REVIEW

Understanding the genetics of *Cercospora* **leaf spot (CLS) resistance in mung bean (***Vigna radiata* **L. Wilczek)**

Jyoti Prakash Sahoo[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5009-491X) · Kailash Chandra Samal¹ · Swapan Kumar Tripathy1 · Devraj Lenka2 · Pratikshya Mishra2 · Laxmipreeya Behera1 · Licon Kumar Acharya³ · Sunil Kumar Sunani4 · Biswaranjan Behera⁵

Received: 19 May 2022 / Accepted: 15 July 2022 / Published online: 8 August 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive license to Sociedade Brasileira de Fitopatologia 2022

Abstract

Cercospora leaf spot (CLS) is a deadly biotic stress caused by the fungus *Cercospora canescens*, making mung bean cultivation difcult worldwide. The progress made in creating CLS-resistant mung bean varieties is encouraging. However, as the pathogen rapidly evolves, it is critical to understand the pathogen dynamics and develop efective screening procedures. Plant breeders need to know about the genetic diversity of mung beans and their wild relatives, gene function and accessible molecular markers. Molecular mapping of pathogen resistance genes in mung bean varieties is now possible due to advancements in next-generation sequencing methods and the availability of genetic sources. Breeding programmes will be accelerated by developing the potential markers linked with resistance to the fungal pathogen. This method saves time by preventing time-consuming breeding to change genetic backgrounds. This review focuses on the current status of knowledge on the disease, genetic resources available for this and advances made in traditional and marker-assisted breeding for CLS resistance in mung bean worldwide.

Keywords Genetics · *Cercospora* leaf spot · Mung bean · Molecular breeding

Introduction

Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is a popular commercial species of the genus *Vigna*, and its production is steadily increasing in South and Southeast Asia. It is a popular legume because of its short-lived life cycle and fastgrowing activity during cultivation (Chen et al. [2021\)](#page-10-0). In addition, fxing atmospheric nitrogen through a symbiotic

 \boxtimes Jyoti Prakash Sahoo jyotiprakashsahoo2010@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, College of Agriculture, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar 751003, India
- ² Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, College of Agriculture, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar 751003, India
- ³ ICAR National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi 110012, India
- ⁴ ICAR Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208024, India
- ⁵ ICAR Indian Institute of Water Management, Bhubaneswar 751023, India

relationship with *Rhizobium* can supplement its nitrogen demand. This improves soil health and increases crop yield (Jat et al. [2012](#page-10-1)). Mung bean has valuable nutritional and health benefts due to its high digestibility, vitamin B and protein content with no health risks compared to other cereals and other legumes. Mung bean has a small genome size (494–579 mb) (Wang et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Chen et al. [2015](#page-10-2); Chand et al. [2015](#page-9-0); Srivastava et al. [2018](#page-13-1)). It is a self-pollinated crop with genomic homology with other important legume crops. However, mung bean productivity is sensitive to biotic stresses like CLS and powdery mildew. Mung bean often succumbs to *Cercospora canescens* or *Cercospora cruenta*, the causal organism of CLS disease, but requires special attention as it can affect plant growth and reduce seed yield (Mew [1975\)](#page-11-0). Fresenius frst described *Cercospora canescens* in 1863 (Fuckel [1863](#page-10-3); Crous [2003](#page-10-4); Crous et al. [2011\)](#page-10-5). An overview of *Cercospora canescens* is indexed in Table [1](#page-1-0) (PaDIL Database [2022\)](#page-12-0).

The incidence of mung bean CLS is widespread in India during the *kharif* season in warm and humid climatic conditions, causing considerable losses to mung bean growers (Agarwal et al. [2006](#page-9-1), [2007](#page-9-2); Chand et al. [2013\)](#page-9-3). In the warm and wet seasons, yield losses of up to 47% under the northeast

Particulars	Description	
Disease	Leaf spots are circular to angular, 1 cm in diameter and often pale brown or grey with a reddish-brown margin	
Morphology	Pale brown conidiophores in fascicles, mainly on the lower leaf surface	
Synonymy	Cercospora apii sensu lato	
Commodity type	Pigeon pea, hyacinth bean, lime bean, butter bean, kidney bean, mung bean, yard long bean, cowpea and other Fabaceous produce	
Distribution	In the tropics and subtropics	
Host family	Cajanus cajan (L.) Huth. Calopogonium mucunoides Desv, Crotalaria juncea L., Desmodium tortuosum (Sw.) DC, Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet, Macroptilium atropurpureum (DC.) Urb., Phaseolus lunatus L., Phaseolus vulgaris L., Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (L.) DC., Vigna marina (Burm. f.) Merrill, Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek, Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdi, Vigna unguiculata ssp. unguiculata $(L.)$ Walp	

Table 1 Overview of *Cercospora canescens*

plain zone in India (Singh [1981;](#page-13-2) Kumari et al. [2018\)](#page-11-1), 61% in Australia and Okara, Pakistan (Sompong et al. [2012](#page-13-3); Rasool et al. [2021](#page-12-1)), 23–75% in Thailand (Somta et al. [2015\)](#page-13-4) and 37.4% in South Korea (Pan and Zhao [1994;](#page-12-2) Bushra et al. [2013\)](#page-9-4) have been documented across the world. The disease arises between early blooming (30–40 days) and pod maturity, and the selected cultivar including the surrounding environment determine its severity (Bushra et al. [2013\)](#page-9-4). Under favourable temperature (25–30 °C) (Rossi and Battilani [1991;](#page-12-3) Windels et al. [1998](#page-14-0); Vereijssen et al. [2007;](#page-13-5) Skaracis et al. [2010\)](#page-13-6) and humidity (90–100%) (Weiland and Koch [2004](#page-13-7); Chen et al. [2007\)](#page-10-6), it spreads rapidly in susceptible varieties causing premature defoliation and reduced size of pods and seeds.

In this aspect, *Cercospora canescens* genomic research provides insights into the pathogenic process and a better understanding of its virulence inside the mung bean host (Dahiya et al. [2013,](#page-10-7) [2015](#page-10-8); Abbas et al. [2020](#page-9-5)). However, recent advances in genetic transformation and markerassisted selection have been widely helpful and accepted as potentially valuable tools for improving legume yields to achieve biotic stress tolerance like CLS in mung bean (Singh et al. [2014a,](#page-13-8) [2014b\)](#page-13-9). Molecular markers, primarily DNA-based markers, are widely used for gene mapping and tagging. This strategy has dramatically helped the accurate selection of elite varieties for accelerated breeding programmes to improve disease resistance in plants. Therefore, understanding the genetics of CLS resistance is essential for exploring molecular markers closely related to the candidate genes or quantitative trait loci (QTLs) that underlie the traits for use in CLS resistance breeding.

Genome organization and life cycle of *Cercospora canescens*

Cercospora canescens is a hemibiotrophic pathogen that causes mung bean leaf spot disease. Its whole genome (approx. 33.97 Mb) (Chand et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0) is put together from 8239 contigs that cover 10,627 protein-coding genes (Table [2](#page-1-1)). Most of these proteins are involved in the infection mechanism of compromising nutrition or damaging host tissues; signal transmission; wall disintegration; transporters; host stomata sensing; adhesion; polyketide synthase; and coding for cercosporin, glycosidases, transposases and cytochrome P450 genes (Chand et al. [2015](#page-9-0)). In addition, a well-known 528 simple sequence repeat markers were discovered from the genome collection assembly, which aids in identifying similarities and variations in parts of the varied genomes of *Cercospora* sp., which provides insights into the pathogenic mechanism and a better understanding of its virulence diferentiation (Chand et al. [2015](#page-9-0); Das et al. [2020](#page-10-9); Wilken et al. [2020](#page-13-10); Liu et al. [2021](#page-11-2)).

It will also help to identify similarities and diferences in regions among the genomes of diferent species of *Cercospora*, which will eventually explain the gene regulation and control of gene expression for CLS disease in mung bean and other crop species. One of the most effective strategies for genetically apprehending *Cercospora* species is phylogenetic analysis based on partial sequences from the intervening 5.8S rRNA, actin, calmodulin and histone H_3 genes. However, without extensive pathogenicity studies, this technique is inefective in determining the host type of

BN50, N50 bases; *PCD genes*, protein-coding genes; *bp*, base pairs

Cercospora sp., even if it offers crucial information on a specifc strain's identity (Gomes et al. [2013;](#page-10-10) Bakhshi and Zare [2020](#page-9-6)). Genetic variation exists among the isolates, although there is no known sexual state. The fungus *C. canescens* is reproduced asexually by exogenic needle-shaped spores or conidia, and borne externally on fungal hypha or conidiophores (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The conidia are the infectious agents for CLS in mung bean. In a CLS-infected plant, leaf spots (2–4 mm and maximum 10 mm in diameter) appear with reddish-brown edges and yellow halos (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-1) (Bhat et al. [2014](#page-9-7)). This typical disease symptom starts on leaves within 30 to 40 days after planting (Das et al. [2020](#page-10-9)). Besides these symptoms, spots also appear on pods, and the fungus spreads inside them, afecting seed development (Nair et al. [2019](#page-11-3)). At a later stage of infection, the leaf spots get dried, and the resulting infected plant debris is mixed with the soil, where the fungus thrives mainly as desiccation-resistant pseudostromata. These subsequently produce conidiophores and conidia, which follow either anemochory or ombrohydrochory dispersal (Chand et al. [2015](#page-9-0)) and infect healthy plants as primary inoculum (Chand et al. [2012](#page-9-8); Laosatit et al. [2018\)](#page-11-4). Besides, the fungal spores also spread directly from the infected plant to other healthy plants as secondary inoculum, causing a drastic effect on growth and productivity in mung bean.

Cercospora **isolation, culture and preparation of inoculum**

Cercospora canescens is isolated from mung bean leaves with characteristic CLS symptoms (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-1). The spores of the pathogen are collected at the tip of the inoculation needle from the ash grey centre of the lesion(s) and dispersed over

Fig. 2 CLS disease symptoms in mung bean

a 2% water-agar plate, and then incubated at 25 °C. Germinated spores are indicated under the microscope $(100 \times)$ after 6 hour of incubation (Chupp [1954;](#page-10-11) Braun [1995;](#page-9-9) Goodwin et al. [2001;](#page-10-12) Bensch et al. [2012;](#page-9-10) Sautua [2021\)](#page-13-11). Individual germinated spores are extracted using a sterilized cork borer and put in culture tubes over potato dextrose agar medium. *C. canescens* is then recognized by its anticipated growth, cercosporin production (Kumar et al. [2021](#page-11-5)), development of conidia and conidiophores (El Housni et al. [2021](#page-10-13)). Multiple small, angular, necrotic water-soaked grey sporulating lesions with chlorotic margins occur when the normal *C. canescens* isolates are inoculated (Edet et al. [2021](#page-10-14); Prasad et al. [2021\)](#page-12-4) on potato dextrose agar medium.

Sorghum grain-based inoculums are created for inoculum multiplication. Sorghum grains are cooked until soft without shattering the seed coat and then distributed in the shade to eliminate excess moisture. Sorghum grains (200 g) are placed in a polypropylene bag $(30 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2)$ and sterilized

Stroma surviving on infected plant Stroma producing conidiophores debris in soil Infected plant debris in soil Secondary inoculum Conidia from conidiophore Leaf from an infected plant **Primary inoculum Healthy plant**

Fig. 1 Life cycle of *Cercospora canescens*

twice in an autoclave at 121.6 °C for 45 min at 15 p.s.i. pressure. These bags are injected with ten pieces of fungal mycelia with a diameter of 7 mm, collected from a 15-dayold culture. These bags are incubated for 20 days at 25 °C for *Cercospora* mycelia colonization. Following complete colonization, the bags are aggressively shaken to disrupt the mycelia network and incubated for additional 5 days at 25 °C. Spore production is detected after 25 days of incubation at 25 °C (Bublitz et al. [2021](#page-9-11); Sucianto and Abbas [2021](#page-13-12)).

This type of inoculum applies to the soil at planting time, but spraying inoculum containing spores onto leaf surface is usually done using conidia suspensions from colonies produced on agar media (Sucianto and Abbas [2021](#page-13-12)). However, scientists in some previous studies also described that sporulation in the culture is best on carrot leaf decoction agar and residual carrot leaves (Rathaiah and Pavgi [1971](#page-12-5)). In some previous studies, secondary conidiophores and conidia are also observed in the stale bread sporulating cultures (Rathaiah and Pavgi [1973;](#page-12-6) Maiti et al. [1985](#page-11-6); Dange and Pandey [1992](#page-10-15); Kolte [2018](#page-11-7)).

Screening and agro‑morphological assessment for CLS resistance

CLS is an important phyto-pathological problem of mung bean, which is a multiuse legume crop. Field screenings for resistant plants, although accurate and efective, demand signifcant time and a sizable workforce to accomplish. Also, weather conditions in the feld may not always be favourable for uniform disease spread, which eventually may lead to failure of the overall experiment. Therefore, it is essential to know the symptoms of the CLS disease in mung bean and to identify a suitable screening method to distinguish the CLS resistance cultivars from the susceptible cultivars. After multiplication and spraying of *Cercospora* culture to mung bean germplasms, common symptoms of CLS are observed (Fig. [2](#page-2-1)), which is screened by using a suitable rating scale. CLS disease screening procedures are generally based on any rating scale from 0 to 5 (Andrade et al. [2021](#page-9-12); Singh et al. [2021](#page-13-13)), 1 to 9 (Mishra et al. [2021;](#page-11-8) Kashiwa et al. [2021\)](#page-11-9) and 1 to 5 (Sahoo et al. [2022a\)](#page-12-7). Numerous lines or genotypes were somewhat resistant, tolerant or vulnerable after being screened by several workers (Table [3\)](#page-4-0). In Eastern India, twothird of the area under mung bean is cultivated using local cultivars during the winter season, mainly in rice fallow under the rainfed situation in less fertile marginal and submarginal lands. In such continuous minimal cultivation, the local landraces sustain low productivity, and the plant types have adapted to survive following natural selection against biotic and abiotic stresses. This results in many landraces to get adapted to a wide range of agro-climatic conditions.

Genetic variability in the available germplasm of mung bean is limited. Besides, repeated use of selected genotypes in various breeding programmes has resulted in genetic erosion in the gene pool. However, to develop truly revolutionary new cultivars for tomorrow, plant breeders will need access to the wealth of genes that exist only in exotic and/ or local gene pool, including related wild species (Tripathy et al. [2016\)](#page-13-14). In this context, morphological characterization of genetic resources is vital in generating new desirable plant types that help in increasing crop production and producing quality. Therefore, scientists have explored local landraces, wild varieties and improved mung bean varieties for grouping them into diferent genetic clusters (Tripathy et al. [2016](#page-13-14)). The above genotypes were found morphologically unique, had desirable agro-economic traits, formed small divergent clusters, and may serve as a valuable material for genetic improvement in mung bean through hybridization for different biotic and abiotic stress tolerances (Tripathy et al. [2016](#page-13-14)). Morphological features include both quantitative and qualitative features based on plant morphology (Pratap et al. [2021](#page-12-8)). Scientists have highlighted morphological characteristics to assess variability (Sabatina et al. [2021\)](#page-12-9).

However, some researchers also reported that anthocyanin pigmentation in hypocotyl is essential in mating-based breeding programmes to recognize pure mating (Sabatina et al. [2021](#page-12-9)) during CLS infection as hypocotyl pigmentation could be used as a critical morphological character for ascertaining varietal distinctiveness among genotypes (Dhaliwal et al. [2020](#page-10-16)). Subsequently, scientists also highlighted genotypes with green or purple hypocotyls for sprout production during CLS infection (Soehendi et al. [2021](#page-13-15); Mwangi et al. [2021\)](#page-11-10), and reported that yellow seeded mung bean genotypes are low in phytic acid and can be used as donors to improve mung bean seed quality and for biotic stress resistance as genotypes with low phytic acid in the seed may show increased assimilation of nutrients (Dhole and Reddy [2015](#page-10-17)).

Besides this, a positive relationship also exists between seed index and seed yield per plant (Sineka et al. [2021](#page-13-16)), as some previous reports indicated that high yielding mung bean varieties should possess larger leaf area, higher total dry mass production ability, superior crop growth rate at all growth stages and high relative growth rate and net assimilation rate at the vegetative stage which would result in superior yield components (Mondal et al. [2012](#page-11-11)). Scientists found that the juvenile phase, i.e. a period of vegetative growth after emergence, during which the plant is unresponsive to photoperiod, is some excellent criteria for developing disease resistance mung bean plants (Basandrai et al. [2021](#page-9-13)). Some scientists also considered morphological characterization is an essential step in describing and classifying plant germplasm (Tantasawat et al. [2021\)](#page-13-17). However, some scientists also suggested that selecting suitable parents play a vital role in successful plant breeding programme (Agbeleye et al.

HR, highly resistance; *MR*, moderately resistance

[2021](#page-9-14); Jain and Sharma [2021\)](#page-10-18) for biotic and abiotic (Sahoo et al. [2020b](#page-12-10)) stress resistance.

Morphological characterization of mung bean germplasm helps to build groups with specifed features and attributes to distinguish genotypes. Some morphological study fndings are also published, such as for assessing viability (Sabatina et al. [2021](#page-12-9)), hypocotyl anthocyanin pigmentation (Win et al. [2021](#page-13-18)), green hypocotyls (Yimram et al. [2009\)](#page-14-1), seed index and seed yield per plants (Tantasawat et al. [2021\)](#page-13-17), pubescence (Pratap et al. [2021\)](#page-12-8) and number of pods per plant (Patil et al. [2021\)](#page-12-11), for mung bean development against various biotic stresses such as CLS and powdery mildew disease. Some studies have also observed a positive correlation between disease resistance and epidermal layer thickness in diferent pathogen-host systems (Rao and Panwar [2000](#page-12-12); Samal et al. [2019\)](#page-12-13). However, some scientists found that leaf thickness and the thickness of the epidermal layer with cuticles are not signifcantly afecting the severity of mung bean disease (Ahemad and Khan [2011](#page-9-15)).

The number of pods per plant is the essential trait for yield and yield attributing characters (Tripathy et al. [2013\)](#page-13-19) and can be improved through breeding (Kumar et al. [2020](#page-11-12)). To date, few mung bean varieties are resistant or moderately resistant to *C. canescens*, but the selection, mating, mutation

and advanced breeding methods have developed several improved varieties (Vrabl et al. [2022](#page-13-20)) mapped with major resistant genes (R genes) and quantitative trait loci (QTL) for powdery mildew, and CLS, which may be potentially used in marker-assisted selection (MAS) (Pandey et al. [2018](#page-12-14)) to develop resistance varieties.

Host plant resistance mechanism against CLS

Mung bean resistance to *C. canescens* is a single-gene dominant trait (Chauhan and Gupta [2004;](#page-9-16) Pratap et al. [2020](#page-12-15)). The pre-infection morphological defence structure limits the intensity of the initial attack of the pathogen, slows the progress of the infection and allows the host plant to develop a more efficient dynamic defence mechanism (Singh) and Sharma [1981;](#page-13-21) Mittal [1991](#page-11-13)). The level of protection of mung bean may be due to the height of the trichomes and the infrequent frequency of stomata (Dutta et al. [2008](#page-10-19)). A positive correlation has also been reported between the stomatal frequency of mung bean and the susceptibility of CLS (Pramanick et al. [2013\)](#page-12-16). In another study, 260 mung bean genotypes were screened for *C. canescens*, which revealed higher total phenol and ortho-dihydroxy phenol but low carbohydrate content in the resistant genotypes (Abd El-Fatah et al. [2020](#page-9-20)). However, previously, it was found that the leaf spots are inversely proportional to the host plant's phenolic substances and soluble protein content (Dordas [2008\)](#page-10-25), and the increased leaf phenolic levels are also reported to confer CLS resistance (Ishihara et al. [2021](#page-10-26); Yaldiz and Camlica [2021](#page-14-2); Bhat et al. [2022](#page-9-21)) in mung bean.

Resistance genetic sources against CLS

Several researchers have studied mung bean genotypes for foliar diseases such as CLS in diferent countries under controlled feld conditions to identify the cause of resistance (Marappa [2008;](#page-11-17) Akhtar et al. [2014](#page-9-22); Bhaskar [2020](#page-9-23)). However, only two cultivars resistant to CLS (ML131 and OUM115) have been reported in India (Singh and Ahlawat [2005;](#page-13-27) Shukla et al. [2022\)](#page-13-28). It has shown an urgent need to identify mung bean lines to CLS disease (Kaur et al. [2011](#page-11-18); Parihar et al. [2017\)](#page-12-20) in India. However, while screening 20 mung bean genotypes against *C. canescens*, only 10 genotypes were resistant under feld conditions (Hartman et al. [1993](#page-10-27)) in India. Another study indicates variable response of 58 mung bean genotypes to *C. canescens*, with 27 genotypes showing resistance and 17 others showing tolerant reaction (Iqbal et al. [2004\)](#page-10-24) in Pakistan. Besides this, in another study, fve elite mung bean genotypes were shown to have CLS resistance in 116 germplasm stocks (Singh and Gurha [2007](#page-13-22)) in India. Subsequently, 12 mung bean genotypes were tested for CLS resistance in a similar study in India, in which only one (SKUM358) was resistant, and the others were sensitive or very sensitive (Bhat et al. [2014](#page-9-7)). Table [4](#page-5-0) comprehensively shows the resistant genotypes reported by several researchers for CLS fungal infections, which can be considered while designing the breeding programmes against CLS in mung bean.

The inheritance pattern of CLS in mung bean

It is essential to understand the inheritance pattern of CLS in mung bean for transferring resistance genes from donor to recipient parent through breeding programmes. However, genetic studies on the inheritance of CLS resistance using diferent sources of resistance have shown monogenic inheritance either by a single dominant gene (Leabwon and Oupadissakoon [1984](#page-11-19); Chauhan and Gupta [2004](#page-9-16); Kimber and Paull [2011;](#page-11-20) Singh et al.

Table 4 Resistant genotypes of mung bean against *Cercospora* leaf spot

Disease reaction	Genotypes	References
HR.	1224-52 and 12,404, LGG-460, KMP-13	Zhimo et al. (2013); Yadav et al. (2014) ; Bhaskar (2000)
\mathbb{R}	AC 5, AKM 9911, ATTIAMPALYAM, BL 849, CC 192, CO 4, CO 6, DHOLI, DM 2, DPI 701, G 122(D), GA 8810, GM 8413, KANGAYAM, KG 52, KKM 3, KLM 4, KM 1883, KM 2194, KU 44, LM 182, LM 1900, LM 2023, LM 565, LM 567, M 986, MAVT 807, MAVT 817, MAVT 849, MDU 2010, MDU 2196, MDU 2268, MDU 3156, MDU 3312, MDU 3385, MDU 3404, MDU3404/1, MGG 221, MGG 341, MGG 355, MH -1, MH 90-1, MIVT 843, MIVT 845, MIVT 847, MIVT 850, MIVT 852, MIVT 856, MIVT 862, MIVT 867, ML 173, ML 347, ML 520, ML 561	Kumar et al. (2020)
	V1471, V2757, V2773, V4718, V5036	Hartman et al. (1993)
	M5-22 and M5-25	Wongpiyasatid et al. (1991)
	NCM 255–2, NCM 257–6, ML-267, NCM 251–1, NCM 259–2, NCM 251–13, NCM 257–2, NM-92, NCM 251-12, VC-3960-A88 NCM 257-10, NCM 209, Mung-6 C1/94-4-19, VC 3960-A89 HR: BRM-188, NM-98, C2/94-4-42, 98-cmg-003, NM-2, NM-1, 98cmg-018, Basanti, CO-3, PDM-11, VC3960-88, BARIMung-2	Kumar et al. (2020)
	ML5, 443, 453, 515, 610, 611, 613, 682, 688, 713, 728, 735, 746, 759 and 769	Singh et al. (2021)
	90 genotypes including PANT M103, PANT M3, PUSA 105, ML 613, PANT M2, ML 173, ML 347, ML 561, PANT M4, PDM 11	Marappa (2008)
	GM-02-08, GM-02-13, GM-03-03	Yadav et al. (2014)
	AKM 9910, IPM 02-5, ML 1299 and SML 668	Akhtar et al. (2014)
MR	ADT1, AGASTHIALINGAPUR, AKM 880, BBS-1-1 CHINAMUNG, GANGA 5, GM 8426, HG 1 9A, HM 912, HUM 6, K 851, K PUDUR 1, KALIKALA, KAVILPATTI, LAM 2, LGG 410, LGG 461, LGG460, MDU 1948, MIVT 854, MIVT 863, MIVT 866, ML 1670, MS 9384, PS16, RMG 62, SOBOURCUTE, SM29, ONAMUNG, VBNGG2, VELLAMPATTI, VELLATIKULAM, VS 191, WBM 4-31-1-1	Ishihara et al. (2021)

HR, highly resistance; *R*, resistance; *MR*, moderately resistance

[2017\)](#page-13-29) or a single recessive gene although few studies also indicated polygenic nature of gene action (Chankaew et al. [2011](#page-9-24); Laosatit et al. [2020\)](#page-11-21). Inheritance of CLS resistance in mung bean was investigated in 20 lines, including resistance \times susceptibility, $resistance \times resistance$ and susceptibility \times susceptibility line matings. A 3:1 (resistant/susceptible ratio) was observed for 14 $F₂$ lines with resistant×susceptible parents (Yundaeng et al. [2021](#page-14-5)). Therefore, the inheritance of CLS resistance seems to be regulated by a single recessive gene (Papan et al. [2021a,](#page-12-21) [2021b\)](#page-12-22). Table [5](#page-6-0) displays the inheritance pattern for CLS resistance in mung bean based on the available literature. Few researchers also reported the role of modifying genes for CLS resistance in the mung bean (Nair et al. [2013](#page-11-22)). However, the success of breeding programmes mainly depends on the choice of superior parents for hybridization and clear-cut understanding of genetic system involved in the inheritance of the yield trait during CLS infection (Singh et al. [2017](#page-13-29)). Therefore, more studies need to be carried out to understand the inheritance pattern of CLS in mung bean in future.

Availability of molecular markers against CLS

The introduction of DNA-based markers has also opened up new possibilities and opportunities for biological science in the areas of evolutionary studies (Arcade et al. [2000;](#page-9-25) Reddy et al. [2002](#page-12-23); De la Rosa et al. [2003](#page-10-28); Doucleff et al. [2004;](#page-10-29) Lanteri et al.

[2006](#page-11-23); Labdelli et al. [2020](#page-11-24); Zargar et al. [2021](#page-14-6)), plant systematics (Michelmore et al. [1991;](#page-11-25) Sinha et al. [2021\)](#page-13-30) and more recently, the tagging of genes coding for agronomic traits and bioticabiotic stress-related traits (Reamon-Büttner et al. [1998](#page-12-24); Negi et al. [2000](#page-11-26)). DNA markers are the known sequences of short oligonucleotides that frequently refect sequence variations within a species and are passed down through the generations via mendelian inheritance (Witsarut [2019](#page-14-7); Reddy et al. [2020\)](#page-12-25). Owing to their high selection efficiency, molecular marker technology has considerably contributed to the speed breeding towards improving a number of agro-economic traits, including disease and insect resistance in mung bean.

In several crops, including cereal and legumes, molecular markers have been efectively generated using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers (Pandey et al. [2018;](#page-12-14) Arsakit [2019\)](#page-9-26). Various molecular markers which are linked to fungal disease resistance in mung bean (Lakhanpaul et al. [2000](#page-11-27)), black gram (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna [2004\)](#page-13-31) and cowpea (Gwag et al. [2006](#page-10-30); Dikshit et al. [2007](#page-10-31); Lavanya et al. [2008;](#page-11-28) Ghalmi et al. [2010](#page-10-32); Bangar et al. [2018](#page-9-27), [2021](#page-9-28)) were also identifed. In a previous study, Random amplifcation of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis confrmed that wild accession of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* var. Sublobata) is closer to mung bean local landraces, than urdbean, and the identifed genotypes could serve as valuable genetic materials in recombination breeding for CLS resistance (Tripathy et al. [2015](#page-13-32)).

Table 5 The inheritance pattern of *Cercospora* leaf spot

Population combinations Crop Population generation of population type Inheritance pattern (resistance to CLS) References Kopergaon×HUM12 and Kopergaon×ML1720 Mung bean ISC DEI Choudhary et al. ([2021\)](#page-10-33) All the crosses except HUM-1×Shekhar-2 Mung and urdbean F₂ SDG Singh et al. ([2017\)](#page-13-29) UAM09-1055–6×IT99K-573–1-1 Cowpea F_1 SDG Omoigui et al. [\(2019](#page-12-26)) UAM09-1055–6×IT99K-216–24 Cowpea F1 SDG Omoigui et al. [\(2019](#page-12-26)) $KPS1 \times V4718$ Mung bean F₂ SDG (segregation analysis) Chankaew et al. ([2011\)](#page-9-24) $KPS1 \times V4718$ Mung bean F_2 Major QTL (qCLS) (composite interval mapping) Chankaew et al. ([2011\)](#page-9-24) $KPS1 \times V4718$)× $KPS1$ Mung bean BC_1F_1 SDG (segregation analysis) Chankaew et al. ([2011\)](#page-9-24) $KPS1 \times V4718 \times KPS1$ Mung bean BC_1F_1 Major QTL (qCLS) (composite interval mapping) Chankaew et al. ([2011\)](#page-9-24) CN72 (susceptible)×V4718 (resistant) Mung bean $F_{2:9}$ and $F_{2:10}$ RIL QTL $qCLSC72V18-1$ between the marker VR393 and I16274 Tantasawat et al. ([2020\)](#page-13-33) CSR12906 (susceptible)×IT90K-59–120 (resistant) Cowpea $F_{3:4}$ population Major QTL qCLS9.1 Heng et al. [\(2020](#page-10-34)) populations developed from V4718″ $(resistant) \times KPS$ 1 (susceptible) Mung bean F_2 and BC_1F_1 Major QTL controlling CLS resistance (*qCLS*) Yundaeng et al. [\(2021](#page-14-5))

RIL, recombinant inbred line; *ISC*, inter-specifc crosses; *DEI*, duplicate epistatic interaction; *SDG*, single dominant gene; *QTL*, quantitative trait locus

Mung bean markers, including microsatellite markers, are also developed (Koche and Chaudhary [2019;](#page-11-29) Asghar et al. [2021\)](#page-9-29) for CLS disease resistance. Microsatellite markers are highly polymorphic and have the co-dominant inheritance. These markers can be employed to create genetic linkage maps to identify and map the resistance genes or QTLs for CLS resistance in mung bean (Yundaeng et al. [2021\)](#page-14-5). SSR loci are predicted to be one in every 67 kb in the plant genome (Cardle et al. [2000](#page-9-30)), demonstrating genomic regions for CLS resistance on the mung bean SSR markerbased linkage map. Chankaew et al. ([2011](#page-9-24)) also reported QTL mapping for CLS resistance in mung beans (Basamma [2011\)](#page-9-31) by using SSR markers to identify the marker-trait association with powdery mildew and CLS in the $F₂$ segregating population. Besides, Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) technology was also used to explore the genetics of CLS resistance in mung bean (Rosenzweig et al. [2015\)](#page-12-27). Some other markers are also employed in mung bean, such as sequence characterized amplifed regions (SCAR) (Nietsche et al. [2000](#page-11-30); Singh et al. [2014a,](#page-13-8) [2014b](#page-13-9); Bhat [2019](#page-9-32)), ISSR (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna [2004](#page-13-31)), amplifed fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Souframanien and Dhanasekar [2014](#page-13-34); Mehandi et al. [2019](#page-11-31); Sahoo et al. [2020a,](#page-12-28) [2020b;](#page-12-10) Mogali and Hegde [2020](#page-11-32)) and cleaved amplifed polymorphic sequences (CAPS) (Mehandi et al. [2019](#page-11-31)) against CLS and other biotic stress resistances.

Molecular mapping and QTL identifcation for CLS resistance

The advent of molecular marker technology has provided a means to help in screening resistant types efficiently, even in the absence of disease. The construction of a genetic linkage map uses commercially available diverse mung bean cultivars and wild mung bean accessions. It provides valuable information on essential traits such as seed quality and disease resistance (Lambrides and Imrie [2000](#page-11-33)). The World Vegetable Center, or AVRDC, claims to have created 1481 core collections and 296 mini-core collections (Schafeitner et al. [2015](#page-13-35)) of resistance sources of mung bean for molecular breeding purposes. Genetic resources are also explored for diversity and phylogeny analysis, high-density linkage mapping and association mapping to map the CLS resistance genes in the mung bean genome using molecular markers (Kole et al. [2015;](#page-11-34) Khamari et al. [2022](#page-11-35)). However, many genomic resources for mung bean are now accessible at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), including expressed sequence tag (EST) (Shanthala et al. [2020](#page-13-36)). Mung bean crop improvement programmes through marker-assisted selection and gene mapping requires molecular markers. In this regard, simple sequence repeats (SSR) have great utility, because of high polymorphism,

co-dominance in nature, random distribution and ample availability (Chavan and Gacche [2014](#page-9-33); Sahoo et al. [2019\)](#page-12-29) in the plant genome.

Moreover, EST-SSR markers are also useful for understanding population structure and evaluating genetic diversity, which is the requisite for utilizing available genetic resources in *Vigna* species (Chavan and Gacche [2014](#page-9-33)). However, some other investigations employed RFLP probes (Pandey et al. [2018](#page-12-14)), because these markers are co-dominant, and their application produces repeatable fndings. Some researchers has also identifed QTL regions (Chankaew et al. [2011\)](#page-9-24) and SSR markers linked to powdery mildew resistance and CLS resistance in mung bean genotypes (Kasettranan et al. [2010\)](#page-11-36) based on partial linkage maps with few linkage groups. In another study, it was also reported that CLS resistance in mung bean is controlled by QTL (*qCLS*) comprising a TATA-binding protein-related factor 5 (TAF5) at *LOC106765332*, which is a potential gene for resistance to CLS (Yundaeng et al. [2021\)](#page-14-5). Some identifed QTLs associated with CLS in mung beans are indexed in Table [5](#page-6-0).

Association mapping for CLS resistance can efectively identify the appropriate haplotype on a panel of matched elite breeding lines (Shanthala et al. [2020](#page-13-36); Sahoo et al. [2022c](#page-12-30)). As such, these haplotypes could have far-reaching breeding implications. Various approaches for breeding CLS disease resistance in mung bean are indexed in Fig. [3](#page-8-0). Recent advances in omics approaches unravelled the genetic basis of biotic stress resistance against bruchids, yellow mosaic virus, powdery mildew and CLS in mung bean and other pulses. These resources have great potential to accelerate gene discovery, mapping and molecular breeding in the crop (Kanavi et al. [2019\)](#page-10-35). The conventional breeding approach (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)) aims at transferring the gene for host resistance from a donor parent to the popular high yielding variety following backcross breeding. Some researchers have recently reported genomic resources and tools such as draft genome sequence, resequencing data, large-scale genome-wide markers, dense genetic maps, QTLs and diagnostic markers for further use in multiple genetic and breeding applications in mung bean (Omoigui et al. [2019\)](#page-12-26). But this has its limitations, e.g. non-availability of acute disease pressure, suitable threshold conditions for disease occurrence and suitable donors with an intense level of resistance which hinder the pace of progress for CLS resistance breeding.

But, once developed, the tightly linked DNA markers may be suitably used to make the selection process much easier and more reliable. Recently, marker-assisted selection (MAS) seems to be an innovative tool for CLS resistance in pulses (Pandey et al. [2018\)](#page-12-14). A genome-wide association study (GWAS) is useful for exploring marker-trait association for CLS resistance in mung bean (Breria et al. [2020\)](#page-9-34). Recently, the MAS-supported haplotype-based breeding approach seems reliable for increasing breeding efficiency (Pandey et al. [2018;](#page-12-14) Witsarut [2019;](#page-14-7) Breria et al. [2020\)](#page-9-34). In a recent

study, a CLS resistance gene and two powdery mildew resistance genes were pyramided from the donor parent D2 into a susceptible variety KING through marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC) and evaluated their agronomic traits and disease resistance under feld conditions (Papan et al. [2021a,](#page-12-21) [2021b](#page-12-22), [2022](#page-12-31)). These results could substantiate the usefulness of marker-assisted backcross breeding for transferring multiple resistance genes into an elite variety.

Furthermore, next-generation sequencing (NGS) can aid in detecting alleles and genotype–phenotype correlations (Shanthala et al. [2020](#page-13-36); Sahoo et al. [2020a](#page-12-28), [2020b\)](#page-12-10). However, the cutting edge breeding strategies supported by genome engineering (cisgenesis, transgenesis, nano-particlemediated gene delivery, genome editing, RNAi, micro-RNAs etc.), somatic hybridization (protoplast fusion), protoplast transfection, transcriptomic and epigenetic studies can lead to the transfer of target gene(s) in the recipient plants with a higher level of expression for CLS resistance (Groenewald et al. [2013;](#page-10-36) Shanthala et al. [2020;](#page-13-36) Sahoo et al. [2021](#page-12-32), [2022b\)](#page-12-33). Plant breeders can re-design their breeding strategies using these biotech tools to develop durable CLS resistance in mung bean.

Conclusion

CLS is one of the most serious mung bean diseases, resulting in a signifcant reduction in productivity. Because of the large strain variability of *Cercospora canescens*, strategic planning may be designed to explore long-term resistance sources across multiple *Cercospora* isolates for use in mung bean breeding. Although the mung bean is an important crop, so far, little information about its genetics and genomics is available in the public domain. The availability of its complete genome in the public domain and marker databases, including molecular markers for various stress resistance, would speed up the molecular knowledge of resistance and enable quick marker design for the application in future breeding programmes for CLS as well as other biotic and abiotic stress resistance. The publicly accessible markers may be confrmed and used in marker-assisted backcross breeding programmes to introduce CLS resistance genes or QTLs into various mung bean genetic backgrounds.

Author contribution Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, software, visualization, writing—original draft: Jyoti Prakash Sahoo; writing—review and editing: Pratikshya Mishra, Laxmipreeya Behera, Licon Kumar Acharya, Sunil Kumar Sunani and Biswaranjan Behera; project administration, supervision, validation: Kailash Chandra Samal, Swapan Kumar Tripathy, Devraj Lenka.

Data availability All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abbas H, Iqbal MA, Kamran M, Shahbaz MU, Kamber HU, Javed N, ul Haq ME (2020) Evaluation of advanced mung bean germplasm against Cercospora leaf spot and its in-vitro management by diferent fungicides. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research 33:872
- Abd El-Fatah BE, Hashem M, Abo-Elyousr KA, Bagy HMK, Alamri SA (2020) Genetic and biochemical variations among sugar beet cultivars resistant to Cercospora leaf spot. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 109:101455
- Agarwal PC, Dev U, Singh B, Indra R, Khetarpal RK (2006) Seedborne fungi detected in consignments of soybean seeds (*Glycine max*) imported into India. EPPO Bulletin 36:53–58
- Agarwal PC, Dev U, Singh B, Rani I, Dhand D, Khetarpal RK (2007) Seed-borne fungi identifed from exotic pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) germplasm samples introduced during 1976–2005. Noticiario de Recursos Fitogeneticos (IPGRI/FAO)
- Agbeleye OA, Akinyosoye ST, Adetumbi JA (2021) Correlation, path coefficient and principal component analysis of yield components in mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilcezk] accessions. Trop Agri 97:212–218
- Ahemad M, Khan MS (2011) Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain PS1 enhances growth parameters of greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] in insecticide-stressed soils. J Pest Sci 84:123–131
- Akhtar J, Lal HC, Kumar Y, Singh PK, Ghosh J, Khan Z, Gautam NK (2014) Multiple disease resistance in greengram and blackgram germplasm and management through chemicals under rain-fed conditions. Legume Research-an International Journal 37:101–109
- Andrade CC, de Resende MLV, Moreira SI, Mathioni SM, Botelho DM, Costa JR, Alves E (2021) Infection process and defense response of two distinct symptoms of Cercospora leaf spot in coffee leaves. Phytoparasitica 49:727-737
- Arcade A, Anselin F, Rampant PF, Lesage MC, Paques LE, Prat D (2000) Application of AFLP, RAPD and ISSR markers to genetic mapping of European and Japanese larch. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 100:299–307
- Arsakit K (2019). ISSR and SSR markers linked to powdery mildew and Cercospora leaf spot resistance in mung bean (Doctoral dissertation, School of Crop Production Technology Institute of Agricultural Technology Suranaree University of Technology). Available at: [http://sutir.sut.ac.](http://sutir.sut.ac.th:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/8382/2/Fulltext.pdf) [th:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/8382/2/Fulltext.pdf](http://sutir.sut.ac.th:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/8382/2/Fulltext.pdf). Accessed 30 July 2022
- Asghar MJ, Hameed A, Shahid M, Rizwan M, Azeem F (2021). Mung bean wild genetic resource: a potential source of genetic improvement for biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. In Wild Germplasm for Genetic Improvement in Crop Plants (pp. 299–319). Academic Press
- AVRDC (Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre), Report A (1987) Shanhua. Republic of China, Taiwan, pp 168–174
- Bakhshi M, Zare R (2020) Development of new primers based on gapdh gene for Cercospora species and new host and fungus records for Iran. Mycologia Iranica 7:63–82
- Bangar P, Chaudhury A, Umdale S, Kumari R, Tiwari B, Kumar S, Bhat KV (2018) Detection and characterization of polymorphic simple sequence repeats markers for the analysis of genetic diversity in Indian mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. Indian J Genet Pl Br 78:111–117
- Bangar P, Tyagi N, Tiwari B, Kumar S, Barman P, Kumari R, Chaudhury A (2021) Identifcation and characterization of SNPs in released, landrace and wild accessions of mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) using whole genome re-sequencing. J Crop Sci Biotechnol 24:153–165
- Basamma K (2011). Conventional and molecular approaches in breeding for high yield and disease resistance in urdbean (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) (Doctoral dissertation, UAS, Dharwad)
- Basandrai AK, Pandey AK, Somta P, Basandrai D (2021) Macrophomina phaseolina–host interface: Insights into an emerging dry root rot pathogen of mung bean and urdbean, and its mitigation strategies. Plant Pathol 70:1263–1275
- Bensch K, Braun U, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2012) The genus cladosporium. Studies in Mycology 72:1–401
- Bhaskar AV (2020) New sources of genotypes against leaf spot and powdery mildew diseases in Greengram. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 9:455–460
- Bhat FA (2019) Status and etiology of Cercospora leaf spot of greengram in Kashmir province of India. Journal of Food Legumes 32:36–41
- Bhat NA, Maheshwari SK, Ahmad S, Beig MA, Masoodi SD (2008) Field evaluation of mung bean accessions against Cercospora leaf spot. Annals of Biology 24:1–9
- Bhat FA, Mohiddin FA, Bhat HA (2014) Reaction of greengram (Vigna radiata) to Cercospora canescens (ell.) and mart. Indian J Agric Res 48:140–144
- Bhat RS, Jadhav MP, Patil PV, Shirasawa K (2022). Genomics-assisted breeding for resistance to leaf spots and rust diseases in peanut. In Accelerated Plant Breeding, Volume 4 (pp. 239–278). Springer, Cham
- Bisht IS, Bala S, Kavalker TG, Thomas TA (1991) Multiple resistance against and severity of major diseases of green gram in Maharashtra. Legume Research 14:189–190
- Braun U (1995). A monograph of Cercosporella, Ramularia, and allied genera (phytopathogenic hyphomycetes) (Vol. 1, p. 333). Eching: Ihw-Verlag
- Breria CM, Hsieh CH, Yen JY, Nair R, Lin CY, Huang SM, ... Schafleitner R (2020). Population structure of the world vegetable center mung bean mini core collection and genome-wide association mapping of loci associated with variation of seed coat luster. Tropical Plant Biology, 13, 1-12
- Bublitz DM, Hanson LE, McGrath JM (2021) Weather conditions conducive for the early-season production and dispersal of Cercospora beticola spores in the Great Lakes Region of North America. Plant Dis 105:3063–3071
- Bushra M, Yun XX, Pan SY, Hydamaka A, Feng WL (2013) Efect of oxidation and esterifcation on functional properties of mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) starch. Eur Food Res Technol 236:119–128
- Cardle L, Ramsay L, Milbourne D, Macaulay M, Marshall D, Waugh R (2000) Computational and experimental characterization of physically clustered simple sequence repeats in plants. Genetics 156:847–854
- Chand R, Singh V, Pal C, Kumar P, Kumar M (2012) First report of a new pathogenic variant of Cercospora canescens on mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) from India. New Dis Rep 26:2044–0588
- Chand R, Kumar P, Singh V, Pal C (2013) Technique for spore production in *Cercospora canescens*. Indian Phytopthol 66:159–163
- Chand R, Pal C, Singh V, Kumar M, Singh VK, Chowdappa P (2015). Draft genome sequence of Cercospora canescens: a leaf spot causing pathogen. Curr Sci 109:2103–2110
- Chankaew S, Somta P, Sorajjapinun W, Srinives P (2011) Quantitative trait loci mapping of Cercospora leaf spot resistance in mung bean, *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek. Molecular Breeding 28:255–264
- Chauhan MP, Gupta RP (2004) Genetics of Cercospora leaf spot disease resistance in mungbean {*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek}. Legume Research-An International Journal 27:155–156
- Chavan SP, Gacche R (2014) Identifcation and characterization of EST-SSRs in mung bean. Webmed Central Biotechnol 5:WMC004598
- Chen H, Lee MH, Daub ME, Chung KR (2007) Molecular analysis of the cercosporin biosynthetic gene cluster in Cercospora nicotianae. Mol Microbiol 64:755–770
- Chen H, Wang L, Wang S, Liu C, Blair MW, Cheng X (2015) Transcriptome sequencing of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.) genes and the identifcation of EST-SSR markers. PloS one 10:e0120273
- Chen Y, Hu W, Li P, Liu Y, Chen X, Xie H, Zhang Y (2021) Phytoremediation of hexavalent chromium by mung bean through bio-accumulation and bio-stabilization in a short duration. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 18:3023–3034
- Choudhary P, Chand R, Singh AK (2021) Genetics of Cercospora leaf spot resistance in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] through generation mean analysis. Legume Research-An International Journal 1:7
- Chupp, C. (1954). A monograph of the fungus genus Cercospoia. A monograph of the fungus genus Cercospoia (pp. 667) Ithaca, New York, published by the author. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19541102021) [cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19541102021.](https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19541102021) Accessed 30 July 2022
- Crous PW (2003) Mycosphaerella and its anamorphs: 1. Names published in Cercospora and Passalora. CBS Biodiversity Ser 1:1–571
- Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Groenewald M, Caldwell P, Braun U, Harrington TC (2011) Species of Cercospora associated with grey leaf spot of maize. Studies in Mycology 68:237–247
- Dahiya PK, Linnemann AR, Nout MJR, Van Boekel MAJS, Grewal RB (2013) Nutrient composition of selected newly bred and established mung bean varieties. LWT-Food Science and Technology 54:249–256
- Dahiya PK, Linnemann AR, Van Boekel MAJS, Khetarpaul N, Grewal RB, Nout MJR (2015) Mung bean: technological and nutritional potential. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 55:670–688
- Dange SRS, Pandey RN (1992) Diseases of dryland. Current Practices in Dryland Resources & Technology 7:95
- Das A, Gupta S, Parihar AK, Singh D, Chand R, Pratap A, Kushwaha KPS (2020) Delineating genotypex environment interactions towards durable resistance in mung bean against Cercospora leaf spot (Cercospora canescens) using GGE biplot. Plant Breed 139:639–650
- De la Rosa R, Angiolillo A, Guerrero C, Pellegrini M, Rallo L, Besnard G, Baldoni L (2003) A frst linkage map of olive (Olea europaea L.) cultivars using RAPD, AFLP, RFLP and SSR markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:1273–1282
- Dhaliwal I, Khosla G, Singh TP, Gill GS, Kaushik P (2020) DUS characterization of some released varieties and advanced breeding lines of soybean (Glycine Max L.) under Punjab Agroclimatic Conditions. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 9:2124–2135
- Dhole VJ, Reddy KS (2015) Genetic variation for phytic acid content in mung bean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek). Crop J 3:157–162
- Dikshit HK, Jhang T, Singh NK, Koundal KR, Bansal KC, Chandra N, Sharma TR (2007) Genetic diferentiation of Vigna species by RAPD. URP and SSR Markers Biologia Plantarum 51:451–457
- Dordas C (2008) Role of nutrients in controlling plant diseases in sustainable agriculture. A Review Agronomy for Sustainable Development 28:33–46
- Doucleff M, Jin Y, Gao F, Riaz S, Krivanek AF, Walker MA (2004) A genetic linkage map of grape, utilizing Vitis rupestris and Vitis arizonica. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 109:1178–1187
- Dutta S, Garain PK, Ray SK (2008) Morphology of mung bean in relation to Cercospora leaf spot disease. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 16:131–134
- Edet IA, Afolabi CG, Popoola AR, Arogundade O, Akinbode OA (2021) Identifcation and molecular characterisation of cercospora leaf spot disease pathogen on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot 55:109–120
- El Housni Z, Tahiri A, Radouane N, Ezrari S, Ouijja A (2021) Morphological and genetic diversity of Cercospora beticola Sacc isolates in Morocco. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot 54:1143–1158
- Fuckel KWGL (1863) Fungi Rhenani exsiccati. Fasc I-IV Hedwigia 2:132–136
- Ghalmi N, Malice M, Jacquemin JM, Ounane SM, Mekliche L, Baudoin JP (2010) Morphological and molecular diversity within Algerian cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) landraces. Genetic resources and crop evolution 57:371–386
- Gomes RR, Glienke C, Videira SIR, Lombard L, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2013) Diaporthe: a genus of endophytic, saprobic and plant pathogenic fungi. Pers: Mol Phylogeny Evol Fungi 31:1–41
- Goodwin SB, Dunkle LD, Zismann VL (2001) Phylogenetic analysis of Cercospora and Mycosphaerella based on the internal transcribed spacer region of ribosomal DNA. Phytopathology 91:648–658
- Groenewald JZ, Nakashima C, Nishikawa J, Shin HD, Park JH, Jama AN, ... Crous PW (2013). Species concepts in Cercospora: spotting the weeds among the roses. Studies in Mycology, 75, 115-170
- Gurha SN, Mishra DP (1985) A note on the feld reaction of Cercospora leaf spot in black gram (*Vigna mungo*). Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 13:351–352
- Gwag JG, Chung JW, Chung HK, Lee JH, Ma KH, Dixit A, Lee SH (2006) Characterization of new microsatellite markers in mung bean, Vigna radiata (L.). Mol Ecol Notes 6:1132–1134
- Hartman GL, Wang TC, Kim D (1993) Field evaluation of mung beans for resistance to Cercospora leaf spot and powdery mildew. International Journal of Pest Management 39:418–421
- Heng T, Kaga A, Chen X, Somta P (2020) Two tightly linked genes coding for NAD-dependent malic enzyme and dynamin-related protein are associated with resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). Theor Appl Genet 133:395–407
- Hossain I, Shaikh MAQ, Khan AA (1981) Field screening of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.) Wilczek, Germplasm for resistance to Cercospora leaf spot in Bangladesh. SABRAO Journal 13:180–182
- Iqbal SM, Zubair M, Haqqani AM (2004) Resistance in mung bean to Cercospora leaf spot disease. International Journal of Agriculture Biology 6:792–793
- Iqbal U, Iqbal SM, Zahid MA, Khan SH (2009) Screening of local mung bean germplasm against Cercospora leaf spot disease. Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology 21:123–125
- Ishihara KL, Lee EK, Borthakur D (2021) Induced resistance to Fusarium oxysporum in mechanically stressed Acacia koa A. Gray seedlings. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 113:101584
- Jadhav MR, Sharma BL (1983) Field reaction of mung (Vigna radiata L.) Wilze K. varieties to Cercospora leaf spot in northern Madhya Pradesh [India]. Legum Res 6:99–100
- Jain V, Sharma S (2021) Mung bean x ricebean and mung bean x urdbean interspecifc lines are nutritionally comparable to mung bean cultivars. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 104:104171
- Jat RA, Wani SP, Sahrawat KL (2012) Conservation agriculture in the semi-arid tropics: prospects and problems. Advances in Agronomy 117:191–273
- Kanavi MSP, Rangaiah S, Krishnaprasad BT (2019) Molecular characterisation and genetic diversity analysis through SSR markers in germplasm lines of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 10:298–305
- Kasettranan W, Somta P, Srinives P (2010) Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling powdery mildew resistance in mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek). J Crop Sci Biotechnol 13:155–161
- Kashiwa T, Lavilla MA, Paleo AD, Ivancovich AJG, Yamanaka N (2021). The use of detached leaf inoculation for selecting Cercospora kikuchii resistance in soybean genotypes. PhytoFrontiers™ 1:250–257
- Kaur L, Singh P, Sirari A (2011) Biplot analysis for locating multiple disease resistance diversity in mung bean germplasm. Plant Disease Research 26:55–60
- Kaushal RP, Sharma KD (1993) Rate reducing resistance in Urdbean variety to leaf spot. Indian Journal Pulses Research 6:220–221
- Khamari B, Hasmi SK, Sahoo JP, Samal KC, Beura SK, Ranasingh N (2022) ISSR based genetic diversity and phenotypic characterization in relation to pathogenicity of *Macrophomina phaseolina* isolated in sesame. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America 53:7649–7664
- Kimber RBE, Paull JG (2011) Identifcation and genetics of resistance to cercospora leaf spot (*Cercospora zonata*) in faba bean (*Vicia faba*). Euphytica 177:419–429
- Koche DK, Chaudhary AD (2019) Defense Gene Expression of Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek., against Cercospora Leaf Spots (CLS). Asian J Crop Sci 3:1–8
- Kole C, Muthamilarasan M, Henry R, Edwards D, Sharma R, Abberton M, Prasad M (2015) Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: progress and prospects. Frontiers in Plant Science 6:563
- Kolte SJ (2018) Diseases of annual edible oilseed crops: volume II: Rapeseed-mustard and sesame diseases. CRC Press. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351071437) [org/10.1201/9781351071437](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351071437)
- Kumar J, Choudhary AK, Solanki RK, Pratap A (2011) Towards marker-assisted selection in pulses: a review. Plant Breeding 130:297–313
- Kumar N, Kumar S, Prajapati S, Maurya S (2020) Cercospora leaf spot disease of green gram and its management: A review. J Pharma Phytochem 9:1574–1576
- Kumar S, Lal M, Kumar T, Kumar M (2021) Molecular Approaches for the Control of Cercospora Leaf Spot in Tomato. In *Biotic Stress Management in Tomato* (pp. 107–116). Apple Academic Press
- Kumari P, Meena M, Gupta P, Dubey MK, Nath G, Upadhyay R (2018) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and their biopriming for growth promotion in mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) R. Wilczek). Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 16:163–171
- Labdelli A, De La Herrán R, Arafeh R, Resentini F, Trainotti L, Halis Y, Merah O (2020) Genetic variation in Damag populations of *Pistacia atlantica* Desf. Plants 9:1541
- Lakhanpaul S, Chadha S, Bhat KV (2000) Random amplifed polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis in Indian mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) cultivars. Genetica 109:227–234
- Lambrides CJ, Imrie BC (2000) Susceptibility of mung bean varieties to the bruchid species Callosobruchus maculatus (F.), C. phaseoli (Gyll.), C. chinensis (L.), and Acanthoscelides obtectus (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Aust J Agric Res 51:85–90
- Lanteri S, Acquadro A, Comino C, Mauro R, Mauromicale G, Portis E (2006) A frst linkage map of globe artichoke (Cynara cardunculus var. scolymus L.) based on AFLP, S-SAP, M-AFLP and microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 112:1532–1542
- Laosatit K, Somta P, Srinives P (2018) Genetics of resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease caused by Cercospora canescens and Psuedocercospora cruenta in yardlong bean (Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis)× grain cowpea (V. unguiculata ssp. unguiculata) populations. J Genet 97:1451–1456
- Laosatit K, Somta P, Chen X, Srinives P (2020) Genomic approaches to biotic stresses. In The Mungbean Genome. Springer, Cham, pp 133–167
- Lavanya GR, Srivastava J, Ranade SA (2008) Molecular assessment of genetic diversity in mung bean germplasm. Journal of Genetics 87:65–74
- Leabwon U, Oupadissakoon S (1984) Inheritance of resistance to Cercospora leaf spot in mung bean. Agriculture and Natural Resources 18:14–19
- Liu Z, Zhang X, Chen D, Hou J, Liu T (2021). Real-time and visual detection of Cercospora canescens based on the cytb gene using loop-mediated isothermal amplifcation (LAMP). Can J Plant Pathol 43:551–558
- Maiti S, Raoof MA, Sastry KS, Yadava TP (1985) A review of sesamum diseases in India. International Journal of Pest Management 31:317–323
- Marappa N (2008) Screening of mung bean genotypes and its wild relatives for resistant sources to Cercospora leaf spot disease. Asian Journal of Bio Science 3:324–326
- Mathur AK, Chitale K, Gaur VK, Tyagi RNS (1989) Response of mung cultivars to Cercospora leaf spot in Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 19:213–214
- Mehandi S, Quatadah S, Mishra SP, Singh I, Praveen N, Dwivedi N (2019) Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. wilczek): retrospect and prospects. In El-Esawi MA (ed) Legume crops-characterization and breeding for improved food security. IntechOpen, London, pp 49–66
- Mew G (1975). Soil in relation to forest type in beech forests in the Inangahua Depression, West Coast, South Island. In Proceedings (New Zealand Ecological Society) (pp. 42–51). New Zealand Ecological Society (Inc.)
- Michelmore RW, Paran I, Kesseli R (1991) Identifcation of markers linked to disease-resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specifc genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 88:9828–9832
- Mishra KK, Bhartiya A, Kant L (2021) Identifcation of resistance sources against frogeye leaf spot (FLS) disease of soybean caused by Cercospora sojina under hot spot conditions of Uttarakhand hills. Indian Phytopathol 74:1117–1121
- Mittal RK (1991) Fungicidal control of Cercospora leaf spot of green gram (*Vigna radiata*) in Kumaon Hills of Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 21:283–284
- Mogali SC, Hegde GM (2020) Recent advances in mung bean breeding: A perspective. Accelerated Plant Breeding 3:235–282
- Mondal MM, Puteh AB, Malek MA, Ismail MR, Rafi MY, Latif MA (2012) Seed yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) in relation to growth and developmental aspects. TheScientifcWorldJOURNAL 2012:425168–425168
- Mwangi JW, Okoth OR, Kariuki MP, Piero NM (2021) Genetic and phenotypic diversity of selected Kenyan mung bean (Vigna radiata L. Wilckzek) genotypes. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 19:1–14
- Nair RM, Yang RY, Easdown WJ, Thavarajah D, Thavarajah P, Hughes JDA, Keatinge JDH (2013) Biofortifcation of mung bean (Vigna radiata) as a whole food to enhance human health. J Sci Food Agric 93:1805–1813
- Nair RM, Pandey AK, War AR, Hanumantharao B, Shwe T, Alam AKMM, Schafeitner R (2019) Biotic and abiotic constraints in mung bean production—progress in genetic improvement. Frontiers in Plant Science 10:1340
- Negi MS, Devic M, Delseny M, Lakshmikumaran M (2000) Identifcation of AFLP fragments linked to seed coat colour in Brassica juncea and conversion to a SCAR marker for rapid selection. Theor Appl Genet 101:146–152
- Nietsche S, Borém A, Carvalho GA, Rocha RC, Paula TJ Jr, De Barros EG, Moreira MA (2000) RAPD and SCAR markers linked to a gene conferring resistance to angular leaf spot in common bean. Journal of Phytopathology 148:117–121
- Omoigui LO, Arrey MO, Danmaigona CC, Ekeruo G, Timko MP (2019) Inheritance of resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Euphytica 215:1–12
- PaDIL Database, 2022. Cercospora canescens: Ellis & G. Martin. Available at: [https://www.padil.gov.au/maf-border/pest/main/](https://www.padil.gov.au/maf-border/pest/main/143001) [143001](https://www.padil.gov.au/maf-border/pest/main/143001). Accessed 28 Jan 2022
- Pan R, Zhao Z (1994) Synergistic effects of plant growth retardants and IBA on the formation of adventitious roots in hypocotyl cuttings of mung bean. Plant Growth Regulation 14:15–19
- Pandey AK, Burlakoti RR, Kenyon L, Nair RM (2018) Perspectives and challenges for sustainable management of fungal diseases of mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) R. Wilczek var. radiata]: A Review. Frontiers in Environmental Science 6:53
- Papan P, Chueakhunthod W, Jinagool W, Tharapreuksapong A, Masari A, Kaewkasi C, Tantasawat PA (2021a) Improvement of Cercospora leaf spot and powdery mildew resistance of mung bean variety KING through marker-assisted selection. The Journal of Agricultural Science 159:676–687
- Papan P, Chueakhunthod W, Poolsawat O, Arsakit K, Tharapreuksapong A, Tantasawat PA (2021b) Validation of molecular markers linked to cercospora leaf spot disease resistance in mung bean (Vigna radiata [L.] WILCZEK). SABRAO Journal of Breeding & Genetics 53:749–757
- Papan P, Chueakhunthod W, Jinagool W, Tharapreuksapong A, Masari A, Kaewkasi C, Tantasawat PA (2022) Improvement of Cercospora leaf spot and powdery mildew resistance of mung bean variety KING through marker-assisted selection. J Agric Sci 159:676–687
- Parihar AK, Basandrai AK, Sirari A, Dinakaran D, Singh D, Kannan K, Gupta S (2017) Assessment of mung bean genotypes for durable resistance to Y ellow M osaic D isease: G enotype \times E nvironment interactions. Plant Breeding 136:94–100
- Patil SB, Mansur CP, Gaur PM, Salakinkop SR, Alagundagi SC (2021) Planting Density Afected Dry Matter Production, Partitioning, and Yield in Machine Harvestable Chickpea Genotypes in the Irrigated Ecosystem. International Journal of Plant Production 15:29–43
- Pichitporn S, Srichumpa N, Vatanasit A (1990) Screening of Mung bean for serious disease resistance. Research Report 1990, Mung bean and feld crops in irrigated area Chai Nat Field Crops Research Center, Chai Nat (Thailand). Available at: [https://](https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=TH1997020330) [agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=TH19970203](https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=TH1997020330) [30](https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=TH1997020330). Accessed 30 July 2022
- Pramanick B, Brahmachari K, Ghosh A (2013) Efect of seaweed saps on growth and yield improvement of green gram. African Journal of Agricultural Research 8:1180–1186
- Prasad RR, Dean MRU, Alungo B, Chand VV (2021) Prevalence and Incidence of Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) Brown Leaf Spot Disease Caused by Cercospora heningsii in Macuata Province, Vanua Levu. Fiji Journal of Agricultural Science 13:91–97
- Pratap A, Douglas C, Prajapati U, Kumari G, War AR, Tomar R, Dubey S (2020) Breeding progress and future challenges: Biotic stresses. The Mung bean Genome. Switzerland: Springer Nature, 55–80
- Pratap A, Gupta S, Rathore M, Basavaraja T, Singh CM, Prajapati U, Kumari G (2021). Mung bean. In The Beans and the Peas (pp. 1–32). Woodhead Publishing
- Raguchander T, Samiappan R, Arjunan G (1990) Field reaction of Urdbean varieties to Cercospora leaf spot. Indian Journal of Pulses Research 3:86–88
- Rao CN, Panwar VPS (2000) Morphological plant characters afecting resistance to Chilo partellus in maize. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 8:145–149
- Rasool B, Ramzani PMA, Zubair M, Khan MA, Lewińska K, Turan V, Iqbal M (2021) Impacts of oxalic acid-activated phosphate rock and root-induced changes on Pb bioavailability in the

rhizosphere and its distribution in mung bean plant. Environmental Pollution 280:116903

- Rathaiah Y, Pavgi MS (1971). A Cercospora leaf spot of linseed. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale 7:249–256
- Rathaiah Y, Pavgi MS (1973) Perpetuation of species of Cercospora and Ramularia parasitic on oilseed crops. Japanese Journal of Phytopathology 39:103-108_1
- Reamon-Büttner SM, Schondelmaier J, Jung C (1998) AFLP markers tightly linked to the sex locus in *Asparagus officinalis* L. Molecular Breeding 4:91–98
- Reddy MP, Sarla N, Siddiq EA (2002) Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) polymorphism and its application in plant breeding. Euphytica 128:9–17
- Reddy BR, Nagendran K, Singh B, Singh PM, Singh J, Pandey M (2020) Accelerated Breeding of Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] for Improved Yield and Pest Resistance. In Accelerated plant breeding, vol 2. Springer, Cham, pp 397–415
- Rosenzweig N, Hanson LE, Clark G, Franc GD, Stump WL, Jiang QW, Kirk WW (2015) Use of PCR-RFLP analysis to monitor fungicide resistance in Cercospora beticola populations from sugarbeet (*Beta vulgaris*) in Michigan. United States Plant Disease 99:355–362
- Rossi V, Battilani P (1991) CERCOPRI: a forecasting model for primary infections of Cercospora leaf spot of sugarbeet 1. EPPO Bulletin 21:527–531
- Sabatina AS, Ahamed ML, Ramana JV, Harisatyanarayana N (2021) DUS characterization of elite improved lines of Greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L) Wilczek]. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 10:3380–3391
- Sahoo BK, Hota AK (1991) Field screening of Greengram germplasm against insect pest and disease complex. Madras Agriculture Journal 78:84–86
- Sahoo JP, Sharma V, Verma RK, Chetia SK, Baruah AR, Modi MK, Yadav VK (2019) Linkage analysis for drought tolerance in kharif rice of Assam using microsatellite markers. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 18:371–375
- Sahoo JP, Behera L, Sharma SS, Praveena J, Nayak SK, Samal KC (2020a) Omics studies and systems biology perspective towards abiotic stress response in plants. American Journal of Plant Sciences 11:2172
- Sahoo JP, Mishra AP, Mishra D, Samal KC (2020) Nutrient-Rich Beans with Beneft (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek): A Review. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 9:3280–3289
- Sahoo JP, Behera L, Praveena J, Sawant S, Mishra A, Sharma SS, Samal KC (2021) The golden spice turmeric (Curcuma longa) and its feasible benefts in prospering human health—a review. Am J Plant Sci 12:455–475
- Sahoo JP, Mohapatra U, Saha D, Mohanty IC, Samal KC (2022a) Linkage disequilibrium mapping: A journey from traditional breeding to molecular breeding in crop plants. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 21:434–442
- Sahoo JP, Samal KC, Behera L, Lenka D, Beura SK, Sawant SB (2022b) Screening of Mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L) Wilczek] germplasm for Cercospora leaf spot resistance. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America 53:8319–8327
- Sahoo JP, Mishra P, Mishra AP, Bastia RK, Moharana A, Pradhan P, Panda KK, Samal KC, Panda N, Kumar A, Dash AK (2022c) Agronomic responses and molecular breeding approaches towards elevated ozone in rice. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America 53:7875–7888
- Samal I, Tanwar AK, Bhoi TK, Hasan F, Trivedi N, Kumar H, Dhillon MK (2019) Insect-plant biochemical interactions for plant defense against spotted stem borer, Chilo partellus: A research summation. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 7:304–310
- Sandhu JS, Dhiman JS, Sharma SK, Brar JS (1996) Screening of Mung bean genotypes for resistance against major Mung bean diseases. Crop Improvement-India 23:293–294
- Sandhu TS, Singh K, Singh B, Shanmugasundaram S, McLean BT (1988). Mung bean germplasm resources, evaluation and utilization. In, Mung bean. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium held at Bangkok, Thailand, 16–20 November 1987. (pp. 29–34)
- Sautua F (2021). Sensibilidad y resistencia a fungicidas de Cercospora kikuchii, agente causal del tizón de la hoja y mancha púrpura de la semilla de la soja (Doctoral dissertation, Universidad Nacional de La Plata)
- Schafeitner R, Nair RM, Rathore A, Wang YW, Lin CY, Chu SH, ... Ebert AW (2015). The AVRDC–The World Vegetable Center mung bean (Vigna radiata) core and mini core collections. BMC genomics, 16, 1-11
- Shanthala J, Savithramma DL, Gazal P, Jambagi BK, Desai SKP (2020) Genomics-Assisted Breeding Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) for Accelerating Genetic Gain. In Accelerated plant breeding, vol 3. Springer, Cham, pp 143–171
- Shukla A, Kumar A, Prasad R, Kumar N, Dhyani SK, Chaturvedi OP, Arunachalam A (2022) Efficacy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and bacterial inoculants in enhancing yield of *Phaseolus mungo* L. and *Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek under Central Indian Conditions. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 1–13
- Sindhan GS, Hooda I, Parashar RD (1990) Source of resistance of Cercospora leaf spot in Mung bean and Biochemical parameters for resistance. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 29:130–132
- Sineka T, Murugan E, Sheeba A, Hemalatha G, Vanniarajan C (2021) Genetic relatedness and variability studies in greengram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek). Electron J Plant Breed 12:1157–1162
- Singh DP (1981) Breeding for resistance to diseases in greengram and blackgram. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 59:1–10
- Singh DP, Ahlawat IPS (2005) Greengram (Vigna radiata) and blackgram (V. mungo) improvement in India: past, present and future prospects. Indian J Agric Sci 75:243–250
- Singh RA, Gurha SN (2007) Stable sources of resistance to Cercospora leaf spot in Mung bean. Ann Plant Protect Sci 15:501–502
- Singh DP, Sharma BL (1981) Evaluation of Mung bean germplasm. Madras Agricultural Journal 68:289–295
- Singh N, Mallick J, Murmu S, Sagolsem D, Sarkar S, Mandal N, Bhattacharyya S (2014) Inheritance of MYMIV tolerance in two RIL populations of greengram on lower Gangetic alluvial zone during summer and their parental molecular diversity. Indian J Genet Plant Breed 74:584–588
- Singh R, Biswas SK, Rajik M, Singh R (2014) Management of leaf spot of green gram caused by Cercospora canescens. J Plant Dis Sci 9:268–269
- Singh DK, Vaishampayan A, Singh MN, Singh SK, Vennela PR, Varsha G, Vaibhav S (2017) Inheritance studies on Cercospora Leaf Spot (CLS) resistance in Mung and urdbean. Vegetos 30:388–391
- Singh P, Singh V, Rajvanshi NK (2021) Screening of mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] genotypes for resistance against Cercospora leaf spot under feld conditions. The Pharma Innovation Journal 10:1886–1887
- Singh G, Gurha SN, Ghosh A (1998) Diseases of mung bean and urdbean and their management. *Diseases of Field Crops and their Management. (ed. Thind TS), National Agricultural Technology Information Centre, Ludhiana, India*, 179–204
- Sinha P, Singh VK, Bohra A, Kumar A, Reif JC, Varshney RK (2021). Genomics and breeding innovations for enhancing genetic gain for climate resilience and nutrition traits. Theor Appl Genet 134:1829–1843
- Skaracis GN, Pavli OI, Biancardi E (2010) Cercospora leaf spot disease of sugar beet. Sugar Tech 12:220–228
- Soehendi R, Hapsari RT, Nugrahaeni N, Haksiwi P, Mejaya MJ (2021) Agronomic characteristics and seed yield of Indonesian mung bean (Vigna radiata) genetic resources. Available at: [http://apsci](http://apsciencelibrary.com/handle/123456789/5556) [encelibrary.com/handle/123456789/5556](http://apsciencelibrary.com/handle/123456789/5556). Accessed 30 July 2022
- Sompong U, Somta P, Raboy V, Srinives P (2012) Mapping of quantitative trait loci for phytic acid and phosphorus contents in seed and seedling of mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek). Breeding science 62:87–92
- Somta P, Chankaew S, Kongjaimun A, Srinives P (2015) QTLs controlling seed weight and days to fowering in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek], their conservation in azuki bean [*V. angularis* (Ohwi) Ohwi & Ohashi] and rice bean [*V. umbellata* (Thunb.) Ohwi & Ohashi]. AGRIVITA, Journal of Agricultural Science 37:159–168
- Souframanien J, Dhanasekar P (2014). Advances in greengram and blackgram genomics. In Legumes in the omic era (pp. 155–184). Springer, New York, NY
- Souframanien J, Gopalakrishna T (2004) A comparative analysis of genetic diversity in blackgram genotypes using RAPD and ISSR markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 109:1687–1693
- Srivastava R, Kumar S, Kobayashi Y, Kusunoki K, Tripathi P, Kobayashi Y, Sahoo L (2018) Comparative genome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription factors in two Asian legume crops: Adzuki bean and Mung bean. Scientifc Reports 8:1–19
- Sucianto ET, Abbas M (2021) Diversity of Pathogenic Fungi and Disease on Vegetable Crops at Polyculture Systems. Biosaintifka: Journal of Biology & Biology Education 13:158–168
- Tantasawat PA, Poolsawat O, Arsakit K, Papan P (2020) Identifcation of ISSR, ISSR-RGA and SSR markers associated with cercospora leaf spot resistance gene in mung bean. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 23:447–453
- Tantasawat PA, Poolsawat O, Kativat C, Arsakit K, Papan P, Chueakhunthod W, Pookhamsak P (2021) Association of ISSR and ISSR-RGA markers with powdery mildew resistance gene in mung bean. Legume Research-an International Journal 44:1164–1171
- Tripathy R, Chaudhari KN, Mukherjee J, Ray SS, Patel NK, Panigrahy S, Parihar JS (2013) Forecasting wheat yield in Punjab state of India by combining crop simulation model WOFOST and remotely sensed inputs. Remote Sensing Letters 4:19–28
- Tripathy SK, Sardar SS, Dash S, Pal S, Acharya T, Mohapatra BR, Rout GR (2015) RAPD markers for genetic characterization in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Research: An International Journal 38:279–288
- Tripathy SK, Nayak PK, Lenka D, Swain D, Baisakh B, Mohanty P, Ranjan R (2016) Morphological diversity of local land races and wild forms of mung bean. Legume Research: an International Journal 39:485–493
- Vereijssen J, Schneider JHM, Jeger MJ (2007) Supervised control of Cercospora leaf spot in sugar beet. Crop Protection 26:19–28
- Vrabl P, Siewert B, Winkler J, Schöbel H, Schinagl CW, Knabl L, Burgstaller W (2022) Xanthoepocin, a photolabile antibiotic of *Penicillium ochrochloron* CBS 123823 with high activity against multiresistant gram-positive bacteria. Microbial Cell Factories 21:1–16
- Wang LX, Chen HL, Bai P, Wu JX, Wang SH, Blair MW, Cheng XZ (2015) The transferability and polymorphism of mung bean SSR markers in rice bean germplasm. Molecular Breeding 35:1–10
- Weiland J, Koch G (2004) Sugarbeet leaf spot disease (Cercospora beticola Sacc.). Mol Plant Pathol 5:157–166
- Wilken PM, Aylward J, Chand R, Grewe F, Lane FA, Sinha S, Wingfeld MJ (2020) IMA Genome-F13. IMA Fungus 11:1–17
- Win KS, Win S, Htun TM, Win NKK, Oo KS (2021) Inheritance and Gene Effect of Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV) in Mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Research: An International Journal 44:486–490
- Windels CE, Lamey HA, Hilde D, Widner J, Knudsen T (1998) A Cerospora leaf spot model for sugar beet: in practice by an industry. Plant Disease 82:716–726
- Witsarut C (2019) Development of mung bean breeding lines with improved resistance to cercospora leaf spot and powdery mildew by molecular marker assisted gene pyramiding (Doctoral dissertation, School of Crop Production Technology Institute of Agricultural Technology Suranaree University of Technology). Available at: [http://sutir.sut.ac.th:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/](http://sutir.sut.ac.th:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/8383) [8383.](http://sutir.sut.ac.th:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/8383) Accessed 16 May 2022
- Wongpiyasatid A, Chotechuen S, Hormchan P, Srihuttagum M (1999) Evaluation of yield and resistance to powdery mildew, Cercospora leaf spot and cowpea weevil in mung bean mutant lines. Agriculture and Natural Resources 33:204–215
- Wongpiyasatid A, Chotechuen S, Hormchan P, Ngampongsai S, Promcham W (2000) Induced mutations in mung bean breeding: regional yield trial of mung bean mutant lines. Agriculture and Natural Resources 34:443–449
- Yadav DL, Pandey RN, Jaisani P, Gohel NM (2014) Sources of resistance in mung bean genotypes to Cercospora leaf spot disease and its management. African Journal of Agricultural Research 9:3111–3114
- Yaldiz G, Camlica M (2021) Impact of various environmental stress factors on productivity, quality, and secondary metabolites of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). In Naeem M, Aftab T, Khan MMA (eds) Fenugreek. Springer, Singapore. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1197-1_14) [org/10.1007/978-981-16-1197-1_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1197-1_14)
- Yimram T, Somta P, Srinives P (2009) Genetic variation in cultivated mung bean germplasm and its implication in breeding for high yield. Field Crops Research 112:260–266
- Yundaeng C, Somta P, Chen J, Yuan X, Chankaew S, Chen X (2021) Fine mapping of QTL conferring Cercospora leaf spot disease resistance in mung bean revealed TAF5 as candidate gene for the resistance. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 134:701–714
- Zargar SA, Fayaz H, Wani AA, Saggoo MIS, Mir RA, Mir RR (2021) Genetic and genomic resources in rice bean (*Vigna umbellata* Thunb.): Availability, advancements, and applications. In Neglected and underutilized crops-towards nutritional security and sustainability. Springer, Singapore, pp 191–202
- Zhimo VY, Panja BN, Saha J, Nath R (2013) Evaluation of mung bean genotypes for resistance against Cercospora leaf spot and Yellow Mosaic diseases under feld condition. Journal of Mycopathological Research 51:273–278

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.