# **Investigating the elliptic anisotropy of identifed particles in p–Pb collisions with a multi‑phase transport model**

**Si‑Yu Tang[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9413-9534) · Liang Zheng2 · Xiao‑Ming Zhang3  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1881-8711) Ren‑Zhuo Wan4,5**

Received: 21 July 2023 / Revised: 11 September 2023 / Accepted: 13 September 2023 / Published online: 28 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. (Science Press), Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Nuclear Society 2024

#### **Abstract**

The elliptic azimuthal anisotropy coefficient  $(v_2)$  of the identified particles at midrapidity ( $|\eta| < 0.8$ ) was investigated in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV using a multi-phase transport model (AMPT). The calculations of differential *v*<sub>2</sub> based on the advanced flow extraction method of light flavor hadrons (pions, kaons, protons, and  $\Lambda$ ) in small collision systems were extended to a wider transverse momentum  $(p_T)$  range of up to 8 GeV/ $c$  for the first time. The string-melting version of the AMPT model provides a good description of the measured  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  of the mesons but exhibits a slight deviation from the baryon  $v_2$ . In addition, we observed the features of mass ordering at low  $p_T$  and the approximate number-of-constituentquark (NCQ) scaling at intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$ . Moreover, we demonstrate that hadronic rescattering does not have a significant impact on  $v<sub>2</sub>$  in p–Pb collisions for different centrality selections, whereas partonic scattering dominates in generating the elliptic anisotropy of the fnal particles. This study provides further insight into the origin of collective-like behavior in small collision systems and has referential value for future measurements of azimuthal anisotropy.

**Keywords** Azimuthal anisotropy · Small collision systems · Transport model

## **1 Introduction**

The main goal of heavy-ion collisions at ultrarelativistic energies is to explore the deconfned state of strongly interacting matter created at a high energy density and

This work was supported by the Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOE) in Central China Normal University (Nos. QLPL2022P01, QLPL202106), Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Provincial Education Department (No. Q20131603), National key research, development program of China (No. 2018YFE0104700), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12175085), Fundamental research funds for the Central Universities (No. CCNU220N003).

 $\boxtimes$  Liang Zheng zhengliang@cug.edu.cn

 $\boxtimes$  Xiao-Ming Zhang xiaoming.zhang@ccnu.edu.cn

 $\boxtimes$  Ren-Zhuo Wan wanrz@wtu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430200, China

School of Mathematics and Physics, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan), Wuhan 430074, China

temperature, known as the gluon plasma  $(QGP)$  [[1,](#page-7-0) [2](#page-7-1)]. An important observation for investigating the transport properties of the QGP is anisotropic flow  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$ , which is quantified by the flow harmonic coefficients  $v_n$  obtained from the Fourier expansion of the azimuthal distribution of the produced particles  $[5, 6]$  $[5, 6]$  $[5, 6]$  $[5, 6]$ :

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\frac{dN}{d\varphi} \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_n)], \tag{1}
$$

where  $\varphi$  is the azimuthal angle of the final-state particle angle and  $\Psi_n$  is the symmetry plane angle in the collision

- Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOE) and Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China
- Hubei Key Laboratory of Digital Textile Equipment, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430200, China
- <sup>5</sup> School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430200, China



for the *n*-th harmonic  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$ . The second-order coefficient  $v<sub>2</sub>$ , referred to as the elliptic flow, is derived from the initial state spatial anisotropy of the almond-shaped collision overlap region that is propagated to the fnal state momentum space. The magnitude of the elliptic fow is sensitive to the fundamental transport properties of the freball, such as the temperature-dependent equation of state and the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density  $(\eta/s)$  [[9,](#page-7-8) [10\]](#page-7-9).

Over the past few decades, various measurements of elliptic flow in heavy-ion collisions performed at the relativistic heavy-ion collider (RHIC) [[11](#page-7-10)[–14](#page-7-11)] and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [\[15](#page-7-12)[–18\]](#page-7-13) have helped build a full paradigm of the strongly coupled QGP. Comprehensive measurements of  $p_T$ -differential elliptic flow of the identified particles were conducted by the ALICE Collaboration [[19,](#page-7-14) [20](#page-7-15)]. The observed mass-ordering efect (i.e., heavier particles have a smaller elliptic fow than lighter particles at the same  $p_T$ ) at low  $p_T$  is well described by hydrodynamic calculations and is attributed to the radial expansion of the QGP [\[21\]](#page-7-16). At intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$ , the grouping of  $v<sub>2</sub>$  of mesons and baryons was observed, with mesons exhibiting less  $v_2$  than baryons. These behaviors can be explained by the hypothesis that baryons and mesons have diferent production mechanisms through quark coalescence, which has been further investigated using the number-of-constituent-quark (NCQ) scaling  $[22-25]$  $[22-25]$  $[22-25]$  $[22-25]$ . Interestingly, such flow-like phenomena have been observed in small-collision systems. Long-range double-ridge structures were frst measured in high-multiplicity pp and p–Pb collisions by the ALICE, ATLAS, and CMS collaborations [\[26–](#page-8-2)[28\]](#page-8-3). The measurement of elliptic and triangular azimuthal anisotropies in central  ${}^{3}$ He+Au, *d*+Au, and *p*+Au collisions performed by the STAR Collaboration [\[29\]](#page-8-4) suggests that sub-nucleon fuctuations also play an important role in influencing the flow coefficients in these small collision systems. In addition, these measurements were extended to the identifed particles associated with the discovery of a significant positive  $v_2$  [[30,](#page-8-5) [31\]](#page-8-6). The observed particle-mass dependence of  $v_2$  is similar to that measured in heavy-ion collisions [\[30](#page-8-5)]; however, the origin of such collective-like behavior remains unclear. Several theoretical explanations relying on either the initial state or fnal state effects have been proposed to understand the origin of azimuthal anisotropies in small systems. Studies that extend hydrodynamics from large to small systems based on fnalstate effects can well describe  $v_2$  of soft hadrons  $[32-36]$  $[32-36]$ ; however, they are based on the strong assumption that there is sufficient scattering among constituents in small systems. Hydrodynamics combined with the linearized Boltzmann transport (LBT) model can also describe the identifed particle  $v_2$  in a high-multiplicity small-collision system at an intermediate  $p_T$  [\[37](#page-8-9)]. Color-glass condensate (CGC)-based

models and IP-Glasma models that consider the efect of momentum correlations in the initial state can quantitatively describe some features of collectivity in p–Pb collisions [[38,](#page-8-10) [39](#page-8-11)], but without clear conclusions, particularly regarding the dependence on collision systems and rapidity.

In addition, an approach called parton escape shows that few scatterings can also create sufficient azimuthal anisotropies, which have been investigated using multi-phase transport (AMPT)  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$ . The  $v<sub>2</sub>$  values of light hadrons measured in p–Pb collisions are well described in AMPT, where the contribution of anisotropic parton escape rather than hydrodynamics plays an important role [[41](#page-8-13)]. In this study, we extend the AMPT calculations of the  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  for identified particles ( $\pi^{\pm}$ , K<sup> $\pm$ </sup>, p( $\bar{p}$ ),  $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$ ) to higher  $p_T$  region in p–Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV, in order to systematically test the mass-ordering efect and baryon-meson grouping at low- and intermediate- $p<sub>T</sub>$ , respectively. We also investigate how the key mechanisms implemented in AMPT, such as the parton cascade and hadronic rescattering, affect elliptic anisotropy in small collision systems. In addition, various non-fow subtraction methods with diferent sensitivities to jet-like correlations were studied.

### **2 Model and methodology**

#### **2.1 A multi‑phase transport model**

The string-melting version of the AMPT model (v2.26t9b, available online) [\[40,](#page-8-12) [42](#page-8-14)] was employed in this study to calculate  $v_2$  of the final-state particles in high-multiplicity p–Pb at 5.02 TeV. The AMPT model includes four main processes: initial conditions, partial scattering, hadronization, and hadronic interactions. The initial conditions are generated from the heavy ion jet interaction generator (HIJING) model [[43](#page-8-15), [44](#page-8-16)], where minijet partons and soft-excited strings are produced and then converted to primordial hadrons based on Lund fragmentation. Under the string-melting mechanism, primordial hadrons are converted into partons, a process determined by their favor and spin structures. Elastic scattering between the partons was simulated using Zhang's parton cascade (ZPC) model [\[45](#page-8-17)], which includes two-body scattering with a cross section described by the following simplifed equation:

$$
\sigma_{gg} \approx \frac{9\pi \alpha_s^2}{2\mu^2}.\tag{2}
$$

In this study, the strong coupling constant  $\alpha_s$  was set to 0.33, and the Debye screening mass  $\mu = 2.2814 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ , resulting in a total parton scattering cross section of  $\sigma = 3$  mb. To isolate

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Details of three confgurations

Description	$\sigma$ (mb)	$t_{\text{max}}$ (fm/c)
w/ all		30
w/o parton scat	$\sim$ 0	30
w/o hadron scat		0.4

the effect of partonic scattering,  $\sigma$  is adjusted to be close to 0 by increasing  $\mu$  (see set "w/o parton scat." in Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Once the partonic interaction ceases, hadronization with a quark coalescence model is implemented to combine the nearest two (or three) quarks into mesons (or baryons) [[40](#page-8-12)]. The formed hadrons enter the subsequent hadronic rescattering process using a relativistic transport (ART) model [\[46\]](#page-8-18), in which both elastic and inelastic scattering are considered for baryon-baryon, baryon-meson, and meson-meson interactions. The hadronic interaction time was set by default to  $t_{\text{max}} = 30 \text{ fm}/c$ . Alternatively,  $t_{\text{max}}$  is set to 0.4 fm/*c* to effectively turn off the hadron scattering process while still considering the resonance decay [\[47](#page-8-19)] (see set "w/o hadron scat." in Table [1\)](#page-2-0). In addition, the random orientation of the reaction plane was turned on and the shadowing efect was considered in this analysis.

#### **2.2 Two‑particle correlation and non‑fow subtraction**

The two-particle correlation (2PC) method is widely used to extract the fow signal in small collision systems because it can suppress the non-fow contribution from long-range jet correlations [[26](#page-8-2)–[28](#page-8-3), [30](#page-8-5), [48\]](#page-8-20). Similar to Eq. [1](#page-0-0), the azimuthal correlation between two emission particles can be represented by *N*pairs pairs of emitted particles (labeled as  $C(\Delta \varphi)$ ) as a function of the relative angle  $\Delta \varphi = \varphi^a - \varphi^b$  between particles *a* and *b* and expanded in the Fourier series as follows:

$$
C(\Delta \varphi) = \frac{dN^{\text{pair}}}{d\Delta \varphi} \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n\Delta} (p_{\rm T}^{a}, p_{\rm T}^{b}) \cos[n(\Delta \varphi)], \quad (3)
$$

where  $V_{n\Delta}$  refers to the two-particle *n*-th order harmonic. In a pure hydrodynamic scenario, because particle emissions are independent,  $V_{n\Delta}(p_T^a, p_T^b)$  can be factorized into the product of a single-particle flow  $v_n^a$  and  $v_n^b$ .

$$
V_{n\Delta}(p_{\rm T}^a, p_{\rm T}^b) = v_n(p_{\rm T}^a) v_n(p_{\rm T}^b). \tag{4}
$$

Based on the factorization assumption,  $v_n$  of a single particle *a* can be obtained using the 3×2PC method, which was recently proposed by the PHENIX Collaboration [[49](#page-8-21)]. This requires the formation of two-particle correlations between three groups of particles (labeled *a*, *b* and *c*) and the extraction of the flow coefficients for three combinations:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
v_n(p_{\rm T}^a) = \sqrt{\frac{V_{n\Delta}(p_{\rm T}^a, p_{\rm T}^b) V_{n\Delta}(p_{\rm T}^a, p_{\rm T}^c)}{V_{n\Delta}(p_{\rm T}^b, p_{\rm T}^c)}}.
$$
(5)

In small-collision systems, two main types of non-fow contributions to the fow signal are the near-side jet and awayside jet (recoil jet) correlations. The former can be efectively removed by introducing a large rapidity gap between the trigger and associated particles during the construction of the correlations. Several methods have been developed to subtract the latter [\[50](#page-8-22)]. A traditional approach is to directly subtract the correlation function distribution obtained from low-multiplicity events [[27](#page-8-23), [30](#page-8-5)] from that obtained from high-multiplicity events. This method assumes that the yield and shape of dijets are identical for both collision types as follows:

$$
C^{\text{HM}}(\Delta \varphi) - C^{\text{LM}}(\Delta \varphi) \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n\Delta} \cos[n(\Delta \varphi)]
$$
  
=  $a_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos[n(\Delta \varphi)],$  (6)

where  $C^{LM}(\Delta \varphi)$  and  $C^{HM}(\Delta \varphi)$  represent the correlation function distributions obtained for low- and high-multiplicity events, respectively. This method relies on the "zero yield at minimum" (ZYAM) hypothesis  $[27, 30]$  $[27, 30]$  $[27, 30]$  $[27, 30]$  that a flat combinatoric component should be subtracted from the correlation function in low-multiplicity events. Therefore, the fit parameter  $a_2$  is the absolute modulation in the subtracted correlation function distribution and characterizes the modulation relative to a baseline, assuming that such a modulation is not present in the low-multiplicity class below the baseline. In this case, the flow coefficient  $V_{n\Lambda}$  is calculated as

$$
V_{n\Delta} = a_n/(a_0 + b),\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>where *b* is the baseline, estimated using the minimum correlation function for low-multiplicity events. However, the measurement of jet-like correlations in p–Pb collisions indicates that the dependence of the dijet yield on the particle multiplicity cannot be ignored. In this case, a new template ft method was developed by the ATLAS collaboration [\[51](#page-8-24)], where the correlation function distribution obtained in highmultiplicity events is assumed to result from the superposition of the distribution obtained in low-multiplicity events scaled up by a multiplicative factor *F* and a constant modulated by  $cos(n\Delta\varphi)$  for  $n > 1$ , as shown in

$$
C(\Delta \varphi) = FC^{\text{LM}}(\Delta \varphi) + G\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{3} V_{n\Delta} \cos(n\Delta \varphi)\right), \quad (8)
$$

where *G* denotes the normalization factor that maintains the integral of  $C(\Delta \varphi)$  equal to  $C^{HM}(\Delta \varphi)$ . Furthermore, an improved template ftting method [\[52\]](#page-8-25) developed in recent years was tested. It applies a correction procedure to the default template ft method by considering the multiplicity dependence of the remaining ridge in low-multiplicity events, as shown in

$$
V_{n\Delta} = V_{n\Delta}(\text{tmp}) - \frac{FG^{\text{LM}}}{G^{\text{HM}}} (V_{n\Delta}^2(\text{tmp}) - V_{n\Delta}^2(\text{LM})),\tag{9}
$$

where  $V_{n\Delta}$ (tmp) and  $V_{n\Delta}^2$ (LM) are obtained by using the default template method for high- and low-multiplicity events. All these non-fow subtraction methods are implemented in this study, and their diferent sensitivities to nonflow effect are also discussed.

#### **3 Analysis procedures**

To directly compare the AMPT calculations with the results from ALICE, we focused on the particles within the pseudorapidity range  $|\eta|$  < 0.8, aligning with the TPC acceptance in ALICE  $[53]$  $[53]$ . In the 3×2PC method, long-range correlations were constructed between the charged particles at mid-rapidity, forward rapidity  $(2.5 < \eta < 4)$ , and backward rapidity  $(-4 < \eta < -2.5)$ , that is, the central-forward correlation (-4.8 < Δη < -1.7), central-backward correlation  $(1.7 < \Delta \eta < 4.8)$ , and backward-forward correlations  $(-8 < \Delta \eta < -5)$ . In addition, the centrality classes are defined by counting the charged particles in the acceptance of the V0A detector [\[53\]](#page-8-26), that is,  $2.8 < n < 5.1$ .

The correlation function distribution  $C(\Delta \varphi)$  was obtained by correcting the number of particle pairs in the same events normalized to the number of trigger particles  $N_{\text{trig}}$  by using an event-mixing technique:

$$
C(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{pairs}}}{d \Delta \eta d \Delta \varphi} = \frac{S(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)}{B(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)},
$$
(10)

where  $S(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}}$  $d^2N_{\text{same}}$  $\frac{d^{2} N_{\text{same}}}{d \Delta \eta d \Delta \varphi}$  is the correlation function in same events and  $B(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta) = \alpha \frac{d^2 N_{\text{mixed}}}{d \Delta \eta d \Delta \varphi}$  is the associated yield as a function of Δ*𝜑* and Δ*𝜑* in mixed events. Factor *𝛼* is used to normalize  $B(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$  to unity in the  $\Delta \eta$  region of the maximal pair acceptance. The obtained 2-D correlation function  $C(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$  is projected onto  $\Delta \varphi$  axis, and we follow the non-fow subtraction procedures and factorization, as discussed in Eqs. [5](#page-2-1)[–9](#page-3-0),  $v_2$  of the charged particles at  $|\eta| < 0.8$ can be calculated.

#### **4 Results and discussion**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>We first investigated the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectrum of the identified particles before performing the fow analysis. Figure [1](#page-3-1) illustrates the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  distribution of proton, pion, and kaon in 0–20% highmultiplicity p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV, which are obtained from AMPT with three diferent sets of confgurations listed in Table [1](#page-2-0) and ALICE experimental data [\[54](#page-8-27)]. The AMPT results, both with and without hadronic rescattering, are consistent. This behavior difers from previous fndings in heavy-ion collisions, where the hadronic interaction signifcantly reduces the particle yield [[55\]](#page-8-28). The spectrum obtained in the AMPT without considering the parton cascade process is enhanced compared to that obtained with partonic scattering, and this enhancement is more signifcant at a high  $p<sub>T</sub>$ . This outcome is expected because partons experience energy loss during the parton cascade, which reduces the production of fnal-state particles. In addition, the ratios of the  $p_T$  spectra obtained from the AMPT calculations and data are shown. The AMPT model calculation reproduces the particle yields well at low and intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$  values when both partonic and hadronic scattering are included; however, it overestimates the high  $p<sub>T</sub>$  data because partonparton inelastic collisions and, subsequently, hard parton fragmentation are absent in the model [[42\]](#page-8-14).

Figure [2](#page-4-0) (left) shows the  $v_2$  of pions, kaons, protons and  $\Lambda$ as a function of  $p<sub>T</sub>$  in 0–20% high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV, obtained in AMPT calculations



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 1** (Color online) The  $p<sub>T</sub>$  distribution of pions, kaons, and protons in 0–20% high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , obtained from AMPT model calculations, is compared to ALICE measurement [\[54\]](#page-8-27). The results in AMPT without hadronic scattering and partonic scattering are also presented



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** (Color online) Left: the  $v_2$  as a function of  $p_T$  in 0–20% highmultiplicity p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ , obtained from default AMPT model calculations with 3×2PC method, is compared

with  $3x2PC$  method. A comparison with the ALICE measurement for  $v<sub>2</sub>$  of charged hadrons, pions, kaons, and protons [\[30\]](#page-8-5) and the CMS measurement for  $v_2$  of  $K_s^0$  and  $\Lambda$  [[31\]](#page-8-6) is also presented. The AMPT calculations applied the template ft method to suppress the away-side jet contribution and considered the ZYAM assumption to enable direct comparison with the observed data. The  $v_2$  values of charged hadrons, pions, and kaons can be described well by AMPT calculations, but the  $v_2$  values of baryons (protons and  $\Lambda$ ) cannot be reproduced. In addition, the mass-ordering effect (i.e., the  $v<sub>2</sub>$ of baryons is lower than that of mesons) is reproduced for  $p_T < 2$  GeV/*c*. Owing to the advanced flow extraction method, the calculations of  $v_2$  were extended to the high- $p_T$ region, up to 8 GeV/*c* in the AMPT model for the frst time. The  $v_2$  values of protons and  $\Lambda$  are consistent, and both of them are observed to have a higher value of  $v_2$  value than that of the mesons for  $2 < p_T < 7$  GeV/*c*. The observed mesonbaryon particle type grouping in heavy-ion collision fow



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** (Color online) Left: the  $v_2$  as a function of transverse kinetic energy ( $kE_T$ ) in 0–20% high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{env}}}$  = 5.02 TeV, obtained from default AMPT model calculations  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV, obtained from default AMPT model calculations



to ALICE and CMS measurement  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$ . Right: the  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  scaled by the number of constituent quark  $(n_0)$ 

measurements indicates collective behavior at the partonic level, leading to the coalescence of quarks into hadrons. The number-of-constituent-quarks (NCQ) scaling techniques described in [[22\]](#page-8-0) can be used for further studies of this grouping.  $v_2$  and  $p_T$  in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) (left) are replaced by  $v_2/n_a$  and  $p_T/n_q$ , where the  $n_q$  is the number of constituent quark in mesons ( $n_a = 2$  $n_a = 2$ ) and baryons ( $n_a = 3$ ), as shown in Fig. 2 (right).  $v_2/n_q$  obtained from the data show approximate values at intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$ ; however, the results calculated in AMPT cannot reproduce the scaling in  $p_T/n_q > 1$  GeV/*c*. In order to consider the observed mass hierarchy of  $v_2$ , we also plot the  $v_2$  of identified particle as a function of the transverse kinetic energy  $kE_T$  ( $kE_T = m_T - m_0 = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_0^2} - m_0$ ), and its NCQ scaling in Fig. [3](#page-4-1) (left), and Fig. [3](#page-4-1) (right). All particle species showed a set of similar  $v_2$  values after NCQ scaling in  $kE_T/n_a < 1$  GeV, confirming that the quark degree of freedom in fowing matter can also be probed in the transport model. However, this NCQ scaling is violated for  $kE_T/n_a > 1$ 



with 3×2PC method, is compared to ALICE and CMS measurement [[30](#page-8-5), [31](#page-8-6)]. Right: the  $kE_T$ -differential  $v_2$  scaled by the number of constituent quark  $(n_q)$ 

GeV. This may be attributed to the hadronization mechanism implemented in the AMPT model used in this study, where baryons are produced only after the formation of mesons by simply combining the three nearest partons, regardless of the relative momentum among the coalescing partons. This results in an underestimation of the baryon  $v<sub>2</sub>$  at intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$  in this study. An improved coalescence model implemented in the newer AMPT [[56](#page-9-0)] introduced a new coalescence parameter to control the relative probability of a quark forming a baryon instead of a meson precisely. This improvement could have different NCQ scaling on  $v<sub>2</sub>$  but requires more systematic studies. Further studies on  $v_2$  calculations in small collision systems with other improved hadronization mechanisms, for example, considering the Wigner function [[57\]](#page-9-1) and hard parton fragmentation [[58\]](#page-9-2), should be performed in the future.

We also extend our investigation to include a study of integrated  $v_2$  within various centrality bins spanning the 0–60% range. We focus on the region where the NCQ scaling criterion is satisfed, that is, for transverse kinetic energies per constituent quark ( $kE_T/n_q$ ) ranging from 0.4 to 1 GeV. The non-fow contribution was estimated and subtracted within the 60–100% centrality class by using the template fit method. As shown in Fig. [4,](#page-5-0) the  $v_2$  values as a function of centrality exhibit a systematic decrease from central to peripheral collisions, reflecting the changing dynamic conditions and particle production mechanisms in different collision zones. Intriguingly, in the  $v_2$  measurements, we observed a distinct mass-splitting phenomenon, with baryons and mesons exhibiting distinct elliptic flow patterns. Such a mass dependence in  $v_2$  is similar to that in heavy-ion collisions at the LHC energies presented in a previous study [[47\]](#page-8-19). This provides valuable insights into the collective behavior of diferent particle species within the evolving freball created during these collisions.

Moreover, to gain a deeper understanding of the NCQ scaling properties, we explored the ratios of  $n_q$ -scaled



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** (Color online) The integrated  $v_2$  in 0.4  $\lt kE_T/n_a \lt 1$  GeV for pion, kaon and proton varying with the centrality

integrated  $v<sub>2</sub>$  values for protons relative to pions and kaons relative to pions as functions of centrality. The results are shown in Fig. [5](#page-5-1). A notable trend is observed in these ratios: they tend to approach unity as the collisions become more peripheral. It indicates that the collective fow of particles in low-multiplicity events may be approaching a behavior that is closer to the expected scaling behavior based on the number of constituent quark.

The effects of partonic and hadronic scattering on the elliptical anisotropy of the fnal-state particles were exam-ined in this study. Figure [6](#page-5-2) shows the calculated  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  of pions, kaons, and protons in AMPT with



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 5** (Color online) The ratio of integrated  $v_2$  within 0.4<  $kE_T/n_a$  <1 GeV for proton over pion and kaon over pion varying with the centrality. The dash line represents the location of unity ratio



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 6** (Color online) The  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  of pions, kaons, and protons calculated in AMPT model with and without considering hadronic scattering. The ratios of the two sets are also presented

and without considering hadronic rescattering process in 0–20% high-multiplicity p-Pb collisions. The results show that the ratio of the  $v_2$  values with and without hadronic rescattering is consistent with unity for all particle species, indicating that the hadronic rescattering mechanism has almost no effect on  $v_2$  in high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions. We also investigated the centrality dependence of the hadronic rescattering effects by calculating  $p_T$ -integrated  $v_2$  in several centrality bins between 0 and 60%, as illustrated in Fig. [7](#page-6-0). The results demonstrate that the influence of hadronic rescattering is independent of the centrality selection and has almost no impact on NCQ scaling in the range of  $0.4 < kE_T/n_q < 1$  GeV.

On the other hand, when we set the parton scattering cross section  $\sigma$  to zero but maintain the hadronic scatterings, the  $V_{2\Lambda}$  of charged particles for the central-forward (CF) and central-backward (CB) correlations is almost zero, as shown in Fig. [8](#page-6-1). If both the partonic and hadronic scatterings are turned off, the results remain consistent with zero. This indicates that the elliptical anisotropy in high-multiplicity smallcollision systems is mostly generated by parton scattering. Our conclusion is consistent with previous studies on the AMPT [[41](#page-8-13)], which suggested that the majority of elliptic anisotropies comes from the anisotropic escape probability of partons.

Finally, diferent non-fow subtraction methods were investigated in this study. Figure [9](#page-6-2) (left) shows the  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  of the charged particles calculated using the  $3\times$ 2PC method in 0–20% high-multiplicity p–Pb collisions.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 7** (Color online) The integrated  $v_2$  in 0.4  $\lt kE_T/n_q \lt 1$  GeV for pions, kaons, and protons calculated in AMPT model with and without considering hadronic scattering. The ratios of the two sets are also presented



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 8** (Color online) The  $p_T$ -differential  $V_{2\Delta}$  for central-forward (CF) and central-backward (CB) correlations calculated in AMPT model with and without considering partonic scattering

Several non-fow subtraction methods are implemented. To demonstrate how the non-fow contribution is removed, *v*<sub>2</sub> obtained with a direct Fourier transform of the  $C(\Delta \varphi)$ correlation (as shown in Eq. [3](#page-2-2)). The results show signifcant suppression across all the subtraction methods, particularly at higher  $p<sub>T</sub>$  values where jet correlations are dominant. The results obtained with peripheral subtraction and template ftting were consistent, indicating that the away-side jet contribution was automatically removed using the 3×2PC method, even though the dependence of the jet correlation on multiplicity was not considered in the peripheral subtraction method. The  $v_2$  calculated using the improved template ft method was slightly lower than that from the template ft, and it was similar to the features observed in the ATLAS measurement [[52](#page-8-25)]. The same conclusions were drawn for the extraction of the identifed particles (pions, kaons, protons, and  $\Lambda$ )  $v_2$ .



<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Fig. 9** (Color online) The  $p_T$ -differential  $v_2$  of charged hadrons calculated in AMPT with diferent non-fow subtraction methods

#### **5 Summary**

This study systematically investigated the elliptic anisotropy of identified particles (pions, kaons, protons, and  $Λ$ ) in p–Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV using the AMPT model. We extended the calculation of  $v_2$  to higher  $p_T$  regions, up to 8 GeV/*c*, using advanced non-fow subtraction techniques for the frst time. We also examined the mass-ordering efect and baryon-meson grouping at low and intermediate  $p<sub>T</sub>$ , respectively. We argue that, with the approximate NCQ scaling of baryons and mesons,  $v_2$  can be reproduced well at  $kE_T/n_a < 1$  GeV for several centrality bins. Furthermore, we demonstrate that parton interactions can simultaneously decrease the yield of light hadrons and generate signifcant  $v<sub>2</sub>$ . However, hadronic rescatterings had little influence on the elliptical anisotropy of the fnal-state particles. Thus, these fndings indicate that the non-equilibrium anisotropic parton escape mechanism coupled with the quark coalescence model can also reproduce the hydro-like behavior of the identifed particles observed in small collision systems. Overall, this study provides new insights into the existence of partonic collectivity in small collision systems.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Guo-Liang Ma for the helpful discussions.

**Author contributions** All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Si-Yu Tang, Liang Zheng, Xiao-Ming Zhang, and Ren-Zhuo Wan. The frst draft of the manuscript was written by Si-Yu Tang, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Data availability** The data that support the fndings of this study are openly available in Science Data Bank at [https://cstr.cn/31253.11.](https://cstr.cn/31253.11.sciencedb.j00186.00382) [sciencedb.j00186.00382](https://cstr.cn/31253.11.sciencedb.j00186.00382) and [https://www.doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.](https://www.doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00382) [j00186.00382](https://www.doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00382)

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. E.V. Shuryak, Quark-gluon plasma and hadronic production of leptons, photons and psions. Phys. Lett. B **78**, 150 (1978). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90370-2) [doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90370-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90370-2)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. E.V. Shuryak, Quantum chromodynamics and the theory of superdense matter. Phys. Rept. **61**, 71–158 (1980). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(80)90105-2) [1016/0370-1573\(80\)90105-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(80)90105-2)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. J.Y. Ollitrault, Anisotropy as a signature of transverse collective fow. Phys. Rev. D **46**, 229–245 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.229) [PhysRevD.46.229](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.229)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. S.A. Voloshin, Anisotropic collective phenomena in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions. Nucl. Phys. A **827**, 377C-382C (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2009.05.082>
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. S. Voloshin, Y. Zhang, Flow study in relativistic nuclear collisions by Fourier expansion of Azimuthal particle distributions. Z. Phys. C **70**, 665–672 (1996).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s002880050141>
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>6. A.M. Poskanzer, S.A. Voloshin, Methods for analyzing anisotropic fow in relativistic nuclear collisions. Phys. Rev. C **58**, 1671–1678 (1998).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1671>
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. B. Alver, G. Roland, Collision geometry fuctuations and triangular fow in heavy-ion collisions. Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054905 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.054905>
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>8. B.H. Alver, C. Gombeaud, M. Luzum et al., Triangular fow in hydrodynamics and transport theory. Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034913 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.034913>
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>9. G.-Y. Qin, H. Petersen, S.A. Bass et al., Translation of collision geometry fuctuations into momentum anisotropies in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. Phys. Rev. C **82**, 064903 (2010). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.064903) [org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.064903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.064903)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>10. D. Teaney, L. Yan, Triangularity and dipole asymmetry in heavy ion collisions. Phys. Rev. C **83**, 064904 (2011). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.064904) [1103/PhysRevC.83.064904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.064904)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>11. I. Arsene, I.G. Bearden, D. Beavis et al., Quark gluon plasma and color glass condensate at RHIC? The perspective from the BRAHMS experiment. Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 1–27 (2005). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130) [doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130)
- 12. K. Adcox, S.S. Adler, S. Afanasiev et al., Formation of dense partonic matter in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC: experimental evaluation by the PHENIX collaboration. Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 184–283 (2005). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086) [hysa.2005.03.086](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086)
- 13. B.B. Back, M.D. Baker, M. Ballintijn et al., The PHOBOS perspective on discoveries at RHIC. Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 28–101 (2005).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.084>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>14. J. Adams, M.M. Aggarwal, Z. Ahammed et al., Experimental and theoretical challenges in the search for the quark gluon plasma: the STAR Collaboration's critical assessment of the evidence from RHIC collisions. Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102–183 (2015). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085) [org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>15. K. Aamodt, B. Abelev, A.A. Quintana et al., Higher harmonic anisotropic fow measurements of charged particles in Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.76 TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 032301 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.032301>
- 16. S. Acharya, F.T. Acosta, D. Adamova et al., Energy depend-  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 and 2.76 TeV. J. High Energy Phys. 07, 103 (2018). ence and fuctuations of anisotropic fow in Pb–Pb collisions at [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2018\)103](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)103)
- 17. G. Aad, B. Abbott, J. Abdallah et al., Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle production in  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector. Phys. Rev. C **86**, 014907 (2012).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014907>
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>18. S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan et al., Measurement of higher-order harmonic azimuthal anisotropy in PbPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.76 TeV. Phys. Rev. C **89**, 044906 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044906>
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>19. S. Acharya, D. Adamova, A. Adler et al., Anisotropic fow and  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. J. High Energy Phys. **05**, 243 (2023). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2023)243) fow fuctuations of identifed hadrons in Pb–Pb collisions at [doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2023\)243](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2023)243)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>20. S. Acharya, D. Adamova, A. Adler et al., Anisotropic fow of identified hadrons in Xe–Xe collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44$  TeV. J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 152 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP1](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)152) [0\(2021\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)152)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>21. Y.-G. Ma, The collective fow from the degree of freedom of nucleons to quarks. J. Fudan Univ. (Nat. Sci.) **62**, 273–292 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.15943/j.cnki.fdxb-jns.20230525.001>
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>22. D. Molnar, S.A. Voloshin, Elliptic flow at large transverse momenta from quark coalescence. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 092301 (2003).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.092301>
- 23. Z.-W. Lin, D. Molnar, Quark coalescence and elliptic fow of charm hadrons. Phys. Rev. C **68**, 044901 (2003). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.044901) [10.1103/PhysRevC.68.044901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.044901)
- 24. M. Wang, J.-Q. Tao, H. Zheng et al., Number-of-constituent-quark scaling of elliptic flow: a quantitative study. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **33**, 37 (2022). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-022-01019-9) [s41365-022-01019-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-022-01019-9)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>25. T.-Z. Yan, Y.-G. Ma, X.-Z. Cai et al., Scaling of anisotropic flow and momentum-space densities for light particles in intermediate energy heavy ion collisions. Phys. Lett. B **638**, 50–54 (2006).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.05.018>
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>26. S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan et al., Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in protonlead collisions at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B **718**, 795–814 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025>
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>27. B. Abelev, J. Adam, D. Adamova et al., Long-range angular correlations on the near and away side in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. Phys. Lett. B **719**, 29–41 (2013). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012) [org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>28. G. Aad, T. Abajyan, B. Abbott et al., Observation of associated near-side and away-side long-range correlations in  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  =5.02 TeV proton-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 182302 (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302) [110.182302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>29. M.I. Abdulhamid, B.E. Aboona, J. Adam et al., Measurements of the elliptic and triangular azimuthal anisotropies in central <sup>3</sup> He+Au, d+Au and p+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 242301 (2023). [https://doi.org/10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.242301) [PhysRevLett.130.242301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.242301)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>30. B. Abelev, J. Adam, D. Adamova et al., Long-range angular correlations of  $\pi$ , K and p in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. Phys. Lett. B **726**, 164–177 (2013). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.024) [physletb.2013.08.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.024)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>31. A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan, W. Adam et al., Elliptic fow of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 8.16 TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 082301 (2018). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082301) charm and strange hadrons in high-multiplicity pPb collisions at [doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082301)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>32. P. Bozek, Collective fow in p–Pb and d–Pd collisions at TeV energies. Phys. Rev. C **85**, 014911 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.014911) [1103/PhysRevC.85.014911](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.014911)
- 33. P. Bozek, W. Broniowski, Correlations from hydrodynamic fow in p–Pb collisions. Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1557–1561 (2013). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.051) [doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.051)
- 34. J.L. Nagle, W.A. Zajc, Small system collectivity in relativistic hadronic and nuclear collisions. Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **68**, 211–235 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1146/annur](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101916-123209) [ev-nucl-101916-123209](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101916-123209)
- 35. G. Nijs, W. van der Schee, U. Gürsoy et al., Bayesian analysis of heavy ion collisions with the heavy ion computational framework Trajectum. Phys. Rev. C **103**, 054909 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.054909) [1103/PhysRevC.103.054909](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.054909)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>36. G. Nijs, W. van der Schee, U. Gürsoy et al., Transverse momentum diferential global analysis of heavy-ion collisions. Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 202301 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.202301) [126.202301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.202301)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>37. W.-B. Zhao, C.-M. Ko, Y.-X. Liu et al., Probing the partonic degrees of freedom in high-multiplicity p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 072301 (2020). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.072301) [10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.072301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.072301)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>38. K. Dusling, R. Venugopalan, Comparison of the color glass condensate to dihadron correlations in proton–proton and

proton–nucleus collisions. Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094034 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094034>

- <span id="page-8-11"></span>39. K. Dusling, R. Venugopalan, Evidence for BFKL and saturation dynamics from dihadron spectra at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D **87**, 051502 (2013).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.051502>
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>40. Z.-W. Lin, C.M. Ko, B.-A. Li et al., A multi-phase transport model for relativistic heavy ion collisions. Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064901 (2005).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.064901>
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>41. L. He, T. Edmonds, Z.-W. Lin et al., Anisotropic parton escape is the dominant source of azimuthal anisotropy in transport models. Phys. Lett. B **753**, 506–510 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.12.051) [etb.2015.12.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.12.051)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>42. Z.-W. Lin, L. Zheng, Further developments of a multi-phase transport model for relativistic nuclear collisions. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **32**, 113 (2021).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-021-00944-5>
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>43. X.-N. Wang, M. Gyulassy, HIJING: a Monte Carlo model for multiple jet production in pp, pA and AA collisions. Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3501–3516 (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3501) [3501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3501)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>44. M. Gyulassy, X.-N. Wang, HIJING 1.0: A Monte Carlo program for parton and particle production in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions. Comput. Phys. Commun. **83**, 307 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(94\)90057-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(94)90057-4)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>45. B. Zhang, ZPC 1.0.1: A Parton cascade for ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. Comput. Phys. Commun. **109**, 193–206 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655\(98\)00010-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00010-1)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>46. B.-A. Li, C.M. Ko, Formation of superdense hadronic matter in high-energy heavy ion collisions. Phys. Rev. C **52**, 2037–2063 (1995).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.52.2037>
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>47. L. Zheng, H. Li, H. Qin et al., Investigating the NCQ scaling of elliptic fow at LHC with a multiphase transport model. Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 124 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12312-8>
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>48. Y.-G. Ma, W.-Q. Shen, Correlation functions and the disappearance of rotational collective motion in nucleus–nucleus collisions below 100 MeV/nucleon. Phys. Rev. C **51**, 3256–3263 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.51.3256>
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>49. N.J. Abdulameer, U. Acharya, A. Adare et al., Measurements of second-harmonic Fourier coefficients from azimuthal anisotropies in p+p, p+Au, d+Au, and <sup>3</sup> He+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV. Phys. Rev. C **107**, 024907 (2023). [https://doi.org/10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.107.024907) [PhysRevC.107.024907](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.107.024907)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>50. S.H. Lim, Q. Hu, R. Belmont et al., Examination of fow and nonfow factorization methods in small collision systems. Phys. Rev. C **100**, 024908 (2019). [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.024908) [100.024908](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.024908)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>51. G. Aad, B. Abbott, J. Abdallah et al., Observation of long-range elliptic azimuthal anisotropies in  $\sqrt{s}$  =13 and 2.76 TeV *pp* collisions with the ATLAS detector. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 172301 (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172301>
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>52. M. Aaboud, G. Aad, B. Abbott et al., Correlated long-range mixed-harmonic fuctuations measured in *pp*, *p*+Pb and lowmultiplicity Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector. Phys. Lett. B **789**, 444–471 (2019). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.11.065) [2018.11.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.11.065)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>53. B. Abelev, A. Abramyan, J. Adam et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, 1430044 (2014).<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14300440>
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>54. J. Adam, D. Adamova, M.M. Aggarwa et al., Multiplicity dependence of charged pion, kaon, and (anti)proton production at large transverse momentum in p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. Phys. Lett. B **760**, 720–735 (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.050) [etb.2016.07.050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.050)
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>55. Z.-W. Lin, S. Pal, C.M. Ko et al., Charged particle rapidity distributions at relativistic energies. Phys. Rev. C **64**, 011902 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.64.011902>
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>56. Y.-C. He, Z.-W. Lin, Improved quark coalescence for a multiphase transport model. Phys. Rev. C **96**, 014910 (2017). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.96.014910) [doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.96.014910](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.96.014910)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>57. F.-T. Wang, J. Xu, Hadronization using the Wigner function approach for a multiphase transport model. Phys. Rev. C **100**, 064909 (2019).<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.064909>
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>58. C. Zhang, L. Zheng, S.-S. Shi et al., Resolving the  $R_{pA}$  and  $v_2$ puzzle of *D*<sup>0</sup> mesons in *p*−Pb collisions at the LHC. Phys. Lett.

B **846**, 138219 (2023). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138219) [138219](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138219)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.