TECHNICAL PAPER



Assessment of cost overrun factors in construction projects in Nigeria using fuzzy logic

Jude Iloabuchi Obianyo¹ · Okey Enangha Okey¹ · George Uwadiegwu Alaneme¹

Received: 28 December 2021 / Accepted: 29 July 2022 / Published online: 11 August 2022 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract

The evaluation of cost overrun factors were carried out in this research study using fuzzy logic soft computing tool to analyze survey reports from professionals and expert in the construction industry. Due to value engineering underestimating of the actual cost during cost budgeting, cost overrun results in experienced costs exceeding the budgeted amount. Through relevant literature and thorough investigative study, the cost overrun factors were uncovered and structured in a questionnaire design. This investigative study was carried out on building project contractors, consultants and project managers in Nigeria. The obtained results from survey report indicated Poor communication among stakeholders and Contractor's financial constraints were the most severe factors causing cost overruns with SI of 4.29. The model development was carried using the survey results in MATLAB software, and the processing parameters are: Mamdani fuzzy inference system type, maximum and minimum function for aggregation and implication, respectively, centroid method of defuzzification, membership function parameters: trapezoidal, triangular and Gaussian. Fuzzy logic model performance evaluation was further achieved producing mean absolute percentage error, root mean square error and coefficient of determination of 0.115%, 0.321 and 0.995, respectively. The results showed good connection between the actual and fuzzy logic model estimated results which indicates good model prediction performance.

Keywords Construction management · Cost overruns · Fuzzy logic · MATLAB

Introduction

The construction business is one of the most crucial areas for any country's development and also an important source of employment and investments. It makes a substantial contribution to both industrialized and developing countries' economic and social growth. The construction industry has always been unique and dynamic, dealing with a variety of uncertainties and ad hoc teams with multilingual and multicultural backgrounds [1, 2]. Cost overrun is a common occurrence in construction projects in all countries, industrialized and developing alike. This is a key issue that has an impact on project success since it affects all phases of the project life cycle, from start to finish. As a result, all participants in construction projects must pay close attention in order to ensure that the projects are safe and complete within budget, time and quality constraints [3, 4]. The amount by which actual costs exceed the baseline or allowed costs is known as cost overrun. It is the difference between a construction project's final or actual cost at completion and the contract price agreed upon by the customer and contractor when the contract was signed. Explaining why cost overruns happen has long been a source of scientific curiosity. Several studies have been conducted to investigate the factors that contribute to cost overruns in construction projects. However, research that assembles, synthesizes and analyzes results using a soft computing technique known as fuzzy logic to produce a phenomenon overview that enables for the search for global remedies with significant effect is lacking [1, 5].

Construction project performance is negatively impacted by cost overruns. Cost overrun is one of the most serious issues that can stymie the progress of a construction project, as it affects profits, resulting in massive losses and putting the project in jeopardy. Construction cost is one of the most important determinants of a project's performance

George Uwadiegwu Alaneme tinz2020@gmail.com

Department of Civil Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, P. M. B. 7267, Umuahia 440109, Nigeria

throughout its lifecycle, and it is of great concern to individuals in the construction business [4]. A cost overrun, also known as a cost rise or budget overrun, occurs when unanticipated costs exceed budgeted amounts due to underestimating actual costs during budgeting. Cost overrun is the difference between the actual cost incurred during the building phase and the originally predicted cost, and it is one of the most significant elements impacting the entire project's success. Cost overruns can disrupt construction activities and potentially lead to project abandonment in the worst-case scenario, which occurs when the owner lacks the financial ability to cover unanticipated costs [6]. One factor for determining whether a construction project is successful is whether it is completed on time and on budget. There are numerous projects, project activities and limitations in the construction sector that are completed with major cost and time overruns. Delays have a detrimental impact on project cost, time, quality and safety. The goal of every construction firm is to make money and profit at the end of each project. This is accomplished through executing projects within budgetary, time and quality constraints. Construction delays have an impact not only on the construction business, but also on the general economy of the country [7]. Cost and schedule overruns in the construction sector are caused by a number of variables. The rising complexity of construction projects places more pressure on construction managers to complete projects on schedule, on budget and to a high standard. Construction projects face challenges such as limited resources, budget, quality and time, as well as issues with construction processes and administration. Failure to finish projects on schedule and within budget is the most serious issues. This challenge, in turn, is producing issues with project funding, public use of the facility on time and the relationship between stakeholders participating in the development process. This research is being carried out as a result of this issue [8, 9].

Throughout the lifecycle of a project, cost is one of the most important factors to consider. Unfortunately, the majority of the projects did not finish on time or within budget. Cost overrun, in addition to time overrun, is a critical issue in the construction business. In Nigeria, the trend is more pronounced, with cost overruns exceeding 100% of the project's original budget. Many construction projects still experience cost overruns, despite the widespread availability and use of many project management methods and software packages. Cost overruns range from 50 to 100% of project costs in around 90% of projects worldwide [9, 10]. Jackson and Steven [11] used a questionnaire survey to investigate the causes of cost overruns in Ilorin building projects and discovered that the major causes of cost overruns were fluctuating material and labor prices, variation orders, delays in honoring certificates, lack of proper tender analysis, selection of incompetent contractors, lack of proper project appraisal and unrealistic representation of clients' needs. Price instabilities, bankrolling and disbursements for concluded works, poor contract management, schedule interruptions, alterations in site state of affairs, inexact guesstimates, scarcities of material, imported materials and plant items, additional works, design changes, subcontractors and designated suppliers, weather, non-adherence to contract conditions and mistakes abound, according to Omoregie and Radford [12].

Fuzzy logic is an analytical computation system that describes fuzziness and calibrate vagueness. It also makes it straightforward to get a firm judgment based on confusing, imprecise, ambiguous, noisy or missing input data. An element belonging to a fuzzy set with a given degree of membership is referred to as a fuzzy set. Not only does fuzzy logic provide a meaningful and strong representation of uncertainties, but it also allows for the expression of imprecise concepts in normal language. Human perception of approximation has never been more important as it is now for retrieving information and answering deduction questions. As a result, the realm of crisp relational database models has given way to the arena of fuzzy real-world database models [13, 14]. The easiest way to incorporate world knowledge which is grossly imprecise and inaccurate is to present a database model that stores and process crisp entries but allows for fuzzy queries with the usage of an interactive graphical user interface program. Classical query languages are designed to extract data which fully satisfies given constraint. The use of fuzzy logic to treat information has grown in popularity in recent years, with this mathematical theory proving to be a useful tool for solving complicated scientific and technical challenges. In the realm of advanced fuzzy logic applications, research has always delivered new achievements over the years [15]. Industry, commerce, finance, medical and a variety of scientific domains such as machine learning, big data technologies, fuzzy control, expert systems, dynamic fuzzy neural networks and others have all benefited from fuzzy logic. Fuzzy logic provides an innovative of dealing with calculus difficulties in mathematics. In fuzzy logic, traditional algorithms are replaced with a set of If (then) conditional language rules (conclusion). As a result, a heuristic algorithm is created, and human experience can be included into the calculation's subject matter [16].

The purpose of this research is to identify factors that influence project cost overruns and to determine the most severe factors in order to improve project cost management in the Nigerian construction industry. The gains derived from this work are firstly, to investigate cost overrun factors in construction projects through a literature review and identification of project stakeholders responsible for cost overrun occurrence. Second, the cost variable will be correlated with other parameters such as project team positions (respondents), academic qualifications, years of experience (in years), project kind, project funding and historical project obstacles. And lastly, to evaluate cost overrun factors effects on construction performance using fuzzy logic artificial intelligence model.

Causes of delays and cost overrun

Some causes for delays and cost overruns are prevalent in all places, but some may vary owing to changing culture and customs within the country, according to the factors evaluated by numerous researchers across different countries. Some of the factors and reasons for schedule and cost overruns in residential construction projects, according to Bhatia et al. [17], are delays in decision making, poor time estimation of project tasks and activities, unforeseen circumstances, internal conflicts within the project team and poor work organization and planning. As a result, the key causes of time and cost excess are discussed as follows:

Lack of experience

Low bidders are encouraged for public construction projects, according to Frimponga et al. [18], which can lead to a lack of management expertise and abilities, as well as a lack of sufficient resources, resulting in timetable failure. Client experience is also necessary for the right selection of qualified and experienced contractors in order to avoid numerous reworks and variations, which cause project delays [19, 20]. Poor contractor technical performance is frequently linked to a contractor's lack of competent predicting and management experience, which leads to errors and reworks throughout the project's construction phase, rising project costs [18, 21].

Poor scheduling, planning and management

It is critical to plan the work before beginning the project to ensure that it is completed successfully [19]. Contractors frequently fail to give practical building programs and work plans from the outset, making project progress monitoring a time-consuming chore [22]. Sunjka and Jacob [20] find that clients' inaccurate contractual duration projections have an impact on time performance because project managers are given insufficient time to plan and estimate. In addition, Sambasivan and Soon [22] find that the contractor's inept site management causes delays in the remediation of on-site concerns. According to Abdussalam et al. [23], the causes of cost overruns in construction projects are poor cost management, a lack of effective vital success factors such as appropriate planning in the early stages, contractor and architect abilities, and regular coordination between contractor and client.

Orders for design variations and changes

During the planning stage, the scope of work is usually not finalized, and it is frequently subject to change depending on the interests of the parties involved. Due to the uniqueness of the project, the limited timeline and the funds set aside during the planning stage, changes in scope and design are likely to occur [24]. Sunjka and Jacob [20] identify design variation and change orders as causing a temporary pause in the project, which can add to the project's delay. According to Memon et al. [25], project cost is primarily affected by inadequate and delayed design. These allegations frequently result in disputes, affecting the customers' costs and contractors' income.

Poor labor productivity and resource deficiency

Sunjka and Jacob [20] argue that low on-site worker productivity leads to errors and rework, which leads to delays and cost overruns. Poor productivity is caused by a lack of communication, protective labor policies and ineffective organizational management, and is mostly influenced by the level of appreciation and recognition rather than compensation issues [26]. According to Frimponga et al. [18], material procurement can take weeks to months, causing delays in the construction timeline. Contractors are primarily accountable for appropriate material estimation, according to Le-Hoai et al. [27], but clients and consultants also play an important role in ensuring that the estimation is carried out correctly. Poor material estimation, price variations, supplier inefficiencies, transit delays, organizational payment procedures and confirmation delays all contribute to resource shortages.

Inflation, market competition and unsteady economic and political conditions

Material price inflation occurs when demand for an item exceeds supply, influencing construction costs and resulting in project cost overruns. According to Le-Hoai et al. [27], increased demand for common construction resources such as steel, cement and other components has resulted in increased material costs. Unstable political conditions, such as strikes, military attacks and border closures, have an impact on the availability of resources at the appropriate moment, leading to an increase in the market price of materials. Due to the high security situations at the borders, importing materials can be difficult in such cases [28].

Financial limitations and payment delays

Payment delays for completed work are sometimes attributed to the presence of bureaucracy in the company. Disbursement postponements to contractors will stymie the project's development by delaying the delivery of materials and equipment on-site, as well as the payment of workers' salaries [28]. For a project to go smoothly, both contractors and clients must have sufficient financial resources [27].

Unfavorable site and weather conditions

On-site, unpredictable factors such as bad weather and other topographic conditions might cause delays. Furthermore, the dry season, combined with the humidity, can decrease worker productivity, resulting in less output and cooperation among workers, thereby affecting project time [18]. To avoid conflicts and delays, Le-Hoai et al. [27] advise that the risks associated with unforeseen site and weather characteristics should be evenly allocated and indicated in the contract between the participants.

Fuzzy logic (FL)

Zadeh created the fuzzy system in the mid-1960s to express uncertain and imperfect knowledge. After a real-world application by Mamdani in 1975, fuzzy notions and systems drew attention. It gives a rough but useful way of explaining the behavior of systems that are too multifarious, imprecise or numerically difficult to study [29, 30]. It provides a rudimentary but effective explanation for the behavior of systems that are too complex, ill-defined or quantitatively challenging to analyze. It also offers a simple and natural solution to problems where the source of ambiguity is the lack of well-specified criteria rather than random variables. The introduction of fuzzy sets was primarily motivated by the need to describe imprecise concepts. Fuzzy theory can be used to solve both linear and nonlinear problems. It does not necessitate the use of time-consuming mathematical models; instead, it just necessitates the use of a basic control mechanism based on engineering experience [31]. The main characteristic of FL is allowance of partial possessions of any item to distinct subsets, made feasible by specifying membership functions. [32].

Fuzzy set theory

Fuzzy set theory provides a systematic calculus for dealing with such information verbally, and it executes numerical computations with the use of linguistic labels driven by membership functions. The pertinence, first presented by Zadeh [33], is a key term in the fuzzy set theory. The concept of pertinence of the variable x in the set A in classical theory (Boolean) is defined by (Eq. 1)

$$\mu_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in A \\ 0 \Leftrightarrow x \notin A \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu A(x)$ pertinence of the variable *x* in the set *A*. So, a variable *x* only "belongs" ($\mu A(x) = 1$) or "non-belongs" ($\mu A(x) = 0$) to the set *A*. However, for the fuzzy set theory, this concept of pertinence is presented as follows (Eq. 2) [34].

$$A = \left\{ \left(x, \mu_A(x) \right) | x \in U \right\}$$
(2)

where $\mu A(x)$ is the degree of pertinence of the variable x in the set A; A is the fuzzy set formed by ordered pair (x, $\mu A(x)$); x is the variable of interest; and U is the universe of speech. As a result, a variable x gradually came to belong to a set A in the interval [0, 1]. This means that a variable can be part of multiple fuzzy sets, each with varying degrees of pertinence. The concept of a linguistic variable is another essential concept. A linguistic variable in the fuzzy set theory uses natural language values, which give the membership functions their name. The idea of linguistic variable is supplemented by the concept of membership function, which assigns values of fuzzy pertinence to discrete values limiting the linguistic variable's discourse universe. [35]. The fuzzy set operations are shown in Eqs. 3–5 and are illustrated in Fig. 1.

Union :
$$\mu_{(A \cap B)}(x) = \max(\mu_{(A)}(x), \mu_{(B)}(x))$$
 (3)

Intersection :
$$\mu_{(A \cap B)}(x) = \min(\mu_{(A)}(x), \mu_{(B)}(x))$$
 (4)

Complement :
$$\mu_{\left(\overline{A}\right)}(x) = 1 - \mu_{(x)}(x)$$
 (5)

Fuzzy inference system (FIS)

As shown in Fig. 2, a fuzzy inference system (FIS) consists of four components: fuzzification, a fuzzy rule base, a fuzzy output engine and defuzzification. The associated input membership function fuzzifies the crisp input before passing it to the fuzzy inference block, which is a decision-making unit that generates fuzzy output through fuzzy reasoning. The defuzzification block generates crisp output from fuzzy data. The knowledge base, which is made up of a database and a rule base, defines the associated membership function in the fuzzification and defuzzification blocks, and it gives fuzzy rules to the fuzzy inference block. The inference operations on the fuzzy rules are performed by the decisionmaking unit. A fuzzy rule's fuzzy values are aggregated using connective operators such as intersection (AND), union (OR) and complement (NOT). The fuzzy inference engine considers all of the fuzzy rules in the fuzzy rule base and learns how to transform a set of inputs into related outputs. Defuzzification is the process of converting the fuzzy



outputs of the fuzzy inference engine to a crisp numerical number. It also entails interpreting the logical implications of results translated from the fuzzy to the real domain [36].

Methodology and research design

The research methodology consists of quantitative and qualitative information on foundations of cost upsurge identification through an examination of the literature and field research with specialists in the field of building projects from a number of building firms based in Rivers State, Cross River State and Abia State in Nigeria. In this paper, we offer a hybrid modeling method based on factorial analysis and fuzzy logic systems to help decision makers assess building costs overrun of building constructions so as to enhance quality management and save cost. The qualitative analysis aids in acquiring a better understanding of the established research objectives and identifies essential methods that could improve poor time and cost performance. The quantitative strategy, which employs a broad questionnaire survey, statistically analyzes the research objectives, whereas the qualitative approach, which employs a semi-structured interview with highly experienced construction workers, does not. The quantitative data were gathered using a questionnaire distributed via personal email and professional networking sites to 120 randomly selected construction professionals from various project entities such as clients, design consultants, contractors and other project participants in the three states under study. The data collected from numerous project participants provide a better knowledge and equalized perception of the research subject [37].

Through a snowball or chain referral strategy, a personal interview session was held concurrently with wellexperienced construction professionals from numerous well-known and established firms. In this study, a semistructured interview was used, with a covering letter and questions of interest communicated with the people before to the interview, leaving the session open to discuss any questions pertaining to the research problem. The interview offered a descriptive critical assessment for the research goal to obtain expert details to the challenging factors responsible for cost overruns in building industry. Fuzzy logic which is a soft computing technique (SCT) was used in the analyses of the primary data derived in the survey program. Soft computing seeks to develop precise approximations that result in a robust, computationally efficient, speedy and cost-effective solution that saves computational time.

Study area

This research will be conducted in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. The decision was made based on commercial viability, social standing, economic considerations and accessibility, all of which create chances for a variety of businesses including construction, consultancy, manufacturing and technology growth. The study area map is shown in Fig. 3.

Questionnaire design

In order to develop a questionnaire, demographical data relevant to the interviewees' profile, such as the position held, the number of years of experience, the number of works done, the categories of work, the types of contracts and the percentage of cost increase on those projects, had to be obtained. The second section of the questionnaire is concerned with determining the root causes of cost overruns. In this study, the frequency and severity were scaled on a 5-point Liker scale from 1 to 5. The frequency of the cause event was classified as follows: Does not occur was assigned a score of "1", low frequency was assigned a score of "2", medium frequency was assigned a score of "3", high frequency was assigned a score of "4", and extreme frequency was assigned a score of "5". The severity is divided into five categories: no severity for "1", low severity for "2", medium severity for "3", high severity for "4" and extreme severity for "5". The collected data were then compiled using the method outlined below. Table 1 shows the 32 primary qualities that were examined.

Test of hypothesis

The assumption regarding the statistical test population parameter is set depending on the nature of the data in line with study objectives. A hypothesis's credibility is assessed by the use of sample data and also, to test and examine evidences concerning the plausibility. Statistical analysts put a theory to the test by measuring a random sample of the population under consideration. All analysts utilize a random population sample to test two separate hypotheses: the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis which measured the equality between population parameters. They are mutually exclusive, and only one of them can be correct [39].

Research hypotheses

Null Hypothesis (Ho) Cost overrun attributes are not significant in inhibition of construction project performance.



Fig. 3 Map of study area

Table 1 Cost overrun factors

S/N Attributes

- 1 Design variation from client/consultant
- 2 Inadequate bidding method
- 3 Delayed payment to contractors
- 4 Scope changes due to the owner
- 5 Failures in design and inadequate project planning
- 6 Lack of client involvement and management
- 7 Financial constraints and inadequate fund allocation from client
- 8 Delay in client decision-making process
- 9 Unrealistic schedules and completion dates projected by clients
- 10 Poor site investigation
- 11 Claims and disputes among stakeholders
- 12 Inaccurate time estimation
- 13 Lack of consultant's experience
- 14 Poor supervision and timely instruction from consultants
- 15 Delay in providing approvals for variations from consultants
- 16 Incomplete drawings and details provided by consultants
- 17 Poor contract management
- 18 Poor labor productivity
- 19 Poor communication among stakeholders
- 20 Poor site management and coordination of contractors
- 21 Construction errors
- 22 Delay in material delivery
- 23 Contractor's financial constraints
- 24 Lack of skilled subcontractors/labors and technical staffs
- 25 Staffing problems
- 26 Deficiency of materials, equipment and tools
- 27 Price variation of materials
- 28 Unfavorable weather and site conditions
- 29 Weather
- 30 Poor risk management by contractors
- 31 Delay in obtaining government permits and approvals
- 32 Political situation and economy issues

Alternative Hypothesis (Hi) Cost overrun attributes are not significant in inhibition of construction project performance.

Data analysis techniques

The average ranking score was derived using the weighted scoring approach based on the responses received. The following statistical formulas were used to analyze the data.

(FI) Frequency index

As indicated in Eq. 6, this formula is used to identify the causes found based on their frequency in each interviewee's opinion.

$$FI = \frac{\sum Af}{A \times N} \tag{6}$$

where $\sum Af$ = sum of each interviewee's weighted frequency reported from 1 to 5 for the stated cause; A = greatest weight, which in this case is equal to 5, highest category of the Likert scale used in this study; and N = total number of responses to that cause. This formula is also used for each of the causes [40].

(RII) index of relative importance or (SI) severity index

This method is used to rank the discovered causes in terms of severity or impact based on the opinion of each respondent, as shown in Eq. 7.

$$SI = \frac{\sum W}{A \times N} \tag{7}$$

where $\sum W =$ sum of each interviewee's impact weight from 1 to 5 for the provided cause; A = highest weight, which in this case is equal to 5 on the Likert scale used in this study; and N = total number of replies to that reason. For each cause, this formula must be used [40].

(IMPI) importance index

This formula is used to rank the identified causes based on the relevance of each interviewee's opinion and is generated by multiplying the IF index by the SI as given in Eq. 8.

$$IMPI = FI \times SI \tag{8}$$

Spearman correlation coefficient (r_s)

It is a nonparametric test with a score ranging from -1 to +1, with +1 signifying complete agreement and -1 indicating complete disagreement among the candidate groups. A score close to one implies that the investigated groups have a strong relationship. This coefficient was applied to the outcomes of the three different combinations: project managers, contractors and consultants, using the following mathematical relationship expressed in Eq. 9 [41].

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6\sum d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \tag{9}$$

Membership function

The fuzzy set membership function generalizes the classical set indicator function. It expresses the degree of truth as an extension and describes the extent to which a particular input variable belongs to a set. In the fuzzification and



Fig. 4 Membership function of a fuzzy set



Fig. 5 Fuzzy Membership functions basic concepts

defuzzification processes of a fuzzy logic system, membership functions are employed to translate non-fuzzy input values to fuzzy linguistic terms and vice versa. Whether the elements in fuzzy sets are discrete or continuous, membership functions characterize fuzziness (i.e., all the information in the fuzzy set). Membership functions are a way for solving practical problems based on experience rather than knowledge [42]. The membership function which represents a fuzzy set \overline{A} is usually denoted by μ_A . For an element xof X, the value $\mu_A(x)$ is called the membership degree of x in the fuzzy set \overline{A} The membership degree $\mu_A(x)$ quantifies the grade of membership of the element x to the fuzzy set \overline{A} . The value 0 means that x is not a member of the fuzzy set; the value 1 means that x is fully a member of the fuzzy set. The values between 0 and 1 characterize fuzzy members, which belong to the fuzzy set only partially as shown in Fig. 4 [43].

Fuzzy membership function basic concepts are illustrated in Fig. 5 and are made up of the support, core, α -cut and the height, respectively.

Support: Elements with a nonzero degree of membership are considered to be supportive. The portion of the universe characterized by a nonzero membership in the set is the support of a membership function. Core: set with elements having degree of 1. A membership function's core is the region of the universe characterized by full membership in the set.

 α -*Cut:* set of elements with degree > = α .

Height: maximum degree of membership.

Membership function types

The membership function (MF) types deployed for the generalization of the indicator classifier in this study with associated descriptions and mathematical relationships are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Membership functions

MF types	Description	Mathematical equations
Triangular	Characterized by a lower bound a , an upper bound b and a value m, where $a < m < b$	$\mu_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \le b \\ \frac{x-a}{m-a} & a < x < m \\ \frac{b-x}{b-m} & m < x < b \\ 0 & x \ge b \end{cases}$
Trapezoidal	Characterized by a lower limit <i>a</i> , an upper limit <i>d</i> , a lower support limit <i>b</i> and an upper support limit <i>c</i> , where $a < b < c < d$	$\mu_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & (x < a) \mbox{ or } (x > d) \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & a \le x \le b \\ 1 & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & c \le x \le d \end{array} \right\}$
Trapezoidal <i>R</i> -functions	<i>R</i> -functions: with parameters $a = b = -\infty$	$\mu_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & x > d \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & c \le x \le d \\ 1 & x < c \end{array} \right\}$
Trapezoidal <i>L</i> -functions	<i>L</i> -Functions: with parameters $c = d = +\infty$	$\mu_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & x > a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & a \le x \le d \\ 1 & x > b \end{matrix} \right\}$
Gaussian membership function	Defined by a central value m and a standard deviation $k > 0$. The smaller k is, the narrower the "bell"	$\mu_A(x) = e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2k^2}}$

Results discussion and analysis

Demographical characteristics of respondents

The field survey results obtained from the three study areas through purposive and random sampling techniques were utilized for the evaluation of the study objectives. The demographical characteristics of the respondents showing the frequency and percentage distribution are presented in Table 3. The result were sorted according to their roles and occupations, namely contractor, project manager and consultant, so as to obtain their expert views. A total of 150 questionnaires were administered for the study, and the result showed 84% male and 16% female; this is because a greater percentage of personnel in construction works but skilled and artisans are men. Respondents within the range of 0-10 years of experience constitute 24.67%, 11-20 years of experience had 28%, 21-30 years of experience occupy 32.67%, while the remaining 14.67% are for those with above 30 years of experience. It is imperative to get details from professionals with greater years of experience in the field for better assessment of the cost overrun factors. Finally, 50% of the response were on building construction projects and 68.67% of the projects size were in the range of 241,000-2,280,000 US dollars.

Respondents' evaluation of cost overrun attributes

Survey results which presented the respondents' experiences, opinions and attributes through a quantitative structured analysis through a 5-point Likert scale are presented in Tables 4-6, which show the frequency and severity evaluation computation with respect to respondents' positions, namely consultant, project manager and contractor. For the consultant responses, attributes 23, 19, 1 and 11 corresponding to contractor's financial constraints, poor communication among stakeholders, design variation from client/consultant and claims and disputes among stakeholders were the highest ranked variables. These results show that contractors' financial constraints ranked first with a value of 4.46 as the severity index (SI) and a value of 3.98 as the importance index (IMPI). Poor communication among stake holders came second having a value of 4.38 as SI and 3.84 as IMPI, respectively. Design variation from client/consultant ranked third by having 4.14 as SI and 3.43 as IMPI. Claims and disputes among stakeholders ranked fourth with values of SI and IMPI as 4.1 and 3.36, respectively. The attribute with the least rank was attribute 8 which is delay in client decisionmaking process. It came 32nd with 1.72 and 0.59 as SI and IMPI, respectively. The scores are the sum of the impact weight reported by the respondents for each cost overrun attribute [44, 45].

Variables	Contactor			Project manager		Consultant		Total	
	Divisions	Freq	(%)	Freq	(%)	Freq	(%)	Freq	(%)
Sex	Male		90	42	84	39	78	126	84
	Female	5	10	8	16	11	22	24	16
	Total	50	100	50	100	50	100	150	100
Years of experience	0-10 years	10	20	8	16	19	38	37	24.67
	11-20 years	17	34	20	40	5	10	42	28
	21-30 years	13	26	15	30	21	42	49	32.67
	> 30 years	10	20	7	14	5	10	22	14.67
	Total	50	100	50	100	50	100	150	100
Project type	Building Construction	25	50	20	40	30	60	75	50
	Maintenance and Services	10	20	14	28	12	24	36	24
	Road construction	15	30	16	32	8	16	39	26
	Total	50	100	50	100	50	100	150	100
Contract types	Private	22	44	17	34	10	20	49	32.67
	Public-Private	18	36	22	44	16	32	56	37.33
	Public	10	20	11	22	24	48	45	30
	Total	50	100	50	100	50	100	150	100
Project size (US Dollar)	0-240,000	10	20	4	8	12	24	26	17.33
	241,000-1,200,000	21	42	18	36	19	38	58	38.67
	1,210,000-2,280,000	12	24	25	50	8	16	45	30
	>2,280,000	7	14	3	6	11	22	21	14
	Total	50	100	50	100	50	100	150	100

Table 3Respondents'demographical characteristics

Table 4Ranking of costoverrun factors by therespondents (consultant)

Attributes	Liker	t scale				Import	Importance index				
	1	2	3	4	5	FI	Score	SI	IMPI	Rank	
1	0	2	6	25	17	0.83	207	4.14	3.43	3	
2	8	11	29	2	0	0.50	125	2.5	1.25	27	
3	10	12	18	6	4	0.53	132	2.64	1.39	25	
4	6	1	15	16	12	0.71	177	3.54	2.51	16	
5	2	9	8	4	27	0.78	195	3.9	3.04	11	
6	4	3	6	12	25	0.80	201	4.02	3.23	7	
7	28	14	3	2	3	0.35	88	1.76	0.62	31	
8	26	17	4	1	2	0.34	86	1.72	0.59	32	
9	14	29	4	3	0	0.38	96	1.92	0.74	30	
10	4	6	28	8	4	0.61	152	3.04	1.85	22	
11	3	2	6	15	24	0.82	205	4.1	3.36	4	
12	2	1	9	21	17	0.80	200	4	3.20	8	
13	17	19	2	7	5	0.46	114	2.28	1.04	28	
14	4	1	6	16	23	0.81	203	4.06	3.30	5	
15	5	9	12	4	20	0.70	175	3.5	2.45	17	
16	4	10	3	26	7	0.69	172	3.44	2.37	18	
17	1	2	6	32	9	0.78	196	3.92	3.07	12	
18	2	8	15	16	9	0.69	172	3.44	2.37	19	
19	1	2	4	13	30	0.88	219	4.38	3.84	2	
20	3	2	8	18	19	0.79	198	3.96	3.14	9	
21	7	9	10	11	13	0.66	164	3.28	2.15	20	
22	18	12	8	10	2	0.46	116	2.32	1.08	29	
23	1	2	3	11	33	0.89	223	4.46	3.98	1	
24	6	15	19	2	8	0.56	141	2.82	1.59	23	
25	9	11	24	1	5	0.53	132	2.64	1.39	26	
26	2	3	5	20	20	0.81	203	4.06	3.30	6	
27	1	5	6	21	17	0.79	198	3.96	3.14	10	
28	5	8	10	6	21	0.72	180	3.6	2.59	14	
29	4	5	23	9	9	0.66	164	3.28	2.15	21	
30	2	6	7	17	18	0.77	193	3.86	2.98	13	
31	10	6	26	6	2	0.54	134	2.68	1.44	24	
32	3	6	10	19	12	0.72	181	3.62	2.62	15	

Table 5 present the responses from project managers. Attributes that are significant are 19, 11, 26 and 23, respectively, corresponding to poor communication among stakeholders, claims and disputes among stakeholders, deficiency of materials, equipment and tools and contractor's financial constraints factors. Attribute 19 ranked first having 4.46 and 3.98 as SI and IMPI, followed by attribute 11 which ranked second with SI and IMPI of 4.34 and 3.77, respectively. Though attribute 26 was ranked third, it is pertinent to note that it has the same weight attribute 11 since they share common values of 4.34 and 3.77 as their SI and IMPI. Attribute 23 ranked fourth with SI and IMPI values of 4.22 and 3.56, respectively. The least ranked was attribute 8 which corresponds to delay in client decision-making process. It ranked 32nd and its SI and IMPI values are 1.82 and 0.66, respectively. The higher the severity and importance indices,

the more the negative impact and hence the greater the contribution to cost overrun.

In Table 6, responses from the contractors are shown and analyzed. Attributes that mostly contributed to cost overrun are 11, 23, 5 and 26, respectively, and are denoted as claims and disputes among stakeholders, contractor's financial constraints, failures in design and inadequate project planning and deficiency of materials, equipment and tools. Attribute 11 ranked first in terms of cause of cost overrun because it has 4.34 and 3.77 as SI and IMPI, followed by attribute 23 which ranked second because of negative impact on cost overrun with SI and IMPI of 4.18 and 3.49, respectively. Attribute 5 was ranked third in contribution to cost overrun. Its SI and IMPI are 4.12 and 3.39, and finally, attribute 26 which ranked fourth having SI and IMPI values of 4.08 and 3.33, respectively. The least ranked was attribute 7 which

Table 5 Ranking of cost overrun factors by the Image: Cost overrun factors by the	Attributes	Likert scale					Importance Index				
respondents (project manager)		1	2	3	4	5	FI	Score	SI	IMPI	Rank
	1	2	5	9	21	13	0.75	188	3.76	2.83	11
	2	10	15	21	3	1	0.48	120	2.40	1.15	28
	3	12	19	11	2	6	0.48	121	2.42	1.12	27
	4	5	2	18	15	10	0.69	173	3.46	2.39	20
	5	1	10	12	7	20	0.74	185	3.70	2.74	14
	6	5	2	6	13	24	0.80	199	3.98	3.17	8
	7	21	16	5	3	5	0.42	105	2.10	0.88	31
	8	23	16	9	1	1	0.36	91	1.82	0.66	32
	9	10	25	9	4	2	0.45	113	2.26	1.02	30
	10	4	5	24	10	7	0.64	161	3.22	2.07	22
	11	1	1	4	18	26	0.87	217	4.34	3.77	2
	12	6	4	9	11	20	0.74	185	3.70	2.74	15
	13	13	15	6	9	7	0.53	132	2.64	1.39	24
	14	4	1	6	18	21	0.80	201	4.02	3.23	7
	15	5	7	2	20	16	0.74	185	3.70	2.73	16
	16	4	10	1	21	14	0.72	181	3.62	2.62	18
	17	1	2	4	25	18	0.83	207	4.14	3.43	5
	18	2	7	17	16	8	0.68	171	3.42	2.34	21
	19	1	1	3	14	31	0.89	223	4.46	3.98	1
	20	4	5	6	20	15	0.75	187	3.74	2.80	12
	21	4	6	11	12	17	0.73	182	3.64	2.65	17
	22	19	11	8	10	2	0.46	115	2.30	1.06	29
	23	1	4	7	9	29	0.84	211	4.22	3.56	4
	24	10	13	21	4	2	0.50	125	2.50	1.25	25
	25	8	14	20	3	5	0.53	133	2.66	1.42	23
	26	1	1	2	22	24	0.87	217	4.34	3.77	3
	27	2	4	10	20	14	0.76	190	3.80	2.89	10
	28	2	9	7	6	26	0.78	195	3.90	3.04	9
	29	1	3	24	12	10	0.71	177	3.54	2.51	19
	30	1	4	5	20	20	0.82	204	4.08	3.33	6
	31	14	6	23	5	2	0.50	125	2.50	1.25	26
	32	2	4	11	21	12	0.75	187	3.74	2.80	13

corresponds to financial constraints and inadequate fund allocation from client. This attribute ranked 32nd having SI and IMPI values of 1.76 and 0.62, respectively, so that its contribution to cost overrun is highly insignificant. This is because, higher severity and importance indices give rise to greater contribution to cost overrun and vice versa.

Spearman correlation computation results

The spearman correlation computation which is similar to the Pearson correlation results between the ranked values for the two compared variables, while Pearson's correlation assesses linear relationships, and Spearman's correlation assesses monotonic relationships (whether linear or not). If there are no repeated data values, a perfect Spearman correlation of +1 or -1 occurs when each of the variables is a perfect monotone function of the other. The ranked responses from the three respondents' classification were compared in this process as shown in Tables 7, 8 and 9, and the computation result showed maximum spearman coefficient of correlation $r_{\rm scp}$, between consultant and project manager with a value of 0.9344 an indication of similar ranking for the parties involved. Correlation between consultant and contractor gave $r_{\rm scc}$ value of 0.8343, while correlation between contractor and project manager showed correlation r_{scp} value of 0.838, respectively. These correlation values can be classified as moderately similar rank. This is in line with grade correlation results obtained by Alda and Assed [2] and Senouci et al. [45].

Table 6Ranking of costoverrun factors by therespondents (contractor)

Attributes	Liker	t scale				Importance index					
	1	2	3	4	5	FI	Score	SI	IMPI	Rank	
1	5	4	5	23	13	0.74	185	3.70	2.74	12	
2	9	14	21	4	2	0.50	126	2.52	1.27	26	
3	20	14	9	2	5	0.43	108	2.16	0.93	30	
4	2	3	8	16	21	0.80	201	4.02	3.23	6	
5	2	5	5	11	27	0.82	206	4.12	3.39	3	
6	2	19	18	3	8	0.58	146	2.92	1.71	22	
7	26	16	4	2	2	0.35	88	1.76	0.62	32	
8	24	15	8	2	1	0.36	91	1.82	0.66	31	
9	10	20	11	7	2	0.48	121	2.42	1.17	29	
10	1	5	24	5	15	0.71	178	3.56	2.53	17	
11	1	1	3	20	25	0.87	217	4.34	3.77	1	
12	9	3	6	11	21	0.73	182	3.64	2.65	14	
13	11	15	6	9	9	0.56	140	2.80	1.57	24	
14	3	3	7	16	21	0.80	199	3.98	3.17	7	
15	9	8	11	8	14	0.64	160	3.20	2.05	21	
16	6	8	3	25	8	0.68	171	3.42	2.34	18	
17	1	3	4	30	12	0.80	199	3.98	3.17	8	
18	2	8	13	12	15	0.72	180	3.60	2.59	15	
19	3	2	8	14	23	0.81	202	4.04	3.26	5	
20	5	7	9	12	17	0.72	179	3.58	2.56	16	
21	5	6	5	15	19	0.75	187	3.74	2.80	11	
22	2	15	21	9	3	0.58	146	2.92	1.71	23	
23	2	4	5	11	28	0.84	209	4.18	3.49	2	
24	10	14	18	6	2	0.50	126	2.52	1.27	27	
25	8	10	22	4	6	0.56	140	2.80	1.57	25	
26	3	2	5	18	22	0.82	204	4.08	3.33	4	
27	1	5	6	24	14	0.78	195	3.90	3.04	9	
28	10	6	6	13	15	0.67	167	3.34	2.23	20	
29	2	3	27	11	7	0.67	168	3.36	2.26	19	
30	3	7	4	17	19	0.77	192	3.84	2.95	10	
31	15	7	20	6	2	0.49	123	2.46	1.21	28	

From Eq. (9), $r_s = 1 - \frac{6\sum d^2}{n(n^2-1)}$ and computing Spearman's correlation coefficient between consultant and project manager r_{scp} , we obtain

32

2

5

11

21

11

$$r_{\rm scp} = 1 - \frac{6 \times 358}{32(32^2 - 1)} = 0.934384$$

Similarly, the coefficient of correlation between consultant and contractor is computed below:

$$r_{\rm scc} = 1 - \frac{6 \times 904}{32(32^2 - 1)} = 0.834311$$

In the same manner, the coefficient of correlation between contractor and project manager is computed below:

$$r_{\rm scp} = 1 - \frac{6 \times 886}{32(32^2 - 1)} = 0.83761$$

0.74

184

3.68

2.71

13

Fuzzy logic model development

In Table 10, a holistic assessment of the respondents' responses with respect to the cost overrun attributes in construction projects were summarized. The data presented were utilized as the system database for the fuzzy logic model development for the evaluation of the overrun factor ranking presented by the professionals: consultants, contractors and project managers. The conclusion deduced from the respondents observations which indicated poor communication among stakeholders and

Table 7 Spearman correlation for consultant versus project manager

Table 8	Spearman	correlation	for consul	ltant vs.	contractor
---------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------	------------

	Consultant rank	PM rank	d	d-sqd	rs
1	3	11	- 8	64	0.934384
2	27	28	- 1	1	
3	25	27	- 2	4	
4	16	20	- 4	16	
5	11	14	- 3	9	
6	7	8	- 1	1	
7	31	31	0	0	
8	32	32	0	0	
9	30	30	0	0	
10	22	22	0	0	
11	4	2	2	4	
12	8	15	- 7	49	
13	28	24	4	16	
14	5	7	- 2	4	
15	17	16	1	1	
16	18	18	0	0	
17	12	5	7	49	
18	19	21	- 2	4	
19	2	1	1	1	
20	9	12	- 3	9	
21	20	17	3	9	
22	29	29	0	0	
23	1	4	- 3	9	
24	23	25	- 2	4	
25	26	23	3	9	
26	6	3	3	9	
27	10	10	0	0	
28	14	9	5	25	
29	21	19	2	4	
30	13	6	7	49	
31	24	26	- 2	4	
32	15	13	2	4	
Total	358				

	Consult rank	Contractor rank	d	d-sqd	r _s
1	3	12	-9	81	0.834311
2	27	26	1	1	
3	25	30	-5	25	
4	16	6	10	100	
5	11	3	8	64	
6	7	22	-15	225	
7	31	32	-1	1	
8	32	31	1	1	
9	30	29	1	1	
10	22	17	5	25	
11	4	1	3	9	
12	8	14	-6	36	
13	28	24	4	16	
14	5	7	-2	4	
15	17	21	-4	16	
16	18	18	0	0	
17	12	8	4	16	
18	19	15	4	16	
19	2	5	-3	9	
20	9	16	-7	49	
21	20	11	9	81	
22	29	23	6	36	
23	1	2	-1	1	
24	23	27	-4	16	
25	26	25	1	1	
26	6	4	2	4	
27	10	9	1	1	
28	14	20	-6	36	
29	21	19	2	4	
30	13	10	3	9	
31	24	28	-4	16	
32	15	13	2	4	
Total				904	

Contractor's financial constraints as the most severe attributes with SI values of 4.29 each, while delay in client decision-making process was the least severe attribute with SI value of 1.79 [46]. Furthermore, the frequency histogram plots of the model variables are presented in Fig. 6, showing the mean and standard deviation and also to observe the shape of the data frequency distribution. Computed results showed skewed distribution for the model variables due to the imposition of natural limit to constrain the expected outcomes on one side. The plot is showing the datasets within the variables on the *x*-axis of the plot and the frequency values plotted on the *y*-axis. Skewness is a measure of asymmetry conditions

of a real-valued random variable probability distribution about its mean. The range (upper and lower limits) of the input variables is clearly illustrated using the histogram plots [45].

Figure 6 presents the histogram plots estimated variables. Histogram of SI show a mean value of 3.347 with a standard deviation of 0.7338, while the histogram of rank have 0.6695 and 0.1467 as mean and standard deviation, respectively. Histogram of score has 502.1 and 110.0 as its mean and standard deviation, and lastly, the histogram of rank has a mean and standard deviation of 16.5 and 9.381, respectively.

 Table 9
 Spearman correlation for contractor versus project manager (PM)

	PM rank	Contractor rank	d	d-sqd	r _s
1	11	12	-1	1	0.83761
2	28	26	2	4	
3	27	30	-3	9	
4	20	6	14	196	
5	14	3	11	121	
6	8	22	-14	196	
7	31	32	-1	1	
8	32	31	1	1	
9	30	29	1	1	
10	22	17	5	25	
11	2	1	1	1	
12	15	14	1	1	
13	24	24	0	0	
14	7	7	0	0	
15	16	21	-5	25	
16	18	18	0	0	
17	5	8	-3	9	
18	21	15	6	36	
19	1	5	-4	16	
20	12	16	-4	16	
21	17	11	6	36	
22	29	23	6	36	
23	4	2	2	4	
24	25	27	-2	4	
25	23	25	-2	4	
26	3	4	-1	1	
27	10	9	1	1	
28	9	20	-11	121	
29	19	19	0	0	
30	6	10	-4	16	
31	26	28	-2	4	
32	13	13	0	0	
Total				886	

Model processing parameters

The fuzzy logic model processing parameters, variables interdependencies and connections showing the input and output variables are presented in Fig. 7. which showed score, FI and SI as the input variables while ranking of the cost overrun attributes were taken as the model output parameter. Mamdani fuzzy inference system was deployed with minimum function for AND method and implication parameters and maximum function was selected for OR method and aggregation. Also, centroid of area method was taken for the defuzzification [47]. The centroid of area method is the defuzzification method utilized to execute this operation with the mathematical expression presented in Eq. 15

$$C.A = \frac{\sum_{i} \mu(M_{i}) \times O_{i}}{\sum_{i} \mu(M_{i})}$$
(15)

where C.A is the centroid of area defuzzified output result, $\mu(M_i)$ is the membership value for the output results in the *i*th subset and O_i is the output results in the *i*th subset.

Membership function

The membership function (MF) used for the model variables are as follows: the triangular MF for score and frequency index (FI) variables, and trapezoidal MF for severity index (SI). The fuzzy variables are assigned to a unique degree of membership based on expert judgment and details from the system database. Five membership functions were used for each fuzzy variable, namely does not occur (mf1), low frequency (mf2), medium frequency (mf3), high frequency (mf4) and extreme frequency (mf5). The membership function computation plots for the model variables showing the data range at the *x*-axis and universe of discourse (0–1) at *y*-axis as presented in Fig. 8 [48, 49].

Graphical expression of the fuzzy variables relationships

The if-then rules formulation is achieved through relevant literatures and expert judgment in line with the variables relationships. This dependencies are characterized using the membership function parameters to derive the degree of belongingness between the independent factors. The aggregation of the formulated fuzzy rules is future achieved using maximum function which enhances data generalization for better prediction accuracy. The relationships between the generated fuzzy model variables in respect with the target response parameter are presented in a surface plot in Fig. 9 which provides a 3-D plane to assess and observe the effects of the variations of the fuzzy variables for better generalization of data and optimization of the mixture ingredients. Defuzzification is finally carried out using centroid of area method which is the last stage of the fuzzy inference system operation where the fuzzy results obtained from the fuzzy inference engine are mapped into crisp numeric value corresponding to the appropriate output membership function [30, 42].

Evaluation of the fuzzy logic model performance

Following model development, the predicted or estimated simulated fuzzy logic model results are compared with the

Table 10 System Database

Attributes	Liker	t scale				Total	Importance Index			
	1	2	3	4	5		Score	SI	FI	Rank
1	7	11	20	69	43	150	580	3.87	0.77	10
2	27	40	71	9	3	150	371	2.47	0.49	28
3	42	45	38	10	15	150	361	2.41	0.48	29
4	13	6	41	47	43	150	551	3.67	0.73	14
5	5	24	25	22	74	150	586	3.91	0.78	8
6	11	24	30	28	57	150	546	3.64	0.73	15
7	75	46	12	7	10	150	281	1.87	0.37	31
8	73	48	21	4	4	150	268	1.79	0.36	32
9	34	74	24	14	4	150	330	2.20	0.44	30
10	9	16	76	23	26	150	491	3.27	0.65	22
11	5	4	13	53	75	150	639	4.26	0.85	3
12	17	8	24	43	58	150	567	3.78	0.76	11
13	41	49	14	25	21	150	386	2.57	0.51	25
14	11	5	19	50	65	150	603	4.02	0.80	5
15	19	24	25	32	50	150	520	3.47	0.69	20
16	14	28	7	72	29	150	524	3.49	0.70	18
17	3	7	14	87	39	150	602	4.01	0.80	6
18	6	23	45	44	32	150	523	3.49	0.70	19
19	5	5	15	41	84	150	644	4.29	0.86	1
20	12	14	23	50	51	150	564	3.76	0.75	12
21	16	21	26	38	49	150	533	3.55	0.71	17
22	39	38	37	29	7	150	377	2.51	0.50	27
23	4	10	15	31	90	150	643	4.29	0.86	2
24	26	42	58	12	12	150	392	2.61	0.52	24
25	25	35	66	8	16	150	405	2.70	0.54	23
26	6	6	12	60	66	150	624	4.16	0.83	4
27	4	14	22	65	45	150	583	3.89	0.78	9
28	17	23	23	25	62	150	542	3.61	0.72	16
29	7	11	74	32	26	150	509	3.39	0.68	21
30	6	17	16	54	57	150	589	3.93	0.79	7
31	39	19	69	17	6	150	382	2.55	0.51	26
32	7	15	32	61	35	150	552	3.68	0.74	13

corresponding experimental or actual values using loss function parameters such as root mean squared error (RMSE), mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) and coefficient of determination (COD) to rate the model performance in terms of prediction accuracy. The result computation is carried out using Microsoft excel software and is presented in Table 11. The statistical evaluation results show an RMSE of 0.321%, a MAPE of 0.115% and a coefficient of determination of 0.995%. This study showed a strong link between the actual and expected outcomes [50, 51].

Conclusion

The evaluation of the cost overrun factors on construction projects was assessed in this research study using fuzzy logic modeling, and from the investigative results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

• Research survey was adopted in the experimental methodology using structured questionnaire which was distributed to specialists in the construction industry to assess the overrun factors effects on building projects.



Fig. 6 Frequency histogram plot for the model variables

- The survey result indicated that delay in client decisionmaking process attribute recorded the least severity index of 1.79 while Contractor's financial constraints attribute recorded the most severity index of 4.29.
- The data generated were expertly sorted and taken as system database for the fuzzy logic model development. The modeling involves three input variables FI, SI and score, and one output factor which is the ranking of the cost overrun factors.
- Fuzzy logic toolbox in MATLAB computational software with Mamdani fuzzy inference system (FIS) type was utilized in the modeling process to achieve generalization of derived datasets.
- The developed model performance was evaluated using statistical methods, namely coefficient of determination (COD), MAPE and RMSE. The computed results indi-

cated robust model performance. The fuzzy logic model developed is able to predict the ranking of the overrun factors to provide project managers special guide on efficient decision-making process to achieve optimal result.

Recommendations

Based on this research work, the following recommendations were made in other to guide against cost overruns in construction projects.

• Government should setup a legal body which would be responsible for monitoring and controlling construction cost from the project inception phase to the project



Fig. 7 Fuzzy model processing parameters

completion stage to evaluate and control cost during the construction process.

- Mitigation of cost overrun in construction projects should be undertaken from early stages. This is due to the fact that several causal factors with high influence values are observed among some major top factors with the greatest influence which are related to different processes that belong to the initial stage of the projects.
- Proper communication between all project participants should be a major practice.
- Further works could focus on the following
- (a) Identifying cost overrun factors in construction project from geographical areas where there are no studies.
- (b) Analyzing the relationship between reported factors and geographic locations.



Fig. 8 Membership function plots



Fig. 9 3-D surface plots

for fuzzy logic model

 Table 11
 Performance measure

Fuzzy logic model performance evaluation										
Target variables	Statistical param- eter	Specification	Calculated Values	Remarks						
Output parameter	MAPE	Close to 0%	0.115	Very good						
	RMSE	Close to 0	0.321	Good						
	K	Close to 1	0.995	Better performance						

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-022-00908-7.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflicting interest in the publication of this paper.

Ethical approval This research study was conducted as approved by the research ethical committee of the Post graduate school, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Nigeria. We also affirm that the content of this work is original and has followed the journal template.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained prior to performing the research procedure which includes permission to publish respondents' views and study area map included herein.

References

- Acharya NK, Lee YD, Kim JK (2006) Critical construction conflicting factors identification using analytical hierarchy process. KSCE J Civil Eng 10(3):165–174
- Alda França, Haddad A (2018). Causes of construction projects cost overrun in Brazil. Int J Sustain Constr Eng Technol 9(1): 2180–3242 (2018)
- 3. Oberlender GD, Peurifoy RL (2002) Estimating construction costs, 5th edn. McGraw Hill, Boston
- Rajakumar AC (2016) Analysis of cost overrun in road construction activities–a critical review. Int Res J Eng Technol (IRJET) 03(04):785–794
- El-Kholy AM (2015) Predicting cost overrun in construction projects. Int J Constr Eng Manag 4(4):95–105
- Al-Hazim N, Salem ZA (2015) Delay and cost overrun in road construction projects in Jordan. Int J Eng Technol 4(2):288–293
- AlSehaimi A, Koskela L (2008) What can be learned from studies on delay in construction? In: Proceedings for the 16th annual conference of the international group for lean construction, pp 95–106
- Lowe D, Emsley M, Harding A (2006) Predicting construction costs using multiple regression techniques. ASCE J Constr Eng Manag 132(7):750–758
- Batselier J, Vanhoucke M (2015) Construction and evaluation framework for a real life project database. Int J Project Manag 33(3):697–710
- Aibinu A, Jagboro G (2002) The effects of construction delays on project delivery in Nigerian construction industry. Int J Project Manag 20(8):593–599
- Jackson O, Steven O (2001) Management of cost overrun in selected building construction project in Ilorin. Rev Bus Finance 3(1):1–8
- 12. Omoregie A, Radford D (2006) Infrastructure delays and cost escalation: causes and effects in Nigeria. Paper presented at the Proceeding of sixth international postgraduate research conference
- Alaneme GU, Onyelowe KC, Onyia ME, Buivan D, Mbadike EM, Dimonyeka MU, Attah IC, Ogbonna C, Iro UI, Kumari S, Firoozi AA, Oyagbola I (2020) Modelling of the swelling potential of soil treated with quicklime-activated rice husk ash using fuzzy logic, Umudike. J Eng Technol 6(1):1–22. https://doi.org/10.33922/j. ujet_v6i1_1
- 14. Mazer WM, Geimba DL (2011) Numerical model based on fuzzy logic for predicting penetration of chloride ions into the reinforced

concrete structures–first estimates. In: De Freitas VP, Corvacho H, Lacasse M (eds) XII DBMC international conference on durability of building materials and components, FEUP Edições, Porto, 2011

- Carvalho JG, Costa CT (2017) Identification method for fuzzy forecasting models of time series. Appl Soft Comput 50:166–182
- Nasrollahzadeh Y, Basiri MM (2014) Prediction of shear strength of FRP reinforced concrete beams using fuzzy inference system. Expert Syst Appl 41(2014):1006–1020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. eswa.2013.07.045
- Bhatia D (2016) Schedule overrun and cost overrun in the construction of private residential construction project: case study of Pune India. Int J Tech Res Appl 4(2):174–177
- Frimponga Y, Oluwoye J, Crawford L (2003) Reasons of delay and cost overruns in construction of groundwater projects in a developing country; Ghana as a case study. Int J Project Manag 21(5):321–326
- Saeed SAA (2009) Delay to projects-cause, effect and measures to reduce/eliminate delay by mitigation/acceleration [Unpublished Project management programme dissertation], p. 1–137. Dubai: The British University in Dubai.
- Sunjka BP, Jacob U (2013) Significant causes and effects of project delays in the Niger delta region Nigeria. In: SAIIE25 proceedings: Stellenbosch South Africa # 2013 SAIIE. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.882.9428&rep=rep1& type=pdf
- Shanmugapriya S, Subramanian DK (2013) Investigation of significant factors influencing time and cost overruns in Indian construction projects. Int J Emerg Technol Adv Eng 3(10):734–740
- Sambasivan M, Soon YW (2007) Reasons and consequences of delays in Malaysian. Int J Project Manag 25(5):517–526
- Shibani A (2015) Avoiding cost overruns in construction projects in India. J Manage Stud 3(7–8):192–202
- Hanna M, Ruwanpura JY (2007) Simulation tool for manpower forecast loading and resource leveling. In: Simulation conference. 2007 winter. IEEE, Washington
- Memon AH, Rahman IA, Azis AAA (2011) Preliminary study on causative factors leading to construction cost overrun. Int J Sustain Construct Eng Technol 2(1):57–71
- Baloi D, Price AD (2003) Modelling global risk factors affecting construction cost performance. Int J Project Manag 21(4):261–269
- Le-Hoai L, Lee YD, Lee JY (2008) Delay and cost overruns in Vietnam large construction projects: a comparison with other selected countries. KSCE J Civ Eng 12(6):367–377
- Enshassi A, Al-Najjar J, Kumaraswamy M (2009) Delays and cost overruns in the construction projects in the Gaza Strip. J Financ Manag Prop Construct 14(2):126–151
- 29. Mamdani EH (1975) Fuzzy logic control of aggregate production planning. Int J Man Mach Stud 7:1–13
- Alaneme GU, Mbadike EM, Iro UI, Udousoro IM, Ifejimalu WC (2021) Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system prediction model for the mechanical behaviour of rice husk ash and periwinkle shell concrete blend for sustainable construction. Asian J Civ Eng 2021(22):959–974. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-021-00357-0
- Tavakolan M, Etemadinia H (2017) Fuzzy weighted interpretive structural modeling: improved method for identification of risk interactions in construction projects. J Constr Eng Manag 143(2004):1–14
- 32. Chanas S, Zieliã P (2001) Critical path analysis in the network with fuzzy activity times. Fuzzy Sets Syst 122:195–204
- Zadeh L (1965) Fuzzy sets-information and control. University of California, Berkeley
- 34. Sayed T, Tavakolie A, Razavi A (2003) Comparison of adaptive network based fuzzy inference systems and B-spline neuro-fuzzy mode choice models. J Comput Civ Eng 17(2):123–130. https:// doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2003)17:2(123)

- Gündüz M, Nielsen Y, Özdemir M (2013) Fuzzy assessment model to estimate the probability of delay in Turkish construction projects. J Manag Eng 31(4):1–14
- Alaneme GU, Mbadike EM (2021) optimisation of strength development of bentonite and palm bunch ash concrete using fuzzy logic. Int J Sustain Eng. https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2021. 1929549
- Chileshe N, Berko P (2010) Causes of project cost overruns within the Ghanaian road construction sector. In: Proceedings of the 5th built environment conference, Durban, South Africa, 18–20, July 2010
- Fellows R, Liu A (2008) Research methods for construction. WileyBlackwell, Oxford
- 39. Saris WE, Gallhofer IN (2007) Design, evaluation, and analysis of questionnaires for survey research: Wiley series in survey methodology. Wiley, New Jersey
- 40. AbouRizk S, Halpin D (1992) Statistical properties of construction data. J Constr Eng Manag 118:525–544
- Özkan G, Inal M (2014) Comparison of neural network application for fuzzy and ANFIS approaches for multi-criteria decision making problems. Appl Soft Comput 24:232–238. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.asoc.2014.06.032
- 42. Alaneme GU, Mbadike EM, Attah IC, Udousoro IM (2022) Mechanical behaviour optimization of saw dust ash and quarry dust concrete using adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. Innov Infrastruct Solut 7:122. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41062-021-00713-8
- Topcu IB, Saridemir M (2008) Prediction of mechanical properties of recycled aggregate concretes containing silica fume using artificial neural networks and fuzzy logic. Comput Mater Sci 42(1):74–82
- Zeng J, An M, Smith NJ (2007) Application of a fuzzy based decision making methodology to construction project risk assessment. Int J Project Manag 25(6):589–600
- Senouci A, Ismail A, Eldin N (2016) Time delay and cost overrun in Qatari public construction projects. In: Procedia engineering, vol 164, pp 368–375
- Mirahadi F, Zayed T (2016) Simulation-based construction productivity forecast using neural-network-driven fuzzy reasoning.

Autom Constr 65:102–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015. 12.021

- Alaneme GU, Dimonyeka MU, Ezeokpube GC, Uzoma II, Udousoro IM (2021) Failure assessment of dysfunctional flexible pavement drainage facility using fuzzy analytical hierarchical process. Innov Infrastruct Solut. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41062-021-00487-z
- 48. Onyelowe KC, Alaneme GU, Onyia ME, Van Bui D, Diomonyeka MU, Nnadi E, Ogbonna C, Odum LO, Aju DE, Abel C, Udousoro IM (2021) Onukwugha E (2021) Comparative modeling of strength properties of hydrated-lime activated ricehusk-ash (HARHA) modified soft soil for pavement construction purposes by artificial neural network (ANN) and fuzzy logic (FL). J Kejuruter 33(2):365–384. https://doi.org/10.17576/ jkukm-2021-33(2)-20
- Zadeh, Lotfi A (1992) Fuzzy logic for the management of uncertainty. In: Zadeh LA, Kacprzyk J (eds). John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Alaneme GU, Attah IC, Mbadike EM, Dimonyeka MU, Usanga IN, Nwankwo HF (2022) Mechanical strength optimization and simulation of cement kiln dust concrete using extreme vertex design method. Nanotechnol Environ Eng 7 4:467–490. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s41204-021-00175-4
- 51. Alaneme George U, Mbadike Elvis M (2019) 'Modelling of the mechanical properties of concrete with cement ratio partially replaced by aluminium waste and sawdust ash using artificial neural network. SN Appl Sci 1:1514. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42452-019-1504-2

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.