

RESEARCH



# On the diophantine equation

$$la^x + mb^y = nc^z$$

Sivanarayanapandian Subburam\*

\*Correspondence:  
ssrammaths@gmail.com  
Gandhi Nagar, Aruppukottai,  
Tamil Nadu 626 101, India

## Abstract

In this paper, we give an upper bound for the solutions  $x, y, z$  of the equation in the title, of magnitude  $(\log \max\{a, b, c\})^{2+\epsilon}$ . This yields an improvement of earlier results of Hu and Le, where the bound is cubic in  $\log \max\{a, b, c\}$ .

**Keyword:** Exponential diophantine equation

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11D41, 11D45

## 1 Introduction

Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be coprime integers greater than one and let  $(x, y, z)$  be any positive integral solution of the equation  $a^x + b^y = c^z$ . In 2015, Hu and Le [2] proved that  $\max\{x, y, z\} \leq \kappa (\log \max\{a, b, c\})^3$ , where  $\kappa = 155,000$ . Recently, the same authors improved the result as  $\kappa = 6500$ , in [3]. In this paper, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *For each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there exists an effectively computable constant  $\kappa(\epsilon) > 0$  such that*

$$\max\{x, y, z\} \leq \kappa(\epsilon)(\log \max\{a, b, c\})^{2+\epsilon}.$$

*Moreover, if  $l, m$  and  $n$  are positive integers such that one of  $(a \bmod 2, m, n)$ ,  $(b \bmod 2, l, n)$  and  $(c \bmod 2, l, m)$  is  $(0, 1, 1)$ , then all positive integral solutions  $(x, y, z)$  of the equation*

$$la^x + mb^y = nc^z$$

*satisfy the inequality with  $\kappa(\epsilon) = \kappa(\epsilon, l, m, n)$ , an effectively computable constant depends only on  $\epsilon, l, m$  and  $n$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Though there are better lower bounds in the literature than what Lemma 1 gives, it is sufficient for the present purposes.

**Lemma 1** (Corollary B.1 of Shorey-Tijdeman [4]) *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be non-zero rational numbers of heights not exceeding  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , respectively. We assume  $A_j \geq 3$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Put*

$$\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \log A_j \text{ and } \Omega' = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \log A_j.$$

Then, there exist computable absolute constants  $\kappa_0$  and  $\kappa_1$  such that the inequalities

$$0 < \left| \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \cdots \alpha_n^{\beta_n} - 1 \right| < \exp \left( -(\kappa_0 n)^{\kappa_1 n} \Omega \log \Omega' \log B \right)$$

have no solution in rational integers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  of absolute values not exceeding  $B \geq 2$ .

For a prime number  $p$  and a non-zero integer  $\alpha$ ,  $\text{ord}_p(\alpha)$  is the largest non-negative integer  $l$  such that  $p^l \mid \alpha$ .

**Lemma 2** (Bugeaud [1]) *Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be non-zero multiplicatively independent integers and let  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  be positive integers. Assume that there exist a positive integer  $g$  and a real number  $E$  such that*

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \alpha_1^g - 1 \right) \geq E > 1/(p - 1)$$

and

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \alpha_2^g - 1 \right) > 0.$$

Let  $A_1 > 1$  and  $A_2 > 1$  be real numbers such that

$$\log A_i \geq \max \{ \log |\alpha_i|, E \log p \}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Put

$$\beta = \log \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\log A_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\log A_1} \right) + \log(E \log p) + 0.4.$$

Consider

$$\Lambda' = \alpha_1^{\beta_1} - \alpha_2^{\beta_2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\text{ord}_p \Lambda' \leq \frac{36.1g}{E^3(\log p)^4} (\max \{ \beta, 6E \log p, 5 \})^2 (\log A_1)(\log A_2),$$

if  $p$  is odd or if  $p = 2$  and  $\text{ord}_2(\alpha_2 - 1) \geq 2$ .

Fix  $\min \{ x, y, z \} \geq 4$ . First, we shall assume that  $c$  is even. Then  $a$  and  $b$  are odd. Here, we work modulo 4. Since  $a^x + b^y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and so it is impossible that both  $x$  and  $y$  are even,  $\wedge' = a^x + b^y$  can be written as  $\wedge' = \alpha_1^{\beta_1} - \alpha_2^{\beta_2}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are integers such that  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$  is one of  $(a, -b, x, y)$ ,  $(b, -a, y, x)$ ,  $(a^2, -b, x/2, y)$  and  $(b^2, -a, y/2, x)$ . Furthermore, take  $p = 2, E = 2, g = 1, A_1 = \max \{ 4, |\alpha_1| \}$  and  $A_2 = \max \{ 4, |\alpha_2| \}$  in Lemma 2. Since  $\text{gcd}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1$ , by Lemma 2, we have

$$\text{ord}_2 \left( \alpha_1^{\beta_1} - \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \right) < \kappa_2 (\log |\alpha_1|) (\log |\alpha_2|) (\log \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \})^2,$$

where  $\kappa_2$  is a computable absolute constant. Also, it is clear that  $z \leq \text{ord}_2(c^z)$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$z \leq 4\kappa_2 (\log a)(\log b) (\log \max \{ x, y \})^2.$$

This provides the bound for  $\max \{ x, y, z \}$ , since  $a^x, b^y \leq c^z$ .

Next, assume that  $c$  is odd. Then  $a$  or  $b$  is even. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $a$  is even. Let  $\wedge' = c^z - b^y$ . Then, similarly as above, we obtain

$$x \leq 4\kappa_2(\log b)(\log c) (\log \max\{y, z\})^2.$$

Here, we distinguish two subcases. If  $c^z \leq a^{2x}$ , then the last two inequalities along with the inequality  $b^y \leq c^z$  give the required bound. Otherwise, the given equation implies that

$$0 < c^z - b^y \leq c^{z/2} \text{ and so } 0 < 1 - c^{-z}b^y \leq c^{-z/2}.$$

Take  $n = 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 = c$ ,  $\alpha_2 = b$ ,  $\beta_1 = -z$ ,  $\beta_2 = y$ ,  $A_1 = \max\{3, c\}$ ,  $A_2 = \max\{3, b\}$  and  $B = \max\{y, z\}$  in Lemma 1. Therefore, by the lemma, we write

$$c^{-z/2} \geq 1 - c^{-z}b^y > \exp\{-\kappa_3 (\log b) (\log c) (\log \log \min\{b, c\}) \log \max\{y, z\}\},$$

where  $\kappa_3$  is a computable absolute constant. Combine this inequality with  $c^z \geq a^{2x}$  and  $b^y \leq c^z$  to have the bound.

Next, fix  $\min\{x, y, z\} \leq 3$ . Then,  $a^x \leq c^z$  and  $b^y \leq c^z$  provide the required bound, if  $\min\{x, y, z\} = z$ . Suppose that  $\min\{x, y, z\} = x$ . Then, we get  $c^z - b^y \leq a^3$  and it can be written as that  $0 < c^z b^{-y} - 1 \leq a^3 b^{-y}$  if  $\max\{y, z\} = y$  or that  $0 < 1 - b^y c^{-z} \leq a^3 c^{-z}$  if  $\max\{y, z\} = z$ . Now, apply Lemma 1 by taking  $n = 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 = b$ ,  $\alpha_2 = c$ ,  $A_1 = \max\{3, b\}$ ,  $A_2 = \max\{3, c\}$  and  $B = \max\{y, z\}$ . Then, we have the bound. Also, similarly as above, we can deal with the case  $\min\{x, y, z\} = y$ .

For the proof of the second part of the theorem, in the above arguments replace  $c^z$  by  $nc^z$  if  $c$  is even,  $a^x$  by  $la^x$  if  $c$  is odd and  $a$  is even, and  $b^y$  by  $mb^y$  if  $c$  is odd and  $b$  is even, respectively.

**Acknowledgements**

I wish to remember my Ph.D. research supervisors Prof. R. Srikanth (SASTRA University) and Prof. R. Thangadurai (Harish-Chandra Research Institute) with gratitude. I express my sincere thanks to the referees of this paper for their valuable and constructive suggestions.

Received: 8 February 2018 Accepted: 7 May 2018 Published online: 16 May 2018

**References**

1. Bugeaud, Y.: Linear forms in p-adic logarithms and the diophantine equation  $(x^n - 1)/(x - 1) = y^q$ . Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **127**, 373–381 (1999)
2. Hu, Y., Le, M.: A note on ternary purely exponential diophantine equations. Acta Arith. **171**, 173–182 (2015)
3. Hu, Y., Le, M.: An upper bound for the number of solutions of ternary purely exponential diophantine equations. J. Number Theory **183**, 62–73 (2018)
4. Shorey, T.N., Tijdeman, R.: Exponential Diophantine Equations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986)