



# Critical Fractional $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff Type Problem with a Generalized Choquard Nonlinearity and Magnetic Field

Wenjing Chen<sup>1</sup> · Dongxue Feng<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 October 2022 / Revised: 18 October 2023 / Accepted: 14 November 2023 /  
Published online: 18 December 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2023

## Abstract

In this article, using variational methods, we obtain that the existence of a nontrivial solution for a fractional  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type problem with a generalized Choquard nonlinearity, a critical Hardy–Sobolev term and magnetic field.

**Keywords** Fractional  $(p, q)$  Laplacian operator · Kirchhoff type problem · Choquard nonlinearity · Critical Sobolev–Hardy exponent · Magnetic field

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 35A15 · 35B33 · 35J60 · 35R11

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following fractional  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type problem

$$\begin{cases} M(|u|_{p,A}^p)(-\Delta)_{p,A}^s u + (-\Delta)_{q,A}^s u = (\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u|^2))f(|u|^2)u + \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*-2}u}{|x|^\alpha} + \lambda k(x)|u|^{r-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  containing 0 with Lipschitz boundary,  $1 < q < p$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < ps < N$  with  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $A \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a magnetic

---

Communicated by Nur Nadiah Abd Hamid.

---

✉ Wenjing Chen  
wjchen@swu.edu.cn  
Dongxue Feng  
dxfengmath@163.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China

potential,  $M : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Kirchhoff function,  $f$  is a continuous function,  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t)dt$ , here  $\mathcal{I}_\mu(x) = |x|^{-\mu}$  is the Riesz potential of order  $\mu \in (0, \min\{N, 2ps\})$ .  $p_\alpha^* = \frac{p(N-\alpha)}{N-ps}$  is critical Sobolev–Hardy exponent, when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-ps}$  is critical Sobolev exponent,  $1 < r < p_\alpha^* \leq p^*$ ,  $k(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  and the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian magnetic operator  $(-\Delta)_{p,A}^s$  is the differential of the convex functional

$$u \mapsto \frac{1}{p}[u]_{p,A}^p := \frac{1}{p} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy$$

defined on the Banach space (with respect to the norm  $[u]_{p,A}$  defined above)

$$W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \mid u \equiv 0 \text{ a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \text{ and } [u]_{p,A}^p < +\infty \right\}.$$

Let us first mention some results for  $A = 0$ . If  $p = q = 2$  in (1.1), the operator  $(-\Delta)_{p,A}^s$  becomes the fractional Laplacian operator  $(-\Delta)^s$  without magnetic, which arises in the study of several physical phenomena like phase transitions, crystal dislocations, quasi-geostrophic flows, flame propagations and so on. It can be seen as the infinitesimal generators of Lévy stable diffusion processes [4]. Recently, there are many works dedicated to study Kirchhoff problem with singular and critical terms but without a Hardy potential and a generalized Choquard term, namely with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\mu = 0$ .

Xiang and Wang [19] considered the existence, multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of nonnegative solutions for a fractional Schrödinger–Poisson–Kirchhoff type system

$$\begin{cases} (a + b\|u\|^2)[(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u] + \phi k(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda h(x)|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2s-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (-\Delta)^t \phi = k(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

When  $2p \leq q \leq 2_s^*$ ,  $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$  and  $\lambda > 0$  is large enough, existence of nonnegative solutions is obtained by the mountain pass theorem. Then, via the Ekeland variational principle, existence of nonnegative solutions is investigated when  $1 < q < 2$  and  $\lambda > 0$  is small enough.

If  $p = q \neq 2$ , Chen [6] established the existence of positive solutions by finding the minimizer of the corresponding energy functional for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} M([u]_{s,p}^p)(-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda(\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(u))f(u) + \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*-2}u}{|x|^\alpha}, & u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $M : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Kirchhoff function,  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  fulfills the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz type condition,  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t)dt$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < ps < N$  with  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

If  $p \neq q$ , we can see that, for the classical setting, problem (1.1) reduces to a fractional  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian elliptic problem

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + (-\Delta)_q^s u = g(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.$$

The above fractional  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian elliptic problem has been discussed widely in recent years, see [2, 5] for more details. Particularly, using concentration-compactness principle and the Kajikiya’s new version of symmetric mountain pass lemma, Ambrosio and Isernia [3] obtained the existence of infinitely many solutions to the fractional  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem involving critical Sobolev–Hardy exponent. Moreover, Lin and Zheng [14] considered the following fractional  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type problem involving critical Sobolev–Hardy exponent

$$\begin{cases} (a + b[u]_{s,p}^{(\theta-1)p})(-\Delta)_p^s u + (-\Delta)_q^s u = \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*-2}u}{|x|^\alpha} + \lambda f(x) \frac{|u|^{r-2}u}{|x|^c} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $a, b > 0, c < sr + N(1 - \frac{r}{p}), \theta \in (1, \frac{p_\alpha^*}{p})$ . The authors proved that there are at least two nontrivial solutions for small  $\lambda > 0$  by the mountain pass theorem and Ekeland’s variational principle.

However, a lot of attention has been focused on the study of problems with magnetic field in the last decades; both for the pure mathematical research and applications, we refer to [1, 8–10, 15, 17, 18, 20] and references therein. If  $A$  is a smooth function and  $p = q = 2$ , there are many results on Kirchhoff type problems with magnetic field and involving nonlinear convolution terms, such as Choquard equations. Ambrosio [1] studied the existence and concentration of nontrivial solutions for a fractional Choquard equation with  $f$  is continuous and subcritical growth. Xiang et al. [18] obtained the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following critical fractional Choquard-Kirchhoff type equation

$$M(\|u\|_{s,A}^2)[(-\Delta)_A^s u + u] = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(|u|^2)}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy f(|u|^2)u + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Fiscella and Pucci [11] proposed the nonlinear Schrödinger equations and related systems with magnetic fields and Hardy–Sobolev critical exponents. Yang and An [20] considered the existence of infinitely many solutions of a degenerate magnetic fractional problem. By variational approach, Yang et al. [21] studied the existence of the solutions for the following fractional Schrödinger-Kirchhoff equation involving critical Sobolev–Hardy nonlinearities

$$M(\|u\|_{s,A}^2)[(-\Delta)_A^s u + V(x)u] = \frac{|u|^{2_s^*(\alpha)-2}u}{|x|^\alpha} + \lambda f(x, |u|)u + g(x, |u|)u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $2_s^*(\alpha) = \frac{2(N-\alpha)}{N-2s}$  with  $\alpha \in [0, 2s)$  is the fractional Hardy–Sobolev critical exponent. The main novelty is the presence of the magnetic field and critical term as well as the possible degenerate nature of the Kirchhoff function  $M$ .

The fractional  $p$ -Kirchhoff type problems with magnetic fields have been studied extensively. Liang and Zhang [12] obtained the existence of infinitely many solutions for the  $p$ -fractional Kirchhoff equations with magnetic fields and critical nonlinearity

by using the concentration-compactness principle and the Kajikiya’s new version of the symmetric mountain pass lemma. By the variational methods, Song and Shi [17] studied the existence and multiplicity solutions for the  $p$ -fractional Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type equations with magnetic field and critical nonlinearity.

The aim of this work is to consider the existence of solutions for the fractional  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type problem with a generalized Choquard nonlinearity, a critical Hardy–Sobolev term and magnetic field.

Now we give the following assumptions on the Kirchhoff function  $M$ :

(M<sub>1</sub>)  $M : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous function, and there exists  $m_0 > 0$  such that  $\inf_{t \geq 0} M(t) = m_0$ .

(M<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $\theta \in [1, \frac{N-\alpha}{N-ps})$  such that  $M(t)t \leq \theta \mathcal{M}(t), \forall t \geq 0$ , where  $\mathcal{M}(t) = \int_0^t M(\tau) d\tau$ .

A typical example is  $M(t) = m_0 + bt^{\theta-1}$ , where  $b \geq 0, t \geq 0$ .

Moreover, we assume that  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ , which satisfies

(F<sub>1</sub>)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(t)|}{t^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} = 0$ ,

(F<sub>2</sub>)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{t^{\frac{h-2}{2}}} = 0$  for some  $\frac{(2N-\mu)p}{2N} < h < \frac{(2N-\mu)p}{2(N-ps)}$ ,

(F<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $\kappa \in (p\theta, r)$  such that for all  $t > 0, 0 < \kappa F(t) \leq 4f(t)t$ , where  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(r) dr$ .

Furthermore, we assume that

(K<sub>1</sub>)  $k(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  with  $1 < r < p^*$  and there are two positive constants  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  such that  $0 < \omega_1 \leq k(x) \leq \omega_2 < +\infty$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

The main result can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** *Assume that  $1 < q < p, 0 \leq \alpha < ps < N, 0 < \mu < \min\{N, 2ps\}$ , (M<sub>1</sub>)–(M<sub>2</sub>), (K<sub>1</sub>) with  $p\theta < r < p_\alpha^*$  and (F<sub>1</sub>) – (F<sub>3</sub>) hold. Then, there exists a constant  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution  $u$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda_*$ .*

The main feature and difficulty is the presence of  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian magnetic operator and Kirchhoff function  $M$ . The appearance of the magnetic field brings extra difficulties to the problem. Second, It is difficult to get the Palais–Smale [(PS) for short] condition due to critical Sobolev–Hardy nonlinearity. For this purpose, we use a  $p$ -fractional version of concentration-compactness principle with magnetic field to show that the energy functional satisfies local  $(PS)_c$  condition for  $c$  less than some critical level when the parameter  $\lambda$  is large enough.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we give some preliminaries. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Sect. 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall the relevant definitions and notations. The fractional Sobolev space  $W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \mid u \equiv 0 \text{ a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \text{ and } [u]_{p,A}^p < +\infty \right\},$$

where  $[u]_{p,A}$  denotes the magnetic Gagliardo semi-norm defined by

$$[u]_{p,A} = \left( \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

According to [8], for  $p > 1$ ,  $W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  is a separable reflexive Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\| = [\cdot]_{p,A}$  and the completion with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\| = [\cdot]_{p,A}$  of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ . The topological dual of  $W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  will be denoted by  $W_{0,A}^{-s,p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  with the corresponding duality pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : W_{0,A}^{-s,p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \times W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Due to reflexivity, the weak and weak  $*$  convergence in  $W_{0,A}^{-s,p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  coincides.

For  $1 < q < p$ , let us set  $\mathcal{W} = W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \cap W_{0,A}^{s,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  endowed with the norm  $[u]_{\mathcal{W}} := [u]_{p,A} + [u]_{q,A}$ . Moreover, set  $\mathcal{W}' = W_{0,A}^{-s,p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \cap W_{0,A}^{-s,q'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ .

According to the diamagnetic inequality  $||u(x)| - |u(y)|| \leq |u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|$ , for  $a.e.x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , in [9], we have the following inequality.

**Lemma 2.1** *For every  $u \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , we get  $|u| \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ . More precisely,  $[|u|]_s \leq [u]_{p,A}$ , where  $[|u|]_s = \left( \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** *Assume that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq ps < N$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_\alpha^*}} \leq C[u]_{p,A} \text{ for every } u \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}).$$

**Proof** Combining the results of Lemma 2.1 and [7, Lemma 2.1], we can get the result. □

In particular,  $W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  embeds continuously into  $L^h(\Omega, dx/|x|^\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, ps]$  and  $h \in [1, p_\alpha^*]$ . Moreover, if  $h \in [1, p_\alpha^*)$ , the embedding is compact. Thanks to the previous lemma, we can define for any  $\alpha \in [0, ps]$  the positive numbers, when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $S_\alpha$  becomes the best Sobolev constant  $S$ .

$$S_\alpha = \inf \left\{ \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy : u \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \text{ with } \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Next, we recall the following Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality.

**Lemma 2.3** [13] *Assume that  $1 < v, t < \infty, 0 < \mu < N$  and  $\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} = 2$ . Then, there exists  $C(N, \mu, v, t) > 0$  such that*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|g(x)||b(y)|}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \leq C(N, \mu, v, t) \|g\|_v \|b\|_t$$

for all  $g \in L^v(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$  and  $b \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ .

In particular,  $F(t) = |t|^h$  for some  $h > 0$ , by the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality, the integral

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{F(|u(x)|^2) F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy$$

is well defined if  $F(|u|^2) \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for some  $t > 1$  satisfying  $\frac{2}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} = 2$ , that is,  $t = \frac{2N}{2N - \mu}$ . Hence, thanks to the fact that the fractional Sobolev embedding theorem, if  $u \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , we must require that  $th \in [p, p_\alpha^*]$ . Thus, for the subcritical case, we must assume

$$\tilde{p}_{\mu,s} := \frac{(2N - \mu)p}{2N} < h < \frac{(2N - \mu)p}{2(N - ps)} := p_{\mu,s}^*.$$

Hence,  $\tilde{p}_{\mu,s}$  is said to be the lower critical exponent and  $p_{\mu,s}^*$  is called the upper critical exponent in the sense of the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality.

The energy functional  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  formally associated with problem (1.1) is

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \Psi(u) - H_\alpha(u) - \lambda K(u),$$

with

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}([u]_{p,A}^p) + \frac{1}{q} [u]_{q,A}^q, \quad \Psi(u) = \frac{1}{4} \iint_{\Omega} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{F(|u(x)|^2) F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy,$$

$$H_\alpha(u) = \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx, \quad K(u) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} k(x)|u|^r dx.$$

Let  $\Re$  and the bar denote the real part of a complex number and the complex conjugation, respectively. We have the following results.

**Lemma 2.4** [6, Lemma 2.3] *Let  $(M_1)$  hold. Then,  $\Phi$  is of class  $C^1$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'(u), \varphi \rangle &= M([u]_{p,A}^p) \Re \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} |u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u(y)|^{p-2} \\ &\quad \times \left( u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u(y) \right) \overline{\left( \varphi(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} \varphi(y) \right)} dx dy \\ &+ \Re \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+qs}} |u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{q})} u(y)|^{q-2} \\ &\quad \times \left( u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{q})} u(y) \right) \overline{\left( \varphi(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{q})} \varphi(y) \right)} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, \varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ . Moreover,  $\Phi$  is weakly lower semi-continuous in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**Lemma 2.5** [7, Lemma 2.3] *Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq ps < N$ . Then,  $H_\alpha$  is of class  $C^1$  with*

$$\langle H'_\alpha(u), \varphi \rangle = \Re e \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u \overline{\varphi}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \text{ for every } u, \varphi \in W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, the operator  $H'_\alpha : W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W_{0,A}^{-s,p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  is sequentially weak-to-weak continuous.

**Lemma 2.6** [6, Lemma 2.5] *Assume  $(F_1)$  and  $(F_2)$  hold, we have*

$$\left| \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u(x)|^2) |u(x)|^2 dx dy \right| \leq C([u]_{p,A}^{2p} + [u]_{p,A}^{2h})$$

and

$$\left| \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|u(x)|^2) F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right| \leq C([u]_{p,A}^{2p} + [u]_{p,A}^{2h}).$$

**Lemma 2.7** [6, Lemma 2.6] *Let  $(F_1) - (F_2)$  hold and  $0 < \mu < \min\{N, 2ps\}$ . Then,  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  are weakly strongly continuous on  $W_{0,A}^{s,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ .*

From Lemmas 2.4–2.7, and conditions  $(F_1) - (F_3)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u)$  is of class  $C^1$ . We say that  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1), if

$$\begin{aligned} M([u]_{p,A}^p) \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{p,A} + \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{q,A} &= \Re e \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u(x)|^2) u(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} dx dy \\ &+ \Re e \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u \overline{\varphi(x)}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ &+ \lambda \Re e \int_\Omega k(x) |u|^{r-2} u \overline{\varphi(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle_{t,A}$  with  $t \in \{p, q\}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{t,A} &= \Re e \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+ts}} |u(x) \\ &- e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u(y)|^{t-2} \left( u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u(y) \right) \\ &\times \overline{\left( \varphi(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} \varphi(y) \right)} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ . Clearly, the critical points of  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u)$  are exactly the weak solutions of problem (1.1).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start by showing that functional  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  has the geometric structure of the mountain pass theorem.

**Lemma 3.1** *Assume that  $(M_1) - (M_2)$  and  $(F_1) - (F_3)$  hold. Then,*

- (i) *there exist  $\vartheta, \rho > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) \geq \vartheta$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $[u]_{\mathcal{W}} = \rho$ .*
- (ii) *There exist  $e \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $[e]_{\mathcal{W}} > \rho$  and  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(e) < 0$ .*

**Proof** (i) From Lemma 2.6,  $(M_1) - (M_2)$ , and by Hölder inequality and the fractional Hardy–Sobolev embedding, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}([u]_{p,A}^p) + \frac{1}{q} [u]_{q,A}^q \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|u(x)|^2)F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_\Omega k(x)|u|^r dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p\theta} M([u]_{p,A}^p)[u]_{p,A}^p - C([u]_{p,A}^{2p} \\ &\quad + [u]_{p,A}^{2h}) - C[u]_{p,A}^{p_\alpha^*} - C\|k(x)\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}} [u]_{p,A}^r \\ &\geq \frac{m_0}{p\theta} [u]_{p,A}^p - C([u]_{p,A}^{2p} + [u]_{p,A}^{2h}) \\ &\quad - C[u]_{p,A}^{p_\alpha^*} - C\|k(x)\|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}} [u]_{p,A}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{(2N-\mu)p}{2N} < h < \frac{(2N-\mu)p}{2(N-ps)}$  and  $p\theta < r < p_\alpha^*$ , we have  $p < 2h$ ,  $p < r$  and  $p < p_\alpha^*$ , and then the claim follows if we choose  $\rho$  small enough.

- (ii) Assume  $u_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $(M_2)$  and  $(F_3)$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(tu_0) &\leq \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}([tu_0]_{p,A}^p) + \frac{1}{q} [tu_0]_{q,A}^q \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|tu_0(x)|^2)F(|tu_0(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{p_\alpha^*}}{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}(1)t^{p\theta} [u_0]_{p,A}^{p\theta} + \frac{1}{q} t^q [u_0]_{q,A}^q \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{p_\alpha^*}}{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \rightarrow -\infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

since  $q < p < \theta p < p_\alpha^*$ . Thus, there exist  $e \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $[e]_{\mathcal{W}} > \rho$  and  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(e) < 0$ . □



**Lemma 3.2** *If conditions  $(M_1) - (M_2)$  and  $(F_1) - (F_3)$  hold. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a  $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$  sequence of functional  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  with  $c_\lambda < \left(\frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*}\right) (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}}$ . Then exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  strongly converges in  $\mathcal{W}$ .*

**Proof** Since  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{W}'$ , by  $(M_1)$ ,  $(M_2)$  and  $(F_3)$ , then there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} C + o(1)[u]_{p,A} &\geq \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}([u_n]_{p,A}^p) + \frac{1}{q} [u_n]_{q,A}^q - \frac{1}{\kappa} M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) [u_n]_{p,A}^p \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\kappa} [u_n]_{q,A}^q + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \int_\Omega k(x) |u_n|^r dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \left(\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u_n|^2)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F(|u_n|^2)\right) dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*}\right) \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) [u_n]_{p,A}^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) m_0 [u_n]_{p,A}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $\kappa > p\theta > q$ . By the concentration-compactness principle [8], there exist  $u \in \mathcal{W}$ , two Borel regular measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , at most countable set  $\{x_j\}_J \subset \bar{\Omega}$ , and non-negative numbers  $\{\mu_j\}_{j \in J}, \{\nu_j\}_{j \in J} \subset [0, \infty)$  such that, up to subsequence,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a. e. in  $\Omega$  and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^r(\Omega, dx/|x|^\alpha) \text{ for } p \leq r < p_\alpha^*, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < ps, \tag{3.1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &\rightharpoonup^* \mu, \quad \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \rightharpoonup^* \nu, \\ \mu &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dy + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\mu}, \quad \mu_j := \mu(\{x_j\}), \\ \nu &= \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \nu_j := \nu(\{x_j\}), \\ \mu_j &\geq S_\alpha \nu_j^{\frac{p}{p_\alpha^*}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Fix  $i_0 \in J$ , we are ready to prove that either  $\nu_{i_0} = 0$  or

$$\nu_{i_0} \geq (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}}. \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, let  $\varphi_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(B_{2\epsilon}(x_{i_0}))$  satisfy  $0 \leq \varphi_\epsilon \leq 1, \varphi_\epsilon|_{B_\epsilon(x_{i_0})} = 1$  and  $\|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}$ . Clearly  $\{\varphi_\epsilon u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n), \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{p,A} + \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{q,A} \\ &= \Re e \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|u_n(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u_n(x)|^2) u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx \, dy \\ &+ \Re e \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n(x)|^{p_\alpha^* - 2}}{|x|^\alpha} u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx \\ &+ \lambda \Re e \int_\Omega k(x) |u_n(x)|^{r-2} u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

On the one hand,  $\langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{t,A}$  with  $t \in \{p, q\}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{t,A} \\ &= \Re e \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+ts}} |u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y)|^{t-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \varphi_\epsilon(x) u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} \varphi_\epsilon(y) u_n(y) \right) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y)|^t \varphi_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^{N+ts}} \, dx \, dy \\ &+ \Re e \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y)|^{t-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+ts}} \\ &\quad \times e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{t})} u_n(y) (\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)) \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

First,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y)|^{p-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y) \right)^2 \varphi_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon(x) \, d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain at once that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y)|^{p-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y) \right)^2 \varphi_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \mu_{i_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From [16, Lemma 2.6], we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} |u_n(x)|^p \, dx \, dy = 0.$$

Then, using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y)|^{p-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})} u_n(y) (\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)) \, dx dy \right| \\ & \leq [u_n]_{p,A}^{p-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} |u_n(y)|^p \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . For the second term on the left-hand side of (3.4), similarly, we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{q,A} \rightarrow \mu_{i_0}.$$

By the continuity of  $M(t)$  and (3.5)–(3.7), we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{p,A} + \langle u_n, \varphi_\epsilon u_n \rangle_{q,A} \right] \geq M(d^p) \mu_{i_0}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [u_n]_{p,A}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(|u_n(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u_n(x)|^2) u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx \, dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u(x)|^2) |u(x)|^2 \varphi_\epsilon(x) \, dx \, dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(|u(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u(x)|^2) |u(x)|^2 \varphi_\epsilon(x) \, dx \, dy = 0.$$

Then, we have shown that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(|u_n(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|u_n(x)|^2) u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx \, dy = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} k(x) |u_n(x)|^{r-2} u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Furthermore, turning to (3.2), we deduce that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n(x)|^{p_\alpha^*-2}}{|x|^\alpha} u_n(x) \varphi_\epsilon(x) \overline{u_n(x)} \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_\epsilon \, dv = v_{i_0}. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, taking the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (3.4), from (3.8) to (3.11), one has  $M(d^P)\mu_{i_0} \leq v_{i_0}$ . This together with  $(M_1)$  implies that

$$m_0\mu_{i_0}^* \leq M(d^P)\mu_{i_0} \leq v_{i_0}.$$

It follows from  $\mu_j \geq S_\alpha v_j^{\frac{p}{p_\alpha^*}}$  for all  $j \in \Lambda$  that

$$v_{i_0} \leq S_\alpha^{-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p}} \left( \frac{v_{i_0}}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence  $v_{i_0} = 0$  or  $v_{i_0} \geq (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}}$ .

Next, we conclude that (3.3) can not occur; hence,  $v_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \Lambda$ .

By contradiction, we assume that there exists  $i_0 \in \Lambda$  such that (3.3) holds. By  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{W}'$ , we have

$$c_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p\theta} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \right). \tag{3.13}$$

From  $(M_2)$  and  $(F_3)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p\theta} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ & \geq \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{M}([u_n]_{p,A}^p) - \frac{1}{p\theta} M([u_n]_{p,A}^p)[u_n]_{p,A}^p \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega \left( \mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u_n|^2) \right) \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F(|u_n|^2) \right) dx \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \int_\Omega k(x)|u_n|^r dx \\ & \geq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \\ & \geq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \varphi_\epsilon(x) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

since  $\theta \geq 1$ ,  $p\theta < p_\alpha^*$ , and  $0 \leq \varphi_\epsilon \leq 1$ , where  $\varphi_\epsilon$  is defined as above. From (3.2), (3.13) and (3.14), we find

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p\theta} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) \\ & \geq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) \int_\Omega \varphi_\epsilon(x) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and using (3.3), it holds that

$$c_\lambda \geq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) v_{i_0} \geq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}},$$

which contradicts the assumption. Hence  $v_j \equiv 0$  for all  $j \in \Lambda$  and then

$$\frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.15}$$

Finally, we show that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $\mathcal{W}$ . In fact, for simplicity, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$  be fixed and  $B_\varphi^t$  be the linear functional on  $W_{0,A}^{s,t}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varphi^t(v) &= \Re e \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{r})} \varphi(y)|^{t-2} \left( \varphi(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{r})} \varphi(y) \right) \overline{\left( v(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{r})} v(y) \right)}}{|x-y|^{N+ts}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{W}$ .

By the Hölder inequality, we have  $|B_\varphi^p(v)| \leq [\varphi]_{p,A}^{p-1} [v]_{p,A}$ , for all  $v \in \mathcal{W}$ . Hence, (3.1) gives that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) - M([u]_{p,A}^p) \right) B_u^p(u_n - u) = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

since  $\left\{ M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) - M([u]_{p,A}^p) \right\}_n$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{W}'$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ , we have  $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n) - \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n) - \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - M([u]_{p,A}^p) B_u^p(u_n - u) + B_{u_n}^q(u_n - u) - B_u^q(u_n - u) \\ &\quad - \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ (\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u_n|^2)) f(|u_n|^2) u_n - (\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u|^2)) f(|u|^2) u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \\ &\quad - \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u_n}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u}{|x|^\alpha} \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ k(x) |u_n|^{r-2} u_n - k(x) |u|^{r-2} u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \\ &= M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) \left[ B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u) \right] \\ &\quad + \left( M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) - M([u]_{p,A}^p) \right) B_u^p(u_n - u) + B_{u_n}^q(u_n - u) - B_u^q(u_n - u) \\ &\quad - \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ (\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u_n|^2)) f(|u_n|^2) u_n - (\mathcal{I}_\mu * F(|u|^2)) f(|u|^2) u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \\ &\quad - \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ \frac{|u_n|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u_n}{|x|^\alpha} - \frac{|u|^{p_\alpha^* - 2} u}{|x|^\alpha} \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \Re e \int_\Omega \left[ k(x) |u_n|^{r-2} u_n - k(x) |u|^{r-2} u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

From Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ (\mathcal{I}_{\mu} * F(|u_n|^2))f(|u_n|^2)u_n - (\mathcal{I}_{\mu} * F(|u|^2))f(|u|^2)u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.18}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, from (3.15) and the Brezis–Lieb Lemma, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{p_{\alpha}^*}}{|x|^{\alpha}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p_{\alpha}^*}}{|x|^{\alpha}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p_{\alpha}^*}}{|x|^{\alpha}} dx + o(1) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This together with the Hölder inequality implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{|u_n|^{p_{\alpha}^*-2}u_n}{|x|^{\alpha}} - \frac{|u|^{p_{\alpha}^*-2}u}{|x|^{\alpha}} \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Since  $k \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , by the Vitali convergence theorem one can deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} k(x)|u_n|^r dx = \int_{\Omega} k(x)|u|^r dx. \tag{3.20}$$

This together with the Brezis–Lieb Lemma yields that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ k(x)|u_n|^{r-2}u_n - k(x)|u|^{r-2}u \right] \overline{(u_n - u)} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

Let us now recall the well-known Simon inequalities. There exist positive numbers  $c_p$  and  $C_p$ , depending only on  $p$ , such that

$$|\xi - \eta|^p \leq \begin{cases} c_p(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\eta|^{p-2}\eta)(\xi - \eta) & \text{for } p \geq 2, \\ [3pt] C_p [ (|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\eta|^{p-2}\eta)(\xi - \eta) ]^{\frac{p}{2}} (|\xi|^p + |\eta|^p)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} & \text{for } 1 < p < 2, \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Therefore, to the third term on the right hand side of (3.17), we obtain

$$B_{u_n}^q(u_n - u) - B_u^q(u_n - u) \geq 0. \tag{3.23}$$

From (3.16) to (3.23) and  $(M_1)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M([u_n]_{p,A}^p) [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)] \leq 0.$$

Since  $M([u_n]_{p,A}^p)[B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)] \leq 0$  for all  $n$  by convexity and  $(M_1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)] \leq 0. \tag{3.24}$$

According to the Simon inequality, we divide the discussion into two cases.

*Case I*  $p \geq 2$ , from (3.22) and (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [u_n - u]_{p,A}^p \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u_n(y) + e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ &\leq c_p \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \left[ \frac{|u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u_n(y)|^{p-2} \left( u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u_n(y) \right)}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)|^{p-2} \left( u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y) \right)}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left( u_n(x) - u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u_n(y) + e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y) \right) dx dy \\ &= c_p [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)] \leq 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Case II*  $1 < p < 2$ , taking  $\xi = u_n(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u_n(y)$  and  $\eta = u(x) - e^{i(x-y)A(\frac{x+y}{p})}u(y)$  in (3.22), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [u_n - u]_{p,A}^p \leq C_p [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)]^{\frac{p}{2}} ([u_n]_{p,A}^p + [u]_{p,A}^p)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &\leq C_p [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)]^{\frac{p}{2}} ([u_n]_{p,A}^{p(2-p)/2} + [u]_{p,A}^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}) \\ &\leq C [B_{u_n}^p(u_n - u) - B_u^p(u_n - u)]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that  $[u_n]_{p,A}$  and  $[u]_{p,A}$  are bounded, and the elementary inequality

$$(a + b)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \leq a^{\frac{2-p}{2}} + b^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \quad \text{for all } a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 < p < 2.$$

In conclusion,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $\mathcal{W}$ , the proof is complete. □

**Lemma 3.3** *If conditions  $(M_1)$ – $(M_2)$  and  $(F_1)$ – $(F_3)$  hold, then there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that*

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma(t)) < \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}}, \tag{3.25}$$

for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ , where  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{W}) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$ .

**Proof** We choose  $v_0 \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $[v_0]_{p,A} = 1$ . Then,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(tv_0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(tv_0) = -\infty$ , and then, there exists  $t_\lambda > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(tv_0) = \mathcal{J}(t_\lambda v_0)$ . Hence,  $t_\lambda$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 M([t_\lambda v_0]_{p,A}^p)[t_\lambda v_0]_{p,A}^p + [t_\lambda v_0]_{q,A}^q &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|t_\lambda v_0|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|t_\lambda v_0|^2) |t_\lambda v_0|^2 \, dx \, dy \\
 &\quad + \int_\Omega \frac{|t_\lambda v_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx \\
 &\quad + \lambda \int_\Omega k(x) |t_\lambda v_0|^r \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

By  $(M_2)$  and  $(F_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta \mathcal{M}([t_\lambda v_0]_{p,A}^p) + [t_\lambda v_0]_{q,A}^q &\geq M([t_\lambda v_0]_{p,A}^p)[t_\lambda v_0]_{p,A}^p + [t_\lambda v_0]_{q,A}^q \\
 &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|t_\lambda v_0|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|t_\lambda v_0|^2) |t_\lambda v_0|^2 \, dx \, dy \\
 &\quad + \int_\Omega \frac{|t_\lambda v_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx + \lambda \int_\Omega k(x) |t_\lambda v_0|^r \, dx \\
 &\geq \frac{\kappa}{4} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|t_\lambda v_0(x)|^2) F(|t_\lambda v_0(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy \\
 &\quad + t_\lambda^{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx + \lambda \int_\Omega k(x) |t_\lambda v_0|^r \, dx \\
 &\geq t_\lambda^{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $t_\lambda \geq 1$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Using  $(M_2)$  again, (3.27) gives that

$$t_\lambda^{p_\alpha^*} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_0|^{p_\alpha^*}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx \leq \theta \mathcal{M}(1) t_\lambda^{p_\theta} + t_\lambda^q [v_0]_{q,A}^q,$$

with  $q < \theta p < p_\alpha^*$ , thus  $\{t_\lambda\}$  is bounded. Thus, there exists  $t_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$t_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow t_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.6 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|t_{\lambda_n} v_0(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|t_{\lambda_n} v_0(x)|^2) |t_{\lambda_n} v_0(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy \\
 &\rightarrow \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{F(|t_0 v_0(y)|^2)}{|x-y|^\mu} f(|t_0 v_0(x)|^2) |t_0 v_0(x)|^2 \, dx \, dy,
 \end{aligned}$$



as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\lambda_n \int_{\Omega} k(x) |t_{\lambda_n} v_0(x)|^r dx \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, (3.26) implies that  $M([t_0 v_0]_{p,A}^p) [t_0 v_0]_{p,A}^p + [t_0 v_0]_{q,A}^q = \infty$ . This is impossible. Thus,  $t_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . From (3.26) again, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega} k(x) |t_\lambda v_0(x)|^r dx = 0.$$

This together with  $(F_3)$  implies that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(|t_\lambda v_0(x)|^2) F(|t_\lambda v_0(y)|^2)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(t v_0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(t_\lambda v_0) = 0.$$

Then, there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ ,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(t v_0) \leq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}}.$$

Taking  $e = T v_0$  with  $T$  large enough to verify  $J_\lambda(e) < 0$ , we obtain  $c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma(t))$ , with  $\gamma(t) = t T v_0$ . Therefore

$$c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma(t)) \leq \left( \frac{1}{p\theta} - \frac{1}{p_\alpha^*} \right) (m_0 S_\alpha)^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^* - p}},$$

for  $\lambda$  large enough. Thus (3.25) holds. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Lemmas 3.1–3.3 and the mountain pass theorem guarantee that there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that functional  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  has a critical point for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ , so  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) with  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) > 0$ . □

**Acknowledgements** The authors were supported by Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing, China cstc2021ycjh-bgzxm0115.

### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

## References

1. Ambrosio, V.: Multiplicity and concentration of solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff equation with magnetic field and critical growth. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **20**, 2717–2766 (2019)
2. Ambrosio, V.: Fractional  $p$  &  $q$  Laplacian problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with critical growth. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **39**, 289–314 (2020)
3. Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T.: On a fractional  $p$  &  $q$ -Laplacian problem with critical Sobolev–Hardy exponents. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **15**, 1–17 (2018)
4. Applebaum, D.: Lévy processes from probability to finance and quantum groups. *Not. Am. Math. Soc.* **51**, 1336–1347 (2004)
5. Chen, C., Bao, J.: Existence, nonexistence, and multiplicity of solutions for the fractional  $p$  &  $q$ -Laplacian equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Bound. Value Probl.* **153**, 1–16 (2016)
6. Chen, W.: Critical fractional  $p$ -Kirchhoff type problem with a generalized Choquard nonlinearity. *J. Math. Phys.* **59**, 1–15 (2018)
7. Chen, W., Mosconi, S., Squassina, M.: Nonlocal problems with critical Hardy nonlinearity. *J. Funct. Anal.* **275**, 3065–3114 (2018)
8. Chen, W., Feng, D.: Critical fractional  $p$ -Kirchhoff type problem with a generalized Choquard nonlinearity and magnetic field (**preprint**)
9. D’Avenia, P., Squassina, M.: Ground states for fractional magnetic operators. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **24**, 1–24 (2018)
10. Fiscella, A., Pinamonti, A., Vecchi, E.: Multiplicity results for magnetic fractional problems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **263**, 4617–4633 (2017)
11. Fiscella, A., Pucci, P.: Kirchhoff-Hardy fractional problems with lack of compactness. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **17**, 429–456 (2017)
12. Liang, S., Zhang, J.: Infinitely many solutions for the  $p$ -fractional Kirchhoff equations with electromagnetic fields and critical nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal. Model Control* **23**, 599–618 (2018)
13. Lieb, E., Loss, M.: *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001)
14. Lin, X., Zheng, S.: Multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of solutions to fractional  $(p, q)$ -Kirchhoff type problems with critical Sobolev–Hardy exponent. *Electr. J. Differ. Eq.* **66**, 1–20 (2021)
15. Mao, S., Xia, A.: Multiplicity results of nonlinear fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation with steep potential. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **97**, 73–80 (2019)
16. Mosconi, S., Squassina, M.: Nonlocal problems at nearly critical growth. *Nonlinear Anal.* **136**, 84–101 (2016)
17. Song, Y., Shi, S.: Existence and multiplicity solutions for the  $p$ -fractional Schrödinger–Kirchhoff equations with electromagnetic fields and critical nonlinearity. *Acta Appl. Math.* **165**, 45–63 (2020)
18. Xiang, M., Radulescu, V., Zhang, B.: A critical fractional Choquard–Kirchhoff problem with magnetic field. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **21**, 1–36 (2019)
19. Xiang, M., Wang, F.: Fractional Schrödinger–Poisson–Kirchhoff type systems involving critical nonlinearities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **164**, 1–26 (2017)
20. Yang, L., An, T.: Infinitely many solutions for magnetic fractional problems with critical Sobolev–Hardy nonlinearities. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 9607–9617 (2018)
21. Yang, L., Zuo, J., An, T.: Existence of entire solutions for critical Sobolev–Hardy problems involving magnetic fractional operator. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **66**, 1864–1880 (2021)

**Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.