

Extremal Trees with Respect to Bi-Wiener Index

Ximei Chen^{1,2} · Sasan Karimi³ · Kexiang Xu^{1,2} · Marty Lewinter⁴ · Eric Choi⁵ · Anthony Delgado⁶ · Tomislav Došlić^{7,8}

Received: 1 April 2024 / Revised: 23 July 2024 / Accepted: 28 July 2024 / Published online: 12 August 2024 © Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2024

Abstract

In this paper we introduce and study a new graph-theoretic invariant called the bi-Wiener index. The bi-Wiener index $W_b(G)$ of a bipartite graph G is defined as the sum of all (shortest-path) distances between two vertices from different parts of the bipartition of the vertex set of G. We start with providing a motivation connected with the potential uses of the new invariant in the QSAR/QSPR studies. Then we study its behavior for trees. We prove that, among all trees of order $n \ge 4$, the minimum value of W_b is attained for the star S_n , and the maximum W_b is attained at path P_n for even n, or at path P_n and $B_n(2)$ for odd n where $B_n(2)$ is a broom with maximum degree 3. We also determine the extremal values of the ratio $W_b(T_n)/W(T_n)$ over all trees of order n. At the end, we indicate some open problems and discuss some possible directions of further research.

Keywords Distance \cdot Bi-Wiener index \cdot Tree \cdot Bipartite graph

Mathematics Subject Classification $05C05 \cdot 05C09 \cdot 05C12 \cdot 05C92$

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, simple and connected. If G = (V(G), E(G)) is a graph, we will use n(G) = |V(G)| for its order and m(G) = |E(G)| for its size. The *degree* $d_G(v)$ of $v \in V(G)$ is the number of vertices in G adjacent to v. We denote by $N_G(v)$ the *open neighborhood* of vertex v in G. For two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, we use $d_G(u, v)$ to denote the (shortest-path) distance between u and v in G. If the graph G is clear from the context, $d_G(v)$ and $d_G(u, v)$ will be simplified into d(v) and d(u, v), respectively. The *eccentricity* $\varepsilon_G(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the maximum distance from v to any other vertex of G. The complement of G is denoted with G^c . A vertex $v \in V(G)$ with maximum eccentricity is a *diametral* vertex in G. Moreover, the vertex with the second maximum eccentricity

Communicated by Wen Chean Teh.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

is a *semi-diametral* vertex. For a connected graph G, its *distance matrix* D(G) is a square matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertices of G and whose entry (i, j) is equal to the shortest-path distance between the vertices i and j. Clearly, the distance matrix of an undirected simple graph is symmetric and has zeros on its main diagonal. Once computed, the distance matrix encodes all information about distances, eccentricities, and all other distance-related concepts such as the diameter, the radius, the center, the periphery, etc. We refer the readers to [4] and also to [9] for more on distances in graphs.

We denote by S_n and P_n the star and the path on *n* vertices, respectively. Other undefined notations and terminologies on graph theory can be found in [3].

A graphical invariant is a function from the set of graphs to the reals which is invariant under graph isomorphisms. In the chemical literature, graphical invariants are also known as topological indices and as molecular descriptors. The oldest topological index in chemical graph theory is the Wiener index [16]. Despite being introduced in 1947 and studied ever since, it still generates a lot of interest [5–7, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17–19]. For a connected graph *G*, its Wiener index is defined as $W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u, v)$. It is the sum of all entries in the upper (or in the lower) triangle of D(G).

Let G be a bipartite graph with the bipartition $V(G) = A \cup B$. By considering only the distances between the vertices belonging to different classes of bipartition, one arrives at the following modification of the Wiener index:

$$W_b(G) = \sum_{(u,v)\in A\times B} d_G(u,v).$$

We call the new invariant the *bi-Wiener index* of *G* and denote it by $W_b(G)$. Clearly, the bi-Wiener index of a bipartite graph *G* cannot exceed its full Wiener index, an observation which we will formalize shortly.

Before presenting in more detail the properties of the new invariant, we make a short digression to provide some motivation. It is reasonable to expect that the bi-Wiener index, like the full Wiener index, but often easier to calculate, correlates well with various properties of molecules, including "boiling point, molar volume, refractive index, heat of isomerization, heat of vaporization, density, critical pressure, surface tension, viscosity, chromatographic retention time and sound velocity," [12].

Although the Wiener index is closely correlated with the boiling points of linear and branched hydrocarbons, it does not work well for some cyclic molecules and their corresponding isomers. In Table 1 below, we compare the Wiener and the bi-Wiener indices for cyclohexane and methylcyclohexane with some of their corresponding substituted cyclobutyl counterparts. The Wiener index of cyclohexane is 27 and its bi-Wiener index is 15. Compared to the Wiener index of cyclohexane, only the isomeric 1,1-dimethylcyclobutane has a smaller Wiener index, while for 1,3-dimethylcyclobutane is larger. On the other hand, the bi-Wiener indices for both isomers (the 1,3-dimethylcyclobutane and 1,1-dimethylcyclobutane) are smaller than the bi-Wiener of cyclohexane. Thus bi-Wiener index appears to be a better indicator to predict the physical properties of the isomers, consistent with the lower boiling points and densities of the cyclobutyl isomers compared to that of cyclohexane.

Wiener(W)	Bi-Wiener(W_b)	B.P ° <i>C</i>	Density g/mL
27	15	80.75	0.779
<i>G</i> ₂ 28	12	<i>Cis</i> 61	0.711
		Trans 58	0.702
26	12	56	0.713
42	22	101	0.770
<i>G</i> ₅ 42	16	81.7	Predicted
	Wiener(W) 27 28 26 42 42	Wiener(W) Bi-Wiener(Wb) 27 15 28 12 26 12 42 22 42 16	Wiener(W)Bi-Wiener(W_b)B.P °C271580.752812Cis 61Trans 582612564222101421681.7

 Table 1 Comparison of the Wiener and the bi-Wiener indices of Cyclohexane, Methylcyclohexane and some of their corresponding substituted cyclobutyl counterparts

Another example involves comparison of the Wiener and bi-Wiener indices of methylcyclohexane and its corresponding trisubstituted cyclobutyl counterparts. The Wiener index of methylcyclohexane is 42, while its bi-Wiener index is 22. Of all the three possible isomeric trisubstituted cyclobutyl counterparts, namely 1,1,2-trimethylcyclobutane, 1,2,3-trimethylcyclobutane, and the 1,1,3-trimethylcyclobutane, only the latter has been successfully synthesized (Table 1). While the Wiener index is much smaller than that of methylcyclohexane. The smaller bi-Wiener index for 1,1,3-trimethylcyclobutane is consistent with its lower boiling point compared to that of methylcyclohexane. Moreover, the values of the densities also fall in the expected range as predicted by the lower bi-Wiener indices (Table 1).

The two examples illustrated in this article, namely the cyclohexane and methylcyclohexane and their corresponding cyclobutyl isomeric counterparts, show that the bi-Wiener index is a better predictor for physical properties compared to that of the Wiener index. To test the generality of our approach, we will investigate the di-and trisubstituted cyclohexanes in order to compare their Wiener and bi-Wiener indices with their isomeric substituted cylobutyl counterparts.

In general, for many of the cyclic molecules that are bipartite, the value of the bi-Wiener index conforms with the Wiener index in predicting the physical properties of the various isomers as noted above.



In chemical graph theory, trees are frequently used as models for studying chemical and physical properties of acyclic molecules, in particular the alkanes [16]. A vertex

of degree at least 3 is a *branching vertex* in a tree. A vertex adjacent to a leaf of a tree is a *support vertex*. Clearly, any diametral vertex in a tree *T* must be a leaf, and any semidiametral vertex must be a support vertex to a diametral leaf. A tree *T* of order *n* with a unique branching vertex *v* with $T - v = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \ldots \cup P_{n_k}$, with $n_1 \le n_2 \le \cdots \le n_k$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n - 1$, will be denoted by $T = T_n(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$. (Such trees are known as *starlike trees* and also as *spiders*; see [1] for some recent applications in chemical context.) If n_j appears $\ell_j \ge 2$ times in $T_n(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$, then we write $n_j^{\ell_j}$ in it. For example, $T_{11}(1, 2, 2, 2, 3)$ will be denoted by $B_n(k)$ in the following. Denote by H(n - a - b, a, b) the bi-broom obtained by attaching *a* and *b* pendant vertices, respectively, to the two leaves of a path P_{n-a-b} , where $a \ge b \ge 2$. Moreover, for convenience, P_n can be viewed as the special case of $B_n(k)$ with k = 1 and $B_n(a)$ is the special case of H(n - a - b, a, b) with $b \in \{0, 1\}$. Throughout this paper, we use the notation $[k] = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ for any positive integer *k*.

For any positive integer $n \le 3$, there is a unique tree of order n. Therefore, in what follows, we only consider the trees of order $n \ge 4$.

2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we prove some preliminary results. Most of them are concerned with trees, but we start with some valid for all bipartite graphs. The following results are direct consequences of the definition of bipartite graphs and hold at a glance.

Proposition 2.1 If G is a bipartite graph with a vertex bipartition $A \cup B$, then $d_G(u, v)$ is odd for any vertex $u \in A$ and any vertex $v \in B$.

Corollary 2.2 The bi-Wiener index of a graph G is the sum of all odd entries in the upper triangle of the distance matrix D(G) of G.

Corollary 2.3 For a bipartite graph G, $W_b(G) \leq W(G)$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_2$.

Proof If a bipartite graph *G* has at least 3 vertices, then its diameter is at least 2, and there is at least a pair of vertices at distance 2 in *G*. Hence, at least one element of D(G) is even, and the difference $W(G) - W_b(G)$ is positive.

Corollary 2.4 Let G be a bipartite graph with the bipartition $V(G) = A \cup B$. Then $W_b(G)$ is odd if and only if both |A| and |B| are odd.

Proof It has been known for a long time [2] that the Wiener index of a bipartite graph G is odd if and only if both classes of the bipartition of V(G) have odd cardinalities. The claim now follows from the fact that the difference $W(G) - W_b(G)$ is always an even number, being the sum of even entries in the upper triangle of D(G).

Corollary 2.5 Let G be a bipartite graph of odd order. Then $W_b(G)$ is even.



Fig. 1 Graphs T and T' associated with Transformation I

Since trees are bipartite, all of the above results are valid for trees. In the rest of this section, we restrict our attention to trees and study a number of transformations which affect their bi-Wiener numbers.

Denote by $\gamma(G, k)$ the number of vertex pairs with distance *k* in a graph *G*. For a bipartite graph *G* with vertex bipartition $A \cup B$ with $|A| = p \ge q = |B|$, we say that $A \cup B$ is a (p, q)-bipartition of *G*. Below we provide a new formula for computing the value of W_b .

Proposition 2.6 For a bipartite graph G with a vertex bipartition $A \cup B$ and $V_0 \subseteq V(G)$, $V_1 = V(G) \setminus V_0$, $W_{b_1}(G) = \sum_{\substack{u \in A \cap V_0 \\ v \in B \cap V_0}} d_G(u, v)$, $W_{b_2}(G) = \sum_{\substack{u \in A \cap V_1 \\ v \in B \cap V_1}} d_G(u, v)$, $W_{b_3}(G) = \sum_{\substack{u \in A \cap V_0 \\ v \in B \cap V_1}} d_G(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in B \cap V_0 \\ v \in A \cap V_1}} d_G(u, v)$. Then

$$W_b(G) = W_{b_1}(G) + W_{b_2}(G) + W_{b_3}(G).$$

Let *T* be a tree of order *n* with a (p,q)-bipartition $A \cup B$. Let $P = u_1u_2...u_{t-1}u_t$ $(t \ge 4)$ be a diametral path of *T*. Let u_i $(3 \le i \le t-1)$ be a branching vertex with $d_T(u_2, u_i)$ as small as possible. Let D_1 be the component of $T - \{u_{i-1}u_i, u_iu_{i+1}\}$ containing u_i, v_m be a leaf of D_1 with a path $P' = u_i(=v_0)v_1...v_hv_{h+1}...v_m$ $(0 \le h < m, m+1 \le i)$ where v_h is the closest branching vertex to v_m in D_1 . Let D_2 be the component of $T - \{u_{i-1}u_i\}$ containing u_i and $W_1 = D_2 \setminus P'$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_1 \in A$. Next we introduce five transformations.

Transformation I Let *T* be the aforementioned tree with a (p, q)-bipartition with $d(u_2) = 2$, $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, v_m)$ having the same parity. Clearly, $d(u_i, v_m) + 1 \le d(u_1, u_i)$. We construct a new tree $T' = T - \{v_h v_{h+1}\} + \{v_m u_1\}$ and say that *T'* is obtained from *T* by running Transformation I for path $P'' = v_h v_{h+1} \dots v_m$. Since $u_1 \in A$, we have $v_m \in B$ and *T'* is also a tree with a (p, q)-bipartition (Fig. 1).

Lemma 2.7 Let T, T' be trees in Transformation I. Then $W_b(T') > W_b(T)$.

Proof Let T, T' be trees in Transformation I and $V_0 = \{v_{h+1}, v_{h+2}, \ldots, v_m\}$ with $W_2 = V(T) - V_0 - W_1$. Then $V_1 = W_1 \cup W_2$. Based on the structures of T, T' and Proposition 2.6, it suffices to prove $W_{b_3}(T') > W_{b_3}(T)$.



Fig. 2 Graphs T and T' associated with Transformation II

For every $u \in V_0 \cap A$, $v \in W_1 \cap B$ (or $u \in V_0 \cap B$, $v \in W_1 \cap A$), we have $d_T(u, v) = d_T(u, v_j) + d_T(v_j, v)$, $d_{T'}(u, v) = d_{T'}(u, v_j) + d_{T'}(v_j, v)$, where $v_j \in V(P')$ ($0 \le j \le h$) is the closest vertex from v to P'. Note that $d_T(u, v_j) < d_{T'}(u, v_j)$, $d_T(v_j, v) = d_{T'}(v_j, v)$. Then $d_{T'}(u, v) > d_T(u, v)$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) > \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} W_{b_3}(T') &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) \\ &> W_{b_3}(T). \end{split}$$

That is, we have $W_b(T') > W_b(T)$, finishing the proof.

Transformation II Let *T* be the aforementioned tree with a (p, q)-bipartition with $d(u_2) \ge 3$ such that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, v_m)$ have the same parity, $d(v_m, v_h)$ is even. Clearly, $d(u_i, v_m)+1 \le d(u_1, u_i)$. We construct a new tree $T' = T - \{v_h v_{h+1}, u_2 u_3\} + \{u_3 v_m, u_2 v_{h+1}\}$ and say that T' is obtained from *T* by running Transformation II for path $P'' = v_h v_{h+1} \dots v_m$. For $u_1 \in A$, we have $v_m, v_h \in B$. Thus T' is also a tree with a (p, q)-bipartition.

Lemma 2.8 Let T, T' be trees in Transformation II. Then $W_b(T') > W_b(T)$.

Proof Let *T*, *T'* be trees in Transformation II with $d(u_2) = x + 1$ where $x \ge 2$ and $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}\}$. Let $V_0 = \{u_1, u_2, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_m\}$,

Page 7 of 20

156

 $W_2 = V(T) - V_0 - W_1$. Then $V_1 = W_1 \cup W_2$. By the structures of T, T' and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} W_{b_1}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h)}{2} d_T(u_2, v_h) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h)}{2} d_T(u_2, v_h) x \\ &= W_{b_1}(T') + (x+1) d_T(u_2, v_h) \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h)}{2}, \\ W_{b_2}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) = W_{b_2}(T'). \end{split}$$

Similarly as the proof in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) &+ \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) > \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + 2 \frac{d_{T}(v_m, v_h)}{2} |W_2 \cap B| + x d_{T}(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap B| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + (x + 1) d_{T}(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap B|, \\ \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v) - 2 \frac{d_{T}(v_m, v_h)}{2} |W_2 \cap A| + d_{T}(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap A| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$W_{b_3}(T') > W_{b_3}(T) + (x+1)d_T(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap B|.$$

Since $|W_2 \cap B| = \frac{d_T(u_2, v_h)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} W_b(T') &> W_{b_1}(T) - (x+1)d_T(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap B| + W_{b_2}(T) + W_{b_3}(T) \\ &+ (x+1)d_T(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap B| \\ &= W_b(T). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.



Fig. 3 Graphs T and T' associated with Transformation III

Transformation III Let *T* be the aforementioned tree with a (p, q)-bipartition and $d(u_2) \ge 3$ such that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, v_m)$ have a same parity, $d(v_m, v_h)$ is odd. Clearly, $d(u_i, v_m)+1 \le d(u_1, u_i)$. We construct a new tree $T' = T - \{v_h v_{h+1}, u_2 u_3\} + \{u_3 v_m, u_1 v_{h+1}\}$ and say that T' is obtained from *T* by running Transformation III for path $P'' = v_h v_{h+1} \dots v_m$. For $u_1 \in A$, we have $v_m \in B$, $v_h \in A$. Thus T' is also a tree with a (p, q)-bipartition (Fig. 3).

Lemma 2.9 Let T, T' be trees in Transformation III. Then $W_b(T') > W_b(T)$.

Proof Let T, T' be trees in Transformation III with $d(u_2) = x + 1$ where $x \ge 2$ and $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}\}$. Let $V_0 = \{u_1, u_2, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_m\}$, $W_2 = V(T) - V_0 - W_1$. Then $V_1 = W_1 \cup W_2$. By the structures of T, T' and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} W_{b_1}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1}{2} d_T(u_3, v_h) \\ &+ \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1}{2} d_T(u_3, v_h)(x - 1) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1}{2} d_T(u_1, v_h), \\ W_{b_2}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) = W_{b_2}(T'). \end{split}$$

Similarly as the proof in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) > \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T}(u, v),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T}(u, v) + (d_{T}(v_m, v_h) - 1)|W_2 \cap B|$$

$$+ (x - 1)(d_{T}(v_m, v_h) + 1)|W_2 \cap B|,$$

Deringer



Fig. 4 Graphs T and T' associated with Transformation IV

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_T(u, v) + (d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1) |W_2 \cap A|,$$
$$|W_2 \cap A| = |W_2 \cap B| + 1.$$

Then it follows that

$$W_{b_3}(T') > W_{b_3}(T) + (d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1)|W_2 \cap B| + x(d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1)|W_2 \cap B| + d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1.$$

Since $|W_2 \cap B| = \frac{d_T(u_3, v_h)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} W_b(T') &> W_{b_1}(T) - (d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1)|W_2 \cap B| - \left[d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1 \right] \\ &- \left[d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1 \right] |W_2 \cap B| - (x - 1) \left[d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1 \right] |W_2 \cap B| \\ &+ W_{b_2}(T) + W_{b_3}(T) + (d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1) |W_2 \cap B| \\ &+ x \left[d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1 \right] |W_2 \cap B| + d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1 \\ &= W_b(T). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Transformation IV Let *T* be the tree defined above with a (p, q)-bipartition with $d(u_2) \ge 2$ such that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, v_m)$ have different parity, $d(v_m, v_h)$ is even. Clearly, $d(u_i, v_m) \le d(u_1, u_i)$. We construct a new tree $T' = T - \{u_2u_3, v_hv_{h+1}\} + \{u_2v_m, v_{h+1}u_3\}$ and say that T' is obtained from *T* by running Transformation IV for path $P'' = v_hv_{h+1} \dots v_m$. Since $u_1 \in A$, we have $v_m, v_h \in A$. Then T' is also a tree with a (p, q)-bipartition (Fig. 4).

Lemma 2.10 Let T, T' be trees in Transformation IV. Then $W_b(T') > W_b(T)$.

Proof Let T, T' be trees in Transformation IV with $d(u_2) = x + 1$ where $x \ge 1$. If x = 1, we have $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1\}$ and assume that $V_0 = \{u_1, u_2, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_m\}$. For $x \ge 2$, we have $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}\}$ and assume that $V_0 =$

 $\{u_1, u_2, z_1, \dots, z_{x-1}, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_m\}$. Let $W_2 = V(T) - V_0 - W_1$. Then $V_1 = W_1 \cup W_2$. By the structures of *T*, *T'* and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} W_{b_1}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h)}{2} \Big[d_T(u_2, v_{h+1}) + d_T(u_3, v_h) x \Big], \\ W_{b_2}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) = W_{b_2}(T'). \end{split}$$

Similarly as the proof in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) > \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_T(u, v),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_2 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) + x d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap B|,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_T(u, v) + d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap A|.$$

Therefore

$$W_{b_3}(T') > W_{b_3}(T) + xd_T(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap B| + d_T(v_m, v_h)|W_2 \cap A|.$$

Note that $|W_2 \cap A| = \frac{d_T(u_2, v_{h+1})}{2}, |W_2 \cap B| = \frac{d_T(u_3, v_h)}{2}$. We have

$$\begin{split} W_b(T') &> W_{b_1}(T) - d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap A| - x d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap B| + W_{b_2}(T) \\ &+ W_{b_3}(T) + x d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap B| + d_T(v_m, v_h) |W_2 \cap A| = W_b(T). \end{split}$$

This finishes the proof.

Transformation V Let *T* be the tree defined above with a (p, q)-bipartition with $d(u_2) \ge 2$ such that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, v_m)$ have different parity, $d(v_m, v_h)$ is odd. Clearly, $d(u_i, v_m) \le d(u_1, u_i)$. We construct a new tree $T' = T - \{u_2u_3, v_hv_{h+1}, v_mv_{m-1}\} + \{u_2v_m, v_{h+1}u_2, v_{m-1}u_3\}$ for m > h + 1 and $T' = T - \{v_hv_{h+1}\} + \{v_{h+1}u_2\}$ for m = h + 1 and say that T' is obtained from *T* by running Transformation V for path $P'' = v_hv_{h+1} \dots v_m$. Since $u_1 \in A$, we have $v_m \in A, v_h \in B$. Then T' is also a tree with a (p, q)-bipartition (Fig. 5).

Lemma 2.11 Let T, T' be the trees in Transformation V. Then $W_b(T') \ge W_b(T)$ with equality if and only if T is a bi-broom with even diameter.



Fig. 5 Graphs T and T' associated with Transformation V

Proof Let T, T' be trees in Transformation V with $d(u_2) = x + 1$ where $x \ge 1$. If x = 1, we have $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1\}$ and assume that $V_0 = \{u_1, u_2, v_{h+1}, \ldots, v_m\}$. For $x \ge 2$, we have $N_T(u_2) \setminus \{u_3\} = \{u_1, z_1, \ldots, z_{x-1}\}$ and assume that $V_0 = \{u_1, u_2, z_1, \ldots, z_{x-1}, v_{h+1}, \ldots, v_m\}$. Let $W_2 = V(T) - V_0 - W_1$. Thus $V_1 = W_1 \cup W_2$. By the structures of T, T' and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} W_{b_1}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1}{2} d_T(u_2, v_h) \\ &+ \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1}{2} d_T(u_2, v_{h+2})x - x(d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_0 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1}{2} d_T(u_2, v_h) \\ &+ \frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1}{2} d_T(u_2, v_h)x, \\ W_{b_2}(T) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) = W_{b_2}(T'). \end{split}$$

Similarly as the proof in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_{T'}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) &\geq \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in W_1 \cap B}} d_T(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_1 \cap A}} d_T(u, v) + 2\frac{d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1}{2} |W_2 \cap B| \\ &+ x(d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1)|W_2 \cap B|, \end{split}$$

Deringer

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_{T'}(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in W_2 \cap A}} d_T(u, v).$$

Therefore

$$W_{b_3}(T') \ge W_{b_3}(T) + (d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1)|W_2 \cap B| + x(d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1)|W_2 \cap B|.$$

Since $|W_2 \cap B| = \frac{d_T(u_2, v_h)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} W_b(T') &\geq W_{b_1}(T) - (d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1) |W_2 \cap B| - x(d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1) |W_2 \cap B| \\ &+ W_{b_2}(T) + W_{b_3}(T) + (d_T(v_m, v_h) + 1) |W_2 \cap B| \\ &+ x(d_T(v_m, v_h) - 1) |W_2 \cap B| \\ &= W_b(T) \end{split}$$

with equality holding if and only if $d(u_2, u_i)$ is even and $d(u_i, v_m) = 1$, i = t - 1, that is, *T* is a bi-broom of order *n* with a (p, q)-bipartition with even diameter, completing the proof.

3 Main Result

In this section we focus on the determination of extremal trees with respect to bi-Wiener index among all trees of order $n \ge 4$. Let \mathcal{T}_n be the set of all trees of order $n = p + q \ge 4$ with a (p, q)-bipartition with $d(u_2) = 2$ where $P = u_1 u_2 \dots u_t$ is a diametral path. We first give a characterization of minimum trees with respect to W_b .

Theorem 3.1 Let T be a tree of order $n \ge 4$. Then $W_b(T) \ge n - 1$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n$.

Proof Assume that $A \cup B$ is an (a, b)-bipartition of T with $|A| = a \le b = n-a = |B|$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $\gamma(T, 1) + \gamma(T, 3) + \ldots + \gamma(T, k) = a(n-a)$ where k is the maximum odd distance between two vertices from A and B, respectively. Note that $\gamma(T, 1) = n - 1$. From the definition of bi-Wiener index, we have

$$W_b(T) = \gamma(T, 1) + 3\gamma(T, 3) + \dots + k\gamma(T, k)$$

$$\geq n - 1 + 3[a(n - a) - (n - 1)]$$

$$> n - 1.$$

The above equalities holds simultaneously if and only if a = 1, that is, $T \cong S_n$. This completes the proof.

Next we turn to determine the maximum trees with respect to W_b .

Lemma 3.2 Let $G \in T_n$ with maximum bi-Wiener index. Then G must be a broom.

Proof Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with a (p, q)-bipartition and maximum bi-Wiener index. If q = 1, then $G \cong S_n$. Therefore, suppose in the following that $p \ge q \ge 2$. Let $P = u_1u_2 \dots u_{t-1}u_t$ be a diametral path of G, clearly $t \ge 4$. Using $d(u_2) = 2$, to prove that G is a broom, it suffices to show $d(u_i) = 2$ ($3 \le i \le t - 2$). Assuming that there exists $d(u_j) \ge 3$ where $3 \le j \le t-2$, let u_i ($3 \le i \le t-2$) be such a vertex such that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is as small as possible and D_1 be the component of $G - \{u_{i-1}u_i, u_iu_{i+1}\}$ containing u_i . Then, let m be a leaf in D_1 with $h \in V(D_1)$ being a closest branching vertex to it.

Suppose that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, m)$ are all even (or odd), then G_1 can be obtained from G by running Transformation I. By Lemma 2.7, we have $W_b(G_1) > W_b(G)$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is odd (even) and $d(u_i, m)$ is even (odd), d(m, h) is even. Then by running Transformation IV for G, we can obtain G_2 , and by Lemma 2.10, we have $W_b(G_2) > W_b(G)$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is odd (even) and $d(u_i, m)$ is even (odd), d(m, h) is odd, then G_3 can be obtained from G by running Transformation V. By Lemma 2.11, we have $W_b(G_3) > W_b(G)$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3.3 Let T^* be a tree of order $n \ge 4$ with maximum bi-Wiener index. Then T^* must be a bi-broom with even diameter or a broom.

Proof Let *T* be a tree of order *n* with a (p, q)-bipartition $A \cup B$. If q = 1, then $T \cong S_n$. Therefore suppose in the following that $p \ge q \ge 2$ and *k* is the number of branching vertices in *T*. Now we prove the result by induction on *k*.

For k = 0, the result holds trivially since $T \cong P_n$ with $P_n = B_n(1)$ from the assumption.

If k = 1, then *T* is a starlike tree. Let *u* be the branching vertex of *T* with $\{u\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{n_i}$ where $2 \le n_1 \le n_2 \le \cdots \le n_{\ell}$ and there exist *x* odd paths and $\ell - x$ even paths. If $n_{\ell-1} = 2$, then *T* is a broom and there is nothing to prove. So we assume that $n_{\ell-1} > 2$ in the following. Then $P_{n_{\ell}} \cup P_{n_{\ell-1}}$ is a diametral path of *T*. Next we will run transformations for paths other than $P_{n_{\ell}}$ and $P_{n_{\ell-1}}$.

Assume that $P_{n_{\ell}}$, $P_{n_{\ell-1}}$ are both even paths. When x = 0, there exist ℓ even paths, and we can obtain P_n by repeating Transformation IV on $\ell - 2$ even paths. By Lemma 2.10, we have $W_b(T) < W_b(P_n)$. If $x \ge 1$, we can obtain G_1 by running Transformation IV on $\ell - 2 - x$ even paths with $W_b(G_1) > W_b(T)$ by Lemma 2.10; and then by running Transformation I on an odd path of G_1 , we get G_2 with $W_b(G_2) > W_b(G_1)$ by Lemma 2.7. At last we get $B_n(x)$ by repeating Transformation V on x - 1 odd paths of G_2 . Moreover, we have $W_b(B_n(x)) > W_b(G_2)$ by Lemma 2.11.

Assume that $P_{n_{\ell}}$, $P_{n_{\ell-1}}$ are both odd paths. We can firstly obtain G_3 by running successively Transformation I on $\ell - x$ even paths with $W_b(G_3) > W_b(T)$ from Lemma 2.7. Next by repeating Transformation V on x - 2 odd paths of G_3 , in view of Lemma 2.11, we get $B_n(x - 1)$ with $W_b(B_n(x - 1)) > W_b(G_3)$.

Assume that $P_{n_{\ell}}$ is an odd (or even) path and $P_{n_{\ell-1}}$ is an even (or odd) path. Firstly, we can obtain G_4 by repeating Transformation I on $\ell - x - 1$ even paths with $W_b(T) < 0$

 $W_b(G_4)$ by Lemma 2.7. Then we can obtain $B_n(x)$ by running Transformation V on x - 1 odd paths in G_4 with $W_b(B_n(x)) > W_b(G_4)$ by Lemma 2.11.

Therefore, for k = 1, the broom maximizes the bi-Wiener index among all trees with a (p, q)-bipartition where p + q = n.

For k = 2, we assume that $P = u_1u_2 \dots u_{t-1}u_t$ is a diametral path of T. If $d(u_2) = 2$, we have proven the desired result in Lemma 3.2. Thus it suffices to deal with the case when $d(u_2) \ge 3$. Let u_2 be a branching vertex with $d(u_2) = r + 1$ and u_i ($3 \le i \le t - 1$) be another branching vertex at which *s* pendant paths, that are *x* odd paths and s - x even paths, are attached except $P' = u_i \dots u_t$. Then we will run transformations for the above *s* paths.

Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is even. We can obtain $B_n(r + x)$ by performing Transformation II on s - x even paths and Transformation V on x odd paths in sequence. By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.11, we have $W_b(B_n(r + x)) \ge W_b(T)$. The equation holds if T is a bi-broom with even diameter.

Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is odd. By running Transformation IV on s - x even paths and Transformation III on x odd paths successively, we can get G_5 satisfying $d_{G_5}(u_i) = 2$ or $d_{G_5}(v_2) = 2$ where v_2 is a semi-diametral vertex of G_5 . By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.9, we have $W_b(G_5) > W_b(T)$. If $d_{G_5}(u_i) = 2$, then G_5 is a broom; if $d_{G_5}(v_2) = 2$, by Lemma 3.2, the result follows. Thus the result holds for k = 2.

We assume henceforth that $k \ge 3$. Let *T* be a (p, q)-bipartition tree with *k* branching vertices and a diametral path $P = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{t-1} u_t$ in it. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show the case of $d(u_{t-1}) \ge d(u_2) \ge 3$. Let u_i $(3 \le i \le t-1)$ be a vertex of degree at least 3 with $d_T(u_2, u_i)$ as small as possible and D_1 be the component of $T - \{u_{i-1}u_i, u_iu_{i+1}\}$ containing u_i . Let $d_T(u_i) = r + 2$. Next we divide into the following two cases based on the existence of branching vertices in $D_1 - u_i$.

Case 1. There is no branching vertex in $D_1 - u_i$.

In this case there are *r* pendant paths attaching to u_i including *x* odd paths, r - x even paths. Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is even. We can obtain T_1 with $d_{T_1}(u_i) = 2$ by performing Transformation II on r - x even paths and Transformation V on *x* odd paths in sequence. Thus there are k - 1 branching vertices in T_1 . And, by Lemmas 2.8 and 2.11, we have $W_b(T_1) \ge W_b(T)$. The equation holds if and only if *T* is a bi-broom with even diameter. Then from the induction hypothesis, the result holds for $k \ge 3$.

Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is odd. By running Transformation IV on r - x even paths and Transformation III on x odd paths successively until we get T_2 with $d_{T_2}(u_i) = 2$ or $d_{T_2}(v'_2) = 2$ where v'_2 is a semi-diametral vertex of T_2 . Then there are at most k - 1branching vertices in T_2 . By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.9, we have $W_b(T_2) > W_b(T)$. Then our result for $k \ge 3$ follows from the induction hypothesis.

Case 2. There is at least one branching vertex in $D_1 - u_i$.

Let *h* be the farthest branching vertex from u_i with $d_T(h) = s + 1$. Then there exist *s* pendant paths attaching to *h* including *y* odd paths, s - y even paths.

Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ and $d(u_i, h)$ are all even (or odd). We can obtain T_3 with $d_{T_3}(u_i) = 1$ by performing Transformation II on s - y even paths and Transformation V on y odd paths in sequence. Thus there exist k - 1 branching vertices in T_3 . And by Lemmas 2.8 and 2.11, we have $W_b(T_3) > W_b(T)$. Then by the induction hypothesis, our result holds for $k \ge 3$.

Assume that $d(u_2, u_i)$ is odd (or even) and $d(u_i, h)$ is even (or odd). By running Transformation IV on s - y even paths and Transformation III on y odd paths successively, we can get T_4 with $d_{T_4}(u_i) = 2$ or $d_{T_4}(c_2) = 2$ where c_2 is a semi-diametral vertex of T_4 . Then there are at most k - 1 branching vertices in T_4 . By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.9, we have $W_b(T_4) > W_b(T)$. Then by the induction hypothesis, our result holds for $k \ge 3$.

Combining the above arguments, we complete the proof of the result.

Lemma 3.4 Let $k \ge 3$, $n \ge 5$ be two integers. Then $W_b(B_n(k-2)) > W_b(B_n(k))$.

Proof Let $H_1 = B_n(k)$ with $k \ge 3$ be a broom of order n with a(p, q)-bipartition $A \cup B$ and a branching vertex u_1 with p + q = n, p > q. We have $p = \frac{n+k-1}{2}$, $q = \frac{n-k+1}{2}$ if n - k is odd or $p = \frac{n+k}{2}$, $q = \frac{n-k}{2}$ if n - k is even. Let $N_{H_1}(u_1) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k, u_2\}$ with $d_{H_1}(w_i) = 1$ for $i \in [k]$, $P = w_1u_1 \ldots u_{n-k}$ be a diametral path of H_1 . Next we set $H_2 = H_1 - \{u_1w_1, u_1w_2\} + \{u_{n-k}w_1, w_1w_2\}$. Clearly, $H_2 = B_n(k-2)$ is a broom with (p - 1, q + 1)-bipartition. Setting $V_0 = \{w_1, w_2\}$, by the structures of H_1 , H_2 and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$W_b(H_1) = 0 + W_{b_2}(H_1) + W_{b_3}(H_1) = W_{b_2}(H_1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^q (2i-1),$$

$$\begin{split} W_b(H_2) &= 1 + W_{b_2}(H_2) + W_{b_3}(H_2) \\ &= W_{b_2}(H_2) + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^q (2i-1) + \sum_{i=2}^q (2i-1) + (p-q-1)(2q+1) \\ &= W_{b_2}(H_1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^q (2i-1) + (p-q-1)(2q+1) \ if \ n-k \ is \ odd, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} W_b(H_2) &= 1 + W_{b_2}(H_2) + W_{b_3}(H_2) \\ &= W_{b_2}(H_2) + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^q (2i+1) + \sum_{i=1}^q (2i-1) + (p-q-2)(2q+1) \\ &= W_{b_2}(H_1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^q (2i-1) + (p-q-1)(2q+1) \ if \ n-k \ is \ even. \end{split}$$

Since $k \ge 3$, we have p - q - 1 > 0. Therefore, regardless of the parity of n - k, we still have $W_b(H_2) - W_b(H_1) = (p - q - 1)(2q + 1) > 0$, completing the proof. \Box

Lemma 3.5 Let $a \ge b \ge 2$, $n \ge 6$ be three integers. If n - a - b + 2 is odd, then we have $W_b(H(n - a - b + 2, a, b - 2)) > W_b(H(n - a - b, a, b))$.

Proof Let $H_1 = H(n - a - b, a, b)$ be a bi-broom of order *n* obtained by attaching *a* (*b*, respectively) pendant vertices to the end vertex w_{n-a-b} (w_1 , respectively) of path $P_{n-a-b} = w_1w_2 \dots w_{n-a-b}$ with a (p, q)-bipartition $A \cup B$. Let $N(w_1) \setminus \{w_2\} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_b\}$. Recall that $|A| = p \ge q = |B|$. Thus $w_1 \in B, z_1, z_2 \in A$. Let $H_2 = H_1 - \{w_1w_2, z_1w_1\} + \{z_1z_2 + z_1w_2\}$. Now we have $z_1 \in B$ in H_2 . Therefore

 $H_2 = H(n - a - b + 2, a, b - 2)$ is a bi-broom with (p - 1, q + 1)-bipartition. Setting $V_0 = \{w_1, z_1, \dots, z_b\}$, $V_1 = V(H_1) \setminus V_0$ and $k = \frac{p - a - b - 1}{2}$, by the structures of H_1 , H_2 and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} W_{b_1}(H_2) &= b - 1 + 1 + 3(b - 2) = 4b - 6 > b = W_{b_1}(H_1), \\ W_{b_2}(H_2) &= W_{b_2}(H_1), \\ W_{b_3}(H_1) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_{H_1}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_1}(w_1, v) + b \sum_{i=1}^k (2i + 1), \\ W_{b_3}(H_2) &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap B}} d_{H_2}(u, v) + \sum_{\substack{u \in V_0 \cap B \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_2}(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_2}(z_1, v) + \sum_{\substack{v \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_2}(w_1, v) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k (2i + 1) + (b - 2) \sum_{i=1}^k (2i + 3); \\ d_{H_1}(w_1, v) &= \sum_{\substack{v \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_2}(z_1, v), \quad \sum_{\substack{v \in V_1 \cap A \\ v \in V_1 \cap A}} d_{H_2}(w_1, v) > \sum_{i=1}^k (2i + 1). \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

 \sum

$$W_b(H_2) = W_{b_1}(H_2) + W_{b_2}(H_2) + W_{b_3}(H_2) > W_b(H_1),$$

completing the proof.

Corollary 3.6 Let $a \ge b \ge 2$, $n \ge 6$ be three integers. If n - a - b + 2 is odd, then $W_b(B_n(a)) > W_b(H(n - a - b, a, b))$.

Theorem 3.7 Let T be a tree of order $n \ge 4$. Then

$$W_b(T) \le f(n) = \begin{cases} rac{n(n^2-1)}{12}, & n \text{ is odd} \\ rac{n(n^2+2)}{12}, & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

with equality holding if and only if $T \cong P_n$ for even n or $T \in \{P_n, B_n(2)\}$ for odd n.

Proof Let T^* be the tree of order $n \ge 4$ with maximum W_b . By Lemma 3.3, T^* must be a bi-broom with even diameter or a broom. By Corollary 3.6, T^* cannot a bi-broom with even diameter. If T^* is a broom, by Lemma 3.4, we find that P_n is the broom with maximum bi-Wiener index with $W_b(B_n(2)) = W_b(P_n)$ if n is odd. So $T^* \cong P_n$ for even n or $T^* \in \{P_n, B_n(2)\}$ for odd n. Moreover, we have

$$W_b(P_n) = W_b(B_n(2)) = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{3} \text{ for } n = 2k+1, \text{ or } W_b(P_n) = \frac{k(2k^2+1)}{3} \text{ for } n = 2k. \text{ Thus } W_b(T) \le f(n) \text{ with } f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}, n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n(n^2+2)}{12}, n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \text{ with equality holding if and only if } T \cong P_n \text{ for even } n \text{ or } T \in \{P_n, B_n(2)\} \text{ for odd } n. \square$$

Combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.7, we arrive at the main result as follows.

Theorem 3.8 *Let T* be a tree of order $n \ge 4$. Then

$$n-1 \le W_b(T) \le \begin{cases} rac{n(n^2-1)}{12}, & n \text{ is odd} \\ rac{n(n^2+2)}{12}, & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

with left equality holding if and only if $T \cong S_n$ and right equality holding if and only if $T \cong P_n$ for even n or $T \in \{P_n, B_n(2)\}$ for odd n.

4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have introduced and studied a new topological index, called the bi-Wiener index. We have established some of its basic properties and determined its extremal values over all trees on a given number of vertices. However, many other interesting problems remain unresolved even for trees. We address here maybe the most natural one, namely the one about the largest value of the ratio $W_b(T_n)/W(T_n)$ over all trees of order *n*. We have shown that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{W_b(S_n)}{W(S_n)} = 0$ and that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{W_b(P_{2n+1})}{W(P_{2n+1})} = \frac{1}{2}$, but what can happen for general trees? It turns out, somewhat unexpectedly, that this cannot be bounded away from one when *n* tends to infinity.

Theorem 4.1 Let \mathcal{T} denote the set of all trees on $n \ge 4$ vertices. Then

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{W_b(T)}{W(T)} = 1.$$

Proof Assume that $T_n^* = H(k+1, (n-k-1)/2, (n-k-1)/2)$ with k being odd. Let us denote m = (n-k-1)/2 and consider trees H(k+1, m, m). It follows by a straightforward computation that their bi-Wiener and ordinary Wiener indices are given by

$$W_b((H(k+1,m,m)) = (k+2)m^2 + \frac{(k+1)^2}{2}m + \frac{1}{12}(k^3 + 3k^2 + 5k + 3)$$

and

$$W(H(k+1,m,m)) = (k+4)m^2 + k(k+3)m + \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(k+2),$$

🖉 Springer

respectively. Now, their ratio is given by

$$\frac{W_b(H(k+1,m,m))}{W(H(k+1,m,m))} = \frac{(k+2)m^2 + \frac{(k+1)^2}{2}m + \frac{1}{12}(k^3 + 3k^2 + 5k + 3)}{(k+4)m^2 + k(k+3)m + \frac{1}{5}k(k+1)(k+2)}$$

For large enough *m* (and hence large enough *n*), this will behave as the ratio of leading terms, $\frac{k+2}{k+4}$, and this, in turn, can be made arbitrarily close to 1 by choosing large enough *k*.

The supremum value of 1 is never achieved, since, by Corollary 2.3, the ratio remains strictly below one for the number of vertices greater than two. It is reached for P_2 , though, if we consider all trees.

The above proof works for even n. It would require only a minor modification to work also for n odd, but the conclusion would remain the same, settling the case for all trees.

The problem still remains, though, if we consider all trees on a given number of vertices. Since those are finite sets, there we can ask about the maximum value of the ratio.

Problem 4.2 What is the maximum value of the ratio $\frac{W_b(T_n)}{W(T_n)}$ among all trees T_n of a given order $n \ge 4$?

The results of Theorem 4.1 could be refined in several ways. For example, it would be interesting to investigate for which rational numbers p/q between 0 and 1 one could construct a sequence of trees so that the considered ratio tends to p/q. Also, one could ask are the stars the only trees with the ratio tending to zero.

It is natural to ask what happens for bipartite graph which are not trees, i.e., which contain cycles.

Problem 4.3 What is the supremum of the ratio $\frac{W_b(G)}{W(G)}$ over all bipartite graphs which contain a cycle? What is the maximum value of the ratio $\frac{W_b(G_n)}{W(G_n)}$ among all bipartite graphs G_n of a fixed order $n \ge 4$ containing at least one cycle?

In particular, what can be said about bipartite graphs with low cyclomatic numbers?

The bi-Wiener index could (and maybe should) be generalized in many (if not all) of the ways the original index was generalized. For example, one could consider its additively and multiplicatively weighted variants [14], then the terminal variant, the polarity variant, etc. It might be also interesting to investigate the corresponding polynomials [8]. Some work on those topics is under way and we hope to be able to report on it soon.

More generally, it may be interesting to extend the definition of the bi-Wiener index of a bipartite graph to the k-Wiener index for k-partite graphs with integer $k \ge 3$.

Acknowledgements The authors sincerely thank two anonymous referees for their careful reading and some helpful comments on our paper, which have improved the manuscript. K. Xu was partially supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 12271251). T. Došlić gratefully acknowledges partial support bt the Slovenian ARIS via Program P1-0383, grant no. J1-3002, and by COST Action CA21126 NanoSpace.

Data Availability All relevant data are within the paper.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest.

References

- Azari, M., Dehgardi, N., Došlić, T.: Lower bounds on the irregularity of trees and unicyclic graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 324, 136–144 (2023)
- Bonchev, D., Gutman, I., Polansky, O.E.: Parity of the distance numbers and Wiener numbers of bipartite graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 22, 209–214 (1987)
- 3. Bondy, J.A., Murty, U.S.R.: Graph Theory with Applications. Macmillan Press, New York (1976)
- 4. Buckley, F., Harary, F.: Distance in graphs. Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA (1990)
- Das, K.C., Nadjafi-Arani, M.J.: On maximum Wiener index of trees and graphs with given radius. J. Comb. Optim. 34, 574–587 (2017)
- Dobrynin, A.A.: The Szeged and Wiener indices of line graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 79, 743–756 (2018)
- Dobrynin, A.A., Vorob'ev, K.V.: Some results on the Wiener index related to the Šoltés problem of graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 344, 154–160 (2024)
- Došlić, T.: Vertex-weighted Wiener polynomials for composite graphs. Ars Math. Contemp. 1, 66–80 (2008)
- 9. Entringer, R.C., Jackson, D.E., Snyder, D.A.: Distance in graphs. Czech. Math. J. 26, 283-296 (1976)
- Gutman, I.: A property of the Wiener number and its modifications. Indian J. Chem. 36A, 128–132 (1997)
- Gutman, I., Furtula, B., Redžepović, I.: On topological indices and their reciprocals. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 91, 287–297 (2024)
- Gutman, I., Körtvélyesi, T.: Wiener indices and molecular surfaces. Z. Naturforsch. 50A, 669–671 (1995)
- Klavžar, S., Romih, G.D.: The cut method on hypergraphs for the Wiener index. J. Math. Chem. 61, 1592–1603 (2023)
- Klein, D.J., Došlić, T., Bonchev, D.: Vertex-weightings for distance moments and thorny graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 155, 2294–2302 (2007)
- 15. Li, L., Li, X., Liu, W.: Note on the product of Wiener and Harary indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **91**, 299–305 (2024)
- 16. Wiener, H.: Structrual determination of paraffin boiling points. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69, 17-20 (1947)
- Xu K., Das K. C., Gutman I., Wang M.: Comparison between Merrifield-Simmons index and Wiener index of graphs, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. 38 2220–2230 (2022)
- Xu, K., Das, K.C., Klavžar, S., Liu, H.: Comparison of Wiener index and Zagreb eccentricity indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 84, 595–610 (2020)
- Xu, K., Wang, M., Tian, J.: Relations between Merrifield-Simmons and Wiener indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 85, 147–160 (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

Authors and Affiliations

Ximei Chen^{1,2} · Sasan Karimi³ · Kexiang Xu^{1,2} · Marty Lewinter⁴ · Eric Choi⁵ · Anthony Delgado⁶ · Tomislav Došlić^{7,8}

- Kexiang Xu kexxu1221@126.com
 - Ximei Chen olaaaaaam@163.com

Sasan Karimi skarimi@qcc.cuny.edu

Marty Lewinter marty1729@gmail.com

Eric Choi ericchoi314@gmail.com

Anthony Delgado anthony.delgado12@gmail.com

Tomislav Došlić tomislav.doslic@grad.unizg.hr

- ¹ School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics & Astronautics, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210016, People's Republic of China
- ² MIIT Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modelling and High Performance Computing of Air Vehicles, Nanjing 210016, Jiangsu, China
- ³ Department of Chemistry, Queensborough Community College, Bayside, NY 11364, USA
- ⁴ Professor Emeritus of Mathematics, Purchase College-SUNY, Purchase, NY 10577, USA
- ⁵ School of Science and Technology, Georgia Gwinnett College, 1000 University Centre Ln, Lawrenceville, GA 30043, USA
- ⁶ Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 116th Street and Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA
- ⁷ Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁸ Faculty of Information Studies, Novo Mesto, Slovenia