

 \bf{V} o Quoc Bao 1 · Pham Van Toan 1 · Nguyen Van Tuyen 1 · Hong Minh Hoang 1 · Le Van Du 1 · Nigel K. Downes 1 · **Van Pham Dang Tri2**

Received: 26 February 2024 / Accepted: 3 June 2024Published online: 5 June 2024 Published online: 5 June 2024 © The Author(s) 2024, corrected publication 2024 OPEN

Abstract

We explore point source (PS) and non-point source (NPS) pollution impacts on surface water quality in Vinh Long Province, Vietnam. We used data from 60 surface water quality monitoring stations across dry, transitional, and wet seasons from 2017 to 2021, in addition to sampling data collected from 12 wastewater outlets from June to October 2021 to determine the sources of pollution loads. Surface water quality was assessed using both the water quality index (WQI) and the Vietnamese standards QCVN 08:2015, whilst cluster analysis (CA) and principal components analysis (PCA) were used to evaluate spatial variation and key infuencing factors. We observed seasonal variation in surface water quality, with a decline in quality during the rainy season. Moreover, the water quality parameters such as chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP) frequently exceeded the QCVN 08:2015 standard. Across, the diferent monitoring fxed points and seasons, COD levels were found to range from 8.94 to 15.14 mg/L, while TN levels varied between 0.24 and 0.53 mg/L. The cluster analysis categorized the monitoring fixed points into three groups, based on their water quality parameters, while PCA identified four principal components that explain 69% of the variance, distinguishing between pollution sources and seasonal factors. Our fndings emphasize that poor water quality in many areas is afected by non-point source pollution, underscoring the need for watershed and land management. The results and applied methodologies provide insights for watershed management, policy development, and adaptation, applicable to regions facing similar environmental challenges.

Article Highlights

- Pinpointing and quantifying sector-specifc sources of pollution is essential to efective water resource management.
- Methods exist by which to use water quality data to begin to identify primary sources of pollution in complex watersheds.
- Water quality declined during the rainy seasons of 2017 to 2021, emphasizing non-point sources (NPS) as as leading causes of the decline.

 \boxtimes Van Pham Dang Tri, vpdtri@ctu.edu.vn | ¹College of Environment and Natural Resources, Can Tho University, Can Tho 900000, Vietnam. ²Research Institute for Climate Change (DRAGON-Mekong), Can Tho University, Can Tho 900000, Vietnam.

Discover Applied Sciences (2024) 6:310 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-06013-x

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-06013-x) [06013-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-06013-x).

Keywords Surface water quality · Non-point source pollution · Point source pollution · Water quality assessment · Multivariate analysis · Vietnamese Mekong Delta

1 Introduction

Surface water resources act as sinks for pollutants arising from both human activities and natural processes [[1,](#page-15-0) [2](#page-15-1)], with the former identified as the primary driver of pollution $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$. This is evident when untreated wastewater is directly discharged into receiving surface water bodies, including rivers, canals, lakes, and ponds [[5,](#page-15-4) [6\]](#page-15-5). As a result, ecological impacts due to organic pollution of fuvial components tends to increase from upstream to downstream [\[7\]](#page-15-6), with higher levels of organic contaminants and ecological impacts observed in fuvial components during the wet season [[8\]](#page-15-7). Due to the compounding efect of climate change, socioeconomic development, changing land-use practices, industrialisation, and increased water usage by upstream countries, the freshwater sources in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta (VMD) are witnessing a decline in both quantity and quality. Therefore, the development of a comprehensive monitoring and management scheme is imperative to oversee the changes to these vital resources and to contribute to safeguarding existing resources. Monitoring data on surface water quality helps managers understand the current state of water quality, serving as the foundation for identifying the factors contributing to pollution and suggesting mitigating solutions for water resource management [\[9–](#page-15-8)[11](#page-15-9)].

Point sources, especially wastewater treatment discharges, are often indicated as primary causes for a decline in water quality. However, when wastewater is discharged into receiving water sources, it is often difficult to determine the exact sources of pollution. The extent of pollutant dispersion into the water bodies relies on factors such as the mechanism of dispersal, distance (from source to sink), temporal aspects, self-cleaning mechanisms, and the fow regime of the river [[12](#page-15-10)–[15](#page-15-11)]. Therefore, it is crucial to employ appropriate analytical approaches, giving careful consideration to relevant physical, chemical, and biological processes. This is pivotal to identify the primary sources of pollution that impact surface water quality, using data acquired from surface water quality monitoring networks.

Many studies, both globally and within Vietnam, have been undertaken to evaluate surface water quality. The studies often used the water quality index (WQI) combined with multivariate statistical analysis to evaluate surface water quality [[16](#page-15-12)[–18\]](#page-15-13) or used one of the many other evaluation indices such as water quality index (WQI), comprehensive pollution index (CPI), organic pollution index (OPI), eutrophication index (EI), total pollution index (TPI), and metal pollution index (MPI) [\[4,](#page-15-3) [19](#page-15-14), [20](#page-15-15)]. Cluster analysis (CA), principal component analysis (PCA), and multivariate regression statistics are also employed to assess the dynamics of surface water quality in spatial and temporal dimensions. These methods help identify correlations between different water quality indicators [[21–](#page-15-16)[26](#page-16-0)]. Other studies have used mathematical models to evaluate waste sources afecting the surface water quality of river basins [\[27–](#page-16-1)[29\]](#page-16-2).

Proper identifcation of discharge sources impacting surface water quality helped state management in implementing efective management plans and solutions [\[21,](#page-15-16) [27](#page-16-1)]. According to Bostanmaneshrad et al*.* and Mijares et al*.* [\[21,](#page-15-16) [30](#page-16-3)], the determination of pollution load is crucial to assess the impact of waste sources on the pollution status of the receiving source. However, in the VMD, identifying the pollutant loads to surface water quality resources still has numerous limitations. The studies frequently assess water quality using monitoring data, prompting subsequent discussions on related studies involving pollutants; however, there is often a lack of clarity regarding specifc waste sources associated with these evaluations. Therefore, this research aims to enhance the understanding of waste source identifcation impacting water quality in Vinh Long Province by (1) calculating the pollution load from discharge sources, which encompass both point and non-point sources, and (2) employing multivariable statistical analysis to assess precisely the infuence of wastewater sources on surface water quality.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

Vinh Long Province (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) is home to approximately 1 million residents and encompasses a natural area of approximately 150 thousand hectares, divided into six districts and a city. The land surface elevation is close to sea level, with lower elevations gradually rising slightly towards the river banks, particularly along the main Tien and Hau rivers [[31](#page-16-4)]. The Hau River is characterized by an annual average flow of 13,800 m³/s, showing seasonal variations ranging from 5020 to 24,000

Fig. 1 Surface water bodies and wastewater monitoring fxed points in Vinh Long Province (**a**), with a zoom-in at Vinh Long City showing a greater density of monitoring station (**b**)

 m^3 /s. The Tien River experiences a flow ranging from 2000 to 41,504 m^3 /s, with an average of 11,500 m^3 /s. Both rivers are characterized by their adjacent fertile foodplains. Because of its positioning between the two largest waterways in the VMD, the Tien River and the Hau River, inland water from over 90 river and canal systems provides most of the province's water resources [[31\]](#page-16-4). The abundant average yearly rainfall combined with a reasonably even distribution of surface water sources has created the perfect environment for both production and domestic uses. The Tien, Hau, Co Chien, and Mang Thit rivers all provide water to the region's water supply treatment plants [[31](#page-16-4)]. Vinh Long Province also shares surface water resources with neighboring provinces, namely Tien Giang, Ben Tre, Tra Vinh, Hau Giang, Soc Trang, Dong Thap, and Can Tho.

Over the period of 2017–2021, approximately 280 production units, primarily industrial zones, food processing facilities, seafood processing plants, slaughterhouses, livestock operations, healthcare facilities, and craft villages, continued to discharge wastewater into the receiving river systems. The proliferation of these diverse production facilities associated with both agricultural and domestic activities has substantially heightened the risk of surface water pollution. Wastewater from these facilities, categorized as PN and NPS, is typically directly released into rivers and canals, leading to the degradation of surface water quality. This impact is especially pronounced within the in-feld channels. The surface water resources within the study area are confronted the ongoing growth in the agricultural, industrial, and manufacturing sectors, upon which the current economy and future socioeconomic development is structured.

2.2 Water sample collection and analysis

Wastewater from domestic, cage aquaculture, and storm-water runoff are categorized as NPS, while wastewater from livestock, slaughterhouses, craft villages, pond aquaculture, industrial zones, healthcare facilities, food and seafood processing plants are considered as PS. The estimation of pollutant load is based on both the quantity and concentration of waste produced.

Wastewater samples were collected at the discharge of each wastewater treatment system as a PS, while domestic wastewater was collected from 2 locations at sewers in residential and urban areas from June–October 2021, with sampling conducted every ten days for the calculation of the pollution load. The sample collection follows the

standard procedure according to TCVN 5999:1995 of the Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam [[32\]](#page-16-5) and ISO 5667–10:1992 of the International Organization for Standardization [[33](#page-16-6)] and the preservation procedures according to TCVN 6663–3:2016 of the Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam [\[34\]](#page-16-7), before being analyzed in the laboratory. Wastewater analysis parameters including BOD₅, COD, TP, and TN were done using the corresponding methods: SMEWW 5210B:2017, SMEWW 5220C:2017, SMEWW 4500-P.B&E: 2017, and TCVN 6638:2000 according to the American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, & Water Environment Federation, and the Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam [[35](#page-16-8), [36\]](#page-16-9).

Sixty surface water monitoring data at fxed points were systematically collected by the Department of Natural Resources and Environment of Vinh Long Province to assess the surface water quality during three distinct periods, including March (representing the annual dry season), June (representing the transitional season), and September (representing the wet season) within the timeframe of 2017–2021. The sample locations were selected to provide a comprehensive representation of surface water conditions across the province. These sampling fxed points were strategically distributed to cover over 30 rivers of diferent channel widths, ensuring a balanced coverage of the areas infuenced by wastewater discharge by both PS and NPS.

Thirteen parameters were employed to assess surface water quality. All water parameters were assessed by laboratories recognized under ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and designated with the code Vilas 515. The analysis and evaluation procedures adhered to the QCVN standard (*National technical regulation on surface water quality*) for surface water quality specifed in column A2 (QCVN 08:2015).

2.3 Data processing

This study used spatial data and attributes from 60 surface water monitoring stations in Vinh Long Province during the period 2017–2021. In addition, the study used data on the station location characteristics, the loading from wastewater facilities during the period of 2017–2021, and land use for 2020. This study also conducted wastewater sampling at waste sources for 4 months (average of every 10 days per time) during the dry season for calculating the pollution load of wastewater sources. Descriptive statistics, Kolmogorov–Smirnov Z test to check the normal distribution of data was carried out using SPSS 20 software. The WQI index were applied to evaluate surface water quality in Vinh Long during the period 2017–2021 based on the Vietnamese standard QCVN 08:2015. Next, cluster analysis (CA) was used to group monitoring locations based on the water quality index and principal component analysis (PCA) was also conducted to determine the main factors afecting surface water quality. Finally, one of the important issues of this study was to calculate the pollution load of waste sources (including point and non-point sources) to explain and clarify the impact of wastewater sources on surface water quality in Vinh Long Province.

2.3.1 Water quality index (WQI)

According to the regulations of the Vietnam Environment Administration (2019), the assessment of surface water quality for diferent uses was conducted using the WQI indexand was calculated and evaluated for the two seasons over the years at diferent positions (1). The WQI results show the level of water quality and the corresponding acceptable uses for a specifc water body. The WQI ranges from 0 to 100, dividing water quality into fve levels. Level 1 (indicated by blue color, 100≥WQI≥91) is good water quality that could be used for purposes of water supply. Level 2 (green, 90≥WQI≥76) is also acceptable for water supply for domestic uses but suitable water treatment measures are required. Level 3 (yellow) is acceptable for irrigation and other similar purposes (75≥WQI≥51). Level 4 (orange, 50≥WQI≥26) is suitable for transport and equivalent purposes while Level 5 (red, 25≥WQI≥0) is considered heavily polluted water such that proper treatment measures are urgently needed.

$$
WQI = \frac{WQI_{pH}}{100} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2} WQI_a WQI_b \right]^{1/2}
$$
 (1)

where WQI_a is the calculated WQI value for three parameters (DO, COD, BOD₅, N-NH₄⁺, N-NO₃⁻, P-PO₄³); WQI_b is the calculated WQI value for coliform, E.coli; WQI_{pH} is the calculated WQI value for pH (pH is in the range of 6–8.5).

2.3.2 Multivariate statistical methods

rainwater runof

2.3.2.1 Cluster analysis (CA) Water bodies in the study area were classifed and study sites were grouped using cluster analysis (CA). Euclidean distance was used to assess the impact of waste, either from PS or NPS, on water quality. The CA results were reported as link distances, with clustering significance deemed present when $D_{\text{link}}/D_{\text{max}} \times 100$ equal 60 [\[37](#page-16-10)]. Sampling stations were treated as dependent variables, while parameters were independent variables. Fixed points with similar water quality were grouped based on bonding distance [\[38\]](#page-16-11) and presented in a hierarchical structure.

2.3.2.2 Principal component analysis (PCA) Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to identify the primary components (PCs) responsible for fuctuations in water quality and to reveal their sources in the study area. By evaluating the correlation among water quality indicators, each PC was regarded as a potential pollution source [\[37,](#page-16-10) [39\]](#page-16-12). The Eigenvalue coefficient measured the significance of each PC, with Eigenvalues exceeding 1 showing the most critical components [\[38](#page-16-11), [40](#page-16-13)]. The weighted correlation coefficient of each PC supported the identification of pollution sources and was categorized into three levels according to absolute load values: high (>0.75), moderate (0.75–0.50), and weak (0.50–0.30) [[40\]](#page-16-13). These analyses were conducted using Stat graphics Centurion version XVI software (Stat graphics Technologies Inc., The Plains, VA, USA). The principal component (PC) is expressed as Formula [\(2](#page-4-0)):

$$
Z_{ij} = pc_{i1}x_{1j} + pc_{i2}x_{2j} + \dots + pc_{im}x_{mj}
$$
 (2)

where z is the component score; pc is the component loading; x is the measured value of the variable; i is the component number; j is the sample number and m is the total number of variables.

2.3.2.3 Calculating pollution load from non‑point sources The pollution load from non-point sources was calculated for runoff, domestic wastewater, and cage aquaculture sources and was based on COD, BOD₅, TN, TP parameters regulated by QCVN on wastewater as industrial production QCVN-40, domestic QCVN-14, livestock QCVN-62, healthcare QCVN-28, and seafood processing QCVN-11. The load of emission sources was calculated as follows:

2.3.2.4 Pollution load from rainwater runof The pollutant load from rainwater runof was calculated based on land use data such as agriculture land, specialized land, residential land, and vacant land; average number of rainy days per year in the area; and unit of pollution load because of runoff water from land use types. The Formula ([3\)](#page-4-1) below [[41](#page-16-14)] and Table [1](#page-4-2) are used to compute the pollutant load by combining characteristics of COD, BOD₅, TN, TP, area of land use types, and number of average annual rain days for the non-point sources in the study area.

$$
L_{Nn} = n \times A \times L_{iNn} \times 10^{-3}
$$
 (3)

where L_{Nn} is the pollutant load of each land use purpose (tons/year); n is the number of rainy days in the year(days); A is the land use area for each purpose (km²); L_{iNn} is the unit pollutant load (kg/km²/rainy day); Unit load 10^{–3} is the transfer coefficient unit.

2.3.2.5 Pollution load from domestic wastewater The pollutant load from domestic wastewater was calculated based on the wastewater fow and pollutant concentration indicators [\[44\]](#page-16-15) by using the following Formula ([4](#page-5-0)).

^aAccording to [[42\]](#page-16-16), and ^baccording to [\[43\]](#page-16-17)

Table 2 Pollutant concentration of domestic wastewater

Sample analysis results in 2021

^aAccording to [[47\]](#page-16-21), and ^baccording to [\[48\]](#page-16-22)

$$
L_i = C_i \times Q_{waste} \times 10^{-6}
$$

and $Q_{waste} = K_{supply} \times N$ (4)

where L_i is the pollutant load of parameter i (tons/year); C_i is the average concentration of parameter i (mg/L) (Based on the results of Table [2](#page-5-1)); Q_{waste} is the wastewater amount (L/day); K_{supply} is the water supply coefficient (L/person a day) (120L/person in day following the TCXDVN 33:2006 standard); Unit load 10–6 is the unit transfer coefcient; N is the Total population (total resident population of Vinh Long Province, 2021 following the statistical yearbook of Vinh Long Province, 2021).

2.3.2.6 Pollution load from cage aquaculture The pollutant load from cage aquaculture was calculated based on previous research [[45](#page-16-18)] as shown in the Formula [\(5\)](#page-5-2). In Vinh Long Province, 1714 cages of aquaculture were in operation and distributed along the Tien River. According to the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vinh Long Province (2021), Vinh Long Province's aquaculture yield reached 19,888 tons/year in 2021 [[46\]](#page-16-19).

$$
L_{ts} = SL \times L_{iTs} \times 10^{-3}
$$
 (5)

where L_{ts} is the waste load from aquaculture (tons/year); SL is the aquaculture yield (tons/year); L_{ITs} is the emission coef-ficient of parameter i (kg/ton/year) shown in the Table [3.](#page-5-3)

2.3.2.7 Calculating pollution load from point sources Pollution load from point sources were computed fowing Formula ([6\)](#page-5-4) for livestock, slaughter, craft villages, pond aquaculture, industrial parks, healthcare, seafood processing, and food processing using the same pollutant concentration indicators as domestic wastewater and cage aquaculture $[49]$ $[49]$ $[49]$. In particular, the value of the calculation coefficient in Formula ([6](#page-5-4)) is based on Table [4,](#page-6-0) in which the value of wastewater fow was collected from the Department of Natural Resources and Environment in 2021 and the value of wastewater pollution concentration per waste source was sampled and analyzed from discharge points.

$$
L_i = C_i \times Q_{waste} \times 10^{-6} \times 365
$$
 (6)

where L_i (tons/year) is the pollutant load calculated for parameter i; C_i (mg/L) is the average concentration of parameter i; Q_{waste} (m³/day) is the wastewater amount; Unit load 10⁻⁶: unit transfer coefficient.

Table 4 Pollution concentration and wastewater flow from point sources

According to [[50](#page-16-23)], and sample analysis results in 2021

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface water quality characteristics in Vinh Long Province

The physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of surface water in three periods, March (dry season), June (transitional season), and September (wet season) from 2017 to 2021 in Vinh Long are represented in Fig. [2.](#page-7-0) The water temperature in March was higher than that in June and September. The pH varied between 7.22 ± 0.08 and 7.77 ± 0.07 , peaking during the dry season at an average of 7.49 \pm 0.14. This value stayed consistent and under the threshold of the QCVN 08:2015 (column A2). The average EC value was 57 ± 22.6 mS/m, ranging from 32.8 ± 6.4 to 101.8 ± 40.9 mS/m. EC in March (101.8 ± 40.9 mS/m) was higher than the numbers in June (37.1 ± 20.7 mS/m) and September (32.8 ± 6.4 mS/m). This shows that as rainfall increases, EC tends to decrease in June and September (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)n).

The TSS concentration ranged from 28.5 ± 3.87 to 56.1 ± 13.9 mg/L, with an average of 41.2 ± 6.96 mg/L. At most monitoring fixed points, the concentration fell within the acceptable range of EPA criteria but exceeded the QCVN 08:2015 (30 mg/L) at some sites. The monitoring locations exceeding QCVN 08:2015 were 60 in September, 53 in June, and 51 in March. The turbidity ranged from 40.58 ± 14.73 NTU to 84.74 ± 43.42 NTU, with an average of 59.6 ± 8.09 NTU. TSS in September (56.1 \pm 13.9 mg/L) was higher than those in June (28.5 \pm 3.9 mg/L) and March (38.9 \pm 3.1 mg/L). As a result, TSS increases with rainfall.

The dissolved oxygen (DO) value in the study area ranged from 3.88 ± 0.18 to 5.85 ± 0.06 mg/L, and an average of 4.64 ± 0.45 mg/L. In March, the DO values at all monitoring fixed points were under the threshold of the QCVN 08:2015. However, DO values at 10 and 17 monitoring fixed points exceeded QCVN 08:2015 in June and September, respectively.

The BOD₅ parameter ranged from 4.48 \pm 0.34 to 7.93 \pm 0.28 mg/L, with an average of 5.93 \pm 0.75 mg/L, exceeding the QCVN 08:2015 at 33 locations, which included main tributaries of the Hau River (NM42, NM55), and NM28, and NM58 belong to the inland rivers.

The COD concentration ranged from 8.94 \pm 0.39 to 15.14 \pm 0.7 mg/L, with an average of 11.49 \pm 1.44 mg/L. Most of the sampling fixed points had COD values that reached QCVN 08:2015 in March, except for NM19, NM58, and NM59 belonging to the inland rivers. In September, the monitoring fixed points of NM01, NM05, NM09, NM32, NM 36, NM42, NM48, and NM50 along tributaries and main rivers exceeded this standard.

The concentration of N-NH₄⁺ fluctuated in the range 0.24 \pm 0.07 mg/L to 0.53 \pm 0.24 mg/L, with an average of 0.37 \pm 0.07 mg/L. There were 29 monitoring fixed points with N-NH $_4^+$ concentration exceeding QCVN 08:2015 simultaneously in March and June, mainly occurring in the inland rivers (NM28, NM02, NM03–NM08, NM09) and the tributary rivers (NM05, NM20–NM22, NM37). However, 59 fixed points with N-NH₄⁺ concentration exceeded QCVN 08:2015 in September (except for NM23). The value of N–NO₃[–] ranged from 0.18±0.02 to 0.59±0.1 mg/L, where the average was 0.35 \pm 0.1 mg/L; it reached the QCVN 08:2015 at all monitoring fixed points. In contrast, P–PO $_4^{3-}$ concentration ranged from 0.38 \pm 0.04 to 1.11 \pm 0.2 mg/L, with an average of 0.68 \pm 0.15 mg/L and was found to exceed the QCVN 08:2015 at all monitoring fixed points.

E.coli density ranged from 56.8 ± 8.4 MPN/100 mL to 489 ± 33.6 MPN/100 mL, with an average of 191 ± 109 MPN/100 mL. Similarly, a high density of coliform was found with a range of 3166 ± 80.83 MPN/100 mL to

Fig. 2 Discriminant water quality parameters in the three assessment periods: March, June, and September during the study period (2017– 2021)

 $42,220 \pm 26,806$ MPN/100 mL, while its average was $10,517 \pm 8771$ MPN/100 mL. In March, June, and September, all monitoring station except for NM18 and NM40 exceeded the QCVN 08:2015 standard for *E. coli*. Similarly, in September, all monitoring fixed points exceeded the standard for total coliform. There were sampling fixed points with *E. coli* and coliform density over the QCVN 08:2015 standard dispersed in all river systems over Vinh Long Province. *E. coli* and coliform densities were, respectively, 9.55 and 8.44 times greater than the permissible limits (50 MPN/100 mL for *E. coli* and 5,000 MPN/100 mL for coliform).

The water temperature in March is higher than that in September, attributed to seasonal diferences. The average value of temperature at the sampling sites had large fuctuations during the period 2017–2021 but was stayed within the preferred range for the growth of aquatic organisms [[51](#page-16-24)]. The pH value was neutral, but some locations reached slightly alkaline conditions in March in the main rivers. This could increase the toxicity of ammonia in water, thus afecting the life of aquatic organisms [[51](#page-16-24)]. The pH value is close to that in neighboring provinces, such as Can Tho (7.17–7.53), Dong Thap (7.00–7.52), and Tien Giang (7.2–7.8) [\[52–](#page-16-25)[54](#page-16-26)]. It is also consistent with previous studies in Vinh Long in 2019 [[31](#page-16-4)]. The fndings presented that pH and water temperature are compatible with the evolution of the tropics, unafected by natural factors [\[40\]](#page-16-13). They attained the acceptable quality for domestic water uses, also suitable for aquatic organisms' growth [[38\]](#page-16-11).

The EC in the current study area was higher than Tien Giang Province (40.59–99.28 mS/m) [\[37\]](#page-16-10), which was associated with the influence of geographic location and flow rate on the same Tien River. Vinh Long Province is near an estuary where the EC changes depending on ions in the ocean water. The EC value found in the study area was still in the threshold of 15–50 mS/m, which is suitable for fsh and macroinvertebrates in freshwater environments [\[37](#page-16-10)]. High EC values in surface water are the most common in residential districts, industrial zones, and central markets where a substantial proportion of wastewater contains organic matters, resulting in greater mineral segregation [[31](#page-16-4)]. TSS and water turbidity during September were higher than in March and June, which could be attributed to rainwater runoff and soil disturbance during agricultural production and cropping seasons. Turbidity and TSS can indicate that high levels of sediment are introduced which can physically bury macroinvertebrates and efect fsh.

The DO concentration obtained was similar to those in the same study area in 2019 [[31](#page-16-4)]. Vinh Long Province's DO was greater than other nearby provinces, including Tien Giang (3.2–4.0 mg/L) [[54](#page-16-26)], Hau Giang (3.2–5.2 mg/L) [\[55](#page-16-27)], and Dong Thap (4.73–5.55 mg/L) [[53](#page-16-28)]. Vinh Long's river system's greater water surface area makes it simpler for oxygen from the atmosphere to diffuse into the surface water [[31](#page-16-4)]. There was an increase in DO because rain runoff from agriculture and aquaculture, along with the discharge of residential garbage, severely mixed with the river fow in the research locations. However, measurements of BOD₅ and COD show organic matter contamination as an important factor along the Hau River sampling fxed points.

The values of nutrients in the study area were higher than those in the neighboring province, such as Hau Giang Province, with N–NH₄⁺ and P–PO₄^{3–} concentrations 0.27 mg/L and 0.23 mg/L respectively [\[55\]](#page-16-27), Dong Thap concentra-tions 0.37 mg/L and 0.23 mg/L respectively [[53](#page-16-28)], and Tien Giang concentrations 0.4 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L respectively [\[54](#page-16-26)]. However, these two parameters are compatible with a previous fnding [\[31\]](#page-16-4) for the present study Province wherein P–PO $_4^{3-}$ and N–NO₃[–] values varied from 0.36–1.24 mg/L, 0.16–0.89 mg/L, respectively. The surface water quality in Vinh Long revealed signs of nutrient pollution but it was not severe [[31](#page-16-4)]. According to Ustaoğlu and Tepe (2019), P–PO $_4^{\rm 3-}$ in surface water ranges from 0.05–0.3 mg/L, where its excess will lead to eutrophication [\[56](#page-16-29)]. Large-scale agricultural operations, as well as the requirement for high volume of water for irrigation, result in fertilizer and organic chemical residues, which result in high nutrient concentrations in wastewater outflow [[31](#page-16-4)]. As a result, these problems are primarily associated with pollutant loads from agricultural activities in Vinh Long Province. The concentration of N-NO₃[−] (> 0.7 mg/L) fell in the threshold range of 0.2–10 mg/L which is suitable for aquatic life [[42](#page-16-16)], causing no eutrophication and not afecting human health. However, if solutions are not found to reduce the concentration of P–PO₄^{3–} released from agricultural facilities, this could become a problem for the aquatic ecosystem in the long term.

Coliform and *E. coli* are indicators of pollution from human or animal feces, similar to previous fndings [[31](#page-16-4)] in Vinh Long. Because of the relatively high density of *E.coli* and coliforms, the surface water in Vinh Long was unsuitable for consumption. These fndings are consistent with the results of previous studies in the VMD, including Dong Thap, Tien Giang, and Hau Giang [\[53](#page-16-28)[–55](#page-16-27)]. They found that the density of *E. coli* and coliforms is high in the dry season [\[31](#page-16-4)]. In contrast, total coliform and *E. coli* increased during the rainy season in the study area. This is associated with transferring pollutants from terrestrial to water environments by the rainwater runoff, carrying waste of humans and animals to the rivers [[57](#page-16-30)].

Water quality in the dry season is better than in the rainy season. This is likely because, during the rainy season, rainwater overfow carries pollutants, particularly waste, from agricultural production activities. In addition, water quality is also affected by runoff from neighboring areas into the Tien and Hau river systems.

3.2 Water quality index (WQI)

Four out of 60 monitoring fxed pointss (NM04, NM41, NM42, NM54) along the main rivers exhibited WQI values ranging from 76–90 (Fig. [3](#page-9-0)). Water quality at the monitoring fxed points was infuenced by waste sources from aquaculture, domestic life, and agricultural production. Despite the rivers having a large, continuous fow, making the water quality suitable for domestic water supply purposes, appropriate treatment measures are still necessary.

There were 34 out of 60 monitoring fxed points along the main and tributary rivers, with WQI values ranging from 51–75. This categorization denotes a medium water quality suitable for domestic, irrigation, and other comparable purposes. These rivers were infuenced by factors such as domestic wastewater, aquaculture, production, business activities, and runoff from agricultural practices. The combination of these factors, coupled with low flow rates, contributes to suboptimal surface water quality.

There were 22 out of 60 monitoring fxed points with WQI values ranging from 26–51. These fxed points were along inland rivers or urban rivers, exhibiting poor water quality suitable only for navigation or similar purposes. The water quality in these rivers and canals was found to be directly infuenced by domestic waste, production and industrial activities, as well as agricultural practices.

This study reveals signifcant variations in the surface water quality of rivers and canals in Vinh Long Province, both spatially and temporally. WQI values in the study area's rivers and canals ranged from 65 to 91, surpassing those observed in other localities within the VMD. For comparison, the WQI values in Bac Lieu Province during 2014–2019 ranged from 51 to 62 [[58\]](#page-17-0), and in Dong Thap Province in 2019, they varied from 25 to 64 [\[53\]](#page-16-28).

3.3 Clustering surface water quality

The CA analysis (Fig. [4\)](#page-10-0) findings reveal 3 groups formed by clustering at a distance ($D_{\text{link}}/D_{\text{max}}$) × 100 equaled 95. Group 1 comprised 29 monitoring stations (NM01–NM04, NM06–NM16, NM23–NM26, NM30, NM34, NM35, NM39–MN41, NM47–NM49, NM56). These locations are primarily afected by domestic wastewater from urban areas, residential areas, and aquaculture activities. The wastewater from these sources has led to the parameters TSS, BOD₅, N-NH₄⁺,

Fig. 3 Spatial distribution of Water Quality Index (WQI) classifcation at monitoring locations in Vinh Long Province (**a**), with a zoom-in at Vinh Long City showing a dense monitoring locations (**b**)

Fig. 4 Clustering surface water quality for the water bodies of Vinh Long Province (No 1=NM1…No 60=NM60)

COD, P–PO $_4^{3-}$ exceeding QCVN 08:2015. Group 2 comprised 3 monitoring sites (NM27, NM28, NM29) mainly impacted by the same waste sources as group 1, along with runoff water carrying pollutants leading to elevated levels of TSS, BOD₅, P-PO₄³, coliform and *E. coli*, exceeding QCVN 08:2015. Group 3 included 28 monitoring stations (NM05, NM17–NM22, NM31–NM33, NM36–NM38, NM42–NM46, NM50–NM55, NM57–NM60), which were infuenced by agricultural activities (fruit trees, and rice cultivation) and domestic wastewater, resulting in exceeding QCVN 08:2015 for TSS, BOD₅, N–NH₄⁺, P–PO₄^{3−}, coliform, and *E. coli*.

The study area's complex spatial efects of water quality are highlighted through the division of groups from 60 sites between 2017 and 2021 (Table [5\)](#page-10-1). Group 1 included monitoring stations in large rivers and around residential areas that received various sources of pollutants loaded from both domestic and aquaculture wastewater and were considered the least polluted, showing that all parameters insignifcantly exceeded the standard QCVN 08:2015, yet the DO value was relatively high. The average DO concentration in the study area was higher than in neighboring provinces [[31](#page-16-4)]. Meanwhile, Group 2 was mainly affected by rain overflow that led to high values of TSS, BOD₅, P-PO₄^{3−}, coliform, and *E*. *coli*, exceeding QCVN 08:2015 standard and causing organic pollution in this area. Group 3 experienced notable impacts from agricultural activities, including fruit and rice cultivation, as well as domestic wastewater. This resulted in elevated levels of TSS, BOD₅, N–NH₄⁺, P–PO₄^{3–}, coliform, and *E. coli*, surpassing the QCVN 08:2015. In short, the impact of pollutant sources caused a diference in water quality among the three groups. The pollutant sources are determined by the diferent river/canal levels, the distance between production facilities and rivers, and the land use plan. The water quality in each group was recorded with the same impact sources with similar parameters exceeding the QCVN 08:2015. This

could suggest simplifying the sampling data set and cutting the monitoring periods for monitoring tasks. Similarly, in the VMD, other water bodies have reported successful applications of CA techniques in water quality monitoring design [\[40](#page-16-13)].

Based on the spatial distribution of each group, the results shown in Fig. [5](#page-11-0) showed that Group 1 includes locations within urban areas, densely populated areas and aquaculture areas while Group 2 includes agricultural areas and residential areas. Group 3 is diferent from the two groups that it focused on specialized agricultural areas (such as fruit trees, rice, vegetables areas) and residential areas.

3.4 Key variables infuencing surface water quality

Four principal components (PCs) with Eigenvalues greater than one derived from the principal component analysis (PCA) significantly impacted variations in surface water quality (Fig. [6\)](#page-12-0). These components account for 69% of the variance in total with various sources of pollution that impact the variation in surface water quality within the study area (Table [6](#page-12-1)).

The parameter coordinates in Table [6](#page-12-1) showed that 26.8% of the variation of PC1 was explained by BOD₅, COD, N–NH₄⁺, and N–NO₃⁻, and the load factors for these parameters were positive and exhibited relatively high coordination, showing organic and nutritional pollution. On the PC2 axis, the load factors explained 18.9% of the variation in water quality and were positive for EC and negative for pH, temperature, and DO at the moderate correlation. PC3 exhibited a reasonably strong correlation with total coliform, and *E. coli*, explaining 13.2% of the variation in water quality. Lastly, PC4 had comparatively strong correlations with turbidity, accounting for 10.1% of the variation in water quality. Aside from that, the indicators on the remaining PCs continue to show a moderate correlation.

PC1 represents the signifcant infuences of human activities on surface water, including PS and NPS pollution. PS's originate from improper domestic waste disposal while NPS's are from agricultural runoff carrying fertilizers and pesticides. The high TSS and COD levels are caused by contaminants entrained in residential wastewater and runoff. In addition, fertilizers are used in agricultural production and household laundry detergent as a source of phosphorus and nitrogen, respectively [[59](#page-17-1)]. PC2 showed a natural process and surface runoff from acid sulfate areas, resulting in iron oxides leaching, and the positive load factor of temperature may be because of seasonal diferences. PC3 also represents a runoff from agricultural and domestic activities, leaching human and animal excretions.

Fig. 5 Spatial distribution of surface water quality by similarity group in Vinh Long Province (**a**), with a zoom-in at Vinh Long City showing a most dense similarity of group 1 (**b**)

Fig. 6 Eigenvalues of the principal components

Table 6 Potential sources of pollution and key water quality parameters afecting surface water quality

Parameter	PC ₁	PC ₂	PC ₃	PC ₄
pH	-0.332	-0.659	-0.018	0.334
Temperature	0.282	-0.674	-0.192	-0.275
Turbidity	0.238	0.061	-0.052	0.866
EC	0.320	0.727	0.088	0.080
DO	-0.410	-0.704	-0.070	-0.108
TSS	0.403	0.404	0.365	0.379
COD	0.896	0.087	0.121	0.042
BOD ₅	0.882	0.128	0.110	0.098
$N-NO_3^-$	0.710	0.309	0.056	0.061
$N-NH_4^+$	0.669	0.306	0.127	0.325
$P-PO43-$	0.385	0.389	0.306	-0.276
E.coli	-0.056	0.128	0.863	0.051
Coliform	0.356	0.061	0.805	-0.095
% of variance	26.8	18.9	13.2	10.1
Cumulative (%)	26.8	45.8	59.0	69.1

Bold represents the significant correlation coefficients between each PC and corresponding water quality parameters

Seasonal variations in the weather or other industrial activities that raise the temperature of surface water could be the cause of the positive load factor of temperature [\[31](#page-16-4)]. A rise in turbidity in the study area is caused by increased agricultural farming activities eroding water bodies, as PC4 in Table [6](#page-12-1) explains. Each PC represents a form of pollution, and those with moderate or higher correlation should be included in the water quality monitoring program [[60](#page-17-2)]. Therefore, the surface water pollution of biochemical and physical factors ultimately distinguished among PCs. This is likely to impact chemical reactions, reaction rates, aquatic life, and the water's suitability for other purposes [\[61\]](#page-17-3), according to the direction of these principal components.

3.5 Assessing point and non‑point sources of pollution

3.5.1 Pollutant loads from point sources

The pollution load from various wastewater sources and their corresponding observation locations is presented in Table [7](#page-13-0). The industrial sector contributes about 26.1 tons/year of pollutants annually to Vinh Long's rivers and canals, with COD being the most signifcant at 12.7 tons, followed by TN at 9.0 tons. Other parameters have less proportions. It is expected that the slaughterhouses release a yearly pollutant load of 23.5 tons/year. The pond aquaculture is the highest pollution

Table 7 Pollution load from point sources in Vinh Long Province in 2021

Results of calculating pollution load

load of point sources, accounting for 2,614 tons/year with COD being the predominant pollutant. The food processing industry releases approximately 7.3 tons annually, with rice vermicelli effluent containing concentrations of COD and BOD₅. In the craft village, a small-scale activity by 33 households results in a substantial effluent discharge (99 m³/day), with BOD₅ and COD accounting for most of the almost 1.9 tons of pollutants generated each year.

The pollution load from seafood processing wastewater containing high levels of COD and TN amounted to approximately 36 tons per year (Table [7\)](#page-13-0). There is about 44.9 tons of pollutant load from wastewater produced annually at the 16 hospitals in Vinh Long, in which COD contributed the most weight in this sector. The pollutant load of the livestock sector is around 24.4 tons/year as calculated from a discharge of 320,363 heads of cattle and 10,867,820 heads of poultry. This animal waste leads to organic chemicals, nutrients, and the high concentrations of COD, BOD $_5$ and TN in receiving water sources.

3.5.2 Pollutant loads from non‑point sources

The pollution load of non-point sources is shown in Table [8,](#page-13-1) showing that the load from runoff was the highest; totaling around 19,337.7 tons per year. Agricultural land accounted for the highest share at 15,925 tons, followed by special-use land (2016 tons), residential land (1194 tons), and vacant land (202.7 tons). Within the agricultural sector, TN contributed the most significant pollution load, followed by BOD₅. The estimated pollutant load from domestic wastewater, based on parameters such as BOD₅, COD, TN, and TP, amounted to 6383 tons per year (Table [8](#page-13-1)). The pollutant load from aquaculture activities involving 1,714 cages in Vinh Long was determined based on BOD₅, COD, TN, and TP parameters. The results show an annual generation of 518 tons of pollutants, primarily attributed to BOD₅, COD, TN, and TP. Nutrients, including nitrogen and phosphorus compounds, derived from fertilizer decay, demonstrate how fertilizers contribute to pollution in the agricultural sector [[31](#page-16-4)]. In addition, BOD₅ and nitrogen compounds are generated by leftover food decay, demonstrating how population pressure and domestic activities contribute to the organic pollution in the river system. Direct release of effluents into the river system without treatment is practical. The biochemical parameters (BOD $_{5}$, COD) and nutrient parameters (TN, TP) generated from feed is a signifcant source of waste, and the amount of waste produced

Results of calculating pollution load

Table 8 Pollution load from non-point sources in Vinh Long Province in 2021

depends on the feed's nutrient composition, production method, ratio of feed size to fsh size, amount of feed produced per time unit, feeding method, and storage time in the cage aquaculture systems [[62\]](#page-17-4).

4 Conclusions

From 2017 to 2021, the surface water quality in Vinh Long Province exhibited spatial and temporal variations. Water quality parameters exceeded the Vietnamese standard (QCVN 08:2015) and were contaminated with organic substances. Specifically, 33 monitoring fixed points during September showed fluctuations in BOD₅ values ranging from 6.09 to 10.20 mg/L, exceeding the A2 threshold (>6 mg/L) of the QCVN 08:2015 standard. Moreover, on September 8 the monitoring fxed points recorded COD values ranging from 15.68 to 17.60 mg/L, surpassing the A2 threshold (> 15 mg/L). Nutrients also contributed to the pollution, with 29 monitoring fxed points during March and June, and 59 fxed points during September, showing N-NH₄⁺ values ranging from 0.34 to 0.80 mg/L, exceeding the A2 threshold (>0.3 mg/L). Additionally, all monitoring fixed points exhibited P–PO₄^{3–} values fluctuating from 0.38 ± 0.04 mg/L to 1.11 ± 0.2 mg/L, surpassing the A2 threshold (0.2 mg/L). The pollution was particularly severe in many small rivers and infeld areas which have the least capacity to dilute the pollution. The calculated water quality index showed that surface water sources in most rivers were suitable for irrigation and other similar activities, whilst the water from a few rivers remained suitable for domestic purposes after remedial measures.

The surface water quality monitoring fxed points could be divided into three groups based on their water quality attributes via CA analysis. Group I contained mostly densely populated areas and aquaculture areas while Group II contained mainly agricultural production areas and residential areas. Group III, however, was seen to contain two groups, namely specialized agricultural areas (such as fruit trees, rice, and vegetables areas) and residential areas.

The PCA analysis results showed that water quality in rivers and canals in Vinh Long Province is afected by multiple sources of pollution, including (i) microbiological pollution, (ii) specifc conductance and nutrients, (iii) total suspended solids and turbidity, and (iv) organic matter. Overall, the pollution load from non-point sources accounted for a large proportion (90.5% of the total load) compared to the load from point sources (9.5% of the total load). In the non-point sources group, the load from runoff accounts for the largest share (15,925 tons), followed by that from domestic wastewater (6383 tons) and caged aquaculture (518 tons) while the load from aquaculture accounts for the largest share in the point sources group, with the load from the remaining point sources deemed insignifcant. Overall, the water quality in many surface water bodies across Vinh Long province is subpar, primarily attributed to signifcant pollution from non-point sources. However, all sources of pollution and variety of factors from point sources be considered for management plans in these study watersheds. Efective management of this type of pollution is essential to ensuring the safe use of water for diferent purposes. Especially, all sources of pollution and variety of factors from point sources need to be considered for management plans in these study watersheds in the coming years. In particular, using the groups of sampling stations/watershed regions and selecting for each a representative sampling station. In particular, use groups of sampling fxed points and select a representative sampling station for each station based on actual monitoring factors of pollution, seasonality, duration and extent of pollution dispersions.

Author contributions Conceptualization, V.Q.B., V.P.D.T. and P.V.T.; methodology, V.Q.B., V.P.D.T. and P.V.T.; software, P.V.T., L.V.D., N.V.T. and H.M.H; validation, V.P.D.T, V.Q.B. and N.K.D.; formal analysis, V.Q.B., V.P.D.T. P.V.T. and H.M.H; investigation, V.P.D.T and V.Q.B.; resources, V.P.D.T and V.Q.B.; data curation, V.P.D.T and V.Q.B.; writing—original draft preparation, V.Q.B., N.V.T. and L.V.D.; writing—review and editing, V.P.D.T., P.V.T. and N.K.D.; visualization, V.Q.B., L.V.D. N.V.T. and H.M.H; supervision, V.Q.B. and V.P.D.T.; project administration, V.Q.B. and V.P.D.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding This study is funded in part by (1) the Can Tho University Improvement Project VN14-P6, supported by a Japanese ODA loan and (2) the Department of Science and Technology in Vinh Long province (Decision 779/QĐ-UBND, July 23, 2024)

Data availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source,

provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Behmel S, Damour M, Ludwig R, Rodriguez MJ. Water quality monitoring strategies—a review and future perspectives. Sci Total Environ. 2016;571:1312–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.235>.
- 2. Kılıç Z. The importance of water and conscious use of water. Int J Hydrol. 2020;4(5):239–41. [https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020.04.](https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020.04.00250) [00250.](https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020.04.00250)
- 3. Bin MF, Nasir M, Samsudin MS, Juahir H, Ramli N. River water quality modeling ssing combined principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple linear regressions (MLR): a case study at Klang River. Malaysia World Appl Sci J. 2011;14:73–82.
- 4. Islam MS, Nakagawa K, Abdullah-Al-mamun M, Khan AS, Goni MA, Berndtsson R. Spatial distribution and source identification of water quality parameters of an industrial seaport riverbank area in Bangladesh. Water (Switzerland). 2022. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/w14091356) [w14091356.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w14091356)
- 5. Bao VQ, Tuyen NV, Toan NV, Tri VPD. Determination of pollutant load and proposing solutions to reduce pollution from main waste sources in Vinh Long province. J Sci Can Tho Univ. 2023;59:54–64.
- 6. Liu Y, Li H, Cui G, Cao Y. Water quality attribution and simulation of non-point source pollution load flux in the Hulan River basin. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):3012.
- 7. Tolatli C, Varol M, Uğurluoğlu A. Ecological risk assessment, source identification and spatial distribution of organic contaminants in terms of mucilage threat in streams of Çanakkale Strait Basin (Türkiye). Chemosphere. 2024;353: 141546. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.141546) [chemosphere.2024.141546](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.141546).
- 8. Tokatli C, Uğurluoğlu A, Muhammad S. Ecotoxicological evaluation of organic contamination in the world's two significant gateways to the Black Sea using GIS techniques: Turkish Straits. Mar Pollut Bull. 2023;194(A): 115405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.115405) [115405](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.115405).
- 9. Luo P, Kang S, Apip, Zhou M, Lyu J, Aisyah S, Binaya M, Regmi RK, Nover D. Water quality trend assessment in Jakarta: a rapidlu growing Asian megacity. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(7): e0219009. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219009.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219009)
- 10. Chapman DV, Sullivan T. The role of water quality monitoring in the sustainable use of ambient waters. In One Earth. 2022;5(2):132–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.01.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.01.008)
- 11. Uddin MG, Nash S, Olbert AI. A review of water quality index models and their use for assessing surface water quality. Ecol Indicat. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107218.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107218)
- 12. Muoi LV, Srilert C, Tri VPD, Toan PV. Spatial and temporal variabilities of surface water and sediment pollution at the main tidalinfluenced river in Ca Mau Peninsular, Vietnamese Mekong Delta. J Hydrol Regional Stud. 2022. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101082) [101082](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101082).
- 13. Pemulasari LKB, Maryani Y. The study on the linkage between pollution load and water quality index of the Cidurian river-a case study of Serang District segments. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. 2021;623(1):012–28.
- 14. Skorbiłowicz M, Skorbiłowicz E, Wójtowicz P, Zamojska E. Determination of mixing zones for wastewater with receiver waters. J Ecol Eng. 2017;18(4):192–8. [https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/74291.](https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/74291)
- 15. Wilbers GJ, Becker M, Nga LT, Sebesvari Z, Renaud FG. Spatial and temporal variability of surface water pollution in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Sci Total Environ. 2014;485–486:653–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.049.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.049)
- 16. Huong TTT, Quan TA, Hanh NTH, Tong NX. Assessing water quality in the Dong Nai River (Vietnam): implications for sustainable management and pollution control. Water Sci Technol. 2023;87(11):2917–29. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2023.151>.
- 17. Le TV, Nguyen DTP, Nguyen BT. Spatial and temporal analysis and quantification of pollution sources of the surface water quality in a coastal Province in Vietnam. Environ Monit Assess. 2023;195(3):408.
- 18. Pham H, Chi H, Rahman MM, Nguyen NC, Le VP, Le VT, Ngo H. Assessment of surface water quality using the water quality index and multivariate statistical techniques—a case study: the upper part of Dong Nai River basin, Vietnam. J Water Sustain. 2017;4:225–44.
- 19. Issa HM, Alshatteri AH. Impacts of wastewater discharge from Kalar city on Diyala-Sirwan river water quality, Iraq: pollution evaluation, health risks of heavy metals contamination. Appl Water Sci. 2021.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-021-01397-2>.
- 20. Son CT, Giang NTH, Thao TP, Nui NH, Lam NT, Cong VH. Assessment of Cau River water quality assessment using a combination of water quality and pollution indices. J Water Supply Res Technol AQUA. 2020;69(2):160–72. [https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2020.122.](https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2020.122)
- 21. Bostanmaneshrad F, Partani S, Noori R, Nachtnebel HP, Berndtsson R, Adamowski JF. Relationship between water quality and macro-scale parameters (land use, erosion, geology, and population density) in the Siminehrood River Basin. Sci Total Environ. 2018;639:1588–600. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.244.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.244)
- 22. Giao NT. Evaluating surface water quality using multivariate statistical methods: a case study in a province of the Mekong Delta Vietnam. Int J Environ Sci Dev. 2022;13(6):209–15. [https://doi.org/10.18178/ijesd.2022.13.6.1395.](https://doi.org/10.18178/ijesd.2022.13.6.1395)
- 23. Giri A, Bharti VK, Kalia S, Kumar K, Raj T, Chaurasia OP. Utility of multivariate statistical analysis to identify factors contributing river water quality in two different seasons in cold-arid high-altitude region of Leh-Ladakh, India. Appl Water Sci. 2019. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-0902-3) [10.1007/s13201-019-0902-3.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-0902-3)
- 24. Islam MM, Lenz OK, Azad AK, Ara MH, Rahman M, Hassan N. Assessment of spatio-temporal variations in water quality of Shailmari River, Khulna (Bangladesh)—using multivariate statistical techniques. J Geosci Environ Protect. 2017;05(01):1–26.
- 25. Nguyen GT, Truong DH. Risks of surface water pollution in Southern Vietnam. Civil Eng J Iran. 2023;9(11):2725–35. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.28991/CEJ-2023-09-11-06) [10.28991/CEJ-2023-09-11-06.](https://doi.org/10.28991/CEJ-2023-09-11-06)

- 26. Wehrheim C, Lübken M, Stolpe H, Wichern M. Identifying key influences on surface water quality in freshwater areas of the Vietnamese Mekong Delta from 2018 to 2020. Water (Switzerland). 2023. [https://doi.org/10.3390/w15071295.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w15071295)
- 27. Tran VB, Ishidaira H, Nakamura T, Do TN, Nishida K. Estimation of nitrogen load with multi-pollution sources using the SWAT model: a case study in the Cau river basin in Northern Vietnam. J Water Environ Technol. 2017;15(3):106–19. [https://doi.org/10.2965/jwet.](https://doi.org/10.2965/jwet.16-052) [16-052](https://doi.org/10.2965/jwet.16-052).
- 28. Wang Q, Wu X, Zhao B, Qin J, Peng T. Combined multivariate statistical techniques, water pollution index (WPI) and daniel trend test methods to evaluate temporal and spatial variations and trends of water quality at Shanchong river in the Northwest Basin of lake Fuxian, China. PLoS ONE. 2015. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118590.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118590)
- 29. Whitehead PG, Jin L, Bussi G, Voepel HE, Darby SE, Vasilopoulos G, Manley R, Rodda H, Hutton C, Hackney C, Tri VPD, Hung NN. Water quality modelling of the Mekong River basin: climate change and socioeconomics drive flow and nutrient flux changes to the Mekong Delta. Sci Total Environ. 2019;673:218–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.315.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.315)
- 30. Mijares V, Gitau M, Johnson DR. A method for assessing and predicting water quality status for improved decision-making and management. Water Resour Manage. 2019;33:509–22.
- 31. Giao NT, Dan TH, Ni DV, Anh PK, Nhien HTH. Spatiotemporal variations in physicochemical and biological properties of surface water using statistical analyses in Vinh Long Province. Water. 2022;14:2200. [https://doi.org/10.3390/w14142200.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w14142200)
- 32. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam. TCVN 5999:1995 on water quality—sampling—guidance on sampling of waste water. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam; 1995.
- 33. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 5667–10:1992 on water quality—sampling—part 10: guidance on sampling of waste waters. International Organization for Standardization; 1992.
- 34. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam. TCVN 6663–3:2016 on water quality—sampling—part 3: preserving and processing water samples. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam; 2016.
- 35. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 23rd ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 2017.
- 36. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam. TCVN 6638:2000 on water quality—nitrogen determination—catalytic inorganicization after reduction with devarda alloy. Ministry of Science and Technology of Vietnam; 2008.
- 37. Thu LTH, Zeunert S, Lorenz M, Meon G. Multivariate statistical assessment of a polluted river under nitrification inhibition in the tropics. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2017;24:13845–62.
- 38. Shrestha S, Kazama F. Assessment of surface water quality using multivariate statistical techniques: a case study of the Fuji River basin. Japan Environ Model Softw. 2007;22(4):464–75.
- 39. Li M, Liu Z, Zhang M, Chen Y. A workflow for spatio-seasonal hydro-chemical analysis using multivariate statistical techniques. Water Res. 2021;188: 116550. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116550.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116550)
- 40. Chounlamany V, Tanchuling MA, Inoue T. Spatial and temporal variation of water quality of a segment of Marikina River using multivariate statistical methods. Water Sci Technol. 2017;76(6):1510–22. [https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.279.](https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.279)
- 41. Hoang MT, Nam NV, Thuy TV, Huyen MT. The study determined pollution load into Truong Giang River in Quang Nam Province. VNU J Sci Earth Environ Sci. 2018;34(4):71–9.
- 42. Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). The study on environment management for Ha Long Bay, final report, Volume I, II, III, IV, reserved at institute of environment and resource. Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA); 1999.
- 43. JIWA. Guiding the implementation of research on the master plan for construction of a drainage system in each basin. Japan Wastewater Treatment Works Associationl 2008.
- 44. Bang NV, Tuan NL. Calculation of pollutant load arising from main waste sources in Can Gio district up to 2025. Saigon Univ J. 2017;31(56):20–30.
- 45. Sinh LX, Nam LV, Dieu LV, Trang CTT, Hoa NTP, Thanh TD. Assessment of pollution load into Thi Nai Lagoon Viet Nam and prediction to 2025. Vietnam J Mar Sci Technol. 2015;15(2):165–75. [https://doi.org/10.15625/1859-3097/15/2/5896.](https://doi.org/10.15625/1859-3097/15/2/5896)
- 46. Department of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vinh Long Province. Report on results in 2021, and directions for 2022 of the Agriculture and Rural Development sector in Vinh Long Province; 2021
- 47. Padilla J, Castro L, Naz C. Evaluation of economy—environment interaction in the lingayen Gulf Basin: a partial area—based environmental accounting approach. DENR and USAID, Philippines. 1997.
- 48. San Diego-McGlone ML, Smith SV, Nicolas VF. Stoichiometric interpretations of C:N: P ratios in organic waste materials. Mar Pollut Bull. 2000;40(4):325–30.
- 49. Cong NC. Research on scientific basis to assess the ability of water sources to receive wastewater in service of wastewater discharge licensing. Summary report on Ministerial-level project, Ha Noi; 2000. 135.
- 50. Department of Natural Resources and Environment. Report on industrial wastewater fee collection activities in 2021 in Vinh Long Province; 2021.
- 51. Nguyen PQ, Le HY, Cong NV, Phu TQ. Variation of some water quality parameters in intensive cultured catfish (Pangasian- odon hypopthalmus) ponds. J Sci Can Tho Univ. 2014;34:128–36.
- 52. Giao NT. Surface water quality at the branches adjacent to Hau River in Can Tho city. J Agric Rural Dev. 2020;15:79–86.
- 53. Giao NT, Anh PK, Nhien HTH. Spatiotemporal analysis of surface water quality in Dong Thap Province, Vietnam using water quality index and statistical approaches. Water. 2021;13(3):336. [https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030336.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030336)
- 54. Giao NT, Cong NV, Nhien HTH. Using remote sensing and multivariate statistics in analyzing the relationship between land use pattern and water quality in Tien Giang Province, Vietnam. Water. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13081093>.
- 55. Giao NT. Spatial variations of surface water quality in Hau Giang province, Vietnam using multivariate statistical techniques. Environ Nat Resour J. 2020;18(4):400–10.
- 56. Ustaoğlu F, Tepe Y. Water quality and sediment contamination assessment of Pazarsuyu Stream, Turkey using multivariate statistical methods and pollution indicators. Int Soil Water Conserv Res. 2019;7(1):47–56.
- 57. Makuwa S, Tlou M, Fosso-Kankeu E, Green E. The effects of dry versus wet season on the performance of a wastewater treatment plant in North West Province South Africa. Water SA. 2022;48(1):40–9. [https://doi.org/10.17159/wsa/2022.v48.i1.3897.](https://doi.org/10.17159/wsa/2022.v48.i1.3897)

- 58. Phu H. Study on zoning of surface water quality according to developments in economic regions of Bac Lieu province. Vietnam J Hydro Meteorol. 2021;725:17–28.
- 59. Lien NTL, Phu TQ, Oanh DTH, Ut VN, Huy LQ. Water quality in the main and tributary rivers of the Hau River route. J Sci Can Tho Univ. 2016;43:68–79.
- 60. Liu CW, Lin KH, Kuo YM. Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a Blackfoot disease area in Taiwan. Sci Total Environ. 2003;313:77–89.
- 61. Ly K, Larsen H, Duyen NV. Lower Mekong Regional water quality monitoring report. Vientiane: Mekong River Commission; 2015.
- 62. Dauda AB, Ajadi AAS, Akinwole AO. Waste production in aquaculture: sources, components and managements in diferent culture systems. Aquacult Fish. 2019;4(3):81–8.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

