**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Investigation of macro and micro sleep structures of frst night efect in school‑aged children**

**Minori Enomoto1,2 · Taisuke Eto2,3 · Shingo Kitamura[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9607-1812)**

Received: 14 April 2024 / Accepted: 23 June 2024 / Published online: 9 July 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Japanese Society of Sleep Research 2024

## **Abstract**

This study aimed to investigate the occurrence and age-related changes of the frst night efect (FNE) in school-age children using both macro (sleep architecture) and micro (frequency analysis) structures to polysomnography (PSG) data. PSG data from two consecutive nights were obtained from 38 healthy children aged 6–15 years. Sleep variables and power spectral analysis were compared between the two nights. The relationship between age and the diference in sleep variables and power values between the two nights was examined using correlation analysis. The frst night showed signifcant reductions in total sleep time, sleep efficiency, N1, N2, and REM sleep, as well as significant increases in sleep onset latency and wake after sleep onset. The decrease in N3 and the increase in N2 due to FNE were positively and negatively correlated with age, respectively. Spectral analysis showed no efect of FNE for most variables, but there was a trend toward an increase in the convergence value of the δ band with age. FNE occurs in school-age children, and its manifestation changes with age. The decrease in N3 and increase in N2 become more pronounced with age, while the enhancement of low-frequency power is consistent across ages. These fndings highlight the importance of considering age and specifc sleep indicators when interpreting pediatric PSG results and underscore the need for a multi-level approach to understanding sleep changes across development.

Keywords First night effect · Children · Multitaper spectral analysis

# **Introduction**

The gold standard for objective evaluation of human sleep is overnight polysomnography (PSG). PSG provides detailed information related to sleep/wake states by measuring electroencephalography (EEG), chin electromyography (EMG), and electrooculography (EOG). However, it requires sleeping in a special environment, such as wearing unfamiliar electrodes, in a laboratory setting with bedding that is not

 $\boxtimes$  Shingo Kitamura s-kita@ncnp.go.jp

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Technology, School of Health Sciences, Tokyo University of Technology, 5-23-22, Nishikamata, Ohta-Ku, Tokyo 144-8535, Japan
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Sleep-Wake Disorders, National Institute of Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, 4-1-1 Ogawa-Higashi, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8553, Japan
- <sup>3</sup> Research Fellow of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Kodaira, Japan

one's own. This may result in a deterioration of sleep quality on the frst night of PSG, which is called the First Night Efect (FNE) [\[1](#page-5-0)]. FNE is characterized by prolonged sleep onset latency, increased wake after sleep onset, reduced total sleep time, increased light sleep (Stage N1), and decreased REM sleep [[1\]](#page-5-0). To avoid FNE, it is generally required to set an adaptation night when conducting PSG in a laboratory setting.

A meta-analysis targeting all age groups suggested that being a child increases the risk of FNE [[2\]](#page-5-1). The high risk of FNE in children may be related to sleep changes associated with brain development during childhood. Cortical maturation and synaptic pruning are phenomena that are prominently observed during adolescence [[3](#page-5-2)] and are known to afect sleep changes during this period. For example, slowwave power is high in infancy but decreases during puberty. In addition, the peak frequency of sigma waves increases throughout adolescence [\[4](#page-5-3)]. However, most studies on FNE in children have focused on disease-specifc characteristics in children with sleep disorders such as sleep apnea syndrome  $[5-7]$  $[5-7]$  or evaluation using home PSG  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$ . The characteristics of FNE in healthy children and age-related changes remain largely unknown.

In addition to evaluating sleep architecture based on visual scoring, there is also the approach of frequency analysis targeting EEG. Sleep architecture captures the overall proportion and changes of sleep stages, but the temporal resolution is constrained by the visual scoring time window (e.g., 30 s). On the other hand, frequency analysis can evaluate changes in EEG frequencies in more detail with finer temporal resolution, although it is difficult to detect qualitative characteristics of sleep. Combining these approaches is expected to enable a more multifaceted understanding of FNE. Indeed, several studies have elucidated the association between FNE and EEG frequencies. Tamaki et al. showed that FNE suppressed slow wave activity in visual areas [\[11](#page-5-8)]. Mayeli et al. found lower slow wave activity and higher sigma/beta activity depending on the brain area using high-density EEG (hd-EEG) [[12](#page-5-9)]. However, frequency analysis has not been applied to FNE studies in children.

The purpose of this study was to examine the occurrence of FNE in healthy school-aged children, including the onset of puberty, using both macro (sleep architecture) and micro (frequency analysis) structures of PSG data from two nights in a laboratory setting.

# **Methods**

## **Participants**

This study used PSG data from 38 children (F16, M22, mean  $10.5 \pm 2.6$  years, age range 6–15 years) obtained in a previous study. Those with serious mental or physical illnesses, sleep disorders, or who had traveled across time zones within 6 months prior to the experiment were excluded at the enrollment. Written informed consent was obtained from the guardian of each child, and informed assent was obtained from each child. Opt-out was conducted for secondary use of the data. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (NCNP, A2023-016).

# **Procedures**

The data used were PSG data from two nights (420 min each) conducted in a laboratory with cross-over design. Each child came to the laboratory twice for the study. Each child arrived approximately 5 h before their habitual bedtime, and explanations, meals, showers, and sensor attachment were performed. PSG of more than 420 min per night was measured in the laboratory unit and the frst 420 min data

was used for analyses. Lights Out was set based on each child' s habitual bedtime in principle, but varied slightly for each night depending on the original experimental purpose. The unit was maintained at 25 ℃ and 50% relative humidity (RH).

#### **Measurements**

PSG recordings were made using the Neurofax digital EEG system (EEG-1200, Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan), which included an EEG with a conventional montage  $(F_3, F_4, C_3,$  $C_4$ ,  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ ) based on the contralateral mastoid  $(M_1, M_2)$ , an EOG at the outer canthus of each eye, a chin EMG, and an electrocardiogram (ECG). Upon recording, the EEG, EOG, EMG, and ECG signals were digitized at 200 Hz; the signal was fltered using a high-pass flter with the following time constants: EEG 0.3 s, EOG 0.03 s, chin EMG 0.03 s, and ECG 1.0 s. The signal was fltered using a low-pass flter as follows: EEG 60 Hz, EOG 60 Hz, submental EMG 60 Hz, and ECG 60 Hz. The sleep stage (Stage N1, Stage N2, Stage N3, Stage R, or Stage W) was determined every 30 s according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) Manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events [\[13](#page-5-10)].

## **Data analyses**

Total recording time (TRT), total sleep time (TST), sleep efficiency  $(SE)$ , sleep latency  $(SL)$ , time spent in each sleep stage, and percentage of each sleep stage in TST were calculated as sleep variables. These sleep variables were compared between Day1 and Day2 using a one-way analysis of covariance, adjusting for the diferences in Lights Out.

To examine the association between age and FNE, the diference between the two days for each sleep variable (Δ sleep variable) was calculated, and the correlation with age was evaluated for each. Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient  $(r)$  was calculated for the correlation analysis.

For frequency analysis, power values were calculated for each band of δ (0.5–4 Hz), *θ* (4–7 Hz), *α* (8–13 Hz), *β* (13–30 Hz), and  $\sigma$  (11–16 Hz). Multitaper spectral analysis [[14\]](#page-5-11) was performed for the  $C_3$  channel. The analysis was performed with a window interval of 5 s and a 2 Hz step. Outlier removal was performed using the Inner Quartile Range for the calculated power values for each band, followed by z-score processing. The z-scored power values were averaged for each NREM cycle [[15\]](#page-5-12). The 6 NREM cycle was only observed on one night (1.3%) out of a total of 76 nights and was, therefore, excluded from the analysis. The averaged power values for each NREM cycle were compared using linear mixed models with fxed factors (CYCLE, DAY) and random intercept (ID).

Furthermore, the z-scored  $\delta$  power values calculated for NREM epochs were averaged every 10 min and ftted to the following exponential decay curve  $[16, 17]$  $[16, 17]$  $[16, 17]$  $[16, 17]$ :

$$
\delta(t) = (S_{\rm SO} - \text{LA}) \times \exp(-t/\tau_{\rm d}) + \text{LA}
$$

Here,  $S_{SO}$  represents the initial value of z-scored  $\delta$ power at sleep onset, LA is the convergence value of the exponential decay curve, and  $\tau_d$  is the time constant of the exponential decay curve.  $S_{SO}$ , LA, and  $\tau_d$  were compared between Day1 and Day2 using a one-way analysis of variance.

All results are expressed as means  $\pm$  SD. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS v.26 and R 4.3.1 (R Core Team) with the following R packages: anomalize v.0.3.0 (Dancho and Vaughan 2023), emmeans v.1.8.4–90,004 (Lenth 2023), lme4 v.1.1–31 (Bates et al. 2015), lmerTest v.3.1–3(Kuznetsova et al. 2017), nls2 v.0.3.3 (Grothendieck 2022) and performance v. 0.11.0 (Lüdecke 2021). To conduct multitaper spectral analysis, we utilized an R code provided by Prerau et al. ([https://github.com/preraulab/multi](https://github.com/preraulab/multitaper_toolbox) [taper\\_toolbox](https://github.com/preraulab/multitaper_toolbox)). Statistical signifcance was set to 5%.

### **Results**

### **FNE on sleep variables**

From the two-night PSG data, all 38 participants experienced some form of sleep deterioration due to FNE. Compared to Day 2, the sleep structure on Day 1 showed a significant reduction in TST (Day1:  $365.01 \pm 43.49$ , Day2: 391.34  $\pm$  7.06,  $p = 0.01$ ) and SE (Day1: 87.18  $\pm$  10.53, Day2: 94.72  $\pm$  1.71,  $p$  < 0.001), and a significant prolongation of SL (Day1: 12.82 ± 8.77, Day2: 6.46 ± 1.42, *p* < 0.001). Regarding the amount of sleep stages, Stage W signifcantly increased (Day1:  $38.72 \pm 35.54$ , Day2:  $21.43 \pm 5.76$ ,  $p=0.011$ ) while Stage R and N1 significantly decreased (Stage R Day1:  $56.83 \pm 19.04$ , Day2:  $71.29 \pm 3.09$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Stage N1 Day1: 19.75±9.07, Day2: 25.32±1.47, *p*=0.039). Stage N2 showed a trend toward signifcant decrease (Day1: 161.22±32.27, Day2: 174.24±5.23, *p*=0.056). On the other hand, there was no signifcant diference in Stage N3 between the two nights  $(p=0.28)$  (Table [1](#page-2-0)).

#### **Relationship between Δ sleep variables and age**

When examining the correlation between the two-night difference for each sleep variable ( $\Delta$  sleep variable) and age, the  $\Delta$  amount of Stage N3 showed a significant positive correlation with age  $(r=0.53, p=0.001)$ , indicating that the

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Comparison of sleep variables between Day1 and Day2

	Day1	Day2	F	p
TRT	$420 \pm 0$	$420 \pm 0$		
TST	$365.01 \pm 43.49$	$391.34 \pm 7.06$	11.10	0.01
SE	$87.18 \pm 10.53$	$94.72 \pm 1.71$	15.81	< 0.001
SL.	$12.82 \pm 8.77$	$6.46 + 1.42$	13.54	< 0.001
StageW	$38.72 \pm 35.54$	$21.43 \pm 5.76$	6.75	0.011
Stage <sub>N1</sub>	$19.75 \pm 9.07$	$25.32 + 1.47$	4.40	0.039
Stage <sub>N2</sub>	$161.22 \pm 32.27$	$174.24 + 5.23$	3.76	0.056
StageN3	$127.82 \pm 35.85$	$120.43 \pm 5.81$	1.17	0.283
<b>StageR</b>	$56.83 \pm 19.04$	$71.29 \pm 3.09$	12.28	0.001
StageNI(TST%)	$5.11 \pm 2.97$	$6.53 \pm 0.48$	3.73	0.057
StageN2(TST%)	$41.56 \pm 14.46$	$44.53 \pm 2.35$	1.37	0.246
StageN3 (TST%)	$31.65 \pm 12.83$	$30.75 \pm 2.08$	15.44	0.708
StageR(/TST%)	$14.32 \pm 5.77$	$18.26 \pm 0.95$	11.34	0.001

*TIB* Total recording time, *TST* Total sleep time, *SE* Sleep efficiency, *SL* Sleep latency. These sleep variables were compared between Day1 and Day2 using a one-way analysis of covariance, adjusting for the diferences in Lights Out

decrease in the  $\Delta$  amount of Stage N3 due to FNE increased with age in children. On the other hand, the  $\Delta$  relative amount (%TST) of Stage N2 showed a signifcant negative correlation with age  $(r = -0.54, p < 0.001)$ , suggesting that the decrease in Stage N2 due to FNE was more pronounced at younger ages (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). For the other  $\Delta$ sleep variables, no significant correlations were found with age ( $|r| < 0.35$ ,  $ps > 0.05$ ).

#### **FNE‑induced changes in power spectrum**

The linear mixed models were employed to explore the impact of DAY and CYCLE on the spectral power within different EEG frequency bands ( $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\sigma$ ) during sleep. The models revealed a robust explanatory power for *δ*, and  $\theta$  bands, with conditional  $R^2$  of 0.586, and 0.547, respectively, indicating a signifcant portion of variance explained by both fixed and random effects. The marginal  $R^2$  ( $\delta$ : 0.570, *θ*: 0.539) suggests that the fixed effects alone also substantially account for the observed variability. In contrast, the  $\alpha$  band exhibited lower  $R^2$  (conditional: 0.260, marginal: 0.255), and models for the  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  bands encountered issues with singularity, resulting in incomputable conditional  $R^2$ and markedly low marginal  $R^2$  ( $\beta$ : 0.0219;  $\sigma$ : 0.0248). Therefore, only  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  are reported hereafter. No significant main effect of DAY was detected across the  $\delta$ , or  $\theta$  bands, with *p*-values of 0.489 and 0.351, respectively, indicating that the NREM cycle predominantly infuences these measures. Similarly, interactions between DAY and CYCLE were not signifcant (*p*-values: 0.670 and 0.481), underscoring the primary role of the NREM cycle (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-1).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Correlation between age and ⊿Stage N3 and Stage N2(%TST). The two day diference in each sleep variable (Δsleep variable) was calculated and the correlation with age was evaluated for each. Pearson's product rate correlation coefficient (r) was used for correlation analysis



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Changes in EEG power during NREM cycle. (A) delta band (0.5–4 Hz). (B) Theta band (4–7 Hz). Data are represented as estimated marginal means of z-scored power with a 95% confdence interval

The correlation analysis between age and the diference in each band power value for each NREM cycle (Δ*δ*, Δ*θ*,  $\Delta \alpha$ ,  $\Delta \beta$ , and  $\Delta \sigma$ ) showed that the FNE-induced changes in each band were not signifcantly correlated with age  $(|r| < 0.40, \text{ps} > 0.15).$ 

#### **Non‑linear regression analysis**

 $S_{SO}$  and  $\tau_d$  derived from fitting the exponential decay curve to the z-scored  $\delta$  power values in NREM epochs showed no signifcant infuence of FNE, but *LA* tended to be higher on the first night (F  $(1,56) = 3.297$ , p = 0.075) (Table [2,](#page-3-2) Fig. [3](#page-4-0)).

The correlation between age and  $\Delta S_{SO}$ , and  $\Delta \tau_d$  was not signifcant for any of the parameters. However, ΔLA showed a trend toward a negative correlation, suggesting that the δ band tended to be maintained at a higher level with increasing age  $(r = -0.38, p = 0.089,$  Fig. [4](#page-4-1)).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Table 2** The two days comparison of each variable in the exponential curve ftted with z-scored *δ* power values

	Day1	Day2	F	р
$S_{SO}$	$0.93 + 0.63$	$0.85 + 0.47$	0.676	0.414
LA	$-0.80 + 0.84$	$-1.31 + 1.25$	3.297	0.075
$\tau_{\rm d}$	$28.49 + 28.33$	$41.14 + 39.70$	2.047	0.158

 $S_{SO}$  the level of z-scored $\delta$ power at sleep onset, *LA* the lower asymptote,  $\tau_d$ : the time constant of the decreasing exponential function during sleep

## **Discussion**

This study investigated the occurrence and age-related changes of FNE in healthy school-aged children aged 6–15 years, using both macro (sleep architecture) and micro (frequency analysis) structures. The sleep macrostructure showed that FNE caused a signifcant reduction in TST, SE, Stage N1, N2, and R, and a signifcant



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Estimated exponential curve of Day1 and Day2

increase in sleep onset latency and Stage W on the frst night. These results are consistent with previous fndings indicating that FNE occurs in children [[7\]](#page-5-5).

Regarding the age-related changes in sleep macrostructure, this study found a positive correlation between the twonight diference in Stage N3 and age, suggesting that the decrease in Stage N3 due to FNE becomes more pronounced with age. Stage N3 is a sleep stage dominated by slow waves closely related to the development of the central nervous system network and is more prominent in younger children [18 19]and is known to gradually decrease through adolescence [\[3](#page-5-2)]. Therefore, Stage N3 in younger children may be robust against FNE, while in older children, it may be more susceptible to the efects of FNE. Additionally, the proportion of Stage N2 (%TST) showed a negative correlation with age. Stage N2 is known to increase through puberty [[4\]](#page-5-3), suggesting that it may be more robust against FNE at older ages. These results difer from a previous meta-analysis targeting individuals aged 10 years and older [\[2](#page-5-1)]. This meta-analysis reported that the impact of FNE weakens between the ages of 10 and 20, and then increases again with age. This discrepancy may be due to the inclusion of younger children in this study and the comprehensive evaluation of multiple indicators. The relationship between FNE and age may difer depending on the sleep component.

The frequency analysis (multitaper frequency analysis and non-linear regression) targeting the *δ*, *θ*, *α*, *β*, and σ bands showed no occurrence of FNE in any bands. Also,  $S_{SO}$  and  $\tau_d$  did not be associated with FNE, but LA, the convergence value of the  $\delta$  band, had trend toward significance, resulting in the  $\delta$  band being maintained at a relatively higher level due to FNE. It was assumed that the  $\delta$  band activity was prolonged until the latter half of sleep, indicating that the sleep homeostasis mechanism was disrupted due to the FNE. Similar changes are found in the insomnia patients [[20](#page-5-15)] and critically ill children [[21\]](#page-5-16). This result is inconsistent with sleep variables that showed no association between FNE and the amount of Stage N3. This discrepancy might occur due to the diference in detecting power for δ band between the two approaches. With the visual inspection, Stage N3 could only be calculated when slow waves predominantly occur in the epoch, while frequency analysis could detect more subtle changes in δ band even in the less dominate epoch. On the other hand, age-related changes in Δ*LA* tended to be higher with increasing age due to FNE. This is in accordance with the macrostructure of sleep variables.

Although this study provides valuable insights into the age-related changes of FNE in healthy school-aged children, it has several limitations. First, while Lights Out was set based on each child's habitual bedtime in principle, it varied slightly between participants due to diferences in the



(C) The correlation between age and  $\Delta \tau_d$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**(A)**The correlation between age and  $\Delta S_{\rm so}$  **(B)**The correlation between age and  $\Delta LA$ 

original study protocols. Although the impact of this was adjusted using analysis of covariance, it may not have been fully accounted for. Similarly, the 420-min TRT due to the original study protocols is shorter than the recommended sleep duration for children and may not capture the lower quality of the last periods of sleep, leading to an underestimation of FNE. Second, the age range of the children in this study was limited to 6–15 years, including only elementary and junior high school students. To further investigate the relationship between FNE and brain development, studies targeting a wider age range, including infants and preschool children, are needed. Third, the relatively small sample size may have limited the power to detect diferences between age groups, especially in the spectral analysis. Despite these limitations, the results of this study provide important insights into the impact and age-related changes of FNE in healthy school-aged children's sleep. The results highlight the need to consider age and specifc sleep variables when interpreting pediatric PSG studies. For example, researchers and clinicians should be aware that the decrease in Stage N3 due to FNE may be more pronounced in older children and adolescents.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that FNE occurs in healthy school-aged children and that there are age-related changes in its manifestation. Macro-level sleep structure analysis revealed that the decrease in Stage N3 and increase in Stage N2 due to FNE become more pronounced with age. Furthermore, micro-level spectral analysis showed a trend toward an increase in the convergence value of δ band with age. These fndings highlight the importance of considering age and specifc sleep indicators when interpreting pediatric PSG results. By deepening our understanding of FNE in the pediatric population, we can improve the accuracy and clinical utility of sleep research, ultimately leading to better diagnosis and treatment of sleep disorders in children.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

#### **References**

<span id="page-5-0"></span>1. Agnew HW Jr, Webb WB, Williams RL. The frst night efect: an EEG study of sleep. Psychophysiology. 1966;2(3):263–6. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1966.tb02650.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1966.tb02650.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1966.tb02650.x).

- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. Ding L, Chen B, Dai Y, et al. A meta-analysis of the frst-night efect in healthy individuals for the full age spectrum. Sleep Med. 2022;89:159–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2021.12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2021.12.007) [007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2021.12.007).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. Feinberg I, Campbell IG. Sleep EEG changes during adolescence: An index of a fundamental brain reorganization. Brain Cogn. 2010;72(1):56–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.09.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.09.008)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>Tarokh L, Carskadon MA. Developmental changes in the human sleep EEG during early adolescence. Sleep. 2010;33(6):801–9.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Busby K, Firestone P, Pivik RT. Sleep patterns in hyperkinetic and normal children. Sleep. 1981;4(4):366–83. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/4.4.366) [1093/sleep/4.4.366.](https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/4.4.366)
- 6. Li AM, Chan DFY, Fok TF, et al. Childhood obstructive sleep apnoea: an update. Hong Kong Med J. 2004;10(6):406–13.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>7. Verhulst SL, Schrauwen N, De Backer WA, et al. First night efect for polysomnographic data in children and adolescents with suspected sleep disordered breathing. Arch Dis Child. 2006;91(3):233–7. [https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2005.085365.](https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2005.085365)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>8. Sharpley AL, Solomon RA, Cowen PJ. Evaluation of frst night efect using ambulatory monitoring and automatic sleep stage analysis. Sleep. 1988;11(3):273–6. [https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/](https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/11.3.273) [11.3.273](https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/11.3.273).
- 9. Palm L, Persson E, Elmqvist D, et al. Sleep and wakefulness in normal preadolescent children. Sleep. 1989;12(4):299–308. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/12.4.299>.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>10. Pedersen MJ, Leonthin H, Mahler B, et al. Two nights of home polysomnography in healthy 7–14-year-old children – Feasibility and intraindividual variability. Sleep Med. 2023;101:87–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2022.10.027.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2022.10.027)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>11. Tamaki M, Bang JW, Watanabe T, et al. The first-night effect suppresses the strength of slow-wave activity originating in the visual areas during sleep. Vision Res. 2014;99:154–61. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2013.10.023) [10.1016/j.visres.2013.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2013.10.023).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>12. Mayeli A, Janssen SA, Sharma K, et al. Examining frst night efect on sleep parameters with hd-EEG in healthy individuals. Brain Sci. 2022. [https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12020233.](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12020233)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>13. Berry RB, Albertario C, Harding S, et al 2018 for the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifcations, Version 2.5. Darien, IL
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>14. Prerau MJ, Brown RE, Bianchi MT, et al. Sleep neurophysiological dynamics through the lens of multitaper spectral analysis. Physiology. 2017;32(1):60–92. [https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00062.2015) [00062.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00062.2015)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>15. Feinberg I, Floyd TC. Systematic trends across the night in human sleep cycles. Psychophysiology. 1979;16(3):283–91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1979.tb02991.x) [org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1979.tb02991.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1979.tb02991.x).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>16. Robillard R, Massicotte-Marquez J, Kawinska A, et al. Topography of homeostatic sleep pressure dissipation across the night in young and middle-aged men and women: sleep in the middleaged. J Sleep Res. 2010;19(3):455–65. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00820.x) [1365-2869.2010.00820.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00820.x)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>17. Rusterholz T, Dürr R, Achermann P. Inter-individual diferences in the dynamics of sleep homeostasis. Sleep. 2010;33(4):491–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/33.4.491>.
- 18. Kurth S, Jenni OG, Riedner BA, et al. Characteristics of sleep slow waves in children and adolescents. Sleep. 2010;33(4):475–80.
- 19. Buchmann A, Ringli M, Kurth S, et al. EEG sleep slow-wave activity as a mirror of cortical maturation. Cereb Cortex. 2011;21(3):607–15. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq129.](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq129)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>20. Lunsford-Avery JR, Bidopia T, Jackson L, et al. Behavioral treatment of insomnia and sleep disturbances in school-aged children and adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2021;30(1):101–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2020.08.006>.
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>21. Kudchadkar SR, Yaster M, Punjabi AN, et al. Temporal characteristics of the sleep EEG power spectrum in critically ill children. J

Clin Sleep Med. 2015;11(12):1449–54. [https://doi.org/10.5664/](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.5286) [jcsm.5286.](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.5286)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.