

RESEARCH



A conditional explicit result for the prime number theorem in short intervals

Michaela Cully-Hugill¹ and Adrian W. Dudek^{2*}

*Correspondence:
awdudek@gmail.com
Mothar Mountain, Wacal Road,
Gympie, QLD 4570, Australia
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper gives an explicit bound for the prime number theorem in short intervals under the assumption of the Riemann hypothesis.

1 Introduction

The von Mangoldt function is defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & : n = p^m, p \text{ is prime}, m \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and we will consider the sum $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$. The prime number theorem (PNT) is the statement $\psi(x) \sim x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. For the PNT in short intervals, it is known that

$$\psi(x+h) - \psi(x) \sim h \tag{1}$$

provided that h grows suitably with respect to x . Heath-Brown [9] has shown that one can take $h = x^{\frac{7}{12} - \epsilon}$ provided that $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Assuming the Riemann hypothesis (RH), Selberg [14] showed that (1) is true for any $h = h(x)$ such that $h/(x^{1/2} \log x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, Maier [11] has shown that the statement is false for $h = (\log x)^\lambda$ for any $\lambda > 1$.

In this paper we prove the following explicit version of Selberg's result.

Theorem 1 *Assuming RH, for any h satisfying $\sqrt{x} \log x \leq h \leq x^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and all $x \geq e^{10}$ we have*

$$|\psi(x+h) - \psi(x) - h| < \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{x} \log x \log \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{x} \log x} \right) + 2\sqrt{x} \log x. \tag{2}$$

Selberg's result follows from Theorem 1 for any $h = f(x)\sqrt{x} \log x$ with unbounded $f(x) = o(x)$, in that we would have

$$|\psi(x+h) - \psi(x) - h| \ll \sqrt{x} \log x \log(f(x)) = o(h).$$

For $h = c\sqrt{x} \log x$, Theorem 1 implies Cramér's [6] result on primes in the interval $(x, x+h)$ for all sufficiently large x and c . In an earlier paper [7], the author showed that $c = 1 + \epsilon$ is suitable for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for all sufficiently large x . Carneiro, Milinovich and Soundararajan [4] have since shown that we can take $c = 22/55$ for all $x \geq 4$. The

same methods used in [7] are applied to reach Theorem 1. As such, it could be possible to sharpen Theorem 1 using the techniques in [4].

The closest result to Theorem 1 is the following from Schoenfeld [13].

Theorem 2 *Assuming RH, for $x \geq 73.2$ we have*

$$|\psi(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{x} \log^2 x. \quad (3)$$

Schoenfeld's result confirms Selberg's theorem for the slightly stronger condition of $h/(\sqrt{x} \log^2 x) \rightarrow \infty$. One also has from the above

$$|\psi(x+h) - \psi(x) - h| < \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{x+h} \log^2(x+h).$$

When x is sufficiently large, Theorem 1 improves the leading constant in this bound for any choice of $h \leq x^{0.735}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1 A smooth explicit formula

The Riemann–von Mangoldt explicit formula relates $\psi(x)$ to the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ (e.g. see Ingham [10]). For all non-integer $x > 0$,

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}), \quad (4)$$

where the sum is over all non-trivial zeroes $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. We define the weighted sum

$$\psi_1(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} (x-n) \Lambda(n) = \int_2^x \psi(t) dt \quad (5)$$

and use the following explicit formula, proved in [7] (see also Thm. 28 of [10]).

Lemma 3 *For non-integer $x > 0$ we have*

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} - x \log(2\pi) + \epsilon(x) \quad (6)$$

where

$$1.545 < \epsilon(x) < 2.069.$$

The bound on $\epsilon(x)$ has been reduced from [7], as we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(x) &= 2 \log 2\pi - 2 + \sum_{\rho} \frac{2^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_2^x \log(1 - t^{-2}) dt \\ &< 2 \log 2\pi - 2 + 2^{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma + 2 - \log 4\pi) + \log \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4} < 2.069 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\epsilon(x) > 2 \log 2\pi - 2 - 2^{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma + 2 - \log 4\pi) > 1.545.$$

Using a linear combination of Eq. (5), we can examine the distribution of prime powers in the interval $(x, x + h)$. For $2 \leq \Delta < \sqrt{x} \log x \leq h \leq x$, let

$$w(n) = \begin{cases} (n - x + \Delta)/\Delta & : x - \Delta \leq n \leq x \\ 1 & : x \leq n \leq x + h \\ (x + h + \Delta - n)/\Delta & : x + h \leq n \leq x + h + \Delta \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This leads to the identity

$$\sum_n \Lambda(n)w(n) = \frac{1}{\Delta}(\psi_1(x + h + \Delta) - \psi_1(x + h) - \psi_1(x) + \psi_1(x - \Delta)),$$

which can be verified by expanding both sides. Notice that over $x \leq n \leq x + h$, the sum on the LHS is equal to $\psi(x + h) - \psi(x)$. We thus aim to estimate this expression by bounding the RHS of (7). Using Lemma 3 in the above equation gives the following.

Lemma 4 *Let $2 \leq \Delta < h \leq x$ with $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\sum_n \Lambda(n)w(n) = h + \Delta - \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\rho} S(\rho) + \epsilon(\Delta)$$

where

$$S(\rho) = \frac{(x + h + \Delta)^{\rho+1} - (x + h)^{\rho+1} - x^{\rho+1} + (x - \Delta)^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho + 1)}$$

and

$$|\epsilon(\Delta)| < \frac{21}{20\Delta}.$$

It remains to estimate the sum over zeros. We will split it into three sums,

$$\sum_{\rho} S(\rho) = \left(\sum_{|\gamma| \leq \alpha x/h} + \sum_{\alpha x/h < |\gamma| < \beta x/\Delta} + \sum_{|\gamma| \geq \beta x/\Delta} \right) S(\rho) \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are parameters we can later optimise over.

Lemma 5 *Let $2 \leq \Delta < h \leq x$ and assume RH. We have*

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma| \geq \beta x/\Delta} S(\rho) \right| < \frac{4\Delta(x + h + \Delta)^{3/2}}{\pi \beta x} \log(\beta x/\Delta)$$

provided that $\beta x/\Delta \geq \gamma_1 = 14.13\dots$, the ordinate of the first zero of $\zeta(s)$.

Proof On RH, one has

$$|S(\rho)| \leq \frac{4(x + h + \Delta)^{3/2}}{\gamma^2}.$$

The result follows from Lemma 1(ii) of Skewes [15], that for all $T \geq \gamma_1$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \geq T} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} < \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\log T}{T}.$$

□

The following lemmas require estimates on the zero-counting function $N(T)$, which counts the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip $0 < \beta < 1$ with $0 < \gamma \leq T$. Backlund [1] showed that $N(T) = P(T) + Q(T)$, where

$$P(T) := \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{7}{8}$$

and $Q(T) = O(\log T)$. Hasanalizade, Shen, and Wong [8, Cor. 1.2] have given the most recent explicit version of this, of

$$|Q(T)| \leq R(T) = a_1 \log T + a_2 \log \log T + a_3 \tag{8}$$

with $a_1 = 0.1038$, $a_2 = 0.2573$, and $a_3 = 9.3675$, for all $T \geq e$.

Lemma 6 *Let $2 \leq \Delta < h \leq x$ and assume RH. We have*

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \alpha x/h} S(\rho) \right| < \frac{\alpha x(h + \Delta)\Delta}{\pi h \sqrt{x - \Delta}} \log(\alpha x/h).$$

Proof We can write

$$S(\rho) = \int_{x+h}^{x+h+\Delta} \int_{u-h-\Delta}^u t^{\rho-1} dt du,$$

so, under RH, one has

$$|S(\rho)| < \frac{(h + \Delta)\Delta}{\sqrt{x - \Delta}}.$$

With (8), we can use

$$N(T) < \frac{T \log T}{2\pi},$$

from which the result immediately follows. □

For the middle sum of (7), we will use the following lemma. It follows directly from Lemma 3 of [2], in whose notation we use $\phi(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}$, and takes constants A_0 and A_1 from Trudgian [16, Thm. 2.2] and A_2 from [2, Lem. 2].

Lemma 7 *For $2\pi \leq T_1 \leq T_2$ we have*

$$\sum_{T_1 < \gamma < T_2} \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{T_2}{T_1} \log \frac{T_2 T_1}{4\pi^2} + \frac{Q(T_2)}{T_2} - \frac{Q(T_1)}{T_1} + E(T_1), \tag{9}$$

where $|Q(T)| \leq R(T)$, defined in (8), and

$$|E(T)| \leq \frac{2A_1 \log T + 2A_0 + A_1 + A_2}{T^2}$$

with $A_0 = 2.067$, $A_1 = 0.059$, $A_2 = 1/150$.

Lemma 8 *Let $2 \leq \Delta < h \leq x$ and assume RH. For $\alpha x/h \geq 15$ we have*

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha x/h < |\gamma| < \beta x/\Delta} S(\rho) \right| < \Delta(x + h + \Delta)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{\beta h}{\alpha \Delta} \right) \log \left(\frac{\alpha \beta x^2}{4\pi^2 h \Delta} \right) + 5.4 \right).$$

Proof We can write

$$S(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\int_{x+h}^{x+h+\Delta} t^\rho dt - \int_{x-\Delta}^x t^\rho dt \right),$$

and so bounding trivially gives

$$|S(\rho)| \leq \frac{2(x + h + \Delta)^{1/2} \Delta}{|\gamma|}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha x/h < |\gamma| < \beta x/\Delta} S(\rho) \right| \leq 4(x+h+\Delta)^{1/2} \Delta \sum_{\alpha x/h < \gamma < \beta x/\Delta} \frac{1}{\gamma},$$

on which we apply Lemma 7, and bound the smaller order terms with the assumption of $T_1 \geq 15$ to obtain the result. Note that the bound on T_1 is to reduce the constant 5.4, but not restrict α too much. \square

2.2 Bounding the PNT in intervals

From Lemma 4 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \psi(x+h) - \psi(x) - h \right| &< \frac{1}{\Delta} \left| \sum_{\rho} S(\rho) \right| + \Delta + \frac{21}{20\Delta} + \sum_{x-\Delta < n \leq x} w(n)\Lambda(n) \\ &+ \sum_{x+h < n \leq x+h+\Delta} w(n)\Lambda(n) \end{aligned}$$

As the smooth weight has $|w(n)| \leq 1$, the above bound is no greater than

$$\frac{1}{\Delta} \left| \sum_{\rho} S(\rho) \right| + \Delta + \frac{21}{20\Delta} + 2 \sum_{\substack{x+h < p^k \leq x+h+\Delta \\ k \geq 1}} \log p. \tag{10}$$

The largest term in this bound comes from the sum over ρ , in particular, the section estimated in Lemma 8. Larger Δ results in a smaller main-term constant, so we will set $\Delta = C\sqrt{x} \log x$ and later choose an optimal value of $C \in (0, 1)$. The reason for not taking larger Δ is two-fold: to keep $\Delta < h$ and ensure the smaller terms in (10) are $O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$.

To bound the sum over prime powers we can use Montgomery and Vaughan’s version of the Brun–Titchmarsh theorem for primes in intervals [12, Eq. 1.12]. Defining $\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$, Eq. (1.12) of [12] implies

$$\theta(x+h) - \theta(x) = \sum_{x < p \leq x+h} \log p \leq \frac{2h \log(x+h)}{\log h}.$$

The contribution from higher prime powers is relatively small, and can be bounded with explicit estimates on the difference between the Chebyshev functions $\psi(x)$ and $\theta(x)$. Costa Pereira [5, Thm. 2,4,5] gives lower bounds for different ranges of x . These can be combined into

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) > 0.999x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{11}$$

for all $x \geq 2187$. Broadbent *et al.* [3, Cor. 5.1] give

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) < \alpha_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{12}$$

with $\alpha_1 = 1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ and $\alpha_2 = 2.69$ for all $x \geq e^{10}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x+h+\Delta) - \psi(x+h) &\leq \theta(x+h+\Delta) - \theta(x+h) + E_1(x) \\ &\leq \frac{2\Delta \log(x+h+\Delta)}{\log \Delta} + E_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $E_1(x) = \alpha_1(x + h + \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_2(x + h + \Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 0.999(x + h)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2}{3}(x + h)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, and is bounded by $E_1(x) \leq \beta_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with

$$\beta_1 = \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 - 0.999 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = 3^{\frac{1}{3}}\alpha_2 - \frac{2}{3}.$$

Here and hereafter, let $x_0 = e^{10}$. For $x \geq x_0$ we can bound the smaller order terms in (10),

$$\Delta + \frac{21}{20\Delta} + 2 \sum_{\substack{x+h < p^k \leq x+h+\Delta \\ k \geq 1}} \log p < K_1 \sqrt{x} \log x$$

where, for $h \leq x^t$ with $t < 1$,

$$K_1 = C + \frac{4C \log(x_0 + 2x_0^t)}{\log(C\sqrt{x_0} \log x_0)} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\log x_0} + \frac{2\beta_2}{x_0^{\frac{1}{6}} \log x_0} + \frac{21}{20Cx_0 \log^2 x_0}.$$

This, along with Lemmas 5 and 6, allow us to bound

$$\left| \psi(x + h) - \psi(x) - h \right| < \frac{1}{\Delta} \left| \sum_{\alpha x/h < |\gamma| < \beta x/\Delta} S(\rho) \right| + E(x, h, \Delta) \tag{13}$$

where

$$E(x, h, \Delta) = K_1 \sqrt{x} + \frac{\alpha x(h + \Delta)}{\pi h \sqrt{x} - \Delta} \log \left(\frac{\alpha x}{h} \right) + \frac{4(x + h + \Delta)^{3/2}}{\pi \beta x} \log \left(\frac{\beta x}{\Delta} \right).$$

For $\sqrt{x} \log x \leq h \leq x^t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, h, \Delta) &\leq K_1 \sqrt{x} + \frac{2\alpha x}{\pi \sqrt{x} - C\sqrt{x} \log x} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \sqrt{x}}{\log x} \right) \\ &+ \frac{4(x + x^t + C\sqrt{x} \log x)^{3/2}}{\pi \beta x} \log \left(\frac{\beta \sqrt{x}}{C \log x} \right) \leq K_2 \sqrt{x} \log x, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $x \geq x_0 \geq e^{\beta/C}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 5$, we can take

$$K_2 = \frac{K_1}{\log x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \frac{2(x_0 + x_0^t + C\sqrt{x_0} \log x_0)^{3/2}}{\pi \beta x_0^{3/2}}.$$

The first term in (13) can be estimated with Lemma 8, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta} \left| \sum_{\alpha x/h < |\gamma| < \beta x/\Delta} S(\rho) \right| &< (x + h + \Delta)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{\beta h}{\alpha \Delta} \right) \log \left(\frac{\alpha \beta x^2}{4\pi^2 h \Delta} \right) + 5.4 \right) \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\pi} \log x \log \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{x} \log x} \right) + K_3 \sqrt{x} \log x, \end{aligned}$$

in which, assuming $100e^{-10} \leq \frac{\alpha\beta}{4\pi^2C} \leq 100$, we can take

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_3 = & \frac{1}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha C}\right) \log\left(\frac{\alpha\beta x_0}{4\pi^2 C \log^2 x_0}\right) \frac{1}{\log x_0} \\
 & + \frac{x_0^{t/2-1/2}}{\pi \log x_0} \log\left(\frac{\beta x_0^{t-1/2}}{\alpha C \log x_0}\right) \log\left(\frac{\alpha\beta x_0}{4\pi^2 C \log^2 x_0}\right) \\
 & + \frac{\sqrt{C}}{\pi x_0^{1/4} \sqrt{\log x_0}} \log\left(\frac{\beta x_0^{t-1/2}}{\alpha C \log x_0}\right) \log\left(\frac{\alpha\beta x_0}{4\pi^2 C \log^2 x_0}\right) \\
 & + \frac{5.4}{\log x_0} \left(1 + x_0^{t-1} + \frac{C \log x_0}{\sqrt{x_0}}\right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the assumption for α and β is to ensure certain terms are bounded for all $x \geq x_0$. Combining estimates, we have

$$\left| \psi(x+h) - \psi(x) - h \right| < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\pi} \log x \log\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{x} \log x}\right) + K_4 \sqrt{x} \log x, \tag{14}$$

where $K_4 = K_3 + K_2$. It remains to optimise over the parameters. Before deciding these values, recall that we have made the assumptions $\beta \leq 10C$,

$$\frac{15h}{x} \leq \alpha \leq 5, \quad \beta \geq \gamma_1 \frac{C \log x}{\sqrt{x}}, \quad C\alpha < \beta \leq \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{100}{e^{10}} \leq \frac{\alpha\beta}{4\pi^2C} \leq 100.$$

The restriction on α will be satisfied for all $\sqrt{x} \log x \leq h \leq x^{\frac{3}{4}}$ if we take $\alpha \geq 15x_0^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Optimising over C , α , and β to minimise K_4 , we find that choosing $C = 0.25$ and $\alpha = \beta = 1.35$ allows us to take $K_4 = 2$ for all $x \geq x_0$.

Data Availability Statement Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Author details

¹School of Science, UNSW Canberra, Campbell, ACT 2612, Australia, Mothar Mountain, Wacal Road, Gympie, QLD 4570, Australia.

Received: 24 April 2022 Accepted: 18 July 2022 Published online: 12 August 2022

References

1. Backlund, R.J.: Über die Nullstellen der Riemannschen Zetafunktion. *Acta Math.* **41**(1), 345–375 (1918)
2. Brent, R.P., Platt, D.J., Trudgian, T.S.: Accurate estimation of sums over zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. *Math. Comp.* **90**(332), 2923–2935 (2021)
3. Broadbent, S., Kadiri, H., Lumley, A., Ng, N., Wilk, K.: Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$. *Math. Comp.* **90**(331), 2281–2315 (2021)
4. Carneiro, E., Milinovich, M.B., Soundararajan, K.: Fourier optimization and prime gaps. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **94**(3), 533–568 (2019)
5. Costa Pereira, N.: Estimates for the Chebyshev function $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$. *Math. Comput.* **44**(169), 211–221 (1985)
6. Cramér, H.: On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers. *Acta Arith.* **2**(1), 23–46 (1936)
7. Dudek, A.W.: On the Riemann hypothesis and the difference between primes. *Int. J. Number Theory* **11**(3), 771–778 (2015)
8. Hasanlizada, E., Shen, Q., Wong, P.-J.: Counting zeros of the Riemann zeta function. *J. Number Theory* **235**, 219–241 (2022)
9. Heath-Brown, D.R.: The number of primes in a short interval. *J. für die Reine Angew.* **389**, 22–63 (1988)
10. Ingham, A.E.: *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*, 30th edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1932)
11. Maier, H.: Primes in short intervals. *Mich. Math. J.* **32**(2), 221–225 (1985)
12. Montgomery, H.L., Vaughan, R.C.: The large sieve. *Mathematika* **20**(2), 119–134 (1973)
13. Schoenfeld, L.: Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. *II. Math. Comput.* **25**, 337–360 (1976)

14. Selberg, A.: On the normal density of primes in small intervals, and the difference between consecutive primes. *Arch. Math. Naturvid.* **47**(6), 87–105 (1943)
15. Skewes, S.: On the difference $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ (II). *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3**(1), 48–70 (1955)
16. Trudgian, T.: Improvements to Turing's method. *Math. Comput.* **80**(276), 2259–2279 (2011)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.