**REVIEW**



# **Efect of antioxidant intake patterns on risks of dementia and cognitive decline**

**Futao Zhou1 · Xinhua Xie1 · Haizhong Zhang2 · Tao Liu3**

Received: 15 May 2022 / Accepted: 14 November 2022 / Published online: 29 November 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to European Geriatric Medicine Society 2022

## **Key summary points**

**Aim** This systematic review and meta-analysis aims to quantitatively investigate the relationships between the pattern of antioxidant intakes and risks of dementia and cognitive decline.

**Findings** For the risk of all-cause dementia, it is supplemental, not dietary, use of vitamin E or vitamin C that can signifcantly reduce the risk. For AD risk, dietary plus supplemental, not supplemental alone, intakes of vitamin E or vitamin C decrease markedly the risk. Furthermore, linear dose-response analyses further verifed the positive efect of dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C on a reduced incidence of Alzheimer's dementia, with an association of every 20 mg/day increase in vitamin C and a 2% decrease in AD risk by diet plus supplement intake.

**Message** The fndings support dietary guidelines that recommend increased intake of vitamin-C-rich foods accompanied by supplemental use of vitamin C which may be more beneficial to reduce the risk of Alzheimer-type dementia.

## **Abstract**

**Background** Previous studies have suggested that increased antioxidant intakes might reduce risk of cognitive disorders including Alzheimer's disease (AD). Which avenue of antioxidant intake (vitamin E/C) is more efective for decreasing risk, however, is largely unknown.

**Objectives** To quantitatively investigate the relationships between the pattern of antioxidant intakes and risks of dementia and cognitive decline.

**Methods** We searched all related prospective cohort studies reporting antioxidant intakes (diet and/or supplement) from patients with cognitive disorders. We conducted dose–response meta-analyses to assess potential linear and non-linear dose– response relationships. Summary RRs and 95% CIs were calculated using a random- or fxed-efects model.

**Results** 73 eligible cohort studies totaling > 28,257 participants were included in the meta-analysis; the pooled relative risks of AD were 0.75 (95% CI 0.57–0.99;  $l^2 = 59.9\%$ ) for the dietary only intake of vitamin E, 0.73 (95% CI 0.54–1.00;  $I^2$  = 0%) for the dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin E, and 0.70 (95% CI 0.51–0.95;  $I^2$  = 0%) for the dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C. Moreover, pooled RRs of AD and vitamin C intake per 20 mg/day increase were 0.98 (95% CI 0.97–0.99) via dietary plus supplemental intake, 0.98 (95% CI 0.96–1.00) in the dietary only intake and 0.98 (95% CI 0.98–0.99) in the overall intake. There were no signifcant associations of all-cause dementia or cognitive impairment no dementia with the antioxidant intake.

**Conclusions** The risk of incident AD is signifcantly reduced by higher consumption of vitamin C by the intake avenue of diet plus supplement.

 $\boxtimes$  Futao Zhou 412618362@qq.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Basic Medicine Sciences, Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou 341000, Jiangxi, China

<sup>2</sup> Military Sports Training Center, Beijing 100072, China

<sup>3</sup> Chinese People's Liberation Army Special Operations College, Guangzhou 510500, Guangdong, China

## **Keywords** Vitamin E · Vitamin C · Antioxidants · Alzheimer's disease · Dose–response

# **Introduction**

Age-related cognitive decline is a major public health problem, affecting about 50% of people aged 60 years and older worldwide [[1\]](#page-7-0). Experimental, clinical, neuropathological and epidemiological investigations have implicated oxidative stress, involving the accumulation of free radicals with resultant oxidative damage, as a possible factor in the pathogenesis of cognitive impairment. The prevalence of dementia, as a severe cognitive problem, increases with age, so that by the age of 80 years, about one in eight people are afected [[2\]](#page-7-1). It has been suggested that all pathophysiological mechanisms involved in the onset and progression of AD are related to oxidative stress [[3\]](#page-7-2). To fnd efective prevention and treatment strategies, it is important to identify the causes of cognitive deficit  $[4]$  $[4]$ , and critical to develop an approach to treat or delay cognitive decline. Furthermore, novel preventive approaches focused on modifying risk factors [\[5](#page-7-4)] for cognitive disorders are urgently needed to combat this growing epidemic. As a promising measure for treating or preventing cognitive impairment, the role of antioxidants has caused great attention.

A previous meta-analysis suggested that the dietary intakes of vitamin E, vitamin C, and beta-carotene were associated with reduced incidence of AD. In recent years, a growing number of studies focused on the relationship between the antioxidant intake and the risks of cognitive disorders, providing results that might be of great importance for further understanding of the efect of the dietary or supplemental antioxidant intakes upon cognitive disorders. Furthermore, these studies varied with sample size, intake pattern, and other characteristics, thereby leading to apparent between-study inconsistencies. Therefore, we performed a comprehensive meta-analysis of all published prospective cohort studies to clarify the efects of distinct intake patterns of the antioxidants on the risks of incident all-cause, Alzheimer's dementia and cognitive impairment no dementia (CIND).

# **Methods**

## **Search strategy**

Following the guidelines by the MOOSE (meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology) statement [[6\]](#page-7-5), we searched the electronic databases (PubMed and EMBASE) from inception to June 18, 2018 using the following terms: vitamin E, vitamin C, beta-carotene, favonoids; intake, use, dietary; dementia, Alzheimer\*, cognit\*; prospective, cohort,

follow-up, inciden\*, longitudinal, "nested case–control", and so on (Details of search strategies are shown in eTable S1 in Supplemental material). A list of the excluded studies is provided in eTable S2 in Supplemental material. No language restrictions were imposed. Bibliographies of eligible studies and relevant meta-analyses were hand-searched for potential missing studies (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)).

## **Study selection**

Studies were included if they were prospective cohort or prospective nested case–control studies, investigated an association between the antioxidant intake and the risks of all-cause, Alzheimer's dementia or cognitive impairment no dementia, classifed the antioxidant intake into two or more categories, and reported crude or adjusted risk estimates with corresponding 95% CIs or results allowed calculation of risk ratios or odds ratios (ORs). For the dose–response analysis, the level-specifc case numbers and person-years or sufficient data for deriving these numbers were required. The inclusion decisions were made independently by two reviewers (Zhou FT and Zhang HZ) and any disagreements were resolved by consensus after discussion.

#### **Data extraction and quality evaluation**

For each study included, we extracted the frst author's last name, publication year, region (or country), cohort name, mean age or age range, mean follow-up duration, sample size, number of cases, and person-years stratifed by antioxidant intake doses, cognitive outcome, exposure assessment method, range of intake dose, adjusted covariates, and multivariable-adjusted efect sizes (RR, OR or HR and corresponding 95% CI) for each exposure category. The study quality was evaluated with the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS), the quality score ranged from 0 to 9. Details of how the criteria were applied are shown in Supplementary eTable S3.

### **Statistical methods**

In this meta-analysis, all associations were estimated as RRs and 95% CIs; HRs were considered equivalent to the RRs [[7\]](#page-7-6). Some studies reported the odds ratio (OR) or hazard ratio (HR) in each category, and the OR (or HR) was considered equivalent to the RR in cohort studies if the value of  $P_0$  was small [[8\]](#page-7-7). The ORs were transformed into RRs by use of the formula RR = OR/[ $(1-P_0)$  +  $(P_0 \times \text{OR})$ ], where  $P_0$  is the incidence of the outcome in the non-exposed group. To unify the units of exposure as milligram, one International

Articles identified through electronic search (n=10004): Embase ( $n=666$ ), PubMed ( $n=338$ ), hand search ( $n=1$ )							
Duplicates excluded (n=219)							
Articles eligible for title and abstract screening (n=786)							
Articles excluded as irrelevant (n=745)							
Articles eligible for full text screening (n=41)							
Articles excluded (n=22) Antioxidant intake not as an exposure (n=2) RR (OR or HR) and 95% CI not reported (n=14) Cognitive decline or dementia not as an outcome (n=5) Cross-section study (n=1)							
Articles suitable for inclusion in meta-analysis (n=19)							
Number of studies included <b>CIND</b> All-cause dementia Alzheimer dementia Vit E $(n=12)$ Vit E $(n=6)$ Vit $E$ (n=8) Vit C $(n=7)$ Vit C $(n=11)$ Vit C $(n=8)$ Vits $E/C$ (n=4) Vits $E/C$ (n=2) Vits $E/C$ (n=4) $\beta$ -carotene (n=2) $\beta$ -carotene (n=5) $Flavonoids (n=3)$ $Flavonoids (n=3)$							

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Screening and selection process of studies of antioxidant intakes and risk of cognitive disorders

Unit (IU) of vitamin E is defined as  $0.667$  mg  $\alpha$ -Tocopherol equivalents [[9\]](#page-7-8), and of vitamin C as 0.74 mg according to the international criteria. For each of the studies included, the reported median or mean intake of each category was considered as the category of the antioxidant intakes [[8](#page-7-7)]. When a study reported only the range of the antioxidants intake dose for a category, we obtained the average value of the lower and upper bounds. If the highest category was open-ended, the lower end value of the category multiplied by 1.2 was assigned. The risk estimate from the most fully adjusted models in the analysis of the pooled RR was used. If the number of cases/non-cases in each category was not available and the authors did not give their reply, a method [\[10](#page-7-9)] was used to provide approximate data based on the total number and RRs of each category. We excluded the studies without the number of participants and/or cases in the whole cohort, also not providing RRs (ORs) and 95% CI.

We frst summarized the RRs for the highest versus lowest category of antioxidants dose in the included studies using the random effects  $(I^2 > 50\%$ , the DerSimonian–Laird method) or fixed effects ( $l^2 \le 50\%$ , the Mantel–Haenszel method) meta-analysis (high versus low meta-analysis). For the dose–response meta-analysis, the two-stage generalized least-squares trend estimation method was used to estimate the study-specifc slope lines frst and then to derive an overall average slope using the method described by Greenland and Longnecker [[11\]](#page-7-10). Dose–response meta-analyses were performed to examine a potential nonlinear relationship between antioxidants intake and risk of dementia using

restricted cubic splines. Restricted cubic spline models with 3 knots were ftted in each study taking into account the covariance among log RR, and the regression coefficients were then combined using multivariate meta-analysis. A test for a non-linear relation was calculated by making the coefficient of the second spline equal to zero, as described previously [[12\]](#page-7-11). To generate a linear dose–response curve, data on antioxidant intake categories, the distribution of cases and person-years, and RRs plus 95% CIs for 3 or more categories were extracted. First, specifc linear trends and 95% CIs were estimated from the natural logs of RRs across categories of dose by the generalized least-square models method. For studies that reported continuous risk estimates per certain units these risk estimates were converted to a risk estimate per 20-unit by frst taking the natural logarithm of the RR (95% CI), then dividing the  $ln(RR, 95\%$  CI) by the increment reported, multiplying by 20. Then, the estimated linear trends were pooled with fxed- or random-efects metaanalysis, depending on the absence or presence of statistical heterogeneity.

Study heterogeneity was assessed using the *Q* test and *I* 2 statistic,  $P < 0.10$  and  $I^2 > 50\%$  indicated evidence of heterogeneity. If the  $I^2$  statistic was 50% or less, a meta-analysis based on a fxed-efect model was conducted, otherwise the random-efects model was used. Sensitivity analyses excluding one study at a time were conducted to explore whether the results were strongly infuenced by a specifc study. Potential publication bias was assessed by the application of contour-enhanced funnel plots [\[13](#page-7-12)], as well as by the Egger's/Begg's tests. All statistical analyses were conducted with two-tailed test at the  $P < 0.05$  level for statistical signifcance using STATA v14.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

#### **Results**

#### **Study characteristics**

The initial search identifed 338 records from PubMed, 666 records from EMBASE and 1 record [\[14](#page-7-13)] from hand search. We identifed 786 articles for review of title and abstract. After the initial screening, full text of potentially eligible articles was retrieved for detailed assessment. After full text reviews, 22 articles were excluded (see Fig. [1](#page-2-0) and eTable S2 in Supplemental material), and 75 eligible cohort studies from 19 eligible articles were included for meta-analysis, with a total of 28,257 participants and 2,557 AD patients [[9,](#page-7-8) [14–](#page-7-13)[25](#page-8-0)], 1035 cases of all-cause dementia [[14](#page-7-13), [16](#page-8-1)[–18,](#page-8-2) [22](#page-8-3), [24,](#page-8-4) [26](#page-8-5)[–28](#page-8-6)] and 6197 cases of cognitive impairment no dementia [[14](#page-7-13), [22](#page-8-3), [24](#page-8-4), [29](#page-8-7)[–31](#page-8-8)]. All the studies included have been published as full manuscripts and are of high quality (see Supplementary eTable S4). Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows a fowchart of study selection. In addition, the characteristics of the included studies are summarized in detail in eTable S3 in the supplemental material.

# **Main associations of AD risk with antioxidant intakes**

AS shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) and eTables 9–12 in Supplemental material, the pooled RRs of AD in the overall intakes of high versus low category were  $0.76$  (95% CI 0.65–0.89,  $l^2 = 20.9\%$ ,  $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.238$ ;  $n = 10$ ) for vitamin E, 0.81 (95% CI 0.70–0.94;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.573$ ;  $n = 11$ ) for vitamin C, 0.97 (95% CI 0.79–1.19; *I* 2=25.2%, *P*=0.254; *n*=5) for beta-carotene, 1.18 (95% CI 0.95–1.46; *I* 2=0%, *P*=0.475) for favonoids. There were signifcant associations between AD risk and vitamin E intake from diet alone ( $RR = 0.75$ ; 95% CI 0.57–0.99;  $I^2 = 59.9\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.041$ ;  $n = 5$ ) and from diet plus supplement (RR =  $0.73$ ; 95% CI 0.54–1.00;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.843$ ;  $n = 3$ ). For the intake of vitamin C, there was a signifcantly lower RR of AD in dietary plus supplemental intake (RR =  $0.70$ ; 95% CI 0.51-0.95;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.887$ ;  $n = 3$ ). In contrast, the distinct intake patterns of beta-carotene and favonoids yielded nonsignifcant estimates.

In the linear dose–response analysis, as shown in Fig. [4](#page-5-0) and eTables 19–22 in Supplemental material, every 20 mg/ day increase in vitamin C intake reduced the risk of incident AD through diet alone (RR=0.98; 95% CI 0.96–1.00;  $I^2 = 41.9\%$ ; *n*=4), diet plus supplement (RR = 0.98; 95%) CI 0.97–0.99;  $I^2 = 0$ ;  $n = 3$ ) and the overall intake pattern

 $(RR = 0.98; 95\% \text{ CI } 0.98 - 0.99; I^2 = 34.2\%; n = 9)$ . For every 20 mg/day increase in vitamin E intake, every 1 mg/ day increase in beta-carotene intake and every 10 mg/day increase in dietary favonoids intake, there were no signifcant statistical relationships between AD risk and any of intakes.

#### **Antioxidant intakes and risk of all‑cause dementia**

AS shown in Fig. [3](#page-4-0) and eTables 5–8 in Supplemental material, the pooled RRs of all-cause dementia in the overall intakes of high versus low category were 0.84 (95% CI 0.72–0.92;  $I^2 = 36.1\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.141$ ;  $n = 8$ ) for vitamin E, 0.88 (95% CI 0.78–0.99;  $\tilde{l}^2 = 24.3\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.244$ ; *n* = 7) for vitamin C, 1.21 (95% CI 0.98–1.49;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.481$ ;  $n = 2$ ) for beta-carotene, and 0.99 (95%) CI 0.64–1.54;  $I^2 = 74.0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.021$ ;  $n = 3$ ) for flavonoids. There were significant associations between risk of all-cause dementia and the supplemental intakes of vitamin E (RR = 0.80; 95% CI 0.70–0.92;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.480$ ;  $n = 6$ ) and of vitamin C (RR = 0.81; 95% CI 0.70–0.93;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.647$ ;  $n = 5$ ). In contrast, there were no signifcant efects of the intakes of beta-carotene, favonoids and the combination use of vitamins E and C on risk of all-cause dementia. In the linear dose–response analysis, there were no signifcant statistical relationships between risk of all-cause dementia and any of the antioxidant intakes and any of the intake patterns (see Fig. [4](#page-5-0) and eTables 15–18 in Supplemental material).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Subgroup analyses within AD risk based on intake pattern in high versus low antioxidant intakes (*pl* plus, *suppl* supplement)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Subgroup analyses within risks of all-cause dementia and cognitive impairment no dementia based on intake pattern in high versus low antioxidant intakes (*pl* plus, *suppl* supplement)

## **Antioxidant intakes and risk of incident cognitive impairment no dementia**

As shown in Fig. [3](#page-4-0) and eTables 13–18 in Supplemental material, the pooled RRs of all-cause dementia in the overall intakes of high versus low category were 0.93 (95% CI 0.81–1.07;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.765$ ;  $n = 6$ ) for vitamin E, 0.95 (95% CI 0.72–1.26;  $I^2 = 57.5\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.021$ ;  $n = 8$ ) for vitamin C. There was an association between risk of CIND and the dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C (RR= 0.32; 95% CI 0.18–0.88; *n*= 1). When considering the use of the combination of vitamins E and C, the pooled RR was 0.84 (95% CI 0.70–1.02;  $I^2 = 56\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.078$ ;  $n = 4$ ). In the linear dose–response analysis, similarly, there were no signifcant statistical relationships between risk of CIND and any of the antioxidant intakes and any of the intake patterns (see Fig. [4](#page-5-0) and eTables 23–24 in Supplemental material).

# **Non‑linearity dose–response analysis, study quality, publication bias and sensitivity analyses**

Using a restricted cubic splines model, it was shown that there were no signifcant non-linear relationships between AD risk and the intakes of vitamin E or C  $(P > 0.05$  for non-linearity; Fig. [5\)](#page-6-0). Begg's and Egger's tests indicated no publication bias in most of the pooled studies (eTable 25 in Supplemental material).

Assessment of study quality yielded an average score of 6.8 (9 representing the highest quality), and 11 publications had scores of  $\geq$  7 (eTable S4 in Supplemental material). Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that the estimates were not substantially altered for all-cause dementia, Alzheimertype dementia and CIND (eTables 26–36 in Supplemental material).

# **Discussion**

To our best knowledge, this is the frst meta-analysis assessing the relationships between patterns of antioxidant intake and incident risks of cognitive disorders. For risk of allcause dementia, it was supplemental, not dietary, use of vitamin E or vitamin C that signifcantly reduced the risk. In contrast, it was dietary plus supplemental, not supplemental alone, intakes of vitamin E or vitamin C that decreased dramatically AD risk. Furthermore, linear dose–response analyses further verifed the positive efect of dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C on a reduced incidence of Alzheimer's dementia, with an association of every 20 mg/

Antioxidant Disease		Intake pattern	<b>Studies</b>	RR (95%CI)	$1^2, %$
<b>AD</b> Vit E (per $20$ mg/d) All-cause <b>CIND</b>		Diet pl suppl	Corrada, 16 Luchsinger, 20 Morris <sup>21</sup>	$0.97(0.94 - 1.01)$	$\mathbf{0}$
		Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin, <sup>19</sup> Engelhart, <sup>26</sup> Morris <sup>21</sup> 0.68 (0.42-1.11)		83
		Suppl	Zandi, <sup>9</sup> Basambombo <sup>15</sup>	$0.34(0.02 - 5.08)$	76.2
		Overall		$0.93(0.83 - 1.03)$	71.3
		Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin <sup>19</sup>	$1.07(0.73-1.56)$	83.8
	dementia	Suppl	Kryscio, <sup>27</sup> Basambombo <sup>15</sup>	$0.28(0.02 - 5.07)$	84.2
		Overall		$1.01(0.81 - 1.26)$	77.8
		Suppl	Basambombo, 15 Grodstein <sup>34</sup>	$1.00(0.99 - 1.01)$	36.7
AD Vit C (per $20$ mg/d) All-cause dementia <b>CIND</b>		Diet pl suppl	Corrada, <sup>16</sup> Luchsinger, <sup>20</sup> Morris <sup>21</sup>	$0.98(0.97-0.99)$	$\mathbf{0}$
		Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin, <sup>19</sup> Engelhart, <sup>26</sup> Morris <sup>21</sup> 0.98 (0.96-1.00)		41.9
		Suppl	Zandi, <sup>9</sup> Basambombo <sup>15</sup>	$0.32(0.02-4.94)$	80.2
		Overall		$0.98(0.98-0.99)$	34.2
		Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin <sup>19</sup>	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	$\mathbf 0$
		Diet	Paleologos <sup>32</sup>	$0.98(0.83 - 1.16)$	<b>NA</b>
		Suppl	Basambombo, 34 Paleologos 32 Grodstein 31	$1.00(1.00-1.01)$	0.7
		Overall		$1.00(1.00-1.01)$	$\mathbf{0}$
Vits E and C (per $20$ mg/d)	AD	Suppl	Basambombo <sup>15</sup>	$0.22(0.07-0.81)$	<b>NA</b>
	<b>CIND</b>	Suppl	Basambombo <sup>15</sup>	$0.56(0.29-1.05)$	<b>NA</b>
AD Beta-carotene (per $1$ mg/d)		Diet pl suppl	Morris <sup>21</sup>	$0.78(0.65-0.94)$	<b>NA</b>
		Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Engelhart, <sup>26</sup> Laurin, <sup>19</sup> Morris <sup>21</sup> 0.92 (0.79-1.08)		66.9
		Overall		$0.89(0.76-1.04)$	74.8
	All-cause dementia	Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin <sup>19</sup>	$1.06(1.00-1.12)$	$\mathbf{0}$
Flavonoids (per 10 mg/d)	AD	Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin, <sup>19</sup> Engelhart <sup>26</sup>	$1.02(0.98-1.08)$	$\mathbf{0}$
	All-cause dementia	Diet	Devore, <sup>17</sup> Laurin, <sup>19</sup> Commenges <sup>29</sup>	$0.98(0.70-1.37)$	81.9 .21

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Estimates of relative risk associated with intakes of antioxidant per unit increment (*pl* plus, *suppl* supplement)

day increase in vitamin C and a 2% decrease in AD risk by diet plus supplement intake. Nevertheless, this meta-analysis did not fnd linear associations of vitamin E intake with AD risk, of vitamin E/C intakes with risk of all-cause dementia; there were also none of the signifcant relationships for cognitive impairment without dementia.

Several meta-analyses [\[32](#page-8-9)[–34](#page-8-10)] of prospective cohort studies have reported the relationships of antioxidant intakes with incident risk of cognitive disorders, and the results of these studies were, by and large, consistent with the fndings of our overall analysis. All the reviews reached the conclusion that vitamin E or C intakes were signifcantly negative associated with risk of dementia [\[33,](#page-8-11) [34\]](#page-8-10). More comprehensively, our current meta-analysis examined the relationships between the intake patterns (including diet and supplemental use) of antioxidant (including vitamins E and C, beta-carotene and favonoids) and incident cognitive disorders (a broad range of cognitive outcomes including all-cause dementia, Alzheimer's dementia, and CIND), providing greater statistical power and more precise estimates because of pooling of multiple studies. Importantly, there was a clear linear, but no curvilinear, dose–response relationship between the dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C and risk of incident Alzheimer dementia. Our results support the notion that increased vitamin C intake (diet plus supplement) is an efective preventive measure for Alzheimer's disease.

The endogenous capacity of antioxidant vitamins, such as vitamins  $E[35]$  $E[35]$  and  $C[36]$  $C[36]$  $C[36]$  to prevent neuronal damage and death induced by oxidative stress, has been well-recognized for their benefcial infuence on cogni-tive performance [[37,](#page-8-14) [38\]](#page-8-15). The current founding demonstrated that there was a linear dose–response efect of the intake of vitamin C, rather than vitamin E, on AD risk, which might be attributed to the fact that many diferent biological mechanisms were believed to be linked to the efect of vitamin C on AD pathology. In fact, the neuroprotective role of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is based not only on its general free radical trapping, but also on the chelation of iron, copper and zinc, as well as the reduction of amyloid-beta peptide production [[36](#page-8-13), [39\]](#page-8-16). Oxidative stress has a key role in the etiology of AD, according to a growing body of research. Oxidative stress is found to interact with the processes related to AD pathogenesis, including APP processing, mitochondrial dysfunction, and metal buildup [[40](#page-8-17)]. Vitamin C has been demonstrated to protect SH-SY5Y cells from Aβ-mediated apoptosis, lowering the rate of endogenous amyloid production [\[41\]](#page-8-18). In rat hippocampal brains, oral vitamin C treatment reduced oxidative stress and neuroinfammation mediated by Aβ



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Dose–response analyses of the non-linear association between ad risk and antioxidant intakes (**A** total intake of vitamin E; **B** dietary intake of vitamin E; **C** total intake of vitamin C; **D** dietary intake of vitamin C)

fbrils [\[42\]](#page-8-19). Furthermore, new data have emerged recently regarding potentially dangerous adverse efects (including increased mortality) of vitamin E [[43](#page-8-20)].

Vitamin C has also been suggested to prevent neurodegenerative changes by protecting blood–brain barrier (BBB) integrity [\[39\]](#page-8-16). Many studies have reported that BBB disruption precedes neurodegeneration and cognitive decline in both AD patients and AD model animals [[44](#page-8-21)]. It was shown that there was the substantially increased permeability of BBB at a very early stage of AD, and the extent of BBB leakage was positively associated with the severity of cognitive decline measured by Mini-Mental State Examination [[45](#page-8-22)]. Kook et al. [\[46](#page-8-23)] recently reported that high dose supplementation of vitamin C reduced amyloidosis in the cortex and hippocampus of KO-Tg AD mice (cross-breeding of 5 familial Alzheimer's disease mutation mice with iotagulono-gamma-lactone oxidase knockout mice, which were unable to synthesize their own vitamin C) via attenuation of BBB disruption and mitochondrial alteration, with substantial reduction of amyloid plaque burden. These fndings help to explain the cause of why vitamin C intake is more efective in preventing the incidence of Alzheimer dementia.

One important unresolved question is why dietary plus supplemental intake of vitamin C could reduce AD risk, but neither dietary alone nor supplemental alone intake of vitamin C did. Riviere et al. [\[47](#page-8-24)] found that plasma ascorbate levels were lower in Alzheimer's disease individuals in proportion to the degree of cognitive impairment, despite adequate ascorbic acid intake, suggesting that diet alone might not correct the imbalance in pro-oxidant and antioxidant activities. It was reported that vitamin C showed an antioxidant efect at lower doses, but resulted in a prooxidant efect at higher dose levels in colchicine-induced AD rats [[48](#page-8-25)]. One possibility is that a pro-oxidant effect of vitamin C supplementation [\[49](#page-8-26)] might occur in some studies due to higher supplement use. Further investigations are needed to better understand the underlying mechanism that accounts for the efect of the intake patterns of antioxidants on incident AD.

Strengths of this study include the comprehensive, linear and non-linear dose–response, sensitivity and infuence analyses; having a large number of participants and a complete quality assessment. The estimates from the fully adjusted models per study were used in our analyses to reduce the potential of confounding. This can help to quantify the associations and test their shapes.

Despite these strengths, there are several limitations in the current study. First, antioxidants treatment would be efficient, but inefficient or even pro-oxidant in individuals with a high or low level of reactive oxygen species (ROS), respectively [[36\]](#page-8-13). Because not all of the participants in the included studies were dementia-free at baseline, the dementia-preventive effect of antioxidants might depend partly on their initial ROS levels or baseline total antioxidant capacity [[50](#page-8-27)], which was, however, not available for almost all the included studies. Therefore, the baseline antioxidant capacity should be measured before intake of antioxidants for the purpose of reducing the efect of the endogenous antioxidant activity. This helps reduce the potential bias. Second, diferential adjustment for confounders across studies could potentially infuence our study fndings. Given the observational nature of this and other previous studies, it is still possible that unmeasured factors may be responsible for potential bias risk. Third, our meta-analysis was conducted with summary statistics, rather than individual data, which allowed more precise delineation for the dose–response relationship and controlled potential residual confounding.

# **Conclusions**

There is signifcant meta-analytic evidence that it exists a markedly negative relationship of antioxidant intakes with risk of all-cause and Alzheimer's dementia, but not with risk of CIND. Our dose–response meta-analysis shows that every 20 mg/day increased intake of vitamin C from diet plus supplement is linearly associated with a 2% decreased risk for Alzheimer-type dementia. These fndings support dietary guidelines that recommends increased intake of the consumption of vitamin C rich foods accompanied with supplemental use of vitamin C may be more beneficial to reduce the risk of Alzheimer-type dementia.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-022-00720-7>.

**Acknowledgements** ZFT, ZHZ and LT designed research; XXH and LT analyzed data; ZFT and ZHZ wrote the paper; ZFT had primary responsibility for fnal content. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32160212), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20202BAB206031) and Nature Science Foundation of Gannan Medical University under Grant No. QD201914.

**Data availability** Not applicable.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no confict of interest.

**Ethical approval** Not applicable.

**Informed consent** Not applicable.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Gunther VK, Schafer P, Holzner BJ, Kemmler GW (2003) Longterm improvements in cognitive performance through computerassisted cognitive training: a pilot study in a residential home for older people. Aging Ment Health 7(3):200–206
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Wald DS, Kasturiratne A, Simmonds M (2011) Serum homocysteine and dementia: meta-analysis of eight cohort studies including 8669 participants. Alzheimers Dement 7(4):412–417
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Zhou F, Shuangrong C, Qu L (2015) Reactive oxygen species mediate abnormal tau phosphorylation in a zinc-induced model. J Neurol Sci (Turkish) 32(1):115–121
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. Zhou F, Chen S (2018) Efects of gender and other confounding factors on leptin concentrations in Alzheimer's disease: evidence from the combined analysis of 27 case-control studies. J Alzheimers Dis 62(1):477–486
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Zhou F (2017) The bridging integrator 1 Gene rs7561528 polymorphism contributes to Alzheimer's disease susceptibility in East Asian and Caucasian populations. Clin Chim Acta 469:13–21
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>6. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB (2000) Metaanalysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 283(15):2008–2012
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. Xu C, Zeng XT, Liu TZ, Zhang C, Yang ZH, Li S, Chen XY (2015) Fruits and vegetables intake and risk of bladder cancer: a PRISMAcompliant systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Medicine (Baltimore) 94(17):e759
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>8. Zhang J, Yu KF (1998) What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. JAMA 280(19):1690–1691
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>9. Zandi PP, Anthony JC, Khachaturian AS, Stone SV, Gustafson D, Tschanz JT, Norton MC, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Breitner JC (2004) Reduced risk of Alzheimer disease in users of antioxidant vitamin supplements: the Cache county study. Arch Neurol 61(1):82–88
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>10. Bekkering GE, Harris RJ, Thomas S, Mayer AM, Beynon R, Ness AR, Harbord RM, Bain C, Smith GD, Sterne JA (2008) How much of the data published in observational studies of the association between diet and prostate or bladder cancer is usable for meta-analysis? Am J Epidemiol 167(9):1017–1026
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>11. Greenland S, Longnecker MP (1992) Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to metaanalysis. Am J Epidemiol 135(11):1301–1309
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>12. Orsini N, Li R, Wolk A, Khudyakov P, Spiegelman D (2012) Meta-analysis for linear and nonlinear dose-response relations: examples, an evaluation of approximations, and software. Am J Epidemiol 175(1):66–73
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>13. Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, Rushton L (2008) Contour-enhanced meta-analysis funnel plots help distinguish publication bias from other causes of asymmetry. J Clin Epidemiol 61(10):991–996
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>14. Basambombo LL, Carmichael PH, Cote S, Laurin D (2017) Use of vitamin e and c supplements for the prevention of cognitive decline. Ann Pharmacother 51(2):118–124
- 15. Corrada MM, Kawas CH, Hallfrisch J, Muller D, Brookmeyer R (2005) Reduced risk of Alzheimer's disease with high folate

intake: the Baltimore longitudinal study of aging. Alzheimers Dement 1(1):11–18

- <span id="page-8-1"></span>16. Devore EE, Grodstein F, van Rooij FJ, Hofman A, Stampfer MJ, Witteman JC, Breteler MM (2010) Dietary antioxidants and long-term risk of dementia. Arch Neurol 67(7):819–825
- 17. Gray SL, Anderson ML, Crane PK, Breitner JCS, McCormick W, Bowen JD, Teri L, Larson E (2008) Antioxidant vitamin supplement use and risk of dementia or Alzheimer's disease in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 56(2):291–295
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>18. Laurin D, Masaki KH, Foley DJ, White LR, Launer LJ (2004) Midlife dietary intake of antioxidants and risk of late-life incident dementia: the Honolulu-Asia aging study. Am J Epidemiol 159(10):959–967
- 19. Luchsinger JA, Tang MX, Shea S, Mayeux R (2003) Antioxidant vitamin intake and risk of Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 60(2):203–208
- 20. Morris MC, Evans DA, Bienias JL, Tangney CC, Bennett DA, Aggarwal N, Wilson RS, Scherr PA (2002) Dietary intake of antioxidant nutrients and the risk of incident Alzheimer disease in a biracial community study. JAMA 287(24):3230–3237
- 21. Morris MC, Evans DA, Tangney CC, Bienias JL, Wilson RS, Aggarwal NT, Scherr PA (2005) Relation of the tocopherol forms to incident Alzheimer disease and to cognitive change. Am J Clin Nutr 81(2):508–514
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>22. Masaki KH, Losonczy KG, Izmirlian G, Foley DJ, Ross GW, Petrovitch H, Havlik R, White LR (2000) Association of vitamin E and C supplement use with cognitive function and dementia in elderly men. Neurology 54(6):1265–1272
- 23. Fillenbaum GG, Kuchibhatla MN, Hanlon JT, Artz MB, Pieper CF, Schmader KE, Dysken MW, Gray SL (2005) Dementia and Alzheimer's disease in community-dwelling elders taking vitamin C and/or vitamin E. Ann Pharmacother 39(12):2009–2014
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>24. Maxwell CJ, Hicks MS, Hogan DB, Basran J, Ebly EM (2005) Supplemental use of antioxidant vitamins and subsequent risk of cognitive decline and dementia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 20(1):45–51
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>25. Engelhart MJ, Geerlings MI, Ruitenberg A, van Swieten JC, Hofman A, Witteman JC, Breteler MM (2002) Dietary intake of antioxidants and risk of Alzheimer disease. JAMA 287(24):3223–3229
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>26. Kryscio RJ, Abner EL, Caban-Holt A, Lovell M, Goodman P, Darke AK, Yee M, Crowley J, Schmitt FA (2017) Association of antioxidant supplement use and dementia in the prevention of alzheimer's disease by vitamin e and selenium trial (PREAD-ViSE). Jama Neurol 74(5):567–573
- 27. Paganini-Hill A, Kawas CH, Corrada MM (2016) Lifestyle factors and dementia in the oldest-old: the 90+ study. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 30(1):21–26
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>28. Commenges D, Scotet V, Renaud S, Jacqmin-Gadda H, Barberger-Gateau P, Dartigues JF (2000) Intake of favonoids and risk of dementia. Eur J Epidemiol 16(4):357–363
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>29. Kalmijn S, Feskens EJ, Launer LJ, Kromhout D (1997) Polyunsaturated fatty acids, antioxidants, and cognitive function in very old men. Am J Epidemiol 145(1):33–41
- 30. Grodstein F, Chen J, Willett WC (2003) High-dose antioxidant supplements and cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly women. Am J Clin Nutr 77(4):975–984
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>31. Paleologos M, Cumming RG, Lazarus R (1998) Cohort study of vitamin C intake and cognitive impairment. Am J Epidemiol 148(1):45–50
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>32. Rafnsson SB, Dilis V, Trichopoulou A (2013) Antioxidant nutrients and age-related cognitive decline: a systematic review of population-based cohort studies. Eur J Nutr 52(6):1553–1567
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>33. Cao L, Tan L, Wang HF, Jiang T, Zhu XC, Lu H, Tan MS, Yu JT (2016) Dietary patterns and risk of dementia: a systematic review and Meta-Analysis of cohort studies. Mol Neurobiol 53(9):6144–6154
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>34. Li FJ, Shen L, Ji HF (2012) Dietary intakes of vitamin E, vitamin C, and beta-carotene and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis. J Alzheimers Dis 31(2):253–258
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>35. Brewer GJ (2010) Why vitamin E therapy fails for treatment of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 19(1):27–30
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>36. Monacelli F, Acquarone E, Giannotti C, Borghi R, Nencioni A, Vitamin C (2017) Aging and Alzheimer's disease. Nutrients 9(7):670
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>37. Dhingra D, Parle M, Kulkarni SK (2006) Comparative brain cholinesterase-inhibiting activity of *Glycyrrhiza glabra*, *Myristica fragrans*, ascorbic acid, and metrifonate in mice. J Med Food 9(2):281–283
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>38. Cai P, Ye J, Zhu J, Liu D, Chen D, Wei X, Johnson NR, Wang Z, Zhang H, Cao G et al (2016) Inhibition of endoplasmic reticulum stress is involved in the neuroprotective efect of bFGF in the 6-OHDA-Induced Parkinson's disease model. Aging Dis 7(4):336
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>39. Lam V, Hackett M, Takechi R (2016) Antioxidants and dementia risk: consideration through a cerebrovascular perspective. Nutrients 8(12):828
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>40. Tonnies E, Trushina E (2017) Oxidative stress, synaptic dysfunction, and Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 57(4):1105–1121
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>41. Huang J, May JM (2006) Ascorbic acid protects SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells from apoptosis and death induced by betaamyloid. Brain Res 1097(1):52–58
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>42. Rosales-Corral S, Tan DX, Reiter RJ, Valdivia-Velazquez M, Martinez-Barboza G, Acosta-Martinez JP, Ortiz GG (2003) Orally administered melatonin reduces oxidative stress and proinfammatory cytokines induced by amyloid-beta peptide in rat brain: a comparative, in vivo study versus vitamin C and E. J Pineal Res 35(2):80–84
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>43. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Gluud LL, Simonetti RG, Gluud C (2012) Antioxidant supplements for prevention of mortality in healthy participants and patients with various diseases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 3:D7176
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>44. Zlokovic BV (2011) Neurovascular pathways to neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease and other disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci 12(12):723–738
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>45. van de Haar HJ, Burgmans S, Jansen JF, van Osch MJ, van Buchem MA, Muller M, Hofman PA, Verhey FR, Backes WH (2016) Blood–brain barrier leakage in patients with early Alzheimer disease. Radiology 281(2):527–535
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>46. Kook SY, Lee KM, Kim Y, Cha MY, Kang S, Baik SH, Lee H, Park R, Mook-Jung I (2014) High-dose of vitamin C supplementation reduces amyloid plaque burden and ameliorates pathological changes in the brain of 5XFAD mice. Cell Death Dis 5:e1083
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>47. Riviere S, Birlouez-Aragon I, Nourhashemi F, Vellas B (1998) Low plasma vitamin C in Alzheimer patients despite an adequate diet. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 13(11):749–754
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>48. Sil S, Ghosh T, Gupta P, Ghosh R, Kabir SN, Roy A (2016) Dual role of vitamin c on the neuroinfammation mediated neurodegeneration and memory impairments in colchicine induced rat model of Alzheimer disease. J Mol Neurosci 60(4):421–435
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>49. Scheffler J, Bork K, Bezold V, Rosenstock P, Gnanapragassam VS, Horstkorte R (2019) Ascorbic acid leads to glycation and interferes with neurite outgrowth. Exp Gerontol 117:25–30
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>50. Sofc E, Sapcanin A, Tahirovic I, Gavrankapetanovic I, Jellinger K, Reynolds GP, Tatschner T, Riederer P (2006) Antioxidant capacity in postmortem brain tissues of Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases. J Neural Transm Suppl 71:39–43

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.