#### **TECHNICAL PAPER**



# **Numerical and experimental study on the efect of fber reinforcement on the shear strength and hydraulic conductivity of Chlef soil**

AbdelkaderBrahimi<sup>1,2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Djamel Eddine Bouri<sup>1</sup> · Zohra Boutaraa<sup>1</sup> · Benali Nougar<sup>1</sup> · Abdellah Krim<sup>1</sup> · **Mohammed Chemmam<sup>1</sup> · Ahmed Arab1**

Received: 22 December 2021 / Accepted: 25 November 2022 / Published online: 3 December 2022 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

### **Abstract**

This paper examines the efect of fber content and relative density (RD) on the hydro-mechanical behavior of Chlef sand. The hydro-mechanical behavior of the sand-fber mixture was evaluated by 45 permeability tests and 30 direct shear tests in the laboratory. Tests were performed on reconstituted specimens at different relative densities  $(RD=15, 50$  and 70%) and at different commercial polypropylene fiber contents  $(0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 0.1\%)$ . The results indicate that adding polypropylene fbers reduces the hydraulic conductivity (k) in the range of 0% to 0.5% and then increases in the range of 0.5% to 1%. In addition, higher relative density (RD) values in the mixtures increase hydraulic conductivity (k). The results also show that shear strength, cohesion c, and friction angle φ are improved in the range of 0% to 0.5% and then increased in the range of 0.5% to 1%. The fnite element method was used to simulate the drained behavior of the sand. The aim is to obtain a simple soil model from a numerical analysis to represent the studied material's drained behavior.

**Keywords** Shear strength · Hydro-mechanical behavior · Fibers content · Sand–fber mixture · Modeling

#### **List of symbols**



 $\boxtimes$  Abdelkader Brahimi a.brahim@centre-univ-mila.dz

<sup>1</sup> LsmE Laboratory of Materials Sciences and Environment, Univ. UHBC Hassiba Benbouali of Chlef, 02091 Chlef, Algeria

Civil Engineering and Hydraulics Department, University Center of Mila, Institute of Science and Technology, Mila, Algeria



# **Introduction**

Sandy soils are often used in the design of the road layers' structure, embankments, ground constructions, deep foundations (bridges) and retaining walls due to their high availability, ease of use in all projects and cost-efectiveness. However, in many projects, improving soil properties for use as a construction material has been considered a challenging task. The primary goal is to increase the shear resistance, improve hydraulic conductivity and/or the soil stability and reduce the project cost considering the environmental advantages of the available local materials [[2,](#page-9-0) [3,](#page-9-1) [30,](#page-10-0) [32,](#page-10-1) [36](#page-10-2), [44](#page-10-3)].

Numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate the mechanical behavior of sandy soils, which are affected during shear by several factors such as sample preparation method, particle size and shape, packing density, confning pressure, stress history, pre-shear or fnes content ([[4,](#page-9-2) [6](#page-9-3), [18–](#page-10-4)[20](#page-10-5), [23](#page-10-6)[–25,](#page-10-7) [49](#page-10-8), [51](#page-10-9), [52\]](#page-11-0)). However, research focused on the hydro-mechanical behavior of sand-fber mixtures is limited.

Several authors have evaluated the effect of adding fiber content to soil on hydraulic conductivity. Junjun et al. [[46\]](#page-10-10) indicated that the hydraulic conductivity value of sand is lower than that of soil mixed with fber content. Junjun et al. [\[46\]](#page-10-10) developed a new model to predict the soil water retention curve (SWRC) and water permeability of soils mixed with lignocellulose fbers. This model considers the void ratio change by incorporating the air void from fbers. Bordoloi et al. [[21](#page-10-11)] reported that soil reinforced with coir fbers gives five times the compressive strength compared to pure soil.

In addition, some studies have addressed soil improvement by plant roots [\[37,](#page-10-12) [46](#page-10-10), [53,](#page-11-1) [54\]](#page-11-2). However, plant roots do not always enhance the hydraulic properties of soilfber mixtures and are still unclear. The majority of sandrelated problems involve heaving and shrinkage. Indeed, the primary purpose of soil-polypropylene fber mixtures as hydraulic barriers in waste containment systems is to impede flow  $[14]$ . Other researchers have reported that particle size distribution characteristics can afect the soil's hydraulic conductivity. Cherif Taiba et al. [\[31\]](#page-10-13), Cronican and Gribb [[33\]](#page-10-14) and Belkhatir et al. [[18](#page-10-4), [19](#page-10-15)] evaluated the hydraulic conductivity of granular soil. Their results indicate that the hydraulic conductivity decreases proportionally with the effective diameter  $(D_{10})$  and mean grain size  $(D_{50})$ .

Improving the mechanical properties of locally available soils by adding cementitious materials such as cement and lime is very common. Fibers have attracted considerable interest in soil mechanics [[11](#page-9-5)[–13](#page-9-6), [43,](#page-10-16) [45](#page-10-17)]. Garg et al. [\[21\]](#page-10-11) and Junjun et al. [[14\]](#page-9-4) showed that increasing the fiber content in the soil increases its hydraulic permeability. Divya et al. [\[34](#page-10-18)] showed that the hydraulic conductivity of a silt–clay mixture mixed with diferent percentages of fbers  $(0, 0.25, 0.5,$  and  $0.75\%)$  decreases up to fiber content of 0.25%, increasing beyond 0.25% up to 0.75%. Abdi et al. [[1\]](#page-9-7) found that the hydraulic conductivity of the soil increases proportionally to fber content from 1 to 8%.

Other studies have shown the beneft of adding polypropylene and glass fbers to address its contribution to soil mechanical behavior ([[14,](#page-9-4) [22,](#page-10-19) [27](#page-10-20), [28,](#page-10-21) [47](#page-10-22)]) found that adding geotextile increases the performance of Chlef liquefed sand. Gao and Zahao [[39\]](#page-10-23) and Shao et al. [[56\]](#page-11-3) showed that the shear strength of granular soil, cohesion, and friction angle increase with the addition of polypropylene fbers. Baig Moghal et al. [[14](#page-9-4)] reported that the soil hydraulic conductivity increases with the increase in the fber fraction from 0.2 to 0.6%.

This study evaluates the hydro-mechanical behavior of Chlef sand using the permeability and direct shear box apparatus. The addition of polypropylene fbers in a fraction of 0 up to 1% on hydraulic conductivity is also evaluated. The effect of fiber content on Chlef soil hydro-mechanical behavior is investigated on hydraulic conductivity and shear strength to establish a relationship between them and determine if there is a relationship between strength and permeability. The numerical simulation performed aims to determine the drained behavior of Chlef sand to simulate direct shear tests using the Hardening Soil model.

### **Experimental programs**

#### **Tested materials**

The tests were carried out on Chlef sand. Specifc gravity for natural sand is  $G_s = 2.65$  [ASTM D854-83]. 2002, Maximum and minimum void ratios were determined according to the recommendations of [ASTM D 4253-00]. 2002, and [ASTM D 4254-00]. 2002. Table [1](#page-1-0) summarizes diferent characteristics of Chlef sand, such as the diameters  $(D_{10}$  and  $D_{50})$  and the uniformity coefficient  $(C_u)$ . Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the particle size distribution curve, while Fig. [2](#page-2-1) shows the polypropylene fbers (Sika) used in this study. Table [2](#page-2-2) lists the physical and mechanical characteristics of this material.

#### **Samples preparation**

A total of 45 permeability tests were performed using the permeameter device (Fig. [3\)](#page-2-3). The samples were tested with a variable percentage of polypropylene fiber  $(0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.5)$ 0.75, and 1%) (Fig. [4\)](#page-2-4) and under three vertical loads (50, 100, and 200 kPa) and three relative densities  $(RD = 15\%,$ 50%, and 70%). The sample was placed in a cylindrical mold. The sample saturation was obtained by flling a basin

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1** Physical properties of Chlef sand

Composition	Chlef Sandy soil
Specific weight of solids, $\gamma s$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.67
Maximum void ratio $(e_{\text{max}})$	0,854
Minimum void ratio $(e_{\min})$	0,535
Effective diameter $D_{10}$ (mm)	0,225
average diameter $D_{50}$ (mm)	0,61
Uniformity coefficient (Cu)	3.38
Coefficient of curvature (Cc)	0.968
<b>USCS</b> classification	SP
Plasticity index of fine element $(\%)$	6.32



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Particle size distribution curve of Chlef natural sand



**Fig. 2** Polypropylene fbers (Sika) used in this study

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 2** Physical and mechanical properties of the used polypropylene fbers (SIKA)

Properties	Type of reinforcement
Diameter DF (mm)	0.018
Length $LF$ (mm)	12
Aspect ratio (length/diameter) LF/DF	666
Density	0.91
Specific area $(m^2/kg)$	250
Tensile strength (MPa)	$300 - 400$
Elastic modulus (MPa)	6000-9000

where the test tube was placed gradually with distilled water and remained submerged for at least 24 h. The water was then allowed to flow through the soil with maintaining a constant pressure (50, 100 and 200 kPa), and hydraulic conductivity was measured when the outfow rate became constant.



**Fig. 3** Permeability apparatus used in this study

<span id="page-2-3"></span>

**Fig. 4** Chlef sand mixed with polypropylene fbers (Sika)

<span id="page-2-4"></span>

**Fig. 5** Direct shear test device

<span id="page-2-5"></span>Next, 30 direct shear tests were carried out using a square direct shear box  $(60 \times 60 \text{ mm}^2)$  device (Fig. [5\)](#page-2-5) on sand-fibers mixtures (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1%) under three normal stresses ( $\sigma_n$ =50, 100 and 200 kPa) and two relative densities  $(RD=15\%$  and 50%) using Air-Pluviation method, without initial water content  $w = 0\%$ . The tests were carried out according to [ASTM standards D3080]. 2005. For medium dense state  $(RD = 50\%)$  samples were prepared in four layers by compacting a known mass of the studied materials in the direct shear box to reach the target void ratio; the initial sample height was 20 mm [[24\]](#page-10-24). However, loose samples  $(RD = 15\%)$  do not require layer preparation to avoid grain compaction, so the funnel was used to obtain them [[28\]](#page-10-21).

# **Numerical simulation and boundary conditions**

Over the past twenty years, the fnite element method (FEM) has gained much popularity in the feld of geoengineering and design and has been used in several studies ([\[16,](#page-9-8) [17,](#page-10-25) [29](#page-10-26), [40](#page-10-27)[–42](#page-10-28)]). In this study, the direct shear test was modeled using Plaxis 2D. The plane strain (2D) test was simulated using 15 node elements, with volume and interface elements. The geometric model reproduces the real dimensions of the shear box  $(60 \times 60 \times 20)$  mm. Concerning the two upper and lower box dimensions, an interface was created between the two.

The initial conditions require the generation of the initial water pressure and the initial effective stress. In this case, the pore water pressure was equal to zero since the test was carried out in a drained condition, whereas the calculation of the efective stress was done automatically by Plaxis. The model's boundary conditions were determined similarly to the experimental method. In the upper box, horizontal and vertical displacements are allowed, while all displacements in the lower box are blocked. The constant normal load condition was created by applying a uniformly distributed normal stress at the level of the top of the specimen, and horizontal shear displacement along is created to allow the horizontal displacement of the upper box (Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0).

The HSM model was used for numerical simulation. This model was derived from the Duncan and Chang [[35\]](#page-10-29) hyperbolic model because it considers hyperbolic formulations to be adapted to all types of soils. The model is based on the Mohr–Coulomb parameters (Poisson's modulus "ν," Friction angle "φ," Cohesion "c" and Dilatation angle "ψ") and stifness parameters (Oedometer reference modulus " $E_{50}$ <sup>ref</sup>" and stiffness reference modulus " $E_{\text{oed}}^{\text{ref}}$ ") (Table [3](#page-3-1)).

# **Results and discussion**

# **Efect of relative density and vertical stress on the hydraulic conductivity (k) of reinforced sand**

Figure [7a](#page-4-0), b and c shows the variation in the hydraulic conductivity versus relative density for samples prepared using the Air-Pluviation method. The sand–fber mixture samples were reconstituted in the laboratory at three initial relative densities  $(RD=15\%, 50\%$  and 70%). It can be observed that the loose samples  $(RD=15%)$  give a larger value of hydraulic conductivity (k) than the medium dense (RD= $50\%$ ) and dense sample  $(RD=70\%)$ , respectively. This finding can be attributed to the loose specimen's lower compaction and a

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 3** Parameters of HSM model used in numerical simulation for the medium dense state  $(RD=50%)$ 



<span id="page-3-0"></span>



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Effect of relative density on the hydraulic conductivity (k) of reinforced sand, (air pluviation method: w=0%), **a**  $\sigma_n$ =50 kPa, **b**  $σ<sub>n</sub> = 100$  kPa, **c**  $σ<sub>n</sub> = 200$  kPa

higher void ratio. It can also be noted that the vertical stress increase from 50 to 200 kPa reduces the soil's hydraulic conductivity value. Moreover, the increase in the normal stress decreases the hydraulic conductivity (k) of both unreinforced and reinforced sand. Indeed, samples prepared under vertical stress of 200 kPa give greater hydraulic conductivity values than those prepared under vertical stress of 100 and 50 kPa.

These results can be attributed to the increase in the intergranular forces between the sand grains, which increase proportionally to the normal stress from 50 up to 200 kPa and the relative density from 15 up to 50% and from 50 up to 70%, which will decrease the void ratio between these grains. Similar observations were made by Yixian et al. [[57\]](#page-11-4) on fber-reinforced soil. The authors reported that a high relative density causes a further increase in the efective contact area between soil particles and fber. Belkhatir et al. [\[18,](#page-10-4) [19](#page-10-15)] indicated that increasing the relative density from  $RD = 20$  to  $RD = 90\%$  decreases the hydraulic conductivity (k) and the decrease in the void ratio decreases the value of the hydraulic conductivity (k). Thus, it can be noted that the relative density positively affects the soil's hydraulic behavior. In the same way, the relative density has a similar efect as the vertical stress to improve the soil's hydraulic behavior.

# **Efect of polypropylene fber on the hydraulic conductivity (k) of sandy soil**

The fber content infuence on Chlef soil was studied by varying fber contents (0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75% and 1%). Figure  $8a$  $8a$ , b and c shows the hydraulic conductivity (k) variation versus polypropylene fber content. It can be noted that the hydraulic conductivity (k) decreases inversely to the polypropylene fber amount by up to 0.5% and decreases with a further increase in polypropylene fiber by up to 1%. The decreases of hydraulic conductivity  $(k)$  up to 0.5% can be explained by the fact that the friction angle between the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



sand grains increases beyond 0.5% of the polypropylene fber and then decreases up to 1%. Thus, it causes an increase in the hydraulic conductivity  $(k)$  up to 1% of polypropylene fiber content.

Shao et al. [\[56](#page-11-3)] indicated that the increase in the polypropylene fber increases the friction angle due to the mobilization of friction between the soil particles and fbers. In this study, the transition fber content is 0.5%. Before this limit, the fbers contribute to the increase in the friction angle, which increases the shear strength and decreases the hydraulic conductivity (k), whereas for fber content values greater than 0.5%, the friction angle decreases, reducing the shear strength and increasing the hydraulic conductivity (k).

# **Efect of fber content on the mechanical behavior of sand**

#### *Loose samples (RD***=***15%)*

Figure [9a](#page-6-0) and b presents the effect of polypropylene fiber on the mechanical behavior of loose sand  $(Dr=15\%)$ . The results indicate a consistent amelioration in the maximum shear stress proportional to the increase in the fber content up to 0.5% and a reduction with a further increase in the fber content up to 1%. Thus, it can be noted that the addition of fber content increases the shear strength compared to unreinforced soil. The other fiber fractions (0.25, 0.75, and 1%) give a lower shear strength value than that obtained from 0.5%. Similar observations were made by Kumar et al. [\[48](#page-10-30)]. In this study, all samples show stabilization of shear strength for horizontal displacement greater than 5 mm. Figure [9](#page-6-0)b shows the vertical displacement versus the horizontal one, and it can be observed that increasing the polypropylene fber content in the sand decreases the contracting phase. These results indicate that the presence of polypropylene fber consistently decreases the tendency for contractancy [[5\]](#page-9-9).

#### *Medium dense samples (RD***=***50%)*

Figure [10a](#page-6-1) and b shows the effect of polypropylene fiber content on the mechanical behavior of the medium dense <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Efect of polypropylene fbers on the mechanical behavior of loose state samples: **a** variation in the shear strength versus horizontal displacement, **b** variation in vertical displacement versus horizontal displacement

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 10** Efect of polypropylene fibers on the mechanical behavior of medium dense samples: **a** variation in the shear strength versus horizontal displacement, **b** variation in vertical displacement versus horizontal displacement



#### **Mohr–Coulomb failure line**

Figure [11a](#page-7-0) and b shows the efective Mohr–Coulomb envelopes corresponding to the unreinforced sand samples and those reinforced with diferent fber contents. The relationship connecting the maximum shear strength ( $\tau_{\text{max}}$ ) to the

normal stress  $(\sigma_n)$  for different fiber content (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1%) can be written according to the following expression:

$$
\tau_{\text{max}} = \sigma_n \cdot t g \phi + c \tag{1}
$$

where  $c$  and  $\varphi$  are the cohesion and the internal friction angle, respectively.

These results indicate that adding fber increases the slope of the failure line compared to that of unreinforced samples. It can be noted that the 0.5% reinforced samples have the greater value of the failure slope for both relative densities, i.e., 0.778 for the loose sample  $(RD=15%)$  and 1.056 for the medium dense sample (RD=50%).



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 11** Intrinsic curves equation  $\tau = \sigma$ .tg  $\Phi$  + c: **a** loose samples "RD=15%", **b** medium dense samples "RD=50%"





# **Efect of polypropylene fber on the mechanical characteristics**

Figure [12a](#page-7-1) and b illustrates the resulting drained shear strength parameters c and  $\varphi$ . It can be observed that the cohesion and the friction angle increase proportionally to the polypropylene fber content up to 0.5% and decrease with further increase up to 1%. According to Gao and Zhao [[39\]](#page-10-23) and Shao et al. [\[56](#page-11-3)], this increase in the friction angle for the fber content of less than 0.5% is most probably associated with the mobilization of friction between the sand grains and fbers. It can also be deduced that the friction angle decreases for fber content greater than 0.5% reducing the friction between the sand grains. Furthermore, according to Kumar et al. [[48](#page-10-30)] and Shao et al. [[56](#page-11-3)], cohesion increases due to the sand ductility increase through the stretching of fbers. It is noted that the medium dense samples have greater values of cohesion and friction angle than the loose samples due to the diference in compaction between the two relative densities.

# **Efect of relative density, normal stress, and polypropylene fbers content on the mechanical behavior and hydraulic conductivity**

Figure [13a](#page-8-0) and b shows the variation in the maximum shear strength as a function of the polypropylene fber content. The results indicate that the maximum shear strength increases proportionally to fber content until 0.5% and then decreases beyond this percentage. Moreover, it can be observed that the increase in the normal stress induces an increase in both maximum shear strength and hydraulic conductivity. Note that, samples with high shear strength values present low hydraulic conductivity values favorable to the studied sand.

Thus, it can be noted that hydraulic behavior relates to mechanical behavior. The hydraulic conductivity decreases with the increase in the shear strength of the samples. For example, in Fig.  $13b$ , the sample with a fiber content of  $0.5\%$ and a density of 50% under normal stress of 200 kPa has a hydraulic conductivity value of  $5.1.10^{-5}$  m/s and, therefore, a shear strength greater than 210 kPa. While in the



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 12** Efect of polypropylene fber content on the mechanical behavior of Chlef sand, (air pluviation method): **a** variation in the cohesion, **b** variation in the friction angle

RD= 50%, Polypropylene-fibre, Air pluviation

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Shear strength, τ [kPa]

 $\Omega$ 

 $\Omega$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ 



300

same condition, the sample with a fiber content of 1% has a hydraulic conductivity value equal to  $7.7.10^{-5}$  m/s and, therefore, a shear strength of less than 180 kPa.

# **Numerical simulations results**

The numerical study was carried out to predict the experimental drained behavior of Chlef sand in a loose state  $(RD = 15%)$  and medium dense state  $(RD = 50%)$  in-plane strain conditions by implementing numerical computations via Plaxis software. A simple hardening soil model implanted in Plaxis was used. The aim is to predict the drained behavior of loose and medium dense samples by exploiting the experimental results and to satisfactorily reproduce the shear strength behavior of sandy soil. According to Fig. [14,](#page-8-1) the numerical simulation results are in good agreement with those obtained from laboratory tests. Thus, HSM well predicted the peak resistance in laboratory tests on dense samples. The curves of the shear strength versus horizontal displacement are almost identical.

# **Conclusions**

This paper provided a numerical and experimental study of the hydro-mechanical behavior of Chlef sand by mixing it with contents of polypropylene fibers  $(f=0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75,$ 1%), reconstituted with three relative densities  $(RD=15, 50,$ 70%) and subjected to three initial normal stresses ( $\sigma_n$ =50, 100 and 200 kPa). In the frst part of the experiment, the effect of the relative density, vertical stress, and polypropylene fber content on the hydraulic conductivity of the studied sand was examined. Afterward, the effect of polypropylene fbers' relative density and content on shear strength was evaluated. It can be noted that the relative density and the vertical stress afect the hydraulic conductivity of the soil.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 14** Numerical simulation of drained behavior of Chlef sand

4 5 6

Horizontal displacement, ∆H (mm)

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $\mathbf{8}$ 

 $\overline{9}$ 

 $10$ 

The results indicate that loose samples  $(RD = 15%)$  have greater hydraulic conductivity than medium dense and dense samples  $(RD = 50\%$  and 70%), and increasing the vertical stress from 50 to 200 kPa decreases the value of the hydraulic conductivity.

The increase in the polypropylene fiber content for a fraction less than 0.5% positively afects the hydraulic conductivity (decrease in hydraulic conductivity), whereas it increases again for a fber fraction greater than 0.5%. This behavior can be attributed to the decrease in friction angle between the sand grains beyond 0.5% of the polypropylene fber content and then increases up to 1%, which causes a decrease in the hydraulic conductivity up to this content. Furthermore, the increase in the fiber content from 0 up to

1% increases the shear strength compared to that of unreinforced samples. The other fiber fractions (0.25, 0.75, and 0.1) have a lower value of shear strength than 0.5%. The presence of polypropylene fber consistently increases the tendency for dilatancy and reduces the contractancy phase.

The cohesion and the friction angle increase proportionally with an increase in the polypropylene fber content up to 0.5% and decrease with a further increase in the polypropylene fber up to 1%. These mechanical parameters were improved after the relative density augmentation from 15 to 50%. Thus, it is worth noting that numerical simulation using the fnite element method and HSM model can predict the drained behavior of Chlef sand in their loose  $(RD=15%)$ and medium dense states  $(RD=50\%)$ . This model provided an acceptable frst approximation of the behavior of Chlef sandy soil.

Therefore, it can be concluded that increased density improves the hydro-mechanical response of soils in terms of hydraulic conductivity and shear stress behavior. Polypropylene fiber significantly affected hydro-mechanical behavior and showed positive effects in the 0 to 0.5% range. Furthermore, the numerical simulation results indicate that the HSM model has a good estimation to obtain stress developments for a real geotechnical project.

**Acknowledgements** Chlef university authors thank the reviewers for their detailed remarks. Tests were performed in the Laboratory of Material Sciences and Environment (LsmE) at UHBC University of Chlef.

**Author contributions** AB contributed to conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft, investigation. DEB contributed to methodology, conceptualization, Writing. ZB contributed to writing—review and editing. BN contributed to data curation, conceptualization. AK contributed to supervision, methodology. MC contributed to data curation, conceptualization. AA contributed to conceptualization, supervision, methodology.

**Funding** Direction Générale de la Recherche Scientifque et du Développement Technologique.

**Data availability** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** We declare that we have no fnancial and personal relationships with other person or companies that can inappropriately afect our work. There is no professional or other personal interest of any nature or kind in any product, service and/or company that could be construed as infuencing the paper.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Ethics approval** Not applicable.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-7"></span>1. Abdi MR, Parsapajouh A, Arjomand MA (2008) Efects of random fber inclusion on consolidation, hydraulic conductivity, swelling, shrinkage limit and desiccation cracking of clays. Int J Civ Eng Vol. 6, No. 4, December 2008.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>2. Abdou Lawane G, Messan A, Pantet A, Vinai R, Thomassin JH (2014) Local materials for building houses: laterite valorization in Africa. In: Advanced Materials Research 875–877:324–327. 10. 4028/ www. scien tifc. net/ amr. 875- 877. 324
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>3. Akacem M, Zentar R, Mekerta B, Sadok A, Moulay Omar H (2020) Co-valorisation of local materials tufs and dune sands in construction of roads. Geotech Geol Eng 38(1):435–447. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-019-01035-4) [doi.org/10.1007/s10706-019-01035-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-019-01035-4)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>4. Alshibli KA, Cil MB (2018) Infuence of particle morphology on the friction and dilatancy of sand. J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng 144(3):04017118. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001841) [0001841](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001841)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>5. Anagnostopoulos CA, Tzetzis D, Berketis K (2013) Evaluation of the shear strength behaviour of polypropylene and carbon fbre reinforced cohesive soils. Res J Appl Sci Eng Technol 7(20):4327–4342
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>6. Arab A, Sadek M, Belkhatir M, Shahrour I (2014) Monotonic preloading efect on the liquefaction resistance of Chlef Silty Sand. Arab J Sci Eng 39:685–694
- 7. ASTM D 854–83 (2002) Standard Test Methods for Specifc Gravity of Soil Solids by Water Pycnometer, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, West Conshohocken
- 8. ASTM D 4253-00 (2002) Standard test method for maximum index density and unit weight of soils using a vibratory table. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, pp 1–14.
- 9. ASTM D 4254-00 (2002) Standard test method for minimum index density and unit weight of soils and calculation of relative density. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, pp 1–9.
- 10. ASTM D 3080 (2005) Standard test method for direct shear test of soils under consolidated drained conditions, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>11. Azaiez H, Taiba AC, Mahmoudi Y, Belkhatir M (2021) Characterization of granular materials treated with fy ash for road infrastructure applications. Transp Infrastruct Geotechnol 8(2):228– 253.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40515-020-00135-6>
- 12. Azaiez H, Cherif Taiba A, Mahmoudi Y, Belkhatir M (2021) Shear characteristics of fy ash improved sand as an embankment material for road infrastructure purpose. Innovative Infrastruct Solutions. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-021-00517-w>
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>13. Azaiez H, Cherif Taiba A, Mahmoudi Y, Belkhatir M (2022) Polyurethane organic polymer as an eco-friendly solution for improvement of geotechnical characteristics of soils. J GeoEng 17(2):089–098. [https://doi.org/10.6310/jog.202206\\_17\(2\).3](https://doi.org/10.6310/jog.202206_17(2).3)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>14. Moghal AAB, Chittoori BC, Basha BM, Al-Mahbashi AM (2018) Efect of polypropylene fbre reinforcement on the consolidation, swell and shrinkage behaviour of lime-blended expansive soil. Int J Geotech Eng 12(5):462–471. [https://doi.org/10.1080/19386362.](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386362.2017.1297002) [2017.1297002](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386362.2017.1297002)
- 15. Moghal AAB, Munwar Basha B, Chittoori B, Al-Shamrani MA (2016) Efect of Fiber Reinforcement on the Hydraulic Conductivity Behavior of Lime-Treated Expansive Soil-Reliability-Based Optimization Perspective. In: Fourth Geo-China International Conference, July 2016, [https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784480069.](https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784480069.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784480069.004)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>16. Belabbaci Z, Berrabah AT, Bouri DE (2021) Experimental and fnite element analysis of slope stability treated by lime milk (case

of El Amir Abdelkader embankment). Mech Mech Eng 25(1):77– 83. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mme-2021-0011>

- <span id="page-10-25"></span>17. Zohra BF, Liang T, Eddine BD, Chunhui L, Xianzhang L (2021) Estimation of bending moment and pile displacement for soilpile-quay wall system subjected to liquefaction induced lateral spreading. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 151:106989. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2021.106989) [1016/j.soildyn.2021.106989](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2021.106989)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>18. Belkhatir M, Arab A, Della N, Schanz T (2014) Laboratory study on the hydraulic conductivity and pore pressure of sand–silt mixtures. Mar Georesour Geotechnol 32(2):106–122. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2012.710712) [10.1080/1064119X.2012.710712](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2012.710712)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>19. Belkhatir M, Schanz T, Arab A, Della N, Kadri A (2014) Insight into the efects of gradation on the pore pressure generation of sand-silt mixtures. ASTM International, Oxford
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>20. Benghalia Y, Bouafa A, Canou J, Dupla JC (2015) Liquefaction susceptibility study of sandy soils: efect of low plastic fnes. Arab J Geosci 8(2):605–618
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>21. Bordoloi S, Kashyap V, Garg A, Sreedeep S, Wei L, Andriyas S (2018) Measurement of mechanical characteristics of fbre from a novel invasive weed: a comprehensive comparison with fbres from agricultural crops. Measurement 113:62–70. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.08.044) [10.1016/j.measurement.2017.08.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.08.044)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>22. Bouaricha L, Djafar Henni A, Laurent L (2019) Glass Fiber Efect on the Undrained Static Response of Chlef Sand (Northern Algeria); Recent Advances in Geo-Environmental Engineering, Geomechanics and Geotechnics, and Geohazards, Advances in Science, Technology & Innovation, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01665-4_63) [3-030-01665-4\\_63](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01665-4_63)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>23. Eddine BD, Brahimi A, Krim A, Arab A, Najser J, Mašín D (2021) Compression behaviour of Chlef sand and transition of fnes content using pressure-dependent maximum void ratios of sand. Geotech Geol Eng.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-021-01985-8>
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>24. Bouri D, Krim A, Brahim A, Arab A (2020) Shear strength of compacted Chlef sand: effect of water content, fines content and others parameters. Studia Geotech et Mech 42(1):18–35
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>25. Boutaraa Z, Arab A, Bouferra R, Sadek M (2020a) Soil densifcation efect on the behaviour of Chlef Sand (Algeria) under static and cyclic loading: a laboratory investigation. Geotech Geol Eng. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-020-01460-w>
- 26. Boutraa Z, Arab A, Chemmam M, Brahimi A (2020b) Use of densifcation process to resolve soil deformation in Chlef city (Algeria). Arab J Geosci.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-06059-2>
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>27. Brahim A, Arab A, Belkhatir M, Shahrour I (2016) Laboratory study of geotextiles performance on reinforced sandy soil. J Earth Sci 27(6):1060–1070
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>28. Abdelkader B, Arab A, Sadek M, Shahrour I (2018) Laboratory investigation of the infuence of geotextile on the stress–strain and volumetric change behavior of sand. Geotechn Geol Eng 36(4):2077–2085.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-018-0446-6>
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>29. Brinkgreve RBJ, Engin E, Engin HK (2010) Validation of empirical formulas to derive model parameters for sands. In: Benz T, Nordal S (eds) Numerical methods in geotechnical engineering. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 137–142
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>30. Cherif Taiba A, Mahmoudi Y, Hazout L, Belkhatir M, Baille W (2019) Evaluation of hydraulic conductivity through particle shape and packing density characteristics of sand–silt mixtures. Marine Georesour Geotechnol 37(10):1175–1187
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>31. Cherif Taiba A, Mahmoudi Y, Azaiez H, Belkhatir M, Baille W (2022) Predicting the saturated hydraulic conductivity of particulate assemblies based on active fraction of fnes and particle-size disparity parameters. Geomech Geoeng 17(3):809–821
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>32. Cherrak M, Morsli M, Boutemeur R, Bali A (2015) Valorization of the use of calcareous tuf and dune sand in Saharan road design. J Civ Eng Archit 9:665–676. [https://doi.org/10.17265/1934-7359/](https://doi.org/10.17265/1934-7359/2015.06.004) [2015.06.004](https://doi.org/10.17265/1934-7359/2015.06.004)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>33. Cronican AE, Gribb MM (2004) Literature review: equations for predicting hydraulic conductivity based on grain-size data. Supplement to technical note entitled: hydraulic conductivity prediction for sandy soils. Ground Water 42(3):459–464
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>34. Divyaa PV, Viswanadhama BVS, Gourcb JP (2017) Hydraulic conductivity behaviour of soil blended with geofber inclusions. Geotext Geomembr 46(2018):121–213
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>35. Duncan JM, Chang CY (1970) Nonlinear analysis of stress and strain in soil. J Soil Mech Found Div 96(5):1629–1653
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>36. García-Díaz I, Gázquez MJ, Bolivar JP, López FA (2016) Characterization and valorization of norm wastes for construction materials. Manag Hazard Wastes.<https://doi.org/10.5772/61668>
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>37. Garg A, Li J, Berretta C, Garg A (2017) A new computational approach for estimation of wilting point for green infrastructure. Measurement.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.07.026>
- 38. Ankit G, Sanandam B, Somnath M, Jun-Jun Ni, Sreedeep S (2018) Investigation of mechanical factor of soil reinforced with four types of fbers: an integrated experimental and extreme learning machine approach. J Nat Fibers. [https://doi.org/10.1080/15440](https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2018.1521763) [478.2018.1521763](https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2018.1521763)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>39. Gao Z, Zhao J (2013) Evaluation on Failure of Fiber-Reinforced Sand. J Geotechn Geoenviron Eng 139(1):95–106. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000737) [10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0000737](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000737)
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>40. Hemeda S, Pitlakis K (2010) Serapeum temple and the ancient annex daughter library in Alexandria, Egypt: geotechnical-geophysical investigations and stability analysis under static and seismic conditions. Eng Geol 113:33–43
- 41. Hemeda S, Sonbol A (2020) Sustainability problems of the Giza pyramids. Herit Sci 8:8
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>42. Hemeda S (2021) Geotechnical modelling of the climate change impact on world heritage properties in Alexandria. Egypt Herit Sci 9:73. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-021-00547-8>
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>43. Latif N, Horpibulsuk S, Meehan CL, Abd Majid MZ, Tahir MM, Mohamad ET (2017) Improvement of Problematic Soils with Biopolymer: An Environmentally Friendly Soil Stabilizer. J Mater Civ Eng 29(2):04016204. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)mt.1943-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)mt.1943-5533.0001706) [5533.0001706](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)mt.1943-5533.0001706)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>44. Liu J, Bai Y, Li D, Wang Q, Qian W, Wang Y, Wei J (2018) An Experimental study on the shear behaviors of polymer-sand composite materials after immersion. Polymers 10(8):924. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10080924) [org/10.3390/polym10080924](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10080924)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>45. Liu J, Wang Y, Kanungo DP, Wei J, Bai Y, Li D, Lu Y (2019) Study on the brittleness characteristics of sand reinforced with polypropylene fber and polyurethane organic polymer. Fibers Polymers 20(3):620–632.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-019-8779-1>
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>46. Ni J, Sanandam B, Garg A, Shao W, Sreedeep S (2019) Simple model on water retention and permeability in soil mixed with lignocellulose fbres. KSCE J Civ Eng 23(1):138–146
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>47. Kar R, Pradhan P (2011) Strength and compressibility characteristics of randomly distributed fber-reinforced soil. Int J Geotech Eng 5(2):235–243. [https://doi.org/10.3328/IJGE.2011.05.02.](https://doi.org/10.3328/IJGE.2011.05.02.235-243) [235-243](https://doi.org/10.3328/IJGE.2011.05.02.235-243)
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>48. Kumar R, Kanaujia VK, Chandra D (1999) Engineering behaviour of fbre-reinforced pond ash and silty sand. Geosynth Int 6(6):509–518
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>49. Krim A, Arab A, Chemmam M, Brahim A, Sadek M, Shahrour I (2017) Experimental study on the liquefaction resistance of sand– clay mixtures: Efect of clay content and grading characteristics. Mar Georesour Geotechnol. [https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2017.1407974) [2017.1407974](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2017.1407974)
- 50. Abdallah K, Abdelkader B, Ahmed A, Eddine BD, Marwan S (2021) A laboratory study of shear strength of partially saturated sandy soil. Geomech Geoeng. [https://doi.org/10.1080/17486025.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17486025.2020.1864034) [2020.1864034](https://doi.org/10.1080/17486025.2020.1864034)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>51. Mahmoudi Y, Cherif Taiba A, Belkhatir M, Arab A, Schanz T (2016) Laboratory study on undrained shear behaviour of

overconsolidated sand-silt mixtures: efect of the fnes content and stress state. Int J Geotech Eng 12(2):118–132. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386362.2016.1252140) [10.1080/19386362.2016.1252140](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386362.2016.1252140)

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>52. Monkul MM, Yamamuro JA (2011) Infuence of silt size and content on liquefaction behavior of sands. Can Geotech J 48:931–942
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>53. Ng CWW, Ni JJ, Leung AK, Wang ZJ (2016) A new and simple water retention model for root-permeated soils. Géotech Lett 6(1):106–111.<https://doi.org/10.1680/jgele.15.00187>
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>54. Ni JJ, Leung AK, Ng CWW, Shao W (2018) Modelling hydromechanical reinforcements of plants to slope stability. Comput Geotech 95:99–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.c2017.09.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.c2017.09.001) [001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.c2017.09.001)
- 55. Benali N, Abdelkader B, Eddine BD, Arab A, Benessalah I (2021) Laboratory investigation into the efect of fnes plasticity on the mechanical behavior of sand/fnes mixtures. Trans Infrastruct Geotechnol. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40515-020-00144-5>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>56. Shao W, Cetin B, Li Y, Li J, Li L (2014) experimental investigation of mechanical properties of sands reinforced with discrete randomly distributed fber. Geotech Geol Eng 32(4):901–910
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>57. Yixian W, Panpan G, Shengbiao S, Haiping Y, Binxiang Y (2016) Study on strength infuence mechanism of fber-reinforced expansive soil using jute. Geotech Geol Eng. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-016-0028-4) [s10706-016-0028-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-016-0028-4)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.