#### **RESEARCH**



# **Diferential Responses of Seed Yield and Yield Components to Nutrient Defciency Between Direct Sown and Transplanted Winter Oilseed Rape**

**Rihuan Cong1,2 · Yin Wang1,3 · Xiaokun Li1,2 · Tao Ren1,2 · Jianwei Lu1,2**

Received: 23 April 2019 / Accepted: 5 September 2019 / Published online: 16 September 2019 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

### **Abstract**

Both transplanting and direct-sowing are the dominated methods for establishing winter oilseed rape in China. It is important to understand crop performances and responses to nutrient defciency between transplanted oilseed rape (TOR) and direct sown oilseed rape (DOR). We estimated the efects of establishment methods (transplanting and direct-sowing) and nutrient deficiency (N, P, and K) on rapeseed yield, yield components, and nutrient uptake from 32 site-years field experiments. We found that DOR plants produced lower seed yield, dry matter, and harvest index than TOR plants. The population density in DOR was higher with poor individual growth as reflected by significantly reduced branches, pods, and seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, DOR plants were more sensitive to nutrient defciency and would lose more yield under nutrient omission conditions. TOR and DOR yields signifcantly correlated with all yield components except for 1000-seed weight. Pod number plant−1 showed the strongest direct effect on TOR yield. However, population density and pod number plant<sup>-1</sup> exhibited highest direct effect on DOR yield. The uptakes and harvest indexes of N and P were higher for TOR, while the DOR plots received higher K uptake and harvest index of K. DOR was more sensitive to nutrient defciency and its nutrient management should be paid more attention.

**Keywords** Oilseed rape · Establishment method · Nutrient efficiency · Yield components · Nutrient uptake

### **Abbreviations**

- DOR Direct sown winter oilseed rape
- TOR Transplanted winter oilseed rape
- DHI Dry matter harvest index
- YRB Yangtze River Basin

 $\boxtimes$  Jianwei Lu lunm@mail.hzau.edu.cn

- <sup>1</sup> College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China
- <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Arable Land Conservation (Middle and Lower Reaches of Yangtze River), Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Afairs, Wuhan 430070, China
- <sup>3</sup> College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China

# **Introduction**

Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) planting areas are expanding very fast, especially in regions with moderate climatic conditions. The total production has been almost doubled in the world over the last 15 years and nowadays it has reached approximately 69 million Mg (FAO [2017](#page-14-0)). This oil crop is primarily grown because of both, high quality oil that meets the criteria of the most demanding nutritionists, and protein that is used as a feed pellet for livestock species. In addition, rapeseed is also used to produce biodiesel, oleochemical and other industrial products since recently (Lu [2010](#page-14-1)). In China, oilseed rape is grown on 8.0 million hectares and 15.3 million Mg rapeseed were obtained in 2016, accounting for approximately 23% of global planting areas and 22% of the rapeseed production (FAO [2017\)](#page-14-0). However, about 60% of rapeseed oil have to be imported from other countries (CAY [2013](#page-14-2)). This gives China substantial infuence on the global production and consumption of rapeseed. Hence, it is important to improve oilseed rape production in China for increasing edible oil supply and ensuring food security.

Yangtze River Basin (YRB) is a major winter oilseed rape cultivation belt in China, contributing over 85% of China's rapeseed production (CAY [2013\)](#page-14-2). Presently, transplanted rapeseed is generally planted in Hilly and mountainous areas and/or at higher altitudes, while direct-seeded rapeseed is planted in large areas in the plain due to the shortage of labor. In the transplanting method, oilseed rape seedlings are frstly raised in the seedbed and subsequently selected for establishing in the main-feld about 35 days later. This method was originally used to reduce the crop growth duration in main-feld, which allows implementing multiple cropping systems (two or three crops per year) on the limited cropland to increase total crop production (Wang et al. [2011](#page-14-3)). Moreover, it is conducive to efectively use the solar and hot resources during the shifts of rotation, breed strong seedlings in a well-tended seedbed, and therefore produce a high and stable yield (Wang et al. [2011](#page-14-3); Xin and Tong [1986](#page-14-4)). However, transplantation is a labor-intensive cultivation practice that requires a signifcant amount of agricultural labor. Hence, transplanted oilseed rape (TOR) is not often cost-efective for growers (Zhang et al. [2010](#page-14-5)). Compared with transplantation, direct-sowing method avoids the concentration of labor use in breeding and pulling seedlings in the seedbed, encourages timely and easy planting with limited labor, and greatly reduces total input costs. Direct sown oilseed rape (DOR) has been widely adopted throughout South China in recent years, especially in regions with double cropping systems due to its longer main-feld duration, in which oilseed rape is always grown with rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) or cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in 1 year (Li et al. [2012](#page-14-6); Wang et al. [2013\)](#page-14-7). The sowing dates of DOR are determined by the harvest time of previous crop, which generally resulting in a shorter growth duration than TOR (Wang et al. [2011\)](#page-14-3). In addition, seasonal drought and low temperature often occur during the winter season in YRB, causing negative infuences on the growth of late-sowing oilseed rape. To mitigate the environmental stresses and ensure an enough population density at maturity for high yield, a large amount of rapeseeds were generally sown by growers when planting DOR (Zhang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-8). The sowing rate of DOR is usually set at 3.5–5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (i.e., 100–140 seeds  $m^{-2}$ ), while the planting density of TOR is quite low (e.g., 10–13 plants m<sup>-2</sup>) due to the high cost of labor (Wang et al. [2011](#page-14-3)).

Our previous studies have indicated that the contrasting crop structure and individual plant morphology between TOR and DOR, because of the altered growth duration and plant density (Zou et al., [2008](#page-15-0); Wang et al. [2011,](#page-14-3) [2012a,](#page-14-9) [b](#page-14-10)). TOR plants show robust individual growth with more branches and pods, greater resistance to environmental stress, and higher yield level compared with DOR plants. However, the diferences in yield components between TOR and DOR are still poorly understood, and their respective critical factors that afect yield are also not clear. Presently, similarly to winter oilseed rape in China, transplanting and direct-sowing methods also coexist simultaneously in many regions and countries for establishing crops such as rice (Liu et al. [2014;](#page-14-11) Farooq et al. [2011](#page-14-12); Singh et al. [2011\)](#page-14-13), maize (*Zea mays* L.) (Biswas et al. [2009](#page-14-14); Fanadzo et al. [2009](#page-14-15)), and cotton (Dong et al. [2005](#page-14-16); Rajakumar et al. [2010](#page-14-17)). Nevertheless, most studies mainly focused on comparing crop yield, agronomic performance, weed control, water management, and economic returns between transplanting and direct-sowing methods in these crops production (Farooq et al. [2011](#page-14-12); Singh et al. [2011;](#page-14-13) Rajakumar et al. [2010](#page-14-17); Fanadzo et al. [2009](#page-14-15)). It appears that the fertilizer application response and nutrient management received less attention when considering and comparing the crop establishment methods. Some researchers have reported that both growth duration and plant density afect nutrient uptake of crop plants (Ciampitti et al. [2013](#page-14-18); Hocking and Stapper [2001](#page-14-19)). It is speculated that crop nutrient uptake and corresponding nutrient management strategy might be diferent between transplanting and direct-sowing methods. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) are the three essential macronutrients for oilseed rape, playing important roles in plants growth and yield formation (Lu [2010;](#page-14-1) Wang et al. [2013](#page-14-7); Zou et al. [2009](#page-15-1)). Therefore, it is necessary to compare the crop responses to N, P, and K deficiencies between TOR and DOR for understanding the diferences in their nutrient management practices.

The objectives of this study were (i) to compare crop performances and their responses to nutrient defciency between TOR and DOR, including seed yield, yield components, dry matter production, nutrient uptake, and nutrient efficiency, (ii) to investigate the efects of yield components on seed yield between TOR and DOR by using correlation and path analysis, and (iii) to estimate the requirements of N, P, and K between TOR and DOR based on the relationships between seed yield and nutrients uptake. These results could give suggestions for increasing TOR and DOR yields through improving their critical yield components, and also provide the basis for their respective nutrient management strategies.

# **Materials and Methods**

### **Description of the Study Area**

On-farm experiments were conducted at 18 sites that located in six provinces (Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, and Zhejiang) of the YRB (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), during three winter oilseed rape cropping seasons between 2009 and 2012. The oilseed rape cultivation area is 4.10 million ha and rapeseed production is 7.31 million Mg in these six provinces, accounting for 55.9% and 54.4% of the total cultivation area and production in China, respectively (CAY



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Locations of 18 experimental sites for testing seed yield and yield components responses to nutrient defciency between direct sown and transplanted winter oilseed rape in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012

[2013\)](#page-14-2). The climate is subtropical in the study region, with a mean annual temperature of 14.3–17.5 °C, mean annual precipitation of 1160–1750 mm (25–40% of the precipitation occur during the oilseed rape cropping season), mean annual sunshine hours of 1100–2050 h. The precipitation and temperature during the winter oilseed rape seasons at Wuhan, Hubei are shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-1). The temperatures during the three seasons were similar and close to the 30-year average, except for signifcantly lower temperature in January 2011. The precipitation was relatively less in 2010/2011 and in the early stages of 2011/2012. The experimental years and initial soil properties (0–20 cm soil layer) of the 18 experimental sites are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The harvest time was close between DOR and TOR at the same site. Thus, the growth durations of DOR plants were generally shorter in this study.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Monthly precipitation and monthly mean temperature during the winter oilseed rape growing season in 2009/2010, 2010/2011, and 2011/2012 at Wuhan, Hubei, compared with the 30-year average (1980–2010)

Province	Site	Year	Soil texture	pH	Organic C $(g \text{ kg}^{-1})$	Total N $(g \text{ kg}^{-1})$	Olsen-P $(mg kg^{-1})$	$NH4OAc-K$ $(mg kg^{-1})$	Hotwater- soluble B $(mg kg^{-1})$
Anhui	Chaohu	2009/2010	Loam	7.00	24.7	1.86	26.26	209.31	0.62
	Chizhou	2009/2010	Loam	5.96	9.2	1.33	6.60	44.83	0.28
	Anging	2010/2011	Silt loam	5.45	15.3	1.14	13.93	29.88	0.19
Hubei	Jingmen	2009/2010	Loam	6.43	14.2	1.29	19.66	144.52	0.44
	Jingzhou	2009/2010	Loam	6.12	14.4	1.24	8.03	62.68	0.49
	Chibi	2010/2011	Loam	6.15	13.7	1.18	17.72	94.04	0.69
	Tianmen	2010/2011	Loam	6.17	11.9	0.88	8.49	100.31	0.23
	Huangmei	2011/2012	Sand	4.82	21.0	2.29	13.24	59.78	0.66
	Shayang	2011/2012	Loam	5.92	13.7	1.39	11.49	136.28	0.74
Hunan	Nanxian	2009/2010	Silt loam	7.74	26.7	2.26	25.92	75.22	0.31
	Yuanjiang	2009/2010	Loam	7.60	27.1	2.72	15.32	74.74	0.18
Jiangsu	Jiangyan	2009/2010	Silt loam	8.03	7.2	0.81	9.83	39.85	0.39
	Jurong	2010/2011	Loam	6.37	15.1	1.61	7.17	94.67	0.49
Jiangxi	Taihe	2009/2010	Clay loam	4.90	17.7	1.32	16.63	89.69	0.26
	Jinxian	2010/2011	Clay loam	4.69	24.1	2.36	30.73	49.82	0.23
Zhejiang	Changxing	2009/2010	Loam	5.69	22.0	2.21	10.03	54.80	0.60
	Jinhua	2009/2010	Loam	5.86	18.8	0.82	56.77	189.38	0.47
	Shaoxing	2010/2011	Loam	5.60	16.9	1.64	23.38	76.27	0.87
$Mean \pm SD$				$6.1 \pm 1.0$	$17.4 \pm 5.8$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	$17.8 \pm 12.1$	$90.3 \pm 50.1$	$0.45 \pm 0.21$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Experimental years and initial soil properties (0–20 cm soil layer) of the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012

### **Experimental Details**

At each site in this study, the experiment was a split-plot factorial design using a randomized complete block arrangement with three replicates. Each plot size was  $30 \text{ m}^2$ . The main plots were the two establishment methods, i.e., direct sowing and transplanting. The split plots were the five fertilization treatments, i.e. control (CK), balanced NPK fertilizers application (NPK), N omission (−N), P omission (−P), and K omission ( $-K$ ). Each plot size was 30 m<sup>2</sup>. In the NPK plot, 180 kg N, 39.3 kg P, 100 kg K, and 1.8 kg B per ha were applied during the oilseed rape growing season according to the recommended balanced fertilization practices for winter oilseed rape in YRB. In the nutrient omission plots, i.e., −N, −P, and −K treatments, the corresponding major element was omitted based on the NPK treatment.

The methods of crop establishment and fertilizers application followed the current recommended practices in the YRB (Lu [2010;](#page-14-1) Wang et al. [2011\)](#page-14-3). The established methods and N fertilizer application splits were diferent between DOR and TOR. For the DOR plots, 4.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> rapeseeds were broadcast sown uniformly on the surface of tilled felds in early or middle October across all the experimental sites and years. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied in four splits, i.e., 40% prior to sowing, 30% at the seedling stage, 15% at the over-wintering stage, and 15% at the initiation of stem elongation. In case of the TOR plots, rapeseeds were frst sown in the prepared seedbeds from late September to early October across the diferent experimental sites and years. The soils with high fertility were used in seedbeds, 20 kg N and 5 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied as foundational fertilizer supply. During the seedbed preparation, weed, pest, and disease were well controlled to produce strong seedlings. Seedlings, approximately 35 days old with 5–6 leaves, were transplanted into the tilled field with a unified density of 11.3 plants  $m^{-2}$ . Nitrogen fertilizer was applied in three splits during the main-feld duration: 60% prior to transplanting, 20% at the over-wintering stage, and 20% at the initiation of stem elongation. The seedlings have accumulated some dry matter (c. 2–4 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and nutrient (c. 100–170 mg N, 7.5–13.0 mg P, and 85–150 mg K per plant) before transplanting across the sites and years. In YRB, the seedlings in 1 ha of seedbed are usually used for 5–6 ha of main-feld. Moreover, a portion of the foundational fertilizer nutrient in seedbed cannot be brought into the main-feld with transplanted seedlings, because of the soil residual or the unpredictable loss. Thus, the foundational nutrient supply during the seedbed preparation was not included in the total nutrient input for TOR in this study.

All the P, K, and B fertilizers were applied as the basal fertilizers. The fertilizer sources were urea (46.4% N), single superphosphate (5% P), muriate of potash (50% K), and borax (12% B). The Huayouza 9, a predominant hybrid oilseed rape variety with a high yield and extensive adaptability, was used in all the experiments. At each site, the experimental feld was separated from the farmers' lands by a 1-m-wide plant-free border, and the sub-plot was separated from the adjacent plots by a 0.5-m-wide border. Paddy rice was the preceding crop across all the experimental sites. Field managements such as irrigation, herbicide application, pest control, and disease control were performed following local methods. No obvious weed, pest, and disease stress were observed during oilseed rape cropping season across the sites and years.

#### **Sampling and Measurement**

Initial soil samples were obtained at depths of 0–20 cm at 15 random points as a mixed sample when each experiment was established. All these soils were air-dried at room temperature and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve for the measurements of pH (1:2.5 soil/water), organic C (dichromate oxidation method), total N (Kjeldahl acid-digestion method), Olsen-P, NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-K, and hotwater-soluble B (Sparks et al. [1996](#page-14-20)).

Seed yield was determined from a  $12 \text{ m}^2$  harvest area in the center of each plot with a moisture content of 8 to 12% across all the sites and years, and the yields were expressed on a dry matter basis. The yield components were measured in each experiment before harvesting, including plant density, branch number plant−1, pod number plant−1, pod number on main raceme, seed number pod<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000-seed weight. In addition, a detailed investigation of individual yield components on a branch-by-branch basis was conducted at Huangmei because of its central location in the study area and the typical oilseed rape responses to establishment methods and nutrient defciency. At Huangmei site, 20 plants were selected from each plot before harvesting and air dried in an unheated greenhouse. These plants were later dissected on a branch-by-branch basis from top to bottom, e.g., Main raceme (MR), Branch 1 (B1), Branch 2 (B2)… Branch n (Bn) (Islam and Evans [1994](#page-14-21)). The pods in each branch were counted, crushed by hand, and cleaned in an aspirator to separate the seeds, which were then counted and weighed.

When the winter oilseed rape was mature, the aboveground portions of six winter oilseed rape plants were randomly selected as the samples for each treatment. The plants were separated into seed and shoot (i.e., aboveground biomass, except for the seed) to analyze the N, P and K uptake of the oilseed rape. The plant samples were oven dried at 65 °C, ground to pass a 1 mm sieve, and digested separately with  $H_2SO_4-H_2O_2$ . The content of N, P, and K were measured using the Kjeldahl method (Horwitz and Latimer Jr [1970\)](#page-14-22), vanadium molybdate yellow colorimetric method and flame emission method (Walinga et al. [1995](#page-14-23)), respectively. Nutrient harvest index (NHI) was defned as the percentage of seed nutrient uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) account for total shoot nutrient uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at maturity.

#### **Data analysis**

In each experiment, agronomy efficiency (AE, kg kg<sup>-1</sup>), partial factor productivity (PFP, kg  $kg^{-1}$ ), fertilizer contribution rate (FCR), fertilizer recovery efficiency (RE,  $%$ ) were calculated as (taking N for example)

$$
AE_N(kg \, kg^{-1}) = (Y_{NPK} - Y_{-N})/F_N \tag{1}
$$

$$
PFPN(kg kg-1) = YNPK/FN
$$
\n(2)

$$
\text{FCR}_N(\%) = \left(Y_{\text{NPK}} - Y_{-N}\right) \times 100 / Y_{\text{NPK}} \tag{3}
$$

$$
RE_N(\%) = (U_{NPK} - U_{-N}) \times 100/F_N
$$
 (4)

where  $Y_{-N}$  is the seed yield of the -N plot (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $Y_{NPK}$  is the seed yield of the NPK plot (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), U<sub>-N</sub> is the N uptake of the -N plot (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), U<sub>NPK</sub> is the N uptake of the NPK plot (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), and  $F_N$  is the N fertilizer application rate  $(kg N ha^{-1})$ .

Statistical analysis focused on the effects of establishment method, fertilization treatment, and their interactions on seed yield and yield components. Thus, we used experimental sites as replicates in this study (Cui et al. [2008](#page-14-24)). All the data were subjected to a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) after testing the normality and homogeneity. Multiple comparisons among treatments were performed using Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05 probability level. Descriptive statistical analysis was performed for initial soil properties and yield components parameters to evaluate the range of variability and standard deviation (S.D.) across all the experimental sites and years. Simple correlation analysis and path analysis were used to estimate the relationships between seed yield and yield components. All these analyses were performed by using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

### **Results**

#### **Rapeseed Yield**

The ANOVA results (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0) showed that both establishment methods and fertilization treatments had significant effects  $(P < 0.01)$  on seed yields across all the experimental sites and years. However, there was no significant interaction effects between the establishment methods and fertilization. The TOR plants produced significantly the higher seed yields than DOR plants. In DOR plots, on average, seed yield was 768 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 56–1587 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in CK treatment, 2208 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range





<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Seed yields as afected by NPK fertilization between direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012. The upper and lower whisker caps outside each box indicate 10th and 90th percentiles, the upper and lower limits of each box represent

25th and 75th percentiles, and the horizontal solid and dashed lines inside the box indicate the median and mean values, respectively. *E* Establishment method, *F* fertilization treatment, *Ns* non-signifcant; \*\**P*≤0.01. Boxes with diferent letters indicate the diferences are significant among treatments ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The same as below

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 2** Yield components as afected by N, P, and K fertilizers application between direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012

Factor	Plant density (plant $m^{-2}$ )	$(\text{plant}^{-1})$	Branch number Total pod num- ber (plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Pod number on main raceme ( $plan-1$ )	Seed number $(pod^{-1})$	1000-seed weight (g)	
Establishment method (E)							
<b>DOR</b>	$28.1 \pm 6.7$	$2.7 \pm 1.6$	$83 + 30$	$51.8 \pm 12.9$ b	$19.6 \pm 2.0 b$	$3.09 \pm 0.30$	
<b>TOR</b>	$11.1 \pm 0.2$	$7.6 \pm 3.3$	$263 \pm 105$	$85.5 \pm 13.9$ a	$21.2 \pm 2.1$ a	$3.01 \pm 0.30$	
Fertilization treatment (F)							
<b>CK</b>	$16.7 \pm 6.7$	$3.0 \pm 2.2$	$110 + 68$	$58.4 \pm 21.2$ c	$19.5 \pm 2.2 b$	$3.07 \pm 0.31$ ab	
<b>NPK</b>	$22.3 \pm 12.0$	$7.2 \pm 3.7$	$230 \pm 135$	$77.7 \pm 20.5$ a	$21.4 \pm 2.1$ a	$3.06 \pm 0.29$ ab	
$-N$	$17.8 \pm 7.8$	$3.5 \pm 2.3$	$127 + 75$	$63.1 \pm 20.7$ c	$19.6 \pm 2.0 b$	$3.12 \pm 0.30$ a	
$\mbox{-} {\bf P}$	$19.6 \pm 9.4$	$5.6 \pm 3.7$	$185 \pm 124$	$70.0 \pm 19.9 b$	$20.6 \pm 2.2 a$	$2.97 \pm 0.31$ c	
$-K$	$21.6 \pm 11.2$	$6.6 \pm 3.6$	$211 \pm 130$	$74.3 \pm 20.1$ ab	$21.0 \pm 2.1$ a	$3.05 \pm 0.29$ b	
Establishment method $\times$ Fertilization treatment ( $E \times F$ )							
DOR CK	$22.4 \pm 4.8$ c	$1.4 \pm 0.8$ f	$55 \pm 20$ f	$41.8 \pm 13.0$	$18.7 \pm 1.9$	$3.11 \pm 0.31$	
<b>DOR NPK</b>	$33.4 \pm 5.8$ a	$4.1 \pm 1.3$ d	$108 \pm 20$ d	$59.7 \pm 7.4$	$20.6 \pm 1.9$	$3.10 \pm 0.30$	
DOR-N	$24.5 \pm 5.3$ c	$1.7 \pm 1.0$ f	$64 \pm 23$ f	$47.1 \pm 15.4$	$18.9 \pm 1.9$	$3.16 \pm 0.30$	
DOR-P	$28.0 \pm 5.6$ b	$3.0 \pm 1.5$ e	$87 + 24e$	$53.2 \pm 8.4$	$19.7 \pm 1.8$	$3.01 \pm 0.31$	
DOR-K	$31.9 \pm 5.4$ a	$3.6 \pm 1.4$ de	$99 \pm 23$ de	$57.2 \pm 8.2$	$20.1 \pm 1.9$	$3.08 \pm 0.30$	
TOR CK	$11.0 \pm 0.3$ d	$4.6 \pm 1.8$ cd	$166 \pm 52$ c	$74.9 \pm 13.4$	$20.4 \pm 2.1$	$3.02 \pm 0.31$	
<b>TOR NPK</b>	$11.2 \pm 0.1$ d	$10.3 \pm 2.4$ a	$351 \pm 77$ a	$95.7 \pm 11.3$	$22.1 \pm 2.0$	$3.01 \pm 0.28$	
TOR-N	$11.0 \pm 0.2$ d	$5.3 \pm 1.8$ c	$190 \pm 50$ c	$79.0 \pm 10.3$	$20.3 \pm 2.0$	$3.07 \pm 0.30$	
TOR-P	$11.1 \pm 0.2 d$	$8.2 \pm 3.3$ b	$282 \pm 104$ b	$86.7 \pm 12.2$	$21.5 \pm 2.1$	$2.94 \pm 0.31$	
TOR-K	$11.2 \pm 0.1$ d	$9.5 \pm 2.6$ a	$324 \pm 87$ a	$91.4 \pm 12.0$	$21.8 \pm 2.1$	$3.03 \pm 0.30$	
	Analysis of variance (ANOVA)						
E	$**$	**	$***$	**	$***$	<b>Ns</b>	
$\mathbf{F}$	$***$	$**$	$***$	$\ast\ast$	$***$	*	
$E \times F$	$***$	$**$	$***$	<b>Ns</b>	<b>Ns</b>	<b>Ns</b>	

Different letters indicate a significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) among different treatments

*Ns* non-signifcant

\**P*≤0.05; \*\**P*≤0.01. The same as below

697–3133 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in NPK treatment, 959 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 56–2033 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in –N treatment, 1455 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 570–2640 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in –P treatment, and 1934 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 601–3003 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in –K treatment. In TOR plots, the mean seed yields in these fertilization treatments were 1065 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 222–1944 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 2531 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 1107–3816 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ), 1241 kg  $ha^{-1}$  (range 485–2305 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 2012 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 670–3707 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 2305 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 1022–3849 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. The yield gaps between DOR and TOR plots were 297–557 kg ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> for the fertilization treatments, with a mean value of 366 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Yield Components**

Establishment methods signifcantly afected all the yield components except for 1000-seed weight, whilst each yield component parameter varied among different fertilization treatments (Table [2\)](#page-5-1). Signifcant establishment  $method \times$  nutrient interactions were observed on plant density, branch number, and total pod number, but not on pod number on the main raceme, seed number pod−1, and

1000-seed weight. In each fertilization treatment, population density of DOR plants was signifcantly higher than that of TOR plants. Across the five fertilization treatments, the mean density was 28.1 plants  $m^{-2}$  in the DOR plots, which was almost 2.5 times of that in the TOR plots  $(11.1)$ plants m−2). However, individual yield components were significantly poor for DOR plants compared with TOR plants. Across all the fertilization treatments, on average, an individual plant in the DOR plots produced 4.8 branches, 180 pods, and 1.6 seeds pod−1 fewer than that in the TOR plots. The yield components performance of individual DOR plant in the NPK treatment was even worse than that of TOR plant in the CK or –N treatments. The mean contribution rate of pod on the main raceme to the total pod number was 66.7% for DOR plants across all the fertilization treatments, which was considerably higher than that of TOR plants (36.5%). Both in DOR and TOR plots, the best individual yield components were observed in the NPK treatment, and followed by –K treatment. Compared with the balanced NPK fertilization, N deficiency significantly limited all the yield components except for 1000-seed weight, while P deficiency showed significant negative effects on



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Individual yield components on a branch-by-branch basis as afected by NPK fertilization between direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) at Huangmei in 2011–2012. *MR* main raceme, *B* lateral branch. Error bars show  $\pm$  SE of the mean



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Correlations between seed yield and yield components for direct sown winter oilseed rape as afected by NPK fertilization across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012. NS, non-signifcant; \*\**P*≤0.01

all the yield components but not on seed number  $pod^{-1}$ . In the TOR plots, no diference was observed in plant density among the fertilization treatments. By contrast, in the DOR plots, plant density varied signifcantly in diferent fertilization treatments. Compared with the NPK treatment, the largest density reductions were observed in the CK and –N treatments, followed by –P treatment. These results indicated that DOR plants had contrasting yield components compared with TOR plants, its limited individual growth and reduced plant density together resulted in the signifcantly decreased yields under the nutrient defciency treatments.

# **Yield Components on a Branch‑by‑Branch Basis**

Individual yield components analysis on a branch-by-branch basis between TOR and DOR are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) The distribution trends of pod number, seed number pod<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000-seed weight with branch positions were similar among diferent establishment methods and fertilization treatments. Main raceme had considerably more pods and seeds  $pod^{-1}$ 

than lateral branches, and its seed weight was also relatively higher. The pod number, seed number  $pod^{-1}$ , and seed weight on the lower order branches were shorter than those on the high and middle order branches, and they showed obviously decreased trends with the increasing branch positions. Compared with TOR plant, an individual DOR plant produced obviously fewer branches, and pods number and seeds number  $pod^{-1}$  on the same branch position were also relatively lower. Regarding 1000-seed weight, it was comparable on the same branch position between TOR and DOR plants. Compared with NPK treatment, nutrient defciency reduced pods number, seeds number pod−1, and seed weight on almost all the branches for the DOR and TOR plots. The responses of yield components to N, P, and K defciencies on a branch-by-branch basis were consistent with those on a plant basis. Moreover, it was clear that nutrient defciency showed greater negative infuences on lateral branches than main raceme, especially on the lower order branches. The branch-wise analysis results indicated that the poor individual yield components in the DOR plots were not only





<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Correlations between seed yield and yield components for transplanted winter oilseed rape as afected by NPK fertilization across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012. \**P*≤0.05; \*\**P*≤0.01

caused by the fewer branches, but also by the limited pod growth on each branch.

# **Correlations Between Seed Yield and Yield Components**

Across the fertilization treatments and experimental sites, positive and signifcant correlations were observed between seed yield and all the yield components except for 1000-seed weight in the DOR plots (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). The strongest correlation was found between DOR yield and plant density, and then followed by branch number and total pod number. The correlations were relatively weaker between DOR yield and pod number on main raceme and seed number pod−1. In the TOR plots, seed yield showed positive and signifcant correlations with all the yield components across the fertilization treatments and experimental sites (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-0). The strongest correlations were determined between TOR yields and total pod number and branch number, whilst moderate and weak correlations were found between TOR yields and pod number on main raceme, plant density, and seed number pod−1. The correlation between TOR yields and 1000-seed weight was very low. Our results indicated that the correlations between seed yield and yield components varied greatly under the diferent establishment methods.

# **Path Analysis Between Seed Yield and Yield Components**

Path analysis was used to estimate the direct and indirect efects of yield components on seed yield between TOR and DOR plants (Table [3](#page-9-0)). The determination coefficients of path analysis exceeded 0.95 in TOR and DOR plots, suggesting that yield component parameters involved in this study have essentially explained the variability in seed yield. In the TOR plots, total pod number showed the strongest positive direct effect on seed yield, while its indirect effects through other parameters were slight. The direct efects of branch number, seed number pod<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000-seed weight on TOR yields were positive but relatively low, while the direct

Parameter		Direct effect Indirect effect via							
				Plant density Branch number Total pod number Pod number	on main raceme	Seed number	1000-seed weight		
DOR <sup>a</sup>									
Plant density	0.4530		0.0654	0.4074	$-0.0640$	0.0523	0.0145		
Branch number	0.0825	0.3594		0.5027	$-0.0763$	0.0314	0.0026		
Total pod number	0.5327	0.3465	0.0778		$-0.0939$	0.0345	$-0.0016$		
Pod number on main raceme	$-0.1062$	0.2729	0.0593	0.4710		0.0307	0.0013		
Seed number	0.0776	0.3052	0.0334	0.2373	$-0.0421$		0.0081		
1000-seed weight	0.0728	0.0900	0.0030	$-0.0114$	$-0.0019$	0.0086			
TOR									
Plant density	$-0.1087$		0.0990	0.5775	$-0.0302$	0.1081	0.0800		
Branch number	0.1409	$-0.0763$		0.8156	$-0.0353$	0.0993	0.0139		
Total pod number	0.8352	$-0.0751$	0.1376		$-0.0375$	0.0901	0.0098		
Pod number on main raceme	$-0.0444$	$-0.0741$	0.1121	0.7056		0.0902	$-0.0022$		
Seed number	0.1620	$-0.0725$	0.0864	0.4646	$-0.0247$		0.0674		
1000-seed weight	0.1833	$-0.0475$	0.0107	0.0448	0.0005	0.0596			

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 3** Path analysis between seed yield and yield components for direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012

<sup>a</sup>Determination coefficient:  $R^2$  = 0.951 for DOR plants,  $R^2$  = 0.978 for TOR plants

efects of plant density and pod number on main raceme were negative. In could be found that all the indirect efects through plant density on TOR yields were negative, while the indirect efects of plant density, branch number, pod number on main raceme, and seed number pod<sup>-1</sup> through total pod number were positive and relatively strong. In the DOR plots, both total pod number and plant density showed positive and strong direct efects on seed yield, while the direct effects of other parameters were relatively weak. All the indirect efects of other parameters on DOR yields were positive and/or moderate through the total pod number and plant density. The results indicated that, besides total pod number, plant density was also an important factor for determining DOR yield. Hence, increasing TOR yield required to breed stronger individual plant with more pods, while increasing TOR yield needed to increase total pods number based on a higher population density.

#### **Nutrients Content and Uptake**

Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizer application signifcantly afected nutrients content of diferent parts of winter rapeseed (Table [4\)](#page-10-0). There were also diferences in nutrient contents in diferent parts of winter rape plants between the two planting methods. The N content in the stem of DOR winter rape was generally lower than that of

TOR winter rape. As compared with TOR, DOR had lower P content in the pod wall but higher P content in seed. In addition, the K content in seeds of DOR was signifcantly higher than that of TOR plants in all fertilization treatments. The results of variance analysis showed that there was no signifcant interaction between fertilization and planting methods on N, P and K contents in diferent parts of winter rape plants.

Nutrient uptake in winter rapeseed was significantly afected by fertilization and planting methods. However, the interaction between fertilization and planting methods was not significant (Fig. [7\)](#page-11-0). Under the two planting methods, NPK treatment had the highest nutrient uptake in winter rape at maturity stage. Nutrients uptake in NPK treatment of DOR were 108.1 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 29.4–184.0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), 20.3 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 7.7–37.4 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 153.9 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 36.9–240.4 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. For the TOR plants, averaged nutrient uptake under NPK treatment was 119.3 kg N ha−1 (range 22.4–275.8 kg N ha−1), 20.9 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 4.5–47.9 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 158.0 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> (range 34.0–349.5 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>). Nutrient uptake of DOR was lower than that of TOR plants. Among diferent fertilization treatments, nutrient uptake gaps were  $11.0-21.0$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 0.6–2.2 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 2.4–17.5 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> between the DOR and TOR plants.





Different letters indicate a significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) among different fertilization treatments. Superscript \* and \*\* indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  and  $P \le 0.01$  between the direct-sown and tran Diferent letters indicate a signifcant diference (*P* ≤ 0.05) among diferent fertilization treatments. Superscript \* and \*\* indicate signifcant diference at *P* ≤ 0.05 and *P* ≤ 0.01 between the direct-sown and transplanted oilseed rape, respectively. The same as below

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Ns, non-signifcant

Ns, non-significant<br>\* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ \**P* ≤ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Nutrients uptake between direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012. NS, non-signifcant; \**P*≤0.05; \*\**P*≤0.01

### **Nutrient Efficiencies**

Table [5](#page-12-0) showed that fertilization had significant effects on N, P and K nutrient harvest indices (i.e., NNHI, PNHI, and KNHI) of DOR and TOR winter rapeseed. Apparently, treatment with nutrient emission had the highest NHI among the treatments. Planting patterns signifcantly afected PNHI and KNHI, but had no efect on NNHI. Under all fertilization treatments, the PNHI and KNHI of DOR were higher than those of TOR plants. The results showed that the distribution of P and K in DOR was more than that in TOR.

The agronomy efficiency (AE), partial productivity (PFP), fertilizer contribution rate (FCR) and recovery efficiency (RE) of DOR and TOR winter rapeseed was presented in Table [6](#page-12-1). The average AE of N, P and K fertilizers for DOR were 6.2 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> N, 16.7 kg/kg P and 2.6 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> K, and 6.1 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> N, 12.0 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> P and 2.7 kg kg<sup>-1</sup> K for TOR, respectively.  $AE<sub>p</sub>$  in DOR was significantly higher than TOR, which indicated that the application of P fertilizer had a better <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Table 5** Efects of N, P, and K fertilizers application on nutrient harvest index at maturity for direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012



Different letters indicate a significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) among different fertilization treatments. Superscript \* and \*\* indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  and  $P \le 0.01$  between the direct-sown and transplanted oilseed rape, respectively. The same as below

Ns, non-signifcant \**P* ≤ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01

efect on rapeseed yield improvement in DOR. The FCR values of N, P and K were 55.0%, 31.0% and 13.4% in DOR, and 47.1%, 22.8% and 12.4% in TOR, respectively. The higher FCR of N and P in DOR indicated that N and P fertilizer played a more important role in the rapeseed production. There was no signifcant diference in PFP and RE of N, P and K between the two planting modes.

### **Discussion**

In this study, yield levels of oilseed rape were signifcantly afected by experimental sites, which mainly caused by diferent regional environments, soil properties, or management practices. They were also diferent between years due to the variability in climate. Direct-sowing winter rapeseed is vulnerable to external environmental impact during seedling emergence and seedling growth (Liu et al. [2019](#page-14-25)). The decline of light and heat resources under late-seeding conditions was not conducive to the seedling growth of direct-sowing winter rapeseed, resulting in weak early plant growth and afecting later fowering (Liu et al. [2018](#page-14-26)). In this case, DOR plants lacks fne seedbed management and nutrient accumulation in the early stage, and is more vulnerable to nutrient defciency in feld growth, thus limiting the production.

Despite all this, TOR showed generally higher seed yields than DOR. This corroborated the trends observed in our previous studies (Wang et al. [2011](#page-14-3), [2013;](#page-14-7) Zou et al. [2009\)](#page-15-1). TOR plants produced more dry matter during the longer growth

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Table 6** Fertilizer efficiency for direct sown (DOR) and transplanted winter oilseed rape (TOR) across the 18 experimental sites in the Yangtze River Basin from 2009 to 2012



Different letters indicate a significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) among different fertilization treatments. Superscript \* indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  and  $P \le 0.01$  between the direct-sown and transplanted oilseed rape, respectively. The same as below

Ns, non-signifcant

 $*P \leq 0.05$ 

duration, along with a higher HI, therefore obtained a better yield performance. Based on the perspectives of yield components, TOR showed stable population densities and a stronger individual growth with more branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, pods plant−1, and seeds pod−1. In contrast, the high sowing rates in DOR plots lead to a weaker individual development (Wang et al. [2011](#page-14-3), [2013\)](#page-14-7), resulted in unstable population densities at maturity due to the plants loss during the growing season. Hence, DOR showed a generally lower seed yield, and its yield reduction was greater when nutrient was omitted. Our results revealed that DOR was more sensitive to nutrient defciency, suggesting that its nutrient management deserves more attention and further improvement.

Several studies estimated the relationships between oilseed rape yield and yield components by comparing diferent cultivars. Ozer et al. ([1999\)](#page-14-27) found that seed yield was significantly and positively correlated to pod number plant<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight. Ali et al. ([2003\)](#page-13-0) calculated a positive correlation between seed yield per plant and seed weight. Similarly, Marjanović-Jeromela et al. ([2008](#page-14-28)) reported that seed yield per plant showed positive and signifcant relationships with branch number plant<sup>-1</sup>, pod number plant<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000-seed weight. In our study, based on the measured data in diferent fertilization treatments across 18 sites, signifcant and positive correlations were observed between seed yield and all the yield components except for 1000-seed weight in both TOR and DOR plots. This means that oilseed rape yield could be improved through altering nutrient management practices for optimizing yield components. Seed weight is an inherent characteristic that mainly governed by genotypes (Diepenbrock [2000\)](#page-14-29), has less variability with fertilizer application, therefore showed a nonsignifcant correlation with seed yield when an unifed cultivar was used. The use of simple correlation analysis could not fully explain the relationships among yield characters (Marjanović-Jeromela et al. [2008](#page-14-28)). Thus, we performed path analysis to examine the impacts of each yield component on yield and to determine whether the critical factor for increasing yield was consistent between TOR and DOR. For TOR plants, pod number plant<sup>-1</sup> showed the strongest direct effect on seed yield. However, the strongest direct effect on DOR yield was estimated for plant density and followed by pod number plant<sup>-1</sup>. This suggested that different approaches should be adopted to improve seed yields of TOR and DOR.

Numerous researchers had investigated the responses of yield components to nutrient application for oilseed rape (Islam and Evans [1994;](#page-14-21) Ozer [2003](#page-14-30); Liu et al. [2012](#page-14-31); Hocking et al. [1997;](#page-14-32) Amanullah et al. [2011\)](#page-13-1). However, the respective efects of diferent nutrients were still not well understood. Our results indicated that N, P, and K played diferent roles on yield components. Nitrogen showed the strongest effects on plant density, branch number plant<sup>-1</sup>, pod number plant−1, and seed number pod−1; phosphorus not only afected above parameters but also has a signifcant infuence on seed weight; and potassium only exhibited slight efects on the branch and pod numbers per plant. Furthermore, the branch-wise analysis pointed that nutrient defciency limited the pod and seed development on each branch, and greater negative infuences were observed on lateral branches than main raceme, especially for the lower order branches. Along with the results of correlation and path analysis, seed yield therefore could be increased by intentionally improving the critical yield components based on appropriate nutrient management practices. To increase TOR yield, it is important to breed a stronger plant with more pods. While the improvement in DOR yield required increasing pod number plant<sup>-1</sup> based on a sufficient population density at maturity.

# **Conclusions**

We investigated the effects of establishment methods (transplanting and direct-sowing) and nutrient defciency (N, P, and K) on crop performances of winter oilseed rape. The results showed that TOR produced 16.6% higher seed yield than DOR due to the higher dry matter production. Signifcant relationships were found between seed yield and all the yield components except for 1000-seed weight in both TOR and DOR plots. Pod number plant−1 showed the strongest direct effect on TOR yield, while the strongest direct effects on DOR yield were estimated for plant density and followed by pod number plant−1. TOR plants accumulated more N and P than DOR plants, while its K uptake was lower. DOR showed greater responses under nutrient omission conditions compared with TOR. Our results suggested that the nutrient requirement strategies should be altered between TOR and DOR, depending on their diferential approaches for the increasing yield and nutrient requirement.

**Acknowledgements** This study was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFD0200908), the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (CARS-12), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2662017JC010), and International Plant Nutrition Institute Co-operation Program (Hubei-35). We are grateful to Yanhong Lu, Yongchun Zhang, Lina Jiang, Fusheng Yuan, and Ji Wu for their excellent supports in conducting the on-farm experiments. There is no confict of interest in the manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Ali, N., Javidfar, F., Elmira, J., & Mirza, M. Y. (2003). Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed. *Pakistan Journal of Botany, 35*(2), 167–174.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Amanullah, Hassan, M., & Malhi, S. S. (2011). Seed yield and yield components response of rape (*B. napus*) versus mustard (*B. juncea*) to sulfur and potassium fertilizer application in northwest

Pakistan. *Journal of Plant Nutrition, 34*(8), 1164–1174. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2011.558159) [doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2011.558159.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2011.558159)

- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Biswas, M., Islam, N., Islam, S., & Ahmen, M. (2009). Seedling raising method for production of transplanted maize. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production, 4*(2), 6–13.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>CAY. (2013). *China agriculture yearbook*. Beijing: Chinese Agricultural Press.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Ciampitti, I. A., Camberato, J. J., Murrell, S. T., & Vyn, T. J. (2013). Maize nutrient accumulation and partitioning in response to plant density and nitrogen rate: I. *Macronutrients. Agronomy Journal, 105*(3), 783–795. [https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0467) [.0467.](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0467)
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Cui, Z., Zhang, F., Chen, X., Miao, Y., Li, J., Shi, L., et al. (2008). Onfarm evaluation of an in-season nitrogen management strategy based on soil Nmin test. *Field Crops Research, 105*(1–2), 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.07.008>.
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>Diepenbrock, W. (2000). Yield analysis of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.): a review. *Field Crops Research, 67*(1), 35–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4290\(00\)00082-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4290(00)00082-4)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Dong, H. Z., Li, W. J., Tang, W., Li, Z. H., & Zhang, D. M. (2005). Increased yield and revenue with a seedling transplanting system for hybrid seed production in Bt cotton. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 191*(2), 116–124. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2004.00130.x) [037X.2004.00130.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2004.00130.x)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Fanadzo, M., Chiduza, C., & Mnkeni, P. N. S. (2009). Comparative response of direct seeded and transplanted maize (*Zea mays* L.) to nitrogen fertilization at Zanyokwe irrigation scheme, Eastern Cape, South Africa. *African Journal of Agricultural Research, 4*(8), 689–694.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>FAO. (2017). FAOSTAT Database. [http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/defau](http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx) [lt.aspx.](http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx) Accessed 10 Apr 2019.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Farooq, M., Siddique, K. H. M., Rehman, H., Aziz, T., Lee, D.-J., & Wahid, A. (2011). Rice direct seeding: Experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil and Tillage Research, 111*(2), 87–98. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008).
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>Hocking, P. J., Randall, P. J., & DeMarco, D. (1997). The response of dryland canola to nitrogen fertilizer: partitioning and mobilization of dry matter and nitrogen, and nitrogen efects on yield components. *Field Crops Research, 54*(2–3), 201–220. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(97)00049-X) [org/10.1016/S0378-4290\(97\)00049-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(97)00049-X)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Hocking, P. J., & Stapper, M. (2001). Effects of sowing time and nitrogen fertiliser on canola and wheat, and nitrogen fertiliser on Indian mustard. II. Nitrogen concentrations, N accumulation, and N fertiliser use efficiency. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, *52*(6), 635–644.<https://doi.org/10.1071/ar00114>.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Horwitz, W., & Latimer, G. W., Jr. (1970). *Official methods to analysis* (Vol. 11th, pp. 17–18). Washington, DC: AOAC.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Islam, N., & Evans, E. J. (1994). Infuence of lodging and nitrogen rate on the yield and yield attributes of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theoretical And Applied Genetics, 88*(5), 530–534. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01240914) [doi.org/10.1007/bf01240914.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01240914)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Li, Y. Y., Wang, Y., & Lu, J. W. (2012). Efect of phosphorus fertilization on yield and phosphorus use efficiency of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) with two diferent cropping intensities in the middle and lower reaches of Yangtze River. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, 10*(2), 576–579.
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>Liu, H., Hu, C., Sun, X., Tan, Q., & Nie, Z. (2012). Interactive efects of molybdenum and phosphorus fertilizers on dry matter accumulation, seed yield and yield components in *Brassica napus*. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, 10*(3–4), 389–392.
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Liu, T., Ren, T., White, P. J., Cong, R. H., & Lu, J. W. (2018). Storage nitrogen co-ordinates leaf expansion and photosynthetic capacity in winter oilseed rape. *Journal of Experimental Botany, 69*(12), 2995–3007. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery134.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery134)
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Liu, Q. X., Ren, T., Zhang, Y. W., Li, X. K., Cong, R. H., White, P. J., et al. (2019). Yield loss of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) under

nitrogen defciency is associated with under-regulation of plant population density. *European Journal of Agronomy, 103,* 80–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.11.006>.

- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Liu, S., Zhang, Y., Lin, F., Zhang, L., & Zou, J. (2014). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from direct-seeded and seedling-transplanted rice paddies in southeast China. *Plant and Soil, 374*(1–2), 285–297.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1878-7>.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Lu, J. W. (2010). *Scientifc fertilization technology for oilseed rape (in Chinese)*. Beijing: God Shield Press.
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Marjanović-Jeromela, A., Marinković, R., Mijić, A., Zdunić, Z., Ivanovska, S., & Jankulovska, M. (2008). Correlation and path analysis of quantitative traits in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientifcus, 73*(1), 13–18.
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>Ozer, H. (2003). Sowing date and nitrogen rate effects on growth, yield and yield components of two summer rapeseed cultivars. *European Journal of Agronomy, 19*(3), 453–463. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1161-0301(02)00136-3) [org/10.1016/s1161-0301\(02\)00136-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1161-0301(02)00136-3)
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Ozer, H., Oral, E., & Dogru, U. (1999). Relationships between yield and yield components on currently improved spring rapeseed cultivars. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 23,* 603–608.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Rajakumar, D., Gurumurthy, S., Pandian, B., & Thiyagarajan, G. (2010). Population dynamics and nutrient spray on the yield and economics of direct sown and transplanted hybrid cotton Tchb 213. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research, 44*(3), 206–210.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Singh, Y., Singh, V. P., Singh, G., Yadav, D. S., Sinha, R. K. P., Johnson, D. E., et al. (2011). The implications of land preparation, crop establishment method and weed management on rice yield variation in the rice–wheat system in the Indo-Gangetic plains. *Field Crops Research, 121*(1), 64–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.11.012) [fcr.2010.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.11.012).
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Sparks, D. L., Page, A., Helmke, P., Loeppert, R., Soltanpour, P., Tabatabai, M., et al. (1996). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 3. Chemical methods*. Madison: Soil Science Society of America Inc.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Walinga, I., Lee, J. J. V. D., Houba, V. J. G., Vark, W. V., & Novozamsky, I. (1995). *Plant analysis manual* (p. 272). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Wang, Y., Lu, J. W., Li, X. K., Li, J. F., Liu, P. P., Xu, W. M., et al. (2011). Study on nitrogen fertilizer efect and optimum fertilizer rate for transplanting and direct-seeding rapeseed (in Chinese). *Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 44*(21), 4406–4414. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.21.009) [org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.21.009.](https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.21.009)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Wang, Y., Lu, J. W., Li, X. K., Liu, B., Yuan, F. S., & Xiao, Z. Q. (2012a). Efects of fertilizers application on growth, seed yield, NPK absorption and accumulation of direct-seeding rapeseed in red soil (in Chinese). *Soil and Fertilizer Sciences in China*, (01), 38–42, 52.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Wang, Y., Li, X. K., Li, Y. Y., Li, J. F., Xiao, G. B., Zheng, W., et al. (2012b). Responses of direct-seeding rapeseed to fertilization in felds of red soil diferent in fertility (in Chinese). *Acta Pedologica Sinica*, *49*(01), 121–129.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Wang, Y., Lu, J. W., Li, X. K., Ren, T., Cong, R. H., & Zhan, L. P. (2013). Efects of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and boron fertilizers on winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) direct-sown in the Yangtze River basin (in Chinese). *Acta Agronomica Sinica, 39*(8), 1491–1500.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Xin, N. Q., & Tong, P. Y. (1986). Multiple cropping system and it's development orientation in China (A review) (in Chinese). *Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 19*(4), 88–92.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Zhang, C. L., Li, J., Yu, L. P., Li, F., & Ma, N. (2010). Input/output analysis on rapeseed production practices under diferent cultivation mode (in Chinese). *Chinese Journal of Oil Crop Sciences, 32*(1), 57–64.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Zhang, Z., Lu, J. W., Cong, R. H., Ren, T., & Li, X. K. (2018). Evaluating agroclimatic constraints and yield gaps for winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L)—A case study). *Scientifc Reports*. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20636-2) [org/10.1038/s41598-018-20636-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20636-2). **(Artn 2746)**.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Zou, J., Lu, J. W., Chen, F., & Li, Y. S. (2009). Efect of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and boron fertilizers on yield and proft of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) in the yangtze river basin (in Chinese). *Acta Agronomica Sinica, 35*(1), 87–92. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1006.2009.00087) [org/10.3724/sp.j.1006.2009.00087.](https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1006.2009.00087)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Zou, J., Lu, J. W., Li, Y. S., Li, Z. Y., & Chen, F. (2008). Study on the efects of NPK fertilizers and optimum fertilizer rate on directseeding rapeseed (In Chinese). *Chinese Journal of Oil Crop Sciences*, *30*(1), 90–94.