#### **RESEARCH PAPER**



# **Adsorption of Phenol from Wastewater Using Microwave‑Assisted Ag–Au Nanoparticle‑Modifed Mango Seed Shell‑Activated Carbon**

**Idris Olanrewaju Okeowo<sup>1,2</sup> · Ezekiel Olalekan Balogun<sup>1,2</sup> · Ayodeji Joshua Ademola<sup>1,2</sup> · Abass Olanrewaju Alade<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Tinuade Jolaade Afolabi1,2 · Ebenezer Olujimi Dada1 · Abolaji Grace Farombi2,4**

Received: 20 July 2019 / Revised: 4 January 2020 / Accepted: 18 January 2020 / Published online: 5 February 2020 © University of Tehran 2020

## **Abstract**

Removal of phenol from simulated wastewater was investigated with silver–gold-nanoparticle-modifed mango seed shellactivated carbon under batch experiment. The surface properties of the activated carbons were characterized using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Adsorption experiment was carried out at ambient temperature to study the efect of contact time, adsorbent dosage, and temperature on phenol adsorption. The equilibrium data were ftted to isotherm model, kinetic model, and intra-particle diffusion models. The maximum removal efficiencies increased from 55.5 to 94.55 and 71.4 to 98.1% for the unmodifed and nano-modifed activated carbon with increase concentration (50–250 mg/l). The correlation coefficient  $(R^2)$  Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin were  $0.3554-0.4563$  and  $0.2813-0.3191$ ,  $0.9150-0.9596$  for nanoparticle-modifed activated carbon (NCAMSS), as well as 0.5853–0.6598, 0.8159–0.8642, and 0.8159–0.8642, for unmodifed activated carbon (UCAMSS). The  $R^2$  of the pseudo-first and pseudo-second orders as well as Elovich kinetic models were in the ranges 0.8661–0.9925, 0.8260–0.9942, and 0.6032–0.7505 for NCAMSS as well as 0.4846–0.6032, 0.9567–0.9929, and 0.8842–0.9786, for UCAMSS and modifed activated carbon, respectively, The order of ftness/suitability of the models is pseudo-frst order>Elovich>pseudo-second order. The intra-particle difusion model showed that the rate-controlling step is infuenced by pore difusion and that boundary layer difusion and the adsorption process is heterogeneous, exothermic, and spontaneous. It can be deduced that mango seed shell is a good precursor in the production of activated due to its high yield and good adsorption capacity and the modifcation of the activated carbon with nanoparticles increased the precursor adsorption properties.

# **Article Highlights**

- **Biosynthesises of silver/gold nanoparticles was successfully used to modify activated carbon developed from mango seed shell.**
- **The FTIR of the Mango seed shell AC and the nanoparticle-modifed Mango seed shell AC indicated the presence of IR peak ranged from 729.5 to 3902.9 cm−1 and 717.8 to 3985.3 cm−1, respectively.**
- The adsorption results of both nanoparticle-modified and unmodified AC indicated removal efficiencies increased **from 55.5 to 94.55% and 71.4 to 98.1%, respectively.**

 $\boxtimes$  Abass Olanrewaju Alade aoalade@lautech.edu.ng

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Nigeria
- <sup>2</sup> Bioenvironmental, Water and Engineering Research Group (BWERG), Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Science and Engineering Research Group (SAERG), Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Nigeria
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Osun State Polytechnic, Iree, Nigeria
- **Freundlich isotherm is suitable to ft the adsorption of phenol unto activated carbon produced from mango seed shell.**
- **The Δ***H***° values gotten were negative which varied from −8.8212 to −22.8643 KJ/mol for the unmodifed AC and −3.694 KJ/mol to −31.402 KJ/mol for nanoparticle-modifed ACs. This showed that the adsorption process of phenol was spontaneous and exothermic.**

**Keywords** Activated carbon · *Cola nitida* · Mango shell · Silver–gold nanoparticle · Phenol

# **Introduction**

Phenolic compounds are organic compounds characterised with hydroxyl group(s) directly bonded to one or more aromatic rings. The frst member of the group is phenol, with the chemical formula of  $C_6H_6OH$  and all other members of the group are derivatives of phenol (Dixon and Paiva [1995](#page-17-0)). Phenolic compounds are introduced into the aquatic environment through various activities resulting from agricultural, domestic, industrial, and natural processes. High concentration of phenol and phenolic compounds in water bodies have been linked to the wastewater discharged from major manufacturing industries, domestic wastes, runoffs from agricultural felds particularly where phenol-based agrochemical have been extensively used and natural decomposition or degradation of organic matters present in the aquatic environment (Wallace [1996\)](#page-18-0).

Phenolic compounds that undergo transformations in the water bodies usually produced other complex compounds, which are more harmful than the original compounds and tend to persist in the environment (Bruce et al. [1987](#page-16-0); Kulkarni and Kaware [2013](#page-17-1)). These developments signifes that the presence of phenolic compounds in the aquatic environments is obnoxious, undesirable, and deleterious to human health as well as flora and fauna (Bruce et al. [1987](#page-16-0); Tchounwouet al. [2014](#page-17-2); Dieguez-Santana et al. [2016](#page-17-3); Acosta et al. [2018](#page-16-1); Tichapondwa et al. [2018](#page-17-4)). Thus, concerns have been raised to ameliorate the infuence of wastewater containing phenol, which has to be removed, before being discharged into the environment and its eventual committal to aquatic environment (Acosta et al. [2018;](#page-16-1) Wang et al. [2018](#page-18-1)).

Various wastewater treatment techniques, such as extraction, polymerisation, electro-Fenton process, photocatalytic degradation, chemical and bacterial oxidation, and electrochemical and irradiation, have been used for the removal of phenol and phenol compounds from industrial wastewaters (Gupta et al. [2013\)](#page-17-5). However, the reputation of these techniques has been relegated due to some challenges such as high cost, incomplete purifcation of phenol, formation of hazardous by-products, and relatively low efficiency.

Adsorption technique, which essentially involved the use of activated carbon (AC), has been favoured as efficient technique for the treatment of organic wastewaters (Alabi et al. [2019](#page-16-2)). In addition, the adsorption process is preferable, because it is economical, easy to hand, and better efficiency, compared to other techniques (Zhong [2017\)](#page-18-2). The activated carbon is characterised, generally, with various properties such as large surface area, improved surface chemistry, and pore volume, which are efective for the removal of organic pollutants, toxic heavy metal ions, and dyes from fluid stream (Bulgariu et al. [2011;](#page-16-3) Lin et al. [2013](#page-17-6); Wang et al. [2018](#page-18-1); Zbair et al. [2018a,](#page-18-3) [b](#page-18-3)). Activated carbons can be produced from various types of synthetic materials, which may be sourced from the industries, or renewable raw materials, which are sourced from agricultural wastes, by-products, and residues (Zbair et al. [2017\)](#page-18-4).

These materials (synthetic or renewable) are activated via chemical or physical activation, independently or combined (Zbair et al. [2017](#page-18-4)). Physical activation involves carbonization of the desired material at elevated temperature to produce char, which is devoid of volatile components (Williams and Reed [2003\)](#page-18-5). Chemical activation involves impregnation of the desired material with acidic, basic, and salt chemicals (Williams and Reed [2003](#page-18-5)). This process may be followed by carbonization at elevated temperature or vice versa, and the use of microwave has been reported in recent time (Zbair et al. [2019\)](#page-18-6). Common chemicals used for activation purposes are generally dehydrating agents that have the capacity to activate the functional adsorption sites, and increases the binding capacity of the desired material (Allen et al. [2008](#page-16-4); Zbair et al. [2019\)](#page-18-6). They include chloride salts (aluminium, ferric iron, magnesium, and zinc), hydroxide (calcium, potassium, and sodium), and mineral acids (phosphoric, sulphuric). We employed nanoparticles biosynthesized from waste biomass to improve the adsorptive capacity of the targeted precursor in this study. The search for cheap adsorbent has led to the increasing use of agricultural wastes in the preparation of adsorbent (Ahsaine et al. [2018\)](#page-16-5) and mango seed shell is of no exception.

Mango (*Mangifera indica*) is a very common tropical fruits found widely in many towns and villages within the Savannah and Rain Forest regions of Nigeria. The edible parts of the mango fruit are the peel and the fbrous material, while the nuts are usually discarded as waste, thus making Mango seed shell a signifcant solid waste candidate during its season (Alencar et al. [2012\)](#page-16-6). The indiscriminate disposal of the Mango peels and shells, due to its high consumption, leads to littering of the environment and generation of odour when oxidized or fermented (Meireles et al. [2010\)](#page-17-7).

Mango seed shell (MSS) has been used as precursor for the production of efective activated carbon (AC) used for removal of various types of dyes (Kumar and Kumaran [2005;](#page-17-8) Davila-Jimenez et al. [2009](#page-17-9); Alencar et al. [2012](#page-16-6)). However, MSS has not been reported as raw or modifed adsorbent for the removal of phenol-form aqueous medium. This work is aim at using AC produced from MSS, which was modifed, further, with biosynthesized nanoparticle from *cola nitida* for the removal of phenol from aqueous medium. The study was conducted under batch and the data generated were evaluated with various adsorption study parameters to determinate the efficacies of the MSS as precursor for the production of activated carbon suitable for the removal of phenol from wastewater.

# **Methodology**

### **Chemicals and Reagents**

The agricultural precursor used for this study was discarded Mango seed shell. Major reagents such as  $BaCl<sub>2</sub>$ , AgNO<sub>3</sub>, and  $HAuCl<sub>4</sub>$  were supplied by major chemical companies such as Merck, Sigma-Aldrich, and BDH. All the reagents were all of analytical grade, and thus, they were used without further purifcation.

#### **Preparation of Adsorbent**

#### **Sampling**

The MSS was sourced from LAUTECH Teaching and Research Farm, LAUTECH, Ogbomoso, Nigeria. They were sorted to remove stones and dust after collection. They were then washed with mild detergents and rinsed with copious amount of distilled water to remove impurities on their surfaces (Bullut and Tez [2007\)](#page-16-7), sun-dried for 3 days, and oven-dried at 105 °C to constant weight (Tossou et al. [2019](#page-17-10)). Thereafter, it was milled to uniform size to increase its surface area (Bullut and Tez [2007\)](#page-16-7) before activation and carbonization.

# **Activation and Carbonization**

The MSS precursor (10 g) was mixed with 300 ml of 0.1 M of BaCl<sub>2</sub> based on the impregnation ratio  $(1:0.033)$  in a beaker, for 24 h. The ratio is equivalent to 300 g of activant solution (assuming the density of the solution to be approximately 1  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$ ) to 10 g of the precursor (Eq. [1\)](#page-2-0). The mixture was subjected to microwave oven effect at 300 Hz for 30 min

and excess BaCl<sub>2</sub> was boiled-off to form a paste before being oven-dried at 110 °C for 2 h (Gumus and Okpeku [2015\)](#page-17-11). The mixture was then washed with distilled water until pH 7, to remove all traces of the activant, since the  $BaCl<sub>2</sub>$  is watersoluble chloride, and then oven-dried to constant weight at a 105 °C:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Impregnation ratio = 
$$
\frac{\text{Weight of activation}}{\text{Weight of precursor}}.
$$
 (1)

Crucibles with known weight were flled (about 70% capacity) with the  $BaCl<sub>2</sub>$ -activated mango seed shell (AMSS) precursor and then reweighed before being charged into muffle furnace at 500  $^{\circ}$ C and for 20 min, for carbonisation purpose. These values were achieved in the preliminary studies conducted (Okeowo et al. [2017](#page-17-12)). The carbonised AMSS (CAMSS) produced was cooled in a desiccator before being crushed, washed, and oven-dried to constant moisture content. It was then screened to the desired size of 200 µm and divided into two parts in which one part was taken for modifcation with biosynthesized nanoparticle, while the other parts was used as unmodifed.

## **Modifcation of Carbonised Mango Seed Shell AC with Nanoparticle**

Silver-gold nanoparticle (Ag–AuNPs) was synthesized from Kolanut (*Cola nitida*) plant as reported by Lateef et al. ([2016\)](#page-17-13) with slight modifcations. The *Cola nitida* plant extract, which serves as the precursor for the nanoparticle synthesis, was obtained by dispersing *Cola nitida* plant powder (0.1 g) in 10 ml of distilled water and then heated in water bath at 60 °C for 1 h. The reddish-brown extract obtained was fltered through Whatman No. 1 and the fltrate was further centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 min to obtain supernatant without suspensions. The supernatants (1 ml) were mixed with 10 ml solution containing 1 mM  $(HAuCl<sub>4</sub>)$  and 1 mM AgNO<sub>3</sub> in ratio 1:4, respectively. The mixture was subjected to efect of sunlight and the changes in its colour for the formation of nanoparticles (Ag–AuNPs) were monitored. The dried CAMSS (0.5 g) was soaked in 10 ml (0.5 mg/l) of freshly prepared Ag-AuNPs' solution in a conical fask and agitated at 200 rpm on a rotary shaker for 12 h (Olajire et al. [2017\)](#page-17-14). The mixture was then charged into the microwave oven for 1 h at 400 Hz to facilitate efective thermal deposition of the nanoparticles onto the CAMSS. The Ag–Au nanoparticle-modifed CAMSS (NCAMSS) was then fltered and dried at 110 °C to constant weight.

### **Adsorbent Characterization**

Surface characteristics of the raw MSS, unmodifed CAMSS (UCAMSS), and NCAMSS developed were conducted using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis (Al-Qodah and Shawabkah [2009\)](#page-16-8). Samples (0.1 g) of the materials, each, was mixed with 1 g of KBr (spectroscopy grade) in a mortar. Part of the mixed paste was introduced in a cell connected to a piston of a hydraulic pump giving a compression pressure of 15 kPa/cm<sup>2</sup>. The solid disc developed from the compression, was heated in an oven at 105 °C for 4 h to prevent interference of water vapour or carbon dioxide molecules, before being transferred to the FTIR analyzer (PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 series FTIR Spectrometer, USA). Various functional groups present on the surface of the materials were analysed within the range of 400–4000 cm−1. Corresponding peaks obtained showing the wavelengths of the diferent functional groups in the sample and the spectra observed were identifed by comparing with the values in the library (Al-Qodah and Shawabkah [2009\)](#page-16-8).

#### **Adsorption Experiment**

#### **Preparation of Adsorbate**

Simulated phenol wastewater was produced by preparing the desired concentration of phenol solution in a conical fask. This was done by preparing a phenol solution of 1000 mg/l concentration in a standard volumetric fask (Ashanendu et al. [2018](#page-16-9)). The stock solution was diluted to the desired concentrations of 50–250 mg/l for batch equilibrium studies (Amri et al. [2009](#page-16-10)).

#### **Batch Adsorption Experiment**

Batch adsorption of phenol from the wastewater was carried out using the UCAMSS and NCAMSS to evaluate their adsorption performance. The adsorptive capacity of the activated carbon produced was determined using the method adopted by Amri et al. [\(2009](#page-16-10)). The samples (0.1 g) were added to 100 ml of diferent initial concentrations of phenol in a conical fask and then agitated at 200 rpm. The aqueous samples were taken at pre-set time intervals and their residual concentrations were determined using UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 270 nm wavelength for phenol. The adsorption capacity was evaluated using Eq. [2,](#page-3-0) while Eq. [3](#page-3-1) determined the percentage removal or removal efficiency:

Adsorption capacity = 
$$
\frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{M}
$$
, (2)

$$
Removal(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0},\tag{3}
$$

where  $C_0$  (mg/l) is the initial concentration of phenol in contact with adsorbent,  $C_e$  (mg/g) is the final concentration of phenol after the batch adsorption procedure at any time *t*,

*M* (g) is the mass of adsorbent, and V is the volume (l) of the aqueous.

#### **Adsorption Isotherm Studies**

The equilibrium adsorption isotherm of phenol removal by the adsorbent produced was considered as is important in the design of adsorption system. The adsorbent samples (0.1 g) was placed in a 250 ml conical fask containing 100 ml of phenol solution of diferent initial known concentration. The agitation speed was kept constant at 200 rpm for each run to ensure equal mixing and reach equilibrium at a given time period. The content was fltered using flter paper and the supernatant solution in each fask was analysed using UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The adsorption data were ftted to wellknown isotherm models such as Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin. Their constants were evaluated from the plots developed based on the liner form of their Eqs. ([4–](#page-3-2)[6](#page-3-3)) (Freundlich [1906;](#page-17-15) Langmuir [1916](#page-17-16)). The Langmuir isotherm constants, *b* and  $q_{\text{max}}$ , were determined from the plot of  $1/q_e$  versus  $1/C_e$ . The Freundlich isotherm constants,  $K_f$  and  $n^{-1}$ , were determined from the plot of log  $q_e$  versus log  $C_e$ , while the Temkin isotherm constants *A* and *B* were determined from the plot of  $q_e$  versus ln  $C_e$ :

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
C_{\rm e}/q_{\rm e} = C_{\rm e}/q_{\rm m} + 1/q_{\rm m}K_{\rm L},\tag{4}
$$

$$
\ln q_{\rm e} = \ln K_{\rm f} + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_{\rm e},\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
q_{\rm e} = B \ln A + B \ln C_{\rm e},\tag{6}
$$

where  $q_e$  is amount of adsorbate absorbed at equilibrium (mg/g),  $q_{\text{max}}$  is maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g), *b* is Langmuir equilibrium constant (l/mg),  $C_e$  is equilibrium phenol concentration in the solution (mg/l),  $K_f$  is Freundlich constant ((mg/g) (l/mg)1/*<sup>n</sup>* ), 1/*n* is Freundlich exponent (dimensionless),  $A$  is the Temkin isotherm constant  $(l/g)$ , and *B* is heat of sorption (J/mol), respectively.

## **Batch Kinetics Studies**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The adsorption kinetics of phenol on adsorbent was investigated using pseudo-frst order, pseudo-second order, and Elovich kinetic models (Eqs. [7](#page-3-4)[–9\)](#page-4-0). The plot of  $ln(q_e - q_t)$ against *t* gave a slope of  $K_1$  and an intercept of  $q_e$ , linear plot of  $t/q_t$  against *t* gave  $1/q_e$  as the slope and  $1/K_2q_e^2$  as the intercept (Alabi et al.  $2019$ ), and plot of  $q_t$  against lnt gave a linear slope of  $(1/\beta)$  and an intercept of  $1/\beta \ln(\alpha\beta)$ . All the evaluated parameters were used to predict the behaviour of the adsorption process:

<span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\ln\left(q_e - q_e\right) = \ln q_e - K_1 t,\tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{t}{q_{\rm t}} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_{\rm e}^2} + t/q_{\rm e},\tag{8}
$$

$$
q_{t} = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t, \qquad (9)
$$

where  $q_e$  and  $q_t$  (mg/g) are the amounts of adsorbate adsorbed at equilibrium and any time *t* (min) respectively,  $K_1$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the adsorption rate constant, and  $K_2$  (g/mg h) is the rate of second-order adsorption, Where  $\alpha$  is the initial adsorption rate (mg  $g^{-1}$  min) and  $\beta$  is related to the extent of surface coverage and the activation energy for chemisorption (g mg).

## **Adsorption Mechanism**

The intra-particle difusion rate model shows that the ratelimiting step is the transport of the solute from the bulk of the solution to the adsorbent pores through intra-particles process. Various adsorption studies indicate that solute uptake varies almost proportionally with  $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  rather than with the contact time, *t* (Ejikeme et al. [2014](#page-17-17)). The model is expressed in Eq. [10](#page-4-1) and plot of  $q_t$  versus  $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  gave slope of  $K_{\text{id}}$ and intercept of *C* (mg/g). Value of *C* gives the idea about the thickness of boundary layer and it has been suggested that the magnitudes of *C* values is directly related to the surface sorption in the rate-controlling step (Ejikeme et al. [2014](#page-17-17)):

$$
q_{t} = K_{id}t^{1/2} + C,
$$
\n(10)

where  $K_{id}$  is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant  $(mg/g min<sup>1/2</sup>)$  and *C* is the intercept.

#### **Adsorption Thermodynamic**

Thermodynamic properties of adsorption process depict the level of spontaneity in the process as indicated by the Gibbs free energy change, Δ*G*° (Dejene et al. [2016](#page-17-18)). The energy  $(\Delta H^{\circ})$  and entropy  $(\Delta S^{\circ})$  changes are evaluated, usually, to determine the Gibbs free energy of the process. The volume of phenol solution (100 mg/l), agitation speed (200 rpm), and dosages (0.1 g) of the adsorbents produced were kept constant, while the time and temperature were varied from 10 to 50 and 35 to 60 ºC, respectively. The mixture after agitation was fltered and the supernatant was analysed using UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The free energy equation that factors the adsorption equilibrium constant  $(K_a)$  (Eq. [11](#page-4-2)) and the differential form (Eq. [12](#page-4-3)) that relates  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and  $K_{a}$ , were used to facilitate the evaluation of Δ*H*° and Δ*S*° which were determined from the slope and intercept of the plot of Δ*G*° versus temperature, *T* (Eq. [13\)](#page-4-4) (Krishnaveni and Renugadevi [2012](#page-17-19)):

$$
-RT \ln K_{\rm a} = \Delta G^0,\tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d \ln K_a}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT},\tag{12}
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S,\tag{13}
$$

where Δ*G*° (J/mol) is the standard free energy change, *R* (8.314 J/mol K) is the universal gas constant, and *T* (K) is absolute temperature.

# **Results and Discussion**

### **Adsorbent Characterization**

<span id="page-4-1"></span>The FTIR revealed the extent of reduction, appearance, disappearance, or broadening of the peaks on the surfaces of the adsorbent developed after the carbonization and impregnation with AgNPs (Olajire et al. [2017](#page-17-14)). The presence of these functional groups on the adsorbents was responsible for preferences of diferent adsorbate adsorption from aqueous medium (Rai et al. [2015](#page-17-20)). The FTIR characterisation (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)–c) indicated the presence of IR peak in the ranged 729.5–3902.9 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the peak height in the ranged 38.2–39.8 cm for the raw MSS. The IR peak for UCAMSS ranged from 717.8 to 3985.3 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the peak height was from 14.3 to 17.8 cm, while for the NCAMSS, the peak ranged from 725.8 to 3987.1 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the peak height ranges from 4.5 to 12.1 cm (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)c). There was the appearance of the –C≡C– at peak of 2191.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> after the carbonization of the mango seed shell. There were changes in the functional groups of both samples. Upon surface modifcation, there are appearances of some additional peaks at 3568.7 cm<sup>-1</sup> Ag–Au nanoparticles-modified AC that can be attributed to stretching vibration of OH. Prominent peaks identifed on the surface of the NCAMSS includes 2539.3–2777.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> regions, which is attributed to O–H stretch, while those identifed at 3683.5 are attributed to O–H bending. The peaks are observed at 11,787.3 cm−1 denotes the presence of  $C=O$  extending vibrations that is attributed to keto-carbonyl (1740 cm<sup>-1</sup>), while the C=C stretch may be attributed of aromatic rings that may be derivative of the mango seed shell (Bouchelta et al. [2008](#page-16-11); Zbair et al. [2018b](#page-18-7)).

#### **Batch Equilibrium Studies**

#### **Efect of Contact Time**

The effect of contact time on adsorption of phenol onto prepared NCAMSS and UCAMSS (Fig. [2a](#page-5-1), b) revealed that the uptake of phenol was rapid at the initial stage of the contact time for all the concentrations (Srivastava et al. [2006\)](#page-17-21). The maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_a)$  for 50 mg/l was 7 mg/g and 10 mg/g, while for 200 mg/l, the values were 20 mg/g and 30 mg/g for UCAMSS and NCAMSS, respectively. This is because all the active sites on the adsorbent are vacant at the beginning of the adsorption process. The percentage removal (Fig. [3](#page-5-2)a, b) followed similar trend. The removal efficiency for 50 mg/l was  $55\%$  and 75% while for 200 mg/l, the values were 70% and 90% for UCAMSS and NCAMSS, respectively. The adsorption capacity and percentage removal of the NCAMSS were higher compared to the UCAMSS (Figs. [2](#page-5-1)a, b, [3](#page-5-2)a, b) respectively, for all the concentrations considered. It may be suggested that the interaction that exists between phenol and Ag nanoparticles infuenced the adsorption process, besides Olajire et al. ([2017](#page-17-14)) noted that Ag (1)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Efect of contact time on adsorption of **a** 50 mg/l and **b** 200 mg/l of phenol

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 3** Efect of time on percentage removal of **a** 50 mg/l and **b** 200 mg/l of phenol

ion, which is a weak Lewis acid, provides additional site in adsorption process.

#### **Efect of Dosage**

The adsorption study for adsorbent doses  $(0.1-0.5 \text{ g})$ (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0), b) showed that the adsorption capacity increased from 27.7 to 47.27, and 35.7 to 49.3 mg/g, for both UCAMSS and NCAMSS. While their removal efficiencies increased from 55.5 to 94.55 and 71.4 to 98.1%, respectively. This can be linked, directly, to the availability of increasing adsorption sites with increasing amount of adsorbent, because, at higher adsorbent dose, there are not enough phenol in the solution to occupy the active sites, and hence, the adsorption tends to become constant (Simhaet al. [2016\)](#page-17-22). It was also discovered that the adsorption capacity and removal efficiency of the NCAMSS dosage were higher compared to the UCAMSS dosage (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)a, b).

#### **Efect of Temperature**

The three temperatures used for this study were  $35^{\circ}$ C, 45 °C, and 60 °C, and their impact on adsorption capacity and removal efficiencies increased as the time increased from 10 to 50 min (Figs. [5a](#page-6-1)–c, [6](#page-7-0)a–c), respectively. The nanoparticle-modifed AC have the higher adsorption rate and

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Plot of *q*e against time for **a** 35 °C, **b** 45 °C, and **c** 60 °C

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



removal efficiency than the unmodified ones at the selected temperatures. The maximum  $q_e$  obtained for NCAMSS at 35, 45, and 60 °C were 48.63, 47.95, and 47.72 mg/g, respectively, while 45.68, 45.23, and 44.55 mg/g was obtained as maximum  $q_e$  for UCAMSS at 35, 45, and 60 °C, respectively. The maximum removal efficiency obtained for NCAMSS and UCAMSS at 35, 45, and 60 °C, were 97.27, 95.90, and 95.45% as well as 91.36, 90.45, and 89.10%, respectively. The adsorption capacity and removal efficiency decrease, as the temperature increases, and this means that the adsorption for phenol with the activated carbons is exothermic, and the lower temperature is favourable for adsorption of phenol (Zhang [2013\)](#page-18-8). Studies reported by Varghese et al. ([2004](#page-17-23)),

Shirzad-Siboni et al. [\(2013\)](#page-17-24), Akpen et al. ([2016](#page-16-12)), Olufemi and Otolorin ([2017\)](#page-17-25), and Fegousse et al. ([2019\)](#page-17-26) are with the same assertion that increase in temperature decreases the rate of adsorption (Table [1](#page-7-1)), though the fndings of Tossou et al. ([2019](#page-17-10)) were on the contradictory contrary converse.

## **Adsorption Isotherm**

The plot of  $C_e/q_e$  versus  $q_e$  (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)a, b) based on linear method was used to determine the Langmuir parameters for the adsorption of phenol unto the unmodifed and nanoparticle-modifed mango seed shell-activated carbon. The Langmuir constants were evaluated from the slope  $\frac{1}{q_m}$  and inter-

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Table 1 Studies indicating the effect of temperature on increase in adsorption capacity

Adsorbate	References
Phenol	Varghese et al. $(2004)$
Phenol	Shirzad-Siboni et al. (2013)
Dye	Akpen et al. $(2016)$
Crude oil	Olufemi and Otolorin (2017)
Phenol	Tossouet al. $(2019)$
Dye	Fegousse et al. $(2019)$
Phenol	This work
	Temp trend Decreases Decreases Decreases Decreases Increases Decreases Decreases

cept  $\frac{1}{q_m K_L}$  (Tables [2](#page-8-1), [3\)](#page-8-2). The correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$ obtained for the NCAMSS (0.3554–0.4563) and UCAMSS (0.2813–0.3191) are essentially low (Tables [2](#page-8-1)), though those of NCAMSS were higher than UCAMSS, suggesting that

the adsorption on the surface of the adsorbents are not mon-olayer (Zbair et al. [2019\)](#page-18-6). The  $K_L$  (l/mg), equilibrium constant, for NCAMSS and UCAMSS were in the range 0.0034–0.0073 l/mg and 0.0246–0.0502 l/mg. The  $K_L$  values are related to energy of distribution that depicts the affinity

<span id="page-8-0"></span>



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Table 2** Constants of Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin isotherm models for the adsorption of phenol on (a) unmodifed and (b) nanoparticle-modifed AC



<span id="page-8-2"></span>



*NA* not available

between the solute and adsorbent under investigation (Das et al. [2014\)](#page-17-30). Specifically, the ranges of  $Q_m$  obtained are higher than those obtained for other adsorbents derived for manganite, clay, as well as avocado kernel seed, kolanut shell, and *Lufa cylindricia* fber (Table [3\)](#page-8-2). Similarly, the ranged of the  $R_L$  for our present study are higher than those obtained for the listed materials (Figs. [8](#page-9-0), [9](#page-9-1)).

The essential characteristics of Langmuir isotherm can be explained in terms of a dimensionless constant, separation factor  $(R_L)$ . The value of  $R_L$ , a positive number  $(0 < R_L < 1)$ , signifes the feasibility of the adsorption process. The values of  $R_L$  for both the UCAMSS (0.2851–0.4484) and NCAMSS (0.7338–1.2029) activated are generally within the range, except for  $R_{\text{L}}=1.2029$ , which is slightly above 1 (Table [3](#page-8-2)). The trend of favourability decreased with time and this suggests that lesser time favours the adsorption of phenol onto the mango-based adsorbent developed. This is another distinct property exhibited in this study. The ranges of  $R_L$ obtained in this study compared well with other  $R_{\text{L}}$  reported for other adsorbents developed from clay, seed shells, and biomass (Table [3](#page-8-2)).

The Freundlich parameters (*n* and  $K_F$ ) and the  $R^2$  were evaluated (Table [2](#page-8-1)). The Freundlich exponent, n, for the adsorption of adsorbate gave an indication on the favourability of adsorption. It is generally stated that the values of n in the range 2–10 represent 'good', 1–2 represents 'moderately

good', and less than 1 represents 'poor' adsorption characteristics. The values of '*n*' obtained for the NCAMSS (1.1573–1.3146) and UCAMSS (1.5506–1.6918) can be rated as moderately good (Table [2\)](#page-8-1). The large value of the  $R<sup>2</sup>$  (0.9150–0.9596 and 0.5853–0.6598) of Freundlich isotherm, compared to the Langmuir model, indicated that the Freundlich isotherm model fts the adsorption of phenol unto NCAMSS and UCAMSS better than Langmuir isotherm. It may further denoted multilayer nature of the adsorbent developed (Maet al. [2013](#page-17-31)). It was discovered that both the adsorption intensity  $K_F$  and the  $R^2$  of the NCAMSS are higher than the UCAMSS, indicating that the nanoparticle used for the modifcation of the CAMSS has contributed to the properties of the adsorbent developed (Olajire et al. [2017](#page-17-14)).

The Temkin isotherm equilibrium-binding constant (*α*) (l/mg) for the NCAMSS (12.081–15.182 l/mg) and UCAMSS (4.6418–6.4308 l/mg) decreased as the contact time increased. The constant  $\beta$ , which is related to the heat of adsorption, did not display any clear relationship for the NCAMSS (0.0748–0.0800) and UCAMSS (0.0694–0.0713), although these values dropped from 0.0800 to 0.0783 and 0, 0713 to 0.0709, at the 240th min of the adsorption. High binding constant at lower time displayed the fact that lower time is needed for the adsorption process and this further indicated the economic importance

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>

for the study in terms of energy cost. The ranges of the  $R^2$ for both the NCAMSS (0.8159–0.8642) and the UCAMSS (0.4565–0.5321) activated carbons decreased with increasing contact time (Table [2\)](#page-8-1) (Veli and Alyuz [2007](#page-17-32)).

The  $R^2$  values obtained from the Temkin isotherm ware higher than the  $R^2$  obtained for Langmuir Isotherm, yet they are lower than those obtained for Freundlich isotherm, and thus, the adsorption study ft best to Freundlich isotherms. The order of suitability of the isotherm models is Freundlich > Temkin > Langmuir. This suggested that the NCAMSS and UCAMSS adsorbents are characterised

by multilayer surface properties (Das et al. [2014](#page-17-30); Ahsaine et al. [2018\)](#page-16-5).

# **Kinetics Studies**

The kinetic adsorption data can be processed to understand the dynamics of the adsorption reactions in terms of the order of the rate constant. The kinetic data were analysed using three diferent kinetic models: pseudo-frst order, pseudo-second order, and Elovich equation. The plots of log (*q*e−*q*<sup>t</sup> ) versus *t* (Fig. [10](#page-10-0)a–e) gave straight lines with values of  $k_1$  and  $q_{\text{cal}}$ , evaluated from the slopes ( $-k_1$ ) and intercepts



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Plot of  $\log q_e - q_t$  against time for the adsorption process on modifed and unmodifed AC at initial concentration of **a** 50 mg/l, **b** 100 mg/l, **c** 150 mg/l, **d** 200 mg/l, and **e** 250 mg/l

 $(\ln q_e)$  of the plots, respectively (Table [4\)](#page-11-0). The plot of the concentration (50–250 mg/l) showed high relatively high  $R^2$  (> 0.8) for both the UCAMSS and NCAMSS. The  $R^2$  of the PFO for the NCAMSS and UCAMSS were in the ranges 0.8661–0.9925 and 0.8260–0.9942, respectively.

The values of  $k$  and  $q_e$  for the pseudo-second-order model were calculated from the intercepts  $(1/kq_e^2)$  and slopes  $(1/q_e)$  of the plots of  $t/q_t$  vs. *t* (Fig. [11a](#page-12-0)-e). The  $R^2$  values of 0.6032–0.7505 and 0.4846–0.6032 obtained for NCAMSS and UCAMSS are lower than those obtained for PFO (Table [4](#page-11-0)) are. There is a wide diference between the calculated  $(q_{cal})$  and experimental  $(q_{exp})$  adsorption capacity under the evaluation of PSO, thus, suggesting its inappropriateness to describe the kinetic study of phenol onto the NCAMSS and UCAMSS (Gupta and Abdul Rafe [2013;](#page-17-5) Ahsaine et al. [2018](#page-16-5)). The comparison between the types of kinetics exhibited by other adsorbent–adsorbate relationship with this study is shown in Table [5](#page-12-1). Adsorption of phenol on some materials displayed PSO, but the PFO reported in this study is well related to the kinetic order (PFO) reported by Gupta and Abdul Rafe [\(2013\)](#page-17-5), which involved the application of Mango peel for the removal of phenol from wastewater.

The plot of  $q_t$  against ln *t* (Fig. [12a](#page-13-0)–e) provides a linear relationship where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  were determined from the slope and intercept of the plot, respectively. The *α* (initial sorption rates) obtained for the NCAMSS and UCAMSS were in the ranges 0.0771–5.4380 mg/g min and 0.0384–3.8431 mg/g min, respectively. The values obtained for *β*, which are related to the extent of surface coverage and activated energy, were 1.654–5.5113 and 2.2608–4.176 g/mg, respectively. The evaluated Elovich parameters (Table [5\)](#page-12-1) indicates that  $R^2$  values obtained from Elovich equation were in the ranges of 0.9567–0.9929 and 0.8842–0.9786, for the NCAMSS and UCAMSS at the different initial phenol concentrations investigated (Table [6](#page-13-1)). This high  $R^2$  values suggested that the adsorption process display traced of chemisorption process (Elkady et al. [2011](#page-17-33)); however, higher  $R^2$  values obtained for the first order suggested that the phenol adsorption was more infuenced by a physisorption process (Rincon-Silvaet al. [2016\)](#page-17-34). The order of ftness/suitability of the models are pseudo-frst order>Elovich>pseudo-second order.

#### **Adsorption Mechanism**

Weber and Morris intra-particle difusion resistance model was used to determine the infuence or identify the adsorption mechanism, and predicting the rate-controlling step in the adsorption of phenol onto the adsorbents produced (Weber and Morris [1963](#page-18-9); Al-Othman et al. [2012](#page-16-14)). The values of  $K_i$  were calculated from slopes of the plots of  $q_t$  vs. *t* 0.5 (Fig. [13a](#page-14-0)–e) and the linear plot did not pass through the origin. The plots of intra-particle model equation for the UCAMSS and NCAMSS at diferent initial concentrations (50–250 mg/l) presented a multilinearity (Ramachandran et al. [2011\)](#page-17-35). Three stages of difusion was deduced from the plots. The frst portion, which is steeper, can be classifed as external surface, and the second portion, which is gradual, can be classifed as rate controlled while the third portion, which is slow region, can be classifed as equilibrium (Ramachandran et al. [2011](#page-17-35)). This indicated that the intra-particle difusion was not only the rate-controlling step and boundary layer difusion (Cheung et al. [2007;](#page-16-15) Kushwaha et al. [2014](#page-17-36)). The value of '*C*' increased from −1.3078 to 16.138 and 1.0741 to 19.574 for UCAMSS and NCAMSS as the initial concentration increased for 50–250 mg/l. The *C* values obtained for the NCAMSS were higher than those obtained for the UCAMSS were correspondingly.  $K_i$  values also follow the similar trends except in a few cases, though  $K_i$  is always influenced by concentration driving force which is experienced by increased in adsorbate concentration (Ozer and Dursun [2007;](#page-17-37) Abdelwahab and Amin [2013](#page-16-13)).



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 4** Kinetic parameters and correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$ obtained for the unmodifed and modifed models

<span id="page-12-0"></span>



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Table 5** Comparison between the types of kinetics exhibited by diferent adsorbent–adsorbate relationship



*PFO* pseudo-frst order, *PSO* pseudo-frst order

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 12** Plot of  $q_e$  against *t* for the adsorption process on both modifed and unmodifed AC at initial concentration of **a** 50 mg/l, **b** 100 mg/l, **c** 150 mg/l, **d** 200 mg/l, and **e** 250 mg/l





<span id="page-13-1"></span>

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

### **Thermodynamic Studies**

The thermodynamic parameters such as the standard enthalpy (Δ*H*°), standard free energy (Δ*G*°), and standard entropy ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) (Table [7](#page-15-0)) were evaluated form plot of ln  $K_d$ against  $1/T$  (Vant Hoff's plot) (Fig. [14](#page-15-1)a, b), to characterize the infuence of temperature on transfer of solute from the solution onto solid–liquid interface (Bello et al. [2014](#page-16-16)). The Δ*G*° are negative except for 318 K and 333 K at 10 min for the UCAMSS and NCAMSS (Table [7](#page-15-0)). The Δ*G*° of the NCAMSS were higher than the NCAMSS and this indicated that adsorption process is spontaneous and feasible except for the ones at 318 K and 333 K, respectively. The decrease in negative value of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  as the temperature increase indicated that adsorption decrease with rise in temperature. This change in  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  value may be due to the increase in degree of freedom, which might enhance desorption rather than adsorption at high temperatures (Rani and Sud [2015\)](#page-17-20). Generally, the range of free energy values  $(\Delta G^{\circ})$  for physisorption is between −20 and 0 kJ/mol, while chemisorption is between −80 and −400 kJ/mol. The value of the Δ*G*° fell within the range of 0 to  $-20$  kJ/mol for the adsorption process on the UCAMSS and NCAMSS (Rani and Sud [2015](#page-17-20)).

The Δ*H*° values gotten were negative which varied from −8.8212 to −22.8643 kJ/mol for the UCAMSS and − 3.694 to − 31.402 kJ/mol for NCAMSS. This showed

Time (min)	Temperature (K)	Unmodified activated carbon			Nanoparticle-modified activated carbon		
		$\Delta G$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta H$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta S$ (KJ/mol K)	$\Delta G$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta H$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta S$ (KJ/mol)
	308	$-0.285$			$-1.1649$		
10	318	0.8106	$-16.035$	$-0.0518$	0.1959	$-24.521$	$-0.0765$
	333	1.0564			0.7965		
	308	$-0.5633$			$-1.8483$		
20	318	$-0.0180$	$-15.276$	$-0.0478$	$-0.7003$	$-22.339$	$-0.0671$
	333	0.6382			$-0.1332$		
	308	$-2.4887$			$-3.3715$		
30	318	$-0.8831$	$-22.864$	$-0.0672$	$-1.4718$	$-31.402$	$-0.0922$
	333	$-0.7333$			$-0.9899$		
	308	$-3.7247$			$-4.4166$		
40	318	$-1.6127$	$-11.635$	$-0.0278$	$-5.7094$	$-3.694$	0.0038
	333	$-2.8833$			$-4.6116$		
	308	$-4.2654$			$-7.3776$		
50	318	$-4.1129$	$-8.8212$	$-0.0148$	$-6.5078$	$-17.344$	$-0.0330$
	333	$-3.8951$			$-6.5099$		

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table 7** Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of phenol onto unmodifed and nanoparticle-modifed activated carbon

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Fig. 14 a** Van't Hof's plot of ln  $K_d$  against  $1/T$  for the adsorption of phenol onto unmodifed AC. **b** Van't Hoff's plot of  $\ln K_d$ against 1/*T* for the adsorption of phenol onto nanoparticlemodifed AC



that the adsorption process was spontaneous, exothermic, and likely physisorption.. The small negative values of Δ*S*° (−0.0148 to −0.0672 kJ/mol K) UCAMSS and (−0.0330 to 0.0922 kJ/mol) NCAMSS suggested that there was decrease in the degree of randomness on solid/solute interface during the adsorption (Rani and Sud [2015\)](#page-17-20). However, at 40 min for

Adsorbent	$\Delta G^{\circ}$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta H^{\circ}$ (KJ/mol)	$\Delta S^{\circ}$ (KJ/mol)	References
Luffa cylindrical fibres	$-1.8665$	$-32.7$	$-0.1265$	Abdelwahab and Amin (2013)
Avocado kernel seed-activated carbon	$-13.695$	$-212.400$	$-0.6488$	Dejene et al. $(2016)$
Graphene oxide	$-0.51$	$-3.76$	$-10.92$	Wang et al. $(2018)$
Pineapple bark	$-3.86624$	$-22.44$	$-51.68$	Fegousse et al. $(2019)$
Mango seed shell-activated carbon	$-4.2654$	$-8.8212$	$-0.0148$	This study
Nanoparticle-modified activated carbon	$-7.3776$	$-17.3438$	$-0.0330$	This study

<span id="page-16-17"></span>**Table 8** List of studies showing  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\circ}$ , and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  of the adsorption of phenol by activated carbon at different temperatures

the adsorption of phenol on NCAMSS, the Δ*S*° was positive. The comparison of the values of the parameters gotten from this study to other studies in which activated carbon removed phenol from wastewater using diferent adsorbent can be found in Table [8.](#page-16-17) All the values of Δ*H*°, Δ*G*°, and Δ*S*° reported in the previous and present study are negative (Table [8\)](#page-16-17). The Δ*S*° obtained in this study were higher than those reported and this suggests that the degree of spontaneity of phenol adsorption onto the UCAMSS and NCAMSS is higher than some materials earlier reported for phenol adsorption.

# **Conclusion**

The potential of Mango seed shell activated with  $BaCl<sub>2</sub>$  and further modifed with silver–gold-based nanoparticles biosynthesized from *Cola nitda* has been studied for effective removal of phenol from synthetic wastewater*.* The FTIR characterisation of the modifed and unmodifed mango seed shell ACs showed the presence of additional functional groups on the surface of the modifed ACs. The nanoparticle-modifed activated carbon has the higher percentage removal compared to the unmodifed activated carbon. The equilibrium data ftted well to the Freundlich isotherm model for the adsorption study, while the kinetic of the adsorption ftted best to the pseudo-frst-order model. The intra-particle difusion model showed that the rate-controlling step is infuenced by pore difusion and that boundary layer difusion. The adsorption process is heterogeneous, exothermic, spontaneous, and decreased randomness on solid/solute interface. It can be deduced that mango seed shell is a good precursor in the production of activated due to its high yield and good adsorption capacity, and the modifcation of the activated carbon with nanoparticles increases the rate of adsorption.

# **References**

<span id="page-16-13"></span>Abdelwahab O, Amin N (2013) Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solutions by *Luffa cylindrica* fibers: kinetics, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. Egypt J Aquat Res 39:215–223

- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Acosta C, López P, Paniagua G, Garcinuño R, Fernández H (2018) Evaluation of total phenol pollution in water of San Martin Canal from Santiago del Estero, Argentina. J Environ Pollut 236:265–272
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Ahsaine HA, Zbair M, Anfar Z (2018) Cationic dyes adsorption onto high surface area 'almond shell' activated carbon: kinetics, equilibrium isotherms and surface statistical modeling. Mater Today Chem 8:121–132
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Akpen G, Aho I, Mamwan M (2016) Removal of colour from textile wastewater by mango seed endocarp activated carbon. Int J Sci Technol 6(4):756–762
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Alabi O, Alade AO, Afolabi TJ (2019) Process optimization of adsorption of Cr(VI) on adsorbent prepared from *Bauhinia rufescens* pod by Box–Behnken design. Sep Sci Technol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1577436) [org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1577436](https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1577436)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Alencar WS, Acayanka E, Lima EC, Royer B, de Souza FE, Lameira J, Alves CN (2012) Application of *Mangifera indica* (mango) seeds as a biosorbent for removal of Victazol Orange 3R dye from aqueous solution and study of the biosorption mechanism. Chem Eng J 209:577–588
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Allen SJ, Whitten L, McKay G (2008) The production and characterisation of activated carbons: a review. Dev Chem Eng Miner Process 6(5):231–261
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Al-Othman ZA, Ali R, Naushad M (2012) Hexavalent chromium removal from aqueous medium by activated carbon prepared from peanut shell: adsorption kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. Chem Eng J 184:238–247
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Al-Qodah Z, Shawabkah R (2009) Production and characterization of granular activated carbon from granular sludge. Braz J Chem Eng 26(1):127–136
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Amri N, Zakaria R, Abu Bakar M (2009) Adsorption of phenol using adsorbent from waste tyres. Pertanika J Sci Technol 17:371–380
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Ashanendu M, Paramartha M, Sudip K (2018) Removal of phenol from aqueous solution using activated carbon from coconut coir. IOSR J Eng (IOSRJEN) 8(12):41–55
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Bello O, Bello O, Lateef I (2014) Adsorption characteristics of mango leaf (*Mangifera indica*) as adsorpbent for malachite green dye removal from aqueous solution. Covenant J Phys Life Sci 2:1–13
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Bouchelta C, Medjram MS, Bertrand O, Bellat J-P (2008) Preparation and characterization of activated carbon from date stones by physical activation with steam. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 82:70–77
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Bruce RM, Santodonato J, Neal MW (1987) Summary review of the health efects associated with phenol. Toxicol Ind Health 3:535–568
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Bulgariu L, Bulgariu D, Macoveanu M (2011) Adsorptive performances of alkaline treated peat for heavy metal removal. Sep Sci Technol 46:1023–1033
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Bullut Y, Tez Z (2007) Adsorption studies on ground shells of hazelnut and almond. J Hazard Mater 149:35–41
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Cheung W, Szeto Y, McKay G (2007) Intraparticle difusion processes during acid dye adsorption onto chitosan. Bioresour Technol 98:2897–2904
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>Das B, Mondal NK, Bhaumik R, Ray P (2014) Insight into adsorption equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics of lead onto alluvial soil. Int J Environ Sci Technol 11:1101–1114
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Davila-Jimenez MM, Elizalde-Gonzalez MP, Hernandez-Montoya V (2009) Performance of mango seed adsorbents in the adsorption of anthraquinone and azo acid dyes in single and binary aqueous solutions. Bioresour Technol 100:6199–6206
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Dejene K, Siraj K, Kitte S (2016) Kinetic and thermodynamic study of phenol removal from water using activated carbon synthesizes from Avocado kernel seed. Int Lett Nat Sci 54:42–57
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Dieguez-Santana K, Villegas-Aguilar P, Le-Thi-Thu H, Castillo-Garit J, Casañola-Martin GM (2016) Prediction of acute toxicity of phenol derivatives using multiple linear regression approach for *Tetrahymena pyriformis* contaminant identifcation in a mediansize database. Chemosphere 165:434–441
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Dixon RA, Paiva NL (1995) Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. Plant Cell 7:1085
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Ejikeme PC, Ebere MO, Gloria N (2014) Equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamic studies on basic dyes adsorption using composite activated carbon. Int J Tech Res Appl 2:96–103
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>Elkady MF, Ibrahim AM, Abd El-Latif MM (2011) Assessment of the adsorption kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic for the potential removal of reactive red dye using eggshell biocomposite beads. Desalination 278:412–423
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>Fegousse A, El Gaidoumi A, Miyah Y, El Mountassir R, Lahrichi A (2019) Pineapple bark performance in dyes adsorption: optimization by the central composite design. J Chem. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3017163) [org/10.1155/2019/3017163](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3017163)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Freundlich HMF (1906) Over the adsorption in solution. J Phys Chem 57:385–471
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>Ge M, Wang X, Du M, Liang G, Hu G, Alam JSM (2019) Adsorption analyses of phenol from aqueous solutions using magadiite modifed with organo-functional groups: kinetic and equilibrium studies. Materials 12:96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12010096>
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Gumus R, Okpeku I (2015) Production of activated carbon and characterization from snail shell waste (*Helix pomatia*). Adv Chem Eng Sci 5:51–61
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Gupta A, Abdu Rafe M (2013) Removal of phenol from wastewater using mango peel. Int J Eng Tech Res 1:58–62
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>Hamdaoui M, Hadri M, Bencheqroun Z, Draoui K, Nawdali M, Zaitan H, Barhoun A (2018) Improvement of phenol removal from aqueous medium by adsorption on organically functionalized Moroccan stevensite. J Mater Environ Sci 9(4):1119–1128
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Krishnaveni J, Renugadevi N (2012) Kinetic studies on biosorption of methyl violet dye using blue green algae. Global J Sci Front Res Chem 12(3):1–9
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Kulkarni SJ, Kaware DJP (2013) Review on research for removal of phenol from waste-water. Int J Sci Res Publ 3:1–4
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Kumar KV, Kumaran A (2005) Removal of methylene blue by mango seed kernel powder. Biochem Eng J 27:83–93
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>Kushwaha A, Gupta N, Chattopadhyaya M (2014) Removal of cationic methylene blue and malachite green dyes from aqueous solution by waste materials of *Daucus carota*. J Saud Chem Soc 18:200–207
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>Lekene NRB, Kouoh SPMA, Ndi NJ, Kouotou D, Belibi BPD, Ketcha MJ (2015) Kinetics and equilibrium studies of the adsorption of phenol and methylene blue onto cola nut shell based activated carbon. Int J Cur Res Rev 7(9):1–9
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Langmuir I (1916) The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids. Part I. Solids. J Am Chem Soc 38:2221–2295
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Lateef A, Ojo S, Folarin B, Gueguim-Kana E, Beukes L (2016) Kolanut (*Cola nitida*) mediated synthesis of silver-gold alloy nanoparticles: antifungal, catalytic, larvicidal and thrombolytic applications. J Cluster Sci 15(5):1561–1577
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Lin L, Zhai S-R, Xiao Z-Y, Song Y, An QD, Song XW (2013) Dye adsorption of mesoporous activated carbons produced from NaOH-pretreated rice husks. Bioresour Technol 136:437–443
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>Ma Y, Gao N, Chu W, Li C (2013) Removal of phenol by powdered activated carbon adsorption. Front Environ Sci Eng 7:158–165
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Meireles CS, Rodrigues-Filho GF, Jr MF, Cerqueira DA, Assunção RMN, Ribeiro P, Poletto MZ (2010) Characterization of asymmetric membranes of cellulose acetate from biomass: newspaper and mango seed. Carbohydr Polym 80:954–961
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Okeowo IO, Balogun EO, Alade AO, Afolabi TJ, Farombi AG (2017) Optimization of conditions for the production of activated carbon from mango seed shell using barium chloride as the activating agent. In: Paper presented at the 7th international biotechnology conference, exhibition and workshop. Covenant University, Ota
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Olajire A, Abidemi J, Lateef A, Benson N (2017) Adsorptive desulphurization of model oil by Ag nanoparticles-modifed activated carbon prepared from brewer's spent grains. J Environ Chem Eng 5:147–159
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>Olufemi BA, Otolorin F (2017) Comparative adsorption of crude oil using mango (*Mangnifera indica*) shell and mango shell activated carbon. Environ Eng Res 22(4):384–392. [https://doi.org/10.4491/](https://doi.org/10.4491/eer.2017.011) [eer.2017.011](https://doi.org/10.4491/eer.2017.011)
- <span id="page-17-37"></span>Ozer A, Dursun G (2007) Removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution by dehydrated wheat bran carbon. J Hazard Mater 146:262–269
- <span id="page-17-38"></span>Rai M, Shahi G, Meena V, Meena R, Chakraborty S, Singh R et al (2016) Removal of hexavalent chromium Cr(VI) using activated carbon prepared from mango kernel activated with  $H_3PO_4$ . Resour Efficient Technol 2:63-70
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>Ramachandran P, Vairamuthu R, Ponnusamy S (2011) Adsorption isotherms, kinetics, thermodynamics and desorption studies of reactive orange16 on activated carbon derived from *Ananas comosus* (L.) Carbon. ARPN J Eng Appl Sci 6(11):15–26
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>Rani S, Sud D (2015) Efect of temperature on adsorption-desorption behaviour of triazophos in Indian soils. Plant Soil Environ 61:36–42
- <span id="page-17-34"></span>Rincon-Silva N, Moreno-Pirajan J, Giraldo L (2016) Equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics study of phenols adsorption onto activated carbon obtained from lignocellulosic material (*Eucalyptus globulus* labill seed). Adsorption 22:33–48
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>Shirzad-Siboni M, Jafari S, Farrokhi M, Yang J (2013) Removal of phenol from aqueous solutions by activated red mud: equilibrium and kinetics studies. Environ Eng Res 18(4):247–252
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>Simha P, Banwasi P, Mathew M, Ganesapillai M (2016) Adsorptive resource recovery from human urine: system design, parametric considerations and response surface optimization. Proc Eng 148:779–786
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>Srivastava V, Swamy MM, Mall I, Prasad B, Mishra I (2006) Adsorptive removal of phenol by bagasse fy ash and activated carbon: equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics. Colloids Surf 272:89–104
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Tchounwou P, Yedjou C, Patlolla A, Sutton D (2014) Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. Mol Clin Environ Toxicol 101:133–164
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Tichapondwa S, Tshemese S, Mhike W (2018) Adsorption of phenol and chromium (VI) pollutants in wastewater using exfoliated graphite. Chem Eng Trans 70:847–852
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Tossou G, Ekou L, Ekou T (2019) Adsorption of phenol on carbon based on cactus and banana peel. Aust J Basic Appl Sci 13(1):64–70
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>Varghese S, Vinod V, Anirudhan T (2004) Kinetics and equilibrium characterization of phenols adsorption onto a novel activated carbon in water treatment. Indian J Chem Technol 11:825–833
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>Veli S, Alyuz B (2007) Adsorption of copper and zinc from aqueous solutions by using natural clay. J Hazard Mater 149:226–233
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Wallace J (1996) Phenol. In: Kirk–Othmer encyclopaedia of chemical technology, 4th edn. Wiley, New York, pp 592–602
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Wang X, Hu Y, Min J, Li S, Deng X, Yuan S et al (2018) Adsorption characteristics of phenolic compounds on graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide: a batch experiment combined theory calculation. Appl Sci 8:1–13
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>Williams PT, Reed AR (2003) Pre-formed activated carbon matting derived from the pyrolysis of biomass natural fbre textile waste. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 70(2):563–577
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>Weber WJ, Morris JC (1963) Kinetics of adsorption carbon from solutions. J Sanit Eng Div 89:31–60
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>Zbair M, Bottlinger M, Brahmi R, Ainassaari A, Pirilä M, Drif A, Keiski RL, Ojala S, Bensite M (2017) Toward new benchmark adsorbents: preparation and characterization of activated carbon from argan nut shell for bisphenol A removal. Environ Sci Pollut Res.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0634-6>
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Zbair M, Ahsaine HA, Anfar Z (2018a) Porous carbon by microwave assisted pyrolysis: an efective and low-cost adsorbent for

sulfamethoxazole adsorption and optimization using response surface methodology. J Clean Prod. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclep](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.155) [ro.2018.08.155](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.155)

- <span id="page-18-7"></span>Zbair M, Bottlinger M, Ainassaari K, Ojala S, Stein O, Keiski RL, Bensitel M, Brahmi R (2018b) Hydrothermal carbonization of argan nut shell: functional mesoporous carbon with excellent performance in the adsorption of bisphenol A and diuron. Waste Biomass Valoriz.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-00554-0>
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>Zbair M, Anfar Z, Ahsaine HA, Khallok H (2019) Kinetics, equilibrium, statistical surface modeling and cost analysis of paraquat removal from aqueous solution using carbonated jujube seed. RSC Adv 9:1084–1094
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>Zhang J (2013) Phenol removal from water with potassium permanganate modifed granular activated carbon. J Environ Prot 4:411–417
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Zhong Y (2017) Analysis of congo red adsorption capability of the Mn-modifed diatomite. Chem Eng Trans 62:25–30