

ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK MODELING OF GRAIN REFINEMENT PERFORMANCE IN ALSi10MG ALLOY

Engin Kocaman

Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Zonguldak Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, Turkey

Selçuk Şirin

Department of Machinery and Metal Technologies Vocational School of Hendek, Sakarya University of Applied Science, Sakarya, Turkey

Derya Dispinar

Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

Copyright © 2020 American Foundry Society https://doi.org/10.1007/s40962-020-00472-9

Abstract

Optimization of casting parameters is essential in terms of quality factors in foundries. Nowadays, to optimize process parameters, new approaches such as artificial neural networks method are being used. In this study, a neural network model has been developed to control the grain size in aluminum casting alloys. Some of the important grain refinement parameters such as casting temperature, holding time and addition level have been evaluated as inputs for the model. The network training architecture was optimized at 241 training cycles with quasi-Newton algorithm with a single hidden layer and 6 neurons. With

Introduction

Hypoeutectic Al–Si alloys are the most preferred alloy group in aluminum alloys because of their properties, such as high strength, high corrosion resistance and easy processability. Moreover, due to the superior properties of these alloys, their usage in the automotive and aerospace industries is increasing continuously.^{1,2} To the improvement of mechanical properties, the fine and equiaxed grain structure is usually desirable in the aluminum castings. However, recent works show that grain size increases to approximately 4000 µm with increasing silicon content in Al–Si alloys.^{3–5} In the formation of such a structure, grain refinement plays a crucial role in the cast and wrought aluminum alloys. Besides, a small amount of grain refiner in the melting affects not only mechanical properties but also the stability of alloys. modeling, mean absolute percent error was found at 0.99 between experimental measurements and model estimation. R^2 value has been calculated as 99.2%. The minimum grain size was measured for the parameter of 680 °C casting temperature, 0.25% Ti, 25-min holding time. It was found that there was a good agreement between experimental measurements and artificial neural network predictions.

Keywords: aluminum, aluminum casting, grain refinement, optimization, artificial neural network

Moreover, grain refinement contributes to reducing hot tearing risk, better at eliminating porosity and improving the ability to achieve a uniform surface.⁶⁻¹⁶ Nowadays, grain refinement has mostly been achieved by the addition of the master alloys such as Al-B or Al-Ti-B in a waffle or rod form in the casting industry.^{17–19} At the same time, new generation grain refiners such as Al-Ti-B-C and Al-B-Nb are reported,²⁰⁻²² yet they have limited usage in the industry. Also, in recent studies, it has been reported that rare earth elements such as La and Ce refine the grain.^{23–25} However, effective grain refinement is mostly not achieved due to several reasons. First, the mechanism of grain refinement is still contradictory.²⁶ Secondly, the amount of grain refiner addition is significant for economic reasons, because excess amount of grain refiner addition will lead to high costs which need to be optimized. Thirdly, holding time has a crucial role because the grain refinement effect is disappeared over time.^{14,27} Also, the temperature of grain refiner addition affects the grain structure.²⁸ As mentioned above, effective grain refinement can be achieved, considering the significant number of parameters.

Today, economic advantages can be achieved in many industries with various optimization methods. One of these methods is artificial neural networks. ANN models have been developed to predict and optimize several properties of materials. This technique is used to construct a mathematical model and to establish a relationship between dependent variables and independent variables in solving complex problems in which linear relationships cannot be determined.^{29,30} Hassan et al.³¹ have studied hardness, density and porosity in SiC-reinforced Al-Cu alloys depending on the reinforcement ratio. In another study, Liao et al.³⁰ investigated the effects of the addition of Si, Cu, Mg and Mn to aluminum shrinkage with different algorithms. Patel et al.³² compared the modeling results obtained from ANN and statistical regression analysis with experimental results and found that the results obtained from ANN were more compatible with the experimental results. Undoubtedly, one of the areas where artificial neural networks can be used is foundries. The casting process involves many stages that can be optimized in terms of time, energy and cost. It will be a great advantage to obtain the desired quality cast parts in a shorter time and economically by optimizing the grain refining applications, especially in aluminum casting processes. However, there are still a limited number of studies on this subject in the literature. In this study, various grain refinement parameters such as casting temperature, holding time and addition level, which are the critical factors affecting the quality of aluminum casting parts, were modeled by using ANN.

Materials and Method

Casting

For the experimental study, an AlSi10Mg alloy, which was received from Eti Aluminyum A.Ş (Konya, Turkey), was used. The chemical composition of the starting material determined using the Oxford-Instrument Spectral Analysis device is shown in Table 1. According to the table, the titanium ratio of starting material was observed as 0.012 wt%.

The alloy was melted in an approximately 1.5-kg capacity SiC crucible by using an electric resistance furnace. The alloy was poured in an open sand mold that was prepared by 2.5 wt % resin cured with CO₂. The mold and casting geometry were designed, as illustrated in Figure 1a. The model dimensions are bottom diameter Ø35 mm, top

Table 1. Spectral Analysis Results of A360 Alloy

Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Ni	Zn	Ti	AI
9.47	0.228	0.046	0.477	0.277	0.02	0.044	0.012	Bal.



Figure 1. (a) Dimensions of the model, (b) Schematic illustration of the sand mold

diameter Ø40 mm and height 60 mm. Samples were poured directly into the open mold, as shown in Figure 1b.

Altair Inspire Cast casting simulation was used to calculate the solidification time of the sample. According to the simulation study, the solidification module of the sample was calculated as approximately 0.6 cm (Figure 2a), and the solidification time was calculated approximately 200 s (Figure 2b).

Extruded rod type of Al5Ti1B master alloy was added into the melt, according to parameters in Table 2. The given addition level in Table 2 is the targeted Ti values. For example, for the addition of 0.30 wt. Ti %, 90 grams of Al5Ti1B extruded rod-type master alloy has been added to 1.5 kg of liquid metal, adding 4.5 g of Ti and about 0.9 g of B. Before pouring, degassing was carried out with dry nitrogen for 3 min. This process was repeated for each experimental parameter given in Table 2.

Then, the samples were cut 25 mm height from the bottom side. For the microstructure analysis, the samples were grounded up to 2500 grid using SiC paper followed by polishing using 50-nm alumina suspension. The grain size measurement was taken by using an optical metal microscope (Nikon Eclipse L150) with a Clemex Vision image analysis software according to the linear intercept method ASTM E112 standard, at different regions of each sample as shown in Figure 3 schematically.



Figure 2. (a) Solidification modulus, (b) solidification time of the sample.

Parameters	Levels							
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Casting temperatures °C	680	690	700	710	720	740		
Holding times min.	15	20	25	30	45	60		
Addition level wt%.	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.30		

Table 2. Grain Refinement Process Parameters and Their Respective Levels



Figure 3. Schematic illustration of the grain size measurement.

Modeling with ANN

Artificial neural networks have a highly interconnected structure similar to the brain cells of human neural networks and consist of many simple processing elements called neurons arranged in different layers in the network. Each network consists of an input layer, an output layer and one or more hidden layers. One of the most important known advantages of ANN is that it can learn from the sample set called the training set. Once the network architecture is defined, weights are calculated to provide the desired output during the learning process.³¹ ANN operations include the steps of multiplying the input neurons defined in the input layer by the weights of the connections, connecting these neurons in the hidden layer and then passing them through an activation function to produce the hidden layer. The output of the latent neurons is multiplied again by the weights of the connections, connecting the hidden neurons to the output neurons and summed to produce an output that will pass through another activation function. This process is called feedforward.³³ The mathematical expression of feedforward operation is given in Eqn. 1.

$$Y = f\left(\vec{X} \cdot \vec{w} + \vec{b}\right)$$
 Eqn. 1

where Y is the output neuron, X is the input neuron, w is the weight assigned to the connection between the input and the neuron, b is bias, and f is the activation function. As can be seen from the information given above, the activation function is to be selected, and the number of neurons in the hidden layer directly affects the performance of the model.

The procedure used for the learning process in an artificial neural network is called an optimization algorithm. There are many different optimization algorithms. Each has different features related to the expected performance and numerical precision. In this study, the quasi-Newton algorithm has been used as an optimization tool.

The application of the Newton's method can be timeconsuming since it requires many processes to evaluate the Hessian matrix and compute its inverse. New approaches, known as quasi-Newton or variable metric methods, have been developed to eliminate such disadvantages. These methods generate an approach to the inverted Hessian where each iteration, instead of directly calculating Hessian, the algorithm repeats and then evaluates the inverse. This approach is only computed with information about the first derivatives of the error function. The basic idea behind the quasi-Newton method is to approximate the inverse Hessian with another G matrix (τ) using only the first partial derivatives of the loss function. Then, the quasi-Newton formula can be expressed as:

$$w^{(\tau+1)} - w^{(\tau)} = G^{(\tau)} g^{(\tau)} \alpha^{(\tau)}$$
 Eqn. 2

where $\tau = (0,1,2...)$ is the steps of the weight vector, g is gradient, and τ is training rate, which adjusted here at each epoch using line minimization.³⁴

If there are huge differences between the mathematical values of the experimental parameters used in an ANN model, calculation accuracy may not occur precisely. In such cases, the data are normalized before modeling. In other words, all data are scaled to be distributed between [0, 1] and [-1, 1].^{35,36} Equations 3 and 4 were used for the normalization of the data used in this study.

$$X_N = 2 \frac{(x - x_{\min})}{(x_{\max} - x_{\min})} - 1$$
 Eqn. 3

$$X_N = \frac{(x - x_{\text{mean}})}{(\text{StdDev})}$$
Eqn. 4

In order to determine the best ANN model, it was aimed to reach the minimum mean squared error (MSE) value by performing experiments from 1 neuron to 10 neurons in the hidden layer with tansig, logsig and linear activation functions. Obtaining the minimum MSE value enables the ANN model to produce the most realistic results.³⁷ The mathematical representation of MSE is given below.

MSE =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(a_i - f_i)^2}{n}$$
 Eqn. 5

where a_i is experimental results, f_i is ANN model estimation, and n is the number of data points used. Figure 4 shows the different activation functions and the number of different neurons obtained according to the MSE graph.

According to Figure 4, the lowest MSE value was obtained from 2.06E-5 hyperbolic tangent activation function with six neurons. The network architecture is constructed according to these values, and an ANN model is created.

In this study, ANN architecture created by Neural Designer software is shown in Figure 5. According to this figure, casting temperature, addition level and holding time are defined in the input layer. In the output layer, the particle size, which is our experimental target, is defined. The



Figure 4. Effect of different activation functions and neuron numbers on MSE.



Figure 5. ANN architecture.

hidden layer is designed to be a single layer and with six neurons.

Results and Discussion

The microstructure image of AlSi10Mg alloy with and without grain refining is given in Figure 5. It is seen that grain size changes with different grain refining parameters. The grain structure of commercially AlSi10Mg alloy is coarse and predominantly consists of elongated primary dendritic structure (Figure 6a). This sample had been poured at 720 °C without any addition grain refiner and holding time. According to the measurements carried out in different regions of the sample, the average grain size was found to be around 3200 μ m. With the addition of a small amount of grain refiner, grain size is reduced, as shown in Figure 6b. In the sample, the grain size was found to be 1390.7 μ m by using parameters of the addition of 0.05% Ti at 720 °C and 45-min holding time. The average grain size was measured as 1160.6 μ m in the sample performed by

adding 0.10% Ti at 700 °C and 45 min of holding time, shown in Figure 6c. The microstructure picture obtained as a result of the experiment performed with 0.20% Ti at 680 °C and 20-min holding time is given in Figure 6d. In the measurements taken from different points of this microstructure, the average grain size was measured as $603.7 \mu m$. When we compare Figure 6a, b, it is seen that the addition of Ti even in small amounts at the same casting temperature positively affects the grain size. However, if it is necessary to make an evaluation between Figure 6b, c, it is seen that the grain size decreases as a result of increasing the casting temperature and additional Ti amount during the same holding period, whereas, according to the literature, it is emphasized that the grain size increases with the increase in the casting temperature.^{28,38} This case shows that grain size cannot be examined depending on a single parameter.

Table 3 shows all the experimental parameters and the average grain size measurements taken from the experiments performed with these parameters. According to the table, there is no linear relationship between the experimental grain sizes. It is seen that different grain sizes are obtained at different parameters. Previous studies reported that grain refiner must be added at a certain limit to reduce the grain size, but it does not cause a significant change in grain size by adding grain refiner over this limit.^{9,39} In studies conducted on holding time, it was observed that the grain refiner lost its effect over time in the stationary crucible, and the minimum grain size was generally obtained at 20-30 min.⁴⁰ Besides, by mixing the molten metal before casting, a certain amount of grain size reduction occurs.^{28,41} However, mixing is not possible in industrial applications and especially in the hot chamber pressure casting process.

On the other hand, the casting temperature after the addition of grain refiner into the molten metal is another parameter affecting the grain size. Samuel et al. reported in their study that the grain size increased with increasing casting temperature.²⁸ Besides, it was observed that grain size decreased with increasing casting temperature in the literature.³⁸

Figure 7 is a summary of the change in grain size according to the parameters obtained from experimental studies. This graph is obtained when a triple diagram is analyzed between the inputs and the output. One of the results obtained from Figure 7 is that the grain size decreases as the amount of grain refiner increases. The general description is the presence of Al5Ti1B master alloy in the melt leading to the formation of intermetallic phases such as TiAl₃ and/or TiB₂. On the other hand, according to Al–Ti phase diagram, 0.15 wt% Ti is a critical limit to let primary TiAl₃ precipitate and act as a nucleation substrate for alpha-Al. A large number of intermetallic phases formed behave as heterogeneous nucleation centers and



Figure 6. Grain structure micrographs of (a) without grain refiner, (b) 30 min after %0.10 Ti addition at 740 °C, (c) 45 min. after %0.05 Ti addition at 720 °C and (d) 20 min. after %0.20 Ti addition at 680 °C.

contribute to the refinement of the grain size. For this reason, it is expected that the grain size will decrease with the increase in the amount of grain refiner added. However, it has been reported that it does not contribute to decreasing the grain size after the amount of grain refiner exceeds a certain rate. Similar studies in the literature have found similar results for this alloy.^{9,42} Another significant result is that the holding time has positive effects on grain size for a certain period, while it loses its effect over a certain period. Also, it was found that the casting temperature had no significant effects on grain size, particularly in sand castings. In the literature, Wang et al.²⁷ carried out grain refining experiments by adding Al5TiB and Al3B master alloy to Al-7Si alloy and determined that the grain size decreases until a certain amount of addition; however, as the amount of addition increases, the grain size does not change. Hu et al.³⁰ investigated the change in grain size by adding AlTi, AlB and AlTiB to the Al-8.15Si-2.4Cu alloy and observed similar results to Wang.²⁷ As the amount of Si increases in Al-Si alloys, TiAl₃ particles surfaces are covered with titanium silicon, which prevents heterogeneous nucleation of α -Al dendrites. Li et al.⁴³ suggested that the Ti-Si covalent bond, which may occur in TiAl₃ 2DC, can disrupt the lattice, thereby reducing chemical

interaction with a-Al and preventing epitaxial nucleation. However, it is reported in the literature that an increasing amount of Al-Ti-B grain refiner contributes to grain refining by overcoming the poisonous effect of silicon, depending on the ratio of Si and Mg in the alloy.⁴⁴ Birol⁴⁵ indicated that Si poisoning is a function of the solidification range. Riestra et al.⁴ and Bolzoni et al.⁵ have worked with hypoeutectic Al-Si alloys. They observed that grain size increased due to increasing solidification time in the same compositions. In the light of all these data, especially when we examine the green-colored region in Figure 7, which contains the average grain size distribution, it can be said that the grain refining process is not accurate enough to be explained by a single parameter. Thus, grain formation and growth are a dynamic process depending on many parameters. In other words, if one parameter decreases the grain size, the change in another parameter may cause an adverse effect, causing the refining effect to be lost, vice versa.

The grain sizes obtained from the experimental studies were defined as the input to the system, as shown in Figure 4. After the network architecture was established, the network was trained to complete the learning process

Table 3. ExperimentalParametersandGrainSizeResults

Sample num.	Casting temperature (°C)	Ti addition level (wt%)	Holding time (min)	Grain size (μm)
1	680.00	0.25	25.00	362.88
2	680.00	0.30	30.00	393.03
3	680.00	0.20	20.00	603.70
4	680.00	0.15	15.00	1484.92
5	680.00	0.10	30.00	999.13
6	680.00	0.20	45.00	1086.9
7	680.00	0.30	60.00	1044.9
8	690.00	0.25	30.00	435.45
9	690.00	0.20	15.00	1050.9
10	700.00	0.30	20.00	407.38
11	700.00	0.25	15.00	507.26
12	700.00	0.15	25.00	724.05
13	700.00	0.05	30.00	753.93
14	700.00	0.10	15.00	806.23
15	700.00	0.20	60.00	779
16	700.00	0.30	45.00	1010.6
17	710.00	0.25	20.00	365
18	710.00	0.30	15.00	1232.98
19	710.00	0.15	30.00	1378.6
20	720.00	0.05	45.00	1397.53
21	720.00	0.20	15.00	952.33
22	720.00	0.30	30.00	828.5
23	740.00	0.10	45.00	1160.63
24	740.00	0.20	30.00	1427.43
25	740.00	0.30	15.00	884.63



Figure 7. The effects of the parameters on the grain size.



Figure 8. Network training process.

(Figure 8). The learning process aims to bring training and test errors to as close to 0 as possible at the end of the training cycles. The closer the value is to 0, the better the network is trained. In this study, at the end of 241 iterations, training error was decreased to 3,06e-8 and test error to 1,41.

The mathematical model obtained as a result of the training of the neural network is presented as follows

$$y1 = \tanh \begin{bmatrix} 0.912694 + (N_{CT} * 0.87977) \\ +(N_{AL} * 0.177889) + (N_{HT} * 3.35946) \end{bmatrix}$$
Eqn. 6
$$y2 = \tanh \begin{bmatrix} -12,5671 + (N_{CT} * 11,4191) \\ +(N_{AL} * 9,31175) + (N_{HT} * 3,5692) \end{bmatrix}$$

Eqn. 7

$$y3 = \tanh\left[\frac{1.97756 + (N_{CT} * (-8.2731))}{+(N_{AL} * 12.9977) + (N_{HT} * (-2.45239))}\right]$$
Eqn. 8

$$y4 = \tanh \begin{bmatrix} -18.1659 + (N_{\rm CT} * (-6.56964)) \\ +(N_{\rm AL} * 4.06615) + (N_{\rm HT} * (-22.0254)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y5 = \tanh \begin{bmatrix} -2.94493 + (N_{\text{CT}} * (-0.130788)) \\ +(N_{\text{AL}} * 16.5083) + (N_{\text{HT}} * 0.709597) \end{bmatrix}$$

Eqn. 12

 $GS = [0.5 * (N_{GS} + 1).(1641.43 - 362.88) + 362.88]$ Eqn. 13

	Table 4.	Comparison	of Experimental	and Model	Results
--	----------	------------	-----------------	-----------	---------

Sample num.	Experimental grain size (μm)	Predicted grain size (μm)	MAPE (%)
1	362.88	363.2657	0.1063
2	393.03	375.2398	4.5252
3	603.70	603.6985	0.0002
4	1484.92	1454.043	2.0794
5	999.13	999.1274	0.0006
6	1086.90	1189.109	9.4037
7	1044.90	1044.901	0.0001
8	435.45	435.4501	0.0000
9	1050.90	1050.896	0.0004
10	407.38	407.3674	0.0019
11	507.26	507.2727	0.0020
12	724.05	724.0457	0.0006
13	753.93	753.9295	0.0005
14	806.23	806.2337	0.0000
15	779.00	778.996	0.0005
16	1010.60	1010.607	0.0007
17	365.00	364.879	0.0331
18	1232.98	1232.979	0.0003
19	1378.60	1242.523	9.8706
20	1397.53	1397.537	0.0003
21	952.33	952.357	0.0025
22	828.50	828.5044	0.0005
23	1160.63	1160.627	0.0006
24	1427.43	1427.432	0.0001
25	884.63	884.6183	0.0017
		Min error	0.0000
		Max. error	9.8706
		Mean error	1.0412

where the values starting with y are the output functions of each neuron in the hidden layer. $N_{\rm CT}$, $N_{\rm AL}$, $N_{\rm HT}$ and $N_{\rm GS}$ values are normalized of casting temperature, addition level, holding time and grain size data, respectively. In order to test the model, after we normalize the CT and HT values measured in test sample 17 according to Eqn. 3 and the RA value according to Eqn. 4, we place them in the corresponding places in Eqns. 6–11; then, y1, y2, y3, y4, y5 and y6 values are calculated as -0.99, -1, -0.98, 0.99, -1 and 0.42, respectively. When we place these values in Eqn. 12, the normalized grain size value is found to be -0.31. Then, the grain size value estimated by using Eqn. 13 was denormalized and 806.23 value was found. ANN model estimation values obtained from all input data and comparison with experimental results are given in Table 4.

Then, 5 more experiments were carried out and the accuracy of the ANN model created was tested. Process parameters used in the verification experiment, grain sizes and ANN model estimation results are given in Table 5.

It is seen that in Table 4, the mean absolute percent error (MAPE) values were compared with experimental results, min deviation was 0%, max deviation was 9.87%, and mean deviation was 1.04%. When the results of the verification experiment in Table 5 are examined, the minimum deviation between the experimental results and the estimation results was found to be 0% where the max deviation was 3.81% and the average deviation was 0.76%. Another result obtained in ANN studies is the sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis is a method used to evaluate the effects of independent variables on dependent variables.^{30,31,46,47} The sensitivity analysis results obtained in this study are given in Figure 9. According to these results, the effect of Ti addition level on the grain size was found as 40.5%, the effect of holding time on grain size was 36.6%, and the effect of casting temperature on grain size was 22.8%. Results indicated that the most effective parameter on the

Table 5. Experimental \	Validations and	Model	Results
-------------------------	-----------------	-------	---------

Sample num.	Casting temperature (°C)	Ti addition level (wt%)	Holding time (min)	Experimental grain size (μm)	Predicted grain size (μm)	MAPE (%)
26	680.00	0.05	15.00	1301.97	1301.975	0.0006
27	690.00	0.15	20.00	819.22	819.2617	0.0051
28	700.00	0.20	30.00	1159.85	1159.849	0.0001
29	710.00	0.20	25.00	802.90	772.3373	3.8065
30	720.00	0.10	60.00	1349.07	1349.074	0.0005
					Min Error	0.0001
					Max. Error	3.8065
					Mean Error	0.7626



Figure 9. Effect of process parameters on grain refining performance.



Figure 10. Comparison of experimental grain size with grain size estimated by ANN model.

grain size is the addition of Ti content, and the least effective parameter is the casting temperature. This situation is also compatible with the literature.

Besides, another parameter controlled in ANN studies is also regression curves. It is concluded that the relationship between output and inputs is significant as the value of R^2 calculated in the curve approaches 1 and that there is a random relationship when it approaches 0. As illustrated in Figure 10, the R^2 value obtained in this study was calculated as 0.992.

Conclusions

In this study, the effects of parameters such as casting temperature, grain refiner addition level and holding time on grain size and modeling with the ANN technique in AlSi10Mg aluminum alloys were investigated. The results obtained are as follows: The best results were obtained at 680 °C casting temperature, 0.25 wt % Ti addition, 25-min holding time. Furthermore, after 25 min, grain size increase was observed. This is due to the sedimentation of TiB₂ particles in the liquid over time, called the fading effect.

Experimental parameters used in grain refining give linear results in some instances, but in some cases seem to be meaningless. This case reveals that the grain refining process is a dynamic process that is dependent on multiple parameters that can vary at the same time.

As a result of the sensitivity analysis, it was determined that the amount of grain refiner is the most effective parameter in grain refining processes. However, it was determined that the parameter that has the least effect on grain refining is the casting temperature.

As a result of ANN, an average difference of 0.99% was found between experimental measurements and model estimation. The R^2 value was calculated as 99.2%. This rate is quite good. This shows that the ANN approach can be used effectively in solving complex problems in which the effect of multiple parameters on the result will be examined. Both the amount of grain refiner, addition temperature and holding time are critical for foundry operations. At this point, the use of ANN in today's competitive industry is undoubtedly the fact that it will save time and economy for the enterprise.

REFERENCES

- Y.H. Zhang, C.Y. Ye, Y.P. Shen, W. Chang, D.H. StJohn, G. Wang, Q.J. Zhai, Grain refinement of hypoeutectic Al–7wt.%Si alloy induced by an Al–V–B master alloy. J. Alloys Compd. (2020). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.152022
- G.K. Sigworth, The modification of Al–Si casting alloys: important practical and theoretical aspects. Int. J. Met. 2, 41 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf03355442
- Y. Birol, Effect of silicon content in grain refining hypoeutectic Al–Si foundry alloys with boron and titanium additions. Mater. Sci. Technol. 28, 385–389 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1179/1743284711Y. 0000000049
- M. Riestra, E. Ghassemali, T. Bogdanoff, S. Seifeddine, Interactive effects of grain refinement, eutectic modification and solidification rate on tensile properties of Al–10Si alloy. Mater. Sci. Eng. A. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.07.074
- 5. N. Hari Babu, Engineering the heterogeneous nuclei in Al–Si alloys for solidification control. Appl. Mater.

Today **5**, 255–259 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apmt.2016.11.001

- A.P. Boeira, I.L. Ferreira, A. Garcia, Modeling of macrosegregation and microporosity formation during transient directional solidification of aluminum alloys. Mater. Sci. Eng. A 435–436, 150–157 (2006). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2006.06.003
- S. Farahany, A. Ourdjini, M.H. Idris, S.G. Shabestari, Computer-aided cooling curve thermal analysis of near eutectic Al–Si–Cu–Fe alloy. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 114, 705–717 (2013)
- R. Kayikci, M. Colak, S. Sirin, E. Kocaman, N. Akar, Determination of the critical fraction of solid during the solidification of a PM-cast aluminium alloy. Mater. Tehnol. 49, 797–800 (2015). https://doi.org/10.17222/ mit.2014.266
- G.K. Sigworth, T.A. Kuhn, Grain refinement of aluminum casting alloys. Int. J. Met. 1, 31–40 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03355416
- L. Bolzoni, N. Hari Babu, Towards industrial Al–Nb– B master alloys for grain refining Al–Si alloys.
 J. Mater. Res. Technol. (2019). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jmrt.2019.09.031
- M. Uludağ, R. Çetin, D. Dispinar, M. Tiryakioğlu, The effects of degassing, grain refinement & Sr-addition on melt quality-hot tear sensitivity relationships in cast A380 aluminum alloy. Eng. Fail. Anal. (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2018.03.025
- Ö. Kesen, A. Filiz, S. Temel, Ö. Gürsoy, E. Erzi, D. Dispinar, Relation Between Microstructure and Tensile Properties of V and B Added Al-7Si Alloy, in: Miner. Met. Mater. Ser. (2019), pp. 311–320. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-06034-3 30
- Ö. Gürsoy, E. Erzi, D. Dispinar, Ti Grain Refinement Myth and Cleanliness of A356 Melt, in: Miner. Met. Mater. Ser. (2019), pp. 125–130. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-030-06034-3_12
- Ö. Gürsoy, E. Erzi, Ç. Yüksel, D. Dispinar, Effect of duration on Ti grain refinement of A356 and melt quality, Shape Cast. 6th Int. Symp. (2016), pp. 203–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48166-1
- G.K. Sigworth, Fundamentals of solidification in aluminum castings. Int. J. Met. 8, 7–20 (2014)
- G. Sigworth, Understanding quality in aluminum castings. Int. J. Met. 5, 7–22 (2011). https://doi.org/10. 1007/BF03355504
- Y. Birol, Grain refining aluminium foundry alloys with commercial Al–B master alloys. Mater. Sci. Technol. 30, 277–282 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1179/ 1743284713Y.0000000350
- X. Wang, Q. Han, Grain refinement mechanism of aluminum by Al–Ti–B master alloys, in *Light Metals*, ed. by E. Williams (Springer, Cham, 2016), pp. 189–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48251-4_32

- D. Dispinar, A. Nordmark, J. Voje, L. Arnberg, Influence of hydrogen content and bifilm index on feeding behaviour of Al–7Si, in: *Shape Casting. 3rd International Symposium* (2009)
- P. Li, S. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Liu, Grain refinement of A356 alloy by Al–Ti–B–C master alloy and its effect on mechanical properties. Mater. Des. 47, 522–528 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.12.033
- M. Nowak, L. Bolzoni, N.H. Babu, Grain refinement of Al–Si alloys by Nb–B inoculation. Part I : Concept development and effect on binary alloys. Mater. Des. 66, 366–375 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes. 2014.08.066
- M.A. Easton, M. Qian, A. Prasad, D.H. StJohn, Recent advances in grain refinement of light metals and alloys. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2015.10.001
- M.F. Ibrahim, M.H. Abdelaziz, A.M. Samuel, H.W. Doty, F.H. Samuel, Effect of rare earth metals on the mechanical properties and fractography of Al–Si-Based alloys. Int. J. Met. 14, 108–124 (2020). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40962-019-00336-x
- D. Yao, F. Qiu, Q. Jiang, Y. Li, L. Arnberg, Effect of lanthanum on grain refinement of casting aluminumcopper alloy. Int. J. Met. 5 (2013)
- M.G. Mahmoud, E.M. Elgallad, M.F. Ibrahim, F.H. Samuel, Effect of rare earth metals on porosity formation in A356 alloy. Int. J. Met. 12, 251–265 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40962-017-0156-5
- Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. Qin, X.R. Zhou, G.E. Thompson, T. Pennycook, T. Hashimoto, Grain refining mechanism in the Al/Al–Ti–B system. Acta Mater. 84, 292–304 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. actamat.2014.10.055
- Q. Wang, Y.X. Li, X.C. Li, Grain refinement of Al– 7Si alloys and the efficiency assessment by recognition of cooling curves. Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys. Metall. Mater. Sci. 34, 1175–1182 (2003). https://doi. org/10.1007/s11661-003-0137-6
- A. Samuel, S. Salem, H. Doty, S. Valtierra, F. Samuel, Effect of melt temperature on the effectiveness of the grain refining in Al–Si castings. Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2018, 1–11 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/ 7626219
- R. Zhang, J. Li, Q. Li, Y. Qi, Z. Zeng, Y. Qiu, X. Chen, S.K. Kairy, S. Thomas, N. Birbilis, Analysing the degree of sensitisation in 5xxx series aluminium alloys using artificial neural networks: a tool for alloy design. Corros. Sci. **150**, 268–278 (2019). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.corsci.2019.02.003
- H. Liao, B. Zhao, X. Suo, Q. Wang, Prediction models for macro shrinkage of aluminum alloys based on machine learning algorithms. Mater. Today Commun. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2019. 100715
- 31. A.M. Hassan, A. Alrashdan, M.T. Hayajneh, A.T. Mayyas, Prediction of density, porosity and hardness

in aluminum–copper-based composite materials using artificial neural network. J. Mater. Process. Technol. **209**, 894–899 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmat protec.2008.02.066

- 32. G.C.M. Patel, R. Mathew, P. Krishna, M.B. Parappagoudar, Investigation of squeeze cast process parameters effects on secondary dendrite arm spacing using statistical regression and artificial neural network models. Procedia Technol. 14, 149–156 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2014.08.020
- M.A. Khasawneh, N.F. Al-jamal, Modeling resilient modulus of fine-grained materials using different statistical techniques. Transp. Geotech. 21, 100263 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2019.100263
- 34. C.M. Bishop, *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005)
- M. Dehnavi, H. Vafaeenezhad, M. Khakzadi, N. Nayebpashaee, A.R. Eivani, Modelling and prediction impression creep behaviour of Al–Cu cast alloy. Int. J. Cast Met. Res. 30, 70–80 (2017). https://doi.org/10. 1080/13640461.2016.1242191
- T. Varol, S. Ozsahin, Artificial neural network analysis of the effect of matrix size and milling time on the properties of flake Al–Cu–Mg alloy particles synthesized by ball milling. Part. Sci. Technol. 37, 381–390 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1080/02726351.2017. 1381658
- S.A. Razavi, F. Ashrafizadeh, S. Fooladi, Prediction of age hardening parameters for 17-4PH stainless steel by artificial neural network and genetic algorithm. Mater. Sci. Eng. A 675, 147–152 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.msea.2016.08.049
- T. Wang, Z. Chen, H. Fu, J. Xu, Y. Fu, T. Li, Grain refining potency of Al–B master alloy on pure aluminum. Scr. Mater. 64, 1121–1124 (2011). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2011.03.001
- B. Hu, H. Li, Grain refinement of DIN226S alloy at lower titanium and boron addition levels. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 74, 56–60 (1998). https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0924-0136(97)00249-5

- T. Wang, H. Fu, Z. Chen, J. Xu, J. Zhu, F. Cao, T. Li, A novel fading-resistant Al–3Ti–3B grain refiner for Al-Si alloys. J. Alloys Compd. 511, 45–49 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.09.009
- N. Akar, Z. Tanyel, K. Kocatepe, R. Kayikci, Investigation of the effect of holding time and melt stirring on the grain refinement of an A206 alloy. Mater. Technol. 50, 433–437 (2016). https://doi.org/ 10.17222/mit.2014.302
- A.M. Samuel, F.H. Samuel, H.W. Doty, S. Valtierra, A metallographic study of grain refining of srmodified 356 alloy. Int. J. Met. 11, 305–320 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40962-016-0075-x
- Y. Li, B. Hu, B. Liu, A. Nie, Q. Gu, J. Wang, Q. Li, Insight into Si poisoning on grain refinement of Al–Si/ Al–5Ti–B system. Acta Mater. 187, 51–65 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2020.01.039
- S.A. Kori, B.S. Murty, M. Chakraborty, Influence of silicon and magnesium on grain refinement in aluminium alloys. Mater. Sci. Technol. 15, 986–992 (1999)
- Y. Birol, Effect of solute Si and Cu on grain size of aluminium alloys. Int. J. Cast Met. Res. 26, 22–27 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1179/1743133612Y. 0000000023
- 46. N.S. Reddy, A.K. Prasada Rao, J. Krishnaiah, M. Chakraborty, B.S. Murty, Design of an ideal grainrefiner alloy for Al-7Si alloy using artificial neural networks. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 22, 696–699 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-012-0334-9
- I. Ghosh, S.K. Das, N. Chakraborty, An artificial neural network model to characterize porosity defects during solidification of A356 aluminum alloy. Neural Comput. Appl. 25, 653–662 (2014). https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00521-013-1532-6

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.