



Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial

M. H. Gulzar¹ · B. A. Zargar¹ · Rubia Akhter¹

Received: 30 May 2019 / Accepted: 3 January 2020 / Published online: 11 January 2020
© Forum D'Analystes, Chennai 2020

Abstract

Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then according to Turan (Compositio Mathematica 7:89–95, 2004)

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

In this paper, we shall use polar derivative and establish a generalisation and an extension of this result. Our results also generalize variety of other results.

Keywords Polynomial · Polar derivative · Inequalities

Mathematics Subject Classification 30A10 · 30C15

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the class of all complex polynomials of degree at most n . Let $B = \{z; |z| = 1\}$ denotes the unit disk and B_- and B_+ denote the regions inside and outside the disk B respectively. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then according to the well known result of Bernstein [4]

$$\max_{z \in B} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|. \quad (1)$$

Inequality (1) is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial $P(z) = \lambda z^n$,

✉ M. H. Gulzar
gulzarmh@gmail.com

B. A. Zargar
bazargar@gmail.com

Rubia Akhter
rubiaakhter039@gmail.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Kashmir University, Srinagar 190006, India

where λ is a complex number. If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zeros in $B \cup B_-$, then it was conjectured by Erdős and later on proved by Lax [6] that

$$\max_{z \in B} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|, \tag{2}$$

and if P has no zero in $B \cup B_+$, then it was proved by Turan [8] that

$$\max_{z \in B} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|. \tag{3}$$

The inequalities (2) and (3) are also best possible and equality holds for polynomials which have all zeros on B .

If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n and α a complex number, then the polar derivative of $P(z)$ with respect to α , denoted by $D_\alpha P(z)$ is defined by

$$D_\alpha P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z).$$

Clearly $D_\alpha P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$ and it generalizes the ordinary derivative in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_\alpha P(z)}{\alpha} = P'(z).$$

As an extension of (1), Aziz and Shah [3] used polar derivative and established that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n , then for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| > 1$ and for $z \in B$,

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \leq n|\alpha| \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|. \tag{4}$$

Aziz [1] extended inequality (2) to the polar derivative and proved that if p is a polynomial of degree n having all zero in $z \in B \cup B_+$ then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$\max_{z \in B} |D_\alpha P(z)| \leq \frac{n(|\alpha| + 1)}{2} \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|. \tag{5}$$

If we divide the two sides of (4) and (5) by $|\alpha|$ and let $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, we get inequalities (1) and (2) respectively.

Shah [7] extended (3) to the polar derivative and proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and has all zeros in $z \in B \cup B_-$, then for $|\alpha| \geq 1$*

$$\max_{z \in B} |D_\alpha P(z)| \geq \frac{n(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \max_{z \in B} |P(z)|. \tag{6}$$

Theorem (1.1) generalizes (3) and to obtain (3), divide both sides of Theorem (1.1) by $|\alpha|$ and let $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$.

2 Main results

In this paper we obtain some more general results. First we prove the following generalization of Theorem (6).

Theorem 2.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$, then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $z \in B$,*

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \geq \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n|} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}} \right] |P(z)|. \tag{7}$$

The result is sharp and equality holds for the polynomial $P(z) = c_n z^n + c_0$ with $|c_0| = |c_n| \neq 0$.

Remark 2.1 Since $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$, therefore $|c_n| \geq |c_0|$, it follows that Theorem 2.1 is an improvement of inequality (6)

Remark 2.2 If we divide the two sides of Theorem 2.1 by $|\alpha|$ and let $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, we get a result due to Dubinin [5].

Theorem 2.2 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$, then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, $0 \leq l < 1$ and $z \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{z \in B} |D_\alpha P(z)| &\geq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha| - 1) \max_{z \in B} |P(z)| + (|\alpha| + 1) lm \right\} \\ &+ \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm}} \right\} \left\{ \max_{z \in B} |P(z)| - lm \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $m = \min_{z \in B} |P(z)|$.

Dividing both sides of (8) by $|\alpha|$ and let $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, we get the following result:

Corollary 2.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$, then for $0 \leq l < 1$ and $z \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm}} \right\} \max_{z \in B} |P(z)| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ n - \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - lm}} \right\} lm. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

3 Lemmas

For the proof of above Theorems, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$ and $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P}(\frac{1}{z})$, then for $z \in B$,*

$$|Q'(z)| \leq |P'(z)|.$$

Lemma 3.1 is a special case of a result due to Aziz and Rather [2].

We also need the following result which is due to Dubinin [5].

Lemma 3.2 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P(z)$ has all zeros in $B \cup B_-$, then*

$$Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}}. \tag{10}$$

Inequality (10) is sharp and equality holds for polynomials which have all zeros on B .

4 Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem (2.1) If $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P}(\frac{1}{z})$, it can be easily seen that $|Q'(z)| = |nP(z) - zP'(z)|$ for $z \in B$. Also $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $z \in B \cup B_-$ so by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(z)| &\geq |Q'(z)| \\ &= |nP(z) - zP'(z)| \quad \text{for } z \in B. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now for every complex α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have for $z \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\alpha P(z)| &= |nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)| \\ &\geq |\alpha||P'(z)| - |nP(z) - zP'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives with the help of (11) that

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \geq (|\alpha| - 1)P'(z). \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have for each z on B at which $P(z)$ does not vanish,

$$Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}}.$$

This gives

$$\left| \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} \right| \geq Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}}. \tag{13}$$

Combining (12) and (13), we get for $z \in B$,

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \geq (|\alpha| - 1) \left[\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}} \right] |P(z)|. \tag{14}$$

That is

$$|D_\alpha(P(z))| \geq \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n|} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n|}} \right] |P(z)|. \tag{15}$$

This completes proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem (2.2) Since $P \in P_n$ and by hypothesis $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $B \cup B_-$, if $P(z)$ has a zero on B , then $m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| = 0$ and the result follows from

Theorem 2.1. So, assume that all the zeros of $P(z)$ lie in B_- so that $m > 0$. Now $m \leq |P(z)|$ for $z \in B$.

If λ is any complex number such that $|\lambda| < 1$, then $|m\lambda z^n| < |P(z)|$ for $z \in B$. Since all zeros of $P(z)$ lie in B_- , it follows by Rouché’s Theorem that all the zeros of $F(z) = P(z) - \lambda m z^n$ also lie in B_- .

Let $G(z) = z^n \overline{F(\frac{1}{z})}$, it can be easily seen that

$$|G'(z)| = |nF(z) - zF'(z)| \quad \text{for } z \in B.$$

Also $F(z)$ has all its zeros in $z \in B_-$, so by Lemma 3.1 ,we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F'(z)| &\geq |G'(z)| \\ &= |nF(z) - zF'(z)| \quad \text{for } z \in B. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now for every complex α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have for $z \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\alpha F(z)| &= |nF(z) + (\alpha - z)F'(z)| \\ &\geq |\alpha| |F'(z)| - |nF(z) - zF'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives with the help of (16) that

$$|D_\alpha F(z)| \geq (|\alpha| - 1) |F'(z)|. \tag{17}$$

Since the polynomial $F(z) = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots + c_{n-1}z^{n-1} + (c_n - \lambda m)z^n$ does not vanish in $|z| < 1$, we have by Lemma 3.2

$$Re \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n - \lambda m|}}.$$

This gives

$$\left| \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \right| \geq Re \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n - \lambda m|}}. \tag{18}$$

Combining (17) and (18), we get for $|z| = 1$,

$$|D_\alpha F(z)| \geq (|\alpha| - 1) \left[\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n - \lambda m|}} \right] |F(z)|. \tag{19}$$

That is

$$|D_\alpha(P(z) - \lambda m z^n)| \geq \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n - \lambda m|} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n - \lambda m|}} \right] |P(z) - \lambda m z^n|. \tag{20}$$

$$|D_\alpha P(z) - \lambda m n \alpha z^{n-1}| \geq \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - |\lambda| m} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - |\lambda| m}} \right] |P(z) - \lambda m z^n|. \tag{21}$$

It follows by a simple consequence of Laguerre Theorem on the polar derivative of a polynomial that for every α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, the polynomial

$$D_\alpha(P(z) - \lambda m z^n) = D_\alpha P(z) - \lambda m n \alpha z^{n-1} \tag{22}$$

has all its zeros in B_- . Thus, we have

$$|D_\alpha(P(z))| \geq \lambda m n |\alpha| |z|^{n-1} \text{ for } |z| \geq 1. \tag{23}$$

Now choosing the argument of λ suitably in the left hand side of (21) such that

$$|D_\alpha P(z) - \lambda m n \alpha z^{n-1}| = |D_\alpha P(z)| - m n |\lambda| |\alpha| |z|^{n-1}$$

which is possible by (23), we get for $z \in B$

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| - m n |\alpha| |\lambda| \geq \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - |\lambda| m} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - |\lambda| m}} \right] \{|P(z)| - |\lambda| m\}. \tag{24}$$

From (24), one can easily obtain for $z \in B$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{z \in B} |D_\alpha P(z)| &\geq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha| - 1) \max_{z \in B} |P(z)| + (|\alpha| + 1) l m \right\} \\ &+ \frac{(|\alpha| - 1)}{2} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{|c_n| - l m} - \sqrt{|c_0|}}{\sqrt{|c_n| - l m}} \right\} \left\{ \max_{z \in B} |P(z)| - l m \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $0 \leq l < 1$. That completes proof of Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by NBHM, India, under the research project number 02011/36/2017/R&D-II.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Aziz, A. 1988. Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 55: 183–193.
2. Aziz, A., and N.A. Rather. 2003. Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial with restricted zeros. *Math Bulk* 17: 15–28.
3. Aziz, A., and W.M. Shah. 1998. Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 29: 163–173.
4. Bernstein, S. 1930. Sur la limitation des derivees des polnomes. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences* 190: 338–341.
5. Dubinin, V.N. 2000. Distortion theorems for polynomials on the circle. *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 191 (12): 1797–1807.
6. Lax, P.D. 1994. Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial. *American Mathematical Society* 50 (8): 509–513.
7. Shah, W.M. 1996. A generalization of a theorem of P. Turan. *Journal of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society* 1: 29–35.
8. Turan, P. 1939. Über die ableitung von polynomem. *Compositio Mathematica* 7: 89–95.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.