#### ORIGINAL PAPER



# Weighted Space and Bloch-Type Space on the Unit Ball of an Infinite Dimensional Complex Banach Space

Zhenhan Tu<sup>1</sup> · Liangpeng Xiong<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 August 2018 / Revised: 31 December 2018 / Accepted: 4 January 2019 / Published online: 19 February 2019 © Iranian Mathematical Society 2019

### Abstract

Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the open unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ , which may be infinite dimensional. The weighted composition operator and weighted space defined on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  are introduced. We obtain the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator from the Bloch-type spaces to the weighted spaces, and some properties with the Bloch-type spaces are given. Our main results generalize the previous works on the Euclidean unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ .

**Keywords** Boundedness · Complex Banach space · Compactness · Weighted composition operator · Weighted Bloch-type space

Mathematics Subject Classification 47B38 · 32A37 · 46B15

## **1** Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  be the space of *n*-dimensional complex variables  $z = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ . The unit ball

$$\mathbb{B}^{n} = \left\{ z = (z_{1}, z_{2}, \dots, z_{n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} : \|z\|^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_{k}|^{2} < 1 \right\},\$$

and  $\mathbb{B}^1 \equiv \mathbb{U}$  denotes the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $H(\mathbb{B}^n)$  be the family of holomorphic function from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Communicated by Ali Abkar.

Liangpeng Xiong lpxiong2016@whu.edu.cn
 Zhenhan Tu zhhtu.math@whu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, Hubei, People's Republic of China

A positive continuous function  $\mu$  on [0, 1) is called normal if there is  $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and  $0 < a < b < \infty$  such that

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^a} \text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0;$$
$$\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^b} \text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty.$$

Then a normal function  $\mu$  is strictly decreasing on  $[\delta, 1)$  and  $\mu(r) \to 0$  as  $r \to 1$ . Denote by Aut( $\mathbb{B}^n$ ) the holomorphic automorphism group of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . If  $u \in H(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , then the corresponding weighted composition operator is defined by

$$uC_{\varphi}(f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \ z \in \mathbb{B}^n.$$
(1.1)

The boundedness and the compactness of the operators  $uC_{\varphi}$  on Bloch-type spaces, Zygmund spaces, Hardy spaces and the weighted Bergman space attract a lot of attentions (see, e.g., [6,8,12,14,16,18,19,22,23]).

The classical Bloch functions on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  have been widely studied (see, e.g., [1,17]), and the corresponding notion in higher dimension was first introduced by Hahn [11]. Timoney [20] studied in depth the Bloch functions on bounded homogeneous domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with using Bergman metric (also see, Allen and Colonna [2]). Furthermore, Blasco et al. [3,4] extended the Bloch space on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{H}}$  of an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space H. The bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces are exactly the open unit balls of JB\*-triples which are complex Banach spaces equipped with a Jordan triple structure. Moreover, a complex Banach space is a JB\*-triple if and only if its open unit ball is homogeneous (see, e.g., Deng and Ouyang [7], Kaup [13]). Recently, Chu et al. [5] generalized the Bloch space on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of an infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domain realized as the open unit ball of a JB\*-triple  $\mathbb{X}$  by taking the place of the Bergman metric with the Kobayashi metric (compare with definition in Timoney [20]). In addition, they obtain the criteria for boundedness and compactness on composition operator between the Bloch spaces on infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domain. By [5], Hamada [10] continued to study the weighted composition operators from the Hardy space  $H^{\infty}$  to the Bloch space on bounded symmetric domains. Hamada [9] obtained the boundedness and compactness of the extended Cesàro operators between the Bloch-type spaces, which extended the results in Tang [21] to the case of unit ball of a infinite dimensional complex Banach space.

In this paper, we conform to the definitions of Bloch-type spaces and little Blochtype spaces as [9], which generalize the corresponding spaces on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of the open unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  of an infinite dimensional complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$  with arbitrary norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . All the weighted composition operator and weighted space are extended to  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  (see, Sect. 2). We study the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator from  $\omega$ -Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  (resp. little  $\omega$ -Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ) to the weighted space on  $H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  (resp. little weighted space  $H^{\omega}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ) (see, Sect. 4). In Sect. 3, we give the relations between the Bloch-type spaces  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and the little Bloch-type spaces  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Using the work of Hamada [9], we successfully construct two test functions (see, Lemma 2.4), which play a key role in the proof of our main results. Since the Bloch-type spaces  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  coincide with the Bloch-type spaces  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{H}})$  when  $\mathbb{X}$  is a complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  [see Remark 2.1 in (iii)], our main results extend the corresponding works on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (see, e.g., Krantz and Stević [12]) to the case of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  of an infinite dimensional complex Banach space.

#### 2 Preliminaries and Auxiliary Results

Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$  with arbitrary norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  denote the set of holomorphic mappings from  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{X} \setminus \{0\}$ , we define

$$T(x) = \{l_x \in \mathbb{X}^* : l_x(x) = ||x||, ||l_x|| = 1\}.$$

Then  $T(x) \neq \emptyset$  in view of the Hahn–Banach theorem.

Let  $\omega$  be a normal function on [0, 1), and  $\omega$  can be extended to a function on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  by  $\omega(z) = \omega(||z||)$ . A function  $f \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is called a Bloch-type function with respect to  $\omega$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}} = \sup\{\omega(z)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| : z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}\} < +\infty,$$
(2.1)

where  $\mathcal{R}f(z) = Df(z)z$  is the radial derivative of f and Df(z) is the Fréchet derivative of f at z.

The class of all Bloch-type functions with respect to  $\omega$  on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  is called a Bloch-type space on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . With the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} = |f(0)| + ||f||_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}},$$

the Bloch-type space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  becomes a Banach space (see, Proposition 2.5 in Hamada [9]).

The little Bloch-type space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_X)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_X)$  consisting of all f such that

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \omega(z) |\mathcal{R}f(z)| = 0.$$
(2.2)

*Remark 2.1* (i) The Bloch-type space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and little Bloch-type space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  were first introduced by Hamada [9], which generalize the corresponding spaces defined on the Euclidean unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  or on the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ .

- (ii) By choosing different functions  $\omega$ , we have the following special spaces:
  - If ω(z) = 1 − ||z||<sup>2</sup> in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively, then we obtain the Bloch space B<sub>R</sub>(**B**<sub>X</sub>) and little Bloch space B<sub>R,0</sub>(**B**<sub>X</sub>) in the unit ball of a complex Banach space (the case in B<sup>n</sup>, see, e.g., [15]).
  - If  $\omega(z) = (1 ||z||^2)^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively, then we obtain the  $\alpha$ -Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and little  $\alpha$ -Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{R},0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  in the unit ball of a complex Banach space (the case in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , see, e.g., [16]).

- If  $\omega(z) = (1 ||z||^2) \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^k}{1 ||z||^2} \right)$  in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively, then we obtain the iterated logarithmic Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_{\log,\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_{\log,\mathcal{R},0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  in the unit ball of a complex Banach space (the case in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , see, e.g., [12]).
- (iii) In the case  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{H}}$  is the unit ball of a complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ , Hamada [9] proved that the condition (2.1) is equal to

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}} = \sup\{\omega(z)\|Df(z)\| : z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}\} < +\infty,$$
(2.3)

and the condition (2.2) is equal to

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \omega(z) \|Df(z)\| = 0.$$
(2.4)

The same situation holds with (2.3) and (2.4) when  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{B}^n$  (see, Tang [21]). In fact, if  $f \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , then the relation  $|\mathcal{R}f(z)| \leq ||Df(z)||$  make sure that

$$\sup\{\omega(z)\|Df(z)\|: z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}\} < +\infty \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}),$$

but the converse is not true.

The weighted space  $H^{\infty}_{\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  consisting of all  $f \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  such that

$$||f||_{H^{\infty}_{\omega}} = \sup\{\omega(z)|f(z)| : z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}\} < +\infty,$$

where  $\omega$  is normal.

The little weighted space  $H^{\infty}_{\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is a subspace of  $H^{\infty}_{\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  consisting of all f such that

$$\lim_{\|z\|\to 1} \omega(z) |f(z)| = 0.$$

If  $u \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , and  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , then the operator  $uC_{\varphi}$  is defined by

$$uC_{\varphi}(f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \ f \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}), z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}.$$
(2.5)

**Remark 2.2** We note that (2.5) extends the corresponding weighted composition operator in (1.1) on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ .

Next, we formulate and prove several auxiliary results which are used in the main theorems. Lemma 2.3 was proved by Hamada [9], (the corresponding results in  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , see Tang [21]). Lemma 2.5 is a generalization of the result on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  (see, Krantz and Stević [12]) to the case of unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . In Lemma 2.4, we define two test functions, which play a key role in the proof of our main theorems.

**Lemma 2.3** ([9], Lemma 2.1) Let  $\omega$  be a normal function. Denote  $k_0 = \max(0, \lceil \log_2 \frac{1}{\omega(\delta)} \rceil), r_k = (\omega|_{[\delta,1)})^{-1}(\frac{1}{2^k})$  and  $n_k = \lceil \frac{1}{1-r_k} \rceil$  for  $k > k_0$ , where the

symbol [x] means the greatest integer not more than x. Let

$$G(\zeta) = 1 + \sum_{k>k_0}^{\infty} 2^k \zeta^{n_k}, \zeta \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Then

(i) G is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{U}$  such that G(r) is increasing on [0, 1) and

$$0 < C_1 = \inf_{r \in [0,1)} \omega(r) G(r) \leq \sup_{r \in [0,1)} \omega(r) G(r) = C_2 < \infty;$$

(ii) there exists a positive constant  $C_3$  such that the inequality

$$\int_0^r G(t) \mathrm{d}t \leqslant C_3 \int_0^{r^2} G(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

holds for all  $r \in [r_1, 1)$ , where  $r_1 \in (0, 1)$  is a constant such that

$$\int_0^{r_1} G(t) \mathrm{d}t = 1.$$

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . For any  $\nu \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \{0\}$ and  $l_{\nu} \in T(\nu)$ , let

$$f_{\nu,k}(z) = 1 + k \int_0^{\|\nu\| l_\nu(z)} G(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \ z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}},$$

where G is the function defined in Lemma 2.3 and  $0 < k < +\infty$ . Then

(a)  $f_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_X)$  and  $||f_{\nu,k}||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq 1 + kC_2$ , where  $C_2$  is the constant defined in Lemma 2.3.

(b) if  $||v|| \ge r_1$  and

$$F_{\nu,k}(z) = \frac{1}{f_{\nu,k}(\nu)} (f_{\nu,k}(z))^2, \ z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}},$$

where  $r_1$  is defined in Lemma 2.3, then  $F_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and

$$||F_{\nu,k}||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq \frac{1}{k}C_3 + 2(1+k)C_2C_3,$$

where  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  are the constants defined in Lemma 2.3. Moreover, if  $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt = \infty$ , then  $F_{\nu,k} \to 0$  uniformly on any closed ball strictly inside  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  as  $\|\nu\| \to 1$ .

**Proof** (a) Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\omega(z)|\mathcal{R}f_{\nu,k}(z)| = k\omega(z)|G(||\nu||l_{\nu}(z))|||\nu|||l_{\nu}(z)| \leq k\omega(||z||)G(||z||) \leq kC_2.$$

Therefore,  $f_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $||f_{\nu,k}||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq 1 + kC_2$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{R}f_{\nu,k}$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ , we have  $\lim_{\|z\|\to 1} \omega(z) |\mathcal{R}f_{\nu,k}(z)| = 0$ , which implies  $f_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .

(b) By some simple estimates and using the Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \omega(z)|\mathcal{R}F_{\nu,k}(z)| &= 2k \cdot \omega(z) \frac{1}{f_{\nu,k}(\nu)} |f_{\nu,k}(z)|G(||\nu||l_{\nu}(z))|||\nu|||l_{\nu}(z)| \\ &\leqslant 2k \cdot \omega(||z||) \frac{1}{f_{\nu,k}(\nu)} |f_{\nu,k}(z)|G(||z||) \\ &\leqslant 2kC_2 \frac{1+k\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{1+k\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} \\ &\leqslant 2kC_2 \frac{1+kC_3\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{k\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} \\ &= 2kC_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{\int_0^{r_1} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{f_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} + C_3\right) \\ &= 2kC_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} + C_3\right) \\ &\leqslant 2kC_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{\int_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{f_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} + C_3\right) \\ &\leqslant 2kC_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{f_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta}{f_0^{||\nu||^2} G(\zeta)d\zeta} + C_3\right) \\ &\leqslant 2kC_2 \left(\frac{1}{k} C_3 + C_3\right) = 2(1+k)C_2C_3. \end{split}$$
(2.6)

By (2.6), we obtain  $F_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and

$$\|F_{\nu,k}\|_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq |F_{\nu,k}(0)| + 2(1+k)C_2C_3$$
  
=  $\left|\frac{1}{f_{\nu,k}(\nu)}\right| + 2(1+k)C_2C_3$   
=  $\frac{1}{1+k\int_0^{\|\nu\|^2}G(\zeta)d\zeta} + 2(1+k)C_2C_3$   
 $\leq \frac{\int_0^{\|\nu\|}G(\zeta)d\zeta}{k\int_0^{\|\nu\|^2}G(\zeta)d\zeta} + 2(1+k)C_2C_3$   
=  $\frac{1}{k}C_3 + 2(1+k)C_2C_3.$  (2.7)

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{R}F_{\nu,k}$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ , we have  $\lim_{\|z\|\to 1} \omega(z) |\mathcal{R}F_{\nu,k}(z)| = 0$ , which implies  $F_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .

Next, if  $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt = \infty$ , then we can prove that  $F_{\nu,k} \to 0$  uniformly for  $||z|| \le r$  (0 < r < 1) as  $||\nu|| \to 1$  by a similar way in ([9], Lemma 2.7). The proof is finished.

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose that  $u \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ,  $\mu$  is normal and  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Then the operator  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact if and only if  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  $\to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded, and for any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ converging to zero uniformly on compact subset of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  as  $k \to \infty$ , we have that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|uC_{\varphi}(f_k)\|_{H^{\infty}_{\mu}} = 0$ .

**Proof** It is similar to the proofs of the corresponding results [cf. ([4], Lemma 4.4) and ([12], Lemma 5)]. We omit the proof here.

Throughout this paper, the notation  $A \simeq B$  means that there is a positive constant C such that  $\frac{A}{C} \leq B \leq CA$ .

#### **3** Some Properties with Spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$

In this section, we prove  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  in Theorem 3.1, and the transform relationship between of them is given by delay function in Theorem 3.2, which generalize the previous works on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  (see, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 in Krantz and Stević [12]).

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , where  $\mu$  is normal on [0, 1).

**Proof** Let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  such that

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \|f_j - f\|_{\mathcal{R},\mu} = 0, \ f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}).$$
(3.1)

Using the (3.1), we have that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|f_j - f\|_{\mathcal{R},\mu} < \varepsilon \tag{3.2}$$

for  $j \ge j_0$ . In particular, taking  $j = j_0$  in (3.2), it gives that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(\|z\|) |\mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z) - \mathcal{R}f(z)| \leq |f_{j_0}(z) - f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(\|z\|) |\mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z) - \mathcal{R}f(z)| < \varepsilon.$$
(3.3)

On the other hand,  $f_{j_0} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_X)$  makes sure that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z)| < \varepsilon \tag{3.4}$$

for  $\delta < ||z|| < 1$ . Thus, from (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| = \mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f(z) - \mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z) + \mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z)| \\ \leq \mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f(z) - \mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z)| + \mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f_{j_0}(z)| \\ \leq 2\varepsilon$$

for  $\delta < ||z|| < 1$ , which implies that  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . The proof of this theorem is completed.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $f_r(z) = f(rz), r \in [0, 1), z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  if and only if

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{R},\mu} = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\mu$  is normal decreasing function of ||z|| with  $\mu(0) < +\infty$ .

**Proof** After some simple computations, it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{R}f_r(z) = \mathcal{R}f(rz), z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}.$$
(3.6)

Since  $\mu$  is normal decreasing function of ||z||, by (3.6), it follows that

$$\mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f_{r}(z)| = \mu(\|z\|)|\mathcal{R}f(rz)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}}\frac{\mu(\|z\|)}{\mu(\|rz\|)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}}\frac{\mu(\|z\|)}{\mu(r)}.$$
(3.7)

Assume that (3.5) holds. Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , then by (3.7), we have

$$\lim_{\|z\|\to 1^{-}} \mu(\|z\|) |\mathcal{R}f_r(z)| = 0.$$

This implies that  $f_r \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  (see, Theorem 3.1), then (3.5) implies that  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .

Now assume  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| < \varepsilon, \tag{3.8}$$

as  $\delta^2 < ||z|| < 1$ . By (3.6), we have

$$\|f - f_r\|_{\mathcal{R},\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)|$$

$$+ \sup_{\|z\| > \delta} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)|.$$
(3.9)

It is obviously

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)| = 0$$
(3.10)

and

$$\sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} \mu(z) = \sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} \mu(\|z\|) \leq \mu(0) < +\infty.$$
(3.11)

🖉 Springer

Thus, using (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \sup_{\|z\| \le \delta} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)| = 0.$$
(3.12)

On the other hand, by (3.8), for all  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  and  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\delta < ||z|| < 1, \delta < r < 1$ , we have

$$\mu(z)|\mathcal{R}f(rz)| < \mu(rz)|\mathcal{R}f(rz)| < \varepsilon.$$
(3.13)

Hence, by (3.8) and (3.13), it follows that

$$\sup_{\delta < \|z\| < 1} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz) - \mathcal{R}f(z)| \leq \sup_{\delta < \|z\| < 1} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(rz)| + \sup_{\delta < \|z\| < 1} \mu(z) |\mathcal{R}f(z)| < 2\varepsilon$$
(3.14)

for every  $r \in (\delta, 1)$ . Using (3.12) and (3.14) in (3.9), we obtain (3.5). The proof is finished.

# $4 \ \textit{uC}_{\phi}: \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R}, \omega}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) (\text{or } \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R}, \omega_{0}}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})) \rightarrow \textit{H}^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) (\text{or } \textit{H}^{\infty}_{\mu_{0}}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}))$

In this section, we study the boundedness and compactness of operator  $uC_{\varphi}$ :  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ), which generalize the corresponding results on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  to the case of unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  of a infinite dimensional complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$  (see, Theorems 16–19 in Krantz and Stević [12]). The following set  $E_{\varepsilon,\rho}$  is needed when consider the compactness of the operator  $uC_{\varphi}$ . For  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , we define

$$E_{\varepsilon,\rho} = \left\{ z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}} : \|z\| \leqslant \rho, \exists s \in \left[1, \frac{1}{\rho}\right], \text{s.t. } \mu(sz)|u(sz)| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\}.$$
 (4.1)

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space. Assume that  $u \in H(\mathbf{B}), \varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}), \omega$  and  $\mu$  are normal on [0, 1). Then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \rightarrow H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z) |u(z)| \left( 1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t \right) < \infty.$$

$$(4.2)$$

Moreover, if  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded, then

$$\|uC_{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{R},\mu} \asymp \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|u(z)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t\right).$$
(4.3)

Deringer

**Proof** Assume that (4.2) holds and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}), z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . Using Proposition 2.4 in [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_{\varphi}(f)(z)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}\to H^{\infty}_{\mu}} &= \sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|uC_{\varphi}(f)(z)| = \sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|f(\varphi(z))u(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leqslant C_{4}\|f\|_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|u(z)| \left(1+\int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4)$$

From (4.2) and (4.4), it follows that

$$\|uC_{\varphi}\|_{H^{\infty}_{\mu}} \leqslant C_4 \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|u(z)| \left(1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t\right) < \infty$$

$$(4.5)$$

and  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded.

Conversely, assume that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded. For  $\nu \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}, k \ge 0$  and  $l_{\nu} \in T(\nu)$ , we give the test function

$$f_{\nu,k}(z) = 1 + k \int_0^{\|\nu\| l_{\nu}(z)} G(\zeta) d\zeta, z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}},$$
(4.6)

where *G* is defined as Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.4, then  $f_{\nu,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  $\subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $||f_{\nu,k}||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq C_2$ . Let  $r_1$  be the constant in Lemma 2.3. Thus, for  $\nu \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  with  $||\varphi(\nu)|| \geq r_1$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(v)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt\right) &\leq \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(v)\|} \frac{G(t)}{C_{1}} dt\right) \\ &\leq \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}} \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(v)\|^{2}} G(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \mu(v)|u(v)| f_{\varphi(v),\frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}}(\varphi(v))| &\leq \sup_{v \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(v)|u(v)|| f_{\varphi(v),\frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}}(\varphi(v))| \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(v)|uC_{\varphi}[f_{\varphi(v),\frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}}](v)| = \|uC_{\varphi}[f_{\varphi(v),\frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}}]\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}} \\ &\leq \|uC_{\varphi}\|\|f_{\varphi(v),\frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}}\|_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq C_{2}\|uC_{\varphi}\| < \infty. \end{split}$$
(4.7)

Deringer

If  $\|\varphi(v)\| < r_1$ , then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(v)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt\right) &\leq \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(v)\|} \frac{G(t)}{C_{1}} dt\right) \\ &\leq \mu(v)|u(v)| \left(1 + \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \frac{G(t)}{C_{1}} dt\right) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{C_{1}}\right) \mu(v)|u(v)| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{C_{1}}\right) \sup_{v \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(v)|u(v)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{C_{1}}\right) \|uC_{\varphi}(1)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \to H_{\mu}^{\infty}} \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{C_{1}}) \|uC_{\varphi}\| < \infty. \end{split}$$
(4.8)

The inequalities (4.7) and (4.8) yield (4.2), as desired. Moreover, from (4.4), (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain (4.3). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . Assume  $u \in H(\mathbf{B})$ ,  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\mu$  are normal functions on [0, 1). Let the set  $E_{\varepsilon,\rho}$  is relatively compact in  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . The following statements are true.

- (a) If  $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt < \infty$ , then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact if and only if  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .
- (b) If  $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt = \infty$ , then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact if and only if  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded and

$$\lim_{\|\varphi(z)\| \to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| \left( 1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t \right) = 0.$$
(4.9)

**Proof** (a) Suppose that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact. Then it is clear that  $uC_{\varphi}$  is bounded. Take the test function  $\widehat{f}(z) \equiv 1, z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . It is easy to know that  $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . We have

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}}\mu(z)uC_{\varphi}[f](z)=\sup_{z\in\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}}\mu(z)|u(z)|<\infty,$$

which implies  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .

Conversely, if  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , then  $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt < \infty$  makes sure that (4.2) holds. Thus,  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded by Theorem 4.1. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\rho \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1+\int_{\rho}^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3},\tag{4.10}$$

when  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  with  $\rho < \|\varphi(z)\| < 1$ . Let  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ which converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . We may assume that  $||f_j||_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq 1$ . Then  $|f_j| \leq C_{\rho}$  for all j and  $||z|| \leq \rho$  by Proposition 2.4 in [9], where

$$C_{\rho} = C_4 \Big( 1 + \int_0^{\rho} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t \Big).$$

There exists a positive N such that

$$|f_j(w)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3\|u\|_{H^\infty_\mu} + 1}, \, j > N, \, w \in E_{\varepsilon/(3C_\rho),\rho}.$$

Therefore, for  $\|\varphi(z)\| \leq \rho$  and t = 1 or for  $\rho < \|\varphi(z)\| < 1$  and  $t = \frac{\rho}{\|\varphi(z)\|}$ , we have

$$\mu(z)|u(z)||f_j(t\varphi(z))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \ j > N.$$
(4.11)

Next, we will finish the proof through a case by case check:

**Case I:** if  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  with  $\|\varphi(z)\| \leq \rho$ , by (4.11), then we have

$$\mu(z)|u(z)||f_j(\varphi(z))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \ j > N$$
(4.12)

holds.

**Case II:** if  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  with  $\rho < \|\varphi(z)\| < 1$ , by (4.10), (4.11) and some simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{split} \mu(z)|u(z)||f_{j}(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leqslant \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}(\varphi(z)) - f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leqslant \mu(z)|u(z)\int_{\frac{\rho}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^{1}|\mathcal{R}f_{j}(t\varphi(z))|\frac{1}{t}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leqslant \mu(z)|u(z)|\frac{\|\varphi(z)\|}{\rho}\int_{\frac{\rho}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^{1}|\mathcal{R}f_{j}(t\varphi(z))|dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leqslant 2\mu(z)|u(z)|\|\varphi(z)\|\int_{\frac{\rho}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^{1}\frac{1}{\omega(t)\|\varphi(z)\|}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leqslant 2\mu(z)|u(z)|\|\varphi(z)\|\int_{\frac{\rho}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^{1}\frac{1}{\omega(t)\|\varphi(z)\|}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leqslant 2\mu(z)|u(z)|\int_{\rho}^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big| \\ &\leq 2\mu(z)|u(z)|\int_{\rho}^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{j}\Big(\rho\frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}\Big| \\ \\ &\leq 2\mu(z)|u(z)|\int_{\rho}^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt + \mu(z)|u(z)|\Big|f_{$$

for j > N.

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , employing the (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\|uC_{\varphi}f_j\|_{H^{\infty}_u} < \varepsilon, \ j > N.$$

$$(4.14)$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and (4.14),  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact.

(b) If  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact, then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  $\to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded. Now, we assume that conditions (4.9) dose not hold, then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{\varphi(z_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\varphi(z_k)\| = 1 \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\mu(z_k)|u(z_k)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z_k)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt\right) \ge \varepsilon, \ k=1,2,3,\dots$$
(4.16)

For  $\nu \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  and  $l_{\nu} \in T(\nu)$ , we give the test function

$$F_{\varphi(z_k),\frac{C_3}{C_1}}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{C_3}{C_1} \int_0^{\|\varphi(z_k)\|^2} G(\zeta) d\zeta} \left(1 + \frac{C_3}{C_1} \int_0^{\|\varphi(z_k)\| l_{\varphi(z_k)}(z)} G(\zeta) d\zeta\right)^2,$$
(4.17)

where *G* is defined as Lemma 2.3 and  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . In fact, (4.15) implies that we can assume that  $\|\varphi(z_k)\| > r_1$ , where  $r_1$  is the constant in Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, if we take  $f_k(z) = F_{\varphi(z_k), \frac{C_3}{C_1}}(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ , from Lemma 2.4, then  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R}, \omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $f_k \to 0$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|uC_{\varphi}(f_k)\|_{H^{\infty}_{\mu}} = 0.$$

$$(4.18)$$

On the other hand, using (4.16) and Lemma 2.3 with  $\|\varphi(z_k)\| > r_1$ , we have

$$\|uC_{\varphi}(f_{k})\|_{H^{\infty}_{\mu}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z)|u(z)||f_{k}(\varphi(z))| \ge \mu(z_{k})|u(z_{k})||f_{k}(\varphi(z_{k}))|$$

$$= \mu(z_{k})|u(z_{k})|\left(1 + \frac{C_{3}}{C_{1}}\int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z_{k})\|^{2}}G(t)dt\right)$$

$$\ge \mu(z_{k})|u(z_{k})|\left(1 + \frac{1}{C_{1}}\int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z_{k})\|}G(t)dt\right)$$

$$\ge \mu(z_{k})|u(z_{k})|\left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z_{k})\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt\right) \ge \varepsilon, \qquad (4.19)$$

(4.19) is a contradiction compare with (4.18). Thus, we obtain (4.9).

Conversely, assume that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded and (4.9) holds. By Theorem 4.1, we know that condition (4.2) holds. Furthermore, since  $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt = \infty$ , we get (4.2) implies  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Moreover, according to (4.9), there exists  $\rho \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|}G(t)dt\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \ \rho < \|\varphi(z)\| < 1,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is any small positive number. The rest of the proof is similar to the case (a), we omit it. This proof is completed.

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space. Assume  $u \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ,  $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\mu$  are normal functions on [0, 1). Then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded if and only if  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and

$$M =: \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}} \mu(z) |u(z)| \left( 1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t \right) < \infty.$$

$$(4.20)$$

**Proof** First assume that  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and (4.20) hold. By Theorem 4.1, we know that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded. Therefore, we only need to prove that  $uC_{\varphi}(f) \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Now, let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Applying (4.20), then there exists  $\rho_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  such that

$$\omega(z)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}, \ \rho_0 \leqslant ||z|| < 1,$$
(4.21)

where  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrarily small positive number.

Taking  $\tilde{z} = \rho_0 \frac{\varphi(z)}{\|\varphi(z)\|}$ , then  $\|\tilde{z}\| = \rho_0 < 1$ . For any  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  with  $\rho_0 < \|z\| < 1$ , using (4.21), we have

$$\begin{split} |f(\varphi(z)) - f(\widetilde{z})| &= \left| \int_{\frac{\rho_0}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^1 \frac{\mathcal{R}f(t\varphi(z))}{t} dt \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{\|\varphi(z)\|}{\rho_0} \int_{\frac{\rho_0}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^1 |\mathcal{R}f(t\varphi(z))| dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \frac{\|\varphi(z)\|}{\rho_0} \int_{\frac{\rho_0}{\|\varphi(z)\|}}^1 \frac{1}{\omega(t\|\varphi(z)\|)} dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4\rho_0 M} \int_{\rho_0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(\widetilde{t})} d\widetilde{t} \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \int_{\rho_0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(\widetilde{t})} d\widetilde{t}. \end{split}$$
(4.22)

In the above equality (4.22), we use the fact that

$$\rho_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{\|\varphi(z)\|} \|\varphi(z)\| < \|t\varphi(z)\| < t < 1.$$

D Springer

Set  $K = \sup_{\|z\| \le \rho_0} |f(z)|$ . By Proposition 2.4 in [9],  $K < \infty$ . Since  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\rho_1 \in (\rho_0, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|u(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2K},\tag{4.23}$$

whenever  $\rho_1 < ||z|| < 1$ . Combining (4.22) and (4.23), for  $\rho_1 < ||z|| < 1$ , we obtain

$$\mu(z)|uC_{\varphi}(f)(z)| = \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\varphi(z))|$$

$$= \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\varphi(z)) - f(\tilde{z}) + f(\tilde{z})|$$

$$\leqslant \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\varphi(z)) - f(\tilde{z})| + \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\tilde{z})|$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}\mu(z)|u(z)|\int_{\rho_0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(\tilde{t})} d\tilde{t} + \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\tilde{z})|$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2K}K = \varepsilon.$$
(4.24)

Hence, (4.24) implies that  $uC_{\varphi}(f) \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ .

Conversely, assume that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is bounded. Taking  $f(z) \equiv 1$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{\|z\|\to 1} \mu(z) |uC_{\varphi}(1)| = \lim_{\|z\|\to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| = 0,$$

which implies that  $u \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ . On the other hand, For  $\nu \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}, k \ge 0$  and  $l_{\nu} \in T(\nu)$ , we give the test function

$$f_{\nu,k}(z) = 1 + k \int_0^{\|\nu\| l_{\nu}(z)} G(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \ z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}},$$
(4.25)

where *G* is defined as Lemma 2.3. Applying the facts  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \subset H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ , we can obtain (4.20) by (4.7) and (4.8). The proof is finished.

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the unit ball of a complex Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . Assume  $u \in H(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}), \varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}), \omega$  and  $\mu$  are normal functions on [0, 1). The set  $E_{\varepsilon,\rho}$  is relatively compact in  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| \left( 1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt \right) = 0.$$
(4.26)

**Proof** Assume that (4.26) holds. Taking any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_X)$ , by Proposition 2.4 in [9], we have

$$\mu(z)|uC_{\varphi}(f)(z)| = \mu(z)|u(z)||f(\varphi(z))| \\ \leqslant C_{4}\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1 + \int_{0}^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt\right)||f||_{\mathcal{R},\omega},$$
(4.27)

which implies

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \sup\{\mu(z) | uC_{\varphi}(f)(z)| : \|f\|_{\mathcal{R},\omega} \leq 1\} = 0.$$
(4.28)

Therefore,  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact.

Conversely, assume that  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  is compact, then  $uC_{\varphi}$  is bounded. Taking the test function  $\widehat{f}(z) = 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \mu(z) u C_{\varphi}(f)(z) = \lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| = 0.$$
(4.29)

Now we prove

$$\lim_{\|\varphi(z)\| \to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| \left( 1 + \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t \right) = 0$$
(4.30)

holds. In fact, if (4.30) does not hold, then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{\varphi(z_j)\} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  such that  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\varphi(z_j)\| = 1$  and

$$\mu(z_j)|u(z_j)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z_j)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}dt\right) \ge \varepsilon, \ j=1,2,3,\dots$$
(4.31)

Taking the same test functions  $f_k(z)$  as (4.17) and using the similar ways in Theorem 4.2, we note that  $\{f_k\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  and  $f_k \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ . Since  $uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R},\omega_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$ is compact, we may assume that there exists some  $h \in H^{\infty}_{\mu_0}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}})$  such that  $\|uC_{\varphi}(f_k) - h\|_{H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then for each  $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$ , we have

$$h(z) = \lim_{k \to \infty} uC_{\varphi}(f_k)(z) = uC_{\varphi}(\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k)(z) = uC_{\varphi}(0)(z) = 0.$$

Thus, we have  $||uC_{\varphi}(f_k)||_{H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . This contradicts with (4.31). Thus, (4.30) holds. By (4.30) we have that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon\tag{4.32}$$

🖉 Springer

with  $r < \|\varphi(z)\| < 1$ . On the other hand, using (4.29), there exists  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mu(z)|u(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \int_0^r \frac{1}{\omega(t)} \mathrm{d}t}$$
(4.33)

with  $\rho < ||z|| < 1$ . Therefore, when  $\rho < ||z|| < 1$  and  $r < ||\varphi(z)|| < 1$ , from (4.32) and (4.33), we have

$$\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right)<\varepsilon.$$
(4.34)

If  $\rho < ||z|| < 1$  and  $||\varphi(z)|| \leq r$ , from (4.33), then

$$\mu(z)|u(z)|\left(1+\int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|}\frac{1}{\omega(t)}\mathrm{d}t\right) < \mu(z)|u(z)|\int_0^r\frac{1}{\omega(t)}\mathrm{d}t < \varepsilon.$$
(4.35)

Combining (4.34) and (4.35), we obtain

$$\lim_{\|z\| \to 1} \mu(z) |u(z)| \int_0^{\|\varphi(z)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dt = 0.$$
(4.36)

This proof is completed.

**Remark 4.5** This assumption that the set  $E_{\varepsilon,\rho}$  is relatively compact in  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{X}}$  is automatically satisfied when dim $(\mathbb{X}) < +\infty$  (see Theorems 4.2, 4.4).

**Acknowledgements** The project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11671306).

### References

- Anderson, J.M., Clunie, J.G., Pommerenke, Ch.: On Bloch functions and normal functions. J. Reine Angew. Math. 270, 12–37 (1974)
- Allen, R.F., Colonna, F.: Weighted composition operators from H<sup>∞</sup> to the Bloch space of a bounded homogeneous domain. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 66, 21–40 (2010)
- Blasco, O., Galindo, P., Miralles, A.: Bloch functions on the unit ball of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. J. Funct. Anal. 267, 1188–1204 (2014)
- Blasco, O., Galindo, P., Lindström, M., Miralles, A.: Composition operators on the Bloch space of the unit ball of a Hilbert space. Banach J. Math. Anal. 11, 311–334 (2017)
- Chu, C.-H., Hamada, H., Honda, T., Kohr, G.: Bloch functions on bounded symmetric domains. J. Funct. Anal. 272, 2412–2441 (2017)
- Bai, H.B., Jiang, Z.J.: Generalized weighted composition operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch– Orlicz type spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 273, 89–97 (2016)
- Deng, F., Ouyang, C.H.: Bloch spaces on bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces. Sci. China Ser. A 49, 1625–1632 (2006)
- Fang, Z.S., Zhou, Z.H.: Extended Cesàro operators from generally weighted Bloch spaces to Zygmund space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359, 499–507 (2009)
- Hamada, H.: Bloch-type spaces and extended Cesàro operators in the unit ball of a complex Banach space. Sci. China Math. (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-017-9183-5
- Hamada, H.: Weighted composition operators from H<sup>∞</sup> to the Bloch space of infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domains. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 12, 207–216 (2018)

- Hahn, K.T.: Holomorphic mappings of the hyperbolic space into the complex Euclidean space and the Bloch theorem. Can. J. Math. 27, 446–458 (1975)
- Krantz, S.G., Stević, S.: On the iterated logarithmic Bloch space on the unit ball. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 71, 1772–1795 (2009)
- Kaup, W.: A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces. Math. Z. 183, 503–529 (1983)
- 14. Li, S., Stević, S.: Products of composition and integral type operators from  $H^{\infty}$  to the Bloch space. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53, 463–474 (2008)
- Li, S., Stević, S.: Generalized composition operators on Zygmund spaces and Bloch type spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338, 1282–1295 (2008)
- Li, H.: On an integral-type operator from the Bloch space to mixed norm spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 273, 624–630 (2016)
- 17. Pommerenke, C.: On Bloch functions. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2, 689-695 (1970)
- Stević, S.: On an integral operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-type space on the unit ball. Glasgow Math. J. 51, 272–287 (2009)
- Sehba, B., Stević, S.: On some product-type operators from Hardy–Orlicz and Bergman–Orlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 233, 565–581 (2014)
- Timoney, R.M.: Bloch functions in several complex variables. I. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 12, 241–267 (1980)
- 21. Tang, X.: Extended Cesàro operators between Bloch-type spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326**, 1199–1211 (2007)
- Zhang, X.: Weighted composition operators between μ-Bloch spaces on the unit ball. Sci. China Ser. A 48, 1349–1368 (2005)
- Zhu, X.L.: Generalized weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 1–9 (2015)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.