



A Double Phase Problem with a Nonlinear Boundary Condition

Debajyoti Choudhuri¹ · Dušan D. Repovš^{2,3} · Kamel Saoudi⁴

Received: 13 February 2023 / Revised: 8 April 2023 / Accepted: 12 April 2023 /
Published online: 17 May 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2023

Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of solutions to a double phase problem with a prescribed nonlinear boundary condition which is nonlinear in nature. The driving nonlinear perturbations obey a suitable condition at the origin and on the boundary. To the best of our knowledge, a double phase measure data problem with nonlinear boundary condition is new and has not been studied yet. The novelty of our work lies in using the well known weak convergence method to guarantee the existence of solutions. We also provide an illustrative example.

Keywords Variable exponent Sobolev space · Double phase operator · Nonlinear boundary condition · Steklov eigenvalue problem · Robin eigenvalue problem · Existence

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary: 35R35 · 35J15 · 35Q35 · 35J20 · 46E35; Secondary: 35J62 · 35J92 · 35P30

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa.

✉ Debajyoti Choudhuri
dc.iit12@gmail.com

Dušan D. Repovš
dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si

Kamel Saoudi
kmsaoudi@iau.edu.sa

¹ Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Rourkela, Odisha 769008, India

² Faculty of Education and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

³ Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁴ Basic and Applied Scientific Research Center, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam 34212, Saudi Arabia

1 Introduction

In this paper we shall analyze the existence of solutions to the following *measure data* problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) = f_1(x, u, \nabla u) + \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot n = f_2(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \geq 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary of Hausdorff dimension $(N - 1)$, μ is a positive Radon measure defined over the σ -algebra of Ω , n is the outward unit normal,

$$(C) : \quad 1 < p^+ := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{p(x)\} < q^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{p(x)\} < N,$$

$v \in L^1_w(\Omega)$ is the weak Marcinkiewicz space, and functions f_1, f_2 are of the Carathéodory type and satisfy certain growth conditions at the origin and at infinity.

To the best of our knowledge, a double phase measure data problem with nonlinearity having variable exponent growth and nonlinear boundary condition is new and has not been studied yet. The novelty of our work lies in the usage of the well known weak convergence method to guarantee the existence of solutions to problem (1.1). We recall the definition of the double phase differential operator

$$-\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \text{ for all } u \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega), \tag{1.2}$$

where $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ is a suitable Musielak–Orlicz Sobolev space, the definition of which will be given in the subsequent section. We observe that when $\inf_{\Omega} \{v(x)\} > 0$ or when $v \equiv 0$, then the operator in (1.2) becomes a weighted $(q(x), p(x))$ -Laplacian or a purely $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator, respectively.

In general, the study of single phase elliptic problems with a source term taken to be a *positive Radon measure* along with a Dirichlet boundary condition has been of great interest among only a handful of researchers. Therefore we shall first give a brief account of such elliptic problems involving either a measure or an L^1 data. While surveying the literature, we have noticed that the problems of this nature have not been studied in great proportions, owing to their mathematical difficulty. However, we would like to bring to the attention of the readers some of the most important work which will help them to get acquainted with the techniques involved in tackling such type of problems that are driven by a measure data.

We begin by referring to the seminal work of Brezis [14] that stands out in this list due to its pioneering efforts. His key observation was that not all measures are suitable to work with and this led to the coining of the term *reduced measure*. This motivated further development in the field, albeit after a very long time. Véron’s book [41] is a rich documentation of the techniques to tackle the problems driven by measure datum. This acted as a reference point for the researchers which led to an increase in the study of problems of these types. For more information and insight we refer to

Bhakta and Marcus [9], Boccardo and Gallouët [10, 11], Brezis et al. [15], Véron et al. [16], Ghosh et al. [21], Giri and Choudhuri [22], Mingione [31], and the references therein. In addition to this, we also direct the attention of the readers with regard to function spaces to Guliyev et al. [25], Guariglia and Silvestrov [24], Guariglia [23], Polidoro and Ragusa [38], and the references therein.

Moving on, we discuss the double phase problem results available in the literature. Zhikov [45] introduced and studied functionals for modelling the strongly anisotropic materials. The functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + v(x)|\nabla u|^q) dx$$

has been studied to understand the regularity for isotropic and anisotropic functionals. Some of the other physical applications can be found for example in transonic flow (see Bahrouni et al. [3], Benci et al. [8]) and reaction diffusion systems (see Cherfilis et al. [17]). We also refer to Aberqi et al. [1, 2], Baroni et al. [4–7], Fiscella [20], Marcellini et al. [29, 30], and the references therein. Recently, Manouni et al. [28] have studied a problem similar to (1.1) with constant exponents and $\mu = 0$. They proved the existence of multiple solutions to this problem. Very few works pertaining to the double phase operators with a nonlinear boundary conditions exist for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (a(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u - \Delta_q u + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(x, u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_\theta} + \beta|\nabla u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Here, $a < q < p < N$, $b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a positive potential, $a(x) > 0$ a.e. in Ω , and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_\theta} = (a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n},$$

where n is the outward normal on $\partial\Omega$.

Papageorgiou et al. [33, 35] proved the existence of multiple solutions in the superlinear and resonant case for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (a(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u - \Delta_q u + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(x, u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_\theta} + \beta|\nabla u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $1 < q < p \leq N$ and $a(\cdot) > 0$ is a Lipschitz function. Another noteworthy work due to Gasiński and Winkert [18]. We also direct the attention of the reader to Hsini et al. [26], Liu et al. [27], Panda et al. [32], Rădulescu and Repovš [36], Shi et al. [40], Q. Zhang [43], Y. Zhang et al. [44], and the references therein.

We choose the nonlinear perturbations f_1, f_2 in problem (1.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, s, \xi) &= f(x, s, \xi) - |s|^{p(x)-2}s - v(x)|s|^{q(x)-2}s \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ f_2(x, s) &= g(x, s) - \beta|s|^{p(x)-2}s \text{ a.e. in } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\beta > 0$. Problem (1.1) then becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \\ = f(x, u, \nabla u) - |u|^{p(x)-2} u - v(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u + \mu \text{ in } \Omega \\ (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot n = g(x, u) - \beta |u|^{p(x)-2} u \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

We shall impose the following hypothesis on f, g :

(H) The perturbations $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are well known Carathéodory functions with $f(x, 0, 0) \neq 0$ for a.e. in Ω and they satisfy the following conditions:

(a) There exist $\phi_1 \in L^{\frac{r_1(x)}{r_1(x)-1}}(\Omega)$, $\phi_2 \in L^{\frac{r_2(x)}{r_2(x)-1}}(\Omega)$ and $b_1, b_2, b_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, s, \xi)| &\leq b_1 |\xi|^{p(x) \frac{r_1(x)}{r_1(x)-1}} + b_2 |s|^{r_1(x)-1} + \phi_1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ |g(x, s)| &\leq b_3 |s|^{p(x)} + \phi_2 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $1 < r_1^+ < p^{*-}$ and $1 < r_2^+ < p_*^-$. Here, $p_*(x) := \frac{(N-1)p(x)}{N-p(x)}$.

(b) There exist $z_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$, $z_2 \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$, and $a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, s, \xi)s &\leq a_1 |\xi|^{p(x)} + a_2 |s|^{p(x)} + z_1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ g(x, s)s &\leq a_3 |s|^{p(x)} + z_2 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

The conditions in hypothesis **(H)** were motivated by the presence of the gradient term. We note that the usual variational techniques do not work and hence we shall use the surjectivity results for pseudomonotone operators in which the first eigenvalue of the Robin and Steklov eigenvalue problems p -Laplacian plays a key role. This requirement led us to use the growth condition **(H)** to suit the purpose. A prototype example that satisfies **(H)** is $f(x, u, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^6$, $g(x, u) = u$ with $r_1(x) \equiv 3/2$, $p(x) \equiv 2$. However, a nonlinearity of the type $f(x, u, \nabla u) = e^{c|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}$, $c > 0$, does not fall in the category of functions which satisfy **(H)**. We remark that problem (1.6) with $1 < r_1(x) \leq p^*(x)$, $1 < r_2(x) \leq p_*(x)$, is still open.

Definition 1.1 A function $u \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ is called a *weak solution* to problem (1.6) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)-2} u + v(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u) \varphi dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u) \varphi dx \\ & - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)-2} u \varphi dS + \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

holds for all $\varphi \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$.

Here, $C_L(\bar{\Omega})$ is the space of Lipschitz continuous functions. By the Rademacher theorem, every Lipschitz continuous function is differentiable a.e. in Ω . The plan of attacking problem (1.6) is to define a sequence of problems which arises due to the existence of a smooth positive sequence of functions μ_n that converges to μ in the sense of Definition 2.3. The sequence of problems is as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (P_n) : \quad & -\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) = f(x, u, \nabla u) - |u|^{p(x)-2} s \\ & \quad \quad \quad - v(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u + \mu_n \text{ in } \Omega \\ & (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot n = g(x, u) - \beta |u|^{p(x)-2} u \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.10}$$

The following are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that $1 < p^+ < q^- < N$ and $v \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then problem (1.6) has a nontrivial weak solution $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, provided that the following properties hold:*

- (I) $a_1 + a_2(1/\lambda_{1,p(x),\alpha}^R) < 1$ and $a_2\alpha(1/\lambda_{1,p(x),\alpha}^R) + a_3 < \beta$;
- (II) $\max\{a_1, a_2\} + a_3(1/\lambda_{1,p(x),\alpha}^R) < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

Here, $\lambda_{1,p(x),\alpha}^R$ is the first eigenvalue of the $p(x)$ -Laplacian with the Robin boundary condition with $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda_{1,p(x),\alpha}^S$ is the first eigenvalue of the $p(x)$ -Laplacian with the Steklov boundary condition, see (2.4) and (2.6) respectively.

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that the perturbations $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in problem (1.6) satisfy hypothesis (H). Then problem (1.6) has a solution.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we collect all preliminary data. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 4 we prove Theorem 1.2. In Sect. 5 we give an illustrative example.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we shall gather the key notions and results needed for our proofs. For the rest the readers can consult the comprehensive monograph by Papageorgiou et al. [34]. We shall begin by recalling the definition of the Sobolev space with variable exponent (see e.g. Bonder et al. [13]):

$$W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega) := \{u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \mid u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega)\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue space equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{r(x)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 \mid \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{r(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The *critical exponent* is defined as

$$r^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Nr(x)}{N-r(x)} & \text{if } r(x) < N, \\ \infty & \text{if } r(x) \geq N. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 Properties of the Sobolev Space with Variable Exponents

We recall some of the relevant properties of $W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega)$ which can also be found in Rădulescu and Repovš [39]. When $r^- > 1$, then the space $W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive uniformly convex Banach space. Furthermore, for any bounded measurable function r , the space $W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega)$ is separable. The corresponding modular function is defined as follows:

$$m_{r(x)}(u) := \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^{r(x)} + |u(x)|^{r(x)}) dx.$$

Henceforth, we shall write u instead of $u(x)$ whenever the modular function is used. For properties of the modular function we refer to [39, Section 1.3].

Remark 2.1 By Bonder et al. [13, Proposition 2.2], for $r, \bar{r} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $1 \leq \bar{r}(x) \leq r(x)^*$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, we have $W^{1,\bar{r}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, if $\inf_{\Omega} \{\bar{r}(x) - r(x)\} > 0$ then the embedding is compact. Also, the Poincaré inequality holds even in the variable exponent case (see Diening et al. [19, p. 249, Theorem 8.2.4]).

2.2 Description of the Solution Space

Let $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(x, t) := t^{p(x)} + v(x)t^{q(x)}.$$

This allows us to define the modular function by

$$m_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{D}(x, u) dx = \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)} + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)}) dx.$$

Then the Musielak–Orlicz space $L^{\mathcal{D}(x)}$ is defined as follows

$$L^{\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) := \{u | u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } m_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(u) < \infty\},$$

and it is equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}(x)} := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 \mid m_{\mathcal{D}(x)}\left(\frac{u}{\mu}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

making $L^{\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ a reflexive Banach space (see Véron [42, Lemma 18]). We now define the space $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ in which solutions to problem (1.1) will be searched, as follows:

$$W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^{\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla u| \in L^{\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)\}.$$

A suitable norm on $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ is $\|u\|_{1,\mathcal{D}(x)} := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 \mid \tilde{m}\left(\frac{u}{\mu}\right) \right\}$, where $\tilde{m}(u) = m_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(u) + m_{\mathcal{D}(x)}(\nabla u)$.

2.3 Some Basic Definitions

We recall a few basic definitions that will be important for the proof of the main result of this paper. Throughout the definitions we let $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow X^*$ and X be a reflexive Banach space, with X^* denoting the dual space of X . Furthermore, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote its duality pairing.

Definition 2.1 A sequence (u_n) is said to satisfy the $(S+)$ property if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ implies $\mathcal{A}u_n \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}u$ and $\langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{A}u, u \rangle$.

Definition 2.2 A sequence $(u_n) \subset X$ is said to be pseudomonotone if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ imply $\mathcal{A}u_n \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}u$ and $\langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{A}u, u \rangle$.

The reader should note that the classical definition of a pseudomonotone operator says that if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X , $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ implies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}u_n, u_n - v \rangle \geq \langle \mathcal{A}u, u - v \rangle$ for all $v \in X$. This agrees with the one given in Definition 2.2 if \mathcal{A} is a bounded operator.

We recall a result which will be necessary to establish the existence of solutions.

Theorem 2.1 (Papageorgiou and Winkert [37, Theorem 6.1.57]) *Let \mathcal{A} be a pseudomonotone operator which is also bounded and coercive, and let $b \in X^*$. Then a solution to the equation $\mathcal{A}u = b$ exists.*

We further define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}u, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} &:= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)-2} u + v(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u) \varphi \, dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $u, \varphi \in W^{1, \mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)}$ is the duality pairing of $W^{1, \mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ and $(W^{1, \mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega))^*$. Under the assumption **(C)** on p, q, Ω , it follows by Crespo et al. [18, Proposition 3.5] that the operator \mathcal{A} is bounded, linear and strictly monotone (implying that it is maximal monotone) and is of type $(S+)$. We shall denote the interior of the cone of nonnegative functions of C^1 class defined over $\bar{\Omega}$ which consists of strictly positive functions of C^1 class by $\mathring{C}^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

Definition 2.3 Let (μ_n) be a bounded sequence of measures on the space of Radon measures $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We say that (μ_n) converges weakly in Ω to a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ if (μ_n) converges weakly to $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, i.e

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

2.4 The Robin and the Steklov Boundary Conditions

We first recall the Robin boundary condition via the eigenvalue problem driven by the $r(x)$ -Laplacian operator

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{r(x)} u &= \lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u \text{ in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{r(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot n &= -\alpha |u|^{r(x)-2} u \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\alpha > 0$. We keep the notations used in Manouni et al. [28] and define

$$\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R := \inf_{\substack{W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega), \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{r(x)} \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} \, dS}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} \, dx}. \tag{2.5}$$

We shall further denote by $u_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R$ the normalized positive solution corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R$. Here, dS is the infinitesimal surface measure on $\partial\Omega$. It can be proved by the maximum principle that $u \in \mathring{C}^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

The problem driven by $r(x)$ -Laplacian operator with the Steklov boundary condition is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{r(x)} u &= |u|^{r(x)-2} u \text{ in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{r(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot n &= -\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly to the Robin problem, let the smallest eigenvalue be denoted by $\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S > 0$ which is isolated, simple and represented by

$$\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S := \inf_{\substack{W^{1,r(x)}(\Omega), \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{r(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dS}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{r(x)} dx}. \tag{2.7}$$

As was done earlier, we denote by $u_{1,r(x)}^S$ the normalized eigenfunction which also belongs to $\mathring{C}^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Furthermore, we define $T_f : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \subset L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r'_1(x)}$ and $T_g : L^{r_2(x)}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r'_2(x)}(\Omega)$ to be the operators of the Nemytskij type pertaining to the functions f and g , respectively.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We denote by $i^* : L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ the adjoint of the inclusion map $i : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega)$ and by $j^* : L^{r_2(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ the adjoint of the inclusion map $j : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{r_2(x)}(\partial\Omega)$. We further define

$$\begin{aligned} n_f &:= i^* \circ \tilde{N}_f : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^* \\ N_g &:= j^* \circ \tilde{N}_g \circ j : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Thanks to hypothesis **(H)**(a), the operators in (3.1) are continuous and bounded. We further define $N_\beta : L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ as follows:

$$N_\beta : i_\beta^* \circ (\beta | \cdot |^{p(x)-2}) \circ i_\beta. \tag{3.2}$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{F}_n(u) = \int_{\Omega} u d\mu_n.$$

Here, $i_\beta^* : L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ is the adjoint operator of the embedding $i_\beta : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

This allows us to define an operator $\mathcal{B}_n : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_n(u) := \mathcal{A}(u) - N_f(u) - \mathcal{F}_n(u) - N_g(u) + N_\beta(u). \tag{3.3}$$

Again, by the growth condition in **(H)**(a), it is clear that operator \mathcal{B} maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

We now prove that operator \mathcal{B}_n is pseudomonotone. To this end, we consider a sequence $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_j \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega), \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{B}_n u_j, u_j - u \rangle_{(D)(x)} \leq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By Remark 2.1, there exists a compact embedding $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\tilde{r}(x)}$, whenever $\tilde{r}^+ < p^{*-}$. In combination with (3.4), we obtain

$$u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega), \quad u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{r_2(x)}(\partial\Omega) \text{ and } L^{p(x)}(\partial\Omega). \tag{3.5}$$

Invoking the growth condition **(H)(a)** in combination with the Hölder inequality, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u)(u_j - u)dx &\leq b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{p(x)\frac{r_1(x)-1}{r_1(x)}} |u_j - u|dx \\ &+ b_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{r_1(x)-1} |u_j - u|dx + \int_{\Omega} |\phi_1(x)| |u_j - u|dx \\ &\leq C_1 b_1 \max \left\{ \|\nabla u_j\|_{p(x)}^{p^-\frac{r_1^--1}{r_1^+}}, \|\nabla u_j\|_{p(x)}^{p^+\frac{r_1^+-1}{r_1^-}}, \|\nabla u_j\|_{p(x)}^{p^+\frac{r_1^--1}{r_1^+}}, \|\nabla u_j\|_{p(x)}^{p^-\frac{r_1^+-1}{r_1^-}} \right\} \\ &+ C_1 b_2 \max \left\{ \|u_j\|_{r_1(x)}^{r_1^--1}, \|u_j\|_{r_1(x)}^{r_1^+-1} \right\} + \|\phi_1\|_{\frac{r_1(x)}{r_1(x)-1}} \|u_j - u\|_{r_1(x)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u)(u_j - u)dx &\leq a_3 \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_j|^{r_2(x)-1} |u_j - u|dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\phi_2(x)| |u_j - u|dS \\ &\leq C_2 \left(a_3 \max \left\{ \|u_j\|_{r_2(x),\partial\Omega}^{r_2^-\frac{r_2^-}{r_2^+-1}}, \|u_j\|_{r_2(x),\partial\Omega}^{r_2^+\frac{r_2^+}{r_2^- -1}}, \|u_j\|_{r_2(x),\partial\Omega}^{r_2^+\frac{r_2^-}{r_2^+-1}}, \|u_j\|_{r_2(x),\partial\Omega}^{r_2^-\frac{r_2^+}{r_2^- -1}} \right\} + \|\phi_2\|_{\frac{r_2(x)}{r_2(x)-1},\partial\Omega} \right) \\ &\times \|u_j - u\|_{r_2(x),\partial\Omega} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_j|^{p(x)-2} u_j (u_j - u) dS \\ \leq C_3 \beta \max \left\{ \|u_j\|_{p(x),\partial\Omega}^{p^-\frac{p^-}{p^+-1}}, \|u_j\|_{p(x),\partial\Omega}^{p^+\frac{p^+}{p^- -1}}, \|u_j\|_{p(x),\partial\Omega}^{p^+\frac{p^-}{p^+-1}}, \|u_j\|_{p(x),\partial\Omega}^{p^-\frac{p^+}{p^- -1}} \right\} \\ \times \|u_j - u\|_{p(x),\partial\Omega} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By Definition 1.9 of the weak solution and by the estimates derived above, we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}u_j, u_j - u \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{B}_n u_j, u_j - u \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \leq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Also, since \mathcal{A} is a bounded linear operator, it follows by Crespo et al. [18, Proposition 3.5] that \mathcal{A} satisfies the $(S+)$ condition (see Definition 2.1). Thus from (3.8)–(3.9) we get

$$u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega). \tag{3.10}$$

Since \mathcal{B}_n is continuous, we have that $\mathcal{B}_n(u_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n(u)$ in $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$. This proves that B is indeed pseudomonotone.

We shall now prove that the operator $\mathcal{B}_n : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ is coercive. We subdivide the proof into two cases:

Case (i): Suppose that the Robin boundary condition holds. Then for $r(\cdot) = p(\cdot)$ we have by (2.4)–(2.5) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \leq (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \right) \tag{3.11}$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Note that we have used the definition of $\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R$ from (3.11). Furthermore, from (H)(b), (3.11), and by the properties of the modular function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_n(u), u \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x) |\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)-2} u + v(x) |u|^{q(x)-2} u) u dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) u dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u dS + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |\nabla u|^{q(x)} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\quad - a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - a_2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} z_1 dx - a_3 \\ &\quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} z_2 dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |\nabla u|^{q(x)} + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx \tag{3.12} \\ &\quad - a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - a_2 (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R) \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + a_2 \alpha (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} z_1 dx - a_3 \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} z_2 dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq (1 - a_1 - a_2 (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R)) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |\nabla u|^{q(x)} + \int_{\Omega} v(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\quad + (\beta - a_2 \alpha (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^R) - a_3) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS - \|z_1\|_1 - \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence B is indeed a coercive operator.

Case (ii): Suppose that the Steklov boundary condition holds. Again, for $r(\cdot) = p(\cdot)$ we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \leq (1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \right). \tag{3.13}$$

As in Case (i), we choose $u \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\|u\| > 1$. By using **(H)(b)** and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_n u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u + v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)-2} u + v(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u) u dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) u dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u dS + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\quad - a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - a_2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \|z_1\|_1 - a_3 \\ &\quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS - \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega} + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} v(x)|u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\quad - a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - a_2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \|z_1\|_1 - \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega} \\ &\quad - a_3(1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - a_3(1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S) \alpha \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx + \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dS \\ &\geq (1 - \max\{a_1, a_2\} - a_3(1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S)) \\ &\quad \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx - \|z_1\|_1 - \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega} \\ &\geq (1 - \max\{a_1, a_2\} - a_3(1/\lambda_{1,r(x),\alpha}^S)) \tilde{m}(u) - \|z_1\|_1 - \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

As we have concluded in Case (i), the operator \mathcal{B}_n is coercive. Thus we have proved that operator $\mathcal{B}_n : W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)^*$ is coercive, bounded, and pseudomonotone. By applying Theorem 2.1, we establish the existence of $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{B}_n(\tilde{u}_n) = 0$ with $\tilde{u}_n \neq 0$. The nontriviality of \tilde{u}_n follows from $f(x, 0, 0) \neq 0$ a.e. in Ω . By the definition of \mathcal{B}_n we conclude that \tilde{u}_n is a nontrivial weak solution to problem (1.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We shall prove that the sequence of solutions (u_n) converges to $u \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$ which in turn, is a solution to problem (1.6). We shall first prove that (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega)$. We test the weak formulation of problem (1.6) with $\varphi = u_n$ and assume that a subsequence of (u_n) , still denoted by (u_n) , is such that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, and we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{v(x)\} \|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q^-} &\leq \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + v(x)|\nabla u_n|^{q(x)}) dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{p(x)} + v(x)|u_n|^{q(x)}) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n dx - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{p(x)} dS + \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu_n \\
 &\leq a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + a_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} z_1 u_n dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} a_3 |u_n|^{p(x)} dx \\
 &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} z_2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu_n \\
 &\leq (a_1 + C_4 a_2) \|u_n\|_{1,p(x)}^{p^+} + \|z_1\|_1 + \|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega} + MC_5 a_3 \|u_n\|_{1,p(x)}^{p^+}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.1}$$

Since $p^+ < q^-$, we have that

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} \{v(x)\} \leq (a_1 + C_4 a_2) \frac{\|u_n\|_{1,p(x)}^{p^+}}{\|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q^-}} + \frac{\|z_1\|_1}{\|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q^-}} + \frac{\|z_2\|_{1,\partial\Omega}}{\|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q^-}} + MC_5 a_3 \frac{\|u_n\|_{1,p(x)}^{p^+}}{\|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q^-}} \rightarrow 0
 \tag{4.2}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction since $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \{v(x)\} > 0$. Thus (u_n) is indeed bounded in $W^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$ and hence bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Therefore (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$. Hence $u_n \rightharpoonup u_*$ in $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$. It remains to establish that u_* is a weak solution to problem (1.6).

The tools at our disposal are: (a) $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$ in the sense of Definition 2.3, (b) $u_n \rightharpoonup u_*$ in $W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$. We shall try to capitalize on these by passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the weak formulation of the problem as defined in Definition 1.9 by testing it with $\varphi = T_k u_n$, where

$$T_k u_n(x) := \max\{u_n(x), k\},$$

is the truncation of u_n . The conditions (2.1)–(2.5) in Boccardo et al. [12], which are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a(x, s, \xi)| &\leq \beta[k(x) + |s|^{p-1} + |\xi|^{p-1}], \\
 |a_0(x, s, \xi)| &\leq \beta[k(x) + |s|^{p-1} + |\xi|^{p-1}], \\
 [a(x, s, \xi) - a(x, s, \xi^*)][\xi - \xi^*] &\geq 0, \quad (\xi \neq \xi^*), \\
 a(x, s, \xi)\xi &\geq \alpha|\xi|^p, \\
 a_0(x, s, \xi)\xi &\geq \alpha_0|\xi|^p,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3}$$

hold in this case and hence we obtain $T_k u_n \rightarrow T_k u_*$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. By the diagonal argument, we conclude that (up to subsequences) ∇u_n converges to ∇u_* in measure, and hence (if required, we can extract another subsequence)

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u_* \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.
 \tag{4.4}$$

Thus for every $\varphi \in W^{1,\mathcal{D}(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_L(\bar{\Omega})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u_*, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_n, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u_n) \varphi dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n \varphi dS + \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_n \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_*, \nabla u_*) \varphi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u_*) \varphi dx \\
 &\quad - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_*|^{p(x)-2} u_* \varphi dS + \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.5}$$

The limiting analysis in (4.5) along with the condition $f(x, 0, 0) \neq 0$ a.e. in Ω from (H) proves that u_* is indeed a nontrivial weak solution to (1.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

5 An Illustrative Example

A first look might give the impression that the assumptions on the nonlinear perturbations f_1, f_2 in problem (1.1) were somewhat restrictive. However, a closer look reveals that these functions are quite widely used in the literature. Some commonly used functions are

$$f_1(x, s, \xi) = \begin{cases} b_0 |s|^{p-2} s, \\ b_1 |\xi|^{\frac{pr}{r-1}} + b_2 |s|^{r-2} u + \varphi_1(x), \\ b_3 |\xi|^{\frac{pr}{r-1}}. \end{cases}$$

As remarked in Sect. 1, the presence of the gradient term in the nonlinearity f_1 disallows the straightforward usage of variational principle. Hence we shall now illustrate the results proved in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the case $\mu = 1$ of problem (1.6).

We observe that for a fixed $k > 0$, if $\mu_k = k^{-1}|\Omega| \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{\alpha_i}$, then μ_k defines a Radon measure. We further define a sequence of measures

$$\mu_{n,k} = k^{-1}|\Omega| \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{1/n} * \mu_k.$$

Note that $\mu_{n,k}(\Omega) = |\Omega|$. Here, $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^k$ are points that are uniformly distributed in Ω , $(\delta_{\alpha_i})_{i=1}^k$ are the Dirac-delta distributions and $\rho_{1/n}$ are the standard mollifiers defined over Ω .

We shall now see that $(\mu_{n,k})$ converges to 1 in the sense of Definition 2.3. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi[\rho_{1/n} * \mu_k - 1] dx \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |(k^{-1}|\Omega| \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{1/n}(x - \alpha_i) - 1)| dx \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_L(\bar{\Omega})$. Thus by Theorem 1.1, for each $(\mu_{n,k})$ there exists a solution, say u_n . Furthermore, by Theorem 1.2, we have that $u_n \rightarrow u_*$, where u_* is a solution to (1.6) corresponding to $\mu = 1$.

Acknowledgements Choudhuri was supported by the National Board for Higher Mathematics (DAE), Govt. of India vide the Grant No. 02011/47/2021NBHM(RP)/R&D II/2615. Repovš was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency Grants P1-0292, J1-4031, J1-4001, N1-0278, N1-0114, and N1-0083. We thank the referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

Author Contributions All the authors have contributed equally to the study of the problem. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

1. Aberqi, A., Bennouna, J., Benslimane, O., Ragusa, M.A.: Existence results for double phase problem in Sobolev–Orlicz spaces with variable exponents in complete manifold. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **19**(4), 158 (2022)
2. Aberqi, A., Benslimane, O., Elmassoudi, M., Ragusa, M.A.: Nonnegative solution of a class of double phase problems with logarithmic nonlinearity. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2022**(1), 57 (2022)
3. Bahrouni, A., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: Double phase transonic flow problems with variable growth: nonlinear patterns and stationary waves. *Nonlinearity* **32**, 2481–2495 (2019)
4. Baroni, P., Colombo, M., Mingione, G.: Harnack inequalities for double phase functionals. *Nonlinear Anal.* **121**, 206–222 (2015)

5. Baroni, P., Colombo, M., Mingione, G.: Non-autonomous functionals, borderline cases and related function classes. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **27**, 347–379 (2016)
6. Baroni, P., Colombo, M., Mingione, G.: Regularity for general functionals with double phase. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **57**(2), 62 (2018)
7. Baroni, P., Kuusi, T., Mingione, G.: Borderline gradient continuity of minima. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **15**(2), 537–575 (2014)
8. Benci, V., D'Avenia, P., Fortunato, D., Pisani, L.: Solitons in several space dimensions: Derrick's problem and infinitely many solutions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **154**, 297–324 (2000)
9. Bhakta, M., Marcus, M.: Reduced limit for semilinear boundary value problems with measure data. *J. Differ. Equ.* **256**, 2691–2710 (2014)
10. Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T.: Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. *J. Funct. Anal.* **87**, 149–169 (1989)
11. Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T.: Nonlinear elliptic equations with right-hand side measures. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **17**, 641–655 (1992)
12. Boccardo, L., Murat, F., Puel, J.P.: Existence of bounded solutions for non linear elliptic unilateral problems. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **152**, 183–196 (1988)
13. Bonder, J.F., Saintier, N., Silva, A.: On the Sobolev embedding theorem for variable exponent spaces in the critical range. *J. Differ. Equ.* **253**(5), 1604–1620 (2012)
14. Brezis, H.: Nonlinear elliptic equations involving measures. In: Bardos, C., Damlamian, A., Diaz, J.I., Hernandez, J. (eds.) *Contributions to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Madrid, 1981, pp. 82–89. Pitman, Boston (1983)
15. Brezis, H., Marcus, M., Ponce, A.C.: A new concept of reduced measure for nonlinear elliptic equations. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339**(3), 169–174 (2004)
16. Chen, H., Véron, L.: Semilinear fractional elliptic equations involving measures. *J. Differ. Equ.* **257**, 1457–1486 (2014)
17. Cherfils, L., Il'yasov, Y.: On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with p & q -Laplacian. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **4**, 9–22 (2005)
18. Crespo-Blanco, Á., Gasiński, L., Harjulehto, P., Winkert, P.: A new class of double phase variable exponent problems: existence and uniqueness. *J. Differ. Equ.* **323**, 182–228 (2022)
19. Diening, L., Harjulehto, P., Hästö, P., Růžička, M.: *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*. In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2017. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
20. Fiscella, A.: A double phase problem involving Hardy potentials. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **85**(3), 32 (2022)
21. Ghosh, S., Choudhuri, D., Giri, R.K.: Singular nonlocal problem involving measure data. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **50**(1), 187–209 (2019)
22. Giri, R.K., Choudhuri, D.: A study of second order semilinear elliptic PDE involving measures. *Filomat* **33**(8), 2489–2506 (2019)
23. Guariglia, E.: Fractional calculus, zeta functions and Shannon entropy. *Open Math.* **19**(1), 87–100 (2021)
24. Guariglia, E., Silvestrov, S.: *Fractional-Wavelet Analysis of Positive Definite Distributions and Wavelets on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$* , Engineering Mathematics. II, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 179, pp. 337–353. Springer, Cham (2016)
25. Guliyev, V.S., Guliyev, R.V., Omarova, M.N., Ragusa, M.A.: Schrödinger type operators on local generalized Morrey spaces related to certain nonnegative potentials. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **25**(2), 671–690 (2020)
26. Hsini, M., Irzi, N., Kefi, K.: Nonhomogeneous $p(x)$ -Laplacian Steklov problem with weights. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **65**(3), 440–454 (2020)
27. Liu, Y., Zeng, S., Gasiński, L., Kim, Y.H.: Convergence analysis of a power penalty approach for a class of nonlocal double phase complementarity systems. *J. Geom. Anal.* **33**(6), (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-022-01067-y>
28. Manouni, S.E., Marino, G., Winkert, P.: Existence results for double phase problems depending on Robin and Steklov eigenvalues for the p -Laplacian. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **11**(1), 304–320 (2022)
29. Ferruccio Colombini, Antonio Marino, Luciano Modica & Sergio Spagnolo Marcellini, P.: The stored-energy for some discontinuous deformations in nonlinear elasticity. In: *Partial Differential Equations and the Calculus of Variations*, vol. 2, pp. 767–786, Birkhäuser, Boston (1989)
30. Marcellini, P.: Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q -growth conditions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **90**(1), 1–30 (1990)

31. Mingione, G.: The Calderón–Zygmund theory for elliptic problems with measure data. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa* **6**, 195–261 (2007)
32. Panda, A., Choudhuri, D., Bahrouni, A.: Algebraic topological techniques for elliptic problems involving fractional Laplacian. *Manuscr. Math.* **170**(3–4), 563–579 (2023)
33. Papageorgiou, N.S., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: Double-phase problems and a discontinuity property of the spectrum. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **147**(7), 2899–2910 (2019)
34. Papageorgiou, N.S., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory and Methods*. Springer, Cham (2019)
35. Papageorgiou, N.S., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for double-phase Robin problems. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **52**(3), 546–560 (2020)
36. Papageorgiou, N.S., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: Anisotropic singular Neumann equations with unbalanced growth. *Potential Anal.* **57**(1), 55–82 (2022)
37. Papageorgiou, N.S., Winkert, P.: *Applied Nonlinear Functional Analysis. An Introduction*. De Gruyter, Berlin (2018)
38. Polidoro, S., Ragusa, M.A.: On Some Schrödinger Type Equations. *More Progresses in Analysis*. World Scientific, Singapore (2009)
39. Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents—Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2015)
40. Shi, X., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D., Zhang, Q.: Multiple solutions of double phase variational problems with variable exponent. *Adv. Calc. Var.* **13**(4), 385–401 (2018)
41. Véron, L.: Elliptic equations involving measures. In: Chipot, M., Quittner, P. (eds.) *Stationary Partial Differential Equations. Handbook of Differential Equations*, vol. 1, pp. 593–712. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2004)
42. Yang, S., Yang, D., Yuan, W.: New characterizations of Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces via sharp ball averaging functions. *Front. Math. China* **14**(1), 177–201 (2019)
43. Zhang, Q.: Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations with singular coefficients in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**(1), 38–50 (2008)
44. Zhang, Y., Tang, X., Rădulescu, V.D.: Concentration of solutions for fractional double-phase problems: critical and supercritical cases. *J. Differ. Equ.* **302**, 139–184 (2021)
45. Zhikov, V.V.: Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **50**(4), 675–710 (1986)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.