



Variable exponent $q(m)$ -Kirchhoff-type problems with nonlocal terms and logarithmic nonlinearity on compact Riemannian manifolds

Hind Bouaam¹ · Mohamed El Ouarabi¹ · Chakir Allalou¹ · Said Melliani¹

Received: 24 January 2023 / Revised: 13 March 2023 / Accepted: 22 March 2023 /

Published online: 4 April 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2023

Abstract

We study a nonlinear $q(m)$ -Kirchhoff-type problems under Dirichlet boundary condition with nonlocal terms and logarithmic nonlinearity, in the setting of "variable exponents" Sobolev spaces in compact Riemannian manifolds. Using the Mountain Pass Theorem, the Fountain and Dual Fountain Theorem, we discuss the existence and multiplicity of three notions of solutions: nontrivial weak solutions, large energy solutions and small negative energy solutions. One of the main difficulties and innovations of the present paper is the presence of nonlocal terms and logarithmic nonlinearity. Our results extend and generalize some recent works in the existing literature.

Keywords Compact Riemannian manifolds · Nonlocal terms · Logarithmic nonlinearity · Mountain Pass theorem · Fountain and Dual Fountain Theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification 35J20 · 35J70 · 35J47

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa.

✉ Mohamed El Ouarabi
mohamed.elouaarabi@usms.ma

Hind Bouaam
hind15292@gmail.com

Chakir Allalou
chakir.allalou@yahoo.fr

Said Melliani
s.melliani@usms.ma

¹ Laboratory LMACS, Faculty of Science and Technics of Beni Mellal, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, BP 523, Beni Mellal 23000, Morocco

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) is a smooth compact Riemannian N -manifolds. In this paper, we investigate the following nonlinear $q(m)$ -Kirchhoff-type problems with Dirichlet boundary condition and with nonlocal terms and logarithmic nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} \Phi\left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m)\right)\left(-\Delta_{q(m)}u\right) + g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)-2}u \ln |u| \\ = |u|^{p(m)-2}u + \lambda\Psi\left(\int_M F(m, u)dv_g(m)\right)f(m, u) & \text{in } M, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where λ and θ are strictly positive real parameters, $p(m), q(m) \in C(M)$ and $F(m, t) = \int_0^t f(m, s)ds$ where $dv_g = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}dm$ is the Riemannian volume element on (M, g) , with the g_{ij} being the components of the Riemannian metric g in the chart and dm is the Lebesgue volume element of \mathbb{R}^N .

The operator $\Delta_{q(m)}u = \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{q(m)-2}u\right)$ is referred to as the $q(m)$ -Laplacian and changes into the q -Laplacian when $q(m) = q$ (a constant). The q -Laplacian operator is $(q - 1)$ -homogeneous, meaning that for every $\mu > 0$, $\Delta_q(\mu u) = \mu^{q-1}\Delta_q(u)$, whereas the $q(m)$ -Laplacian operator is not homogeneous when $q(m)$ is not a constant.

The ability to model various phenomena that emerge in the study of elastic mechanics, electrorheological fluids [21] and image restoration provides a strong motivation for the study of problems involving variable exponent growth conditions [3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 14, 16–19, 24, 25].

The problem (1.1) is a generalization of a Kirchhoff model. More specifically, Kirchhoff proposed a model given by the equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial m}\right|^2 dm\right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial m^2} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where L is the length of the string, h is the area of the cross section, E is the Young modulus of the material, ρ is the mass density and P_0 is the initial tension. A distinguishing feature of Kirchhoff equation (1.2) is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial m}\right|^2 dm$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2L} \int_0^L \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial m}\right|^2 dm$, and then the equation is no longer a pointwise identity.

The problem (1.1) is known as a bi-nonlocal due to the terms

$$\Phi\left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m)\right) \text{ and } \Psi\left(\int_M F(m, u)dv_g(m)\right),$$

which means that (1.1) is no longer a pointwise identity. This phenomenon poses some mathematical difficulties that are particularly fascinating to study.

The contributions to the paper are as follows. We show that the problem (1.1) admits at least nontrivial weak solutions. We prove also the existence and multiplicity of large energy solutions and small negative energy solutions to the problem (1.1). The arguments are based on the Mountain Pass Theorem, the Fountain and Dual Fountain Theorem and some variational techniques.

The paper consists of four sections. Section 2 contains some important results about Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds. In Sect. 3, we recall the theorems that will be used in the proof of our main results. Section 4 presents our main results, and the proofs of the main results are given in Sect. 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some important results about variable exponents Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds, which will be used in the rest of this paper. For more details about Sobolev spaces, fractional function spaces and special functions, we refer to [1, 2, 5, 7–9, 12, 13, 15, 20].

Definition 2.1 [12] Given (M, g) a smooth Riemannian manifolds and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection, for $u \in C^\infty(M)$, then $\nabla^k u$ denotes the k -th covariant derivative of u . The norm of k -th covariant derivative in local chart is given by the following formula

$$\left| \nabla^k u \right|^2 = g^{i_1 j_1} \dots g^{i_k j_k} \left(\nabla^k u \right)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \left(\nabla^k u \right)_{j_1 \dots j_k},$$

where the summation convention of Einstein is used.

Definition 2.2 [12] Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifolds, and $\gamma : [c, d] \rightarrow M$ be a curve of class C^1 . The length of γ is

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{g(\gamma(t)) \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right)} dt,$$

and for $z, y \in M$, we define the distance d_g by

$$d_g(z, y) = \inf \{ L(\gamma) : \gamma : [c, d] \rightarrow M \text{ such that } \gamma(c) = z \text{ and } \gamma(d) = y \}.$$

Definition 2.3 [12] The variable exponents Sobolev space $W^{1,q(m)}(M)$ consists of such functions $u \in L^{q(m)}(M)$ for which $\nabla^j u \in L^{q(m)}(M)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The norm of u in $W^{1,q(m)}(M)$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{1,q(m)} = \|u\|_{q(m)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \nabla^j u \right\|_{q(m)}.$$

The space $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ is defined as the closure of $C_c^\infty(M)$ in $W^{1,q(m)}(M)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,q(m)}$.

We note $\mathcal{P}(M)$ the set of all measurable functions $q(\cdot) : M \rightarrow [1, \infty]$.

Proposition 2.4 [7] (Hölder’s inequality) *If $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, then for every $u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(M)$ and $v \in L^{q'(\cdot)}(M)$ the following inequality holds*

$$\int_M |u(m)v(m)|dv_g(m) \leq 2|u|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(M)} \cdot |v|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(M)}.$$

Proposition 2.5 [7] *If $u \in L^{q(m)}(M)$, $\{u_n\} \subset L^{q(m)}(M)$, then we have*

- (1) $|u|_{q(m)} < 1$ (resp. $= 1, > 1$) $\iff \rho_{q(m)}(u) < 1$ (resp. $= 1, > 1$).
- (2) For $u \in L^{q(m)}(M) \setminus \{0\}$, $|u|_{q(m)} = \lambda \iff \rho_{q(m)}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) = 1$.
- (3) $|u|_{q(m)} < 1 \implies |u|_{q(m)}^{q^+} \leq \rho_{q(m)}(u) \leq |u|_{q(m)}^{q^-}$.
- (4) $|u|_{q(m)} > 1 \implies |u|_{q(m)}^{q^-} \leq \rho_{q(m)}(u) \leq |u|_{q(m)}^{q^+}$.
- (5) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |u_n - u|_{q(m)} = 0 \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_{q(m)}(u_n - u) = 0$.

Theorem 2.6 [12] *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifolds with a smooth boundary or without boundary and $q(m), p(m) \in C(\bar{M}) \cap L^\infty(M)$. Assume that*

$$p(m) < N, \quad p(m) < \frac{Nq(m)}{N - q(m)} \text{ for } m \in \bar{M}.$$

Then,

$$W^{1,q(m)}(M) \hookrightarrow L^{p(m)}(M),$$

is a continuous and compact embedding.

Proposition 2.7 [1] *If (M, g) is complete, then $W^{1,q(m)}(M) = W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$.*

Remark 2.8 On the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$, we can consider the equivalent norm

$$\|u\| = |\nabla u|_{q(m)}.$$

3 Mathematical tools

In this section, we recall the theorems that will be used in the proof of our main results. Let X be a reflexive and separable Banach space. Therefore, there exist $\{e_n\} \subset X$ and $\{e_n^*\} \subset X^*$ such that

$$X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}, \quad X^* = \overline{\text{span}\{e_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}, \quad \langle e_n, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{i,j},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$X_j = \mathbb{R}e_j = \text{span}\{e_j\}, \quad Y_j = \prod_{i=1}^j X_i, \quad Z_j = \prod_{i=j}^\infty X_i.$$

Definition 3.1 A function E is said to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition (PS), if any sequence $(u_n) \in X$ such that $(E(u_n))$ is bounded and $E'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in X^* has a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 3.2 [22](Mountain Pass Theorem). Let X be a Banach space, $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $e \in X$ with $\|e\| > r$ for some $r > 0$. Assume that

$$\inf_{\|u\|=r} E(u) > E(0) \geq E(e).$$

If E satisfies the (PS) condition at level c , then, c is a critical value of E , where

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma(t)), \text{ and } \Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

Theorem 3.3 [22](Fountain Theorem) Assume that $E \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional satisfying the (PS) condition. Moreover, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\gamma_j > r_j > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} i \quad a_j &= \max_{u \in Y_j, \|u\|=\gamma_j} E(u) \leq 0. \\ ii \quad b_j &= \inf_{u \in Z_j, \|u\|=r_j} E(u) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, E has a sequence of critical points $\{u_j\}$ such that $E(u_j) \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 3.4 A function E is said to satisfy the $(PS)_c^*$ condition (with respect to (Y_n)), if any sequence $(u_n) \subset X$ such that $n \rightarrow \infty, u_n \in Y_n, E(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $(E|_{Y_n})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, contains a subsequence converging to a critical point of E .

Theorem 3.5 [22](Dual Fountain Theorem) Assume that $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $E(-u) = E(u)$, and for every $j \geq j_0$, there exist $\rho_j > r_j > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (B_1) \quad c_j &= \inf_{u \in Z_j, \|u\|_X=\rho_j} E(u) \geq 0. \\ (B_2) \quad d_j &= \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X=r_j} E(u) < 0. \\ (B_3) \quad s_j &= \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X \leq \rho_j} E(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \\ (B_4) \quad E &\text{ satisfies } (PS)_c^* \text{ condition for every } c \in [s_{j_0}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then E has a sequence of negative critical values converging to 0.

4 Hypotheses and main results

In order to ensure the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the problem (1.1), we assume the following hypotheses:

(H₁) $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and satisfies the following condition

$$b_1 \leq g(m) \leq b_2,$$

for some positive constants b_1 and b_2 .

(H₂) $\Phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function and satisfies the following condition

$$a_1 t^{\alpha-1} \leq \Phi(t) \leq a_2 t^{\alpha-1},$$

for all $t > 0$ and a_1, a_2 real numbers such that $a_2 \geq a_1 > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$.

(H₃) $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and there exists positive constant $\beta > \frac{\alpha q^+}{p^-}$ such that

$$t \leq \hat{\Psi}(t) \leq t^\beta, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\hat{\Psi}(t) \leq \Psi(t)t, \text{ for } t > 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\hat{\Psi}(t) = \int_0^t \Psi(z)dz$.

(H₄) The function $f : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous such that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$|f(m, t)| \leq \epsilon |t|^{p(m)-1} \text{ for all } (m, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R},$$

with $p^+ < \alpha q^-$.

(H₅) There exist $\eta > 0$ and $A > 0$, such that

$$0 < F(m, t) \leq \frac{t}{\eta} f(m, t), \text{ for } |t| \geq A \text{ and } m \in M,$$

with $\eta > \max \left(\alpha q^+, \frac{a_2 \alpha (q^+)^{\alpha}}{a_1 (q^-)^{\alpha}}, \frac{b_2 \theta p^+}{b_1} \right)$.

(H₆)

$$\theta p(m), p(m) < q^*(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{Nq(m)}{N-q(m)} & \text{if } q(m) < N, \\ \infty & \text{if } q(m) \geq N, \end{cases}$$

and

$$1 < p^- \leq p \leq p^+ < \theta p^- \leq \theta p \leq \theta p^+ < (1 + \theta)p^- \leq (1 + \theta)p \leq (1 + \theta)p^+ < \alpha q^- \leq \alpha q \leq \alpha q^+.$$

(H₇) $f(m, -t) = -f(m, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in M$.

Now, we state our main results of this paper.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that the hypotheses (H₁)-(H₆) are satisfied, then there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ the problem (1.1) admits at least nontrivial weak solutions.*

Theorem 4.2 *If the conditions (H_1) - (H_7) hold, then for any $\lambda > 0$ the problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many large energy solutions.*

Theorem 4.3 *If the conditions (H_1) - (H_7) hold, then for any $\lambda > 0$ the problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many small negative energy solutions.*

5 Proofs of the main results

First, let us give the definition of a weak solution to the problem (1.1).

Definition 5.1 A function $u \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1.1) iff

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi\left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m)\right) \int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u \nabla w dv_g(m) \\ &+ \int_M g(m) |u|^{\theta p(m)-2} u \ln |u| w dv_g(m) \\ &- \int_M |u|^{p(m)-2} u w dv_g(m) - \lambda \Psi\left(\int_M F(m, u) dv_g(m)\right) \int_M f(m, u) w dv_g(m) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, w \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$.

Next, considering the energy function $E : W_0^{1,q(m)}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to problem (1.1) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) = &\hat{\Phi}\left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m)\right) + \int_M \frac{g(m) |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &- \int_M \frac{g(m) |u|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) - \int_M \frac{|u|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi}\left(\int_M F(m, u) dv_g(m)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Phi}(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(s) ds$ and $\hat{\Psi}(t) = \int_0^t \Psi(s) ds$.

Using some simple computations, we can show that the functional E is well defined and belongs to $\mathcal{C}^1\left(W_0^{1,q(m)}(M), \mathbb{R}\right)$. Furthermore, $u \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1.1) if and only if u is a critical point of this problem.

The next lemmas give a helpful estimate for logarithmic nonlinear term, which are crucial to our proof.

Lemma 5.2 [23] *Let $p(m) \in \mathcal{C}_+(\bar{M})$. Hence, the following estimate holds:*

$$\ln t \leq \frac{1}{ep(m)} t^{p(m)} \leq \frac{1}{ep^-} t^{p(m)}, \text{ for all } t \in [1, +\infty).$$

Proposition 5.3 *Let $u \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ and $p(m), \theta p(m) \in \mathcal{C}_+(\bar{M})$, then*

$$\int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) \leq C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} \max \left\{ |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^+}, |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^-} \right\},$$

where C is positive constant and $\theta p(m) < (1 + \theta)p(m) < q^*(m)$.

Proof Let $M_1 = \{m \in M : |u(m)| \leq 1\}$ and $M_2 = \{m \in M : |u(m)| \geq 1\}$. Then,

$$\int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) = \int_{M_1} |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) + \int_{M_2} |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m).$$

Since $|u(m)| \leq 1$, there exist $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that $|u|^{\theta p(m)} < A$ and $\ln |u| < B$. Then,

$$\int_{M_1} |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) < C \text{vol}(M).$$

Using Lemma 5.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_2} |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) &\leq \frac{1}{ep^-} \int_{M_2} |u|^{\theta p(m)+p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{ep^-} \max \left\{ |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^+}, |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^-} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) \leq C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} \max \left\{ |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^+}, |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^-} \right\}.$$

□

5.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

In this subsection, we will use Theorem 3.2 in order to prove the existence of nontrivial solutions. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is divided into several lemmas.

Lemma 5.4 *Assume that the conditions (H_1) - (H_4) and (H_6) hold, then there exist $\lambda^* > 0$, $\rho > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $E(u) \geq \sigma$ if $\|u\| = \rho$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.*

Proof From (H_4) , we get

$$|F(m, t)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p(m)} |t|^{p(m)} \text{ for all } (m, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $u \in W_0^{1,p(m)}(M)$ such that $\|u\| = \rho \in (0, 1)$. By (H_2) , (4.1), (5.1) and Proposition 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(u) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\
 &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) \\
 &\quad - \int_M \frac{|u|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u) dv_g(m) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^{\alpha} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{p^-} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\
 &\quad - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} \left(\int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^{\beta} \\
 &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \|u\|^{q^+ \alpha} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^-} \|u\|^{\theta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} C^{p^-} \|u\|^{p^-} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \|u\|^{\beta p^-} \\
 &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \rho^{q^+ \alpha} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^-} \rho^{\theta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} C^{p^-} \rho^{p^-} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \rho^{\beta p^-} \\
 &\geq \left[\frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \left(\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} C^{p^-} \right) \rho^{p^- - \alpha q^+} \right] \rho^{\alpha q^+} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \rho^{\beta p^-}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider,

$$\tilde{\rho} = \left[\frac{a_1}{2\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} C^{p^-} \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p^- - \alpha q^+}}.$$

Hence, for any $u \in W_0^{1,p(m)}(M)$ such that $\|u\| = \rho \in \left(\max(0, \tilde{\rho}), 1 \right)$, since $p^- < \alpha q^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(u) &\geq \left[\frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \left(\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} C^{p^-} \right) \tilde{\rho}^{p^- - \alpha q^+} \right] \rho^{\alpha q^+} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \rho^{\beta p^-} \\
 &\geq \frac{a_1}{2\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \rho^{\alpha q^+} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \rho^{\beta p^-} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{a_1}{2\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} C^{\beta p^-} \right) \rho^{\alpha q^+}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\lambda < \lambda^* := \frac{a_1(p^-)^{\beta}}{2\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha} \epsilon^{\beta} C^{\beta p^-}}$, then $E(u) \geq \sigma > 0$. □

Lemma 5.5 *Assume that the conditions (H_1) - (H_6) hold, then there exist $w \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ such that $\|w\| > 0$ and $E(w) < 0$.*

Proof Let $u \in W_0^{1,q(m)}(M) \setminus \{0\}$. From (H_5) , we obtain

$$\int_M F(m, u)dv_g(m) \geq c \int_{|u|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m), \tag{5.2}$$

for some $c > 0$.

By (H_1) , (H_2) , (4.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{|u|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u)dv_g(m) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda c \int_{|u|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m). \end{aligned}$$

Then fixing $u \neq 0$ and choosing $t > 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(tu) &\leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla tu|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \int_M |tu|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |tu| dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} \int_M |tu|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) - c \int_{|tu|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha q^+} a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^+} \ln t}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^+}}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) - \frac{t^{p^-}}{p^+} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \lambda c t^\eta \int_{|tu|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m) \\ &\leq t^{\alpha q^+} \left[\frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{\ln t}{t^{\alpha q^+ - \theta p^+}} \times \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + t^{\theta p^+ - \alpha q^+} \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) - \frac{t^{p^- - \alpha q^+}}{p^+} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda c t^{\eta - \alpha q^+} \int_{|tu|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m) \right], \end{aligned}$$

with $\int_{|tu|>A} |u|^\eta dv_g(m) \rightarrow \rho_\eta(u)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\eta > \alpha q^+$, $\alpha q^+ > \theta p^+$ and $\frac{\ln t}{t^{\alpha q^+ - \theta p^+}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce that $E(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, for $t > 1$ sufficiently large, we can let $w = tu$ such that $E(w) < 0$. \square

Lemma 5.6 *Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 4.1 hold, then the functional E satisfies the (PS) condition.*

Proof Let u_n be a Palais–Smale sequence, i.e.,

$$E(u_n) \text{ is bounded and } E'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \left(W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)\right)^*. \tag{5.3}$$

We proceed in two steps to prove Lemma 5.6.

Step 1: By contradiction, we will prove that u_n is bounded in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. Let $\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$, hence $\|u_n\| > 1$. From (H_1) - (H_2) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E(u_n) - \frac{1}{\eta} \langle E'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{1}{q(m)} |\nabla u_n(m)|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|u_n|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u_n|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|u_n|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) - \int_M \frac{|u_n|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\eta} \Phi \left(\int_M \frac{1}{q(m)} |\nabla u_n(m)|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) \int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\eta} \int_M g(m)|u_n|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u_n| dv_g(m) + \frac{1}{\eta} \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) u_n dv_g(m) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \frac{a_2}{\eta(q^-)^{\alpha-1}} \right) \left(\int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^{\alpha} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{b_1}{\theta p^+} - \frac{b_2}{\eta} \right) \int_M |u_n|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u_n| dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \int_M |u_n|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) + \left(\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{1}{p^-} \right) \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) u_n dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to (H_5) , we obtain

$$0 < \int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_M f(m, u_n) u_n dv_g(m).$$

Moreover, by (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) &\leq \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) u_n dv_g(m). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Then, from (5.4) and since $\eta > \max\left(\frac{a_2\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}}{a_1(q^-)^{\alpha}}, \frac{b_2\theta p^+}{b_1}\right)$ and $\eta > \alpha q^+ > p^-$, we get

$$E(u_n) - \frac{1}{\eta} \langle E'(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \left(\frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \frac{a_2}{\eta(q^-)^{\alpha-1}}\right) \|u\|^{\alpha q^-} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} C^{\theta p^+} \|u\|^{\theta p^+} + \left(\frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{1}{p^-}\right) C^{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+}.$$

Since $p^+ < \theta p^+ < \alpha q^-$ then $0 \geq +\infty$, we obtain a contradiction. Then u_n is necessarily bounded in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$.

Step 2: Let us now study the strong convergence of u_n in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. Since u_n is bounded in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$, there exists a subsequence of u_n , noted u_n , such as $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. On the other hand, from the compact embeddings, we obtain

$$u_n \longrightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{p(m)}(M), p(m) < q^*(m). \tag{5.5}$$

$$u_n \longrightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{(1+\theta)p(m)}(M), (\theta + 1)p(m) < q^*(m). \tag{5.6}$$

By (5.3), we get

$$\langle E'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u_n(m)|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) \int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m) \\ &= \langle E'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)-2} u_n (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \\ & - \int_M g(m) |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \\ & + \lambda \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) (u_n - u) dv_g(m). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)-2} u_n (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \right| & \leq \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)-1} |u_n - u| dv_g(m) \\ & \leq 2 \| |u_n|^{p(m)-1} \|_{\frac{p(m)}{p(m)-1}} \|u_n - u\|_{p(m)} \\ & \leq 2 \left(\|u_n\|_{p(m)}^{p^+-1} + \|u_n\|_{p(m)}^{p^+-1} \right) \|u_n - u\|_{p(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

then, by (5.5)

$$\left| \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)-2} u_n (u_n - u) \, dv_g(m) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{5.8}$$

Similarly, from (H_4) , (4.1) and (5.5), we get

$$\left| \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) \, dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) (u_n - u) \, dv_g(m) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{5.9}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \, dv_g(m) \\ &= \int_{M_1} \left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \, dv_g(m) \\ &+ \int_{M_2} \left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \, dv_g(m) \\ &\leq C \text{vol}(M) + \int_{M_2} \left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \, dv_g(m), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = \{m \in M, |u_n| \leq 1\}$ and $M_2 = \{m \in M, |u_n| \geq 1\}$. We can deduce from the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M) \hookrightarrow L^{(1+\theta)p(m)}(M)$ and Lemma 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \, dv_g(m) \\ &\leq C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{(ep^-)^{\frac{(1+\theta)p^+}{(1+\theta)p^- - 1}}} \int_M |u_n|^{(\theta+1)p(m)} \, dv_g(m) \tag{5.10} \\ &\leq C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{(ep^-)^{\frac{(1+\theta)p^+}{(1+\theta)p^- - 1}}} \left(C^{(1+\theta)p^-} \|u_n\|^{(1+\theta)p^-} + C^{(1+\theta)p^+} \|u_n\|^{(1+\theta)p^+} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (5.10) implies that

$$\left| |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-1} \ln |u_n| \right|^{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} \leq C. \tag{5.11}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, (H_1) , (5.6) and (5.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_M g(m) |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \right| \\ & \leq 2b_1 \left| |u_n|^{p(m)-1} \ln |u_n| \right|_{\frac{(1+\theta)p(m)}{(1+\theta)p(m)-1}} |u_n - u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.12), we get

$$\Phi \left(\int_M \frac{1}{q(m)} |\nabla u_n(m)|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) \int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From condition (H_2) , we get

$$\int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow +\infty.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow +\infty.$$

According to the following inequalities

$$|u - v|^s \leq \begin{cases} c_1 \left[(|u|^{s-2} u - |v|^{s-2} v) (u - v) \right]^{\frac{s}{2}} (|u|^s + |v|^s)^{\frac{2-s}{s}}, & 1 < s < 2, \\ c_2 (|u|^{s-2} u - |v|^{s-2} v) (u - v), & s \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants depending only on s , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \\ & \leq \int_M \left(|\nabla u_n|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u \right) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u_n \longrightarrow u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. Thus, E satisfies the Palais–Smale condition in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. □

Finally, Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 lead us to the conclusion that E satisfy the all conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. Then, E has at least one nontrivial critical point, i.e., problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solutions.

5.2 Proof of Theorem 4.2

We will prove Theorem 4.2 with the help of the Fountain Theorem. According to (H_7) and Lemma 5.6, $E \in C^1 \left(W_0^{1,q(m)}(M), \mathbb{R} \right)$ is an even functional and satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. Now, we shall verify that E satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.3.

(i) In view of (H_4) and (H_5) , there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$|F(m, t)| \geq C_1|t|^\eta - C_2|t|, \text{ for } (m, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.14}$$

Let $u \in Y_j$ such that $\|u\| > 1$. Hence, from (H_1) , (H_2) , (4.1), (5.14) and Proposition 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) - \int_M \frac{|u|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u) dv_g(m) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u| dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda C_1 \int_M |u|^\eta dv_g(m) + \lambda C_2 \int_M |u| dv_g(m) \\ &\leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^- e p^-} \max \left\{ |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^+}, |u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^-} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} \min \left\{ |u|_{p(m)}^{p^+}, |u|_{p(m)}^{p^-} \right\} - \lambda C_1 |u|_\eta^\eta + \lambda C_2 |u|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\dim Y_j < \infty$, then all norms are equivalents, so there are four positive constants C_3, C_4, C_5 and C_6 , such that

$$|u|_{(1+\theta)p(m)}^{(1+\theta)p^\pm} \leq C_3 \|u\|^{(1+\theta)p^\pm}, |u|_{p(m)}^{p^\pm} \geq C_4 \|u\|^{p^\pm}, |u|_\eta^\eta \geq C_5 \|u\|^\eta, |u|_1 \leq C_6 \|u\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &\leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^- e p^-} C_3 \|u\|^{(1+\theta)p^+} - \frac{1}{p^+} C_4 \|u\|^{p^-} \\ &\quad - \lambda C_1 C_5 \|u\|^\eta + \lambda C_2 C_6 \|u\| \\ &\leq \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} \left[\frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \frac{C \text{vol}(M)}{\|u\|^{\alpha q^+}} + \frac{b_2}{\theta p^- e p^-} C_3 \|u\|^{(1+\theta)p^+ - \alpha q^+} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{p^+} C_4 \|u\|^{p^- - \alpha q^+} - \lambda C_1 C_5 \|u\|^{\eta - \alpha q^+} + \lambda C_2 C_6 \|u\|^{1 - \alpha q^+} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta > \alpha q^+ > 1$ and $(1 + \theta)p^+ < \alpha q^+$, we get $E(u) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty$, for all $u \in Y_j$.

Then, there exists $\gamma_j = \|u\|$ large enough such that

$$a_j = \max_{u \in Y_j, \|u\|=\gamma_j} E(u) \leq 0.$$

So, the condition (i) of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied.

(ii) Let $u \in Z_j$ such that $\|u\| > 1$. According to (H_1) , (H_2) , (4.1) and (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |u|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|u|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) - \int_M \frac{|u|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, u) dv_g(m) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^{\alpha} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \int_M |u|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^-} \int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} \left(\int_M |u|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^{\beta} \\ &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \|u\|^{\alpha q^-} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \max \left\{ |u|_{\theta p(m)}^{\theta p^+}, |u|_{\theta p(m)}^{\theta p^-} \right\} - \frac{1}{p^-} \max \left\{ |u|_{p(m)}^{p^-}, |u|_{p(m)}^{p^+} \right\} \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} \max \left\{ |u|_{p(m)}^{\beta p^-}, |u|_{p(m)}^{\beta p^+} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\sigma_j = \sup \left\{ |u|_{p(m)}, \|u\| = 1, u \in Z_j \right\}, \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\mu_j = \sup \left\{ |u|_{\theta p(m)}, \|u\| = 1, u \in Z_j \right\}. \tag{5.16}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} \|u\|^{\alpha q^-} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \max \left\{ \mu_j^{\theta p^+} \|u\|^{\theta p^+}, \mu_j^{\theta p^-} \|u\|^{\theta p^-} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^-} \max \left\{ \sigma_j^{p^-} \|u\|^{p^-}, \sigma_j^{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} \right\} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} \max \left\{ \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} \|u\|^{\beta p^-}, \sigma_j^{\beta p^+} \|u\|^{\beta p^+} \right\} \\ &\geq \left[\frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^{\alpha}} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^{\beta}}{(p^-)^{\beta}} \sigma_j^{\beta p^+} \|u\|^{\beta p^+ - \alpha q^-} \right] \|u\|^{\alpha q^-} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^+} \|u\|^{\theta p^+} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$r_j = \left(\frac{a_1(p^-)^\beta}{2\lambda\epsilon^\beta\sigma_j^{\beta p^+}\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta p^+ - \alpha q^-}}.$$

Since $\beta p^+ > \alpha q^-$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_j = 0$, then $r_j \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, for any $u \in Z_j$ with $\|u\| = r_j$, we get

$$E(u) \geq \left[\frac{a_1}{2\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{p^-}\sigma_j^{p^+} r_j^{p^+ - \alpha q^-} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j r_j^{\theta p^+ - \alpha p^-} \right] r_j^{\alpha q^-} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, since $p^+ < \theta p^+ < \alpha q^-$ and $\sigma_j, \mu_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Then $\inf_{u \in Z_j, \|u\|=r_j} E(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. That is, condition (ii) of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

5.3 Proof of Theorem 4.3

We will prove Theorem 4.3 with the help of the Dual Fountain Theorem. From condition (H7), we see that $E \in C^1(W_0^{1,q(m)}(M), \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional. Now, we shall verify that E satisfies the conditions (B1)-(B4) of Theorem 3.5. (B1) For any $u \in Z_j, \|u\| < 1$, similarly of the proof of Theorem 4.2 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \max \left\{ \mu_j^{\theta p^+} \|u\|^{\theta p^+}, \mu_j^{\theta p^-} \|u\|^{\theta p^-} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^-} \max \left\{ \sigma_j^{p^-} \|u\|^{p^-}, \sigma_j^{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} \right\} \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \max \left\{ \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} \|u\|^{\beta p^-}, \sigma_j^{\beta p^+} \|u\|^{\beta p^+} \right\} \tag{5.17} \\ &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} \|u\|^{\theta p^-} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} \|u\|^{\beta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-} \|u\|^{p^-} \\ &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \|u\|^{\alpha q^+} - \left[\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} + \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-} \right] \|u\|^{p^-}. \end{aligned}$$

Since σ_j and μ_j are null sequences, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j &= \left[\frac{2\alpha(q^+)^\alpha}{a_1} \left(\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} + \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} + \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha q^+ - p^-}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho_j < 1$ for all $j \geq j_0$. Hence, for all $u \in Z_j$ with $\|u\| = \rho_j, j \geq j_0$, we have

$$E(u) \geq \frac{a_1}{2\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \rho_j^{\frac{\alpha q^+}{\alpha q^+ - p^-}} \geq 0.$$

This shows **(B₁)**.

(B₂) For $w \in Y_j$ with $\|w\| = 1$ and $0 < t < \rho_j < 1$, from $(H_1), (H_2), (4.1), (5.1)$ and Proposition 5.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(tw) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla tw|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) + \int_M \frac{g(m)|tw|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |tw|}{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad - \int_M \frac{g(m)|tw|^{\theta p(m)}}{(\theta p(m))^2} dv_g(m) - \int_M \frac{|tw|^{p(m)}}{p(m)} dv_g(m) - \lambda \hat{\Psi} \left(\int_M F(m, tw) dv_g(m) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha q^-} a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla w|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-} \ln t}{\theta p^-} \int_M |w|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-}}{\theta p^-} \int_M |w|^{\theta p(m)} \ln |w| dv_g(m) - \frac{t^{p^+}}{p^+} \int_M |w|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta t^{\beta p^-}}{(p^-)^\beta} \left(\int_M |w|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\beta \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha q^-} a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} \left(\int_M |\nabla w|^{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\alpha + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-} \ln t}{\theta p^-} \int_M |w|^{\theta p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-}}{\theta p^-} \left[C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} \int_M |w|^{(1+\theta)p(m)} dv_g(m) \right] - \frac{t^{p^+}}{p^+} \int_M |w|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \\ &\quad + \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta t^{\beta p^-}}{(p^-)^\beta} \left(\int_M |w|^{p(m)} dv_g(m) \right)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since all norms are equivalent, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(tw) &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha q^-} a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-} \ln t}{\theta p^-} C_1 + \frac{b_2 t^{\theta p^-}}{\theta p^-} \left[C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} C_2 \right] - \frac{t^{p^+}}{p^+} C_3 + \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta t^{\beta p^-}}{(p^-)^\beta} C_4 \\ &\leq \left[t^{\alpha q^- - p^+} \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} + t^{\theta p^- - p^+} \ln t \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} C_1 + t^{\theta p^- - p^+} \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \left[C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} C_2 \right] \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} C_3 + t^{\beta p^- - p^+} \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta C_4}{(p^-)^\beta} \Big] t^{p^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= t^{\alpha q^- - p^+} \frac{a_2}{\alpha(q^-)^\alpha} + t^{\theta p^- - p^+} \ln t \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} C_1 + t^{\theta p^- - p^+} \frac{b_2}{\theta p^-} \left[C \text{vol}(M) + \frac{1}{ep^-} C_2 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^+} C_3 + t^{\beta p^- - p^+} \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta C_4}{(p^-)^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\beta p^- > \alpha q^- > \theta p^- > p^+$, then the function $t \rightarrow S(t)$ is strictly negative in a neighborhood of zero. It follows that there exists a $r_j \in (0, \rho_j)$ such that $E(u) < 0$, for all $u \in Y_j$ $\|u\| = r_j$. Hence, we get

$$d_j = \max_{u \in Y_j, \|u\|=r_j} E(u) < 0.$$

(B₃) Since $Y_j \cap Z_j \neq \emptyset$ and $r_j < \rho_j$, we get

$$s_j = \max_{u \in Z_j, \|u\| \leq \rho_j} \inf E(u) \leq d_j = \max_{u \in Y_j, \|u\|=r_j} E(u) < 0.$$

By (5.17), for $w \in Z_j$, $\|w\| = 1$, $0 \leq t \leq \rho_j < 1$ and $u = tw$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(tw) &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} \|tw\|^{\alpha q^+} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} \|tw\|^{\theta p^-} \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} \|tw\|^{\beta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-} \|tw\|^{p^-} \\ &\geq \frac{a_1}{\alpha(q^+)^\alpha} t^{\alpha q^+} - \frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} t^{\theta p^-} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} t^{\beta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-} t^{p^-} \\ &\geq -\frac{b_2}{(\theta p^-)^2} \mu_j^{\theta p^-} - \lambda \frac{\epsilon^\beta}{(p^-)^\beta} \sigma_j^{\beta p^-} - \frac{1}{p^-} \sigma_j^{p^-}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $s_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. So (B₃) holds. (B₄) Let $(u_n) \subset W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$ such that $u_n \in Y_n$, $E(u_n) \rightarrow \tilde{c}$ and $(E|_{Y_n})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. The boundedness of $\|u_n\|$ can be obtained in the same manner as in the proof of Lemma 5.6.

Let us prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle E'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0. \tag{5.18}$$

As $X = \overline{\cup_n Y_n}$, we can choose $v_n \in Y_n$ such that $v_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,q(m)}(M)$. Since $E'_{|Y_n}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ in Y_n , (see [5, Proposition 3.5]), then we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle E'(u_n), u_n - v_n \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle E'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle E'(u_n), u_n - v_n \rangle + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle E'(u_n), v_n - u \rangle = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\Phi \left(\int_M \frac{|\nabla u_n(m)|^{q(m)}}{q(m)} dv_g(m) \right) \int_M |\nabla u_n|^{q(m)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dv_g(m)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle E'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \int_M |u_n|^{p(m)-2} u_n (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \\
&\quad - \int_M g(m) |u_n|^{\theta p(m)-2} u_n \ln |u_n| (u_n - u) dv_g(m) \\
&\quad + \lambda \Psi \left(\int_M F(m, u_n) dv_g(m) \right) \int_M f(m, u_n) (u_n - u) dv_g(m).
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.7), (5.8), (5.9), (5.12), (5.13) and (H_2) , we get $u_n \rightarrow u$. Moreover $E'(u_n) \rightarrow E'(u)$.

Let's now demonstrate below that $E'(u) = 0$. Taking arbitrarily $v_j \in Y_j$ and observe that when $n \geq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle E'(u), v_j \rangle &= \langle E'(u) - E'(u_n), v_j \rangle + \langle E'(u_n), v_j \rangle \\
&= \langle E'(u) - E'(u_n), v_j \rangle + \left\langle (E|_{Y_n})'(u_n), v_j \right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\langle E'(u), v_j \rangle = 0$ for all $v_j \in Y_j$. Therefore, $E'(u) = 0$. This proves that J satisfies the $(PS)_c^*$ condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$. So (\mathbf{B}_4) is satisfied. Hence, the Dual Fountain Theorem leads to the conclusion of Theorem 4.3.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Aubin, T.: *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds, Monge-Ampere Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg Berlin (1982)
2. Aberqi, A., Bennouna, J., Benslimane, O., Ragusa, M.A.: Existence Results for Double Phase Problem in Sobolev-Orlicz Spaces with Variable Exponents in Complete Manifold. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **19**(4), 158 (2022)
3. Acerbi, E., Mingione, G.: Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$ -Laplacean system. *J. für die reine und angewandte mathematik.* **584**, 117–148 (2005)
4. Ayazoglu, R., Ekincioglu, I., Sener, S.S.: Approximating functions in the power-type weighted variable exponent Sobolev space by the Hardy averaging operator. *Filomat.* **36**(10), 3321–3330 (2022)
5. Brezis, H.: *Funct. Anal. Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin (2011)
6. Chen, Y., Levine, S., Rao, M.: Variable exponent linear growth functionals in image restoration. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66**(4), 1383–1406 (2006)
7. Fu, Y., Guo, L.: Variable exponent spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **2012**, 575819 (2012)
8. Gaczkowski, M., Górká, P.: Sobolev spaces with variable exponents on Riemannian manifolds. *Non-linear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **92**, 47–59 (2013)
9. Gaczkowski, M., Górká, P., Pons, D.J.: Sobolev spaces with variable exponents on complete manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270**, 1379–1415 (2016)
10. Guariglia, E.: Riemann zeta fractional derivative-functional equation and link with primes. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**(1), 1–15 (2019)
11. Guariglia, E.: Fractional calculus, zeta functions and Shannon entropy. *Open Math.* **19**(1), 87–100 (2021)

12. Hebey, E.: *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities*, vol. 5. Am. Math. Soc, Providence (2000)
13. Li, C., Dao, X., Guo, P.: Fractional derivatives in complex planes. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**(5–6), 1857–1869 (2009)
14. Omer, O.A., Saibi, K., Abidin, M.Z., Osman, M.: Parametric Marcinkiewicz integral and its higher-order commutators on variable exponents Morrey-Herz spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces.* **2022**, 7209977 (2022)
15. Polidoro, S., Ragusa, M.A.: Sobolev-Morrey spaces related to an ultraparabolic equation. *Manuscripta Mathemat.* **96**, 371–392 (1998)
16. Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents, Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics.* CRC Press, Boca Raton (2015)
17. Ragusa, M.A., Tachikawa, A.: Boundary regularity of minimizers of $p(x)$ -energy functionals. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire.* **33**(2), 451–476 (2016)
18. Ragusa, M.A., Tachikawa, A.: On continuity of minimizers for certain quadratic growth functionals. *J. Math Soc Japan.* **57**(3), 691–700 (2005)
19. Ragusa, M.A., Tachikawa, A.: Regularity of Minimizers of some Variational Integrals with Discontinuity. *Zeitschrift für Anal und ihre Anwendungen.* **27**(4), 469–482 (2008)
20. Ragusa, M.A.: Commutators of fractional integral operators on Vanishing-Morrey spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* **40**, 361–368 (2008)
21. Rajagopal, K.R., Růžička, M.: Mathematical modeling of electrorheological materials. *Continuum Mech. Thermodynam.* **13**(1), 59–78 (2001)
22. Willem, M.: *Minimax Theorems.* Birkhäuser, Basel (1996)
23. Xiang, M., Hu, D., Yang, D.: Least energy solutions for fractional Kirchhoff problems with logarithmic nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **198**, 111899 (2020)
24. Zhikov, V.V.E.: On variational problems and nonlinear elliptic equations with nonstandard growth conditions. *J. Math. Sci.* **173**, 463–570 (2011)
25. Zhikov, V.V.E.: Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya.* **50**(4), 675–710 (1986)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.