



Remark on “When are all the zeros of a polynomial real and distinct?”

K. Castillo¹

Accepted: 5 September 2022 / Published online: 15 September 2022
© Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo 2022

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to point out that Chamberland’s theorem is implicitly contained in the elementary lore of the theory of orthogonal polynomials.

Keywords Geronimus–Wendroff’s theorem · Polynomials · Real zeros

Mathematics Subject Classification 30C15

In [1], Chamberland proved the following theorem:

Let P be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ with real coefficients. Then the zeros of P are real and distinct if and only if

$$\left((P^{(n-j-1)}(x))' \right)^2 - P^{(n-j-1)}(x)(P^{(n-j-1)}(x))'' > 0 \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

The purpose of this note is to point out that this result is implicitly contained in the elementary lore of the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the real line (OPRL).

(\Rightarrow) By Geronimus–Wendroff’s theorem [2, Exercise 5.5, p. 30],¹ there are probability measures on \mathbb{R} , μ_j , with finite moments so that $P_{j+1} = P^{(n-j-1)}$ and $P_j = P'_{j+1}$ are among the OPRL for μ_j . Since P_{j+1} and P_j have leading coefficients of the same sign, then (see [2, (4.13), p. 24])

¹ Geronimus–Wendroff’s theorem is just a footnote in [J. Geronimus, On the trigonometric problem, Ann. of Math. 47 (1946) 742–761] whose proof is immediate from Favard’s theorem.

Communicated by José Alberto Cuminato.

✉ K. Castillo
kenier@mat.uc.pt

¹ Department of Mathematics, CMUC, University of Coimbra, 3001-501 Coimbra, Portugal

$$P'_{j+1}(x)P_j(x) - P'_j(x)P_{j+1}(x) > 0 \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

(\Leftarrow) Set $P_j = P^{(n-j)}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume P monic. Suppose that the zeros of P_j are real and distinct. From (2) we see that P_{j+1} has at least one zero between two zeros of P_j , and so P_{j+1} has at least $j-1$ real and distinct zeros. Suppose that the other two zeros of P_{j+1} are not real, and therefore they appear as a complex conjugate pair. Let a be the largest zero of P_j . Clearly, $P_{j+1}(x) > 0$ for all $x > a$. However, by (2), we have $P_{j+1}(a) < 0$, which leads to a contradiction. From this we conclude that if P_j has real and distinct zeros, then the same holds for P_{j+1} . Since $P_1 = P^{(n-1)}$ has a single real zero, we infer successively that $P_2, \dots, P_n = P$ have real and distinct zeros.

We emphasize for the reader's convenience that any polynomial with real and distinct zeros is an element of a sequence of OPRL, and so any sentence starting with "*the zeros of a polynomial are real and distinct if and only if*" is virtually talking about an element of a certain sequence of OPRL.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra-UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/ MCTES.

References

1. Chamberland, M.: When are all the zeros of a polynomial real and distinct? *Am. Math. Mon.* **127**, 449–451 (2020)
2. Chihara, T.S.: *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, New York (1978)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.